

Supplementary Appendix

Effects of screening electrocardiogram in athletes and military members: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Aaron Lear MD, MSc; Cleveland Clinic Akron General, Akron, OH USA
Niraj Patel, DO HeyDoctor by GoodRx, San Francisco, CA USA
Chanda Mullen, PhD; Cleveland Clinic Akron General, Akron, OH USA
Marian Simonson, MS; Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH USA
Vince Leone, MD; Northeast Ohio Medical University (NEOMED), Akron, OH USA
Constantinos Koshiaris, PhD; University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
David Nunan, PhD; University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Contact author:

Aaron Lear
1 Akron General Way
Ctr for Fam Medicine
Akron, OH 44307 USA
ORCID iD: 0000-0002-1353-7785
leara@ccf.org
office: 330.344.6047 (Angela Watkins assistant)

Table of contents online appendix effects of screening electrocardiogram in athletes and military members: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Appendix A: Original written protocol	4
Appendix B: Deviations from Protocol	14
Appendix C: Search Strategy	15
Appendix D: Characteristics and ROB of included studies (alphabetical)	24
Appendix E: Detailed risk of bias discussion	35
References	39

Appendix A: Original Protocol

Effects of screening electrocardiogram in athletes and military members

PICO

Population: Competitive athletes participating in an organized sport, and active duty military members age 40 or younger.

Intervention: Performance of pre-participation examination (PPE) with screening electrocardiogram (ECG) with or without echocardiogram to find conditions which are known to lead to sudden cardiac arrest associated with sports/athletic activity.

Comparison: No PPE, or PPE without screening ECG

Outcome: 1) SCA/D in screened vs. those not screened with ECG 2) Rate of athletes/military members removed from sport/activity 3) Rate of athletes/military members with abnormal findings, who underwent treatment as a result of screening 4) The number of treated athletes/military members who returned to sport/activity

Types of studies

Studies eligible for the effects of screening portion of the review include any comparative study to report on the outcomes of a screening program in athletes/military members. The ideal study will be a randomized controlled trial (RCT). Our expectation is to find few, if any RCTs, and will also include: cluster RCT; non-randomized controlled comparison trials; historically controlled trials; time based before and after trials.

Types of participants

We will include athletes 40 years of age or younger, to include active duty military members. For this review, we will consider competitive athletes those participating in athletics of any form or level, including scholastic, recreational, club, collegiate/university level, and professional. We will consider subjects to be athletes even if out of season.

We will limit the age at 40 years due to the increased likelihood of coronary artery disease becoming more a more prevalent cause of SCA and death once the age of 30 is reached^{1,2} and our desire to focus on causes of SCA in younger athletes outside of coronary artery disease. Active duty military members will be included due to the high level of activity that is required of them, and the similar age profile, and interest in preventing SCA/D. In cases of studies which include athletes that extend past age 40, an effort will be made to separate those 40 and younger, including contacting the authors of the paper. However, if this is not possible if the subjects over age 40 comprise 10% or less of the subjects in the paper, we will include it. If there are multiple papers with athletes over 40 years of age included, we will consider sensitivity testing the results to determine their inclusion

Types of interventions

ECG is the primary intervention used to screen athletes beyond general history and physical. ECG is sometimes combined with echocardiogram, or followed directly by echocardiogram on site when an abnormal ECG is identified. For this reason, we will include screening trials which include ECG alone, ECG followed by echocardiogram if ECG is abnormal, or combination of ECG and echocardiogram. Studies which include further testing, such as cardiac MRI will be included if they provide a breakdown of the results of the initial screening steps with ECG and/or echocardiogram, or if this data is available via direct request to the authors. Cardiac screening studies which do not include ECG will not be included.

Types of outcome measures

The primary outcomes for the effects of cardiac screening in athletes as follows:

1. SCA/D in screened vs. those not screened with ECG
2. Rate of athletes/military members removed from sport/activity.
3. Rate of athletes/military members with abnormal findings treated as a result of screening;
4. The number of treated athletes/military members who returned to sport after treatment

Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes for both objectives include subgroup analyses on:

1. Subjects 25 years of age or less, and age 26-40 in all categories of primary outcomes.
2. Male and Female subjects in all categories of primary outcomes.
3. Primary outcomes evaluated by race.
4. Primary outcomes evaluated by sport played.
5. Primary outcomes evaluated by level of sport.

Search Methods for identification of studies

We aim to identify trials and articles which meet the inclusion criteria in any language, published at any time. In cases where unpublished data is located, we intend to contact the authors and include this information if possible. We will use search electronic databases as detailed below, and intend to hand search the bibliographies of articles selected for the review.

Electronic searches

Searches will be run in Ovid/Medline, Embase, Cochrane Central, Sports discus, PEDro, Web of Science, Scopus, clinicaltrials.gov, and BIOSIS. All electronic searches will be developed with a medical librarian with experience and specialization in systematic reviews.

Searching other resources

The bibliographies of selected articles will be hand searched for relevant citations.

Data Collection and Analysis

Search results will be downloaded into Covidence (Covidence.org, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia 2018) for review, and Mendeley desktop citation software (Mendeley version 1.19.2 Mendeley Ltd 2018) for writing and bibliography production. Covidence will be accessed via institutional subscription.

Selection of studies

After search results have been entered into Covidence, two separate reviewers will review the abstracts of all studies included in the final results independently. One reviewer (AL) works in the field of sports medicine, and is familiar with the available literature base, while the other reviewer (CM) works in a family medicine research department and is unfamiliar with the literature base on cardiac screening in athletes. Articles not meeting criteria will be eliminated from consideration. Articles initially selected by both authors will have the full texts read by both authors independently. A single author will review the bibliographies of the selected articles, and request relevant abstracts and articles for review. Articles and publications from bibliography and conference abstract searches will also be identified and subject to the same assessment as articles from the original database search. After review of abstracts and reading the agreed upon full text articles, those meeting the inclusion criteria will be selected for inclusion in the review. Disagreements between the two authors will be resolved by consensus, and where consensus cannot be reached, a third reviewer will be involved to settle the dispute. Reasons for exclusion of all full text articles considered will be recorded. A final flow diagram of the search results, full text articles reviewed, and final included articles will be reported.

In cases where multiple reports have been published on one data set, the most complete article will be used, and the others excluded from the review. The reviewers will link the repeat reports after searching, and reviewing available data, and those not used will be excluded from the study. Those excluded will be reported in the final flow diagram as duplicate reports. In the case of multiple articles describing updates to a single cohort are identified, only the most recent article will be used.

Data Extraction and Management

A custom data extraction tool will be developed using Covidence ahead of review and data extraction. Our aim is to pre-select the data extraction form, it may be necessary to alter or adjust the form based on studies identified³. The data extraction tool will include raw data extraction, risk of bias information, as well as characteristics of the included studies, guided by the Cochrane handbook checklists for non-randomized studies. The characteristics of the study will include data on: whether there was a comparison made; if participants were allocated to groups, how this was done; which parts of the study were prospective; and on what variables the groups (if any) were compared. Two reviewers will independently review and extract data on all articles which are to be fully reviewed. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion between the two reviewers, and in the cases where discussion does not bring a resolution, a third reviewer will settle the disagreement.

Assessment of risk of bias, and quality in studies included

ROB-Cochrane; published guidelines on reporting; quality (GRADE)

For RCTs and non-randomized, controlled trials (CCTs) we will use the Cochrane risk of bias tool described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions³. For non-controlled comparative studies or cohort studies we will use a tailored version of the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the risk of bias in non-randomized studies in meta-analysis⁴. The data collection form in Covidence will be customized to address non-randomized trials and risk of bias assessment

In both randomized and non-randomized comparison studies, our primary areas of bias assessment will include sequence generation (random or otherwise), allocation, blinding of participants and outcome assessment, outcome reporting-assessment of the completeness, as well as selective outcome reporting.

Measure of treatment effect

We will attempt to report on the effects of screening including:

- a. Relative risk of SCA/D in those athletes/military members screened vs. those not screened with ECG
- b. Relative risk of athletes/military members removed from sport/activity.
- c. Relative risk of athletes/military members with abnormal findings treated as a result of screening
- d. Relative risk of return of athletes/military members who have been treated and returned to sport

Unit of analysis issues

Our intention is to present each effect of screening as a relative risk of those athletes screened compared to those not screened. We will attempt to convert data presented as odds ratios, or comparative significance testing to data compatible with a relative risk comparison.

