

Mandated Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination predicts flattened curves for the spread of COVID-19

Supplementary Materials

Methods

We retrieved data on daily confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths by country from a public repository¹ updated daily by the Johns Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering. Our current results are based on data for all days through April 1, 2020.

BCG vaccination policy data for each country were compiled from the BCG World Atlas (1).² These data included BCG policy status (vaccination never mandated, vaccination mandated in the past but terminated before 2000, vaccination mandated either currently or up until at least 2000), and, for countries that currently mandate BCG vaccination, the year that the current policy was implemented.³ We created 2 contrast-coded variables to capture BCG policy. The first was a contrast between countries that currently mandate BCG (including those that maintained mandated BCG until at least 2000) and countries that do not currently mandate BCG (including those that terminated mandated BCG before 2000). The second was a contrast between countries that previously mandated BCG that terminated it before 2000 and countries that never mandated BCG.

We included in our analysis relevant demographic information for each country. Total population (in thousands) was included since the number of both confirmed cases and deaths should be larger for more populous countries. It was compiled from the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs World Urbanization Prospects 2018 (2). Population was natural log-transformed to reduce skewness. Median age of the total population (in years) was included since older adults are more susceptible to viral threats. Population density (in persons per square kilometer) was used because it is likely to foster greater social contact, resulting in greater chances of infection. Net migration (persons entering country minus persons exiting country, per 1000 population) was included so as to control for population movement. These statistics were compiled from the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs World Population Prospects 2019 (3). Gross domestic product (at purchasing power parity) per capita (GDP per capita), compiled from the World Bank International Comparison Program database (4), was included to control for economic development. All covariates were standardized so as to be modeled on a comparable scale.

We employed the following exclusion criteria. Countries were excluded from our analysis of COVID-19 cases if they had reported fewer than 15 days of at least 100 total cases. A similar criterion was used for COVID-19 deaths. We excluded countries that had reported fewer than 15 days of at least one total COVID-19 related death. We created this cutoff in order to ensure reliable exponential growth estimation for each included country while capturing the very first period of country-wise outbreaks. Countries were excluded from both analyses if BCG policy information was unavailable from the BCG World Atlas. Within China, data reported from Hubei province, the epicenter of the pandemic, was excluded, because the early outbreak could not be adequately captured due to the limited testing and reporting in December 2019. For each analysis, included countries, and the date of the first included data point for each, are listed in Table S1.

¹ <https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19>

² <http://www.bcgatlas.org/index.php>

³ We defined this variable based on data from the year 2000, so that 'vaccination currently mandated' refers to any country that continued to mandate the BCG vaccination into the 21st century.

All analyses were conducted on up to 30 days of data from each eligible country. In their initial phases, infectious disease trajectories are approximately exponential (4). Hence, the number of both confirmed cases and deaths were natural log-transformed first and then subjected to linear mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood estimation, which estimated the effects of BCG policy status on the spread of COVID-19. Each model estimated a random slope for each country across days (indicating the exponential growth over time), to allow for heterogeneity in growth curves between countries. Day was centered so that main effects could be interpreted as differences in either confirmed cases or deaths across the countries on the mean day on the growth curve over the 30-day period. These analyses were performed while controlling for median age, population density, net migration, total population, and GDP per capita.

Supplementary Results 1. Testing cultural dimensions

To examine whether BCG policy status might be confounded with some key cultural dimensions, we tested three cultural dimensions. We included individualism vs. collectivism (5) and power distance (6) since Western individualistic and/or more egalitarian societies tend to have no current mandated BCG policies. The culture scores for the two dimensions were obtained from (6). We also included the tightness vs. looseness of social norms (7), as this dimension could increase either norm abidance or the rigidity of social systems. Country-wise scores were obtained from (7). We first dummy-coded BCG status (BCG currently mandated vs. BCG not currently mandated). We then tested each variable that varied significantly as a function of BCG policy status as an additional covariate in our primary models, to test our key finding (the interaction between day and the primary BCG contrast) would be observed after controlling for the cultural dimension. Since the cultural indices were not available for all the countries included in the main analyses, and moreover, different indices were available for different sets of countries, each cultural dimension was analyzed separately so as to preserve the maximal number of countries.

