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#### Abstract

Background: High blood pressure, commonly referred to as hypertension, remains a prevalent global health concern characterized by elevated arterial pressure. Its multifactorial etiology involves genetic predisposition, lifestyle factors, and comorbidities, posing significant risks such as cardiovascular diseases, stroke, and kidney diseases. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the burden and determinants of self-reported high blood pressure among women of reproductive age in Tanzania.


Methods: We utilized population-based cross-sectional data obtained from the Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS) 2022, specifically phase VIII. The analysis involved a weighted sample of 15,254 women aged 15-49 years. The outcome variable was self-reported high blood pressure. Multivariable logistic regression models were employed to examine the independent variables associated with self-reported high blood pressure, and the
results were presented as adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with a 95\% confidence interval (CI). The significance level was set at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ for all analyses.

Results: Overall, the mean age of study participants was $29.3 \pm 9.8$ years, with a selfreported high blood pressure burden of $6.6 \%$ among women of reproductive age in Tanzania. Moreover, increased age correlated with higher odds of high blood pressure compared to women aged 15-19 years. Those with a secondary level of education exhibited a higher likelihood of high blood pressure (aOR: $1.76,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.36-2.28, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) in contrast to women with no education. Married (aOR: $1.57,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.19-2.08, \mathrm{p}=0.002$ ) and widowed (aOR: $1.54,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.11-2.12, \mathrm{p}=0.009$ ) individuals were more prone to high blood pressure than those who were never married. Additionally, women in higher wealth brackets showed a significantly elevated risk of high blood pressure compared to those in the poorest wealth group. Giving birth once or twice in the last 5 years reduced the odds by $20 \%$ (aOR: 0.80 , 95\% CI: 0.67-0.96, p < 0.001). Conversely, self-reported poor health status and recent visits to health facilities were associated with significantly higher odds of high blood pressure (aOR: $2.87,95 \%$ CI: $1.76-4.67, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and (aOR: $1.38,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.20-1.60, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), respectively.

Conclusion: This study highlights the substantial burden of high blood pressure among reproductive-age women, urging heightened awareness and proactive screening measures. It unveils intricate factors contributing to elevated blood pressure, suggesting tailored strategies involving lifestyle changes, education, and improved healthcare access. These findings prompt targeted interventions, emphasizing the need for collaborative efforts among stakeholders to effectively curb this health burden.
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## Background

High blood pressure (BP) is an important modifiable risk factor contributing to heart failure, valvular heart diseases, atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke [1]. High BP or hypertension is defined as a systolic BP greater or equal to 140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP greater or equal to 90 mmHg or a self-reported case under medication [2]. By 2025, the global burden of high BP is projected to reach1.56billion; two-thirds of this burden is anticipated in low- and middle-income countries [3, 4]. In the sub-Saharan Africa region, non-communicable diseases (NCDs), including high BP, are projected to emerge as the leading causes of morbidity and mortality by 2030 [5, 6]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) cardiovascular diseases (CVD) account for 17.9 million deaths annually, making them the most prevalent among NCDs [7].

The development of high BP is influenced by both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors, such as increasing age, gender, genetic predisposition, unhealthy eating habits, physical inactivity, cigarette smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, and obesity [8-10]. Furthermore, a preponderance of previous research has also reported that respondent's level of education [11, 12], place of residence [13, 14], occupation [15], lack of health insurance coverage [16], and presence of other comorbidities [14, 17], play pivotal roles in the development of high BP.

Despite several limitations, such as poor patient-clinician communication, self-diagnosis in the absence of an adequate explanation for symptoms, or issues related to health literacy, selfreported medical history data are frequently employed in epidemiological studies to evaluate the prevalence of medical conditions in the general population. Self-reporting of specific
medical conditions is a simple and cost-effective method that relies on individuals willingly disclosing their behaviors, beliefs, attitudes, or intentions regarding a particular condition. Therefore, due to its simplicity and low cost in obtaining health information from individuals, self-reporting has become an integral approach for continuous population monitoring, informing policies aimed at reducing the burden of various diseases $[18,19]$.

In light of the above, the current study aims to determine the burden and determinants of selfreported high blood pressure among women of reproductive age in Tanzania.

