Customer sovereignty, algorithmic management, economic precarity and networked support: A Job Demands-Resources analysis of stress and response among Chinese couriers

Authors: Hua Wei[1], Huiyue Shi[2], Yilin Kou[2], Mengke Yu[2], Yanzhuo Wang[2], Shugang Li[3], Sheng Li[4], , Christopher J. Armitage[5], Tarani Chandola[6], Pauline Whelan[7], Yanchun Zhang[8], Yan Xu[2], Martie van Tongeren[1]

Affiliations:

1 Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK;

2 Faculty of Psychology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China;

3 Department of Maternal and Children Health, School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China;

4 Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, Shihezi University, Shihezi, China;

5 Division of Psychology & Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK; NIHR Greater Manchester Patient Safety Translational Research Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

6 Department of Sociology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR China;

7 Division of Informatics, Imaging & Data Sciences, School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK;

8 China National Health Development Research Centre; China Health Economics Association

Correspondence: Hua Wei, The University of Manchester, Ellen Wilkinson Building, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, hua.wei@manchester.ac.uk

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has given the global e-commerce market a strong boost, of which China has the largest share and is growing rapidly. Concerns have been raised about intensified work stress and its consequences on health and safety among Chinese couriers. Sociological research of work and occupations has offered important insights into the labour process and politics of the gig economy, although how exactly the workers perceive and respond to technology-driven structural changes remains less clear. We conducted 14 semi-structured interviews with frontline couriers in May-June 2021 in China and interpreted the emerged themes following the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model. Four major work-stressor themes were identified: customer sovereignty, algorithmic management, economic precarity and networked support. These work conditions rarely worked alone. Technological, managerial and customer controlling mechanisms reinforced each other and increased work stress. In the absence of adequate organizational support, workers found support and resources through personal networks.

Keywords: Couriers, gig worker, algorithmic management, Job Demand-Resources model, China

Introduction

The size of China's e-commerce market reached USD 2,779 billion in 2020, nearly 3.5 times the size of the US market (USD 795 billion).[a] Activities in this sector are underpinned by 20 million Chinese couriers, of whom the majority are platform-engaged gig workers especially in the takeaway food delivery sector (Huang et al., 2021; SIC, 2021; Sun et al., 2021). In the past decade, China has adopted the gig economy mode of working and employment more or less uncritically and left gaps in labour protection and regulation (Zhou, 2020). Currently, overworking is common among Chinese parcel and takeaway couriers (Lin et al., 2018). Reckless driving behaviour (e.g. speeding or phone use while driving) was observed among this group of workers and rate of traffic accidents was high (Wang et al., 2021). In the capital city Beijing, nearly 90% of surveyed platform-engaged couriers said it was their only source of income and the majority of them (95%) were doing the job for longer than 8 hours every day (Huang et al., 2021). Furthermore, most of them (97%) were migrant workers supporting families living in their home towns and could not afford to settle in the big cities (Huang et al., 2021). In other words, socio-economic precarity can be a multifaceted problem in this population and the structural changes of work associated with the gig mode may pose further challenges. However, a major obstacle to tackling the problem is the lack of a clear understanding about how exactly the workers are affected by the technology-driven structural changes (Freni-Sterrantino and Salerno, 2021). The aim of the present research is to adopt the lens of the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R)(Demerouti et al., 2001), a commonly used work psychology model to explore how the Chinese couriers perceived and responded to a range of changing work conditions.

Chinese couriers

Sociological researchers in work, health and technology have become interested in Chinese couriers following the rise of gig economy. A small body of work has emerged since the late 2010s. Most of them focused on food couriers or the related occupation taxi drivers as these two jobs have higher percentage of platform based gig workers, compared to parcel couriers (SIC, 2021). Two distinct job characteristics have been identified, exploitation of economic incentives and customer sovereignty.

[a] https://www.ecommerce-nation.com/top-ecommerce-markets/

Researchers repeatedly reported how couriers were fined by traffic police and platforms for violation of traffic rules, late deliveries, customer complaints or minor non-compliance to managerial demands (Liu and Friedman, 2021; Chan, 2021; Huang, 2022). Economic incentives, such as rewards are also frequently used to encourage behavior that complies with managerial goals such as attendance bonus, rate of in-time deliveries and positive customer reviews (Liu and Friedman, 2021; Chan, 2021). Consumer sovereignty has become a norm in Chinese service sectors and serves as a device of managerial control that predates the gig economy (Gamble, 2007). Under conditions in which workers' social status is perceived to be low and with weak labour protection, customer abuse occurs more frequently (Korczynski and Evans, 2013). Since customer reviews are also linked with economic incentives or penalties, these two job characteristics are likely to reinforce each other and have the potential to amplify work-related precarity. These controlling mechanisms are not confined to the platform based food couriers. For example, parcel couriers in Beijing are also subject to poor working conditions, high work stress and serious risk of overworking, similar to that of food couriers (Lin and Li, 2021; Lin et al., 2018). Hence, our research investigates both parcel and food couriers in various employment terms, including full-time employed, agency worker and gig workers to answer the research question: how Chinese couriers perceived and responded to changing work conditions in the context of rising gig economy.

