

1 **Appendix: Detailed description of procedures for binocular**

2 **vision tests and saccades**

3 For all tests requiring a target, the tip of a ballpoint pen was used as the near target, and a 6cm²
4 square card was used as the far target.

5 **Gross stereoscopic acuity (arc seconds):**

6 We tested the ability to perceive depth with the Randot[®] Stereotest (Stereo Optical Co., Inc.,
7 Chicago, IL). Seated participants wearing polarized glasses are asked to hold the testing
8 booklet 16 inches from their face. Participants are then presented images formed of dots that
9 are displaced in relation to each other.¹³ The test steadily increases in difficulty by reducing the
10 level of disparity between dots, beginning at 400 arc seconds (lowest possible score) and
11 ending at 20 arc seconds (highest possible score).⁷ A participant's score is the arc seconds
12 corresponding to the smallest disparity at which the participant identifies the raised (i.e.
13 stereoscopic) image. Normative data suggest the average score for an adult is 40 arc
14 seconds.^{2,3,12}

15 **Near Point of Convergence (cm):**

16 Near point convergence assesses the ability to symmetrically converge, and is sometimes
17 referred to as "*motor punctum proximum*".⁶ We examined a version of the test commonly used
18 by clinicians and described by others in the field of concussion¹¹ in order to assess the utility of
19 the test as commonly conducted in clinical settings. The seated participant fixates on a near
20 target 30cm away. The target is gradually moved towards their eyes as they attempt to maintain
21 fixation. Near point convergence is reached when one or both eyes can no longer maintain
22 fixation on the target, which is identified as when one eye diverges outwards.^{5,9,13} The score of
23 the test is the distance (cm) between the bridge of the nose and the target at the closest point at
24 which the individual could maintain balanced oculomotor synergy between both eyes.⁵ Lower

25 scores indicate better near point convergence. Normative data in older textbooks report average
26 near point convergence values for healthy adults between 6 to 8 cm,^{4,5,9,13} but a more recent
27 study suggested 5 cm should be considered the upper limit of normal values.¹⁴

28 ***Near Point of Convergence – break cm):***

29 This test is conducted using the same methods as near point convergence, but the test ends
30 when the participant has double vision due to the inability of the eyes to converge. The score of
31 the test is the distance between the bridge of the nose and the point where double vision (cm)
32 occurs, where a lower score indicates better near point convergence - break. Normative data for
33 elementary school children with normal vision suggested a mean of 3.3 cm, with a range of 1.0
34 to 13.7 cm;⁸ however, data on adults with normal vision suggest a breakpoint of approximately
35 5.0 to 7.5 cm.²

36 ***Phoria (prism diopters):***

37 We evaluated the natural deviation of the eyes (phoria) with the prism and alternate cover test
38 using a target placed at (1) 3m from the participant (far vision), and (2) 30cm from the
39 participant (near vision). While the seated participant is fixating on the target, the clinician
40 covers and uncovers each of the participant's eyes to trigger movements whilst using a prism
41 bar (base-out if the eye moves outward, base-in if the eye moves inward) to cancel these
42 movements.^{A9} The prism power is progressively increased until no shift in the eyes is observed.
43 ^{A6} The score of the test is the rating of the prism that cancels the eye movements, with lower
44 scores representing less phoria. We were unable to find normative data for this test in the
45 general population. In an Indian population, the mean (SD) phoria was 1 (2) prism diopters for
46 near distance and 3 (5) prism diopters for far distance.¹⁰

47 ***Positive fusional vergence (prism diopters) :***

48 This test examines how well a participant can adapt to challenges in fixating light on the centre
49 of the retina in both eyes simultaneously,¹ at near distance (30cm) and far distance (3m), in

50 order to obtain a single image. The seated participant fixates on a fixed target at near or far
51 distance. The clinician begins by using the weakest prism strength (base-out) which forces the
52 participant to converge their eyes to maintain fixation. The strength of the prism is increased
53 until the participant can no longer maintain a single image.^{6,9,13} The score of each test (30cm
54 and 3m) is the strength of the prism in which the participant maintained binocular vision, with
55 higher scores representing better function. The range of normative data for positive fusional
56 vergence at near fixation is 35 to 40 prism diopters, and the range at far fixation is 16 to 20
57 prism diopters.^{6,9,13}