## Methods

## Study design, Period and Setting.

This was a population-based cross-sectional study design conducted in Tanzania located in the Eastern Africa from $24^{\text {th }}$ February 2022 to $21^{\text {st }}$ July 2022. Administratively, Tanzania is divided into 3 regions including the islands of Zanzibar. In turn, each administrative region is sub-divided into districts, each district into wards and each ward into villages (lowest administrative units of a country). Based on the 2022 population and housing census, Tanzania has a population of over 61.7 million people, of which $51.3 \%$ comprises females [20].

## Data Sources

This secondary data analysis was based on the 2022 Tanzania Demographic and Health Surveys (TDHS). This is a nationally representative surveys conducted every four years by the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and Zanzibar Bureau of Statistics (ZBS) with financial and technical assistance by ICF International provisioned through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID)-funded Monitoring and Evaluation to Assess and Use Results (MEASURE) DHS program in collaboration with other donor agencies. The 2022 TDHS dataset is publicly available and can be accessed through the DHS program database at http://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm.

## Sampling procedure

The 2022 TDHS sample involved a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling design based on a list of enumeration areas (EAs) delineated for the 2012 Tanzania Population and Housing

Census (2012 PHC). The EAs were selected with a probability proportional to their size within each sampling stratum. A total of 629 clusters were selected. Among the $629 \mathrm{EAs}, 211$ were from urban areas and 418 were from rural areas. Then, 26 households were selected systematically from each cluster, for a total anticipated sample size of 16,354 households for the 2022 TDHS. The detailed sampling procedure has been reported previously [21].

## Study population and sample size

All women of reproductive age, 15 to 49 years, were included in this study. The individual questionnaire of the 2022 TDHS dataset was used to extract the study-specific outcome and explanatory variables from a nationally representative sample of 15,254 women, yielding a response rate of $97 \%$.

## Data Collection

Data collection was carried out from February 24, 2022 to July 21, 2022 by 18 field teams, 3 teams for Zanzibar and 15 teams for Tanzania Mainland. During fieldwork, enumeration area maps, listing forms, and local leaders assisted the trained field staff in identifying the sampled clusters and households. The team leaders and computer-assisted personal interviewing supervisors were responsible for data quality in the field.

## Outcome variable

The main outcome variable in this study was self-reported high blood pressure. Participants were asked if they had ever been told they have high blood pressure, eliciting a dichotomized response of 'Yes' or 'No.'

## Independent Variable

Demographic and socioeconomic variables, such as the respondent's age, place of residence, highest education level, wealth index status, marital status, number of children ever born, working status, coverage by health insurance, self-reported health status, visiting health facility in the last 12 months, and cigarette use, were included as independent variables. The respondent's age was grouped into 5-year age brackets. Place of residence was categorized as rural or urban. The highest level of education was separated into four categories: no education, primary level, secondary level, and higher education. The combined wealth index status was categorized into five groups: poorest, poorer, middle, richer, and richest. Marital status was also classified into six groups: never married, married, living with a partner, widowed, divorced, and separated. The total number of children ever born was categorized into 0 -children, $1-5$ children, and $>5$ children. Other variables such as the respondent's working status, coverage by health insurance, self-reported health status, visiting a health facility in the last 12 months, and cigarette smoking were dichotomized into 'Yes' or 'No'. Our choice of these independent variables was influenced by variables included in the DHS datasets and previous studies that found these variables to be important factors influencing high blood pressure [8-17].

## Statistical analysis

Analysis was conducted using both descriptive and inferential statistical methods. The descriptive statistics are expressed as numbers with percentages in the form of tables while the inferential statistics adopted were bivariate and multivariate analysis. The bivariate analysis was performed using chi-square, and the multivariate analysis was performed using binary logistic regression. The logistic regression model was used to investigate the relationship between the independent variables and the outcome variable. The generated adjusted odds ratios (aOR) were estimated to assess the strength of the associations and used
the $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CIs) for significance testing. The level of significance was set at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ (2-tailed) for all the analyses. Sample weighting was applied to allow for adjustments for the cluster sampling design and sampling probabilities across clusters and strata. Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) for Macintosh version 25 (IBM Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA) was used to run all analysis.

