Understanding the Utility of Endocardial Electrocardiographic Imaging in Epi-

Endocardial Mapping of 3D Reentrant Circuits

Wang: ECGi of 3D Reentrant Circuit

Maryam Toloubidokhti[a], Omar A Gharbia[b], Adityo Parkosa[c], Natalia Trayanova[c], Saman

Nazarian[d], John L. Sapp[e] , Linwei Wang[a]

[a] College of Computing and Information Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology,

Rochester, NY, USA

[b] Department of Otolaryngology, School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City,

UT, USA

[c] Department of Biomedical Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

[d] School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA

[e] Department of Medicine, QEII Health Sciences Centre, Halifax, NS, Canada

Abstract

Background: Studies of VT mechanisms are largely based on a 2D portrait of reentrant

circuits on one surface of the heart. This oversimplifies the 3D circuit that involves the depth

of the myocardium. Simultaneous epicardial and endocardial (epi-endo) mapping was shown

to facilitate a 3D delineation of VT circuits, which is however difficult via invasive mapping.

Objective: This study investigates the capability of noninvasive epicardial-endocardial

electrocardiographic imaging (ECGI) to elucidate the 3D construct of VT circuits,

emphasizing the differentiation of epicardial, endocardial, and intramural circuits and to

determine the proximity of mid-wall exits to the epicardial or endocardial surfaces.

Methods: 120-lead ECGs of VT in combination with subject-specific heart-torso geometry

are used to compute unipolar electrograms (CEGM) on ventricular epicardium and

endocardia. Activation isochrones are constructed, and the percentage of activation within

VT cycle length is calculated on each surface. This classifies VT circuits into 2D (surface

only), uniform transmural, nonuniform transmural, and mid-myocardial (focal on surfaces).

Furthermore, the endocardial breakthrough time was accurately measured using Laplacian

eigenmaps, and by correlating the delay time of the epi-endo breakthroughs, the relative

distance of a mid-wall exit to the epicardium or the endocardium surfaces was identified.

Results: We analyzed 23 simulated and in-vivo VT circuits on post-infarction porcine hearts.

In simulated circuits, ECGI classified 21% as 2D and 78% as 3D: 82.6% of these were

correctly classified. The relative timing between epicardial and endocardial breakthroughs

was correctly captured across all cases. In in-vivo circuits, ECGI classified 25% as 2D and

75% as 3D: in all cases, circuit exits and entrances were consistent with potential critical

isthmus delineated from combined LGE-MRI and catheter mapping data.

Conclusions: ECGI epi-endo mapping has the potential for fast delineation of 3D VT

circuits, which may augment detailed catheter mapping for VT ablation.

Keywords: 3D Ventricular tachycardia, Electrocardiographic imaging, Endocardial

Breakthrough

Introduction

Most life-threatening ventricular tachycardia (VT) episodes involve reentrant circuits

facilitated by narrow strands of surviving tissue in areas of patchy scar or at scar borders

Figure 1: Illustration of a 3D reentrant circuit and its epicardial and endocardial observations. A: A mid-wall exit exhibits as a partial rotation at one surface and a focal activation at the other surface. B. Illustration of a simplified relation between the breakthrough times on both surfaces and the exit site.

(1,2). Current analyses of the morphology of reentrant circuits primarily rely on catheter

mapping on one surface of the ventricles (epicardium or endocardium). This provides a two-

dimensional (2D) simplified view and interpretation of the morphology of the reentrant

circuit, assuming all of its critical components to be on one surface.

The spatiotemporal construct of a reentrant circuit, however, is naturally 3D involving mid-

myocardium wall. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the electrical current may exit from the protected

central isthmus anywhere in the thickness of the myocardial wall. After traveling across the

wall, it then breakthroughs to both surfaces of the wall. While observing the earliest site of

activation on the surface where measurement is taken (*e.g.,* via catheter mapping), it is

important to note that – depending on the 3D construct of the reentrant circuit – the observed

surface breakthrough sites may or may not be near the actual exit sites both in transmural

depth and surface distances. In an inspiring recent study by Tung et al (3), simultaneous

epicardial and endocardial (epi-endo) catheter mapping was used to show that the information

on the two surfaces can be combined to facilitate *qualitative* inferences about mid-myocardial

activation of 3D reentrant circuits (3). Such knowledge can be important for informing

ablation strategies, but unfortunately is mostly missing in the current clinical practice due to

the difficulty to obtain simultaneous high-resolution epi-endo catheter mapping, especially

for VT episodes where up to 90% of the circuits are too short-lived to permit detailed

mapping (2,4).

Noninvasive electrocardiographic imaging (ECGI) – a family of computational approaches to

reconstructing the temporal course of cardiac electrical sources from body-surface

electrocardiograms (ECGs) and patient-specific heart-torso geometry – offers a natural

candidate to fill this critical gap. However, two critical questions remain. First, despite its

widely-explored use for a variety of cardiac arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation (5) and

premature ventricular contraction (6), ECGI techniques have been mainly accepted for their

epicardial imaging abilities: the clinical feasibility and utility of endocardial ECGI solutions

remain less established despite numerous technical studies (14,15). Second, the study of

ECGI in ventricular reentrant circuits have been mostly limited to epicardial solutions

including both torso-tank (7,8) and human studies (9–12): notably, a recent study has shown

that epicardial ECGI is able to localize the origin of VT circuits with sufficient accuracy to

support targeted mapping for ablation (13). Only a small number of studies have investigated

the potential of simultaneous epi-endocardial ECGI (14,15) in mapping reentrant VT,

although remaining at qualitative inspections of the activation pattern or localization of the

site of earliest activation on one of the ventricular surfaces. It has not been yet investigated

whether or how epicardial-endocardial ECGI solutions may be combined to elucidate the 3D

construct of VT reentrant circuits.

