**Supplementary**

**Supplementary Table 1:** Overall SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection over time after onset of symptoms.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Range (days) | N\* | RNA Positive (%) | Relative % positivity(n = 203) | Accumulated Positive percentage |
| 1-5 | 44 | 34 (77·27) | 16·75 | 16·75 |
| 6-10 | 77 | 48 (62·34) | 23·65 | 40·40 |
| 11-15 | 113 | 61 (53·98) | 30·05 | 70·45 |
| 16-20 | 54 | 25 (46·30) | 12·32 | 82·77 |
| 21-25 | 42 | 18 (42·86) | 8·87 | 91·64 |
| 26-30 | 58 | 15 (25·86) | 7·39 | 99·03 |
| >30 | 20 | 2 (10·00) | 0·98 | 100·0 |
| TOTAL | 408 | 203 | 100·00 |  |

\*Total samples obtained longitudinally from 82 individuals**.**

**Supplementary Table 2.** Costs (USD) and savings associated with the use of SPT versus NPS as collection sample method.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NPS** | **Private Provider** | **Healthcare Network** |
| Universal Transport Medium | $2,915 | $1,934 |
| Nasopharyngeal flocked swab hydra-flock 100X set | $767 | $516 |
| Healthcare personnel | $1,934 | $1,934 |
| Total | $5,616 | $4,384 |
| **Self-collected SPT** |   |   |
| Sample collecting flask (60 mL) sterile, single use | $138 | $110 |
| Healthcare personnel | $0 | $0 |
| Total | $138 | $110 |
| Savings per 1000 samples processed | $-5,478 | $-4,274 |
| **Staff Collected SPT** |   |   |
| Sample collecting flask (60 mL) sterile, single use | $138 | $110 |
| Healthcare personnel | $1,934 | $1,934 |
| Total | $2,072 | $2,044 |
| Savings per 1000 samples processed | $-3,544 | $-2,340 |

 ****

**Supplementary Figure 1. Linear range of SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR. A.** Serial Log10 dilutions of a standard synthetic RNA were made and RT-qPCR for Orf1b of SARS-CoV-2 was conducted. Mean and standard deviation is shown. Slope -3·347 (95% CI -3·392 to -3·303), Y intercept 48·59 (95% CI 48·22 to 48·97), R2= 0·9997. A non-linear regression was performed using Prism 8.

****

 **Supplementary Figure 2.** **Spike experiment to assess the interference of the matrix present in the SPT and NPS specimens.** Serial Log10 dilutions of a standard synthetic RNA in water, or in pooled NPS and SPT matrices obtained from SARS-CoV-2negative individuals were made, and RT-qPCR for Orf1b of SARS-CoV-2 was conducted. Mean and standard deviation are shown. Non-linear regression was conducted using Prism 8.
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**Supplementary Figure 3. Comparison of the frequency of positive samples overtime. A.** Percentage of SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive samples in outpatients **(A)** and hospitalized patients **(B)** over time.The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the positive percentage of viral RNA. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was applied to evaluate the difference of the duration of viral RNA shedding in NPS (blue line) and SPT (red line) samples. Shades represent the 95% CI for each slope is shown.

**Appendix 1**

**RTqPCR protocol.** Briefly, 0·5 μM of forward and reverse primers (HKU-ORF1b-nsp14F and HKU-ORF1b-nsp14R) and 0·25 μM of probe (HKU-ORF1b-nsp141p) were mixed with 12·5 μL AgPath-ID™ One-Step RT-PCR 2X master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1 μL of 25X RT-PCR enzyme mix, and 5 μL of extracted RNA in a final volume of 25 μL. The reaction was conducted in a StepOnePlus™ thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) with the following thermal profile: reverse transcription step for 30 minutes at 50ºC, initial denaturation for 10 minutes at 95ºC followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95ºC and 1 minute at 60ºC. Fluorescence acquisition was conducted at the annealing/extension step, and the PCR cycle at which the fluorescence level meets the threshold (threshold cycle; CT) was recorded for each sample.

**Appendix 2**

**RT-qPCR analytical validation.** Both, forward and reverse primers, have 100% identity with 86 Chilean genomes (complete genome sequences unpublished). The identity between the Wuhan-Hu-1 sequence and our Chilean reference sequence is 99·9798% (6 nucleotides apart) and the primers align with 100% identity to both sequences.

As standard material for the following steps of validation we used synthetic RNA produced from the ORF1b coding sequence of SARS-CoV-2 OP5d7 Chilean sequence. The DNA fragment was cloned into pGEMT easy vector (Promega) and linearized with SalI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs). T7 RNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to produce the RNA fragment, and the synthetic RNA was subjected to RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) degrade the initial DNA template. After purification, the RNA presence was confirmed using RT-qPCR with and without RT enzyme. RNA concentration was determined at OD 260 nm and the copy number was estimated using NEBioCalculator (<https://nebiocalculator.neb.com/#!/ssrnaamt>).

Linear range (reportable range) was determined using serial log10 dilutions of standard RNA and was established between 3·5 x 103 to 3·5 x 1012 copies of RNA/mL (Supplementary Figure 1).

The limit of detection (LOD) was determined using serial Log2 dilutions of the last best-fit linear value dilution and was established in CT 39·02, 723 (2·859 Log10) RNA copies/mL equivalent to 3·6 copies per reaction. Because SPT samples could contain more inhibitors than NPS, since it is a more complex sample, we conducted a spike and recovery test for discard matrix interference. Triplicates of serial Log10 dilutions of synthetic RNA were made in the respective matrix, i.e. molecular biology grade water, NPS matrix = pool of ten pre-pandemic SARS-CoV-2 negative NPS samples and SPT matrix = pool of 10 sputa negative for SARS-CoV-2. Matrices were extracted with TRIzol LSTM spiked with synthetic RNA and processed for RT-qPCR. CTs were determined and non-linear semi-log regression analysis was performed. The analysis showed no difference in the slopes (-3·413, -3·39 and -3·344 for water, NPS and SPT, respectively) and intercept of the three curves (39·15, 39·53 and 39·16 for water, NPS and SPT, respectively) and ANOVA of matched data set indicates that there are no statistically significant differences (*p*=0·1153) (Supplementary Figure 2). To test the specificity of the molecular detection, we analyzed ten pre-pandemic NPS, with no amplification was detected in all cases.