**On the treatment effect heterogeneity of antidepressants in major depression.**

**A Bayesian meta-analysis**

**Supplementary data**

Studies included in quantitative synthesis

(meta-analysis)  
(n = 169)

Studies included in

qualitative synthesis  
(n = 169)

Studies assessed for eligibility  
(n = 304)

Studies screened  
(n = 522 )

## Eligibility


## Included

## Screening


Studies excluded, no placebo group  
(n = 218)

Studies excluded, outcome of interest not reported  
(n = 135)

**Figure 1:** PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram.



**Figure 2:** Boxplot depicting the number of included studies per respective depression scale.



**Figure 3:** Association between the mean and the standard deviation of response in the treatment and the placebo group, depicted on a logarithmic scale.




**Figure 4:** Logarithmic association between the mean and the standard deviation of response in the treatment and the placebo group by depression scale. As can be seen from the data, the degree of association varies between depression scales.

A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

**Table 1:** Slope coefficient for simple linear association, not taking into account the existence of different depression scales. x1 = slope coefficient.



**Figure 5:** Shrinkage effect of Bayesian multi-level meta-regression model. The red dots represent the data points and the blue dots the posterior estimates of their true values.


A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

A close up of a logo

Description automatically generated

**Table 2:** Posterior statistics for the mu and beta coefficients of the REMR.



**Table 3:** WAIC statistics for the three different models. REMR: Random-effects meta-regression, REMA: Random-effects meta-analysis. The WAIC score signifies the pointwise prediction accuracy of fitted Bayesian models. Here, higher values of WAIC indicate a better out-of-sample predictive fit (“better” model).

A screenshot of a cell phone


Description automatically generated



**Figure 6:** Change score of 1000 simulated patients under placebo (blue) and under active treatment (red) for ρ = 0.0, SDTE= 1.5 and VR = 1.02. In this particular simulation, the values were: SDTE = 1.48 and VR = 1.04.

A screenshot of a social media post

Description automatically generated**Figure 7:** Individual treatment effect of 100 simulated patients, ρ = 0.0, SDTE = 1.48 and VR = 1.04. Value at x = 0 depicts response under placebo, value at x = 1 response under active treatment. Slopes represent individual treatment effect, which has low heterogeneity in this simulation. Blue lines indicated improvement under active treatment, red lines deterioration.

A close up of a map

Description automatically generated

**Figure 8:** Change score of 1000 simulated patients under placebo (blue) and under active treatment (red) for ρ = - 0.8, SDTE = 6.5 (6.4 in this simulation) and VR = 0.6.

A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

**Figure 9:** Individual treatment effect of 100 simulated patients, ρ = - 0.8, SDTE = 6.5 and VR = 0.6. Value at x = 0 depicts response under placebo, value at x = 1 response under active treatment. Slopes represent individual treatment effect, which has high heterogeneity in this simulation. Blue lines indicated improvement under active treatment, red lines deterioration.