Implementation and Assessment of the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in the Emergency Department (HEATED) Program in Nairobi, Kenya: A Quasi-Experimental Prospective Study

Adam R. Aluisio, MD, MSc,¹ Joshua Smith-Sreen, MPH,² Agatha Offorjebe,¹ MD Wamutitu Maina, MD,³ Sankei Pirirei MD,³ John Kinuthia, MD, MPH,⁴ David Bukusi, MD,³ Harriet Waweru,³ Rose Bosire, MD, MPH,⁴ Daniel K. Ojuka, MD,⁵ McKenna C. Eastment, MD, MPH,^{6,7} David A. Katz, PhD, MPH,⁶ Michael J. Mello, MD, MPH,¹ Carey Farquhar, MD, MPH,^{6,7}

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, USA; ²Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, USA; ³Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁴Department of Research & Programs, Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁴Center for Public Health Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁵Department of Surgery, University of Nairobi Faculty of Health Sciences, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁶Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, US; ⁷Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, US

Corresponding author:

*Adam R. Aluisio, MD, MSc, DTM&H Department of Emergency Medicine, Alpert Medical School of Brown University 55 Claverick Street, Room 274 Providence, RI 02903 E-mail: adam_aluisio@brown.edu Telephone: +1(401) 444-5826

Short title: HIV Enhanced Access Testing in Emergency Departments Keywords: HIV testing, Key populations, Testing, Health systems, Emergency Care, Kenya Word count: 3,421

Competing interests and sources of funding: None of the authors conflicts of interest. The contents of this manuscript are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of affiliated institutions or funding bodies. ARA and the overall work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant number: K23AI145411). JSS was supported in part by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant number: R25AI140490). AO was supported in part by the National Institute of Drug Abuse (grant number: T32DA013911). DAK was supported in part by University of Washington/Fred Hutch Center for AIDS Research, an NIH-funded program (grant number: P30 AI027757).

Data availability statement: Deidentified data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions: ARA, WM, SP, JK, DB, HW, RB, DKO, DAK, MJM CF contributed to the conceptualization of the topic. ARA, JS, AO, WM, SP, JK, DB, HW, RB contributed to the data curation. ARA, JS, AO, HW analyzed the data and drafted the paper. Funding was acquired by ARA, JK, RB, DAK, MJM CF. All authors read, commented on and approved the final manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Background: Persons seeking emergency injury care are often from underserved key populations (KPs) and priority populations (PPs) for HIV programming. While facility-based HIV Testing Services (HTS) in Kenya are effective, emergency department (ED) delivery is limited, despite the potential to reach underserved persons.

Methods: This quasi-experimental prospective study evaluated implementation of the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in Emergency Departments (HEATED) at Kenyatta National Hospital ED in Nairobi, Kenya. The HEATED program was designed using setting specific data and utilizes resource reorganization, services integration and HIV sensitization to promote ED-HTS. KPs included sex workers, gay men, men who have sex with men, transgender persons and persons who inject drugs. PPs included young persons (18-24 years), victims of interpersonal violence, persons with hazardous alcohol use and those never previously HIV tested. Data were obtained from systems-level records, enrolled injured patient participants and healthcare providers. Systems and patient-level data were collected during a pre-implementation period (6 March - 16 April 2023) and post-implementation (period 1, 1 May - 26 June 2023). Additional, systems-level data were collected during a second post-implementation (period 2, 27 June – 20 August 2023). Evaluation analyses were completed across reach, effectiveness, adoption, implementation and maintenance framework domains.

Results: All 151 clinical staff were reached through trainings and sensitizations on the HEATED program. Systems-level ED-HTS increased from 16.7% pre-implementation to 23.0% post-implementation periods 1 and 2 (RR=1.31, 95% CI:1.21-1.43; p<0.001) with a 62.9% relative increase in HIV self-test kit provision. Among 605 patient participants, facilities-based HTS increased from 5.7% pre-implementation to 62.3% post-implementation period 1 (RR=11.2, 95% CI:6.9-18.1; p<0.001). There were 440 (72.7%) patient participants identified as KPs (5.6%) and/or PPs (65.3%). For enrolled KPs/PPs, HTS increased from 4.6% pre-implementation to 72.3% post-implementation period 1 (RR=13.8, 95% CI:5.5-28.7, p<0.001). Systems and participant level data demonstrated successful adoption and implementation of the HEATED program. Through 16-weeks post-implementation a significant increase in ED-HTS delivery was maintained as compared to pre-implementation.

Conclusions: The HEATED program increased ED-HTS and augmented delivery to KPs/PPs, suggesting that broader implementation could improve HIV services for underserved persons, already in contact with health systems.

Abstract word count: 344

INTRODUCTION

There are approximately 38 million people living with HIV (PLH) globally, of whom 70% reside in sub-Saharan Africa.^{1,2} Although progress was been made toward the UNAIDS 95-95-95 HIV control targets, they are at risk of not being achieved.³ In sub-Saharan Africa, Kenya has reduced the national HIV prevalence, however incidence reduction targets have not been met, and in 2021 there was an 7.8% increase in new infections.⁴ The epidemic in Kenya is driven by higher-risk persons who have been insufficiently reached and underserved by HIV Testing Services (HTS).⁴⁻⁶ These include key populations (KPs) of sex workers (SWs), men who have sex with men (MSM), transgender persons and people who inject drugs (PWID) in which HIV prevalence is five-fold higher than the general population.^{5,7} Additional priority populations (PPs) including men, young people (<25 years) and persons who use drugs have been insufficiently reached further contributing to epidemic propagation.⁸⁻¹¹ Young people in Kenya account for 42% of new infections and one in four Kenyan men with HIV are undiagnosed.⁵ To achieve epidemic control, these higher-risk persons must be reached for HIV testing and linkage to care.^{2,9} However with persistent HIV programming barriers stemming from structural and cultural aspects as well as stigma and discrimination,¹²⁻¹⁶ innovative service delivery approaches are needed.

Emergency Departments (ED) in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are an under-used HTS service delivery point with the potential to reach KP/PPs already in contact with health systems.^{17,18} In LMICs, EDs provide care to large numbers of patients who may not otherwise regularly access healthcare, and often for treatment of injuries.^{17,19,20} Data from sub-Saharan Africa shows patients seeking injury treatments have high HIV burdens and are often first diagnosed during those evaluations.²¹⁻²⁵ Globally, young people and men are most likely to experience injuries,^{26,27} and KPs have elevated risks for interpersonal violence, gender-based violence, and self-harm.^{28,29} In Kenya, data from the National AIDS and STIs Control Programme (NASCOP) demonstrates high prevalence of violence experienced by SW (48%), PWID (44%), and MSM (20%).³⁰ Given injury risk burdens among KP/PPs in Kenya and subsequent needs for emergency care, development of effective ED-HTS with a focus on engaging injured populations, represents a pragmatic approach to reach higher-risk persons already engaged in care.^{18,31}

Kenya's national HTS guidelines recommend HIV screening and targeted testing during all healthfacility interactions with the goal of testing quarterly for KPs and every 6-12 months for PPs.⁸ Although this includes EDs, there is no specific program guidance to inform ED-HTS delivery. To address this, data from patient and healthcare provider stakeholders from the Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH) ED, in Nairobi, Kenya,^{32,33} were used to design the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in Emergency Departments (HEATED) program to improve ED-HTS delivery.