Theoretical framework

The sociology of work and occupations covers a broad spectrum of topics that range from institutional analysis of power and relations to very individual experiences, with the latter underpinned by social psychology (Abbott, 1993). Recently, platform work, algorithmic management and workplace surveillance have received much attention from academics of multiple disciplines, including insights on the production process and labour relations. For example, structural analysis mostly adopted the lens of Taylorism or labour process theory and highlighted a distinct feature of the gig economy, that is, platforms have become the digital-based "point of production" (Gandini, 2019; Purcell and Brook, 2022; Veen et al., 2020; Spencer, 2017). Workers, by logging into an app, subject themselves to the algorithmic authority that allocates tasks, determines how the tasks should be executed and paid, and

manages performance (Gandini, 2019; Purcell and Brook, 2022). Algorithmic management techniques are at the centre of this power dynamic that can lead to poor job quality and a range of negative outcomes such as low pay, social isolation, working irregular hours, overwork, sleep deprivation and exhaustion (Wood et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2021). Despite the potential for a range of mental health issues, existing research has rarely employed psychology models to analyse the behavioural impact. In other words, the process of negotiation about the changes has been better understood at a collective level while less so on an individual level (Li et al., 2022). For example, Gregory (2021) analysed food couriers' experiences in Edinburgh and Sun et al. (2021) tracked food couriers in Beijing. Both studies concluded that platform-dependent precarious jobs and related risks were privatised and normalised by algorithmic management and the platforms' communicative techniques. Normalisation is a social process by which certain practices become embedded in everyday life, during which variations in individual intentions and behaviours should be recognised as an important addition to the collective, population-level analysis (May and Finch, 2009). However, with the absence of a psychological model, it is less clear how the individuals processed and internalised the information.

Central to the concern is the ongoing changes that may have tipped the balance between positive (resources) and negative (demands) job characteristics for this occupation (Schaufeli and Taris, 2014). There are a range of popular work stress models built upon this notion of balance, including the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model (Demerouti et al., 2001), the Job Demand-Control (JD-C) model (Karasek, 1979) and the Effort Reward Imbalance (ERI) model (Siegrist, 1996). Despite the similarity, we believe the JD-R model is the most appropriate for our study because it has integrated personal resources that take into account the interaction between personal and environmental factors (Schaufeli and Taris, 2014). Hence it is particularly suitable for the gig workers because similar to the entrepreneurs, they invest personally into the job and would develop and mobilize their personal resources to tackle challenges at work (Barratt et al., 2020; Burtch et al., 2016).

The JD-R model has been applied in different occupational settings to understand how work conditions contribute to exhaustion, disengagement, job satisfaction and performance (Bakker et al., 2004; Karasek and Theorell, 1990; van den Heuvel et al., 2015). Figure 1 provides a simple illustration of the model. It offers a context-dependent analysis of job characteristics, recognising their

unique and independent links to outcomes through two underlying psychological processes: health-impairment process and motivational process (Demerouti et al., 2001). When exposure to job demands depletes workers' physical and mental resources, health-impairment occurs and leads to exhaustion and negative effects on health and productivity. The motivational process occurs when job and personal resources can support the achievement of work goals or satisfy the intrinsic needs for autonomy, relatedness and competence, thus improving well-being and productivity (Roczniewska et al., 2022). Job control (e.g., decision latitude) is a form of job resources that can moderate the effects of job demands on work stress (Bakker and Demerouti, 2018; Bakker et al., 2016). Hence, the JD-R model has the potential to offer additional insights into sociological analysis of work through the lens of individual response to structural changes. That is, whether certain conditions or changes are perceived by the workers as increased demands or reduced resources, or vice versa could determine their everyday experience at work.



Figure 1 Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model

Methods

Although the JD-R model is well established in work psychology and has been used in survey questionnaires that are quantitatively analysed, its use in sociological research about courier occupation and algorithmic management is still nascent. It is less than clear how the changing work conditions among couriers and delivery workers can be fit into the categories of demand or resource. Hence, our methodological orientation was explorative.

Data collection

We conducted 14 semi-structured interviews in May and June 2021 in China using Tencent Meeting software. Each of the interviews lasted about 1.5 hours. We approached both parcel and takeaway food couriers under varying employment terms using phone contacts that was available to the researchers. About half of them refused mainly due to being too busy. At the end, we recruited eight takeaway couriers, three parcel couriers and three site managers who were also actively involved in delivery tasks. Recruitment stopped when the interviewers agreed that data saturation was reached. Two of the interviewees were female and 12 were male. The mean age was 33 and average length of working as a courier was 3.7 years. Interviews were carried out and analysed in Chinese, with quotes translated into English by one of the bilingual researchers and verified by another. Any disagreement was discussed and referred to other bilingual researchers in the team when necessary.

Each participant received a Participant Information Sheet and informed consent was confirmed either by verbal consent at the beginning of the session or by written consent. Researchers introduced themselves, their background and the research purpose and encouraged the interviewees to ask questions before starting. Interviews were audio recorded with auto-transcripts generated. Seven researchers conducted the interviews, with each session completed by at least two researchers. All researchers were trained for qualitative research. Interviewers A and B have PhD degrees and the other researchers were postgraduate students under the supervision of B or C. Four of the interviewers were female. Interview questions included inquiries into the stressors, stress responses, coping mechanisms and mitigation measures. The style of our inquires was open, which encouraged the interviewees to discuss topics that they found relevant but not specified by the questions. The interview schedule is available in Supplementary file 1.