## Ethical consideration

This study was based on the analysis of population-based dataset available in the public domain with all identifiers removed. The objectives of this study was approved by MEASURE DHS/ICF International and permission was granted to access, download and use the dataset with authorization number 194069. During the surveys, the protocols and data collection procedures were approved by relevant authorities in Tanzania mainland and Zanzibar. In the data collection procedures, all participants were asked to provide verbal informed consent after the consent statement was read to them which emphasized the voluntary nature of the survey. Interviews were conducted under the private conditions afforded by the environments encountered. Confidentiality was adhered to by making sure that names of respondents were not written in the data collection tools and hence were anonymous.

## Results

## Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants

As shown in table 1, a total of 15,254 women of reproductive age from all regions of Tanzania were included in the study. The mean age of study participants was $29.3 \pm 9.8$, ranging from 15 to 49 years old. Participants exhibited a range of educational backgrounds, with $48.6 \%$ predominantly holding a primary education level, while $1.4 \%$ possessed higher education qualifications. A majority were married (44.3\%), and nearly two-thirds of participants ( $64.3 \%$ ) were living in rural areas. Furthermore, participants represented diverse economic profiles, with the poorest accounting for $14.9 \%$ and the richest comprising $26.5 \%$.

Regarding health and lifestyle factors, a significant portion of participants (94.3\%) were not covered by health insurance, $99.5 \%$ had not smoked cigarettes, and approximately $53.8 \%$ reported visiting a health facility in the past 12 months. Additionally, $52.7 \%$ reported having a good health status.

## Burden and determinants of self-reported high blood pressure

Overall, $1,014(6.6 \%)$ of the study participants self-reported having high blood pressure, as indicated in table 2. Comparatively, individuals with high blood pressure exhibited a higher proportion among those aged $\geq 40$ years ( $40.5 \%$ vs. $18.1 \%$, p $<0.001$ ) than participants without high blood pressure. The group with high blood pressure also showed a higher representation of individuals in the richer and richest wealth status categories ( $70.6 \%$ vs. $47.1 \%, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Additionally, a significantly proportion of women who had given birth to
more than three children were observed in the high blood pressure group ( $45.3 \%$ vs. $30.6 \%$, p $<0.01$ ). However, percentages of cigarette smoking and having given birth more than twice in the past 5 years were comparable between the groups ( $0.5 \%$ vs. $0.4 \%$ and $2.0 \%$ vs. $2.4 \%$, respectively).

Furthermore, the multivariate logistic regression model was fitted with baseline covariates associated with self-reported high blood pressure determined through bivariate analysis at a significance level of $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, as indicated in table 2 . Several factors were independently associated with self-reported high blood pressure among women of reproductive age in Tanzania. These factors include age-groups: 20-24 (aOR: $2.45,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.64-3.65, \mathrm{p}<$ 0.001 ), 25-29 (aOR: $3.77,95 \%$ CI: $2.48-5.71, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), $30-34$ (aOR: $4.60,95 \%$ CI: $2.99-$ 7.07, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), $35-39$ (aOR: 6.26, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 4.04-9.70, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), $40-44$ (aOR: $7.62,95 \%$ CI: 4.86-11.95, p < 0.001), and 45-49 (aOR: 11.78, $95 \%$ CI: 7.45-18.62, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ); secondary level of education (aOR: 1.76, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.36-2.28, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ); marital statusmarried (aOR: $1.57,95 \%$ CI: $1.19-2.08, \mathrm{p}=0.002$ ) and widowed (aOR: $1.54,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.11-$ 2.12, $\mathrm{p}=0.009$ ); middle wealth status (aOR: $2.28,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.64-3.16, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ); richer wealth status (aOR: $2.98,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.14-4.15, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ); richest wealth status (aOR: 5.06, $95 \%$ CI: 3.58-7.15, p < 0.001); having a self-reported bad health status (aOR: $2.87,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 1.76-4.67, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ); visiting a health facility in the past 12 months (aOR: $1.38,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.20-1.60, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ); and giving birth once or twice in the last 5 years (aOR: $0.80,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $0.67-0.96, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$.

## Discussion

High blood pressure, commonly referred to as hypertension, is increasingly imposing a significant disease burden, particularly in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) like Tanzania. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence and determinants of selfreported high blood pressure among women of reproductive age in Tanzania, utilizing the most recent nationally representative data. The study found a $6.6 \%$ prevalence of high blood pressure among women of reproductive age. Several factors independently correlated with self-reported high blood pressure, including older age-groups, secondary education level, marital status (being married or widowed), middle, richer, and richest wealth statuses, selfreported poor health status, recent health facility visits, and having given birth once or twice in the last 5 years.