METHODS

This quasi-experimental prospective study evaluated implementation of the HEATED program using the Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation, and Maintenance (RE-AIM) framework applied to systems, patient and healthcare provider level data.³⁴ Study periods included: pre-implementation (6 March – 16 April 2023), implementation (17 April – 30 April 2023), post-implementation period 1 (1 May – 26 June 2023) and post-implementation period 2 (27 June – 20 August 2023). Guidelines on implementation studies were adhered to in reporting these results.³⁵

Study Setting

The KNH ED, in Nairobi, Kenya provides 24-hour care access. At study initiation, there were 143 nurse, and physician staff members and eight HIV-services personnel working in the clinical setting. The ED offers continuous HTS with dedicated space and staffing for services. HTS is provided free of charge, using Alere DetermineTM HIV-1/2 assays or OraQuick RapidTM HIV-1/2 HIV self-test (HIVST) kits. Standardized records for all patients undergoing HTS are maintained using national reporting procedures, and any PLH identified is provided free follow up care at a government facility. Kenya's national guidelines stipulate that screening for, and delivery of HTS, should occur during facility-based healthcare encounters = and recommends testing frequencies of every two years for the general population, quarterly for KPs and every 6-12 months for PPs.^{5,36}

HIV Enhanced Access Testing in Emergency Departments Program

The HEATED program aims to increase ED-HTS delivery and improve engagement for underserved higher-risk persons by supporting HIV service for injured persons and KP/PPs. The program was designed to be a pragmatic multi-component intervention which employs setting appropriate micro-strategies focused on HTS sensitization and integration, task shifting, resource reorganization, linkage advocacy, skills development and education. The HEATED program was developed through qualitative research from healthcare providers and patient stakeholders from the KNH emergency care setting.^{32,33} Qualitative data were mapped to the Capability-Opportunity-Motivation Behavioral model for change and the Theoretical Domains Framework to identify data-driven micro-strategies to enhance ED-HTS.^{37,38} A multipronged implementation strategy including training and educating, changing infrastructure and developing stakeholder interrelationships was used to implement the HEATED program (Supplement 1).³⁹

Data Sources

Systems-Level Data

Systems level data were collected from standardized clinical sources. The ED triage register was used to identify numbers of persons treated during study periods. HTS registries provided data on facility-based testing, HIVST provision and follow up and identification and linkage to care for PLH. Data were abstracted by trained study personnel and into digital databases with continuous quality monitoring.⁴⁰

Data were collected from healthcare personnel. ED-HIV services providers were screened, consented and enrolled as participants. They were sampled during each study period using the Continuing professional development (CPD) Reaction questionnaire oriented to the research topic of ED-HTS for injured and higher-risk persons.⁴¹ The CPD-Reaction questionnaire is a validated instrument used in implementation sciences research, for assessing the impact of professional development activities on clinical behaviors.^{42,43} Anonymized data were collected during post-implementation period 2 from ED nurses and physicians via open ended self-completed surveys on perceptions of the HEATED program.

Patient Participant-Level Data

During pre-implementation and post-implementation period 1, data were obtained from enrolled patient participants. Trained study personnel present in the ED 24-hours a day collected standardized survey data. All patients \geq 18 years, seeking injury care were eligible for participation. Injury designation used the standardized triage classification in the study setting.⁴⁴ Patients known to be pregnant, incarcerated persons and those unable or unwilling to provide consent were excluded.

After provision of written informed consent, patient participants had enrollment data collected as close to ED arrival as possible on sociodemographics, past medical and social histories, HIV risk factors including screening for KPs and PPs. PPs based on Kenya's national guidelines included young persons (18-24 years), victims of interpersonal violence (IPV), persons never previously HIV tested and persons with hazardous alcohol use (HAU).⁵ The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C) was used to identify HAU.^{45,46} At emergency care completion, patient participant data were collected on awareness and understanding of ED-HTS and services received. For patient participants who received ED-HTS, systems level data were linked and cross-referenced to validate report.

Evaluation Approach

HEATED program implementation was assessed using the RE-AIM framework.³⁴ The evaluation metrics for each dimension are shown in Table 1. Reach, effectiveness and implementation, data were assessed using systems and patient participant data. For adoption and maintenance, systems level data were used. CPD-Reaction scores from ED-HIV service providers were compared pre-implementation and post-implementation period 1. The constructs of intention and social influence, which represent willingness by the primary intervention agent to initiate a health behavior, were used for adoption. Constructs of beliefs about capabilities and moral norms representing the intervention agents' fidelity to deliver the health service were used in assessment of implementation. Final CPD-Reaction scores obtained during post-

implementation period 2, were used in assessment of maintenance, along with the longitudinal systemslevel trends in HTS delivery

Statistical Analysis

The sample size was based on the outcome of increasing the proportion HIV test completed for injured persons seeking emergency care among enrolled patient participants. Prior data from the study setting demonstrated that 5.6% of injured patients completed ED-HIV testing.²⁵ To be able to identify a 10% absolute increase in the proportion of injured patients completing testing, a sample size of \geq 175 participant per assessment period was need (80% power, alpha <0.05).⁴⁷

Descriptive analyses were performed for systems level and participant level data using frequencies with percentages or medians with associated interquartile ranges (IQR) as appropriate. Level of agreement for 5-point scale Likert scale items were summarized using medians with IQRs. HTS data were evaluated as facility-based HIV testing and distribution of HIVSTs independently, and aggregated as ED-HTS. Moving biweekly averages for HTS with associated variance estimates were calculated and graphed over time. Comparative analyses based on study period were performed using Pearson X^2 or Fisher's exact tests and Mann-Whitney tests as appropriate. Using the pre-implementation data as the baseline comparator, risk ratios (RR) were calculated with associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) for HTS cascade metrics for systems level and participant level data. An *a priori* subgroup analysis was completed on HIV testing for KPs and PPs among enrolled patient participants.

Ethical Approvals

The study was approved by the University of Nairobi ethics and research committee and the institutional review board of Rhode Island Hospital. All enrolled participants provided written informed consent.

RESULTS

Study Population

Systems-level

There were a total of 12,532 ED encounters during data collection periods. Of these, 3,050 (24.4%) received ED-HTS, with 696 pre-implementation and 2,354 post-implementation (Figure 1 Panel A). The majority of persons who received facility-based HTS were male and >25 years of age. Across all periods, approximately two-thirds of persons completing facility-based HTS had never previously been tested for HIV (Table 2).

Patient Participant level

During pre-implementation and post-implementation period 1, there were 2,578 injury encounters. Of these, 2,303 (89.3%) were screened, 605 (26.3%) met inclusion and were enrolled. During pre-implementation 19 of 318 participants received ED-HTS; and 182 of 287 participants received ED-HTS post-implementation (Figure 1, Panel B). Patient participant characteristics were similar between pre-implementation and post-implementation period 1. The majority of participants were male and approximately one-quarter were <25 years of age. KPs comprised 5.0% of patient participants pre-implementation and 6.3% in post-implementation period 1 (p=0.508). PPs were 67.0% of participants pre-implementation and 63.3% in post-implementation period 1 (p=0.640). Similar portions of participants had never been HIV tested 12.9% pre-implementation versus 12.6% post-implementation (p=0.881). One third of participants had a history of interpersonal violence (33.3% pre-implementation, 33.1% post-implementation, p=0.952) (Table 3).

Reach

All 151 ED personnel were reached though HEATED program sensitization. In-person sessions were attended by 45% of personnel, and all personnel received digital communications. All eight ED-HIV services providers completed HEATED program training on HTS for underserved higher-risk persons (Supplement 1). Among patient participants, screening at triage on interest in learning about ED-HTS

options increased from 3.5% pre-implementation to 46.7% post-implementation period 1 (p<0.001). At ED care completion, patient participant awareness of ED-HTS options increased from 37.7% pre-implementation 76.9% to post-implementation period 1 (p<0.001) (Table 5).

Effectiveness

ED-HTS, which aggregated facility-based HIV testing and distribution of HIVSTs, increased from 19.9% (95% CI: 18.6-21.3) pre-implementation to 28.5% (95% CI: 27.2–29.8) post-implementation period 1 and 23.8 (95% CI: 22.6-25.1) in post-implementation period 2. As compared to pre-implementation, systems level ED-HTS was significantly greater in the post-implementation periods (RR=1.31, 95% CI:1.21-1.43; p<0.001) (Figure 2 Panel A). Identification of PLH non-significantly increased from 24 (4.1%) pre-implementation to 53 (5.1%) post-implementation period 1 and to 43 (5.0%) post-implementation period 2. Among those tested in the ED and found to be PLH, 73% were newly diagnosed. Linkage to care of eligible PLH was 71.4.% pre-implementation, 81.1% in post-implementation period 1 (p=0.454) and 87.0% in post-implementation period 2 (p=0.242). The number of persons distributed HIVST kits increased with program implementation and there was a significant increase in follow up of usage by ED-HIV services providers (Table 4).