Dealing with missing data

We will attempt to contact authors regarding missing or unclear outcome data, or for potentially updated but as of yet unpublished datasets.

If there are methodological criteria for the risk of bias assessment which are missing from the studies reviewed, we will make an attempt to contact authors to retrieve this information.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We intend to perform a formal measure of heterogeneity. We anticipate a very few comparative articles meeting inclusion criteria. We will perform visual inspection of forest plots and discuss heterogeneity based on these findings.

If we are able to produce summary statistics, we intend to report the I^2 and Chi^2 formal measures of heterogeneity.

We will report I^2 and will consider levels of 0-30% as likely not important; 31-70% as moderate heterogeneity; 71-100% as substantial heterogeneity.

We will also report Chi^2 statistic if formal meta-analysis is undertaken, and will consider a level of 0.10 as a significant p value.

Assessment of publication bias

If we are able to perform an analysis of publication bias with a funnel plot, we will do so. If there are clear differences between the studies included in the review, such as results of large and small cohorts, it may be necessary to perform publication bias evaluations in subsets for each objective.

Data Syntheses

If possible, we will also provide summary statistics on outcomes listed for the effect of screening, including on subgroups described.

In the case of effect of screening, the decision to pool data for a summary statistic will be made after review of included studies. Our intention is to combine non-randomized (NRS) and randomized studies if possible. This decision will be taken only after considering the differences that exist in the included studies, including in level of bias, quality of the evidence, confounding, and statistical heterogeneity.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

If, as anticipated, there is heterogeneity discovered in a formal meta-analysis this will be investigated with subgroup analysis. As previously stated, we will perform subgroup analysis by age, gender, race, and by type of sport or military, as well as level of sport, if reported. It is possible we will discover other sources of heterogeneity once undertaking the review, and may add to, or reduce these categories.

Sensitivity analysis

If formal meta-analysis is undertaken, we do anticipate opportunities for sensitivity analysis. As referenced in the previous section, this may include analysis of articles of different sources of data.

Considering effect of ECG screening, sensitivity analysis could include articles in which the analysis is done with randomization compared to other types of comparative studies; it could also include articles which have a broad age range vs. those with only young athletes. We believe these considerations will be evident in the level of bias found in the studies, and this will guide our sensitivity analysis.

Summary of findings tables

We anticipate producing summary of findings tables in which the GRADE approach will be used. It is our intention to provide a table. The table will serve as our primary summarization of the quality of evidence. If meta-analysis is not possible, this will serve as our primary summary of each objective.

We also anticipate a summary of important excluded articles, with brief explanations as to why the articles were excluded from review.

Data Extraction Guide:

The data included on the extraction form is based on the recommendations from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews ⁵ and is proposed to include:

Identification:

Study ID created by review authors

Citation details (title, year, journal, etc.)

Type of data: published article, unpublished article, abstract/conference presentation, direct communication with authors, other

Setting

Funding source (if available)

Author contact details

Eligibility:

Included/excluded from review

Reason for exclusion

Methodology:

Study design

1. Was there a comparison made?
 - a. If yes, how were participants allocated/assigned to groups
 - b. If yes, on what variables was comparability between groups assessed
2. Which parts of the study were prospective?
3. SCA/D study or Effect of screening study or both

RCT (group vs individual)

CCT (Group vs. individual)

Comparative study (Describe: time based; before/after)

Cohort (retrospective/prospective)

Cross sectional

Length of study

Setting

Diagnostic criteria (ECG, ECHO, diagnosis of SCA/D attributed to heart-how made)

Concerns about bias (Cochrane risk of bias information will be collected based on study design, such as randomized controlled trials, non-randomized controlled trials, comparative studies, cohort studies)

Subjects:

Number of subjects

Demographic breakdown if included to include: Age (range, and statistics provided [mean, SD, etc.]), sex, race

Location of study

Level of athlete: unknown, other, club, scholastic, collegiate, professional, recreational,

Type of athlete: team athlete, individual athlete, unknown

Interventions:

Total number of intervention groups

For each group:

Type of screening (ECG alone, ECG and echocardiogram, ECG followed by echocardiogram if abnormal, history and physical alone, no screening, etc.)

Criteria used for ECG interpretation (European criteria, Seattle criteria, etc.)

Results

1. For Rate of SCA and death:
 - a. Prospective/retrospective
 - b. Length of study (or period data collected)
 - i. Total number of athlete years collected)
 - c. Population: true number accounted for with records; estimate based on population and sport participation data;
 - d. Data collected from what source:
 - i. Medical/service records
 - ii. Insurance
 - iii. Sport/service database
 - iv. Media reports
 - v. other
 - e. Number of events
 - i. SCA
 - ii. SCD
 - iii. Other death if reported
 - f. Rate of events reported
 - i. Rate of SCA
 - ii. Rate of sudden cardiac death
 - iii. Overall death rate if reported
 - iv. Number of resuscitations after SCA if reported
 - g. Subgroup rates if reported
 - i. Race
 - ii. Gender
 - iii. Age
 - iv. Type of athlete/sport
 - v. Level of sport
2. For Effects of screening:
 - a. Type of study (RCT, CCT, Comparative trial-what type, Cohort)
 - b. Intervention groups (if comparative study)
 - c. Number of Subjects allocated to each intervention group
 - d. Sample size
 - e. Missing participants reported
 - i. Missing participants calculated

- f. Summary data for each group
 - i. 2x2 table for dichotomous outcomes (+/- screen)
 - ii. If available
 - 1. # athletes suffered SCA/D
 - 2. # of subjects diagnosed with abnormality
 - 3. # of subjects withheld from sport
 - 4. # of subjects treated and how (Medicine, Implantable cardiac defibrillator [ICD], electrophysiology procedure such as ablation, etc.)
 - a. Number returned to sport after treatment
 - g. Means/SD, etc. for continuous outcomes
 - h. Data for ordinal or categorical data-such as type of abnormality found
 - i. WPW, HCM, Long QT, etc.
 - i. Subgroup analysis
 - j. Estimate of effect (if any) with confidence intervals, p value
- 3. Are results collected raw data?
 - a. If a comparison between groups was made, was this adjusted, or unadjusted.

Miscellaneous

Conclusions

Notable comments from Study authors

References found in bibliography

Comments from reviewer

Risk of Bias

Cohort study Newcastle Ottawa Scale customized:

Selection

1. Representativeness of the exposed cohort
 - a. truly representative of the average athlete/military member in the community being described (elite athletes, club athletes, etc.)*
 - b. somewhat representative of the average athlete/military member in the community *
 - c. selected group of users: i.e. volunteers for community screening
 - d. no description of the derivation of the cohort
2. Selection of the non-exposed cohort
 - a. drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort *
 - b. drawn from a different source
 - c. no description of the derivation of the non-exposed cohort
3. Ascertainment of exposure (that the subject is athlete/military member)
 - a. secure record (Medical records, military record, team records, etc.)*
 - b. Self-report that one is competitive athlete*
 - c. media report/population data
 - d. no description

4. Demonstration that athlete/military member did not have condition (SCA/D @ Start of study)
 - a. yes *
 - b. no

Comparability

- c. Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis
 - a) Study attempts to control for important confounders when making comparison (difference in race, sex, age, sport, etc.)*
 1. List items controlled for
 - b) Controlling for confounders not appropriate/necessary-list why*
- d. No attempt to address confounders, or control for significant issues when appropriate*

Outcome

5. Assessment of outcome
 - a. independent blind assessment *
 - b. record linkage *
 - c. Panel verification/adjudication of outcome*
 - d. Self-report
 - e. Media report
 - f. no description
6. Was follow-up appropriate for outcome to occur (sufficient number of enrollees, and/or sufficient length of time-would expect minimum around 100,000 athlete years)
 - a. yes *
 - b. no
 - a) List number of athlete years
7. Adequacy of follow up of cohorts
 - a. complete follow up - all subjects accounted for *
 - b. subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias - small number lost - > 90 % follow up, or description provided of those lost) *
 - c. follow up rate < 89% and no description of those lost
 - d. no statement regarding follow up

Cochrane risk of bias tool for RCT, CCT, Comparative trials:

Allocation

1. Allocation performed:
 - a. How was sequence generated?
 - b. Random
 - c. Other (before/after, etc.):
2. Allocation concealment:
 - a. Was allocation concealed until trial begun
 - b. How was allocation concealed?
 - i. Adequate
 - ii. Inadequate
 - iii. Not mentioned

3. Baseline imbalance in the groups: yes/no
 - a. Causes:
 - i. issues with allocation
 - ii. Non-randomized trial

Maintenance/Blinding

1. Were participants blinded during intervention?
2. Were trial personnel blinded during intervention?
3. Were outcomes assessors blinded?
4. Was there deviation from intervention that would be different than in practice?
 - a. Were these deviations unbalanced between groups?
5. Were any participants analyzed in groups different than originally assigned?
 - a. Is this likely to have affected outcome?
 - b. Was this unbalanced?
6. Was the intervention carried out as planned?
7. Did the participants adhere to the plan for intervention?