Our findings are consistent with a prior report from a community-based survey in rural Mwanza [22], which recorded a relatively similar prevalence rate of $8 \%$. However, our findings were notably lower compared to several other community-based studies across the country. For instance, studies in Northern Tanzania reported a prevalence of 28.0\% [23], while another in rural Morogoro noted $29.3 \%$ [24], and the national representative STEPS survey in 2012 found a prevalence of $25.9 \%$ [25]. The lower prevalence in our study might be due to the inclusion of younger participants, as $38.9 \%$ were aged $15-24$ years, contrasting previous studies that involved older participants, where high blood pressure is more common.

Additionally, it is important to note that many individuals with hypertension in our study might not be aware of their condition [24].

When we compare our findings with reports from other countries, we uncover a wide range of outcomes. A cross-sectional survey conducted in Nakaseke health district in Uganda reported a quite similar prevalence rate of self-reported high blood pressure at 6.3\% [26]. Population-based studies among women in Kenya and Benin reported prevalence rates of $9.38 \%$ and $9.9 \%$, respectively [27, 28]. Additionally, other studies indicated higher prevalence rates of high blood pressure than those observed in our study. For instance, a study in Northern China reported a prevalence of $19.0 \%$ [29], South Africa reported a prevalence of $23.6 \%$ [19], while Brazil showed $24.1 \%$ [11], and the overall age-standardized rate among women in the United States reached 27.0\% [30]. These differences underscore significant disparities in the prevalence of self-reported high blood pressure among diverse populations, potentially influenced by factors such as methodological differences, population healthcare-seeking behavior, socioeconomic status, sociocultural norms, and awareness within a population regarding specific health conditions.

In this study, the likelihood of self-reported high blood pressure increased with age, ranging from $14.4 \%$ among individuals aged $25-29$ to $23.3 \%$ among those aged 44-49 years, aligning with previous reports [31, 32]. Age-related elevation in high blood pressure has been associated with arterial stiffness and reduced vessel elasticity due to arteriosclerotic structural changes and calcification [33]. Women with secondary level of educations were more likely to report high blood pressure compared to those with no education. However, those with higher education were less likely to have high blood pressure $2.4 \%$. Individuals with higher
levels of education often exhibit a greater awareness of health-related behaviors, including regular exercise, balanced diets, and stress management [34, 35].

The loss of a spouse through widowhood can have adverse effects on a woman's health, including an increased risk of high blood pressure as observed in our study. The emotional stress, social isolation, and potential lifestyle changes following the loss of a partner can contribute to higher blood pressure levels [36, 37]. Conversely, the association between being married and self-reported high blood pressure in our setting may be influenced by a significant number of participants, the majority of whom were married (44.3\%).

Furthermore, richest wealth status had a 5 -fold increased risk of high blood pressure among women. This is contrast with reports from previous studies [38, 39]. However, increased wealth might afford access to certain lifestyle choices that can negatively impact blood pressure, such as excessive alcohol consumption, high-calorie diets, or a lack of emphasis on regular exercise [40, 41].

A self-reported bad health status was linked to more than twice the increased risk of high blood pressure compared to individuals reporting very good health status. Poor health status leads to physiological changes impacting the cardiovascular system, heightening the risk of high blood pressure. Additionally, women who had visited a health facility in the past 12 months were more likely to report high blood pressure than those who had not. This may be attributed to the incomplete functioning of community-level screening, consequently resulting in a higher detection rate at the health facility level. These findings highlight the need for healthcare providers to implement community-level screening for high blood pressure.

Moreover, the decreased likelihood of high blood pressure among women who have given birth once or twice in the past 5 years aligns with the recommendation to wait at least 18 to 24 months after a live birth before attempting another pregnancy [42]. Multiple pregnancies with inadequate spacing may heighten the risk of gestational hypertension or preeclampsia due to physiological changes during pregnancy, including elevated blood volume and hormonal fluctuations. These factors can potentially predispose women to chronic high blood pressure later in life.