ED-HTS significantly increased among patient participants from 5.7% pre-implementation to 69.0% post-implementation period 1. There were three patient participants identified as PLH. Figure 3 shows changes in HTS cascade delivery with HEATED program implementation stratified by participants as KPs/PPs or not. For KPs/PPs participants facility-based HIV testing increased from 4.6% pre-implementation to 72.3% post-implementation period 2 (p<0.001). Among KPs/PPs completing facility-based HIV testing, 49% had multiple KP identifies and/or multiple categorizing PP characteristics (Table 5). As compared to pre-implementation, patient participants in post-implementation period 1 were significantly more likely to complete ED-HTS (RR=12.15, 95% CI:7.50-19.68; p<0.001). This significant increase was also found in the KPs (RR=8.84, 95% CI:2.03-38.43; p<0.001) and the PP (RR=15.22, 95% CI:7.74-29.94; p<0.001) (Figure 2 Panel B).

Adoption

ED-HIV services personnel CPD-Reactions scores increased pre-implementation period to postimplementation period 1. For the construct of intention median scores increased from 6.3 (IQR: 5,8, 6.8) to 6.5 (IQR: 6.5, 7.0) (p=0.682) and from 4.3 (IQR: 3.4, 4.7) to 5.2 (IQR: 4.3, 5.8) (p=0.014) for social influence (Supplement 2). Anonymous feedback from ED healthcare personnel was mixed with some personnel indicating that the HEATED program improved testing and was used well. However, there was concerns noted that not all staff were engaged (Supplement 3).

Implementation

Among ED-HIV services personnel scores for CPD-Reaction constructs of beliefs about capabilities and moral norms significantly increased. Median scores increased from 5.2 (IQR: 4.7, 5.7) pre-implementation to 6.0 (IQR: 5.8, 6.3) post-implementation period 1 (p=0.013) for beliefs about capabilities. For moral norms, median scores increased pre-implementation from 6.0 (IQR: 5.5, 6.0) to 6.8 (IQR: 6.0, 7.0) post-implementation period 1 (p=0.014) (Supplement 2). During pre-implementation 3.8% of enrolled patient participants reported discussing HTS with ED personnel during care, which increased to 85.3% post-implementation (p<0.001). There was a significant increase in agreement among enrolled patient participants that it was clear that ED-HTS was available with median Likert scores increasing from 2 (IQR: 1, 5) pre-implementation to 5 (IQR: 2, 5) post-implementation period 1 (P<0.001)

Maintenance

In post-implementation periods 1 and 2, encompassing 16 weeks of systems level data, a significant increase in ED-HTS delivery was maintained as compared to pre-implementation. Figure 4 shows biweekly average proportional ED-HTS delivery. Facility-based HTS ranged from 13.9% to 19.1% during pre-implementation and from 17.1% to 25.4% post-implementation. Bi-weekly average HIVST

distribution ranged from 1.6% to 3.7% pre-implementation and from 2.5% to 8.4% post-implementation. CPD-Reaction scores for ED-HIV services personnel were persistently greater on follow up assessments during post-implementation period 2 as compared to pre-implementation (Supplement 2).

DISCUSSION

The current study assessing implementation of the HEATED program demonstrates positive impacts on ED-HTS across the RE-AIM domains. The systems-level and patient participant data showed significantly increased testing services, suggesting that broader program development may represent a pragmatic approach to augment HTS delivery to higher-risk target populations. Considering the burdens of injury across sub-Saharan Africa requiring emergency care,^{26,27} particularly in underserved KP/PPs,^{28,29} and barriers to reaching those persons for HIV services,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ further evaluation of the HEATED program and leveraging of ED-HTS as a mechanism to contribute to reaching global HIV control targets is warranted.

The HEATED program used multi-modal approaches with in person trainings, digital contact for sensitization and one-on-one information delivery to reach all providers in the study setting. However, feedback indicated greater sensitization was needed, suggesting that program reach could have been improved. Although, more sensitization may have been beneficial, the significant increase in ED-HTS delivery with program implementation suggests that willingness to improve services was achieved. This is also supported by patient data showing a significant increase in triage screening for interest in learning about ED-HTS options during emergency care. As triage was performed by varying staff, the significant increase in screening suggests that the HEATED program reached intended providers. However, as ensuring all stakeholders are reached in an acceptable and consistent manner is crucial to HIV program could require more intensive and longitudinal sensitization to sustain gains in HTS impacts.

The primary outcome of effectiveness to improve ED-HTS among injured patient participants demonstrated that the HEATED program was associated with a significant increase in testing. Within the enrolled sample, one in every 18 patients was from a KP, and the majority of participants had characteristics for PPs. These data have external validity, with injury profiles from sub-Saharan Africa demonstrating high burdens among KPs,^{28,29} and the epidemiology of those most likely to suffer injuries being men, young people and persons who use drugs,^{26,27,49} supporting the importance of the ED setting for delivery of HTS. Additionally, as nearly half of enrolled patient participants had multiple KP identities and/or PP characteristics, and prior data has shown greater HIV vulnerability among such persons,⁵⁰ the ED may represent an important venue to reach individuals with compounding HIV risk. Although, there was a low number of PLH identified among the patient participants, the underserved population reached through the injury focus highlights the potential for ED-HTS to pragmatically deliver services to targeted groups already in contact with healthcare. The HEATED program approach is consistent with recommendations for HIV programming for KPs, men and young adults, in improving access to services by meeting persons where they are and reducing gender barriers and stigmatization by utilizing the lens of injury which is not dependent on population identity.^{10,12,13} As patient ED-HTS acceptability in sub-Saharan Africa is high,²³⁻ ^{25,51,52} further study of how to ensure systems support healthcare providers to best achieve delivery will be beneficial to build from the HEATED program results.

Effectiveness in ED-HTS was reproduced in the systems-level data with significant increases in the post-implementation periods. The increase in overall testing suggests that the HEATED program, did not redirect HTS, but rather increased services. This is evident in the observed increase in testing of men, who made up approximately 80% of injury patients in the study setting. Considering the majority of those injured globally are men,^{26,53} and that men in sub-Saharan Africa are inadequately tested, diagnosed later and have higher HIV-related mortality than females,^{13,54} the ED represents a venue deliver person-centered care in a gender-neutral setting to men, consistent with WHO recommendations.¹³ In the systems level data, there was a non-significant increase in PLH identified and linked to care following HEATED program implementation. The current study was not designed to assess post-testing HIV care cascade outcomes, and albeit suggestive of positive down-stream impacts of the HEATED program this is hypothesis generating, and a sufficiently powered interventional trial is needed to robustly assess this. Although achieving the

UNAIDS 95-95-95 targets requires identification and linkage to treatment of PLH, status-neutral programming with interval testing and delivery of preventive interventions is also integral in epidemic control.^{2,55,56} As Kenya's guidelines recommend HIV testing quarterly for KPs and every 6-12 months for PPs,⁸ further development of ED-HTS is not only important in completing the request first step of testing required for antiretroviral therapy (ART) or Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) provision, but would also support achieving delivery of interval testing to targeted populations.

Correlating with the significant increase in systems-level HTS, assessments of the primary intervention agents, ED-HIV services personnel, found sustained increases in assessments for constructs on program adoption and implementation. Patient participant data showed program implementation fidelity for HTS sensitization, with significantly more patients aware of services and discussing testing with ED healthcare providers in the post-implementation period. However, the linked systems-level and patient participant level data demonstrated that only 12% of persons from KPs were identified during their receipt of ED-HTS. As a component of the HEATED program was training ED-HIV services personnel on interacting with and delivering care for KPs, this suggests that the program did not sufficiently meet the provider needs and research to inform approaches to improve care for KPs from emergency care settings is needed.

With the quasi-experimental design, causality cannot be determined. Generalizability of the HEATED program in alternative settings with differing systems and resources is not known. However, as the program was designed to be pragmatic and adaptable to the = relevant needs of a service delivery point it may be impactful in other settings, which requires further study. Due to the use of standard reporting tools from systems-level data, effects on preventative services such as risk reduction counseling, condom distribution and PrEP screening were not able to be assessed across study periods. Although, the RE-AIM framework was used, qualitative data focusing on adoption and implementation would have supported a more comprehensive evaluation of the HEATED program. As well, longer-term maintenance data on sustainability, costing analyses and outcomes on linkage to treatment would strengthen the application of program. To address this, and more robustly evaluate the HEATED program, a cluster randomized trial using mixed methods with a sufficient maintenance period and costing assessment is needed.