58 ***Negative fusional vergence (prism diopters):***

59 This is the same test as positive fusional vergence except the horizontal prism bar is positioned
60 base-in, forcing the participant to diverge their eyes to maintain fixation on a fixed object
61 positioned at near (30cm) and far (3m). The clinician incrementally increases the strength of the
62 prism until the participant is no longer able to maintain a single image.^{6,9,13} The score of each
63 test is the strength of the prism in which the participant maintained binocular vision, with higher
64 scores representing better function. The range of normative data for negative fusional vergence
65 at near fixation is 12 to 16 prism diopters,^{4,6,9,13} and the range at far fixation is 6 to 8 prism
66 diopters.^{6,9,13}

67 ***Saccades (%):***

68 This test examines the eye's ability to perform saccadic movements, which are rapid eye
69 movements that abruptly alter the point of fixation. In our clinician's version of this test,
70 participants assume a tandem stance (heel-to-toe with dominant foot in the back) standing an
71 arm's length away from the screen. Lights appear and disappear in different locations on the
72 screen at a rate of 100 flashes per minute, for a total of two minutes. The participant is
73 instructed to keep their head still and only move their eyes to fixate on the appearing lights. The
74 clinician observes the eyes for quality and synchronization (rated: bad, medium, good) and

75 saccadic correction (rated: many corrections, few corrections, no corrections). The three sub-
76 scores were combined into an overall score (%) according to our industry partner's (Apexk Inc)
77 proprietary algorithm. There is no normative data for this version of the test because the score is
78 based on a proprietary algorithm.

References

1. Vergence. Available at: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vergence>. Accessed 2019-09-30.
2. *Vision Rehabilitation: Multidisciplinary Care of the Patient Following Brain Injury*. Boca Raton: Taylor & Francis Group; 2011.
3. Birch E, Williams C, Drover J, et al. Randot Preschool Stereoacuity Test: normative data and validity. *Journal of AAPOS : the official publication of the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus*. 2008;12(1):23-26.
4. Bishop A. Convergence and convergent fusional reserves - investigation and treatment. In: Doshi S, Evans BJW, eds. *Binocular Vision and Orthoptics: Investigation and Management*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2001:30-62.
5. Bredemeyer H, Bullock K. Methods of examination. *Orthoptics: Theory and Practice*. St. Louis: The C.V. Mosby Company; 1968:130-152.
6. D'Agostino D. Basic Examination: Physiology of Eye Movements - Measurement of Ductions, Versions, and Vergences. In: Scott W, D'Agostino D, Weingeist Lennarson L, eds. *Orthoptics and Ocular Examination Techniques*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1983.
7. Fricke T, Siderov J. Stereopsis, stereotests, and their relation to vision screening and clinical practice. *Clin Exp Optom*. 1997;80(5):165-172.
8. Hayes GJ, Cohen BE, Rouse MW, De Land PN. Normative values for the nearpoint of convergence of elementary schoolchildren. *Optometry and vision science : official publication of the American Academy of Optometry*. 1998;75(7):506-512.
9. Hurtt J, Rasicovici A, Windsor C. Diagnosis and diagnostic tests. *Comprehensive Review of Orthoptics and Ocular Motility: Theory, Therapy, and Surgery/*. 2nd ed. Saint Louis: The C.V. Mosby Company; 1977:123-152.

10. Hussaindeen JR, Rakshit A, Singh NK, et al. Binocular vision anomalies and normative data (BAND) in Tamil Nadu:report 1. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2017;100:278-284.
11. Mucha A, Collins MW, Elbin RJ, et al. A brief vestibular/ocular motor screening (VOMS) assessment to evaluate concussions: preliminary findings. *Am J Sports Med*. 2014;42(10):2479-2486.
12. Piano ME, Tidbury LP, O'Connor AR. Normative Values for Near and Distance Clinical Tests of Stereoacuity. *Strabismus*. 2016;24(4):169-172.
13. Rowe F. Investigative procedures. *Clinical Orthoptics*. 3rd ed. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012:62-78.
14. Scheiman M, Gallaway M, Frantz KA, et al. Nearpoint of convergence: test procedure, target selection, and normative data. *Optometry and vision science : official publication of the American Academy of Optometry*. 2003;80(3):214-225.