Data analysis

We took an explorative approach to understand the phenomenon and thematic analysis was deemed the most appropriate method. We followed the Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ) to report our findings (Tong et al., 2007). A reporting checklist can be found in Supplementary file 2. The analysis had two stages. It was first bottom-up and driven by the coders'

interpretation of the meaning of the words, phrases, sentences and paragraphs said by the interviewees (Castleberry and Nolen, 2018; Braun and Clarke, 2006). Coding was completed using Nvivo12 software. Five researchers edited and anonymised the auto-transcripts, and coded the transcripts independently. At the second stage, similar codes were grouped into sub-themes, and these into themes, all of which were then matched with the JD-R categories: demands and resources. Selected quotations have been added to illustrate the findings.

A JD-R model informed analysis

In this section, we present the results of analysis in relation to four major themes: customer sovereignty, algorithmic management, economic precarity and networked support. Sub-themes of the four work conditions were analysed through the lens of demands or resources to delineate the working mechanisms and potential health and performance impacts (see Table 1 below for a list of themes and sub-themes, as well as Figure 2 in Discussion for a full illustration of the relationships). The themes intertwined in reality and hence in some quotes several sub-themes were identified.

Table 1 List of themes and sub-themes -- A JD-R analysis of Chinese couriers' working conditions

Themes	Categories of JD-R	Sub-themes
General	Job demands	Long hours and heavy workload lack of organizational support
Customer sovereignty	Job demands	Customer incivility
	Personal resources	Customer appreciation
Algorithmic management	Job demands	Algorithmic control
	Job/personal resources	Log in/out autonomy Intelligent system (for the digitally literate)
	Job resources	App-based information, training & motivation
Economic precarity	Job demands	Penalties
	Job resources	Bonus & rewards
Networked support	Job demands	Extended social controls
	Personal resources	Worker networks

General

The overall work environment was stressful because there were "all sorts of triggers for mental breakdowns" (0604-takeaway). Long working hours appeared to be a norm in the industry. Most of

the parcel interviewees mentioned starting work from 6 or 7am in the morning and finishing shifts after 7pm. Takeaway couriers normally started later in the day, i.e. 10am, but would carry on working until late i.e. 10pm into the night. Parcel couriers typically work six days a week and take no days off during peak seasons, such as the Single's day festival (11th Nov), Double-12 festival (12th Dec) and pre-Chinese New Year peak. Such seasons meant dramatic increase of workload and could last somewhere between 15 days to a month (0509-manager). During peak seasons they often worked 15-16 hours a day (0529-manager, 0523-manager, 0607-parcel). Lack of organizational support appeared to be a general condition and a recurring sub-theme.

Customer sovereignty

Customer sovereignty could add a great amount of physical and emotional demands when customer incivility occurred, while in some instances customer appreciation also provided the interviewees with rewarding experiences and motivations to continue with this career.

Job demands - Customer incivility & lack of organizational support

When consumer sovereignty worked against the couriers and led to instances of customer abuse, it represented a major source of stress that triggered strong emotional responses from the couriers. One takeaway courier (0510-takeaway-SH) reported that a customer made a false complaint simply because one of his additional demands was not met. The background was that to improve customer experience, platforms offered extra and optional services. One of them was that customers could add items to the order that can be easily procured from the convenience store downstairs, i.e. a pack of cigarettes.

> It was last winter, a snowy day. There were so many orders. This guy, he phoned me and asked me to add a fresh coconut to his food order. It was winter and snowing. There was no way to buy a coconut, so I declined. He then asked me to leave his food order at the reception, which was normally handed over to him in person. Later he phoned me to say the food was missing and he would make a complaint. I got really angry. The thing is he was smacking his lips when talking to me[b]. He obviously had the food but claimed it was lost. I was so angry. The customer service called me later asking why my attitude was bad. I explained and said I'm willing to meet the customer and call the police right there,

[b] Talking while smacking lips is a Chinese expression normally used to show satisfaction after a meal.

> and ask the police to check the CCTV. Let's see who took the food! The customer service said they understood the situation. At the end, I did not get a fine. I took that afternoon off… I mean the food wasn't a big deal, but I felt like how could he do this? It's really upsetting. It's bullying.

The extra service was optional. It contributed to the courier's physical demand and it should be at the courier's discretion whether to fulfil it or not. However, the belief in customer sovereignty that was created by the platform for marketing purposes led to customer disillusion and disappointment (Korczynski and Evans, 2013), a condition the couriers had to put in additional emotional labour to deal with and often failing regardless of their efforts (Wharton, 2009). The courier described the disruptive effects and the physical and emotional responses triggered by this event. He experienced not only an emotional roller coaster but also felt he was not able to continue working for the rest of the day. The role of the platform's customer service was important in his description. Customer complaints usually resulted in fines by default. In this case, the courier disputed the case and the customer service judged in his favour. It was not always the case as other interviewees expressed lack of faith in the customer service to make fair judgement, which will be elaborated later. For gig workers who are app-based and have little or no access to human managers, customer service is an important or even the single source of organizational support (Möhlmann et al., 2021). Perceived organizational support could moderate the effects of job demands on health and performance related outcomes (Cheng and Chen, 2020).