CONCLUSIONS

The HEATED program significantly increased HTS delivery and augmented testing to underserved populations seeking emergency care. The program assessment across RE-AIM framework domains showed favorable data suggesting that further implementation study could support pragmatic service improvements for higher-risk persons already engaged with health systems as a mechanism to support advancement towards HIV control targets.

Figures & Tables

Table 1. HIV	Enhanced Access	Testing in the	Emergency	Department	Program	Evaluation	Assessment
Metrics Acros	s Study Periods						

Dimension	Pre-implementation (March 6 - April 16)	Program Implementation (April 17 – April 30)	Post-Implementation (Period 1: May 1 - June 26)	Post-Implementation (Period 2: June 27 - August 20)
Reach				
Systems		Healthcare provider		
Metrics		sensitizations		
	Patient triage screen for		Patient triage screen for	
Patient	interest on learning about		interest on learning about	
Participant	options for HIV testing		options for HIV testing	
Metrics	Patients aware of HIV		Patients aware of HIV	
	testing at care conclusion		testing at care conclusion	
Effectiveness				
	HIV services cascade		HIV services cascade	HIV services cascade
Systems	delivery: testing,		delivery: testing,	delivery: testing,
Metrics	identification of people		identification of people	identification of people
	living with HIV and		living with HIV and	living with HIV and
	Detiont LUV Testing		Detiont HIV Testing	Inkage to care
Dationt	completion		completion	
Particinant	Patient HIV Testing		Patient HIV Testing	
Metrics	completion among Key		completion among Key or	
metrics	or Priority populations ¹		Priority populations ¹	
Adoption				
	HIV services personnel			
	Continuing Professional		HIV services personnel	
G (Development		Continuing Professional	
Systems	assessments		Development assessments	
Metrics				Emergency Department healthcare provider
	Datiant interactions with		Datiant interactions with	program reedback
Patient	healthcare providers on		healthcare providers on	
Participant	HIV testing services		HIV testing services	
Metrics	during emergency care		during emergency care	
Implementation				
	HIV services personnel		11117 · 1	
Systems	Continuing Professional		HIV services personnel	
Metrics	Development		Development assessments	
	assessments		Development assessments	
Patient	Patient understanding of		Patient understanding of	
Participant	option for emergency		option for emergency	
Metrics	department HIV Testing		department HIV Testing	
Maintenance				
	HIV services personnel			HIV services personnel
Systems	Continuing Professional			Continuing Professional
Metrics	Development			Development
	Longitudinal daliyary of		Longitudinal delivery of	Longitudinal daliyary of
	HIV testing services		HIV testing services	HIV testing services
	The county services		in v woung services	The usung services

I Key Populations Key populations include sex workers, men who have sex with men, gay persons, transgender persons, and persons who inject drugs. Priority populations include persons 18-24 years of age, victims of interpersonal violence, persons who screened positive on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Concise, persons who never previously tested for HIV

Figure 1: Study Population



	Pre-	Post-		Post-	
	implementation (March 6 – April 16)	Implementation (Period 1: May 1 - June 26)	p- value	Implementation (Period 2: June 27 - August 20)	p- value
Completed Excilities based HIV Testing	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	
Completed Facilities-based HI v Testing	365	1051		800	
Male	206 (50 6)	574 (55 7)		503 (58 5)	
Famala	290 (30.0)	374(33.7)		303(30.3)	
Transgender	260 (46.9)	430 (44.2)	0.046	330(40.7)	0.008
Transgender	0(0.0)	0(0.0)		0(0.0)	
Missing	3 (0.6)	1 (0.1)		7 (0.8)	0
Age years (median)	34 (25, 43)	35 (27, 44) 0.313		33 (26, 43)	0.775
Age 15-24 years	95 (16.2) 164 (15.9)		0.861	159 (18.5)	0.270
Key Population ¹	1 (0.1)	3 (0.2) 1.00		10 (1.2)	0.058
Ever previously Tested for HIV					
No	381 (65.1)	673 (65.3)		565 (65.7)	
Yes	194 (33.2)	353 (34.2)	0.046	292 (36.0)	0.027
Missing	10(1.7)	5 (0.5)		3 (0.03)	
Used HIV self-test in last 12 months					
No	571(97.6)	1020 (98.93)		857 (99.7)	0.001
Yes	14(2.4)	11 (1.07)	0.038	3 (0.3)	<0.001
Persons accepting HIV self-test kits	111	250		213	
Gender					
Male	49 (44.1)	128 (51.2)		138 (64.8)	
Female	59 (53.2)	121 (48.4)	0.090	74 (34.7)	.0.001
Transgender	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	<0.001
Missing	3(2.7)	1(0.4)		1(0.5)	
Age 15-24 years	33 (29.7)	38 (15.2)	0.084	49 (23.0)	0.186

Table 2. System-level Data on Recipients of HIV Testing Services

¹Key populations include sex workers, men who have sex with men, gay persons, transgender persons and persons who inject drugs.

Table 3. Patient Participant Characteristics

A	Pre-implementation	Post-Implementation	
	(March 6 - April 16)	(Period 1: May 1 - June 26)	p-value
	n (%)	n (%)	
Age (median, IQR)	31 (25-38)	30 (24-38)	0.655
Young adult (18-24 years)	76 (23.9)	76 (26.6)	0.450
Gender			
Male	263 (82.7)	231 (80.5)	
Female	53 (16.7)	54 (18.9)	0 609
Transgender	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0.008
Missing	0 (0.0)	2 (0.7)	
Relationship Status			
Single	115 (36.3)	138 (48.3)	
Married	163 (51.4)	96 (33.2)	
In a monogamous relationship	17 (5.4)	32 (11.2)	
In a polygamous relationship	1 (0.3)	4 (1.4)	< 0.001
Separated (still married)	16 (5.1)	10 (3.5)	
Wishes not to disclose	5 (1.6)	5 (1.8)	
Missing	1 (0.3)	2 (0.7)	
Education			
Primary schooling or less	91 (28.6)	97 (33.8)	
Secondary schooling or greater	225 (70.8)	188 (65.5)	0.328
Missing	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	
Employment Status			
Employed	236 (74.2)	233 (81.2)	
Unemployed	77 (24.2)	52 (18.1)	0.102
Missing	5 (1.6)	2 (0.7)	
Has Established Primary Care Provider		_ ((***))	
No	260 (81.8)	234 (81.5)	
Yes	56 (17.6)	51 (17.8)	0.992
Missing	2(0.6)	2 (0.7)	
Identifies as a Key Population ¹	16 (5.0)	18 (6.3)	0.508
Characterized as a Priority Population ²	213 (67.0%)	182 (63.4%)	0.671
Never Previously Tested for HIV	41 (12 9)	36 (12.6)	0.881
Hazardous Alcohol Use ¹	80 (25.2)	70 (24 5)	0.827
History of Interpersonal Violence	00 (20.2)	, o (21.5)	0.027
No	212 (66.7)	192 (66.9)	
Yes	106(333)	95 (33.1)	0.952
Condomless sex in last six months	100 (0010)	<i>ye</i> (<i>een)</i>	
No	164 (51.6)	157 (54.6)	
Yes	142(447)	117 (40.9)	0 904
missing	2(0.6)	2 (0.7)	0.201
Emergency Department Disposition	2 (010)	2 (017)	
Discharged	175 (55.0)	36 (60.0)	
Admitted	130 (41.0)	23 (38.3)	
Eloped	6(1.9)	0 (0.0)	0.681
Missing	7 (2.2)	1(1.67)	
	. (2:2)		

¹Key Populations Key populations include sex workers, men who have sex with men, gay persons, transgender persons, and persons who inject drugs

² Priority populations include persons 18-24 years of age, victims of interpersonal violence, persons who screened positive on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test, persons who never previously tested for HIV

