medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer revealing the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Oxford Open Digital Health

Cost-effectiveness analysis of two-way texting (2wT) intervention to improve ART retention among newlyinitiated antiretroviral therapy clients in Malawi

Journal:	Oxford Open Digital Health
Manuscript ID	OODH-2023-056
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Oct-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Kiruthu-Kamamia, Christine ; United Nations University-Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology, Governance and Policy; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research; International Training and Education Center for Health, Malawi Weldemariam, Hiwot; University of Washington, Epidemiology Chapanda, Mirriam; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Huwa, Jacqueline; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Seyani, Johnnie; Lighthouse Trust, Community Health Services Chirwa, Harrison; Lighthouse Trust, Community Health Services Kudzala, Aubrey; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Thawani, Agness; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Chintedza, Joseph; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Sande, Odala; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Tiwaya, Geldert; Lighthouse Trust, Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Tweya, Hannock ; University of Washington, International Training and Education Center for Health; University of Washington, Global Health Pavlova, Milena; United Nations University-Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology, Governance and Policy Groot, Wim; United Nations University-Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology, Governance and Policy Feldacker, CARYL; University of Washington, Global Health
Keywords:	Two-way texting, ART retention, cost-effectiveness, mHealth, HIV care, digital health



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 1 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review is the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Cost-effectiveness analysis of two-way texting (2wT) intervention to improve ART retention among newly-initiated antiretroviral therapy clients in Malawi

Christine Kiruthu-Kamamia^{1,2,3*}, Hiwot Weldemariam⁴, Mirriam Chipanda², Jacqueline Huwa², Johnnie Seyani², Harrison Chirwa², Aubrey Kudzala², Agnes Thawani², Joseph Chintedza², Odala Sande², Geldert Chiwaya², Hannock Tweya^{3,5}, Milena Pavlova¹, Wim Groot¹, Caryl Feldacker^{3,5}

Affiliations

¹United Nations University – Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology, Maastricht, Netherlands

²Lighthouse Trust, Lilongwe, Malawi

³International Training and Education Center for Health, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁵Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

*Corresponding Author:

Christine Kiruthu-Kamamia, MPH United Nations University – Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology Boschstraat 24, 6211 AX Maastricht, Netherlands Email: c.kiruthu-kamamia@student.maastrichtuniversity.nl Phone: 265992464418

Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by the Fogarty International Center of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number R21TW010583 (CF), and PATH under Award Number AID-OAA-A-16-00084. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or PATH. The authors would also like to thank the Lighthouse Trust retention program and research department for their partnership in implementing the 2wT intervention.

Authors' Contributions

CKK: Writing – original draft. CKK and HW: Formal Analysis. CKK, JS, HC, AT, OS, GC, JC and JH: Investigation and Project Administration. CKK, MC, HW, and HC: Data curation. CF, WG, MP and HT: Supervision.HT and CF: Conceptualization. All authors: Writing – review & editing.

Abstract

Background: Retention in HIV care is crucial for improved health outcomes. Malawi has a high HIV prevalence and struggles with retention despite significant progress in controlling the epidemic. Mobile health (mHealth) interventions, such as two-way texting (2wT), have shown promise in improving anti-retroviral therapy (ART) retention. We explore the cost-effectiveness of a 2wT intervention in Lighthouse Trust's Martin Preuss Center (MPC) in Lilongwe, Malawi, that sends automated SMS visit reminders, weekly motivational messages, and supports direct communication between clients and healthcare workers.

Methods: Costs and retention rates were compared between 2wT and standard of care (SOC) for 468 clients enrolled in each. Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) were calculated. Scenario analyses were conducted to estimate costs if 2wT expanded.

Results: The 2wT group had higher retention (80%) than SOC (67%) at 12 months post-ART initiation. For 468 clients, the total annual costs for 2wT were \$36,670.38 as compared to SOC costs at \$33,458.72, resulting in an ICER of \$24,705. Among scenarios, the ICER was - \$105,315 if 2wT expanded to all new clients (2678 at MPC and -\$723,739 as 2wT expanded to other four high-burden facilities (2901 clients), suggesting high cost savings if 2wT was effectively scaled.

Conclusion: The 2wT intervention appears cost-effective to improve ART retention among new ART initiates in a high-burden ART clinic. While mHealth interventions have potential

Two-way texting, ART retention, mHealth, cost effectiveness, HIV care, digital health

integration into HIV care programs.

Keywords

limitations, their benefits in improving patient outcomes and cost savings support their

.ath, cos

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/oodh

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) attention of the content of the c

Background

Retention on antiretroviral therapy (ART) among people living with HIV (PLHIV) leads to lower mortality and a higher likelihood of viral load (VL) suppression, thereby reducing the risk of HIV transmission [1,2]. However, ART retention continues to be a major challenge [3,4], especially within the first 12 months of ART initiation as research, including studies conducted in Malawi has shown that the chances of attrition are highest within the first year of initiating ART [5–9]. Malawi has one of the highest HIV prevalence in the world, with approximately 9% of the general population living with HIV [10]. Malawi adopted a public health approach with the aim to achieve UNAIDS 95-95-95 targets by 2030 [11]. Progress is commendable: by 2021, 88% of those living with HIV knew their status, 98% of those were on ART, and 97% had their VL suppressed [10]. Despite this significant achievement, Malawi continues to struggle with retention and adherence to ART [12,13].

Generally, adherence is influenced by several factors, including predisposing factors (e.g., mental illness, substance abuse), patient enabling factors (e.g., reminder strategies, transportation) and healthcare environment factors (e.g., provider characteristics, clinic experience [14]. To address these challenges, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended evidence-based interventions, including mobile health (mHealth) approaches like reminders [15].

Increased access to mobile phones and their rapid technological advancements have led to the development of mHealth as a complementary strategy to strengthen health systems [15]. mHealth is a medical and public health practice that is supported by mobile devices, patient monitoring devices, personal digital assistants, and other wireless devices [15]. mHealth is a growing strategy with over 600 pilots and programs implemented globally over the last decade [16]. Specific mHealth innovations have shown promise in increasing ART retention in research settings [17]. The use of text messaging, like short messaging service (SMS), for patients and their health providers to communicate has been steadily increasing due convenience, accessibility, and privacy advantages [17,18]. Studies on SMS communication have found that interactive twoway SMS (sending messages with response options) between patients and health providers is more efficacious than one-way informational messaging (sending messages that do not require a response) because it facilitates interaction between the provider and the client [19–21]. SMS can be used to promote adherence by sending prompts to take HIV medication, appointment reminders, and interacting with healthcare providers, with results from Kenya, Burkina Faso and Nigeria showing improved uptake and adherence[17,19,22,23]. Furthermore, a meta-analysis by Wald et al found that two-way messaging was associated with substantially improved medication adherence, compared to one-way text messaging [20]. Based on such evidence, the WHO recommended SMS messaging as an intervention to promote ART [24]. Previous research suggests that two-way SMS interventions could be cost-effective [25,26], but evidence gaps remain. As mHealth interventions continue to grow exponentially, there is a critical gap in evaluation and evidence generation to scale only costeffective, impactful innovations.