In the parcel segment, whether the customer was at their address to receive the parcel could be a challenge for couriers. Policies varied in different companies. Three interviewees were full-time employees of relatively big delivery companies that advertised their service as prime quality. Company policy required them to contact the customer on the day to agree a delivery time slot and could only leave parcels in a locker or a safe place with the customers' consent. This demanded effective communication from both sides, but the customers may not always respond in time, which created additional stress for the couriers. An extreme case was told by one of the parcel couriers (0607-parcel) when miscommunication led to aggressive customer behaviour.

> When I first started I was delivering to Beijing Hutongs.[c] There were many households live in a Hutong [and you would not know exactly which door the recipient lives behind]. On the first attempt, the customer wouldn't pick up the phone. I shouted a few times in the courtyard and no one answered. I then left. He phoned back after I had left for a while and said he was busy then. We made an appointment for the next day. The next day I got there at the agreed time, again phoning and shouting but no answer. The same happened three times in a row. On the third time, when he phoned me back after I left, I said, 'Bro, this was the time we agreed.' He then started cursing me. I was provoked and started arguing… I returned to the depot and asked the parcel to be delivered by a colleague on the fourth day to avoid meeting the customer face to face. I said we might get into a fight if we saw each other… When my colleague arrived the address, the customer came out with a knife and chased him. The police was called and the site manager got involved to investigate. He then arranged for me to apologise and buy presents for the customer. I was extremely upset that I had to apologise. I was so reluctant. But the site manager said if the customer made a complaint, I would be fined for ¥2000 by the company and fired. He suggested we should appease the customer and the presents didn't cost much… After that incidence, however customers cursed me, I'd never argue back.

Company policy, the customer and the site manager were reinforcing customer sovereignty, resulted in a strong sense of helplessness. Neither the company nor the line manger offered adequate support to the courier in this event of customer abuse. Company policy did not allow the courier to fail the delivery after three attempts. The customer blamed the courier solely irrespective of his own faults and the courier's repeated efforts to deliver the parcel. When the customer turned aggressive and violent, the site manager offered some support but also urged the courier to deal with the case based on economic rationale rather than the principles of fairness and equity at work. This epitomised a situation where work demands were increased due to requirements of high standard of service quality and poor customer behaviour. When the courier needed organizational support the most, the support was biased and skewed towards the benefit of the customer.

[c] Traditional courtyard houses

Personal resources -- Customer appreciation

When customer sovereignty became a norm among service workers, customer appreciation could go a long way to improve their work experiences. A takeaway interviewee (0529-takeaway) explained it well.

> Whatever you do there always are challenges, but there are also lots of heart-warming experiences…I might cry when I talk about these. Once in a winter, it was particularly cold. I only just started [working as a courier] and could not find the address. I phoned the customer to tell her that I was struggling. She said it was okay and could come down to meet me. It was a six-floor building with no lift. And she brought with her a cup of hot water and gave it to me. The moment I drank it, I felt it was so sweet...One positive experience made me feel all the negatives disappeared.

Other customer appreciations that were mentioned included bottle of refrigerated water in summer, cigarettes and invitation to have a rest in their home (0509-manager, 0524-parcel, 0528-takeaway). It was not often discussed in existing literature the role of customer appreciation in job satisfaction and occupational stress. This could be linked to meaning of work when workers felt the job they were doing was valued and appreciated (Kristensen et al., 2005). During the COVID-19 pandemic, many of the interviewees carried on working and delivered facemasks, medicine and supplies to hospitals. These experiences helped them to see the meaning and importance of their work. An experienced parcel courier (0529-manager) talked about helping the Police solve crime when illegal contents were discovered in parcels. He said these experiences gave him “a strong sense of achievement”.

Algorithmic management

Algorithmic management was realised via the app and its supporting digital technology and hence was a more prominent feature among app-based couriers. The interviewees often called it “the system” that represented an important feature of their working life. On the one hand, it embodied a myriad of rules, controls and business logics dictated by the platforms or companies, such as order allocation and combination, customer satisfaction, pay, performance and courier grades. On the other hand, it functioned as a supporting mechanism that provided information, guidance, training and social support. They recognised the intelligence of the system and learned to adapt their behaviour according to system logics.

Job resources – Log in/out autonomy

Autonomy and freedom did exist, but outside of the system, as described by a takeaway interviewee (0517-takeaway).

> "To a certain extent, freedom means that you can decide whether to do it or not, whether to work or not to work today, but once you started working, the system dictates everything."

Job demands – Algorithmic control, customer incivility and lack of organizational support

Instant tracking technology, inconsiderate customers and poor organizational support meant additional stress and risk of unsafe driving. A takeaway courier recount (0517-takeaway) shared this story.

> Courier: Once I was delivering an order, the next customer saw me [location on the app]. I was like a few hundred meters away from him. He saw that I was not moving for like 5 or 6 minutes. But I was just delivering the order before his. He started phoning and rushing me, saying that I saw your location, why were you not moving? I said sorry I'd be quick. After 30 seconds, he phoned again, saying you need to hurry, you are not moving. I told him I'd be with him right away. After a few seconds, he phoned the third time and said he would make a complaint. The moment he hang up, I completed the other order. One minute, I was 300 meters away from him, it took me one minute to get to him. I drove so quickly, was not afraid of danger at all. I wanted to rush and was afraid to get a complaint. One complaint then it's all wasted. When I handed the receipt to him, I sincerely apologized to him and said sorry. He took the receipt reluctantly and said sorry meant nothing. He then made a complaint.
>
> Researcher: Did you tell the customer service that this wasn't your fault?
>
> Courier: It won't work. Once customers complain, or write a bad review, nothing works. It's fines and money deducted.
>
> Researcher: Can you appeal? Explain the situation.
>
> Courier: Yes you can. But whether they would approve is another issue. Normally the chance is small.