	Pre-	Post-		Post-	
	implementation (March 6 - April 16)	Implementation (Period 1: May 1 - June 26)	p- value	Implementation (Period 2: Jun 27 - August 20)	p- value
Escilita hand HIV to the	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	
Facility-based HIV testing	585	1031		860	
HIV Test Result					
Negative	560 (95.7)	977 (94.8)		816 (94.9)	
Positive	24 (4.1)	53 (5.1)	0.453	43 (5.0)	0.703
Inconclusive	1 (0.2)	1 (0.1)		1 (0.1)	
Positive HIV Test Results					
Previously diagnosed	8 (33.3)	13 (24.5)	0 555	15 (34.9)	0.239
New Diagnosis	16 (66.7)	40 (75.5)	0.000	28 (65.1)	
New Diagnosis Linked to Care					
No (declined)	4 (25.0)	7 (17.5)		3 (10.7)	
No (deceased)	2 (12.5)	3 (7.5)	0.657	5 (17.9)	0.447
Yes	10 (62.5)	30 (75.0)		20 (71.4)	
New Eligible Diagnosis Linked to Care					
No (declined)	4 (28.6)	7 (18.9)	0 4 5 4	3 (13.0)	0 242
Yes	10 (71.4)	30 (81.1)	0.454	20 (87.0)	0.242
Previous Diagnosis Linked to Care					
No (Already linked to care)	7 (87.5)	8 (61.5)		10 (66.7)	
No (Declined)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.201	2 (13.3)	0.651
Yes	1 (12.5)	5 (38.4)		3 (20.0)	
Previous Diagnosis Linked to Care (Eligible)					
No (Declined)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	2 (40.0)	0 4 3 9
Yes	1(100.0)	5 (100.0)	-	3 (60.0)	0.457
Provision of HIV Self-Test					
HIV Self-Test Recipients	111	250		213	
HIV Self-Test Follow-up Completed					
No	21 (18.92)	64 (25.6)		42 (19.7)	
Yes	0 (0.0)	186 (74.4)	< 0.001	171 (80.3)	< 0.001
Missing	90 (81.09)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	
HIV Self-Test Reported Use	· · · · · ·	· · · ·			
No	-	10 (5.4)		10 (5.9)	
Yes	_	176 (94.6)	-	161 (94 2)	-
HIV Self-Test Reported Result		1,0 (5 110)		101 () ()	
Non-reactive	-	176 (100.0)		159 (98.8)	
Reactive	-	0(0.0)	-	1(0.6)	-
Inconclusive	-	0(0.0)		1(0.6)	

Table 4. System Level Emergency Department HIV Testing Services

	Pre-	Post-	
	implementation (March 6 - April 16) N=216 x (%)	Implementation (Period 1: May 1 - June 26) N=184 = (%)	p- value
Key & Priority Populations ¹	II (70)	II (70)	
Not Receiving HIV Testing Services Receiving HIV Testing Services	206 (95.4) 10 (4.6)	51 (27.7) 133 (72.3)	< 0.001
Key & Priority Populations' Receiving H1S	1 (10.0)	14 (14 7)	
& Never Previously Tested for HIV	1 (10.0)	10(7.5)	
& Hazardous Alcohol Use	1 (10.0)	1 (0.8)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim	1 (10.0)	11 (8.3)	
& Sex Work	0 (0.0)	2(1.5)	
& Never Previously Tested for HIV & Interpersonal Violence Victim	0 (0.0)	4 (3.0)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim & Hazardous Alcohol Use	0 (0.0)	3 (2.3)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim & Sex Work	0 (0.0)	2 (1.5)	
& Never Previously Tested for HIV & Sex Work & Gay	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim & Sex Work & Gay	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Never Previously Tested for HIV	0 (0.0)	4 (3.0)	
& Hazardous Alcohol Use	1 (10.0)	4 (3.0)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
& Sex Work	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Hazardous Alcohol Use	1 (10.0)	20 (15.0)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim	0 (0.0)	15 (11.3)	
& Sex Work	0 (0.0)	4 (3.0)	
& Gay	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim & Sex Work	0 (0.0)	2 (0.8)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim & Person Who Injects Drugs	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
& Interpersonal Violence Victim & Sex Work & Person Who Injects Drugs	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Interpersonal Violence Victim	2 (20.0)	30 (22.6)	
Sex Work	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Gay	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	

Table 5. HIV Testing Services For Patient Participants From Key and Priority Pop

¹ Key Populations Key populations include sex workers, men who have sex with men, gay persons, transgender persons, and persons who inject drugs. Priority populations include young adult persons 18-24 years of age, victims of interpersonal violence, persons who screened positive on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test for Hazardous Alcohol use, persons who never previously tested for HIV

Panel A. S	ystems Level	
		Risk Ratio ¹ (95% CI)
Emergency Department HIV Testing Delivery ²	1.31 (1.21-1.43)	-#
Identification of People Living With HIV	1.17 (0.70-1.96)	
Eligible People Living With HIV Linked to Care ²	1.18 (0.62-2.25)	
		0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2
Panel B. Patient	Participant Lev	
Emergency Department HIV Testing Delivery ³	12.15 (7.50-19.68)	
Facility-based HIV Testing for Key & Priority Populations ⁴	13.81 (7.25-26.29)	
Facility-based HIV Testing for Key Populations ⁴	8.84 (2.03-38.43)	
Facility-based HIV Testing for Priority Populations ⁴	15.33 (7.74-29.84)	

Figure 2. HIV Services With Implementation of the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in the Emergency Department Program

¹Risk ratios outcomes based on comparison of data aggregating post-implementation periods 1&2 with the pre-implementation period as the baseline.

²Eligible People Living With HIV included those not currently on antiretroviral treatments and discharged from the hospital alive

³HIV Testing Delivery aggregates both facility-based HIV testing and distribution of HIVST kits

⁴Key populations include sex workers, men who have sex with men, gay persons, transgender persons, and persons who inject drugs. Priority populations include persons 18-24 years of age, victims of interpersonal violence, persons who screened positive for hazardous alcohol use on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test, persons never previously HIV tested



Figure 3. HIV Testing Cascade Pre- and Post-implementation of the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in the Emergency Department Program Stratified by Key and Priority Populations



Figure 4. Systems Level HIV Testing Services Delivery Across Study Periods¹

¹Biweekly average proportion of persons who received HIV testing services with associated 95% confidence intervals

Supplements

Supplement 1. HIV Enhanced Access Testing in Emergency Departments Program

Based on prior data from the Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH) study setting demonstrating potential to improve emergency department (ED) HIV Testing services (HTS) delivery, particularly among injured persons seeking care, qualitative studies were undertaken with healthcare provider and patient stakeholders. The qualitative research aimed to evaluated challenges and facilitator for HTS programming within the KNH ED. The Capability-Opportunity-Motivation Behavioral Model (COM-B), which examines health behaviors through three interrelated lenses, was utilized as a framework to overlay the key findings of the thematic analysis, and the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) was used to identify intervention functions to inform approaches to enhance ED-HTS programming. Following the initial design phase feedback sessions with healthcare and administrative personnel from the KNH setting were held to review and refine the design. This step resulted in the addition of external training from the Kenya National AIDS and STIs Control Programme (NASCOP) pertaining to Key Populations (KPs) for the ED-HIV services personnel. The HEATED program components across the COM-B model are shown and described below. All aspects of HEATED program were initiated during the implementation period (17-30 April 2023), except for the change made to the standard triage process in which a screening question on interest in learning about HTS during ED care was added at the start of the pre-implementation data collection period (6 March 2023). The implementation strategy was based on Expert Recommendations for Implementing Change and was multipronged and included micro-stratgies aiming to change infrastructure, supporting clinicians, providing interactive assistance, train and educate stakeholders, develop stakeholder relationships, and engaging patients. This approach was used to provide an evidence-based program with components which were locally appropriate and feasible to be implemented.