In this study, we will provide answers to these two open questions by examining the

feasibility of inferring the 3D construct of a reentrant circuit from what ECGI can reconstruct

on both the epicardial and endocardial surfaces of the wall after breakthroughs. The

contribution of this study is two-fold. First, with a deep dive into ECGI-reconstructed

unipolar electrograms at the endocardium, we show that – while the spatiotemporal amplitude

of the reconstructed signals shows significant discrepancies from the ground truth, the phase

of the signal in the VT cycle is accurately preserved. We further show that by leveraging

Laplacian eigenmaps to quantify the progression of endocardial activation in a two-

dimensional space, we were able to Identify the timing of endocardial breakthrough from

endocardial ECGI solutions. These findings present one of the first steps towards discovering

clinically relevant information hidden within endocardial ECGI solutions, going beyond

signal amplitudes. Second, we take a step further built on the results presented in (3) to

perform a mechanistic study to use ECGI to infer the *unobserved* mid-wall components of a

3D circuit from the *observed* activity after breakthroughs at the two surfaces of the wall.

Following (3), we first show that the gross epicardial and endocardial activation patterns,

based on the percentage of circuit activation observed on each surface, can be combined to

categorize the reentrant circuit into 2D, 3D, and mid-myocardial constructs.

Due to the fundamental challenges to obtain either clinical (or even experiemntal data) for the

transmural morphology of a reentrant circuit, we carry out our investigations in two types of

data with different levels of reference data available. First, we leverage 23 previously-

published high-fidelity simulations of 3D reentrant circuits virtually induced on post-

infarction porcine model (16), to support a mechanistic study where detailed 3D morphology

of the reentrant circuits is available. We then extend the investigations to four *in-vivo* animal

models where – although 3D mapping data of the reentrant circuit is not available – potential

critical isthmus for the reentrant circuits and its transmural depth are estimated from

combined analysis of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (CE-MRI) and

electroanatomic mapping (EAM) data.

Experimental results from the *in-silico* mechanistic study showed that activations observed

on the two surfaces – especially the timing and distance of the breakthrough sites – were well

correlated with the 3D locations of the exit sites of the reentrant circuits. On these data,

combining epicardial and endocardial ECGI activation patterns were able to correctly

characterize the categories of 3D reentrant circuits in 83% of the cases, and combining ECGI

epi-endocardial breakthrough timing was able to successful differentiate sub-endocardial

versus sub-epicardial exit sites in 100% of the cases. In *in-vivo* animal models, the epicardial

and endocardial breakthrough time as discovered by ECGI was also to correctly differentiate

the one sub-epicardial, one sub-endocardial, and two mid-wall circuits as suggested by the

combined MRI-EAM analysis, with the locations of these breakthroughs qualitatively

corroborated by MRI and EAM data.

These results provided evidence that ECGI may be a viable tool for providing noninvasive

information at the epicardial and endocardial surfaces – especially in the form of the timing

and location of the breakthrough sites at these surfaces – that can be combined to infer the 3D

construct of a reentrant circuit. This may have a potentil to inform ablation strategies, such as

epicardial versus endocardial access or the appropriate penetration depth necessary for an

ablation lesion, prior to the procedural.

Figure2: ECGI workflow. Reconstruction of heart electrical potentials from body surface potentials by obtaining body surface recordings from 120 leads placed on the subject's body and generating heart and torso meshes from MRI images.

Methods

Epi-Endo Electrocardiographic Imaging

We consider ECGI for reconstructing the time sequence of extracellular potential (*i.e.*,

unipolar electrograms) throughout the epicardial and endocardial surfaces (15). By

representing the bi-ventricular model with a closed surface as illustrated in Fig. 2, the

relationship between the heart-surface electrogram and body-surface ECG is governed by a

Laplace's equation defined by the quasi-static electromagnetism (17). When solved

numerically on discrete surface bi-ventricular and torso meshes, we obtain a forward matrix

H using open-source SCIRun toolkit (19) that relates unipolar potential $\phi_v(t)$ at the

ventricular surface to body-surface potential $\phi_b(t)$ though $\phi_b(t) = H\phi_v(t)$ at any time

instant. Given a time sequence of $\phi_b(t)$, we solve $\phi_v(t)$ c independently at each time instant

by solving the second-order Tikhonov regularization (18)

149
$$\widehat{\phi_v}(t) = \underset{\phi_v}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\left\|\phi_b(t) - H\phi_v(t)\right\|_2^2 + \lambda\left\|L\phi_v(t)\right\|_2^2\right\}, t = 1,2\ldots.,T$$

where the first term minimizes the error of fitting body-surface ECG data, and the second

term regularizes the solution. Here, the regularization matrix **L** is chosen to be the surface

Laplacian operator and the regularization parameter λ is tuned empirically. The inverse

calculation was done using a custom Matlab routine.

