# Supplemental Table 1: Medical cannabis law adoption and effectiveness years

State	Year Date of Medical Law Passed <sup>1</sup>	Year Medical Law was Effective <sup>2</sup>
Alabama^	2021	Not yet implemented
Alaska*	1998	1998
Arizona	2010	2011
Arkansas	2016	2016
California*	1996	1996
Colorado*	2000	2000
Connecticut	2012	2014
Delaware	2011	2015
District of Columbia	1998	2013
Florida	2016	2016
Georgia		
Hawaii*	2000	2000
Idaho		
Illinois	2013	2015
Indiana		
lowa		
Kansas		
Kentucky^	2023	Not yet implemented
Louisiana	2017	2019
Maine*	1999	1999
Maryland	2014	2017
Massachusetts	2012	2013
Michigan*	2008	2008
Minnesota	2014	2015
Mississippi^	2022	Not yet implemented
Missouri	2018	2020
Montana*	2004	2004
Nebraska		
Nevada*	2000	2001

1 1		
New Hampshire	2013	2016
New Jersey	2010	2012
New Mexico*	2007	2007
New York	2014	2016
North Carolina		
North Dakota	2016	2019
Ohio	2016	2019
Oklahoma	2018	2018
Oregon*	1998	1998
Pennsylvania	2016	2018
Rhode Island*	2006	2006
South Carolina		
South Dakota <sup>^</sup>	2020	Not yet implemented
Tennessee		
Texas		
Utah	2018	2020
Vermont*	2004	2004
Virginia	2020	2020
Washington*	1998	1998
West Virginia	2017	2017
Wisconsin		
Wyoming		
	madical canachia law adoptors. Adopates	

**Note:** \*Denotes early medical cannabis law adopters; ^denotes late medical cannabis law adopters. Both were not included in the analysis. Law passage years were obtained from the National Conference of State Legislatures (<a href="https://www.ncsl.org/health/state-medical-cannabis-laws">https://www.ncsl.org/health/state-medical-cannabis-laws</a>). Medical cannabis law effective dates were obtained from Anderson & Rees (2023).

Supplemental Table 2: Post-treatment event-study cohort-specific average treatment effect on the treated

	Post-Treatment Year										
C-ATT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Average Total Premium											
Single Plan,	0.000	-0.006	-0.005	-0.014	-0.014	-0.006	-0.009	-0.054	-0.073	-0.093	-0.075
SE	0.011	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.013	0.016	0.023	0.024	0.026	0.021
Family Plan	0.007	-0.008	-0.007	-0.005	0.006	0.008	0.001	-0.017	-0.038	-0.074	-0.018
SE	0.014	0.014	0.016	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.027	0.032	0.025	0.037
Employee + One Plan	-0.017	-0.016	-0.006	-0.015	-0.022	-0.003	-0.019	-0.062	-0.044	-0.059	-0.002
SE	0.011	0.010	0.012	0.016	0.014	0.017	0.020	0.036	0.031	0.031	0.018
Average Total Deductible	le										
Single Plan	0.019	-0.001	-0.015	-0.023	-0.014	-0.030	-0.068	-0.075	-0.050	-0.094	-0.017
SE	0.042	0.028	0.029	0.029	0.028	0.041	0.046	0.043	0.071	0.058	0.080
Family Plan	0.003	-0.008	-0.031	-0.050	-0.064	-0.047	-0.130	-0.047	-0.026	0.006	0.009
SE	0.031	0.030	0.028	0.041	0.027	0.031	0.052	0.040	0.044	0.071	0.062

**Note:** Year = 0 represents the year of medical cannabis law is effective (see Supplemental table 1 for states and dates). Year = 1 represents the first full year of implementation. Overall effect in the first 10 years of implementation calculated using time points 1 through 10.

Supplemental Table 3: Pre-treatment event-study cohort-specific average treatment effect on the treated.

### **Pre-treatment Years**

C-ATT	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	Pre-treatment Trends, P-value
Average Total Premiun	Average Total Premiums										
Single Plan	-0.004	-0.009	-0.010	0.003	-0.008	-0.003	0.016	0.019	0.005	-0.006	0.137
SE	0.012	0.012	0.018	0.010	0.016	0.011	0.010	0.014	0.011	0.009	
Family Plan	0.013	0.005	-0.002	0.016	0.024	0.022	0.024	0.022	0.018	-0.006	0.530
SE	0.018	0.015	0.011	0.015	0.014	0.019	0.013	0.014	0.012	0.013	
Employee + One Plan	0.007	0.003	-0.006	-0.006	-0.001	0.004	0.006	0.006	-0.004	-0.004	0.980
SE	0.012	0.013	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.012	0.014	0.008	
Average Total Deductib	ole										
Single Plan	-0.011	-0.031	0.043	0.013	-0.005	0.002	-0.026	-0.020	-0.015	0.024	0.404
SE	0.034	0.032	0.031	0.028	0.035	0.036	0.030	0.026	0.024	0.028	
Family Plan	-0.031	-0.035	0.020	0.014	-0.055	-0.050	-0.047	-0.029	-0.013	-0.008	0.069
SE	0.034	0.036	0.031	0.024	0.029	0.031	0.024	0.030	0.029	0.030	

**Note:** Pre-treatment trends test is result of lincom in Stata which tests whether the combination of pre-treatment years -10 through -1 is statistically different from 0 using a chi2 test.