Capability-Opportunity-Motivation Behavioral Model For the HIV Enhance Access Testing in Emergency Department Program



HCWs - Health Care Workers, Pts - Patients, HTS HIV Testing Services, PITC provider-initiated testing and counseling, HIVST - HIV Self-Testing, KP Key Populations

Capability

The domain of capability refers to an individual's physical and psychological ability to engage in a health behavior of increasing HTS delivery with a focus on higher-risk persons in the ED setting. The key intervention functions for capability were education and training, environmental restructuring and enablement. Components for the HEATED program for Capability were:

- Sensitization symposia: These training sensitized and provided educational information on the role and importance of HIV services in the ED setting and were held with staff inclusive of nurses, physicians, administrators and HIV services personnel. The content used NASCOP materials on HTS recommendations and policies as well as data from the study setting focusing on the local HTS landscape and how there exists opportunities to engage higher-risk persons for HIV services during emergency care, especially for injured persons. The sessions also provided an overview of the overall HEATED program and its components. These symposia were completed through multiple sessions and across varying venues in the ED. There were two sessions lasting 90 minutes in duration held through standard ED meeting mechanisms for continuing education and training, which were approved for professional development credit via KNH procedures. To help ensure support sensitization text messages on the program were sent to provider groups of nurses and physicians using their established communication list-serves with the ability to engage in discussion and clarification as needed with the healthcare champions who were nurse and physician members of the ED-staff. Additionally, four brief (15 minute) sessions were given during meetings with clinical staff in the ED space. The content was delivered by a combination of study team members and local HTS training personnel. During all sessions there was time allocated for questions and open-forum discussion.
- <u>HIV Services Personnel Engagement Training</u>: Based on the observed low engagement of injured persons during ED care and the overlap of this population with higher-risk groups (i.e. KPs, young adults and men) a focused training on engaging such persons was provided to the HIV services personnel. The training utilized existing materials and facilitators from NASCOP which are designed to train up healthcare providers around engagement of target persons for HIV testing and care. Within this training there was also dedicated sessions on engaging the ED injury population for HTS. The training sessions were be held over two three-day periods to accommodate flexible attending for all HTS personnel.
- <u>Targeted advertising</u>: Prior to program implementation in the ED there existed minimal signage and written information on the availability of HTS. Using NASCOP resources awareness material in the form of signs and educational pamphlets were placed in six locations in the ED at strategic points to enhance patient knowledge on HTS.



• <u>HTS Integration</u>: Although the HTS services existed within the KNH ED space and care delivery system lack of coherent services integration was identified as a challenge to HTS. To address this

the three ED flow maps, which provide a throughput overview of a patients' ED care, were revised to include HTS (see figure). These replaced the existing versions in the clinical space.



• <u>HIVST Supply chain</u>: Based on an identified need to ensure continuous access to HIV self-test (HIVST) kits the supply chain was supported during the assessment phase. This was done such that HIVST kits were only provided by study resources in the case that there was an interruption in the standard supply chain. Over the full assessment period an average of 22 HIVST kit per week were supplied to augment access. As increased access for HIVST kit delivery was in alignment with goals from NASCOP the augmentation of this resource within the implementation assessment was deemed to be consistent with the national trajectory for HTS in Kenya.

Opportunity

The opportunity domain refers to factors affecting the provision of the behavior ED-HTS delivery based on the physical and social environment that the behaviors must occur within. The primary intervention functions for opportunity to improve HTS were modeling, incentivization and education. Components for the HEATED program for Opportunity were:

• <u>Promotion of HTS Patient Engagement</u>: To improve the engagement of healthcare workers and patients, and as such the opportunity for HIV services, a single universal screening question was added to the triage process with the goal of ensuring that all patients have an interaction with a care provider on the topic (Triage forms shown below). The question assessed for interest in learning about HTS during the ED care period and encompass a binary (yes or no) response. During the sensitization symposia the healthcare personnel were provide example language on how to ask this however, a standard script was not provided. The patient's response to this question was be noted in the standard triage documentation which the patient carries throughout their ED course and is reviewed by their clinical care team.

		_		-			
	\$	ACCIDEN	KNI IT AND E <u>TRI</u> 4	H/ A&E/ 2/18 MERGENCY AGE CHART	DEPARTM	ENT	
ATIENT'S NAI	ME			AGE	SEXTr	ack NUMBER	
HIEF COMPLA	AINT						
MERGENCY S	IGNS? YES	TAKE STF	RAIGHT TO	RESCUSCITATI	ON AREA.		
	NO		D TO VITAL	SIGNS AND T	EWS CALCULA	TION BELOW:	
/ITAL SIGNS:	Blood Pressur	e:	HR:	RR:	Temp:	SPO	2
<u>RIAGE EARLY</u> Pediatric TEW	WARNING SC	LMP ORE (TEWS): C ack)	ircle the ap	propriate box	ŧ.		
		ADUL	r tews	(OVER 12	YEARS)		
Adult TEWS*	3	2	1	0	1	2	3
Mobility:				Walking	With Help	Stretcher/Im mobile	
Resp Rate:		Less than 9		9-14	15-20	21-29	More than 29
Heart Rate:		Less than 41	41-50	51-100	101-110	111-129	More than 129
Systolic BP (mmHg):	Less than 71	71-80	81-100	101-199		More than 199	
Temperature (C°):		Cold OR under 35		35-38.4		Hot OR Over 38.4	
AVPU:		Confused		Alert	Reacts to Voice	Reacts to Pain	Unresponsive
Trauma?				No	Yes		
Subtotals:		*		*0 *		*	,
Total TEWS ADDITIONAL II RBS nterested in H	= VVESTIGATION	> → If1 N	EWS is 7	or More, pa	tient is an	<u>Emergency</u>	
Total TEWS ADDITIONAL II RBS Interested in H IRIAGE CODE: MERGENCY [FROM THE SAT	→ If 1 NS N S TRIAGE CHART URGENT	EWS is 7 	r	tient is an PDT TEWS, CIRCLE	Emergency THE APPROPRIAT	E TRIAGE LEVEL E
Fotal TEWS ADDITIONAL II RBS Interested in H RIAGE CODE: MERGENCY [RIAGED BY		→ If 1 <u>IS</u> N S TRIAGE CHART URGENT SIGN.	EWS is 7 ECG Other AND/OR CA	r	tient is an , PDT TEWS, CIRCLE ROUTINE	Emergency THE APPROPRIAT	E TRIAGE LEVEL E
Total TEWS ADDITIONAL II RES INTERESTED IN H RIAGE CODE: INTERGENCY [RIAGED BY Nsposition From		→ 1f1 IS	EWS is 7 ECG Other AND/OR CA URGE	or More, pa	Itient is an PDT TEWS, CIRCLE ROUTINE	Emergency THE APPROPRIAT	E TRIAGE LEVEL E
Total TEWS ADDITIONAL II INBS INTERESTED IN H RIAGE CODE: INTERGENCY INTERGENCY INTERGENCY INTERGENCY INTERGENCY	= Urin IV Testing Y	→ If 1 N S TRIAGE CHART URGENT URGENT Acute Room Room 6	EWS is 7 ECG Other AND/OR CA URGER	r r u.culation of NT OBS Labr	TEWS, CIRCLE ROUTINE	Emergency THE APPROPRIAT THE APPROPRIAT TIME	E TRIAGE LEVEL E
Total TEWS	NVESTIGATION UrinUrin. Urin Y FROM THE SAT VER' VER'	→ If 1 IS N S TRIAGE CHART V URGENT V URGENT SIGN. Acute Room Room 6 Trauma Wa	rEWS is 7	r	TEWS, CIRCLE ROUTINE	Emergency THE APPROPRIAT	E TRIAGE LEVEL E
Total TEWS		S TRIAGE CHART	TEWS is 7 Content AND/OR CA URGEN CONTENT C	r	TEWS, CIRCLE ROUTINE (GYN Room [or Ward [Emergency THE APPROPRIAT	E TRIAGE LEVEL &

Longitudinal Feedback: To improve the social environment and opportunity for HTS the HEAT program provided feedback to normalize and promote HTS at departmental and individual provider levels. This feedback provided updates on HTS metrics including but not limited to: provision of HTS (including HIVST), identification of PLHIV and linkage to care. The feedback was disseminated via digital communication to established ED provider groups on WhatsAppTM every two weeks during the post-implementation period. The feedback was framed in a positive manner and sent by the respective program champions to the nurse, physician and ED-HTS services personnel

