

The far side of the COVID-19 epidemic curve:  
local re-openings based on globally coordinated  
triggers may work best

Supplementary Information Appendix

Vadim A. Karatayev<sup>1,\*</sup>, Madhur Anand<sup>1</sup>, Chris T. Bauch<sup>2</sup>

10 May 2020

1: School of Environmental Sciences, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario,  
Canada

2: Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, On-  
tario, Canada

\*: vkaratay@uoguelph.ca

Table 1: Parameter definitions, baseline values and literature sources

Parameter	Meaning	Baseline Value	Source
$\tau_I$	symptomatic testing probability	0.12/day	[9, 12, 13], calibrated
$\alpha$	transition probability, $E \rightarrow A$	0.4/day	[11, 15]
$\sigma$	transition probability, $A \rightarrow I$	0.4/day	[11, 15]
$\rho$	transition probability, $I \rightarrow R$	0.67/day	[11, 15]
$w$	proportion of contacts in schools and workplaces	0.45	[3]
$\omega$	risk perception proportionality constant	$3.6 \times 10^5$	[9, 12, 13], calibrated
$\epsilon$	physical distancing efficacy	0.73	[9, 12, 13], calibrated
$\beta_0^A$	transmission probability, asymptomatic	$1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ /day	[7, 16], calibrated
$\beta_0^I$	transmission probability, symptomatic	$2.2 \times 10^{-6}$ /day	[7, 16], calibrated
$\xi$	proportion of mass-action incidence	0.15	[2, 6, 8]
$s$	superspreading parameter	0.2	[10]
$\eta$	adherence to isolation	0.8	[5, 14]
$r$	reduced travel rate if symptomatic	0.19	[4]
$m_{jk}$	connectivity matrix	see Methods	[1]
$\gamma_l$	Threshold to close county	varied	
$\gamma_G$	Threshold to close province	varied	
$\delta_C$	Minimum closure duration	30 days	




Figure 1: **Effects of  $\pm 20\%$  variation in each parameter around its default value (Table 1) on the importance of coordination and the optimal trigger prevalence for the local strategy.** Note that disease progression parameters can have counter-intuitive effects because they also regulate the number of positive, active cases on which closures and distancing depend.




Figure 2: Comparison of province-wide (top row) versus county-by-county (bottom row) closure strategies as the effect of county population on transmission probability increases from 0 (i.e., completely incidence-based, left column) to 0.05 (middle column) and 0.5 (right column). Other settings are as in Fig. 2.




Figure 3: Comparison of province-wide (top row) versus county-by-county (bottom row) closure strategies under 100% higher travel rates compared to Fig. 2 of the main text. Other settings are as in Fig. 2.




Figure 4: **Plots showing (a) lag between prevalence of actual infections and confirmed positive cases in the calibrated model, (B) the fit of 10 stochastic model implementations (black lines) to data on cumulative positive cases (red dots), and (C) matrix of travel patterns with color scale denoting log number of people.** Parameters follow Table S1. In (C) Brackets denote the Greater Toronto Area and star denotes Ottawa.

## References

- [1] Statistics Canada, 2016 census, catalogue no. 98-400-x2016391., Accessed April 7, 2020.
- [2] Janis Antonovics, Yoh Iwasa, and Michael P Hassell. A generalized model of parasitoid, venereal, and vector-based transmission processes. *The American Naturalist*, 145(5):661–675, 1995.
- [3] Bureau of Labor Statistics. American time use survey — 2018 results. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/atus.pdf>, 2018. accessed 4 April 2020.
- [4] CDC. Severe outcomes among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)—United States, February 12–March 16, 2020. 2020.
- [5] Joel Hellewell, Sam Abbott, Amy Gimma, Nikos I Bosse, Christopher I Jarvis, Timothy W Russell, James D Munday, Adam J Kucharski, W John Edmunds, Fiona Sun, et al. Feasibility of controlling covid-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *The Lancet Global Health*, 2020.
- [6] Samuel Heroy. Metropolitan-scale COVID-19 outbreaks: how similar are they? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.01248*, 2020.
- [7] Joe Hilton and Matt J Keeling. Estimation of country-level basic reproductive ratios for novel coronavirus (covid-19) using synthetic contact matrices. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [8] Hao Hu, Karima Nigmatulina, and Philip Eckhoff. The scaling of contact rates with population density for the infectious disease models. *Mathematical biosciences*, 244(2):125–134, 2013.
- [9] Alexander Lachmann. Correcting under-reported covid-19 case numbers. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [10] James O Lloyd-Smith, Sebastian J Schreiber, P Ekkehard Kopp, and Wayne M Getz. Superspreading and the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. *Nature*, 438(7066):355–359, 2005.
- [11] Hiroshi Nishiura, Natalie M Linton, and Andrei R Akhmetzhanov. Serial interval of novel coronavirus (2019-ncov) infections. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [12] Ontario. Epidemiological summary. covid-19 cases by reported date (figure 1) <https://files.ontario.ca/moh-covid-19-report-en-2020-04-06.pdf>, 2020; accessed March 31, 2020.
- [13] Lydia Saad. Americans step up their social distancing even further. <https://news.gallup.com/opinion/gallup/298310/americans-step-social-distancing-even-further.aspx>, 2020; accessed March 31, 2020.

- [14] FA Soud, MM Cortese, AT Curns, PJ Edelson, RH Bitsko, HT Jordan, AS Huang, JM Villalon-Gomez, and GH Dayan. Isolation compliance among university students during a mumps outbreak, kansas 2006. *Epidemiology & Infection*, 137(1):30–37, 2009.
- [15] Lauren Tindale, Michelle Coombe, Jessica E Stockdale, Emma Garlock, Wing Yin Venus Lau, Manu Saraswat, Yen-Hsiang Brian Lee, Louxin Zhang, Dongxuan Chen, Jacco Wallinga, et al. Transmission interval estimates suggest pre-symptomatic spread of COVID-19. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [16] Ashleigh R Tuite, David N Fisman, and Amy L Greer. Mathematical modelling of covid-19 transmission and mitigation strategies in the population of ontario, canada. *CMAJ*, 2020.