Job/personal resources -- Intelligent system, only if you are digitally literate

The system is intelligent and discriminating, as described by a takeaway interviewee (0517-takeaway).

> Couriers: For example, they [the higher grades couriers] are Gold [grade], not like us, we're Bronze or Silver, there is quite a bit of difference. Imagine the system allocated six orders (to one courier), they might get places like hotels, or office buildings where the customer will come down and collect the

orders. Whilst for someone like me [low grade], they may send me to climb six floors, or take the lift to the 22nd floor. You certainly cannot be as quick as them, because you have to climb up stairs, take lift, wait for lift etc. During peak hours, these take lots of time.

Researcher: Are the orders allocated by the system? How can the system know which orders need climbing stairs, which ones need taking lift to the 22nd floor? How do they know whether orders are easy or difficult [to deliver]?

Couriers: The system, you can't imagine, the system is really smart, like it knows everything. I'd say they even know whether the restaurants are slow or quick in preparing food. The system is just smart."

The system has its own logic which remained opaque to the couriers. Similar to other gig workers in the world, Chinese couriers also tried to figure out what the decision making rules were and how they might benefit by following the logic of the system (Möhlmann and Zalmanson, 2017; McDonald et al., 2021). High levels of digital literacy and extensive experience of working with the system was needed to figure out the system logic. An experienced courier (0525-takeaway) summarized his understanding about the criteria when the system would allocate orders that were less challenging and more profitable:

"The first is luck, the second is customer satisfaction of [your performance on] the previous day, the other is your grade…if you are lucky, like if you are near the restaurant or no other couriers nearby [then you will receive many orders]…it also depends on how busy it gets."

Digital literacy, or being able to understand the system logic, could be considered a type of personal resource because the couriers developed a business logic about how to combine orders on one route so that they could make a profit. For takeaway couriers, orders were allocated by the system and as discussed above the system had its own logic. Given the low delivery fees per order, couriers would like to combine as many as possible orders on the same route, despite it also being more stressful. The more orders they got on one route, the shorter the distance of each order, the more profitable the route would become. Hence, being allocated only one or two orders on a long-distance route was something they wanted to avoid. One takeaway courier (0525-takeaway) explained it well.

Courier: You can imagine, within the central business area, there are lots of short-distance orders. If they send you out of the central area, say a 4-kilometers order, they may add one or two to the route. So

you are completing two orders within half an hour. You certainly can deliver them satisfactorily. If they allocate you four orders within the central business area, it's up to your capability whether you can deliver them with good customer satisfaction.

Researcher: Does it feel like long distance orders are less stressful, and staying within the central business area means harder work and more stress?

Couriers: Exactly. You can't afford to be stuck [if you deliver within the central area]. You run all the time. If one order gets stuck, all the other orders down the line will be delayed. You'd be nervous.

Job resources – App-based information, training & motivation

The younger interviewees (0604-takeaway, 0528-takeaway, 0529-takeaway) seemed to be used to this lifestyle. They liked the gamification design and found it to be fulfilling experiences. Two takeaway couriers (0528-takeaway and 0529-takeaway) talked proudly about getting to the top of the ranking systems that showed their number of orders delivered. They were certainly motivated to work the hardest they could because of the publicised ranking and monetary rewards (Prabowo et al., 2019).

The apps were an important source of information, guidance and training for both parcel and takeaway interviewees. They found app-based training useful with learning outcomes monitored, i.e. tested by a small quiz. They mentioned certain measures, such as recording phone calls with customers or requesting signature could protect the couriers (0524-prcel).

"If there were problems with the delivery, and we followed the procedure (requested the customer to scan as a proof of delivery), this is a protection in itself." (0601-parcel)

Takeaway couriers relied heavily on the app to direct driving routes but also complained about errors with the embedded GPS system and the constantly shortening estimated delivery time. In other words, algorithmic management could be a source of information and support, or an effective mechanism for enforcing rules and controls. They could add to work stress by strengthening managerial control and performance monitoring, but also moderate the negative impacts of job demands and control by offering training and support (Woodcock, 2020; Kellogg et al., 2020). Ethical and responsible design of the algorithm with full consideration given to health and safety impact is key to amplify the positive impacts.

Economic precarity

The majority of Chinese couriers are migrant workers and the average level of education was not particularly high (Huang et al., 2021). The economic precarity meant that they were highly responsive to the punitive or incentive measures set by the platforms or companies.