3D Categorization of Reentrant Circuits

Following (3), we simultaneously quantify the activation isochrones on both the epicardial

and endocardial surfaces, and use their relation to categorize the observed reentrant circuit.

To extract activation isochronal maps, we first apply the Hilbert transform to heart-surface

EGMs to obtain the instantaneous phase signal at each spatial location as described in (20).

The activation wave-front at any time instant is then determined as the location with phase =

$\pi/2$ as suggested by (15,21). From the isochronal maps, we locate the region where rotations

of activation can be seen and identify the portion of rotation – out of the full cycle of VT –

that is visible on each surface. The activation gap, as defined in (3), is the period of time

when activation of the rotation is missing from a surface. If a rotation is not at least partially

visible on a surface, the activation is considered focal on that. Finally, we combine the

isochronal maps on both surfaces to categorize the 3D characteristics of a reentrant circuits

following the definition used in (3):

• 2D circuits: A complete rotation without any activation gap (all isochronal colors) is

seen on one surface, and focal activation is seen on the other surface.

• 3D non-uniform circuits: Partial rotations with activation gaps are observed on one or

both surfaces.

• 3D uniform circuits: Complete rotations are observed on both surfaces. This was not

observed in the data used in this study.

Figure3: Analyzing the ECGI solutions on epicardium and endocardium. A) Comparing ECGI pattern and amplitude on epicardium B) Comparing ECGI solution pattern and amplitude on endocardium C) example of identifying the endocardial breakthrough time from the Laplacian eigenmap of the ECGI solution.

•   Mid-myocardial circuit: Focal activation is observed on both surfaces.

Identifying the Timing and Location of Endocardial Breakthrough Sites

Epicardial ECGI solutions are generally consistent in reconstructing the amplitude of the

potential signals in the time domain, as illustrated in Fig. 3A. In comparison, endocardial

ECGI solutions tend to create artificial macroscopic rotation patterns that exhibit large

discrepancy from the actual potential signal, suggesting significant errors in the

reconstruction of the signals in the time domain as illustrated in Fig. 3B. Does this suggest

that ECGI solutions are completely invalid on the endocardial surfaces?

A closer look at the endocardial ECGI solutions reveals that, while the amplitude of the

signal $\phi_v(t)$ are incorrectly reconstructed at each time instant t, a notable pattern of slow

progression followed by fast progression is consistently observed in each VT cycle in the

reconstructed $\phi_v(t)$ sequence on the endocardium. We hypothesize that the phase of this high

dimensional signal $\phi_v(t)$ – its progression in the periodic cycle of the VT beats – is captured

in ECGI solutions, and that the time instant following the slow progression can be identified

as the time of breakthrough on the endocardium.

To extract the phase of $\phi_v(t)$ for discrete snapshots of the temporal signal at t = 0, 1, …T,

we leverage Laplacian eigenmaps (LE) (26) as a nonlinear dimensionality reduction method

to obtain a low-dimensional representation of the spatial-temporal signal sequence of ECGI

solutions on the endocardium. The primary objective of using LE is to explore and uncover

potential patterns in the spatiotemporal activation sequences over time by visualizing this

activity in a lower dimensional space. The method constructs a graph using pairwise

distances between data points and a heatmap kernel, to capture relationships between the

values of the neighbor nodes. Subsequently, the graph Laplacian matrix is computed and

subjected to Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). Through the SVD, the significance of the

manifold's coordinates is determined and ranked, from which we then use directions

associated with the two largest eigenvalues to preserve features of the original signals in a

significantly reduced space.

The resulting two-dimensional coordinate space, termed the "LE space", represents a

manifold or a trajectory of the endocardial ECGI solution pattern over time where, as

illustrated in Fig. 3C, the reconstructed full endocardial potential at time frame t is

represented by a unique position on the manifold in the two-dimensional LE space and each

"loop" represents the progression of $\phi_v(t)$ within a monomorphic VT cycle. As shown, the

duration of slow progression can be characterized with narrowly spaced points whereas the

duration of rapid progression can be characterized with sparsely spaced points. We thus use

the distance between the 2D points, i.e., $v(k) = ||d(k) - d(k-1)||^2 1)||,$ as a surrogate

measure of the speed of progression (color coded in Fig 3C), and identify the time of

endocardial breakthrough as the time instant at which $\phi_v(t)$ exits from the duration of slow

progression, as marked by the red cross in Fig 3C.

From Surface Breakthrough Sites to Exit Sites Beneath the Surface

We further investigate if the breakthrough sites observed on the two surfaces bounding the

myocardial wall can be combined to reveal the location of circuit exits inside the wall. Fig.

1B illustrates our intuition: that the relative timing and location of the breakthrough sites on

the two surfaces of the wall reflects how the electrical current travels to the two surfaces after

exiting from the scar. To quantify this, we proceed in two steps.