Missing Data

1. Was outcome data available for all or nearly all subjects included in the study?
 - a. If there is substantial missing data-is this unbalanced across groups?
2. Was missing data dealt with appropriately (imputation, sensitivity analysis, etc.)
3. Was there evidence or suggestion of selective data reporting?

Other Source of Bias

1. Please list any other possible source of bias.

Appendix B: Deviations from original protocol

There were several deviations from the original protocol which must be explained.. The search strategy varied slightly from the original protocol in two ways: 1) Due to a mis-communication with the primary author, and the medical librarian, Clinicaltrials.gov was not originally searched with other databases. The search occurred late in the process, and the results were screened only by the primary author; 2) The references of included studies were not searched as originally planned. Due to the volume of the studies included in the original search, the search was felt to be adequate, and the plan to do so was dropped.

The data extraction, and ROB determination was originally intended to be double reviewed by the primary author, and co-reviewer. This was modified for timing reasons, and availability of second reviewers. Two more second reviewers were added for screening, review and extraction. The Cochrane risk of bias tool native to Covidence was used exclusively for evaluation of the included studies.

Primary authors were contacted during the screening process for questions regarding eligibility for inclusion; however, authors were not contacted based on risk of bias questions as indicated in the original protocol.

Appendix C: Search Strategy

Search Strategy Summary:

This strategy was developed in conjunction with medical librarian familiar with systematic review. After discussing the plan, the databases to be searched, and the strategy, the search was performed by the librarian. The following is a summary of the search which she has prepared:

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to February 21, 2019> Search Strategy:

-
- 1 athletes/ or exp sports/ or military personnel/ (208586)
 - 2 (athlete\$1 or athletic\$1 or sport\$1 or military or army or "air force" or navy).mp. (219952)
 - 3 (baseball or basketball or boxing or boxer\$1 or football or golf* or gymnastic* or hockey).mp. or ((bicycling or cyclist* or cycling) and (athlet* or sport*)).tw. (30321)
 - 4 ("martial arts" or "tai ji" or "tai chi" or mountaineer* or "rock climb*" or "racquet sports" or tennis or running or jogging or runner\$1 or marathoner\$1 or skating or skater\$1).mp. (77951)
 - 5 ("snow sports" or skiing or skier\$1 or snowboard* or "ski jump*" or soccer or "track and field" or volleyball or swimmer\$1 or swimming or diving or diver\$1).mp. (63716)
 - 6 ("weight lift*" or wrestling or wrestler\$1 or rugby).mp. (9498)
 - 7 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 (398183)
 - 8 exp electrocardiography/ or exp echocardiography/ (307818)
 - 9 (electrocardiogra* or ecg or ecgs or ekg or ekgs or echocardiogra*).mp. (395672)
 - 10 mass screening/ or medical history taking/ or physical examination/ (146275)
 - 11 (pre-participation or preparticipation or screening or ppe).mp. (550203)
 - 12 ((pre-season or preseason or medical or cardiac or cardiovascular or sport*) adj2 (clearance or exam* or screen* or physical or evaluat*)).tw. (44659)
 - 13 ((pre-participation or preparticipation) adj3 (screen* or physical* or exam* or clearance or evaluat*)).tw. (1011)
 - 14 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 (1019248)
 - 15 exp Heart Diseases/di, dg, mo, pc [Diagnosis, Diagnostic Imaging, Mortality, Prevention & Control] (426749)
 - 16 ((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) adj3 abnormal*).tw. (15007)
 - 17 ((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) adj3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit*)).tw. (44311)
 - 18 ((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) adj3 patholog*).tw. (9443)
 - 19 ((heart or cardiac) adj3 (death or arrest)).tw. (60335)
 - 20 ("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle).tw. (31437)
 - 21 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 (532916)
 - 22 7 and 14 and 21 (3729)

- 23 exp heart diseases/mo (79233)
- 24 17 or 19 or 20 or 23 (184538)
- 25 vital statistics/ or morbidity/ or incidence/ or prevalence/ or mortality/ or "cause of death"/ or child mortality/ or fatal outcome/ or mortality, premature/ or survival rate/ (776559)
- 26 (rate or rates or statistic* or epidemiolog* or incidence or prevalence or frequency).tw. (4827926)
- 27 25 or 26 (5107453)
- 28 7 and 24 and 27 (1708)
- 29 22 or 28 (4770)
- 30 29 not (letter or news or comment or editorial).pt. (4447)

Database: Embase <1974 to 2019 February 21> Search Strategy:

-
- 1 exp athlete/ or exp sport/ or soldier/ (199744)
 - 2 (athlete\$1 or athletic\$1 or sport\$1 or military or army or "air force" or navy).mp. (240894)
 - 3 (baseball or basketball or boxing or boxer\$1 or football or golf* or gymnastic* or hockey).mp. or ((bicycling or cyclist* or cycling) and (athlet* or sport*)).tw. (34495)
 - 4 ("martial arts" or "tai ji" or "tai chi" or mountaineer* or "rock climb*" or "racquet sports" or tennis or running or jogging or runner\$1 or marathoner\$1 or skating or skater\$1).mp. (96438)
 - 5 ("snow sports" or skiing or skier\$1 or snowboard* or "ski jump*" or soccer or "track adj3 field" or volleyball or swimmer\$1 or swimming or diving or diver\$1).mp. (68917)
 - 6 ("weight lift*" or wrestling or wrestler\$1 or rugby).mp. (10918)
 - 7 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 (404841)
 - 8 exp electrocardiography/ or exp echocardiography/ (428456)
 - 9 (electrocardiogra* or ecg or ecgs or ekg or ekgs or echocardiogra*).mp. (574447)
 - 10 mass screening/ or medical history/ or physical examination/ or medical assessment/ (371074)
 - 11 (pre-participation or preparticipation or screening or ppe).mp. (961400)
 - 12 ((pre-season or preseason or medical or cardiac or cardiovascular or sport*) adj2 (clearance or exam* or screen* or physical or evaluat*)).tw. (63248)
 - 13 ((pre-participation or preparticipation) adj3 (screen* or physical* or exam* or clearance or evaluat*)).tw. (1419)
 - 14 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 (1835968)
 - 15 exp heart disease/ (1669899)
 - 16 ((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) adj3 abnormal*).tw. (21384)
 - 17 ((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) adj3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit*)).tw. (67144)
 - 18 ((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) adj3 patholog*).tw. (13481)
 - 19 ((heart or cardiac) adj3 (death or arrest)).tw. (96217)
 - 20 (("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle).tw. (46305)
 - 21 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 (1738361)
 - 22 7 and 14 and 21 (8540)
 - 23 limit 22 to human (7429)

- 24 limit 23 to (editorial or letter or note) (623)
- 25 23 not 24 (6806)
- 26 mortality/ or cardiovascular mortality/ or childhood mortality/ or exp mortality rate/ or premature mortality/ (774870)
- 27 vital statistics/ or morbidity/ or incidence/ or prevalence/ or "cause of death"/ or fatality/ or survival rate/ (1562652)
- 28 (rate or rates or statistic* or epidemiolog* or incidence or prevalence or frequency).tw. (6379022)
- 29 26 or 27 or 28 (7161609)
- 30 7 and 21 and 29 (8219)
- 31 limit 30 to human (6920)
- 32 limit 31 to (editorial or letter or note) (343)
- 33 31 not 32 (6577)
- 34 25 or 33 (10368)

