

1 **Methods**

2 **Data**

3 Eswatini is a low-transmission setting in sub-Saharan Africa believed to have high rates of  
4 malaria importation [1]. A rigorous surveillance system was established to support the country in  
5 making decisions about how to target and tailor interventions for malaria elimination. The  
6 surveillance data set used in this study consisted of 775 cases identified by the National Malaria  
7 Elimination Programme in Eswatini between January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2013 and December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2016 [2]. The  
8 date of detection and GPS coordinates (where available) were recorded for each case. A positive  
9 travel history was assigned to all cases classified as imported by the National Malaria  
10 Elimination Programme. If a case classification was not available, we assigned a positive travel  
11 history to cases reporting travel outside Eswatini in the 8 weeks prior to the timing of case  
12 detection.

13

14 **Analysis**

15 **Validation using a simple test case.** To demonstrate the validity of our algorithm in a basic  
16 sense, we constructed three idealized test cases. Each represented a best-case scenario in which  
17 we believed that our algorithm should perform properly if implemented correctly. These  
18 involved networks consisting of 20 cases, where the proportion of imported cases was 5%, 50%,  
19 or 90%. To further simplify the inference exercise, we simulated under a perfectly accurate travel  
20 history (i.e.,  $\tau_s = 1$  and  $\tau_l = 0$ ). The serial interval between cases involved in each local  
21 transmission event was fixed at the mean value of 49 days. Furthermore, the spatial arrangement  
22 of cases, both within and between outbreaks, was chosen to represent an ideal transmission  
23 network. Specifically, imported cases were distributed according to a Poisson process within a

24 disk with radius of 100 km, ensuring that outbreaks were spatially isolated. Moreover, the spatial  
25 distribution of nodes within each outbreak was selected using the Kamada-Kawai algorithm [3]  
26 to ensure sufficient spatial separation between cases not linked by transmission. Finally, we  
27 assigned the coordinates of each node such that the spatial distribution of the nodes was  
28 maintained and the distance separating a transmission event was 2.5 km. This distance is the  
29 mean of a half-normal distribution with variance given a serial interval of 49 days and a diffusion  
30 coefficient of 0.2 (taken as the geometric mean of the upper and lower bounds of diffusion  
31 coefficients considered by Reiner *et al.* [4]).

32 We performed inference on the three simulated data sets under five different  
33 combinations of data types and assumptions about the travel history (S1 Table). These inference  
34 settings used: (1) spatial and temporal data while estimating the accuracy of the travel history  
35 (default setting); (2) spatial and temporal data while believing the travel history (as in Reiner *et*  
36 *al.* [4] and Routledge *et al.* [5]); (3) spatial and temporal data alone; (4) temporal data while  
37 estimating the accuracy of the travel history; and (5) temporal data while believing the travel  
38 history (as in Routledge *et al.* [6]). We then measured the accuracies of case classification as  
39 imported or locally acquired, identifying a transmission linkage, identifying the correct outbreak  
40 of each locally acquired case, and estimating  $R_c$ .

41

42

43 **S1 Table. Summary of inference settings used.** The choice of data types and assumptions  
 44 about the travel history are provided for each of the five inference settings considered. For each  
 45 setting, an “X” indicates that corresponding data type was included or assumption about the  
 46 travel history was made.

Inference Setting	Spatial Data	Temporal Data	Travel-History Data		
			Estimate Accuracy	Believe	Ignore
1 (Default)	X	X	X		
2	X	X		X	
3	X	X			X
4		X	X		
5		X		X	

47  
 48 **Application to Eswatini surveillance data.** After validating our algorithm using a simple test  
 49 case, we applied it to malaria surveillance data from Eswatini. These data consist of household  
 50 location, timing of clinical presentation, the presence or absence of symptoms, treatment status,  
 51 and self-reported travel histories of 775 cases investigated by the National Malaria Elimination  
 52 Programme of Eswatini during 2013-2017.

53 To determine how transmission network inferences depended on the inclusion of various  
 54 data types and assumptions about travel-history accuracy, we applied our algorithm to the  
 55 Eswatini surveillance data under the five different inference settings outlined in S1 Table. After  
 56 assessing convergence, we examined the sensitivity of parameter estimates and the inferred  
 57 spatial and temporal scales of transmission to these inference settings. Additionally, we  
 58 considered how estimates of epidemiologically relevant quantities, including  $R_c$  and the

59 proportion of cases that were imported, depended on these inference settings. We mapped the  
60 latter two quantities across Eswatini using a generalized additive model with a Gaussian process  
61 basis function setting using the mgcv package in R [7,8].

62

63 **Validation of inferences from Eswatini.** To validate our inferences on Eswatini surveillance  
64 data, we applied our algorithm to simulated data generated using the median posterior parameter  
65 estimates inferred from the surveillance data and evaluated our ability to recover known  
66 networks and parameter values. We did this by simulating under and inferring under the same  
67 inference setting, for all five inference settings. The goal of these exercises was to understand the  
68 potential limits of the accuracy of our inferences on the Eswatini surveillance data, where the  
69 true network and parameters were unknown. As with the simple test case, we measured the  
70 accuracy of classifying cases as imported or locally acquired, inferring transmission linkages,  
71 identifying the correct outbreak for each locally acquired case, and estimating  $R_c$ .