As a primary focus, we attempt to correlate the delay time of the two breakthroughs to the

relative proximity of the mid-wall exits to either of the two surfaces bounding the wall. We

primarily focus on differentiating whether a mid-wall exit is closer to the epicardium or the

endocardium, where the latter can be either left endocardium or right endocardium depending

on the location of the reentrant circuits. To do so, we record the time difference between the

epicardial and endocardial breakthroughs dt = $t_{epi} - t_{endo}$, and study the use of dt for

differentiating sub-epicardial/sub-endocardial exit sites. The reference sub-epicardial versus

sub-endocardial location of the actual mid-wall exit is determined based on the actual mid-

wall location of the exit in simulation data, and by the MRI and/or ablation evidence in

animal and human data. We hypothesize that a negative dt, with an earlier breakthrough at

the endocardium, indicates a sub-endocardial exit site, a positive dt with an earlier epicardial

breakthrough indicates a sub-epicardial exit site, and a dt close to 0 indicate a mid-wall exit

site. We confirm this hypothesis by the epicardial-endocardial breakthrough time identified in

the simulated reentrant circuits, and then test the ability of epi-endocardial ECGI solutions in

capturing this.

Materials and Data

Simulation Reentrant Circuits

The use of simulation data, while associated with limitations pertaining to validity in

comparison to real data, provides unique availability of detailed 3D data of the reentrant

circuits that is not possible in current experimental or clinical settings. Here, we utilize

reentrant circuits virtually induced on detailed ventricular model with high-resolution scar

morphology from a previous study (16). As detailed in (16), simulation data were generated

on eight chronically infarcted porcine hearts. Detailed models of the intact large animal

ventricles were constructed from *in-vivo* MRI images, with image-based fiber orientation and

detailed scar geometry obtained at a voxel size of 0.03125 mm^3. Electrical wave propagation

was modeled by the monodomain formulation, and the simulations were performed using the

software package CARP (CardioSolv, LLC) on a parallel computing platform (16).

Monomorphic VTs were induced in all the hearts using a clinical S1-S2-S3 programed

electrical stimulation protocol (22), applied from 27 pacing sites selected on the basis of a

modified American Heart Association segment designation. A total of 23 sustained VTs

(lasting for at least 2s after the last pacing stimulus) were induced and used in this study. For

each sustained VT, the pathway of the reentrant circuit in the form of a string loop was

identified by connecting seed points along the fastest part of the 3D activation of the circuit

as described in (16),

To simulate body-surface ECGs corresponding to each reentrant circuit, each animal heart

was placed in a human torso model consisting of a triangular mesh with 120 vertices

representing the positions of surface ECG leads. Epi-endocardial extracellular potential was

simulated from each reentrant circuit solving the Poisson's equation underlying the quasi-

static electromagnetism using a custom software (23). This served as the cardiac source

model and used to generate the 120-lead body-surface ECG using the forward operator

specific to each heart-torso pair constructed using the SCIRun software (19). The surface ECG

was added with 20-dB Gaussian noise and input for ECGI reconstruction of epi-endo EGMs.

Chronic Post-Infarction Animal Models of Reentrant Circuits

Four swine models, weighed 35-45 kg, were used in a study protocol in accordance with the

Johns Hopkins University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (16,24). Prior to the

invasive procedure of MI creation, swine were anesthetized with mechanical ventilation using

a combination of tiletamine, zolazepam, ketamine, and xylazine and maintained under

sedation using 1-2 % isoflurane. In all animals, MI was created by inserting a guiding

catheter into the left coronary artery and occluding the left anterior descending (LAD)

coronary artery using an angioplasty balloon for two hours.

At approximately eight to ten weeks post-MI, DCE-CMR was performed in all swine models

using a 3-T scanner (Prisma, Siemens Healthcare) in 20-30 minutes after injection of

Gadopentetate dimeglumine (0.20 mmol/kg, Magnevist, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). A

free-breathing navigator-gated three-dimensional inversion recovery T1w sequence was used

with typical imaging parameters as: inversion time = 400 ms, flip angle = 25º, repetition time

= 5.4 ms, echo time = 2.7 ms, reconstructed pixel size = 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 mm with interpolation

in the slice direction, 12 segments per imaging window, GRAPPA acceleration factor (R) =

2, FOV = 300 x 220 mm, matrix = 272 x 200, bandwidth = 200 Hz/Pixel, scan time = 15-20

min.

Within one week after DCE-CMR, the electrophysiological study was performed using the

NavX mapping system (EnSite Velocity, St. Jude Medical). Prior to the electrophysiology

study, 18 strips of 120 disposable radiolucent electrodes were placed on the swine torso

following the standard Dalhousie mapping protocol (25). Approximately ten surface

electrodes were removed to accommodate the placement of NavX pads. The rest of the

electrodes remained attached throughout the electrophysiology study to record surface ECG

during induced VT.

In heparinized animals, endocardial mapping of the left ventricle was first performed during

sinus rhythm via a retrograde approach using a duodecapolar (20-electrode) catheter

(interelectrode spacing = 1-2.5-1 mm, electrode size = 1 mm, AfocusII, St. Jude Medical,

Minnetonka, MN) with the NavX mapping system (EnSite Velocity, St. Jude Medical). In

addition, a decapolar catheter was advanced from the right jugular vein to the coronary sinus.

Programmed ventricular stimulation was performed to induce VT from two right ventricular

sites (outflow tract and apex), with up to three extrastimuli decremented to ventricular

refractoriness or 250 ms at two drive trains (600 and 400 ms). If VT is induced, mapping

during VT was attempted.

Results

Results on Simulated 3D Reentrant Circuits

The following information were extracted from each reentrant circuit, both simulated "ground

truth" and epi-endocardial ECGI solutions: 1) the 3D categorization of reentrant circuits

based on qualitative isochrone analysis, 2) the time of epicardial and endocardial

breakthrough, and 2) the location of epicardial and endocardial breakthrough (when

possible). On the simulated "ground truth" of the reentrant circuit, the central isthmus (if

observable) and exits were also identified in 3D to differentiate sub-epicardial, sub-

endocardial, and mid-wall circuit exits.