## Strength and Limitation

Our study has a few limitations. Firstly, the cross-sectional design restricts our ability to establish cause-and-effect relationships among the identified variables. Therefore, these findings should be interpreted cautiously. Additionally, reliance on self-reported high blood pressure might introduce recall bias, potentially leading to some degree of misreporting or overreporting of high blood pressure prevalence among women of reproductive age. However, the study's key strengths include its large sample size and national representativeness, suggesting that the sampled population may genuinely represent the Tanzanian population.

## Conclusion and Implication

The culmination of this study underscores several significant insights, shaping our understanding and potentially guiding interventions in this demographic. Firstly, the prevalence rates observed in this study reflect an alarming trend, highlighting the substantial burden of high blood pressure among women of reproductive age. The figures underscore the imperative need for heightened awareness, proactive screening measures, and targeted
interventions aimed at mitigating the risks associated with high blood pressure among women.

Moreover, the determinants identified within this research elucidate the complex interplay of various factors contributing to elevated blood pressure levels. Understanding these determinants provides a framework for developing tailored strategies encompassing lifestyle modifications, education initiatives, and improved healthcare accessibility.

Importantly, the implications drawn from this study reverberate across public health policies, clinical practice, and community-based interventions. Implementing targeted screening programs, fostering health-promoting behaviors, and enhancing healthcare access are pivotal in mitigating the burden of high blood pressure in this demographic. Additionally, an interdisciplinary approach encompassing healthcare providers, public health officials, policymakers, and community stakeholders is imperative to effectuate sustainable and impactful changes
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Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants ( $\mathrm{N}=15254$ )

| Variables | Categories | Total $\mathrm{N}=15254$ | Self-Reported High BP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Yes ( $\mathrm{n}=1014$ ) | No ( $\mathrm{n}=14240$ ) |
| Age (Years) | 15-19 | 3142 (20.6\%) | 41 (4.0\%) | 3101 (21.8\%) |
|  | 20-24 | 2710 (17.8\%) | 94 (9.3\%) | 2616 (18.4\%) |
|  | 25-29 | 2500 (16.4\%) | 146 (14.4\%) | 2354 (16.5\%) |
|  | 30-34 | 2041 (13.4\%) | 148 (14.6\%) | 1893 (13.3\%) |
|  | 35-39 | 1882 (12.3\%) | 175 (17.3\%) | 1707 (12.0\%) |
|  | 40-44 | 1550 (10.2\%) | 174 (17.2\%) | 1376 (9.7\%) |
|  | 45-49 | 1429 (9.4\%) | 236 (23.3\%) | 1193 (8.4\%) |
| Education level | No education | 2387 (15.6\%) | 106 (10.5\%) | 2281 (16.0\%) |
|  | Primary | 7413 (48.6\%) | 475 (46.8\%) | 6938 (48.7\%) |
|  | Secondary | 5235 (34.3\%) | 409 (40.3\%) | 4826 (33.9\%) |
|  | Higher | 219 (1.4\%) | 24 (2.4\%) | 195 (1.4\%) |
| Marital status | Never married | 4232 (27.7\%) | 121 (11.9\%) | 4111 (28.9\%) |
|  | Married | 6751 (44.3\%) | 624 (61.5\%) | 6127 (43.0\%) |
|  | Cohabiting | 2400 (15.7\%) | 100 (9.9\%) | 2300 (16.2\%) |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 1514 (9.9\%) | 132 (13.0\%) | 1382 (9.7\%) |
|  | Widowed | 357 (2.3\%) | 37 (3.6\%) | 320 (2.2\%) |
| Residence | Urban | 5441 (35.7\%) | 502 (49.5\%) | 4939 (34.7\%) |
|  | Rural | 9813 (64.3\%) | 512 (50.5\%) | 9301 (65.3\%) |