# Supplemental Table 4: Cohort-specific weights for average treatment effect on the treated calculation

## **Cohorts for Effective Implementation of Medical Cannabis Laws**

Time	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
-10					0.161	0.350	0.065	0.139	0.142	0.144
-9				0.029	0.156	0.342	0.062	0.134	0.138	0.139
-8			0.056	0.027	0.147	0.325	0.058	0.125	0.131	0.131
-7		0.064	0.051	0.026	0.137	0.305	0.054	0.119	0.121	0.123
-6	0.038	0.061	0.049	0.025	0.132	0.293	0.053	0.115	0.116	0.119
-5	0.039	0.061	0.048	0.024	0.131	0.293	0.053	0.116	0.116	0.119
-4	0.040	0.061	0.048	0.024	0.131	0.293	0.053	0.114	0.116	0.119
-3	0.042	0.059	0.048	0.024	0.131	0.294	0.053	0.113	0.116	0.119
-2	0.044	0.059	0.049	0.024	0.130	0.294	0.053	0.113	0.116	0.118
-1	0.045	0.060	0.049	0.024	0.130	0.295	0.053	0.113	0.115	0.119
0	0.044	0.059	0.049	0.024	0.130	0.298	0.052	0.111	0.113	0.120

1	0.044	0.059	0.049	0.024	0.129	0.298	0.052	0.110	0.114	0.120
2	0.044	0.059	0.049	0.024	0.127	0.300	0.052	0.111	0.114	0.120
3	0.050	0.068	0.056	0.027	0.145	0.339	0.060	0.125	0.129	
4	0.057	0.077	0.064	0.031	0.167	0.393	0.068	0.143		
5	0.068	0.089	0.076	0.034	0.196	0.458	0.079			
6	0.075	0.098	0.083	0.039	0.210	0.496				
7	0.152	0.191	0.168	0.077	0.413					
8	0.263	0.331	0.277	0.129						
9	0.299	0.385	0.316							
10	0.438	0.562								
Averag	e Weights									
	0.105	0.133	0.088	0.035	0.161	0.333	0.058	0.120	0.121	0.124

**Note:** 2011 cohort consists of Arizona, 2012 cohort consists of NJ, 2013 consists of DC and MA, 2014 consists of CT, 2015 consists of DE, IL, and MN, 2016 consists of AR, FL, NH, and NY, 2017 consists of MD and WV, 2018 consists of OK and PA, 2019 consists of LA, ND, and OH, 2020 consists of MO, UT, and VA. Average weights = average from non-zero weights. As year passage and time was consistent across all models, the weighting provided here was also consistent.

**Supplemental Table 5**: The impact of medical cannabis law adoption on average total premium and deductible costs per employee translated into dollars, 2003-2022.

## Full Model

		(1	Overall n = 22 State	s)		L	ast Five Yea (n = 13)	ırs		
	Average \$	SE	Effect	95%	95% CI		SE	Effect	95% CI	
				Lower	Upper				Lower	Upper
Total Premium C	Costs									
Single Plan	\$7,000	\$62	-\$238*	-\$234	-\$242	\$7,545	\$86	-\$460*	-\$450	-\$471
Family Plan	\$20,250	\$185	-\$283	-\$278	-\$289	\$22,053	\$223	-\$640	-\$626	-\$653
Employee + One Plan	\$13,910	\$122	-\$348*	-\$342	-\$354	\$15,059	\$168	-\$557*	-\$545	-\$570
Deductible										
Single Plan	\$1,826	\$28	-\$71	-\$69	-\$73	\$1,927	\$45	-\$118	-\$112	-\$123
Family Plan	\$3,506	\$53	-\$133	-\$129	-\$137	\$3,697	\$77	-\$137	-\$131	-\$143

Note: Overall is the average of all post-treatment years for all medical cannabis law adopters; Last Five Years is the average of years 6 through 10 post-medical cannabis implementation. Never treated as the comparison cohort. Average \$ is equal to the states that passed medical cannabis laws in their post-treatment period. We then applied the effect size to find the effect in real dollars for the C-ATT and the 95% CI using the standard errors. \* Denotes where effect has a p-value <0.05

### **Works Cited in Supplemental Web Material**

- National Conference of State Legislatures. State Medical Marijuana Laws. Published 2020. Accessed September 25, 2020. https://www.ncsl.org/research/health/state-medical-marijuana-laws.aspx
- 2. Anderson DM, Rees DI. The Public Health Effects of Legalizing Marijuana. *Journal of Economic Literature*. 2023;61(1):86-143. doi:10.1257/jel.20211635