Below, we first describe the general behavior of ECGI solutions in reconstructing the VT

morphology at the epicardial and endocardial surfaces. We then delve into examining the

extent to which quantitative metrics – especially the time and location of the surface

breakthrough sites – may be extracted from these surface reconstructions to inform 3D

location of the reentrant circuits, both in simulated *ground truth* and in ECGI reconstructions.

Epicardial ECGI solutions: Across all 23 cases, on the epicardium, ECGI was able to

reconstruct the electrograms with a reasonable accuracy of spatial correlation coefficients of

0.89 ± 0.03, temporal correlation coefficients of 0.90 ± 0.02, and a relative mean squared

errors (RMSE) of 0.31 ± 0.13. In all 23 cases, a clear site of the earliest activation could be

observed at the epicardium, and was selected as the site of epicardial breakthrough. This

resulted in a good accuracy in localizing epicardial breakthrough sites, with 14.17 ± 10.78

mm Euclidean distance error in spatial location and 0.6 ± 0.55ms error in timing for sub-

epicardial cases, and 9.39 ± 5.67mm in spatial location and 0.66 ± 0.59ms in timing for sub-

Figure 4: Timing (A) and Localization (B) error of Epicardial-breakthrough categorized by sub-epicardial and sub-endocardial groups.

endocardial cases, as summarized in Fig. 4. This suggests that the quality of ECGI epicardial

solutions was little effected by the intramural location of a reentrant circuit.   Diving deeper

into the reconstructed epicardial solutions, we noted several limitations. First, when complete

circuit including the protected activity within the critical isthmus can be observed in the

simulated epicardial data, ECGI reconstruction typically missed such local protected

activation shown Fig. 5A. Second, in a small number of cases, ECGI artificially "closed the

loop" of the reentrant circuit when the simulated circuit was only partially observed on the

epicardium, as illustrated in Fig. 5B. Finally, even as ECGI closely captured the epicardial

breakthrough sites, these sites may be far away from the actual exit if the intramural circuits

are further beneath the epicardium, as illustrated in Fig. 5C. As a result of these traits,

epicardial ECGI alone – despite good performance in capturing gross activation patterns and

localizing the site of breakthrough – may have limited ability to inform the 3D construct of

the reentrant circuit and its critical isthmus beneath the surface.

Figure 5: (A) Missing isthmus in ECGI (B) Artificially closing the loop (C) Epicardial breakthrough far from the circuit's exit site.

<u>Endocardial ECGI solutions:</u> Across the 23 cases, ECGI solutions on the endocardium was in

general poorer in reconstructing the gross activation patterns compared to its epicardial

counterpart, with a spatial correlation coefficient of 0.30 ± 0.11, temporal correlation

coefficients of 0.46 ± 0.14, and a relative mean squared errors (RMSE) of 0.31 ± 0.13. In all

cases, there was no evident site of the earliest activation on the endocardium. Instead, an

artificial macroscopic rotation pattern was consistently created in all cases, as illustrated in

both example A and example B in Fig. 6.

Despite the dismal picture the above observations may suggest for endocardial ECGI

solutions, accurate timing for detecting endocardial breakthroughs was obtained with LE-

based embedding of the temporal trajectory of endocardial activations as described earlier:

Figure 6: Illustration of the artificial macroscopic rotation pattern created on the endocardial surface by ECGI solutions.

over the 23 cases, the error in timing endocardial breakthroughs = 0.66±0.59 for sub-

endocardial cases and 0.6 ±0.55 for sub-epicardial cases. In terms of locating the endocardial

breakthrough sites, no clear signature was discovered in the majority of the cases. Below, we

examine the utility of these endocardial breakthrough measures – despite the overall low

fidelity of activation patterns – in informing the 3D construct of reentrant circuits when

combined with epicardial measures.

3D Categorization of Reentrant Circuits: Fig. 7A summarizes the 3D categorization of the 23

simulated reentrant circuits. Out of the 23 circuits, 2D circuits were observed in 26% of the

cases (n=6) on the endocardium, whereas 3D circuits were found in 74% of the cases (n=17).

23% (n=4) of these 3D circuits were partially observed on only one surface, and one of the

circuits was mid-myocardial. None of the reentrant circuits was 3D transmurally uniform.

In ECGI reconstruction of these reentrant circuits as summarized in Fig. 7B, 22% were 2D

endocardial (n=5), and the rest (78%) were categorized as 3D circuits (n=18). 33% of the 3D

circuits were partially observed on only one surface (n=6), and two circuits were

reconstructed to be mid-myocardial. None of the ECGI-reconstructed reentrant circuits

was 3D transmurally uniform either.

Figure 7: Inferring the 3D category of reentrant circuits using epi-endocardial activation patterns.

Class	Sensitivity	Specificity
3D	0.94	0.86
2D	0.67	0.94

ECGI Class	True Class		
	3D	2D	Mid/Focal
3D	15	1	0
2D	0	4	1
Mid/Focal	1	1	0

Figure 8: Case-by-case comparison of ECGI recognition of 3D category of reentrant circuits versus simulated ground truth.