A series of t-tests revealed that countries that currently mandate BCG (compared to all others) were significantly higher in individualism, whereas they were lower in power distance (Table S2). Tightness/looseness did not significantly vary between countries with and without mandated BCG policies.

A. Confirmed cases

To test whether the cultural dimensions shown to vary between the countries that differed in the BCG policy status might explain the effect of BCG policy status, we repeated the analyses reported in the main text with each of the cultural dimensions included as a covariate (see Tables S3-A and S4-A). 65 countries were included in each analysis. The key interaction between day and BCG policy status (contrasting countries that currently mandate BCG with those that do not) remained statistically significant when either individualism or power distance was added as a covariate.

B. Deaths

We conducted the same two analyses to test whether individualism and power distance qualified the effect of BCG on the increase in deaths over time (see Tables S3-B and S4-B). 64 countries were included in each analysis. The key interaction between day and BCG policy status (contrasting countries that currently mandate BCG with those that do not) remained statistically significant when either individualism or power distance was added as a covariate.

Supplementary Results 2. Effects of control variables in primary analysis

A. Confirmed cases

Larger population size and higher median age predicted a faster growth rate of confirmed cases. No other covariates significantly predicted growth rate.

B. Deaths

Larger population size and higher median age predicted a faster growth rate of COVID-19 deaths. Greater population density predicted a slower growth rate of deaths. No other covariates significantly predicted growth rate.

Supplementary Results 3. Robustness check with 15-day time window

To test the robustness of our models, we conducted a second set of analyses, only using the first 15 days of data (rather than the first 30). The 15-day cutoff allowed us to examine more exclusively than the 30-day cutoff the very first phase of the outbreak in each country. Because our primary analysis included only countries that reported at least 15 days of eligible data, the same set of countries was included here (see Table S1 for full list for each analysis). All patterns were identical to the primary analyses.

A. Confirmed cases

We found a significant main effect of day, $b = 0.171$, $p < .001$, reflecting an exponential increase in cases over time. This increase was qualified by a significant interaction between day and BCG policy. Specifically, the growth rate of COVID-19 cases was significantly slower in countries with mandated BCG vaccinations, compared to countries without mandated BCG vaccinations, $b = -0.034$, $p = .001$. Countries that once had such policies but terminated them before 2000 were not significantly different in growth rate from those that never instituted mandatory BCG vaccinations, $b = 0.008$, $p = .483$. In sum, our focal effect was no different with a shorter time window. See Table S5-A for full regression table.

B. Deaths

Similarly, we found a significant main effect of day, $b = 0.188$, $p < .001$, reflecting an exponential increase in deaths over time. This increase was qualified by a significant interaction between day and BCG policy. Specifically, the growth rate of COVID-19 deaths was significantly slower in countries with mandated BCG vaccinations, compared to countries without mandated BCG vaccinations, $b = -0.046$, $p = .002$. Countries that once had such policies but terminated them before 2000 were not significantly different in growth rate from those that never instituted mandatory BCG vaccinations, $b = 0.006$, $p = .760$. In sum, our focal effect was no different with a shorter time window. See Table S5-B for full regression table.

Supplementary Results 4. Weighting country data based on underreporting index

To test whether our results were robust against differences in reporting quality across countries, we conducted an analysis of case data that was weighted by the estimated proportion of cases that are being reported in each country. These estimates were obtained from a public repository (8), and are computed from data reported on April 15, 2020. The creators of this repository estimated these values, as indices of reporting quality, based on delay-adjusted case fatality ratios. Because these estimates are only available for cases (rather than deaths), we ran only our case model using this weighting factor.

We estimated a linear mixed model that was identical to that used in our main analysis, except that reporting index was included as a weighting factor in the model. Results were consistent with our main analysis, demonstrating that our effects are robust against differences in reporting quality across countries (see Table S6).

Supplementary Results 5. Testing the effect of policy age

We examined the age of the BCG policy in each country that currently mandates vaccination. Among those countries that have mandated BCG, there is a variation in how old the policies are (9). It might be expected that the older the policies are, the more effective they are to reduce the rate of spread of COVID-19. However, there was no evidence for this conjecture; in fact, we found the opposite pattern. No interpretation was attempted.