Job demands -- Penalties

In addition to penalties due to loss of packages, late delivery or customer complaints that have been reported by existing literature (Liu and Friedman, 2021; Chan, 2021; Huang, 2022), there are many other situations when the couriers can be fined. These include cancelling orders, rejecting orders, not meeting dress code (uniform, helmet and food bag), clicked "Delivered" on the app before it was actually delivered etc. The fines can also be disproportionally high in comparison to the delivery fees. For example, the delivery fee for one takeaway order was in the range of ¥3-8 while the fine for a bad customer review could be ¥50 and a customer complaint ¥500 or more (0525-taekaway). In addition to taking all sorts of actions, including reckless driving to avoid fines, some of them (0517-takeaway, 0525-takeaway, 0510-takeaway-SH) used a coping strategy that was to repay the customer part of the food bill in exchange for the customer dropping the complaints. These were normally minor issues, such as soup spilled or coriander gone yellow (due to the temperature in the food bag). The rationale was that a complaint would cost them more.

Job resources – Bonus and awards

Most of the interviewees considered the income level was decent and many reported that their income was higher than in their previous jobs. In addition, they appreciated that hard work was rewarded (i.e. attendance bonus, workload and service quality related bonus) and some sense of fairness (i.e. weather subsidy). When asked whether it was a hardship to go out in bad weather, he replied, "not at all, as long as I can see the money in my account". The parcel site manager (0509-manager) also liked pay transparency and especially when payments came into his account the next day – this always cheered him up.

Economic incentives, whether penalties or rewards, appeared to be highly effective behavioural nudges for this occupation due to their multifaceted precarity. However, this could lead to high work

stress and safety issues such as reckless driving behaviour, even though they willingly accepted the challenges due to economic reasons (Davis and Hoyt, 2020).

Networked support

Networked support referred to support that arise through the workers' own collective actions or agency, as well as organised social networks initiated by the companies or platforms.

Personal resources – Worker networks

Networks that developed organically among the couriers was an important source of social support that could boost optimism and the sense of self-efficacy. Many joined this job because their relatives or friends from hometown recommended it and hence they were naturally part of the workers' network. They also connected with the communities they serve, through which they found a sense of community from fellow couriers and customers. When organizational support was inadequate especially in the gig employment mode, personal social networks played an important role in providing information, solutions, and support. They described good relationships with colleagues and customers.

> "I can't leave this place (his area) any more. We have known each other. We (his team) don't just deliver parcels, sometimes we helped customers to look after their kids, like a family. It's been a long time, I'm part of the community. It feels great." (0529-manager)
>
> "We have a WeChat group. We chat every day, send messages during breaks. We eat together, start shifts together and come back together (they lived in shared accommodation)… There are all sorts of screenshots in our (WeChat) group, sometimes it's unit price (delivery fee goes up when they achieved certain goals), sometime it's how much they made that day, all sorts screenshots, anyway, all sorts of show-off…we are all very good friends, came from the same home town." (0604-takeaway)

They told us a range of things they would do if they felt unhappy or stressed, included social activities, recreational activities, taking time off, sleep, rest and emotion release. Social activities and recreational activities with friends and colleagues were the most commonly used stress-release methods. Workers' social networks were not limited to the workplace and extended into a much bigger social group who shared similar work and living experiences. These formed the base for collective actions such as strikes or boycotting certain platforms as described in the literature about

solidarity among gig workers in multiple countries including China (Tassinari and Maccarrone, 2020; Lei, 2021; Chen, 2018).

Job demands – Extended social controls & lack of organizational support

Organization initiated networks, such as WeChat groups set up by site managers or app-embedded chat tools, was also a source of organizational support but mainly described by our interviewees as an extended controlling mechanism. This was particularly so among the platform-based couriers. App-based chat functions allowed the platform's customers service or site managers to initiate video calls and demanded instant response so that they could check whether the couriers were in full uniforms or had any other non-compliance behaviour. This was also discussed in Sun et al. (2021) as a surveillance and monitoring measure, while the difference being in 2018 the platforms relied on WeChat embedded mini programs to fulfil the function, when we interviewed the couriers in 2021 the function has been integrated into the apps. Takeaway interviewees (0510-takeaway, 0517-takeaway, 0518-takeaway, 0604-takkeaway) told us that they hardly met the site manager who was the group admin. The main function of group chat was to receive updates and information from the platforms. When couriers posted questions or requests in the chat, they rarely got a response.

Discussion

This research contributes to the literature by offering new insights into stress and coping among Chinese couriers in a digital era, informed by the JD-R model. We illustrated our findings around four major themes: customer sovereignty, algorithmic management, economic precarity and networked support, analysed through the lens of demands and resources. Figure 2 provides an illustration.



Figure 2 A JD-R model informed analysis of stress and coping among Chinese couriers

This research offers two key insights. First, the stressors (e.g. algorithmic control, customer incivility and behavioural nudges) could reinforce each other in real situations. Second, algorithmic management can be used to enforce managerial controls and to provide information and support. To what extent the couriers can benefit from the advancement of technology depends on their perception and digital literacy. Networks that arise from workers social life is a source of genuine support, while networks organized by the platforms or embedded in the apps offered limited support that was accompanied by extended social controls.

Power relations are embedded in platform business models that can be characterised with information asymmetries, non-transparency, risk shifting, costs apportioning and the omnipresence of technological control thereof (Veen et al., 2020; McDonald et al., 2021). However, couriers may respond differently to work stressors depending on their personal resources, perception and adaptive capacities (Li et al., 2022). Those who are younger, better educated and more experienced tend to be more able to learn and adapt to technology-driven changes and hence going down the motivational

path instead of the health-impairment path. Therefore, it is important to introduce a psychological model to account for such variations and doing so is the key contribution of this research.