Fig. 8A details case-by-case evaluations of ECGI's ability to recognize the 3D

categorization of reentrant circuits against the simulated ground truth. As shown, ECGI

reconstruction correctly preserved the 3D categorization of the reentrant circuits in 82% of

the cases (n=19). Out of six 2D reentrant circuits, four (66%) were correctly reconstructed

by ECGI. Out of the 17 3D reentrant circuits, 15 (88%) were correctly reconstructed by

ECGI. In the four incorrectly reconstructed cases, one mid-myocardial circuit was

reconstructed to be 2D endocardial, one endocardial circuit was reconstructed to be mid-

myocardial and one reconstructed to be 3D nonuniform, and one 3D non-uniform circuit

was reconstructed to be mid-myocardial. Fig. 8B-C summarizes the sensitivity,specificity,

and confusion matrix of ECGI in reconstructing 2D and 3D non-uniform cases (mid-

myocardial and 3D uniform cases were excluded out of the calculation because of the small

number or absence of examples).

<u>Differentiating sub-endocardial vs. sub-epicardial exits by the timing of epicardial and</u>

<u>endocardial breakthroughs</u>: Fig. 9 summarizes the quantitative results of using the delay

between epicardial and endocardial breakthroughs in all circuits to differentiate the seven

sub-epicardial and 16 sub-endocardial exits, where the latter could be either left or right

endocardium as summarized earlier. In simulated data, all reentrant circuits with

subendocardial exits were all associated with an epicardial breakthrough lagging the

endocardial breakthrough; circuits with sub-epicardial exits were all associated with an

epicardial breakthrough preceding the endocardial breakthrough. This was consistently

preserved by ECGI in all cases in terms of the sign of the epi-endocardial breakthrough

timing delay, as show in Fig. 9 with an absolute error of 1.65 ± 1.46. This provides initial

evidence that the relative order of epi-endocardial breakthroughs in ECGI solutions can

Figure 9: using the delay between epicardial and endocardial breakthroughs to identify the closer surface to the exit site.

consistently be used to differentiate whether the circuit exit was closer to the epicardial or

endocardial surfaces.

Results on Chronic Post-Infarction Animal Models of Reentrant Circuits.

A total of four reentrant VT circuits induced in four animal models were studied. From the

DCE-MRI images, ADAS-VT software (ADAS 3D, Galgo Medical, Barcelona, Spain) was

used to delineate possible slow-conducting channels within the myocardial scar. In the

meantime, *in-vivo* EAMs were analyzed to identify local abnormal EGMs such as double

potential, delayed potential, and fractionated potential obtained during sinus rhythm, and

mid-diastolic potential or activation maps obtained during induced VT. These two sources of

data combined provided reference data of the locations of potential critical isthmus for each

of the induced VT circuits. As summarized through Figs 10-13, despite the limited number of

cases, a variety of intramural distributions spanning from sub-endocardial, mid-wall, to sub-

Figure 10: MRI, EAM and ECGI analysis of animal data, case1 with a sub-endocardial anterior septal circuit.

epicardial isthmus were observed among the four reentrant circuits. The analyses of ECGI

results and MRI/EAM data are carried out by independent operators.

Case 1 – sub-endocardial anterior septal circuits: In case 1, DCE-MRI identified conducting

channels primarily identified at 10-30% layer of the LV septum at the anterior-septal region,

corroborated by the higher-voltage channels detected within the low-voltage area in native-

rhythm voltage mapping (Fig 10.A). Fractionated potential was further detected at septal mid-

basal-anterior wall during native rhythm electroanatomical mapping (Fig 10.B). In ECGI

solutions, the epicardial activation map suggested a breakthrough at mid anterior-septal

region of the LV followed by a local clockwise rotation of activation as illustrated by the

activation map and selected electrocardiograms (Fig 10.C). ECGI endocardial breakthrough

was identified by LE at 40 ms prior to the epicardial breakthrough, followed by

Figure 11: MRI, EAM and ECGI analysis of animal data, case2 with a sub-epicardial apical circuit.

also a clockwise rotation with a spatially meandering anchor around the same area on the

endocardium (Fig 10.C). This epi-endocardial breakthrough delay suggested a sub-

endocardial circuit exit located at mid-basal anterior-septal region of the LV, consistent with

the potential channels revealed by combined MRI-EAM analysis.

Case 2 – sub-epicardial apical circuits: In case 2, DCE-MRI suggested dense

subendocardial scar and potential channels were only detected at 80-90% sub-epicardial layer

of the LV (Fig. 11.A). On the EAM, delayed potential was detected around apical septum

during native-rhythm mapping, while mid-diastolic and early-systolic potential were detected

around apical septum during VT (Fig. 11.B). In ECGI solutions, epicardial activation

suggested a breakthrough at the apical region of the LV septum followed by a focal apex-to-

base activation as illustrated by the activation map and selected electrocardiograms (Fig.

Figure 12: MRI, EAM and ECGI analysis of animal data, case3 with a mid-wall mid-basal anterior-septal circuit.

12C). ECGI endocardial breakthrough was identified by the LE at 40-50 ms after the

epicardial breakthrough, with a counterclockwise rotation anchored on the mid-septum of the

LV endocardium (Fig. 11C). This epi-endocardial breakthrough delay suggested a sub-

epicardial critical channel, located at apical septum of the LV. This was consistent with one

of the only two DCE-MRI derived channels at 90% layer (*i.e.*, sub-epicardial layer) of the LV

septum and the EAM data.