Lighthouse Trust (LH) is a WHO-recognized center of excellence for HIV care that has been operational since 2001 [27–29]. LH operates 5 clinics in Malawi: two in the central district Lilongwe (Lighthouse Trust at Kamuzu Central Hospital, Martin Preuss Center at Bwaila Hospital), two in the southern districts Blantyre (Umodzi Family Center at Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital) and Zomba (Tisungane Clinic at Zomba Central Hospital) and one in the northern district Mzimba (Rainbow Clinic at Mzuzu Central Hospital) [30].

Lighthouse Trust's Martin Preuss Center (MPC) clinic in Lilongwe, Malawi has the largest ART cohort in the country, with over 25,000 clients alive in care [31]. At MPC, there are over 7,800 monthly visits to the clinic. Approximately 10% of clients at MPC miss their appointments each month. MPC has a policy of following up on those who miss their appointment, which means, on average, 780 clients need tracing monthly. Tracing is done by field tracers who trace clients telephonically or physically to their homes. With such a high demand for client tracing, coupled with limited resources, only about one-third of medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) and antipatting the control of the control of the preprint in perpetuity. Page 6 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

eligible clients are traced. Lack of, or delays in, tracing reduces ART retention and, ultimately, viral suppression. Poor data quality also hampers tracing effectiveness. On average, of all clients traced, approximately 55% were not loss-to-follow-up (LTFU) but had transferred to another clinic or were actually still in care, resulting in the inefficient allocation of limited resources [13].

To address this problem, Lighthouse Trust collaborated with the International Training and Education Center for Health (I-TECH) at the University of Washington, along with technology partner, Medic, leveraged the open-source Community Health Toolkit (CHT) [32], to customize the two-way texting (2wT) system to enhance early retention among new ART initiates. The 2wT system sends automated visit reminders and weekly motivational messages to clients. Clients can respond to inquiries and send messages to the HCW to change visit dates, report a transfer, or ask for visit-related help. 2wT is free for clients. By directly, and proactively, engaging with clients before a visit is missed, 2wT aimed to improve client outcomes, reducing true LTFU. By identifying transfers and delays before a visit was missed, 2wT aimed to reduce wasted tracing efforts. Six-month retention analysis demonstrated that the 2wT system improved ART retention by 10% within the first six months of ART, while also lowering the risk of LTFU by 64% compared to the standard of care (SOC) approach (forthcoming). Despite initial effectiveness evidence, the cost and cost-effectiveness of this mHealth intervention remain unknown.

Therefore, the primary objective of this study is to assess the cost of implementing the 2wT mHealth intervention and the cost-effectiveness of the intervention in comparison with the standard of care (SOC) buddy approach (visit reminder calls from an expert ART *buddy)* at MPC ART clinic in Lilongwe. In response to recent calls for evidence on SMS innovations and cost-effective interventions from the Malawi Ministry of Health (MOH) [12], this cost and cost-effectiveness analysis from the program perspective may inform feasibility of 2wT scale-up to other MoH facilities.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 7 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review is the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Methods

Study design

This study was based in the Lighthouse Trust MPC ART clinic in Lilongwe, Malawi. Using a program (payer) perspective, we conducted a cost-effectiveness analysis comparing 2wT intervention to the SOC. Specifically, we evaluated the costs incurred by the clinic implementing and providing routine retention services (SOC) and the effect of the intervention (2wT) in improving client retention. The base case (SOC) included adult clients with mobile phones who initiated ART at MPC between January and December 2020. SOC clients were supported with *Buddy* reminder calls before a visit and after a missed appointment, if applicable. 2wT clients were also adult clients with cell phones who opted into enrollment in the 2wT intervention from June 2021 to April 2022. We calculated the costs of early retention in 2020-2021. All client outcomes were followed up to 12 months post-ART initiation. The early retention procedure is presented in Figure 1. The economic evaluation was conducted following the Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022)[33], Appendix 2.

Figure 1: Early retention procedures for new ART clients in SOC and 2wT

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) as the preprint in perpetuity. Page 8 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Note: After a client misses an appointment, the procedures are the same for all clients regardless of the intervention and when they initiated care.

Standard of care (SOC)

For client retention, Lighthouse implements a resource-intensive patient retention program that is split between early retention for new ART initiates (within the first 12 months of ART initiation) and retention of long-term clients (those on ART longer than 1 year) called Back to Care (B2C). In the early retention program (see Figure 1), a newly initiated ART client must have ART clinic visits at months 1, 2, 3, 6, 9 and 12 post-initiation for close observation. In addition, new ART clients are paired with an expert client buddy (EC), who are peer counsellors living with HIV. This pairing is done for the first 12 months of ART initiation. The EC, in addition to providing peer counselling, calls the client for appointment reminders before their scheduled appointment and traces clients through phone calls within 14 days after a missed appointment. If phone tracing is unsuccessful within 14 days and the client has not attended the clinic, the case is sent to B2C for additional tracing, which includes phone calls or home visits. The early retention register documents all phone call reminders and tracing attempts. However, human resource challenges and documentation gaps resulted in only 6 months of recorded buddy data per client.

The two-way texting (2wT) intervention

Previously described elsewhere [34], the 2wT system replaced phone calls made by ECs for counseling, appointment reminders and tracing. Clients who fulfilled the eligibility requirements were offered the opportunity to provide their consent and opt-in the 2wT study if they: 1) had initiated ART within the past six months; 2) were aged 18 years or older; 3) possessed a phone at the time of enrollment; 4) expressed willingness to receive and send SMS messages; 5) had literacy skills; and 6) acknowledged and verified their enrollment phone number by receiving and confirming the 2WT enrollment SMS. Clients who either did not have cell phones or had but chose not to participate were excluded from the study and instead received SOC retention support. The 2wT uses a hybrid workflow that combines: (1) automated workflows that send weekly motivational messages to promote adherence; (2) individually-tailored SMS reminders to clients with upcoming visits, with a response requested; and (3) open-ended SMS texts between clients and HCWs that allows clients to reschedule visits, report transfers, or report other clinical or nonclinical interactions such as ART side effects or ask questions on their ART care. The system is used for the first 12 months of ART initiation. Appointment reminders are sent 3 and 1 days before the appointment by automated SMS. After a missed appointment, automated SMS reminders are sent within 14 days to reschedule the appointment or update records if the client has transferred to another clinic. If a client enrolled in 2wT has not attended the clinic within 14 days after a missed appointment, the case is sent to B2C for in-person tracing as with SOC. Participants in 2wT did not receive an EC buddy. For both 2wT and SOC, if a client has not returned to the clinic within 14 days after a missed appointment, the case is referred to B2C for additional tracing

We hypothesize that 2wT is more cost-effective for a high-burden facility such as MPC.