Nevertheless, this is only an explorative study based on a limited number of interviews. The understanding may be nuanced and insightful about Chinse couriers, whereas it is limited in terms of generalizability. Future research can continue to develop the model and apply it to research designed with more predictive power.

Conclusion

The work environment for Chinese couriers is overall stressful. Multiple stressors are at work. They interact to reinforce each other, knitting a web of tight controls that the couriers found difficult to escape. However, the working conditions could work both to increase job demands as well as result in more resources and support to moderate the effects of those increased demands. The JD-R model offers a useful lens to obtain a nuanced understanding about this phenomenon and can help focus future research priorities, such as the development of policy and health interventions.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank professor Jiangmei Qin of China National Health Development Research Centre for her advices that helped refine the aims and objectives of the project.

Ethics The project was reviewed and approved by the University Research Ethics Committee at University of Manchester, Ref: 2021-8761-18609 and Ethics Review Committee at the Beijing Normal University, Ref: 20200113011. Consent to participation was verbally obtained before the commencement of the interviews.

Funding The project is funded by the MRC Public Health Intervention Development Scheme (PHIND). Grant Ref: MR/T027215/1. CA is supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre and NIHR Greater Manchester Patient Safety Translational Research Centre.

Competing interests PW is a director and shareholder of CareLoop Health Ltd, a for-profit company that develops and markets digital therapeutics for mental health conditions; and a director of Prism Life Ltd, a small research and consultancy company.

References

Abbott A (1993) The Sociology of Work and Occupations. *Annual Review of Sociology* 19(1): 187-209.

Bakker AB and Demerouti E (2018) Multiple levels in job demands-resources theory: Implications for employee well-being and performance. In: Diener E, Oishi S and Tay L (eds) *Handbook of well-being*. Salt Lake City: DEF Publishers Noba Scholar, pp.1-13.

Bakker AB, Demerouti E and Verbeke W (2004) Using the job demands-resources model to predict burnout and performance. *Human Resource Management* 43(1): 83-104.

Bakker AB, Rodríguez-Muñoz A and Sanz Vergel AI (2016) Modelling job crafting behaviours: Implications for work engagement. *Human Relations* 69(1): 169-189.

Barratt T, Goods C and Veen A (2020) 'I'm my own boss…': Active intermediation and 'entrepreneurial' worker agency in the Australian gig-economy. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 52(8): 1643-1661.

Braun V and Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3(2): 77-101.

Burtch G, Carnahan S and Greenwood BN (2016) Can You Gig It? An Empirical Examination of the Gig-Economy and Entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Proceedings* 2016(1).

Castleberry A and Nolen A (2018) Thematic analysis of qualitative research data: Is it as easy as it sounds? *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning* 10(6): 807-815.

Chan JW-l (2021) Hunger for profit: how food delivery platforms manage couriers in China. *Sociologias* 23(57): 58-82.

Chen JY (2018) Thrown under the bus and outrunning it! The logic of Didi and taxi drivers' labour and activism in the on-demand economy. *New Media & Society* 20(8): 2691-2711.

Cheng Z-f and Chen X (2020) A Study on the Influence of Improper Interaction on Couriers' Job Engagement—The Moderating Influence of Organization Support. *2020 4th International Seminar on Education, Management and Social Sciences (ISEMSS 2020).* Atlantis Press, 1218-1225.

Davis ME and Hoyt E (2020) A longitudinal study of piece rate and health: evidence and implications for workers in the US gig economy. *Public Health* 180: 1-9.

Demerouti E, Bakker AB, Nachreiner F, et al. (2001) The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 86(3): 499-512.

Freni-Sterrantino A and Salerno V (2021) A Plea for the Need to Investigate the Health Effects of Gig-Economy. *Frontiers in public health* 9: 638767-638767.

Gamble J (2007) The rhetoric of the consumer and customer control in China. *Work, employment and society* 21(1): 7-25.

Gandini A (2019) Labour process theory and the gig economy. *Human Relations* 72(6): 1039-1056.

Gregory K (2021) 'My Life Is More Valuable Than This': Understanding Risk among On-Demand Food Couriers in Edinburgh. *Work, employment and society* 35(2): 316-331.

Huang H (2022) Riders on the Storm: Amplified Platform Precarity and the Impact of COVID-19 on Online Food-delivery Drivers in China. *Journal of Contemporary China* 31(135): 351-365.

Huang L, Hao Z, Tian Y, et al. (2021) 新▪▪从▪人▪▪▪▪益保▪ 2020年度▪研▪告 (2020 annual research report on labour rights protection of new employment forms). Reportno. Report Number|, Date. Place Published|: Institution|.

Karasek RA (1979) Job Demands, Job Decision Latitude, and Mental Strain: Implications for Job Redesign. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 24(2): 285-308.

Karasek RA and Theorell Tr (1990) *Healthy work : stress, productivity, and the reconstruction of working life.* New York: Basic Books.

Kellogg KC, Valentine MA and Christin A (2020) Algorithms at Work: The New Contested Terrain of Control. *Academy of Management Annals* 14(1): 366-410.