Case 3-4: – Mid-wall mid-septum and anterior circuits: In case 3, DCE-MRI suggested

apical channels at the mid-layer (40-50%) of the LV, and mid-basal lateral-anterior region

(60-90%) of the LV septum – the latter consistent with higher-potential channel revealed

within the low-voltage region during native-rhythm voltage mapping (Fig 12 A).

Additionally, double potential was detected at basal and mid-anterior LV during native-

rhythm mapping, while mid-diastolic potential around the same region was detected during

VT (Fig 12 B). In ECGI solutions, epicardial solutions suggested a breakthrough at mid-basal

Figure 13: MRI, EAM and ECGI analysis of animal data, case4 with a mid-wall circuit located at basal lateral-anterior region of the LV septum.

anterior-septal region of the LV followed by a local clockwise rotation, as illustrated by the

activation map and selected electrocardiograms (Fig 12 C). ECGI endocardial breakthrough

was identified at 5 ms after epicardial breakthrough, with a counter-clockwise rotation with a

meandering anchor in mid-to-apical septal region of the LV endocardium. This epi

endocardial breakthrough delay suggested a mid-wall to sub-epicardial circuit exit located at

mid-basal anterior-septal region of the LV, consistent with a series of potential channels

identified at 60-90% layer channels identified by combined MRI-EAM analysis.

In case 4, DCE-MRI again suggested relative dense scar with basal lateral-anterior channels,

corroborated by the relatively higher-voltage channel identified within the low voltage area

during native-rhythm voltage mapping (Fig. 13A). VT activation map obtained by EAM

suggested an early activation at mid-anterior region of the LV septum and an apex-to-base

activation pattern at the inferior region of the LV (Fig. 13B). In ECGI solutions, epicardial

activation showed a breakthrough at mid-basal lateral-anterior region of the LV septum,

leading to a local clockwise rotation near the mid-basal anterior-septal region as illustrated by

the activation map and selected electrocardiograms (Fig. 13C). ECGI endocardial

breakthrough was identified by the LE almost simultaneously with the epicardial

breakthrough, with a clockwise rotation and a meandering anchor at a similar location to that

on the epicardium. This epi-endocardial breakthrough delay suggested a mid-wall critical

channel located at basal lateral-anterior region of the LV septum, consistent with the

combined MRI-EAM analysis. Furthermore, note that in this case, the endocardial activation

map also showed an early activation at mid-anterior region of the LV septum and an apex-to-

base rotation on the inferior region, as that mapped during VT *in-vivo.*

Overall, across the four reentrant circuits, it was observed that epicardial ECGI solutions

revealed breakthrough sites and general rotation patterns qualitatively consistent with the

general location of MRI-EAM suggested sites of critical isthmuses. Details on the activation

maps, however, were not sufficient to reveal further information about the potential entrance

sites of the local reentrant circuits nor the exact pinpointing of the exit sites, potentially

because the signals of the local reentrant circuit was dominated by the global activation

pattern throughout the ventricles. Validity on the activation pattern of ECGI endocardial

solutions or breakthrough sites was less conclusive. Despite these limitations, the relative

timing of epi-endocardial ECGI breakthroughs consistently revealed the proximity of the

potential circuit exits to the epicardial or endocardial surfaces, as supported by the combined

MRI-EAM analyses – suggesting a potential clinical value for epi-endocardial ECGI in

informing ablation strategies.

Conclusions

While the use of simulation data warrants further translations to experimental or clinical data,

it enabled mechanistic investigations of the relation between detailed 3D morphology of

reentrant circuits and their observations on epi-endo surfaces. The extension to *in-vivo* animal

model and human subject data further provided a proof of concept that simultaneous epi-endo

mapping, especially the timing and location of epi-endocardial breakthrough sites, may

provide important information for inferring the 3D morphology of the reentrant circuits.

ECGI, as a noninvasive technique for rapid and simultaneous epi-endo mapping, may play an

important role in revealing the hidden components of a 3D reentrant circuits that is currently

not possible. This has potential to enable further 3D mechanistic studies of VT morphologies

or guiding ablation strategies in a way that is not possible with current practice.

Funding Sources

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health under grant number

R15HL140500, the National Science Foundation under grant number ACI-1350374, and the

Cardiac Arrhythmia Network of Canada.

References

1. Chillou C de, Lacroix D, Klug D, Magnin-Poull I, Marquie C, Messier M, et al. Isthmus

    characteristics of reentrant ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction.

    Circulation. 2002;105:726–31.

2. Wissner E, Stevenson WG, Kuck KH. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in

    ischaemic and non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy: where are we today? A clinical review.

    Eur Heart J. 2012 Jun;33(12):1440–50.

3.   Tung R, Raiman M, Liao H, Zhan X, Chung FP, Nagel R, et al.

Simultaneousendocardial and epicardial delineation of 3d reentrant ventricular

tachycardia. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(8):884–97.

4.   Stevenson WG. Current treatment of ventricular arrhythmias: state of the art. Heart

Rhythm Off J Heart Rhythm Soc. 2013 Dec;10(12):1919–26.