Ethics

For the underlying 2wT implementation effectiveness study, 2wT patients provided written informed consent at opt-in enrollment in either Chichewa or English according to participant preference. The study protocol was approved by the Malawi National Health Sciences Research Committee and the University of Washington, Seattle, USA ethics review board. No identifiable data was used in this costing study.

Data Collection

We conducted a time-motion study to record staff time spent on SOC retention and 2wT activities. The data collection tools were developed using Microsoft Excel, provided in Appendix 1. The time and motion study consisted of five days of direct observation at MPC.

Outcomes (effects)

The main clinical outcome evaluated was reduced clients defaulted at 12 months post ART initiation. Client ART outcome data from both 2wT and comparison clients were extracted from the EMR, EC tracing registers, and the 2wT database. ART outcome data came from the EMRs, and the SMS data from the 2wT database. The tracing attempts by ECs were documented in the early retention register.

<u>Costs</u>

We used a micro-costing approach for cost estimation. Costing information was obtained from Lighthouse Trust expenditure records, payroll information and procurement records, and the time motion surveys designed for the study. We identified and categorized all activities and resources involved in both the SOC and 2wT intervention for early retention. These costs were categorized into personnel, training, building utilities, supplies, equipment, and communication materials as described in Table 1. The costs were further divided into fixed costs and variable costs. The fixed costs were one-time expenses, which included training and equipment costs. The fixed costs were allocated to SOC and 2wT both interventions based on their proportional utilization of shared resources. The variable costs included recurring costs required to sustain both interventions. These included personnel, communication materials, supplies and building utilities.

ud

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 11 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review) is the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

> We quantified and valued the resources for each cost category using Lighthouse expenditure data on salaries and commodity prices. Since the perspective of the analysis was Lighthouse Trust (payer) perspective, we excluded costs that are not incurred by the clinic, such as medication costs, which are paid by the government, and study-specific personnel that would not be transferable to routine program implementation.

Discounting

We used 5% social discount rate as recommended for LMICs due to higher rates of economic growth [35].

Currency, price date, and conversion

All costs were converted from Malawi Kwacha (MWK) to US dollar. We used the 2020-2021 exchange rate of 825 MWK to match the study period of the client outcomes.

Assumptions

We had the following assumptions.

- 1. We assumed the program all staff were working 40 hours/week.
- 2. The annual costs for SOC are for all 2,678 clients seen in 2020 and could not be easily extracted for 468 clients, only. Therefore, to estimate costs for the 468 clients in SOC, we estimated all personnel and communication costs needed for each client, multiplying that by 468.

Data analysis

We analyzed the 12 -month ART outcomes for each client and estimated the costs per client enrolled in SOC and 2wT and retained at 12-months. We calculated the incremental cost effectiveness ratios (ICERs) of 2wT compared to the SOC [36].

Sensitivity and Scenario Analyses

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review have a straight and the constant of the

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to investigate how the ICER of the SOC and 2wT changed if 2wT was scaled beyond the pilot, making it accessible to all new ART clients at MPC, and if it was expanded beyond MPC to other facilities. We calculated the ICERs for both scenarios.

Scenario 1 (scale up to all new ART initiates at MPC): We assumed that 44% of all new initiates at MPC in 2020 would be eligible for 2wT (according to enrollment screening data). Therefore, of the 2,678 initiated on ART at MPC in 2021, we estimated the cost of providing retention support to 1,005 in SOC and 942 clients in 2wT. In the SOC, the personnel and communication costs were estimated by multiplying the unit cost per client of the base case by the number of clients enrolled. The supplies, utilities, training, and equipment would remain the same. It is estimated that one full-time FTE 2wT data officer can manage up to 3000 patients.

Scenario 2 (scale up to 4 additional facilities): The second scenario estimated costs if 2wT was scaled to the other four LH high-burden ART facilities across the country which enrolled 2,901 new ART clients in 2022. It is estimated that the existing 2wT data officer and a retention assistant (RA) would be enough to manage the 2wT system and client enrolment in this scenario. The enrollment eligibility was presumed the same as from screening data. For personnel, communication, and supplies cost, we multiplied the unit cost per client from the base case by the number of clients). For personnel, communication, and supplies costs, we multiplied the unit cost per client from the base case by the number of clients. We assumed the utilities, training, and equipment costs would be the same for each facility. For 2wT, one data officer from MPC would serve multiple sites, but each facility would use an EC at 20% FTE for enrolling new clients duty, only. For communication and supplies costs, we multiplied the unit cost per client from the base case by the number of clients duty only. For communication and supplies costs, we multiplied the unit cost per client from the base case by the number of clients duty only. For communication and supplies costs, we multiplied the unit cost per client from the base case by the number of clients. Each facility would have the same equipment costs, excluding a lockable cabinet and 2wT system maintenance costs since the 2wT system would be stationed at MPC. For training costs, the ECs would only need 2wT-specific training, but not

retention program training, since they would have already received that as part of their normal duties.

Results

Demographics and retention outcomes

Table 2 presents the baseline characteristics and 12-month ART outcomes of patients included in SOC and 2wT. Retrospectively, 468 adult new ART clients aged 18 and over with a registered mobile phone number were randomly selected in SOC for comparison with the number of 468 participants who were enrolled in the 2wT intervention. As shown in Table 2, in both SOC and 2wT intervention groups, there were more women than men (55% and 56%, respectively) and the median age was 33 years, with more participants in the 25-34 age group. The majority (77% and 78% at SOC and 2wT respectively)of the participants were initiated on ART at WHO stage 1 or 2, reflecting the demographic profile of new ART clients at MPC[31]. At 12 months post-ART initiation, 2wT intervention had more (80%) clients alive in care compared to those in the SOC (67%). In addition, SOC had more clients loss-to-follow-up (LTFU) (17% vs 6%), and a higher rate of clients who stopped ART (7% vs 2%) compared to the 2wT. The transfer-out rate and death rate were the same for both interventions at 8% and 1% respectively.

Costs:

Table 3 summarizes the total annual costs and unit costs for implementing SOC services and the 2wT intervention. The annual costs for 2wT were higher at \$36,670.38 compared to \$33,458.72 for SOC. Both fixed costs and variable costs were greater for 2wT. Personnel costs constituted the highest expense for 2wT, while the building costs were the highest expense for SOC. Overall, the cost per client enrolled in the study was higher for 2wT at \$78.36 compared to \$71.49 for SOC, with a difference of \$6.87 between the two. However, the cost per client retained in care after 12 months was higher for SOC (\$107.58 vs \$98.0) due to more clients retained in care for 2wT.

We also assessed the costs per gender, age group and WHO stage. Notably, in general, the costs were higher for 2wT in all categories except for the unknown WHO stage. The cost shares by input categories for all costs are shown in Appendix 3. The personnel, training, equipment, and communication costs were higher for 2wT at 41%, 25%, 24% and 4%, respectively, compared to the respective allocations of 29%, 20%, 11% and 0.3% for SOC. Conversely, the utilities and general supplies were higher for SOC services, with 26%, and 14%, respectively, in contrast to 5% and 0.3% for 2wT.

