# Supplementary Material

# Methods

## Participants

Data were drawn from two sources. For data during the COVID-19 pandemic, we used data from the UCL COVID-19 Social Study; a large panel study of the psychological and social experiences of over 50,000 adults (aged 18+) in the UK during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study commenced on 21st March 2020 involving online weekly data collection from participants for the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK. Whilst not random, the study has a well-stratified sample that was recruited using three primary approaches. First, snowballing was used, including promoting the study through existing networks and mailing lists (including large databases of adults who had previously consented to be involved in health research across the UK), print and digital media coverage, and social media. Second, more targeted recruitment was undertaken focusing on (i) individuals from a low-income background, (ii) individuals with no or few educational qualifications, and (iii) individuals who were unemployed. Third, the study was promoted via partnerships with third sector organisations to vulnerable groups, including adults with pre-existing mental illness, older adults, and carers. The study was approved by the UCL Research Ethics Committee [12467/005] and all participants gave informed consent. In this study, we focused on participants who had a baseline response between 21st March and 10th May 2020. This provided us with data from 67,142 participants. Of these, 10% participants withheld data on socio-demographic factors including gender and income so were excluded, providing a final analytic sample size of 60,341.

For data collected prior to the pandemic, we used Understanding Society: the UK Household Longitudinal Study (UKHLS), a nationally representative household panel study of the UK population (2009-2019). Our analyses used the most recent wave of UKHLS (wave 9) where the loneliness measures were introduced. The wave 9 data were collected between January, 2017 and June, 2019. To be consistent with the UCL Covid-19 Social Study, we restricted participants to those aged 18+, leaving us a total sample size of 34,976 participants. Further, we excluded those who had missing value in loneliness or any of the covariates (11%). This provided a final sample size of 31,064.

## Measures

In both datasets, loneliness was measured using the three-item UCLA loneliness scale (UCLA-3). The questions include: 1) how often do you feel lack companionship? 2) how often do you feel isolated from others? 3) how often do you feel left out? Responses to each question were scored on a three-point Likert scale ranging from hardly ever/never, to some of the time, to often. Using the sum score, we had a loneliness scale ranging from 3 to 9, with a higher score indicating increased loneliness. In addition, we also examined the single-item direct measure of loneliness asking how often the respondent felt lonely, which was coded on the same scale as the UCLA-3 items.

Covariates included age groups (18-29, 30-45, 46-59 and 60+), gender (woman vs. man), ethnicity (non-white vs. white), education (low: GCSE or below, medium: A levels or equivalent, high: degree or above), low income (household annual income <£30,000 vs higher household annual income), employment status (employed, unemployed, student and inactive other), living status (alone, with others but no children, with others including children) and area of living (rural vs. urban). All variables above were harmonised between the two datasets.

## Analysis

To compare risk factors for loneliness, we used Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression models fitted separately in the two datasets. Weights were applied throughout the analyses. The analyses of UKHLS used cross-sectional adult self-completion interview weight. In the analysis of the UCL Covid-19 Social study, all data were weighted to the proportions of gender, age, ethnicity, education and country of living obtained from the Office for National Statistics 1. The descriptive and regression analyses were implemented in Stata v15 (StataCorps, Texas).

Table S1 Descriptive statistic of the explanatory variables (weighted)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | UKHLS  (N=31,064) | Covid-19 Social Study  (N=60,341) |
| Age | 18-29 | 16.8% | 18.5% |
|  | 30-45 | 23.1% | 27.2% |
|  | 46-59 | 25.5% | 24.4% |
|  | 60+ | 34.7% | 29.9% |
| Gender | Women (vs. men) | 51.8% | 49.8% |
| Ethnicity | Non-white (vs. white) | 7.2% | 12.5% |
| Education | GCSE or below | 50.6% | 32.0% |
|  | A-levels or equivalent | 23.2% | 33.5% |
|  | Degree or above | 26.2% | 34.5% |
| Household income | Low (<30k) (vs. high) | 40.1% | 48.6% |
| Employment status | Employed | 56.6% | 59.6% |
|  | Unemployed | 3.8% | 3.3% |
|  | Student | 3.6% | 6.5% |
|  | Inactive other | 36.0% | 30.6% |
| Living status | Alone | 18.9% | 18.3% |
|  | With others (not children) | 49.9% | 53.9% |
|  | With others (including children) | 31.2% | 27.8% |
| Area of living | Rural (vs. urban) | 24.5% | 20.4% |
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