A. Confirmed cases

A linear mixed model was conducted to test whether there was any effect of BCG policy age on the exponential increase in confirmed COVID-19 cases. This analysis included 43 countries. We used the same model specifications as in our primary analyses. Both day and policy age were centered so that main effects could be interpreted as differences at the mean day of the growth curve. The model included day, policy age, the interaction of day with policy age, and all covariates: median age, population density, net migration, log population, and GDP per capita. All covariates were standardized.

The model revealed a positive effect of policy age on the growth rate of cases, $b = 0.069$, $p = .032$ (see Table S7-A). Policies implemented in the more distant past appeared to be less effective at slowing the spread of COVID-19. No interpretation of this effect was attempted.

B. Deaths

An identical model was conducted to test whether there was any effect of the age of the policy on the exponential increase in COVID-19 related deaths. This analysis included 46 countries. There was not a significant effect of policy age on the growth rate of deaths, $b = 0.051$, $p = .252$ (see Table S7-B).

Supplementary Results 6. Predicting US cases and deaths if BCG were mandated

We estimated the numbers of confirmed cases and deaths estimated for the U.S. if it had instituted a mandatory BCG vaccination policy decades ago. To do this, we used the "predict" function in R. In particular, we plugged the value of each predictor for the U.S. into the regression equation. The value for day was set at 14.5, corresponding to the centered value of day 30, the final U.S. data point (on April 1 and March 29, 2020, for the analysis of confirmed cases and deaths, respectively). The BCG vaccination policy status was set to be equal to the countries that currently have mandatory BCG vaccination policies. The values for all other predictors were taken from available current U.S. values. The output gives the predicted number of cases (using the confirmed cases model) and deaths (using the deaths model) after natural log transformation. We thus calculated the numbers on their original scale by exponentiating our predicted value. This analysis applied to the number of cases yielded a predicted value of 11.296, which translates to 80472.989 cases (compared to the actual 213372 cases reported in the US by April 1). This analysis applied to the number of deaths yielded a predicted value of 6.345, which translates to 569.513 deaths (compared to the actual 2467 deaths reported in the US by March 29).

Supplementary References

1. A. Zwerling, M. A. Behr, A. Verma, T. F. Brewer, D. Menzies, M. Pai, The BCG World Atlas: A Database of Global BCG Vaccination Policies and Practices. *PLOS Medicine*. **8**, e1001012 (2011).
2. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Population Prospects: The 2019 Revision, custom data acquired via website. (2018).
3. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision, custom data acquired via website. (2018).
4. R. M. Anderson, B. Anderson, R. M. May, *Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and Control* (OUP Oxford, 1992).
5. H. C. Triandis, *Individualism & collectivism* (Westview Press, Boulder, CO, US, 1995), *Individualism & collectivism*.
6. G. Hofstede, *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values* (SAGE Publications, Inc, Beverly Hills, Abridged edition., 1984).
7. M. J. Gelfand, J. L. Raver, L. Nishii, L. M. Leslie, J. Lun, B. C. Lim, L. Duan, A. Almaliach, S. Ang, J. Arnadottir, Z. Aycan, K. Boehnke, P. Boski, R. Cabecinhas, D. Chan, J. Chhokar, A. D'Amato, M. Ferrer, I. C. Fischlmayr, R. Fischer, M. Fulop, J. Georgas, E. S. Kashima, Y. Kashima, K. Kim, A. Lempereur, P. Marquez, R. Othman, B. Overlaet, P. Panagiotopoulou, K. Peltzer, L. R. Perez-Florizno, L. Ponomarenko, A. Realo, V. Schei, M. Schmitt, P. B. Smith, N. Soomro, E. Szabo, N. Taveesin, M. Toyama, E. Van de Vliert, N. Vohra, C. Ward, S. Yamaguchi, Differences Between Tight and Loose Cultures: A 33-Nation Study. *Science*. **332**, 1100–1104 (2011).
8. T. W. Russell, J. Hellewell, S. Abbott, N. Golding, H. Gibbs, C. I. Jarvis, K. van Zandvoort, S. Flasche, R. Eggo, W. J. Edmunds, A. J. Kucharski, Using a delay-adjusted case fatality ratio to estimate under-reporting. *CMMID Repository* (2020) (available at https://cmmid.github.io/topics/covid19/severity/global_cfr_estimates.html).
9. A. Miller, M. J. Reandelar, K. Fasciglione, V. Roumenova, Y. Li, G. H. Otazu, "Correlation between universal BCG vaccination policy and reduced morbidity and mortality for COVID-19: an epidemiological study" (preprint, 2020), doi:10.1101/2020.03.24.20042937.