Scenario analysis

Tables 4 and 5 present the annual and unit costs for SOC and 2wT for scenarios 1 and 2, respectively.

As shown in Table 3, expanding 2wT to all new ART clients at MPC (scenario 1) with the assumption that 44% would enroll in 2wT, would decrease the total costs by 63.8% and 12.1% for SOC and 2wT, respectively. The increase in SOC cost was driven primarily by personnel costs, as more EC personnel would be needed for more clients. For 2wT, there was only a minor increase in the 2wT data officer FTE, increasing to 100%. Expanding to four other LH clinics (scenario 2), as shown in Table 5, would increase the cost per client by 277.2% for SOC, but decrease by 12.4% for 2wT. The main cost driver for SOC was personnel, utilities costs and fixed costs. The 2wT costs slightly decreased due to reduced personnel costs and no utilities costs. In scenario 2, with 2wT scaling up, 2wT the unit cost decreases.

Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER)

Table 6 describes the ICER in the scenario analyses. In the base case scenario with 468 participants in each group, SOC costs \$33,458.72 with a unit cost of \$107.58 and 2WT costs \$36,670.38 with a unit cost of \$98.05, resulting in a higher ICER for SOC. However, in a scenario where 2WT is extended to all new ART patients at MPC (N=2678), the unit cost drops significantly to \$54.53 (total cost \$54,807.37) for SOC, and \$43.65 (total cost of \$41,116.44) for 2WT. This shift results in a substantial decrease in ICER for 2WT, transitioning from +\$24,705 to -\$105,315(500% lower), indicating cost savings. Finally, in the second scenario where 2WT is implemented at four other LH clinics (N=2901), it

becomes even more cost-effective with a unit and total cost of \$31.46 and \$32,120.72, respectively, and a lower ICER, resulting in substantial cost savings of -\$723,739 compared to SOC.

Discussion

We conducted a comprehensive cost-effectiveness analysis based on a payer costs (program/organization level) to evaluate the implementation of 2wT mHealth intervention for ART retention among newly initiated clients in Malawi, comparing it with the SOC. Our analysis underscores the cost-effectiveness of the 2wT intervention when implemented on a large scale. Our previous results indicated that the 2wT intervention yielded higher retention rates (80%) compared to SOC (67%) 12 months post-ART initiation. The total annual costs for 2wT were slightly higher than SOC costs. Personnel costs constituted the largest expense for 2wT, while utilities costs were the highest for SOC. Although the cost per client enrolled was higher for 2wT , the higher client retention 12 months post ART initiation resulted in a lower cost per client retained in care after 12 months compared to SOC.

We also explored two scenarios for our sensitivity analyses: one examining the expansion of 2wT to all new ART clients and another considering its implementation across LH facilities. Our study results indicated that, as 2wT expanded, its unit costs decreased. Furthermore, the 2wT intervention was associated with a positive ICER compared to SOC. Expanding 2wT for all new ART initiates at MPC significantly reduced the unit cos, further expansion to four more clinics reduced costs dramatically. In either scenario, the ICER had negative values, indicating improved outcomes and cost savings. These findings collectively emphasize the potential advantages of the 2wT intervention across diverse scenarios, showcasing improved patient retention and suggesting the feasibility of achieving cost savings through strategic implementation.

The findings of this cost-effectiveness analysis support the use of the 2wT mHealth intervention as a cost-effective method for improving ART retention in a large public HIV clinic in Malawi. The 2wT was deliberately built using an open-source CHT app, a global digital good, and as such, there are no licensing fees associated with the app use. The

absence of licensing fees contributes to the reduction in the total cost of ownership, a key consideration for MOH decision-making process regarding potential national-scale implementation of the 2wT. Also in previous research, the 2wT intervention has been shown to be effective, usable and acceptable [34] among new ART initiates for improving early retention at MPC. The 2wT format confirms delivery of SMS sent, and it allows the client to respond at their convenience and discreetly, whereas unanswered phone calls may not register as missed calls to the recipient, and there are no voicemail options for Malawi phone plans. The 2wT is also free, thereby encouraging client feedback. Additionally, the 2wT intervention is also beneficial to the healthcare facility by helping HCWs streamline their workload by reducing unnecessary tracing and automating the generation of defaulter lists , which can lead to improved efficiency and resource allocation within the healthcare system [37].

The 2wT was also found to be beneficial in other contexts for other HIV interventions where HCWs found the system to be usable and encouraged clients to engage in their care [25,38]. However, potential challenges to scaling up were acknowledged, including the requirements for practice-based mentoring and constant supervision, generating client demand for 2wT, enrolling clients beyond ART initiation visits, establishing a supportive national policy enabling minors to enroll with guardian support, and addressing reporting redundancies to enhance efficiency.

The 2wT intervention was implemented to improve routine services [34]. Given the substantial cohort of nearly 26,000 ART-enrolled clients at MPC, coupled with an annual influx of approximately 2000 new ART clients, we recommend that 2wT be used to supplement the existing early retention program, emphasizing the importance of ensuring minimal additional workload for HCW for optimal effectiveness. In addition, robust training and mentorship for proper implementation is imperative. It is noteworthy that because HCWs at MPC were involved in the development of the 2wT system, they may have greater buy-in- a dynamic that may differ when scaling the system to other LH facilities when the system is already developed.

Implementing mHealth interventions for ART adherence at scale is feasible and costeffective. These interventions can build on existing healthcare infrastructure and leverage available resources [5].

Our study provides crucial insights into the cost implications of implementing a mHealth intervention within a routine setting. Notably, the early retention program at MPC is just one piece of a larger effort to keep patients engaged in care. MPC offers a wide range of HIV care and treatment services across the HIV cascade [29,30]. Because of this setup, at MPC, the HCWs have varied roles and responsibilities that often cut across different departments. As HCWs take on more senior positions, their roles become even more complex and overlapping, adding challenge to accurately calculate the specific costs associated with the early retention services within the regular program. Thus, in addition to the time-motion study, we had to continuously refine our estimates with the HCWs involved in retention services to accurately estimate the different cost categories. MPC has detailed records for documenting clinic visits through the EMR and individual patient files.