Cochrane CENTRAL

Date Run: 25/02/2019 21:07:29

ID	Search Hits	
#1	[mh athletes] or [mh sports] or [mh "military personnel"]	15005
#2	athlete* or athletic* or sport or sports or military or army or "air force" or navy	27710
#3	baseball or basketball or boxing or boxer* or football or golf* or gymnastic* or hockey	1999
#4	(bicycling or cyclist* or cycling) and (athlet* or sport*)	2009
#5	"martial arts" or "tai ji" or "tai chi" or mountaineer* or "rock climbing" or "racquet sports" or tennis or running or runner* or jogging or jogger* or runner or marathon* or skating or skater*	7653
#6	"snow sports" or skiing or skier* or snowboard* or "ski jump*" or soccer or "track and field" or volleyball or swimmer* or swimming or diving or divers	4794
#7	"weight lifting" or "weight lifters" or "weight lifter" or wrestling or wrestler* or rugby	1332
#8	#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7	42075
#9	[mh electrocardiography] or [mh echocardiography]	12185
#10	electrocardiogra* or ecg or ecgs or ekg or ekgs or echocardiogra*	29827
#11	[mh ^"mass screening"] or [mh ^"medical history taking"] or [mh ^"physical examination"]	3857
#12	pre-participation or preparticipation or screening or ppe	34905
#13	(pre-season or preseason or medical or cardiac or cardiovascular or sport*) near/2 (clearance or exam* or screen* or physical or evaluat*)	5112
#14	(pre-participation or preparticipation) near/3 (screen* or physical* or exam* or clearance or evaluat*)	12
#15	#9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14	67737
#16	[mh "heart diseases"/DI,DG,MO,PC]	18825
#17	(heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) NEAR/3 abnormal*	873

- #18 (heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) NEAR/3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit*) 7124
- #19 (heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) NEAR/3 patholog* 239
- #20 (heart or cardiac) NEAR/3 (death or arrest) 9006
- #21 ("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle 1859
- #22 #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 32227
- #23 #8 AND #15 AND #22 371
- #24 [mh "heart diseases"/mo] 6083
- #25 #18 or #20 or #21 or #24 19853
- #26 [mh ^"vital statistics"] or [mh ^morbidity] or [mh ^incidence] or [mh ^prevalence] or [mh ^mortality] or [mh ^"cause of death"] or [mh ^"child mortality"] or [mh ^"fatal outcome"] or [mh ^"mortality, premature"] or [mh ^"survival rate"] 23815
- #27 rate or rates or statistic* or epidemiolog* or incidence or prevalence or frequency 482166
- #28 #26 or #27 482567
- #29 #8 and #25 and #28 506
- #30 #23 or #29 in Trials 253

Web of Science Core Collection: Citation Indexes 27-2-19

1

1,123,261

TOPIC: (athlete* or athletic* or sport* or military or army or "air force" or navy) OR TOPIC: (baseball or basketball or boxing or boxer* or football or golf* or gymnastic* or hockey) OR TOPIC: ((bicycling or cyclist* or cycling) and (athlet* or sport*)) OR TOPIC: ("martial arts" or "tai ji" or "tai chi" or mountaineer* or "rock climb*" or "racquet sports" or tennis or running or jogging or runner* or marathoner* or skating or skater*) OR TOPIC: ("snow sports" or skiing or skier* or snowboard* or "ski jump*" or soccer or "track and field" or volleyball or swimmer* or swimming or diving or divers) OR TOPIC: ("weight lift*" or wrestling or wrestler* or rugby)
 Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

2

1,116,849

TS=(electrocardiogra* or ecg or ecgs or ekg or ekgs or echocardiogra*) OR TS=("pre-participation" or preparticipation or screening or ppe) OR TS=((pre-season or preseason or medical or cardiac or cardiovascular or sport*) near/2 (clearance or exam* or screen* or physical or evaluat*)) OR TS=((pre-participation or preparticipation) near/3 (screen* or physical* or exam* or clearance or evaluat*))
 Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

3

575,492

TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 (disease* or abnormal*)) OR TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit*)) OR TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 patholog*) OR TS=((heart or cardiac) near/3 (death or arrest)) OR TS(("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle)

Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

4

3,130

#3 AND #2 AND #1

Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

5

6,387,434

TS=(morbidity or statistic* or epidemiolog* or incidence or prevalence or frequency or sensitivity or specificity)

Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

6

167,344

TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit* or death or arrest))

OR TS=(("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle)

Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

7

1,714

#6 AND #5 AND #1

Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

8

4,099

#7 OR #4

Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=All years

Biosis Citation Index 1969 to date 27-2-19

1

410,863

TS= (athlete* or athletic* or sport* or military or army or "air force" or navy) OR TS= (baseball or basketball or boxing or boxer* or football or golf* or gymnastic* or hockey) OR TS=

((bicycling or cyclist* or cycling) and (athlet* or sport*)) OR TS= ("martial arts" or "tai ji" or "tai chi" or mountaineer* or "rock climb*" or "racquet sports" or tennis or running or jogging or

runner* or marathoner* or skating or skater*) OR TS=("snow sports" or skiing or skier* or snowboard* or "ski jump*" or soccer or "track and field" or volleyball or swimmer* or

swimming or diving or divers) OR TS= ("weight lift*" or wrestling or wrestler* or rugby)

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

2

769,756

TS=(electrocardiogra* or ecg or ecgs or ekg or ekgs or echocardiogra*) OR TS=("pre-participation" or preparticipation or screening or ppe) OR TS=((pre-season or preseason or medical or cardiac or cardiovascular or sport*) near/2 (clearance or exam* or screen* or physical or evaluat*)) OR TS=((pre-participation or preparticipation) near/3 (screen* or physical* or exam* or clearance or evaluat*))

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

3

2,310,299

TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 (disease* or abnormal*)) OR TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit*)) OR TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 patholog*) OR TS=((heart or cardiac) near/3 (death or arrest)) OR TS(("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle)

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

4

4,794

#3 AND #2 AND #1

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

5

4,515,520

TS=(morbidity or statistic* or epidemiolog* or incidence or prevalence or frequency or sensitivity or specificity)

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

6

109,729

TS=((heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) near/3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit* or death or arrest)) OR TS(("sudden death" or scd or sca) not sickle)

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

7

969

#6 AND #5 AND #1

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

8

5,360

#7 OR #4

Indexes=BCI Timespan=All years

Scopus 5556 hits 27-2-19

(((TITLE-ABS-KEY (athlete* OR athletic* OR sport* OR military OR army OR "air force" OR navy) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ((bicycling OR cyclist* OR cycling) AND (athlet* OR sport*)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("martial arts" OR "tai ji" OR "tai chi" OR mountaineer* OR "rock climb*" OR "racquet sports" OR tennis OR running OR jogging OR runner* OR marathoner* OR skating OR skater*)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("snow sports" OR skiing OR skier* OR snowboard* OR "ski jump*" OR soccer OR "track and field" OR volleyball OR swimmer* OR swimming OR diving OR divers) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("weight lift*" OR wrestling OR wrestler* OR rugby) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (baseball OR basketball OR boxing OR boxer* OR football OR golf* OR gymnastic* OR hockey))) AND ((TITLE-ABS-KEY (electrocardiogra* OR ecg OR ecgs OR ekg OR ekgs OR echocardiogra*) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("pre-participation" OR preparticipation OR screening OR ppe) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ((pre-season OR preseason OR medical OR cardiac OR cardiovascular OR sport*)) W/2 (clearance OR exam* OR screen* OR physical OR evaluat*)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ((pre-participation OR preparticipation) W/3 (screen* OR physical* OR exam* OR clearance OR evaluat*))) AND ((TITLE-ABS-KEY ((heart OR cardiac OR cardiovascular) W/3 (disease* OR abnormal* OR lethal OR fatal* OR mortalit* OR patholog*)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ((heart OR cardiac) W/3 (death OR arrest)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("sudden death" OR scd OR sca) AND NOT sickle))) OR (((TITLE-ABS-KEY (athlete* OR athletic* OR sport* OR military OR army OR "air force" OR navy) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ((bicycling OR cyclist* OR cycling) AND (athlet* OR sport*)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("martial arts" OR "tai ji" OR "tai chi" OR mountaineer* OR "rock climb*" OR "racquet sports" OR tennis OR running OR jogging OR runner* OR marathoner* OR skating OR skater*)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("snow sports" OR skiing OR skier* OR snowboard* OR "ski jump*" OR soccer OR "track and field" OR volleyball OR swimmer* OR swimming OR diving OR divers) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("weight lift*" OR wrestling OR wrestler* OR rugby) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (baseball OR basketball OR boxing OR boxer* OR football OR golf* OR gymnastic* OR hockey))) AND (TITLE-ABS-KEY (morbidity OR statistic* OR epidemiolog* OR incidence OR prevalence OR frequency OR sensitivity OR specificity)) AND ((TITLE-ABS-KEY ((heart OR cardiac OR cardiovascular) W/3 (lethal OR fatal* OR mortalit* OR death OR arrest)) OR TITLE-ABS-KEY ("sudden death" OR scd OR sca) AND NOT sickle))) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "re") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "cp") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ch") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "sh") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ip") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "bk") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "cr"))