Motivation

Motivation domain refers to the automatic and reflective processes that affect the stakeholders desire and ability to engage in the health behavior of ED-HTS. The main intervention functions in this domain to improve HTS were healthcare workers drive to deliver HTS (inclusive of conceptual barriers) and patients' perceptions of the impacts of HTS. Components for the HEATED program for motivation were:

- <u>Healthcare Worker Champions</u>: To support motivation and engagement in the HEAT program clinical personnel working in the ED were identified who were willing to provide peer-to-peer support within the clinical setting as needed. And through digital communication to ED provider groups on WhatsApp[™]. This included discussing HTS with ED staff and answering questions that peers may have. For healthcare champions there was one nurse, one physician and the facility director of HTS, these persons were provided a one hour additional training session with the study coordinator to ensure understanding of the HEATED program component and goals.
- <u>Linkage Peers</u>: A challenge identified for ED-HTS was the difficulty of HIV services personnel to be able to identify patients interested in testing due to overall volume. To support connection of those interested in HTS with the personnel able to provide testing access two linkage peers were placed in the clinical setting for 12 hours a day (7am-7pm). will be placed in the A&E to assist with patient-to-HTS-provider linkage. These personnel were primarily tasked with interacting with

patients to review and answer questions about their interest in learning about HTS, and if desired by the patient support their connection to the existing standard ED-HIV services personnel for HTS as appropriate. Although this specific role did not exist in the ED setting prior to the HEATED program there are in place peer-mentors who function primarily to assist with linking patients that test HIV positive to further care. As such the linkage peer role was deemed not to be a substantial alteration in the care delivery process of HTS and was accepted by the KNH HTS program.

• <u>HIVST Follow up Support</u>: During the qualitative study that there is Substantial attrition of follow up contact for persons who given HIVST kits was identified as a challenge to ED programming. To address this any person receiving HIVST kits were given a NASCOP created and approved informational packet which provides follow up options and mechanisms (see pamphlet below). Additionally, the healthcare worker champion from HTS services provided prompts on follow up goals and department level follow up metrics at standing meetings for the ED-HIV services personnel.



		Pre-implementation		Post	Post-Implementation			Post-Implementation		
		(March 6	- April 16) (Period 1: May 1 - June 26) (Period 2: June 27 - Au			ine 27 - Augu	ıst 20)			
Construct	Item	Item mean (+SD)	Construct median (IQR)	Item mean (+SD)	Construct median (IQR)	P- Value	Item Mean (+SD)	Construct median (IQR	P- Value	
Internetion.	I1	6.1(1.1)	6.3	6.1(2.1)	6.5	0.692	6.1(2.3)	7.0	0.200	
Intention	I2	6.3(0.7)	(5.8-6.8)	6.4(0.7)	(6.0-7.0)	0.082 -	6.7(0.5)	(6.5-7.0)	0.309	
C:-1	SI1	2(1.4)	4.2	3.8(1.8)	5.2	_	4.3(1.1)			
Social	SI2	4.8(1.2)	(3.0-4.7)	5.8(0.9)	(1358)	0.013	5.9(0.7)	- (5.0-6.0)	0.014	
Influence	SO3	5.0(1.7)	(3.0-4.7)	5.4(1.7)	(4.3-3.8)		6.1(0.9)			
Daliafa about	BCa1	6(1.1)	5.0	6.6(0.5)	6.0	_	6.9(0.4)	67		
conspilition	BCa2	3(0.9)	3.2	5.1(0.8)	(5863)	0.012	5.9(0.7)	- 0.7	0.012	
capabilities	BCa3	6.3(0.9)	(4.7-3.7)	6.5(1.1)	(3.8-0.3)		6.9(0.4)	- (0.3-0.7)		
Moral norm	MN1	5.4(1,4)	6.0	6.5(0.8)	6.8	0.014	6.9(0.4)	6.8	0.011	
Moral norm	MN2	5.9(0.4)	(5.5-6.0)	6.6(0.6)	(6.0-7.0)	0.014 -	6.7(0.5)	(6.5-7.0)	0.011	
Beliefs about	BCOL1	6.5(0.8)	7(6 2 7 0)	6.9(0.4)	7.0	0.461	7.0(0.1)	7.0	0.252	
consequences	BCOL2	6.8(0.5)	/(0.3-7.0)	6.9(0.4)	(6.8-7.0)	0.401 -	6.9(0.4)	(7.0-7.0)	0.255	

Supplement 2. Emergency Department HIV services personnel Continuing Professional Development-Reaction Assessments Across Study Periods

	a unione i rogrami
Vocational Role	Feedback Responses (Selected)
Nurse	"Identify better resources for (HIV) testing and supporting the manpower helped the emergency
	department"
Nurse	"By sensitizing staff in department on the program of (HIV) testing of the patients the program improved
	care to those needing it"
Nurse	"Testing was easier with the HEAT work, as well as diagnosis and treatment"
Nurse	"The program helped get early diagnosis and early treatment hence better outcomes"
Physician	"I think that more newly diagnosed HIV patients were captured with the program in the emergency
	department"
Nurse	"HIV testing among trauma patients although improved was not consistent enough"
Physician	"The program was implemented but not all the staff were fully sensitized and engaged"

Supplement 3. Healthcare Worker Open-ended Feedback on the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in the Emergency Department Program

References:

1. UNAIDS. Confronting Inequalities - Lessons for pandemic responses from 40 years of AIDS. 2021. Accessed at: <u>https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/2021-global-aids-update_en.pdf</u>

 UNAIDS. IN DANGER: UNAIDS Global AIDS Update 2022. Geneva. Accessed at: <u>https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/2022-global-aids-update_en.pdf</u>. 2022.
 Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. IN DANGER: UNAIDS Global AIDS

Update 2022. Geneva, 2022.

4. National Syndemic Diseases Control Council. Repulic of Kenya. National Multisectoral HIV Prevention Acceleration Plan 2023–2030. August 2023. Accessed at:

https://nsdcc.go.ke/download/national-multisectoral-hiv-prevention-acceleration-plan-2023-2030/.

5. National AIDS and STI Control Programme (NASCOP). 2022. Kenya Population-based HIV Impact Assessment (KENPHIA) 2018: Final Report. Nairobi: NASCOP. Accessed at: https://phia.icap.columbia.edu/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/KENPHIA_Ago25-DIGITAL.pdf.

6. Musyoki H, Bhattacharjee P, Sabin K, Ngoksin E, Wheeler T, Dallabetta G. A decade and beyond: learnings from HIV programming with underserved and marginalized key populations in Kenya. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2021; **24 Suppl 3**(Suppl 3): e25729.

7. National AIDS & STI Control Programme MoH. Third National Behavioural Assessment of Key Populations in Kenya: Polling Booth Survey Report. In; 2018. Accessed at: <u>https://hivpreventioncoalition.unaids.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Third-nationalbehavioural-assessment-of-key-populations-in-Kenya-polling-booth-survey-report-October-2018-1.pdf.</u>

8. NASCOP. Ministry of Health, National AIDS & STI Control Program. Kenya HIV Testing Services Operational Manual 2022 Edition. Nairobi, Kenya: NASCOP, November 2022. Accessed at: <u>https://www.prepwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Kenya-HTS-Manual.pdf</u>. 2022.

9. UNAIDS. Blind spot: addressing a blind spot in the response to HIV. Reaching out to men and boys. 2017. Accessed at:

https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/blind_spot_en.pdf

10. UNAIDS. New HIV Infections in Adolescents and Young People. 2021. accessed at: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/young-people-and-hiv_en.pdf.

11. Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC, Kaufman M, Cain D, Jooste S. Alcohol use and sexual risks for HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review of empirical findings. *Prev Sci* 2007; **8**(2): 141-51.

12. Consolidated Guidelines on HIV Prevention, Diagnosis, Treatment and Care for Key Populations – 2016 Update. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016. DEFINITIONS OF KEY TERMS. Available from: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK379697/#</u>.

13. World Health Organization. 2023. Men and HIV: evidence-based approaches and interventions. A framework for person-centred health services. ISBN 978-92-4-008579-4. Accessed at: <u>https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/374354/9789240085794-eng.pdf?sequence=1</u>.