Korczynski M and Evans C (2013) Customer abuse to service workers: an analysis of its social creation within the service economy. *Work, employment and society* 27(5): 768-784.

Kristensen TS, Hannerz H, Høgh A, et al. (2005) The Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire—a tool for the assessment and improvement of the psychosocial work environment. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*. DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.948.(6): 438-449.

Lei Y-W (2021) Delivering Solidarity: Platform Architecture and Collective Contention in China's Platform Economy. *American Sociological Review* 86(2): 279-309.

Li Y, Tuckey MR, Bakker A, et al. (2022) Linking objective and subjective job demands and resources in the JD-R model: A multilevel design. *Work & Stress*. DOI: 10.1080/02678373.2022.2028319. 1-28.

Lin Y 林, Li X 李 and Li Y 李 (2018) 北京市快•••••状及其影响因素−基于 1214 名快••的•• (Empirical Research on Employment and Overwork Situation of Couriers−Based on the Investigation of 1214 Couriers in Beijing). *中国流通••（China Business And Market）* 32(08): 79-88.

Lin Y 林 and Li Y 李 (2021) 心理•本、••支持感在••••与•度•••系中的作用−基于北京地区外••手的•• （The Role of Psychological Capital and Organizational Support in the Relationship between Occupational Stress and Overwork−Based on a Survey of Take-out Riders in Beijing）. *中国流通••（China Business And Market）* 35(4): 116-126.

Liu C and Friedman E (2021) Resistance under the Radar: Organization of Work and Collective Action in China's Food Delivery Industry. *The China Journal* 86: 68-89.

May C and Finch T (2009) Implementing, Embedding, and Integrating Practices: An Outline of Normalization Process Theory. *Sociology* 43(3): 535-554.

McDonald P, Williams P and Mayes R (2021) Means of Control in the Organization of Digitally Intermediated Care Work. *Work, employment and society* 35(5): 872-890.

Möhlmann M and Zalmanson L (2017) Hands on the wheel: Navigating algorithmic management and Uber drivers'. *Autonomy', in proceedings of the international conference on information systems (ICIS), Seoul South Korea*. 10-13.

Möhlmann M, Zalmanson L, Henfridsson O, et al. (2021) Algorithmic Management of Work on Online Labor Platforms: When Matching Meets Control. *MIS Quarterly* 45(4): 1999-2022.

Prabowo R, Sucahyo YG, Gandhi A, et al. (2019) Does Gamification Motivate Gig Workers? A Critical Issue in Ride-Sharing Industries. *2019 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and information Systems (ICACSIS)*. 343-348.

Purcell C and Brook P (2022) At Least I'm My Own Boss! Explaining Consent, Coercion and Resistance in Platform Work. *Work, employment and society* 36(3): 391-406.

Roczniewska M, Smoktunowicz E, Calcagni CC, et al. (2022) Beyond the individual: A systematic review of the effects of unit-level demands and resources on employee productivity, health, and well-being. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 27(2): 240-257.

Schaufeli WB and Taris TW (2014) A critical review of the job demands-resources model: Implications for improving work and health. *Bridging occupational, organizational and public health*. pp.43-68.

SIC (2021) 中国共享•••展•告 (China' s sharing economy development report) 2021. Reportno. Report Number|, Date. Place Published|: Institution|.

Siegrist J (1996) Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 1(1): 27-41.

Spencer D (2017) Work in and beyond the Second Machine Age: the politics of production and digital technologies. *Work, employment and society* 31(1): 142-152.

Sun P, Yujie Chen J and Rani U (2021) From Flexible Labour to 'Sticky Labour': A Tracking Study of Workers in the Food-Delivery Platform Economy of China. *Work, employment and society* August 2021 09500170211021570.

Tassinari A and Maccarrone V (2020) Riders on the Storm: Workplace Solidarity among Gig Economy Couriers in Italy and the UK. *Work, employment and society* 34(1): 35-54.

Tong A, Sainsbury P and Craig J (2007) Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 19(6): 349-357.

van den Heuvel M, Demerouti E and Peeters MCW (2015) The job crafting intervention: Effects on job resources, self-efficacy, and affective well-being. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology* 88(3): 511-532.

Veen A, Barratt T and Goods C (2020) Platform-Capital's 'App-etite' for Control: A Labour Process Analysis of Food-Delivery Work in Australia. *Work, employment and society* 34(3): 388-406.

Wang Z, Neitzel RL, Zheng W, et al. (2021) Road safety situation of electric bike riders: A cross-sectional study in courier and take-out food delivery population. *Traffic Injury Prevention* 22(7): 564-569.

Wharton AS (2009) The Sociology of Emotional Labor. *Annual Review of Sociology* 35(1): 147-165.

Wood AJ, Graham M, Lehdonvirta V, et al. (2019) Good Gig, Bad Gig: Autonomy and Algorithmic Control in the Global Gig Economy. *Work, employment & society : a journal of the British Sociological Association* 33(1): 56-75.

Woodcock J (2020) The Algorithmic Panopticon at Deliveroo: Measurement, Precarity, and the Illusion of Control. *Ephemera: Theory & Politics in Organization* 20(3): 67–95.

Zhou I (2020) Digital Labour Platforms and Labour Protection in China. Reportno. Report Number|, Date. Place Published|: Institution|.