Limited to article, review, conference paper, book chapter, short survey, article in press, book, or conference review

SportDiscus Friday, March 01, 2019 1:12:16 PM

S1 (DE "ATHLETES" OR DE "ABORIGINAL Australian athletes" OR DE "AFRICAN athletes" OR DE "AMATEUR athletes" OR DE "ARAB athletes" OR DE "ARCHERS" OR DE "ASIAN athletes" OR DE "ATHLETES as actors" OR DE "ATHLETES in art" OR DE "ATHLETES with disabilities" OR DE "BADMINTON players" OR DE "BASEBALL players" OR DE "BASKETBALL players" OR DE "BLACK athletes" OR DE "BOBSLEDDERS" OR DE "BODYBUILDERS" OR DE "BOWLERS" OR DE "BOXERS (Sports)" OR DE "BULLFIGHTERS" OR DE "CANADIAN athletes" OR DE "CANOEISTS" OR DE "CELEBRITY athletes" OR DE "CHILD athletes" OR DE "CHILDREN of athletes" OR DE "CHRISTIAN athletes" OR DE "COLLEGE athletes" OR DE "CRICKET players" OR DE "CROQUET players" OR DE

"CURLERS (Athletes)" OR DE "CYCLISTS" OR DE "DEFENSIVE players" OR DE "DIABETIC athletes" OR DE "DIRTBOARDERS" OR DE "ELITE athletes" OR DE "ENDURANCE athletes" OR DE "EUROPEAN athletes" OR DE "FENCERS" OR DE "FOOTBALL players" OR DE "GAY athletes" OR DE "GLADIATORS" OR DE "GOLFERS" OR DE "GYMNASTS" OR DE "HANDBALL players" OR DE "HIGH school athletes" OR DE "HOCKEY players" OR DE "INTERSEX athletes" OR DE "JAI alai players" OR DE "JEWISH athletes" OR DE "JUNIOR high school athletes" OR DE "KABADDI players" OR DE "LACROSSE players" OR DE "LAWN bowlers" OR DE "LGBT athletes" OR DE "LONG-term athlete development" OR DE "MALE athletes" OR DE "MARTIAL artists" OR DE "MEXICAN athletes" OR DE "MIDDLE school athletes" OR DE "MOUNTAINEERS" OR DE "MUSLIM athletes" OR DE "NATIVE American athletes" OR DE "NETBALL players" OR DE "OFFENSIVE players" OR DE "OLDER athletes" OR DE "OLYMPIC athletes" OR DE "ORIENTEERS" OR DE "PACIFIC Islander athletes" OR DE "PROFESSIONAL athletes" OR DE "ROWERS" OR DE "RUGBY football players" OR DE "RUNNERS (Sports)" OR DE "SKATERS" OR DE "SKIERS" OR DE "SKYDIVERS" OR DE "SNOWBOARDERS" OR DE "SOCCER players" OR DE "SOFTBALL players" OR DE "SQUASH players" OR DE "STARTING players" OR DE "SUBSTITUTE players" OR DE "SURFERS" OR DE "SWIMMERS" OR DE "TABLE tennis players" OR DE "TEAM handball players" OR DE "TENNIS players" OR DE "TRACK & field athletes" OR DE "TRIATHLETES" OR DE "VOLLEYBALL players" OR DE "WATER polo players" OR DE "WEIGHT lifters" OR DE "WINDSURFERS (Persons)" OR DE "WOMEN athletes" OR DE "WRESTLERS") OR (DE "ATHLETICS" OR DE "AMATEUR sports" OR DE "ATHLETIC tryouts" OR DE "BAG punching" OR DE "BOXING" OR DE "COLLEGE sports" OR DE "DUATHLON" OR DE "FENCING" OR DE "GOODWILL Games" OR DE "GYMNASTICS" OR DE "HIGHLAND games" OR DE "JIU-jitsu" OR DE "MIXED martial arts" OR DE "PANCRATIUM" OR DE "PARKOUR" OR DE "POWERLIFTING" OR DE "PROFESSIONALISM in sports" OR DE "SENIOR Olympics" OR DE "SKATING" OR DE "SWIMMING" OR DE "TETRATHLON" OR DE "TRACK & field" OR DE "TRIATHLON" OR DE "WALKING" OR DE "WEIGHT lifting" OR DE "WRESTLING")

S2 KW (Athlete or athletes or athletic or athletics) OR TI (Athlete or athletes or athletic or athletics) OR AB (Athlete or athletes or athletic or athletics) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S3 DE "MILITARY sports" OR TX military Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S4 DE "PERIODIC health examinations" Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S5 ((DE "ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHY" OR DE "VECTORCARDIOGRAPHY") OR (DE "ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY" OR DE "STRESS echocardiography")) OR (electrocardiogra* or ecg or ecgs or ekg or ekgs or echocardiogra*) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S6 DE "MEDICAL screening" OR (pre-participation or preparticipation or screening or ppe) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S7 ((pre-season or preseason or medical or cardiac or cardiovascular or sport*) W2 (clearance or exam* or screen* or physical or evaluat*)) OR ((pre-participation or preparticipation) w3 (screen* or physical* or exam* or clearance or evaluat*)) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S8 DE "HEART diseases" OR DE "ARRHYTHMIA" OR DE "CARDIAC arrest" OR DE "CARDIAC hypertrophy" OR DE "CARDIOMYOPATHIES" OR DE "CORONARY heart disease" OR DE "HEART block" OR DE "HEART dilatation" OR DE "HEART failure" Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S9 DE "SUDDEN death" Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S10 (heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) w3 abnormal* Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S11 (heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) w3 (lethal or fatal* or mortalit*) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S12 (heart or cardiac or cardiovascular) w3 patholog* Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S13 (heart or cardiac) w3 (death or arrest) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S14 ("sudden death" or scd or sca)) NOT sickle Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S15 S1 OR S2 OR S3 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S16 (s1 or s2 or s3) AND (s4 or s5 or s6 or s7) AND (s8 or s9 or s10 or s11 or s12 or s13 or s14) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S17 S9 OR S11 OR S13 OR S14 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S18 (DE "DEATH rate") AND (DE "MORTALITY" OR DE "ATHLETE mortality") Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S19 morbidity or statistic* or epidemiolog* or incidence or prevalence or frequency or sensitivity or specificity Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S20 s15 AND s17 AND (s18 or s19) Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

S21 S16 OR S20 Search modes - Boolean/Phrase Interface - EBSCOhost Research Databases

PEDRO 1/3/19 -- no hits
 Sudden AND death and sport*
 OR
 Sudden AND death AND athlet*

Clinicaltrials.gov July 29, 2019 -57 hits
 Sudden cardiac death
 AND
 ECG
 Screening
 Athletes
 Military

Appendix D: Characteristics of Included Studies

Abacherli, et. al. (2014) is a conference abstract, which reports a comparison of SCD in 5,257,792 males aged 16-29 in the Swiss Army before (1997-04) and after (2004-10) the institution of ECG screening for conscripts. The abstract also details a comparison of men screened to women not screened, which was not eligible for this review. Details about case identification were not detailed.