Table S1. List of all countries included in analysis of cases and deaths, with the date set as day 1 in each country. Countries with dates entered in columns 2 and 3 are those included in our analysis of cases and deaths, respectively.

Country	Date of first 100 cases	Date of first death	BCG policy status
Afghanistan	3.27.20	3.22.20	Currently mandated
Albania	3.23.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Algeria	3.21.20	3.12.20	Currently mandated
Angola		3.29.20	Currently mandated
Argentina	3.20.20	3.8.20	Currently mandated
Armenia	3.19.20	3.26.20	Currently mandated
Australia	3.10.20	3.1.20	Mandated in the past
Austria	3.8.20	3.12.20	Mandated in the past
Azerbaijan	3.26.20	3.13.20	Currently mandated
Bangladesh	4.6.20	3.18.20	Currently mandated
Belarus	3.30.20	3.31.20	Currently mandated
Belgium	3.6.20	3.11.20	Never mandated
Belize		4.6.20	Currently mandated
Benin		4.6.20	Currently mandated
Bolivia	3.31.20	3.29.20	Currently mandated
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.22.20	3.21.20	Currently mandated
Botswana		3.31.20	Currently mandated
Brazil	3.13.20	3.17.20	Currently mandated
Bulgaria	3.20.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Burkina Faso	3.24.20	3.18.20	Currently mandated
Burundi		4.13.20	Currently mandated
Cambodia	3.29.20		Currently mandated
Cameroon	3.29.20	3.25.20	Currently mandated
Canada	3.11.20	3.9.20	Never mandated
Chile	3.16.20	3.22.20	Currently mandated
China	1.22.20	1.23.20	Currently mandated
Colombia	3.19.20	3.22.20	Currently mandated
Costa Rica	3.21.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Cote d'Ivoire	3.27.20	3.29.20	Currently mandated
Croatia	3.19.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Cuba	3.28.20	3.18.20	Currently mandated
Czechia	3.13.20	3.22.20	Currently mandated
Denmark	3.10.20	3.14.20	Mandated in the past
Dominican Republic	3.21.20	3.17.20	Currently mandated

Ecuador	3.18.20	3.14.20	Mandated in the past
Egypt	3.14.20	3.8.20	Currently mandated
El Salvador	4.9.20	3.31.20	Currently mandated
Estonia	3.14.20	3.25.20	Currently mandated
Ethiopia		4.5.20	Currently mandated
Finland	3.13.20	3.21.20	Currently mandated
France	2.29.20	2.15.20	Currently mandated
Gabon		3.20.20	Currently mandated
Gambia		3.23.20	Currently mandated
Georgia	3.30.20	4.4.20	Currently mandated
Germany	3.1.20	3.9.20	Mandated in the past
Ghana	3.26.20	3.21.20	Currently mandated
Greece	3.13.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Guatemala	4.10.20	3.16.20	Currently mandated
Guinea	4.4.20	4.15.20	Currently mandated
Guyana		3.12.20	Currently mandated
Haiti		4.5.20	Currently mandated
Honduras	3.29.20	3.26.20	Currently mandated
Hungary	3.21.20	3.15.20	Currently mandated
India	3.14.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Indonesia	3.15.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Iran	2.26.20	2.19.20	Currently mandated
Iraq	3.13.20	3.4.20	Currently mandated
Ireland	3.14.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Israel	3.12.20	3.21.20	Mandated in the past
Italy	2.23.20	2.21.20	Never mandated
Jamaica	4.15.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Japan	2.21.20	2.13.20	Currently mandated
Jordan	3.22.20	3.27.20	Currently mandated
Kazakhstan	3.26.20	3.20.20	Currently mandated
Kenya	4.2.20	3.26.20	Currently mandated
Kuwait	3.14.20	4.4.20	Currently mandated
Kyrgyzstan	3.31.20	4.3.20	Currently mandated
Latvia	3.20.20	4.3.20	Currently mandated
Lebanon	3.15.20	3.10.20	Never mandated
Liberia		4.4.20	Currently mandated
Libya		4.2.20	Currently mandated
Lithuania	3.22.20	3.21.20	Currently mandated
Luxembourg	3.17.20	3.14.20	Mandated in the past