72 For this exercise, we simulated transmission networks and corresponding epidemiological  
73 data (e.g., household location, timing of clinical presentation, etc.) using a branching process  
74 model. Under this model, the generative processes for spatial, temporal, and travel-history data  
75 mirrored the assumptions used in the formulation of our likelihood. Each simulated data set was  
76 generated to approximate characteristics of the Eswatini surveillance data along with inferred  
77 parameters from the model. Specifically, the number of nodes in the simulated data  
78 approximated the total number of cases in the Eswatini surveillance data, and we set the  
79 proportion of imported cases ( $p_i$ ), the diffusion coefficient ( $D$ ), and the parameters that govern  
80 the accuracies of the travel history ( $\tau_s$  and  $\tau_l$ ) to their median values from the posterior  
81 distribution. Similarly, under inference settings where the accuracy of the travel history was not

82 estimated, we assigned  $\tau_s = 1$  and  $\tau_l = 0$ , implying perfectly accurate travel histories. To match  
83 the observation from surveillance data that individuals who reported travel tended to be located  
84 in metropolitan areas, we distributed the imported cases spatially proportional to gridded  
85 population density estimates from WorldPop [9].

86

87 **Simulation Sweep.** To identify the epidemiological parameters that affect the accuracy of  
88 reconstructing transmission networks using routinely collected surveillance data, we performed a  
89 simulation sweep in which we varied the following epidemiological parameters: (1) the diffusion  
90 coefficient, (2) the proportion of imported infections, (3) the temporal window over which  
91 imported infections were distributed, (4) the degree of spatial clustering among imported  
92 infections [10], (5)  $\tau_s$ , and (6)  $\tau_l$ . We sampled 2,000 values for each epidemiological parameter  
93 using a Sobol design (S2 Table) [11]. We then parameterized a branching process model with  
94 each parameter set to generate a total of 2,000 simulated data sets, each comprising a  
95 transmission network of 200 nodes. The number of nodes in each simulated data set was less  
96 than the number of nodes in the Eswatini surveillance data and was selected to reduce  
97 computational burden. Nevertheless, the relative epidemiological features of the transmission  
98 network should affect the accuracy of network reconstruction more so than the size of the  
99 network itself. Therefore, we expect that the results of this simulation sweep should generalize to  
100 networks of various sizes.

101

102 **S2 Table. Parameter ranges for the simulation sweep.** The parameters and their respective  
103 ranges are reported. A Sobol design was used to sample 2,000 parameter values from the  
104 respective range and generate simulated data sets [11].

Parameter	Range
Diffusion Coefficient	(0,30]
Proportion of cases that are imported	(0,1]
Maximum date of an imported case (days)	(0, 9125]
Degree of clustering of imported cases	(0,1]
$\tau_s$	[0,1]
$\tau_l$	[0,1]

105

106 We applied our inference algorithm under three inference settings to each simulated data  
107 set and measured the accuracy of reconstructing transmission networks. The three inference  
108 settings used: (1) spatial and temporal data while estimating the accuracy of the travel history  
109 (default setting); (2) spatial and temporal data while believing the travel history; and (3) spatial  
110 and temporal data alone (S1 Table). We chose to use those inference settings, because they  
111 included each of the three assumptions about travel-history data. As with previous validation  
112 exercises, we measured the accuracy of classifying cases as imported or locally acquired,  
113 inferring transmission linkages, identifying the correct outbreak of each locally acquired case,  
114 and estimating  $R_c$ . We then examined how each of these accuracy metrics varied as a function of  
115 the epidemiological parameters used to generate the simulated data and the inference setting  
116 applied.

## 117 **References**

118

- 119 1. Churcher TS, Cohen JM, Novotny J, Ntshalintshali N, Kunene S, Cauchemez S. Measuring  
120 the path toward malaria elimination. *Science*. 2014;344: 1230–1232.  
121 doi:10.1126/science.1251449
- 122 2. Hsiang MS, Ntshalintshali N, Kang Dufour M-S, Dlamini N, Nhlabathi N, Vilakati S, et al.  
123 Active Case Finding for Malaria: A 3-Year National Evaluation of Optimal Approaches to

124 Detect Infections and Hotspots Through Reactive Case Detection in the Low-transmission  
125 Setting of Eswatini. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2020;70: 1316–1325.  
126 doi:10.1093/cid/ciz403

127 3. Kamada T, Kawai S. An algorithm for drawing general undirected graphs. *Information*  
128 *Processing Letters*. 1989;31: 7–15. doi:10.1016/0020-0190(89)90102-6

129 4. Reiner RC, Le Menach A, Kunene S, Ntshalintshali N, Hsiang MS, Perkins TA, et al.  
130 Mapping residual transmission for malaria elimination. *eLife*. 2015;4.  
131 doi:10.7554/eLife.09520

132 5. Routledge I, Lai S, Battle KE, Ghani AC, Gomez-Rodriguez M, Gustafson KB, et al.  
133 Tracking progress towards malaria elimination in China: Individual-level estimates of  
134 transmission and its spatiotemporal variation using a diffusion network approach. Pascual  
135 M, editor. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2020;16: e1007707. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007707

136 6. Routledge I, Chev ez JER, Cucunub  ZM, Rodriguez MG, Guinovart C, Gustafson KB, et  
137 al. Estimating spatiotemporally varying malaria reproduction numbers in a near elimination  
138 setting. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9: 2476. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04577-y

139 7. Wood SN. *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman  
140 & Hall/CRC; 2006.

141 8. R Development Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.  
142 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2017.

143 9. Tatem AJ. WorldPop, open data for spatial demography. *Sci Data*. 2017;4: 170004.  
144 doi:10.1038/sdata.2017.4

145 10. Baddeley A, Rubak E, Turner R. *Spatial point patterns: methodology and applications with*  
146 *R*. Boca Raton ; London ; New York: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group; 2016.

147 11. King AA, Nguyen D, Ionides EL. Statistical Inference for Partially Observed Markov  
148 Processes via the *R* Package **pomp**. *J Stat Soft*. 2016;69. doi:10.18637/jss.v069.i12

149