Corrado, et.al. (2006) compared competitive athletes of both sexes, aged 12-35, who have been screened with ECG (1982-04) to historic populations of athletes prior to the institution of national ECG screening (1979-1981) in the Veneto region of Italy (n=2,947,482). Cases were identified with medical and autopsy records.

Maron, et. al, (2009) is a comparison of SCD in two separately published cohorts, the intervention group which has undergone ECG screening from the Corrado, et. al. (2006) cohort (n=1,379,310), and the control group without ECG screening from a published cohort of competitive athletes in the U.S. state of Minnesota (n=1,182,796)¹⁹. The cohort from Minnesota appears to have cases of SCA included with the events of SCD. Both cohorts include data for over 20 years, but only 12 years of data are included in the primary analysis. The age group in the control cohort is not detailed, but eligible cases appear to include those up to professional level, while the number of athlete years is calculated with high school and university athletes; the intervention arm age group is 12-35.

Steinvil, et.al. (2011) compared athletes (n=921,792) including both sexes in Israel before (1985-97) and after (1998-09) the institution of a mandatory cardiac screening program. The program mandated PPE with ECG screening, and exercise stress test every 4 years for those under 35 years of age, and annually for those 35 or older. Cases were identified by search of reports in the Israel's two main newspapers covering 90% of the population, according to the authors. The eligible age group is reported as those under 40, but does report a single death of an athlete at age 44. Cases of SCD were identified by media report.

Abacherli 2014

Methods	<p>Study design: Historically controlled trial</p> <p>Historically controlled trial: Comparison of SCD in Male Swiss conscripts before ECG screening 1997-2004, and after 2004-2010. The abstract details a comparison of males to females as the primary outcome in 3 different age groups, and does not give outcome data/raw numbers in two of the age groups. In age group 20-24, it gives the relative risk comparison (or odds ratio according to the abstract it is difficult to understand) of the pre- and post-ECG numbers in the men of this age. This data is extracted for our comparison.</p>
Participants	<p>Baseline Characteristics: None Given</p> <p>Included criteria: male swiss conscripts before and after ECG screening began. Including 1997-2004, and 2004-2010 cohorts. Only age 20-24 in men is extracted for our data as this pertains to our comparison of ECG vs. No ECG pre-participation exams with outcome data. Total number males 20-24: 1,690,932</p> <p>Excluded criteria: none detailed</p> <p>Gender: male</p> <p>Sport: military</p> <p>Level of Sport: military</p> <p>ECG Screened: 1997-2004 no; 2004-2010 yes</p>
Interventions	Intervention Characteristics

	Intervention: PPE with ECG screening (2004-2010) Control: PPE with no ECG screen (1997-2004)
Outcomes	<p><i>Relative risk or Odds Ratio of SCD, age group 20-24 males PPE (1997-2004) with no ECG vs. PPE with ECG (2004-2010)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcome type: Dichotomous Outcome: SCD vs. No SCD • Relative Risk or Odds Ratio-not clear 0.56 (95%CI: 0.35-0.91) • Notes: Very little detail about results. The study design does not meet our inclusion criteria for incidence, however they report what appears to be a relative risk of before and after ECG sudden deaths in the age group of 20-24 men only. p value not reported for this portion of the study. The goal of study was comparing men to women age 20-24
Identification	<p>Sponsorship source: None listed Country: Switzerland Setting: Swiss Army Comments: Authors name: Roger Abächerli, PhD Institution: Universitätsspital Basel Email: unkown Address: unknown</p>
Notes	<p>Aaron Lear on 13/05/2019 21:43 Included Comparative article- Cochrane ROB Quality and data extraction in covidence</p>

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: No sequence generation; historically controlled trial. No details about how they chose years, why these were included.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: Subjects not allocated to a group in this historically controlled trial.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: not commented on in the abstract
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: Not commented on in this abstract

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: Not commented on in this abstract
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: There is no comment on how the outcomes were chosen, and why the military was divided into separate age groups, but only the 20-24 year old age group was included in the abstract, but the other age groups were not reported upon.
Other bias	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: Very little detail in this abstract. Unclear if groups differed; unclear how the outcomes were determined; unclear why only the age group of 20-24 was hypothesized about, rather than all young men included in study. Study was designed to compare swiss army males to women (unclear whether general population vs military). However study reports what appears to be RR (or OR) on age 20-24 males before and after screening started.

Corrado 2006

Methods	<p>Study design: Historically controlled trial comparing athletes with ECG screening, to athletes without ECG screening; Prospectively collected incidence trial</p> <p>Study grouping: Athletes dying in the pre-screening era 1979-81 compared to athletes dying in post-screening era 1982-2004</p> <p>Prospective cohort: Portion extracted as prospective cohort study as well.</p>
Participants	<p>Baseline Characteristics: None Provided</p> <p>Included criteria: Competitive athletes in the Veneto region of Italy from 1979-2004</p> <p>Excluded criteria: None listed</p> <p>Pretreatment: Not detailed.</p> <p>Age: 12-35</p> <p>Gender: combination</p> <p>Sport: combination</p> <p>Level of Sport: competitive</p> <p>ECG Screened: majority of athletes screened</p>
Interventions	<p>Intervention Characteristics</p> <p>PPE with no ECG or no PPE vs. PPE with ECG</p>
Outcomes	<p>SCA: no</p> <p>SCD: yes</p>

	<p>Exertional: all deaths included</p> <p>Method of ID cases: combination medical record, autopsy</p>
Identification	<p>Sponsorship source: Veneto Region, Cardiocerebrovascular Pathology Registry, Venice, Italy; the European Commission research contract QLG1 CT-2000 01091; the Ministry of Health, Rome, Italy; and Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Padova e Rovigo, Padova, Italy</p> <p>Country: Italy</p> <p>Setting: Veneto Region Competitive athletes</p> <p>Comments: NA</p> <p>Authors name: Domenico Corrado, MD (1st); Gaetano Thiene, MD (last)</p> <p>Institution: Department of Cardiac, Thoracic, and Vascular Sciences University of Padua Medical School Padua, Italy</p> <p>Email: gaetano.thiene@unipd.it</p> <p>Address: Isti- tuto di Anatomia Patologica, Via A. Gabelli, 61- 35121 Padova, Italy</p>
Notes	<p>Denominator determination: Denominator was not detailed year by year, but rather was given over the entirety of the article. Our numbers in each group are the result of dividing this overall number by the 26 year study period.</p> <p>Calculated from the table 1 in the paper. This is a different total number (intervention and control) compared to the number they report (Reported 2938730, calculated from the 3 separate incidence reportings: 2947482)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Used total athlete years 2838730/26 yrs=113028.1/yr <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pre-screening group= 14 deaths/339070 no deaths • Post-screening group=41 deaths/2599605 no deaths

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: No clear description of the demographics of the groups pre-screening vs. post screening. Unable to compare the groups being compared in this trial.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: N/A
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: Not reported
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: Not a blinded trial. Does not appear to have been a pre-specified analysis plan,

		the authors are aware of all outcomes and data before writing the article.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Report 94% of patients in the region should have followed up at involved health centers, making it fairly unlikely that many cases were missed. Reports that all deaths in this age group should be autopsied, also making it unlikely.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Outcomes were checked via news reports, and autopsies which were done on all young deaths according to Italian statute.
Other bias	High risk	Judgement Comment: the authors suggest the likely cause of change in SCD rate over years; no consideration given to confounding factors, or attempts to describe participants, or to control for other variables that may have affected outcomes over the very different time periods
EPIDEMIOLOGY EXT VALIDITY: REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Cases described from participating medical centers (94%) of available population in these hospitals.
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: CASE DEFINITION	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Cases ID'd from news reports and, primarily from hospital/autopsy records
EPIDEMIOLOGY EXT VALIDITY: SAMPLING/SUBJECTS INCLUDED	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Cases described from participating medical centers (94%) of available population in these hospitals.
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: STUDY PERIOD	Low risk	> 100,000 person years
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: DATA COLLECTION SOURCE	Low risk	Judgement Comment: All data collected from same source
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: NUMERATOR AND DENOMINATOR	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Cases described from participating medical centers (94%) of available population in these hospitals. Some concern regarding the denominator as the population over 26 years is considered 'stable' which seems unlikely- the population would change either up or down over time.
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: MODE OF DATA COLLECTION	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Data collected and identified from mandatory autopsy reports in the age group included