Limitations

It is essential to acknowledge some limitations of this study. Firstly, not all clinic operational costs, such as senior leadership personnel expenses, were incorporated, potentially leading to an underestimation of total costs. The SOC costs were extracted from the overall MPC program expenditure data; the absence of specific SOC intervention-based expenditure recording within LH accounting records could have omitted certain costs. Utility or building costs could vary more than estimated. Furthermore, in 2020, EC records were incomplete. Consequently, the frequency of EC interactions with the clients and referrals to back-to-care could not be completely ascertained. Lastly, the 2wT is limited to those who have exclusive use of a mobile phone and are literate. This highlights the need to consider 2wT as an augmentation or complement to SOC and not a replacement. 2wT is not yet integrated into the underlying MPC electronic medical record system; however, planned integration should further lower costs through more automated visit reminders and referrals to B2C. Despite these limitations, the 2wT intervention should still be considered an effective intervention for those who can use it, as it has demonstrated positive outcomes in improving ART retention.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review have a the preprint in perpetuity. Page 18 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Conclusion

Based on this study, we conclude that 2wT is a cost-effective method to improve early retention of new ART initiates in Lilongwe, Malawi. 2wT reduced cost per retained client when compared to those receiving the SOC. Our scenario analyses demonstrate that as the 2wT is expanded in scale, it may yield cost savings, thereby establishing its cost-effectiveness for the clinic. The 2wT intervention should not replace the existing SOC services, rather, it should complement the existing retention interventions to support engagement in care. This study sets the stage for future investigations aimed at assessing the cost-effectiveness of scaling up the 2wT program, measuring 2wT impact on timely visit attendance, client retention, attrition, and reengagement in care over time. The results of this study will also be shared with the MOH, and other key stakeholders to advise on how 2wT may be expanded to other facilities, including government facilities, as the MOH considers using mHealth interventions, like 2wT, to strengthen the national HIV program [12].

References

- [1] Stricker SM, Fox KA, Baggaley R, Negussie E, de Pee S, Grede N, et al. Retention in care and adherence to ART are critical elements of HIV care interventions. AIDS Behav 2014;18 Suppl 5:S465-475. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-013-0598-6.
- [2] Hall BJ, Sou K-L, Beanland R, Lacky M, Tso LS, Ma Q, et al. Barriers and Facilitators to Interventions Improving Retention in HIV Care: A Qualitative Evidence Meta-Synthesis. AIDS Behav 2017;21:1755–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-016-1537-0.
- [3] Fox MP, Rosen S. Patient retention in antiretroviral therapy programs up to three years on treatment in sub-Saharan Africa, 2007-2009: systematic review. Trop Med Int Health 2010;15 Suppl 1:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2010.02508.x.
- [4] Rosen S, Fox MP, Gill CJ. Patient retention in antiretroviral therapy programs in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. PLoS Med 2007;4:e298. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040298.
- [5] Haberer JE, Sabin L, Amico KR, Orrell C, Galárraga O, Tsai AC, et al. Improving antiretroviral therapy adherence in resource-limited settings at scale: a discussion of interventions and recommendations. J Int AIDS Soc 2017;20:21371. https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.20.1.21371.
- [6] Mugglin C, Haas AD, van Oosterhout JJ, Msukwa M, Tenthani L, Estill J, et al. Long-term retention on antiretroviral therapy among infants, children, adolescents and adults in Malawi: A cohort study. PLoS One 2019;14:e0224837. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224837.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 19 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review) is the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

58 59

60

[7] Wesevich A, Hosseinipour MC, Golin CE, McGrath N, Tsidya M, Chimndozi L, et al. Female adherence self-efficacy before and after couple HIV testing and counseling within Malawi's Option B+ program. AIDS Care 2020;32:170–4. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2019.1634789.

- [8] Weigel R, Feldacker C, Tweya H, Gondwe C, Chiwoko J, Gumulira J, et al. Managing HIV-infected children in a low-resource, public clinic: a comparison of nurse vs. clinical officer practices in ART refill, calculation of adherence and subsequent appointments. J Int AIDS Soc 2012;15:17432. https://doi.org/10.7448/ias.15.2.17432.
- [9] Koole O, Houben RMGJ, Mzembe T, Van Boeckel TP, Kayange M, Jahn A, et al. Improved Retention of Patients Starting Antiretroviral Treatment in Karonga District, Northern Malawi, 2005–2012. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes 2014;67:e27. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000252.
- [10] Malawi Population Health Impact Assessment (MPHIA). PHIA Project n.d. https://phia.icap.columbia.edu/countries/malawi/ (accessed September 11, 2023).
- [11] Fast-Track Ending the AIDS epidemic by 2030 n.d. https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2014/JC2686_WAD2014report (accessed September 11, 2023).
- [12] National AIDS Commission. Malawi National Strategic Plan for HIV and AIDS 2020-2025. Malawi Ministry of Health; n.d.
- [13] H T, Ik O, St G, S P, E R, R B, et al. Loss to follow-up before and after initiation of antiretroviral therapy in HIV facilities in Lilongwe, Malawi. PloS One 2018;13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188488.
- [14] Holtzman CW, Brady KA, Yehia BR. Retention in Care and Medication Adherence: Current Challenges to Antiretroviral Therapy Success. Drugs 2015;75:445. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-015-0373-2.
- [15] WHO Global Observatory for eHealth. mHealth: new horizons for health through mobile technologies: second global survey on eHealth 2011.
- [16] Willcox M, Moorthy A, Mohan D, Romano K, Hutchful D, Mehl G, et al. Mobile Technology for Community Health in Ghana: Is Maternal Messaging and Provider Use of Technology Cost-Effective in Improving Maternal and Child Health Outcomes at Scale? J Med Internet Res 2019;21:e11268. https://doi.org/10.2196/11268.
- [17] Demena BA, Artavia-Mora L, Ouedraogo D, Thiombiano BA, Wagner N. A Systematic Review of Mobile Phone Interventions (SMS/IVR/Calls) to Improve Adherence and Retention to Antiretroviral Treatment in Low-and Middle-Income Countries. AIDS Patient Care and STDs 2020;34:59–71. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2019.0181.
- [18] Catalani C, Philbrick W, Fraser H, Mechael P, Israelski DM. mHealth for HIV Treatment & Prevention: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Open AIDS J 2013;7:17–41. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874613620130812003.
- [19] Lester RT, Ritvo P, Mills EJ, Kariri A, Karanja S, Chung MH, et al. Effects of a mobile phone short message service on antiretroviral treatment adherence in Kenya (WelTel Kenya1): a randomised trial. The Lancet 2010;376:1838–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61997-6.
- [20] Wald DS, Butt S, Bestwick JP. One-way Versus Two-way Text Messaging on Improving Medication Adherence: Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials. The American Journal of Medicine 2015;128:1139.e1-1139.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2015.035.
- [21] Ershad Sarabi R, Sadoughi F, Jamshidi Orak R, Bahaadinbeigy K. The Effectiveness of Mobile Phone Text Messaging in Improving Medication Adherence for Patients with Chronic Diseases: A Systematic Review. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2016;18:e25183. https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.25183.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review have a the preprint in perpetuity. Page 20 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- [22] Maduka O, Tobin-West CI. Adherence counseling and reminder text messages improve uptake of antiretroviral therapy in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract 2013;16:302–8. https://doi.org/10.4103/1119-3077.113451.
- [23] Geldof M, Thiombiano BA, Wagner N. "When I receive the message, it is a sign of love": symbolic connotations of SMS messages for people living with HIV in Burkina Faso. AIDS Care 2021;33:810–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2020.1769832.
- [24] WHO. GLOBAL UPDATE ON HIV TREATMENT 2013: RESULTS, IMPACT AND OPPORTUNITIES. 2013.
- [25] Babigumira JB, Barnhart S, Mendelsohn JM, Murenje V, Tshimanga M, Mauhy C, et al. Costeffectiveness analysis of two-way texting for post-operative follow-up in Zimbabwe's voluntary medical male circumcision program. PLoS One 2020;15:e0239915. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239915.
- [26] Chen Y, Ronen K, Matemo D, Unger JA, Kinuthia J, John-Stewart G, et al. An Interactive Text Messaging Intervention to Improve Adherence to Option B+ Prevention of Mother-to-Child HIV Transmission in Kenya: Cost Analysis. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2020;8:e18351. https://doi.org/10.2196/18351.
- [27] Boxshall M. The Lighthouse an introduction. Malawi Med J 2002;14:39–40.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