Madagascar	4.11.20		Currently mandated
Malawi		4.7.20	Currently mandated
Malaysia	3.9.20	3.17.20	Currently mandated
Mali	4.12.20	3.29.20	Currently mandated
Malta	3.23.20	4.8.20	Currently mandated
Mauritania		3.30.20	Currently mandated
Mexico	3.18.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Moldova	3.23.20	3.18.20	Currently mandated
Morocco	3.22.20	3.10.20	Currently mandated
Netherlands	3.6.20	3.6.20	Never mandated
New Zealand	3.22.20	3.29.20	Mandated in the past
Nicaragua		3.27.20	Currently mandated
Niger	4.3.20	3.25.20	Currently mandated
Nigeria	3.29.20	3.23.20	Currently mandated
North Macedonia	3.22.20	3.22.20	Currently mandated
Norway	3.6.20	3.14.20	Currently mandated
Oman	3.26.20	3.31.20	Currently mandated
Pakistan	3.16.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Panama	3.19.20	3.11.20	Currently mandated
Paraguay	4.5.20	3.21.20	Currently mandated
Peru	3.17.20	3.20.20	Currently mandated
Philippines	3.14.20	2.2.20	Currently mandated
Poland	3.14.20	3.12.20	Currently mandated
Portugal	3.13.20	3.17.20	Currently mandated
Qatar	3.11.20	3.28.20	Currently mandated
Romania	3.14.20	3.22.20	Currently mandated
Russia	3.17.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Rwanda	4.4.20		Currently mandated
Saudi Arabia	3.14.20	3.24.20	Currently mandated
Senegal	3.26.20	4.1.20	Currently mandated
Singapore	2.29.20	3.21.20	Currently mandated
Slovakia	3.18.20	3.18.20	Currently mandated
Slovenia	3.13.20	3.14.20	Currently mandated
South Africa	3.18.20	3.27.20	Currently mandated
South Korea	2.20.20	2.20.20	Currently mandated
Spain	3.2.20	3.3.20	Mandated in the past
Sri Lanka	3.24.20	3.28.20	Currently mandated
Sudan		3.13.20	Currently mandated
Sweden	3.6.20	3.11.20	Mandated in the past

Switzerland	3.5.20	3.5.20	Mandated in the past
Taiwan	3.18.20	2.16.20	Currently mandated
Tanzania		3.31.20	Currently mandated
Thailand	3.15.20	3.1.20	Currently mandated
Togo		3.27.20	Currently mandated
Tunisia	3.24.20	3.19.20	Currently mandated
Turkey	3.19.20	3.17.20	Currently mandated
Ukraine	3.25.20	3.13.20	Currently mandated
United Arab Emirates	3.18.20	3.20.20	Currently mandated
United Kingdom	3.5.20	3.6.20	Currently mandated
United States	3.3.20	2.29.20	Never mandated
Uruguay	3.21.20	3.29.20	Currently mandated
Uzbekistan	3.28.20	3.27.20	Currently mandated
Vietnam	3.22.20		Currently mandated
West Bank and Gaza	3.29.20	3.26.20	Currently mandated
Zambia		4.2.20	Currently mandated
Zimbabwe		3.23.20	Currently mandated

Table S2. Results from t-tests predicting each cultural dimension from BCG status (dummy-coded; 1 = currently mandated, 0 = mandated in the past or never mandated).