EPIDEMIOLOGY FINAL SUMMARY:	Low risk	
EPIDEMIOLOGY EXT VALIDITY: NONRESPONSE BIAS/FOLLOW UP OF COHORT	N/A	

Maron 2009a

Methods	<p>Study design: Comparison of two separate cohorts collected prospectively, one with ECG screening, one without</p> <p>Study grouping: No details listed</p>
Participants	<p>Included criteria: All competitive athletes in the Veneto Region of Italy ages 12-35. All athletes counted in high school, college, and professional in Minnesota.</p> <p>Excluded criteria: none listed</p> <p>Age: 12-35 Veneto. Not described for Minnesota.</p> <p>Gender: both</p> <p>Sport: combination</p> <p>Level of Sport: competitive</p> <p>ECG screened: portion</p>
Interventions	<p>Intervention Characteristics</p> <p>Control: PPE with no ECG (Minnesota athletes)</p> <p>Intervention: PPE with ECG (Veneto region, Italy athletes)</p>
Outcomes	<p>SCA: no</p> <p>SCD: yes</p> <p>Exertional: all deaths</p> <p>Method of ID Cases: Veneto cohort-autopsy data; MN cohort combination of catastrophic insurance records, web/media reports, autopsy/medical records</p> <p>Outcomes Quote: "There were 55 sudden cardiovascular deaths reported in Veneto over 26 years (2.1/year), compared with 22 deaths in 23years (0.96/year) in Minnesota. Over the recent and comparable 11-year period, 1993 to2004, 12 deaths were reported in Veneto and 11 in Minnesota. When analyzed as deaths per 100,000 person-years, Veneto exceeded Minnesota for all years combined (1.87 for 1979 to2004 vs 1.06 for 1985 to 2007, respectively, p0.006), although the 2 regions did not differ significantly for 1993 to 2004 (0.87 vs 0.93, respectively, p0.88) or most recently for 2001to 2004 (0.43 vs 0.90, respectively, p0.38)."</p>
Identification	<p>Sponsorship source: The Hearst Foundations, New York, New York</p>

	<p>Country: USA and Italy</p> <p>Setting: Comparison of Minnesota scholastic, collegiate, and professional athletes to All competitive athletes in the Veneto region of Italy</p> <p>Comments: Comparison of two separate published data bases to compare rates of sudden cardiac death. The data extracted for comparison portion of the study is only for the 1993-2004 comparison made, as the overall time periods and comparisons include the period of no-screening in Italy.</p> <p>Authors name: Barry J. Maron, MD</p> <p>Institution: Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Center, Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation, Minneapolis, Minnesota</p> <p>Email: hcm.maron@MIHF.org</p> <p>Address: NA</p>
Notes	Demographics not well described outside of population, and proportion of whites in the general population. This is not described for the athletic population. Minnesota appears to exclude middle school, or those younger than 14 in their data set. Also appears not to include athletes competing in non-scholastic, college, or professional sports (such as youth sports clubs, adult amateur teams). This would likely make the Minnesota cohort significantly younger.

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: There is no randomization here. A comparison of two populations of athletes, and the outcomes of screening programs. There is no comparison of the populations (as this demographic data was not reported in the original Italian database), except to say that Minnesota, and the Veneto region of Italy have 'demographically similar' populations. Veneto 2.93 million person years of athletics; vs. 2.28 person years in Minnesota population. It is unclear who beyond scholastic, collegiate, and professional athletes are included in Minnesota population, while anyone competing in competitive sport must have ECG in Italian database.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: No allocation concealment present as the data was collected retrospectively from two separate cohorts.
Blinding of participants and personnel	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: No blinding as this is a comparison of retrospective cohort data

(performance bias)		
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: Two separate cohorts previously published are compared in this article. There is no blinding of the outcomes.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Low risk	Quote: "Comparisons between the 2 regions were made for 1993 to 2004 inclusive and also selectively for 2001 to 2004, to be consistent with the data available from the 2 regions and the format of Corrado et al."
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	Quote: "1993 to 2004 specifically, there were 12 deaths in Veneto and 11 in Minnesota." Quote: "The death rate per 100,000 person-years in Veneto exceeded that in Minnesota considering all years analyzed and compared (1.87 vs 0.97, p 0.006). For the most recent years, 1993 to 2004, the 2 regions did not differ statistically with respect to mortality rate for athletes (Veneto 0.87 vs Minnesota 0.93; 12 and 11 deaths, respectively; p 0.88; Figure 2). For 2001 to 2004 specifically, the death rate was higher in Minnesota, but the difference compared with Veneto did not achieve statistical significance (Minnesota 0.90 vs Veneto 0.43; 4 and 2 deaths, respectively; p 0.38; Figure 2)." Judgement Comment: Risk of undercount, particularly in the Minnesota data based on the method of identification of the cases. It is also true that the MN data appears to include only scholastic and collegiate athletes, and not those participating in clubs or other non-school/college/professional sports organizations-which differs significantly from Italian data. It is also true that of the 23 yrs in MN database, and the 26 yrs in Veneto database, only 12 years 1993-2004 were compared.
Other bias	High risk	Judgement Comment: There is no clear statement about what the primary outcome was in the study. In the discussion, the authors point out that in the years 1993-2004, they are able to compare the same years, vs. a comparison of the deaths reported in the separate studies over different time spans, and including different years. It is also unclear if the MN data included SCA referenced in the results section (which would differ from the Veneto cohort). Inherent bias in comparing two separate cohorts, the confounding that exists from likely different medical care, different EMS service, different population data such as women and black athletes

		being involved is difficult to interpret without demographic data.
--	--	--

Steinvil 2011

Methods	<p>Study design: Historically controlled trial and retrospective cohort</p> <p>Study grouping: Israeli athletes</p> <p>Retrospective cohort: Incidence portion of the study is considered retrospective cohort</p> <p>Historically Controlled trial: Cohorts from 12 years before and after 1997 law requiring ECG annually and every 4 year exercise stress test for competitive athletes 17-34, and annual exercise stress test for those 35+. Also give incidence rate for 24 year period (retrospective cohort portion).</p>
Participants	<p>Included criteria: Competitive athletes in Israel. The age inclusion is not clear, they appear to determine the denominator based on competitive athletes between the ages of 10 and 40, but deaths included are from 12-44. Deaths included are based on news reports in Israel's two major newspapers which cover 90% readership in country. The determination of SCD is determined by consensus of 3 investigators working on the project.</p> <p>Excluded criteria: Non sudden cardiac death</p> <p>Age: Unclear. Denominator calculated with ages 10-40. Deaths included in calculations are 12-44 (only one 44 year old out of 10-40 age range).</p> <p>Gender: both</p> <p>Sport: combination</p> <p>Level of Sport: competitive</p> <p>ECG screened: portion</p>
Interventions	<p>Intervention Characteristics</p> <p>Intervention group: PPE with ECG, and stress test (1998-2009)</p> <p>Control group: PPE with no ECG, or no PPE (1985-1997)</p>
Outcomes	<p>SCA: yes</p> <p>SCD: yes</p> <p>Exertional: not described, believed to be all deaths</p> <p>Method of ID Cases: web/media reports in two national newspapers covering 90% of readership in Israel</p>
Identification	<p>Sponsorship source: none listed</p> <p>Country: Israel</p> <p>Setting: Competitive athletes in Israel</p>

	<p>Comments: NA</p> <p>Authors name: Arie Steinvil, MD, Sami Viskin, MD (last)</p> <p>Institution: Department of Internal Medicine "D," Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center and Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel</p> <p>Email: samiviskin@gmail.com</p> <p>Address: Dr. Sami Viskin, Department of Cardiology, The Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center 6 Weizman Street, Tel-Aviv, Israel</p>
Notes	Both comparative study, and cohort observational study