14. Batchelder AW, Foley JD, Wirtz MR, Mayer K, O'Cleirigh C. Substance Use Stigma, Avoidance Coping, and Missed HIV Appointments Among MSM Who Use Substances. *AIDS Behav* 2021; **25**(5): 1454-63.

15. Sereda Y, Kiriazova T, Makarenko O, et al. Stigma and quality of co-located care for HIV-positive people in addiction treatment in Ukraine: a cross-sectional study. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2020; **23**(5): e25492.

16. Lyons C, Stahlman S, Holland C, et al. Stigma and outness about sexual behaviors among cisgender men who have sex with men and transgender women in Eswatini: a latent class analysis. *BMC Infect Dis* 2019; **19**(1): 211.

17. Obermeyer Z, Abujaber S, Makar M, et al. Emergency care in 59 low- and middleincome countries: a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ* 2015; **93**(8): 577-86G.

18. Smith-Sreen J, Bosire R, Farquhar C, et al. Leveraging emergency care to reach key populations for 'the last mile' in HIV programming: a waiting opportunity. *AIDS* 2023; **37**(15): 2421-4.

19. Hodkinson PW, Wallis LA. Emergency medicine in the developing world: a Delphi study. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010; **17**(7): 765-74.

20. Tang N, Stein J, Hsia RY, Maselli JH, Gonzales R. Trends and characteristics of US emergency department visits, 1997-2007. *JAMA* 2010; **304**(6): 664-70.

21. Aluisio AR, Rege S, Stewart BT, et al. Prevalence of HIV-Seropositivity and Associated Impact on Mortality among Injured Patients from Low-and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Curr HIV Res* 2017; **15**(5): 307-17.

22. Hansoti B, Kelen GD, Quinn TC, et al. A systematic review of emergency department based HIV testing and linkage to care initiatives in low resource settings. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**(11): e0187443.

23. Hansoti B, Stead D, Parrish A, et al. HIV testing in a South African Emergency Department: A missed opportunity. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**(3): e0193858.

24. Waxman MJ, Kimaiyo S, Ongaro N, Wools-Kaloustian KK, Flanigan TP, Carter EJ. Initial outcomes of an emergency department rapid HIV testing program in western Kenya. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2007; **21**(12): 981-6.

25. Aluisio AR, Sugut J, Kinuthia J, et al. Assessment of standard HIV testing services delivery to injured persons seeking emergency care in Nairobi, Kenya: A prospective observational study. *PLOS Glob Public Health* 2022; **2**(10): e0000526.

26. Haagsma JA, Graetz N, Bolliger I, et al. The global burden of injury: incidence, mortality, disability-adjusted life years and time trends from the Global Burden of Disease study 2013. *Inj Prev* 2016; **22**(1): 3-18.

27. Botchey IM, Jr., Hung YW, Bachani AM, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of injuries in Kenya: A multisite surveillance study. *Surgery* 2017; **162**(6S): S45-S53.

28. Harland KK, Peek-Asa C, Saftlas AF. Intimate Partner Violence and Controlling Behaviors Experienced by Emergency Department Patients: Differences by Sexual Orientation and Gender Identification. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 2018; **36**(11-12): NP6125-NP43.

29. National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey : 2010 summary report. In: National Center for Injury P, Control . Division of Violence P, editors. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Violence Prevention; 2011.

30. National AIDS & STI Control Programme MoH. Third National Behavioural Assessment of Key Populations in Kenya: Polling Booth Survey Report, 2018.

31. UNAIDS. Differentiated Service Delivery for HIV: a decision framework for differentiated antiretroviral therapy for key populations, 2021.

32. Aluisio AR, Bergman J, Otieno FA, *et al.* "Opportunities and Challenges to Emergency Department-Based HIV Testing Services and Self-Testing Programs: A Qualitative Study of Healthcare Providers and Patients in Kenya" Open Forum Infect Dis. 2022 Dec; 9 (Suppl 2). PMCID: PMC9752706

33. Bergam SJ, Chen J, Kinuthia J, et al. Challenges and Facilitators for HIV Testing Services and HIV Self-Testing Programming During Emergency Care in Kenya: A Qualitative Study of Patients. *Journal of Sexual heal and Aids Res* 2024; 01-09.

34. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. *Am J Public Health* 1999; **89**(9): 1322-7.

35. Pinnock H, Barwick M, Carpenter CR, et al. Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies (StaRI) Statement. *BMJ* 2017; **356**: i6795.

36. National AIDS and STI Control Programme. "National Guidelines For HIV/STI Programming With Key Populations" 2014. Accessed at:

https://hivpreventioncoalition.unaids.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/KP-National-Guidelines-2014-NASCOP-RS-ilovepdf-compressed.pdf.

37. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci* 2012; **7**: 37.

38. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci* 2011; **6**: 42.

39. Waltz TJ, Powell BJ, Matthieu MM, et al. Use of concept mapping to characterize relationships among implementation strategies and assess their feasibility and importance: results from the Expert Recommendations for Implementing Change (ERIC) study. *Implement Sci* 2015; **10**: 109.

40. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009; **42**(2): 377-81.

41. Legare F, Freitas A, Turcotte S, et al. Responsiveness of a simple tool for assessing change in behavioral intention after continuing professional development activities. *PLoS One* 2017; 12(5): e0176678.

42. Ayivi-Vinz G, Bakwa Kanyinga F, Bergeron L, et al. Use of the CPD-REACTION Questionnaire to Evaluate Continuing Professional Development Activities for Health Professionals: Systematic Review. *JMIR Med Educ* 2022; **8**(2): e36948.

43. Kepper MM, Walsh-Bailey C, Brownson RC, et al. Development of a Health Information Technology Tool for Behavior Change to Address Obesity and Prevent Chronic Disease Among Adolescents: Designing for Dissemination and Sustainment Using the ORBIT Model. *Front Digit Health* 2021; **3**: 648777.

44. Wangara AA, Hunold KM, Leeper S, et al. Implementation and performance of the South African Triage Scale at Kenyatta National Hospital in Nairobi, Kenya. *Int J Emerg Med* 2019; **12**(1): 5.

45. World Health Organization. AUDIT: the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: guidelines for use in primary health care. (second edition) Accessed at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-MSD-MSB-01.6a.

46. Vissoci JRN, Hertz J, El-Gabri D, et al. Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Psychometric Properties of the AUDIT and CAGE Questionnaires in Tanzanian Swahili for a Traumatic Brain Injury Population. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2018; **53**(1): 112-20.

47. Daniel WW, editor. 7th ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1999. Biostatistics: a foundation for analysis in the health sciences.

48. van den Berg JJ, O'Keefe E, Davidson D, et al. The development and evaluation of an HIV implementation science network in New England: lessons learned. *Implement Sci Commun* 2021; **2**(1): 64.

49. Lee JA, Ochola EO, Sugut J, et al. Assessment of substance use among injured persons seeking emergency care in Nairobi, Kenya. *Afr J Emerg Med* 2022; **12**(4): 321-6.

50. Nerlander LMC, Hoots BE, Bradley H, et al. HIV infection among MSM who inject methamphetamine in 8 US cities. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2018; **190**: 216-23.

51. Aluisio AR, Bergam SJ, Sugut J, et al. HIV self-testing acceptability among injured persons seeking emergency care in Nairobi, Kenya. *Glob Health Action* 2023; **16**(1): 2157540.

52. Agutu CA, Oduor TH, Kombo BK, et al. High patient acceptability but low coverage of provider-initiated HIV testing among adult outpatients with symptoms of acute infectious illness in coastal Kenya. *PLoS One* 2021; **16**(2): e0246444.

53. Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**(10159): 1789-858.

54. Haas AD, Radin E, Birhanu S, et al. Prevalence of and factors associated with late diagnosis of HIV in Malawi, Zambia, and Zimbabwe: Results from population-based nationally representative surveys. *PLOS Glob Public Health* 2022; **2**(2): e0000080.

55. Grimsrud A, Wilkinson L, Ehrenkranz P, et al. The future of HIV testing in eastern and southern Africa: Broader scope, targeted services. *PLoS Med* 2023; **20**(3): e1004182.

56. WHO. Differentiated and simplified pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention Update to WHO implementation guidance. TECHNICAL BRIEF. 2022. Accessed at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053694.