Predictor	N	t	p	
Individualism	64	5.127	<.001	***
Power Distance	64	-5.026	<.001	***
Tightness/Looseness	20	-1.898	0.074	+

Table S3. Regression table predicting the natural log of (A) cases and (B) deaths from BCG status, controlling for individualism, as well as all covariates in our primary model. Day and policy age are mean-centered. All covariates, including individualism, are standardized.

Predictor	A. Cases				B. Deaths			
	b	t	p		b	t	p	
Intercept	7.192	213.952	<.001	***	2.849	45.077	<.001	***
Day	0.134	14.715	<.001	***	0.163	10.689	<.001	***
Median age	0.024	0.813	0.416		0.239	4.475	<.001	***
GDP per capita	0.409	10.106	<.001	***	0.372	5.257	<.001	***
Population density	-0.238	-14.935	<.001	***	-0.205	-7.753	<.001	***
Net migration rate	0.052	1.736	0.083	+	-0.029	-0.519	0.604	
Population	0.514	29.039	<.001	***	0.504	16.181	<.001	***
BCG past vs. never	-0.070	-1.931	0.054	+	-0.266	-4.109	<.001	***
BCG current vs. not current	-0.387	-11.352	<.001	***	-0.664	-11.004	<.001	***
Individualism	-0.003	-2.502	0.012	*	-0.005	-2.534	0.011	*
Day x median age	-0.006	-0.728	0.469		0.010	0.744	0.460	
Day x GDP per capita	0.018	1.579	0.120		0.015	0.856	0.396	
Day x population density	-0.006	-1.360	0.179		-0.011	-1.709	0.093	+
Day x net migration rate	0.001	0.142	0.888		0.005	0.395	0.695	
Day x population	0.029	6.009	<.001	***	0.026	3.479	0.001	**
Day x BCG past vs. never	-0.013	-1.235	0.222		-0.015	-0.945	0.349	
Day x BCG current vs. not current	-0.024	-2.502	0.015	*	-0.033	-2.249	0.029	*
Day x individualism	0.000	1.016	0.314		0.000	0.839	0.405	

Table S4. Regression table predicting the natural log of (A) cases and (B) deaths from BCG status, controlling for power distance, as well as all covariates in our primary model. Day and policy age are mean-centered. All covariates, including power distance, are standardized.

Predictor	A. Cases				B. Deaths			
	b	t	p		b	t	p	
Intercept	7.186	213.065	<.001	***	2.844	44.746	<.001	***
Day	0.136	14.812	<.001	***	0.164	10.630	<.001	***
Median age	0.016	0.544	0.586		0.220	4.132	<.001	***
GDP per capita	0.366	10.001	<.001	***	0.281	4.393	<.001	***
Population density	-0.223	-15.070	<.001	***	-0.174	-7.095	<.001	***
Net migration rate	0.070	2.393	0.017	*	0.010	0.181	0.857	
Population	0.508	28.569	<.001	***	0.496	15.860	<.001	***
BCG past vs. never	-0.047	-1.314	0.189		-0.235	-3.672	<.001	***
BCG current vs. not current	-0.362	-10.851	<.001	***	-0.599	-10.147	<.001	***
Power distance	0.001	0.671	0.502		-0.001	-0.389	0.698	
Day x median age	-0.004	-0.531	0.598		0.011	0.879	0.383	
Day x GDP per capita	0.025	2.432	0.018	*	0.021	1.377	0.174	
Day x population density	-0.009	-2.099	0.040	*	-0.013	-2.226	0.030	*
Day x net migration rate	-0.002	-0.185	0.854		0.002	0.181	0.857	
Day x population	0.029	5.952	<.001	***	0.026	3.482	0.001	**
Day x BCG past vs. never	-0.014	-1.351	0.182		-0.017	-1.121	0.267	
Day x BCG current vs. not current	-0.030	-3.174	0.002	**	-0.038	-2.638	0.011	*
Day x power distance	0.000	0.762	0.450		0.000	0.107	0.915	

Table S5. Regression tables predicting the natural log of (A) cases and (B) deaths, using a 15-day window (instead of a 30-day window, as in Table 1). Day is mean centered, and BCG policy variables are both contrast-coded. Population is natural log-transformed, and all covariates are standardized.