5.   Haissaguerre M, Hocini M, Shah AJ, Derval N, Sacher F, Jais P, et al. Noninvasive

Panoramic Mapping of Human Atrial Fibrillation Mechanisms: A Feasibility Report. J

Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2013;24(6):711–7.

6.   van Dam PM, Tung R, Shivkumar K, Laks M. Quantitative localization of premature

ventricular contractions using myocardial activation ECGI from the standard 12-lead

electrocardiogram. J Electrocardiol. 2013 Dec;46(6):574–9.

7.   Burnes JE, Taccardi B, Rudy Y. A Noninvasive Imaging Modality for Cardiac

Arrhythmias. Circulation. 2000;102(21):2152–8.

8.   Burnes JE, Taccardi B, Ershler P, Rudy Y. Noninvasive Electrocardiogram Imaging of

Substrate and Intramural Ventricular Tachycardia in Infarcted Hearts. J Am Coll

Cardiol. 2001;38(7):2071–8.

9.   Cuculich PS, Schill MR, Kashani R, Mutic S, Lang A, Cooper D, et al. Noninvasive

Cardiac Radiation for Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia. N Engl J Med.

2017;377:2325–36.

10.   Sapp JL, Dawoud F, Clements JC, Horácek BM. Inverse Solution Mapping of

Epicardial Potentials: Quantitative Comparison with Epicardial Contact Mapping. Circ

Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2012;5(5):1001–9.

11.   Wang Y, Cuculich PS, Zhang J, Desouza KA, Vijayakumar R, Chen J, et al.

527   Noninvasive Electroanatomic Mapping of Human Ventricular Arrhythmias with

528   Electrocardiographic Imaging. Sci Transl Med. 2011;3(98):98ra84.

529   12.   Robinson CG, Samson PP, Moore KMS, Hugo GD, Knutson N, Mutic S, et al. Phase

530   I/II Trial of Electrophysiology-Guided Noninvasive Cardiac Radioablation for

531   Ventricular Tachycardia. Circulation. 2019;139:313–21.

532   13.   Graham AJ, Orini M, Zacur E, Dhillon G, Daw H, Srinivasan NT, et al. Evaluation of

533   ECG Imaging to Map Hemodynamically Stable and Unstable Ventricular Arrhythmias.

534   Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2020;13:e007377.

535   14.   Tsyganov A, Wissner E, Metzner A, Mironovich S, Chaykovskaya M, Kalinin V, et al.

536   Mapping of ventricular arrhythmias using a novel noninvasive epicardial and

537   endocardial electrophysiology system. J Electrocardiol. 2018;51(1):92–8.

538   15.   Wang L, Gharbia OA, Nazarian S, Horacek BM, Sapp JL. Non-invasive epicardialand

539   endocardial electrocardiographic imaging for scar-related ventricular tachycardia.

540   Europace. 2018;20:f263–72.

541   16.   Pashakhanloo F, Herzka D, Halperin H, McVeigh E, Trayanova N. Role of 3-

542   Dimensional Architecture of Scar and Surviving Tissue in Ventricular Tachycardia

543   Insights From High-Resolution Ex Vivo Porcine Models © 2018 American Heart

544   Association, Inc. Farhad. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2018;11:e006131.

545   17.   Plonsey R. Bioelectric Phenomena. New York: McGraw Hill; 1969.

546   18.   Gockenbach M. Linear Inverse Problems and Tikhonov Regularization. Mathematical

547   Association of American; 2016. (Carus Mathematical Monographs).

548   19.   Burton BM, Tate JD, Erem B, Swenson DJ, Wang DF, Steffen M, et al. A Toolkit for

549   Forward/Inverse Problems in Electrocardiography Within the SCIRun Problem Solving

550   Environment. In: IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2011. p. 267–70.

551   20.   Umapathy K, Nair K, Masse S, Krishnan S, Rogers J, Nash MP, et al. Phase Mapping of

552   Cardiac Fibrillation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2010;3(1):105–14.

553   21.   Vijayakumar R, Vasireddi SK, Cuculich PS, Faddis MN, Rudy Y. Methodology

554   Considerations in Phase Mapping of Human Cardiac Arrhythmias. Circ Arrhythm

555   Electrophysiol. 2016;9(11):e004409.

556   22.   Arevalo H, Vadakkumpadan F, Guallar E, Jebb A, Malamas P, Wu KC, et al.

557   Arrhythmia risk stratification of patients after myocardial infarction using personalized

558   heart models. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11437.

559   23.   Wang L, Zhang H, Wong K, Liu H, Shi P. Physiological-Model-Constrained

560   Noninvasive Reconstruction of Volumetric Myocardial Transmembrane Potentials.

561   IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2010;57(2):296–315.

562   24.   Pashakhanloo F, Herzka D, Mori S, Zviman M, Halperin H, Gai N, et al. Submillimeter

563   diffusion tensor imaging and late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic

564   resonance of chronic myocardial infarction. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson Off J Soc

565   Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2017;1–14.

566   25.   C. L. Hubley-Kozey, L. B. Mitchell, Gardner MJ, Warren JW, Penney CJ, Smith ER, et

567   al. Spatial features in body-surface potential maps can identify patients with a history of

568   sustained ventricular tachycardia. Circulation. 1995;92:1825–38.

569   26. Belkin M, Niyogi P. Laplacian eigenmaps for dimensionality reduction and data

570   representation. Neural computation. 2003 Jun 1;15(6):1373-96.