- [28] World Health Organization. The Lighthouse : a centre for comprehensive HIV/AIDS treatment and care in Malawi : case study. World Health Organization; 2004.
- [29] Phiri S, Neuhann F, Glaser N, Gass T, Chaweza T, Tweya H, et al. The path from a volunteer initiative to an established institution: evaluating 15 years of the development and contribution of the Lighthouse trust to the Malawian HIV response. BMC Health Serv Res 2017;17:548. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2466-y.
- [30] Lighthouse Trust. Centres of Excellence n.d. https://www.mwlighthouse.org/aboutlighthouse-trust/centres-of-excellence (accessed September 11, 2023).
- [31] MALAWI HIV PROGRAM DATA 2023 Q2 MALAWI HIV PROGRAM DATA 2023 Q2 Document Management System n.d. https://dms.hiv.health.gov.mw/dataset/malawi-hiv-program-data-2023-q2/resource/af4af47c-18f9-494e-8022-4e4c41559d67 (accessed September 12, 2023).
- [32] Community Health Toolkit. Community Health Toolkit n.d. https://communityhealthtoolkit.org (accessed October 4, 2023).
- [33] Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F, Bekker-Grob E de, Briggs AH, Carswell C, et al. Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. BMJ 2022;376:e067975. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-067975.
- [34] Huwa J, Tweya H, Mureithi M, Kiruthu-Kamamia C, Oni F, Chintedza J, et al. "It reminds me and motivates me": Human-centered design and implementation of an interactive, SMS-based digital intervention to improve early retention on antiretroviral therapy: Usability and acceptability among new initiates in a high-volume, public clinic in Malawi. PLoS One 2023;18:e0278806. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278806.
- [35] Haacker M, Hallett TB, Atun R. On discount rates for economic evaluations in global health. Health Policy Plan 2020;35:107–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czz127.
- [36] Bambha K, Kim WR. Cost-effectiveness analysis and incremental cost-effectiveness ratios: uses and pitfalls. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2004;16:519.
- [37] Mureithi M, Ng'aari L, Wasunna B, Kiruthu-Kamamia C, Sande O, Chiwaya GD, et al. Centering healthcare workers in developing digital health interventions: usability and acceptability of a two-way texting retention intervention in a public HIV clinic in Lilongwe, Malawi. medRxiv 2023:2023.01.09.23284326. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284326.
- [38] Marongwe P, Wasunna B, Gavera J, Murenje V, Gwenzi F, Hove J, et al. Transitioning a digital health innovation from research to routine practice: Two-way texting for male circumcision
 - https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/oodh

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 21 of 31 (which was not certified by peer revealing the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

follow-up in Zimbabwe. PLOS Digit Health 2022;1:e0000066.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000066.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/oodh

to Review Only

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review by the base of the log for the preprint in perpetuity. Page 22 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Tables

Table 1: Cost Categories

Cost Category	Description
Personnel	Value of personnel time and effort spent in each activity
Equipment	Investments that last longer than 1 year, including mobile phones, laptops, and furniture
General supplies	Supplies used for documentation and contacting clients
Training	Expenses used in training sessions for 2wT use and SOC
Communication	Costs for phone service companies
Utilities	Costs for utilities including electricity, water, and internet

Note: SOC, standard of care; 2wT, two-way texting

Table 2: Baseline characteristics and 12-month ART outcomes of patients included in SOCand 2wT at Martin Preuss Centre, Lilongwe, Malawi

Characteristic	SOC, N =	468	2wT arm, N = 468	
Sex				
Male	210	45%	207	44%
Female	258	55%	261	56%
Age Median, (IQR)	33 (27,39)		33 (27, 40)	
Age group				
18-24	69	15%	68	15%
25-34	203	43%	190	41%
35-44	146	31%	146	31%
45+	50	11%	64	14%
WHO stage (severity of HIV disease)				
1 or 2	344	74%	364	78%
3	77	16%	71	15%
4	22	5%	30	7%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 23 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review by the base of the log for the base of the base of

Unknown	25	5%	3	0.5%
ART outcomes				
Alive in care	311	67%	374	80%
LTFU	78	17%	28	6%
Stopped treatment	31	7%	10	2%
Transfer out	39	8%	37	8%
Dead	8	2%	6	1%
Unknown	1	0.2%	0	0%
Discontinue SMS/Withdraw	0	0%	13	3%

Note: SOC, standard of care; 2wT, two-way texting; IQR, inter-quartile range; WHO, world health organization; LTFU, loss to follow up; ART, anti-retroviral therapy; SMS, short messaging service

Table 3: Total annual costs and unit costs of SOC and 2wT

	Total	cost	Cost per	client	Cost per clier at 121	nt retained nos
Categories	SOC	2WT	SOC, N=468	2WT, 468	SOC, N=311	2wt, N=374
					Fixed Cos	sts (one-time)
Training	\$6,592.18	\$9,285.32	\$14.09	\$19.84	\$21.20	\$24.83
Equipment	\$3,603.03	\$8,909.44	\$7.70	\$19.04	\$11.59	\$23.82
		Variable (Costs (recurren	t)		
Personnel	\$9,597.10	\$15,187.17	\$20.51	\$32.45	\$30.86	\$40.61
Supplies	\$4,743.15	\$111.15	\$10.13	\$0.24	\$15.25	\$0.30
Communication	\$87.27	\$1,395.37	\$0.19	\$2.98	\$0.28	\$3.73
Utilities	\$8,835.99	\$1,781.92	\$18.88	\$3.81	\$28.41	\$4.76
Total	\$33,458.72	\$36,670.38	\$71.49	\$78.36	\$107.58	\$98.05

Note: SOC, standard of care; 2wT, two-way texting

Table 4: Scenario 1 - total and unit costs of SOC and 2wT

Categories	Total cost		Cost per client	Cost per client retained at 12mos		
	SOC	2WT	SOC, N=1500 2WT, 1178	8 SOC, N=1005	2wt, N=942	
		Fi	ixed Costs (one-time)			
Training	\$6,592.18	\$9,285.32	\$4.39 \$7.88	3 \$6.56	\$9.86	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review by the base of a standard by the preprint in perpetuity. Page 24 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Equipment	\$3,603.03	\$8,909.44	\$2.40	\$7.56	\$3.59	\$9.46
		Varia	able Costs (rec	urrent)		
Personnel	\$30,753.37	\$19,633.22	\$20.50	\$16.67	\$30.60	\$20.84
Supplies	\$4,743.15	\$111.15	\$3.16	\$0.09	\$4.72	\$0.12
Communication	\$279.66	\$1,395.37	\$0.19	\$1.18	\$0.28	\$1.48
Utilities	\$8,835.99	\$1,781.92	\$5.89	\$1.51	\$8.79	\$1.89
Total	\$54,807.37	\$41,116.44	\$36.54	\$34.90	\$54.53	\$43.65