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: There is no sequence occurring in this historically controlled trial. There is no description of the demographics of groups being compared.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: Again, no formal randomization process undertaken, so not clear that this ROB can be assessed
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	Judgement Comment: There does appear to be any discussion of blinding those searching for deaths in the newspapers as to what they were looking for/comparing
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: No description of blinding of the professional media researchers looking through the two national papers used for the project.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	High risk	Judgement Comment: Data was extracted exclusively from 2 national newspapers under the assumption that these rare and dramatic events are reported nearly universally. This is addressed by stating that they are only examining "competitive athletes," again supposing that this increases the likelihood of newspaper coverage. However, there is still a significant possibility that the papers did not cover some events or perhaps changed their scope of reporting over the 24 years in question.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	Quote: "All the reports of deaths or dramatic medical events in competitive athletes were brought to the consideration of 3 investigators to determine (by consensus) whether the report could

		<p>be considered as an athlete's sudden cardiac death or cardiac arrest."</p> <p>Judgement Comment: The study relies on the two national newspapers to report sudden deaths in athletes. It is likely that outcomes were missed, as has been demonstrated in other articles published critiquing the use of media sources as the single identifier of outcomes. The authors also note the newspapers reach 90% of readership in Israel, but it is unclear how this impacts the reporting of sudden death in athletes.</p>
Other bias	High risk	<p>Judgement Comment: The comparison of two different time points presents significant risks of bias. The make up of competitive athletes is likely to include more females when comparing 1980s and 90s to the late 90s and 2000s. There is also the issue of likely improved EMS response over time, the distribution and use of AED in public spaces. There have been published articles in European countries reporting on improved rates of survival in out of hospital cardiac arrest; and with athletes in particular in these settings, their survival is better than the general population. These issues would likely confound the comparison of two different time points. This article does use equal lengths of time, to their benefit, to attempt to control for random variation in deaths occurring, or deaths reported on.</p>
EPIDEMIOLOGY EXT VALIDITY: REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE	Low risk	<p>Judgement Comment: Only competitive athletes included in both cases and the denominator</p>
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: CASE DEFINITION	High risk	<p>Quote: "Overall, 36 incidents of potential sudden death events in competitive athletes were identified by the professional media researchers. Twelve of these incidents were excluded by the investigators because of the following reasons: 6 events were unequivocally the result of trauma (accidental head trauma with brain concussion, intracranial bleeding, or both in 5 and severe chest trauma during a hockey game in 1 athlete); 2 incidents occurred before the assigned study period; and 4 events involved 2 referees, 1 coach, and 1 former athlete.</p>

		<p>Thus, we identified 24 events of presumed sudden cardiac death or cardiac arrest in athletes."</p> <p>Judgement Comment: "witnessed instantaneous death with futile/successful resuscitation" - case definition problematic because it includes all cause sudden death, potential for many non-cardiac causes, particularly heat related illness given the local climate. Could be over-estimating incidence based on case definition. The only description is that the reporting of sudden death meets a likely definition of SCD in an athlete. There is no confirmatory reporting on the incidence of the deaths.</p>
EPIDEMIOLOGY EXT VALIDITY: SAMPLING/SUBJECTS INCLUDED	Low risk	<p>Judgement Comment: The denominator includes Israeli competitive athletes.</p>
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: STUDY PERIOD	Low risk	<p>> 100,000 person years</p> <p>Judgement Comment: Lengthy (12 years x2) and equivalent periods studied.</p>
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: DATA COLLECTION SOURCE	High risk	<p>Judgement Comment: Newspaper reports only. No other records, eye witness accounts, autopsy reports or additional data were mentioned.</p>
EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: NUMERATOR AND DENOMINATOR	High risk	<p>Quote: " the number of registered athletes who engaged in competitive sports during 2009 was 45,000. We extrapolated these data to the growth of the Israeli population who were 10 to 40 years of age during the last 24 years as available from the Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics. In addition, because some data suggest that the percentage of the adult population engaging in sportive activities has increased by 50% during the last decade, we repeated our calculations of the number of athletes at risk, assuming a gradual doubling of the percentage of athletes over the 24 years of our study "</p> <p>Judgement Comment: Media identification of cases is known to be a high risk of bias. The Denominator calculation appears to be focused on 10 to 40 years olds, but the cases include one person over 40 years of age. Calling into question the calculation of incidence based on these data.</p>

EPIDEMIOLOGY INTERNAL VALIDITY: MODE OF DATA COLLECTION	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Same for all subjects Individually reviewed 24 years of 2 newspapers day-by day. Definite issues with source, but as far as collection goes, it would be unlikely much data was missed.
EPIDEMIOLOGY FINAL SUMMARY:	High risk	Judgement Comment: Use of media reports highly biases this paper. It likely under-reports the amount SCD in athletes.
EPIDEMIOLOGY EXT VALIDITY: NONRESPONSE BIAS/FOLLOW UP OF COHORT	N/A	

Appendix E: Detailed Risk of Bias Determination

Allocation

Due to the historical design of all included studies, no studies performed allocation of subjects as part of their methodology leading to a determination of unclear ROB for all studies.

Blinding

The included subjects were not prospectively enrolled in the project, and thus, were not blinded to their inclusion. None of the included studies comment of blinding of outcomes assessors/analysts, and thus all studies were considered to have unclear risk of bias.

Incomplete Outcome Data

Corrado, et. al. (2006) appear to report on all outcomes included in their database. Maron, et. al. (2009) appears to report all cases in the two databases being compared and were judged low ROB. Steinvil et. al. (2011) was judged high ROB. The authors include cases which appear in two national newspapers which 90% of readership in Israel. Given this, it is likely that there is underreporting of cases in this article, however this is likely true for both the intervention and control groups. The Abacherli, et. al. (2014) was judged unclear ROB. The abstract gives little detail about the methodology used to identify cases, and the completeness of the data reported is unclear. The authors do not give the number of events occurring in either the control or intervention groups in the comparison on males aged 20-24 which they do report. In the other comparison groups included, no outcomes data is reported.

Selective Reporting

Both Abacherli, et. al. (2014), and Maron, et. al. (2009) appear to selectively report outcomes and data and were found to have high ROB. Abacherli only reports a comparison in ages 20-24, which has statistical significance; other age groups included were not statistically significant, and did not have data reported. Maron performs a comparison of SCD events for years 1993-2004, as well as 2001-2004. This is despite data being available from 1985-2005. No clear explanation is given for this decision. The other two included studies were found to have low ROB due to what appears to be full reporting^{6,7}.

Baseline Characteristics

None of the included studies include a description of the cohorts included in the articles, and all were found to have high ROB. Only Marron, et. al. (2009) gives any demographic detail, and this is of the two regions of the world the compared cohorts are drawn from, and not specifically about the included subjects. The other included studies give little to no detail about their cohorts.

Other potential Sources of Bias

The included studies all suffer from significant risk of other sources of bias. All included studies are making comparisons of cohorts rather than prospectively enrolled subjects. All articles are subject to the potential confounders which occur in such situations. These include the risk of unequal comparison groups, such as increasing numbers of women participating in sport over time, where women appear to have significantly lower chance of SCA/D to men. There is also

likely to have been decrease in smoking rates as tobacco use over time has decreased, and this is a known risk factor for ischemic heart disease. There are likely to have been changes in medical care over time such as greater availability of AEDs at locations where athletes may be active, improved emergency services response, potentially more people with CPR training, all which would lead to decreased episodes of out of hospital cardiac arrest, and make comparisons between different time periods difficult. None of the studies included attempted to control for confounding when reporting their outcomes.

References

1. Drezner JA, Sharma S, Baggish A, et al. International criteria for electrocardiographic interpretation in athletes: Consensus statement. *Br J Sports Med*. 2017;51(9):704-731. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-097331
2. Harmon KG, Drezner JA, Wilson MG, Sharma S. Incidence of sudden cardiac death in athletes: A state-of-the-art review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48(15):1185-1192. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-093872
3. Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Chichester, West Sussex, England: The Cochrane Collaboration and John Wiley and Sons; 2009.
4. Wells G, Shea B, O'connell J, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analysis.
5. Higgins JP, Green S, eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. 5.1.0. Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. www.handbook.cochrane.org.
6. Steinvil A, Chundadze T, Zeltser D, et al. Mandatory electrocardiographic screening of athletes to reduce their risk for sudden death proven fact or wishful thinking? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57(11):1291-1296.
7. Corrado D, Basso C, Pavei A, Michieli P, Schiavon M, Thiene G. Trends in sudden cardiovascular death in young competitive athletes after implementation of a preparticipation screening program. *JAMA*. 2006;296(13):1593-1601.