Predictor	A. Cases				B. Deaths			
	b	t	p		b	t	p	
Intercept	7.353	178.784	<.001	***	2.919	40.527	<.001	***
Day	0.171	20.663	<.001	***	0.188	13.621	<.001	***
Median age	0.456	16.426	<.001	***	0.565	12.020	<.001	***
GDP per capita	0.139	3.412	0.001	**	0.145	2.179	0.029	*
Population density	-0.220	-9.745	<.001	***	-0.188	-5.171	<.001	***
Net migration rate	0.100	2.779	0.006	**	0.050	0.802	0.423	
Population	0.623	25.801	<.001	***	0.587	15.799	<.001	***
BCG past vs. never	0.194	3.394	0.001	**	-0.005	-0.053	0.957	
BCG current vs. not current	-0.461	-10.289	<.001	***	-0.669	-8.627	<.001	***
Day x median age	0.029	5.155	<.001	***	0.039	4.315	<.001	***
Day x GDP per capita	0.007	0.831	0.407		0.010	0.786	0.433	
Day x population density	-0.012	-2.548	0.012	*	-0.015	-2.180	0.030	*
Day x net migration rate	0.005	0.715	0.476		0.005	0.449	0.654	
Day x population	0.041	8.490	<.001	***	0.035	4.912	<.001	***
Day x BCG past vs. never	0.008	0.704	0.483		0.006	0.306	0.760	
Day x BCG current vs. not current	-0.034	-3.774	<.001	***	-0.046	-3.078	0.002	**

Table S6. Regression tables predicting the natural log of cases, using the estimated proportion of cases that are being reported in each country as a weighting factor. Day is mean centered, and BCG policy variables are both contrast-coded. Population is natural log-transformed, and all covariates are standardized.

Predictor	Cases			
	b	t	p	
Intercept	7.069	275.005	<.001	***
Day	0.127	18.781	<.001	***
Median age	0.233	13.358	<.001	***
GDP per capita	0.053	2.263	0.024	*
Population density	0.160	2.140	0.032	*
Net migration rate	0.227	9.572	<.001	***
Population	0.407	28.003	<.001	***
BCG past vs. never	0.093	2.577	0.010	*
BCG current vs. not current	-0.181	-6.429	<.001	***
Day x median age	0.011	2.292	0.025	*
Day x GDP per capita	0.010	1.441	0.154	
Day x population density	-0.019	-0.930	0.354	
Day x net migration rate	0.007	1.054	0.296	
Day x population	0.029	7.042	<.001	***
Day x BCG past vs. never	-0.008	-0.845	0.401	
Day x BCG current vs. not current	-0.025	-3.277	0.002	**

Table S7. Regression table predicting the natural log of (A) cases and (A) deaths from the number of years BCG mandate has been in effect. Day and policy age are mean-centered. Population is natural log-transformed, and all covariates are standardized.

Predictor	A. Cases				B. Deaths			
	b	t	p		b	t	p	
Intercept	6.705	240.470	<.001	***	2.274	55.919	<.001	***
Day	0.105	16.578	<.001	***	0.123	13.217	<.001	***
Median age	0.215	6.378	<.001	***	0.361	7.750	<.001	***
GDP per capita	0.220	4.680	<.001	***	0.167	2.360	0.018	*
Population density	-0.517	-5.970	<.001	***	-1.105	-9.717	<.001	***
Net migration rate	0.280	5.980	<.001	***	0.225	2.959	0.003	**
Population	0.513	18.645	<.001	***	0.591	14.993	<.001	***
Policy age	0.501	3.579	<.001	***	1.569	8.183	<.001	***
Day x median age	0.005	0.593	0.557		0.020	1.901	0.065	+
Day x GDP per capita	0.021	1.961	0.058	+	0.023	1.446	0.156	
Day x population density	-0.052	-2.705	0.010	*	-0.044	-1.702	0.097	+
Day x net migration rate	0.005	0.462	0.647		0.011	0.619	0.539	
Day x population	0.032	5.142	<.001	***	0.032	3.582	0.001	**
Day x policy age	0.069	2.220	0.032	*	0.051	1.163	0.252	