Note: SOC, standard of care; 2wT, two-way texting

Table 5: Scenario 2 - total and unit financial costs of SOC and 2wT

					Cost per clier	nt retained at
Categories	itegories Total cost		Cost per	r client	121	nos
			SOC,	2WT,		
	SOC	2WT	N=1625	1276	SOC, N=1121	2wt, N=1021
		Fix	ed Costs (one	-time)		
Training	\$26,368.74	\$10,772.56	\$16.23	\$8.44	\$23.52	\$10.55
Equipment	\$14,412.10	\$3,802.60	\$8.87	\$2.98	\$12.86	\$3.72
Variable Costs (recurrent)						
Personnel	\$33,314.23	\$13,436.61	\$20.50	\$10.53	\$29.72	\$13.16
Supplies	\$16,464.82	\$303.16	\$10.13	\$0.24	\$14.69	\$0.30
Communication	\$302.95	\$3,805.79	\$0.19	\$2.98	\$0.27	\$3.73
Utilities	\$35,343.94	\$0.00	\$21.75	\$0.00	\$31.53	\$0.00
Total	\$126,206.78	\$32,120.72	\$77.67	\$25.17	\$112.58	\$31.46
Note: SOC, standard of care; 2wT, two-way texting						

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 25 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review by the best of the best of

Table 6: Change in cost and ICER with different scenarios

Scenarios	SO	C	2W	/Τ	ICER
	Total cost	Unit cost at 12 months	Total cost	Unit cost at 12 months	-
Base scenario (N=468 for both SOC and 2WT)	\$33,458.72	\$107.58	\$36,670.38	\$98.05	+\$24,705
Scenario 1: If all new ART patients had access to 2WT (N=2678) at MPC	\$54,807.37	\$54.53	\$41,116.44	\$43.65	- \$105,315
Scenario 2: If 2WT is scaled to the other 4 LH clinics (N=2901)	\$126,206.78	\$112.58	\$32,120.72	\$31.46	- \$723,739

Note: SOC, standard of care; 2wT, two-way texting; ART, antiretroviral therapy; MPC, Martin Preuss Center; LH, Lighthouse Trust medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review by the preprint in perpetuity. Page 26 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Appendix

Appendix 1: Data Collection Tools for time-motion study

Time and motion observation tool for health care workers

	Data Collection Information				
	PLEASE FILL OUT TH	IS SECTION AT THE BEGINNING OF THE DAY, BEFORE BEGINNING			
		<u>OBSERVATIONS.</u>			
1.	Date				
		Day Month Year			
2.	Time arrived at				
	facility				
3.	Data collector				
	initials				
4.	Facility name	Lighthouse			
		MPC			
5.	Retention group	2 2 WT			
		2 Routine			
4.	initials Facility name Retention group	III Lighthouse MPC 2 WT 2 Routine			

	Consent			
6.	Did health care I Yes. Proceed with data collection.			
	worker provide	🛙 No. STOP.		
	consent to			
	participate?			

AFTER CONSENT, INTRODUCE SELF TO HEALTH CARE WORKER: Thank you for agreeing to participate in this full-day observation. My name is _____. Today, I'd like to follow you to observe you providing health services to clients. I am interested in particular in how much time you spend providing care for retention activities as compared to other services. We will document the task you are performing, where you are performing it, and document the start and end time of each task. We are not assessing your performance, we just want to better understand the order of the daily work and the amount of time it takes. If you could please do your work today as you usually would, that would be most useful for us. We will not speak and do our best to stay out of your and your clients' way so as not to be disruptive.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 27 of 31 (which was not certified by peer revealing the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Health Care Worker Information 7. Health care worker ID B2C field tracer 8. Provider cadre (SPELL OUT each acronym) B2C field tracer 9. Retention Assistant (RA) Retention Assistant (RA) 10. Retention Coordinator Health Promoter (HP) 11. Main role(s) TODAY Check all that apply Retinin ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) 11. Main role(s) TODAY Check all that apply Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)		All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.				
Health Care Worker Information 7. Health care worker ID B2C field tracer 8. Provider cadre (SPELL OUT each acronym) B2C field tracer B & Retention Assistant (RA) B Retention Coordinator B Retention Coordinator B Health Promoter (HP) B Expert client (EC) B community Care Supporter (CCS) B Retention Supervisor Senior Tracer B 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (RA) B Other (please explain): 1-5 years B More than 10 years Other (blasses) 10. Highest Academic qualification Diploma or above B // CE/PSL Diploma or above B // CE/PSL Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Check all that apply B Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) B Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) B Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session B Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)						
7. Health care worker ID B B2C field tracer 8. Provider cadre (SPELL OUT each acronym) B2C phone tracer B2C phone tracer B2C phone tracer B2C phone tracer Retention Assistant (RA) B Retention Coordinator Health Promoter (HP) Expert client (EC) Community Care Supporter (CCS) B Retention Supervisor Senior Tracer 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (RA) Ø Other (please explain): 1.5 years Ø More than 10 years 6.10 years Ø More than 10 years Ø Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)		Health Care Worker Information				
8. Provider cadre (SPELL OUT each acronym) B2C field tracer B2C phone tracer B2C phone tracer acronym) Retention Assistant (RA) Betention Coordinator Health Promoter (HP) Expert client (EC) Community Care Supporter (CCS) Betention Supervisor Senior Tracer 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (RA) 0 Other (please explain): 0 Other (please explain): 9. Length of time at this facility at your current cadre 0 <1 year 10. Highest Academic qualification Diploma or above 0 Certificate More than 10 years 11. Main role(s) TODAY Check all that apply Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Check all that apply Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) B Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) B Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) B Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)	7. Health care worker ID					
(SPELL OUT each B B2C phone tracer acronym) B Retention Assistant (RA) Retention Coordinator Retention Coordinator Health Promoter (HP) Expert client (EC) B Community Care Supporter (CCS) Retention Supervisor S Senior Tracer 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (RA) Other (please explain): 2 VT Officer (RA) 0 Other (please explain): 9. Length of time at 1.5 years 2 Other than 10 years 10. Highest Academic qualification Diploma or above J CE/PSL Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing	8. Provider cadre	Provider cadre 2 B2C field tracer				
acronym) Retention Assistant (RA) Retention Coordinator Health Promoter (HP) Expert client (EC) Community Care Supporter (CCS) Retention Supervisor Senior Tracer 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (data officer) 2 WT Officer (RA) Other (please explain):	(SPELL OUT each I B2C phone tracer					
Image: Second	acronym)	Retention Assistant (RA)				
Image: Provide the state of the state o		Retention Coordinator				
Image: Second Structure Image: Second Structure Image: Second Structure Image: Second Structure <th></th> <th>I Health Promoter (HP)</th>		I Health Promoter (HP)				
Image: Community Care Supporter (CCS) Image: Retention Supervisor Image: Senior Tracer Image: Seni		Expert client (EC)				
Image: Section Supervisor Image: Section		Community Care Supporter (CCS)				
Image: Senior Tracer Image: Senior Trace Image: Senior Trace <t< th=""><th></th><th>Retention Supervisor</th></t<>		Retention Supervisor				
Image: Second state in the		Senior Tracer				
Image: Second system Image: Second system Image: Second		2 WT Officer (data officer)				
Image: Contract of the second seco		2 WT Officer (RA)				
 9. Length of time at this facility at your current cadre 10. Highest Academic qualification 10. Highest Academic (2) Diploma or above 2) Certificate 2) MSCE 2) JCE/PSL 2) Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY 11. Main role(s) TODAY 2) Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment 2) Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) 2) Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) 2) Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session 2) Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call) 		Other (please explain):				
this facility at your current cadre I 1-5 years 2 6-10 years I 6-10 years 3 More than 10 years Diploma or above qualification I Certificate 3 MSCE MSCE 3 JCE/PSL Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Check all that apply Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing and interview) I Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) I Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)	9. Length of time at	Image: Second se				
current cadre ^[2] 6-10 years ^[2] More than 10 years ^[2] More than 10 years 10. Highest Academic qualification ^[2] Diploma or above ^[2] Certificate ^[2] MSCE ^[2] JCE/PSL ^[2] Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY ^[2] Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment [2] Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) [2] Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) [2] Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session [2] Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)	this facility at your	Image: 1-5 years				
Image: Second state of the	current cadre	I 6-10 years I More than 10 years				
10. Highest Academic qualification Image: Diploma or above Image: Certificate Image: Certificate Image: Diploma or above Image: Certificate		Image: More than 10 years				
qualification Image: Certificate Image: MSCE JCE/PSL Image: JCE/PSL Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY Image: Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Check all that apply Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Image: Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)	10. Highest Academic	I Diploma or above				
 MSCE JCE/PSL Other 11. Main role(s) TODAY Check all that apply Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call) 	qualification	2 Certificate				
Image: Signal system Image: Signal system Image: Signal		I MSCE				
Image: Constraint of the second sec		I JCE/PSL				
11. Main role(s) TODAY Image: Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment Check all that apply Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing and interview)Image: Remind ART patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing appointment (tracing		2 Other				
Check all that apply Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing and interview) Image: Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment (tracing appointmen	11. Main role(s) TODAY	Remind ART patients about their upcoming clinic appointment				
appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)	Check all that apply	Phone tracing patients who miss their clinic				
 Field tracing patients who miss their clinic appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call) 		appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)				
appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview) Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call)		I Field tracing patients who miss their clinic				
 Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone call) 		appointment/LTFU/VL appointment (tracing and interview)				
Image: Construction of the second		Conduct ART adherence counselling or education session				
call)		Adherence promotion and interaction by phone (SMS or phone				
I Fill and update locator form, update records		Fill and update locator form, update records				
Compile reports, generate lists of LTFU appointment		Compile reports, generate lists of LTFU appointment				
		Supervision				
Image: Constraint of the second se		Image: Displayer of the second sec				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review is the preprint in perpetuity. Page 28 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

to peries only

Appendix 2: Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022)

From: <u>Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS</u> 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations

Section/topic	Item No	Guidance for reporting	Reported in Section	
Title				
Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation and specify the interventions being compared.	1	
Abstract				
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary that highlights context, key methods, results, and alternative analyses.	2	
Introduction				
Background and objectives	3	Give the context for the study, the study question, and its practical relevance for decision making in policy or practice.	4-6	
Methods				
Health economic analysis plan	4	Indicate whether a health economic analysis plan was developed and where available.	-	
Study population	5	Describe characteristics of the study population (such as age range, demographics, socioeconomic, or clinical characteristics).	7	
Setting and location	6	Provide relevant contextual information that may influence findings.	7	
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and why chosen.	7	
Perspective	8	State the perspective(s) adopted by the study and why chosen.	7	
Time horizon	9	State the time horizon for the study and why appropriate.	7	
Discount rate	10	Report the discount rate(s) and reason chosen.	11	
Selection of outcomes	11	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit(s) and harm(s).	10	
Measurement of outcomes	12	Describe how outcomes used to capture benefit(s) and harm(s) were measured.	10	
Valuation of outcomes	13	Describe the population and methods used to measure and value outcomes.	10	
Measurement and valuation of resources and costs	14	Describe how costs were valued.	11	
Currency, price date, and conversion	15	Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit costs, plus the currency and year of conversion.	11	
Rationale and description of model	16	If modelling is used, describe in detail and why used. Report if the model is publicly available and where it can be accessed.	-	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review by the base of a standard by the preprint in perpetuity. Page 30 of 31 All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Analytics and assumptions	17	Describe any methods for analyzing or statistically transforming data, any extrapolation methods, and approaches for validating any model used.	11-12
Characterizing heterogeneity	18	Describe any methods used for estimating how the results of the study vary for subgroups.	12
Characterizing distributional effects	19	Describe how impacts are distributed across different individuals or adjustments made to reflect priority populations.	12
Characterizing uncertainty	20	Describe methods to characterize any sources of uncertainty in the analysis.	12
Approach to engagement with patients and others affected by the study	21	Describe any approaches to engage patients or service recipients, the general public, communities, or stakeholders (such as clinicians or payers) in the design of the study.	-
Results			
Study parameters	22	Report all analytic inputs (such as values, ranges, references) including uncertainty or distributional assumptions.	-
Summary of main results	23	Report the mean values for the main categories of costs and outcomes of interest and summarise them in the most appropriate overall measure.	13
Effect of uncertainty	24	Describe how uncertainty about analytic judgments, inputs, or projections affect findings. Report the effect of choice of discount rate and time horizon, if applicable.	13-15
Effect of engagement with patients and others affected by the study	25	Report on any difference patient/service recipient, general public, community, or stakeholder involvement made to the approach or findings of the study	-
Discussion			
Study findings, limitations, generalizability, and current knowledge	26	Report key findings, limitations, ethical or equity considerations not captured, and how these could affect patients, policy, or practice.	15-18
Other relevant information			
Source of funding	27	Describe how the study was funded and any role of the funder in the identification, design, conduct, and reporting of the analysis	-
Conflicts of interest	28	Report authors conflicts of interest according to journal or International Committee of Medical Journal Editors requirements.	-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.17.24305960; this version posted April 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint Page 31 of 31 (which was not certified by peer review by the base of a state of the log for the base of the base of the log for the base of the base of the log for the base of the base of



https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/oodh