| Zone |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Western | 1127 (7.4\%) | 39 (3.8\%) | 1088 (7.6\%) |
|  | Northern | 1461 (9.6\%) | 103 (10.3\%) | 1358 (9.5\%) |
|  | Central | 1328 (8.7\%) | 37 (3.6\%) | 1291 (9.1\%) |
|  | Southern Highlands | 1209 (7.9\%) | 74 (7.3\%) | 1135 (8.0\%) |
|  | Southern | 794 (5.2\%) | 22 (2.2\%) | 772 (5.4\%) |
|  | South West Highlands | 1767 (11.6\%) | 51 (5.0\%) | 1716 (12.1\%) |
|  | Lake | 3148 (20.6\%) | 112 (11.0\%) | 3036 (21.3\%) |
|  | Eastern | 1852 (12.1\%) | 205 (20.2\%) | 1647(11.6\%) |
|  | Zanzibar | 2568 (16.8\%) | 371 (36.6\%) | 2197 (15.4\%) |
| Wealth status | Poorest | 2271 (14.9\%) | 51 (5.0\%) | 2220 (15.6\%) |
|  | Poorer | 2498 (16.4\%) | 77 (7.6\%) | 2421 (17.0\%) |
|  | Middle | 3063 (20.1\%) | 170 (16.8\%) | 2893 (20.3\%) |
|  | Richer | 3378 (22.1\%) | 241 (23.8\%) | 3137 (22.0\%) |
|  | Richest | 4044 (26.5\%) | 475 (46.8\%) | 3569 (25.1\%) |
| Smoke cigarette | Yes | 69 (0.5\%) | 5 (0.5\%) | 64 (0.4\%) |
|  | No | 15185 (99.5\%) | 1009 (99.5\%) | 14176 (99.6\%) |
| Currently working | Yes | 8923 (58.5\%) | 679 (67.0\%) | 8244 (57.9\%) |
|  | No | 6331 (41.5\%) | 335 (33.0\%) | 5996 (42.1\%) |
| Covered by health insurance | Yes | 868 (5.7\%) | 98 (9.7\%) | 770 (5.4\%) |
|  | No | 14386 (94.3\%) | 916 (90.3\%) | 13470 (94.6\%) |
| Self-Reported Health Status | Very good | 3105 (20.4\%) | 214 (21.1\%) | 2891 (20.3\%) |


|  | Good | $8042(52.7 \%)$ | $496(48.9 \%)$ | $7546(53.0 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Moderate | $3956(25.9 \%)$ | $278(27.4 \%)$ | $3678(25.8 \%)$ |
|  | Bad | $147(1.0 \%)$ | $25(2.5 \%)$ | $122(0.9 \%)$ |
|  | Very bad | $4(0.03 \%)$ | $1(0.1 \%)$ | $3(0.02 \%)$ |
| Visited HF in the Last 12 months | Yes |  |  |  |
|  | No | $8207(53.8 \%)$ | $661(65.2 \%)$ | $7546(53.0 \%)$ |
|  |  | $7047(46.2 \%)$ | $353(34.8 \%)$ | $6694(47.0 \%)$ |
| Total Children Ever Born | No Child | $4138(27.1 \%)$ | $121(11.9 \%)$ | $4017(28.2 \%)$ |
|  | 1 to 3 Children | $6300(41.3 \%)$ | $434(42.8 \%)$ | $5866(41.2 \%)$ |
|  | More than 3 Children | $4816(31.6 \%)$ | $459(45.3 \%)$ | $4357(30.6 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No Birth | $7573(49.6 \%)$ | $554(54.6 \%)$ | $7019(49.3 \%)$ |
|  | Once or Twice | $7324(48.0 \%)$ | $440(43.4 \%)$ | $6884(48.3 \%)$ |
|  | More than Twice | $357(2.3 \%)$ | $20(2.0 \%)$ | $337(2.4 \%)$ |

Table 2: Bivariate and multivariate analysis for factors associated with self-reported high blood pressure

| Variables | Categories | Self-Reported High BP |  | CrudeOR $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | Adjusted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\text { Yes } \mathrm{n}(\%)$ | $\text { No } n(\%)$ |  | P-value | OR (95\% CI) | P-value |
| Age (Years) | 15-19 | 41 (4.0\%) | 3101 (21.8\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
|  | $20-24$ | 94 (9.3\%) | 2616 (18.4\%) | 2.72 (1.88-3.94) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 2.45 (1.64-3.65) | p<0.001 |
|  | 25-29 | 146 (14.4\%) | 2354 (16.5\%) | 4.69 (3.30-6.66) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 3.77 (2.48-5.71) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | 30-34 | 148 (14.6\%) | 1893 (13.3\%) | 5.91 (4.17-8.40) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 4.60 (2.99-7.07) | p<0.001 |
|  | 35-39 | 175 (17.3\%) | 1707 (12.0\%) | 7.75 (5.49-10.95) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 6.26 (4.04-9.70) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | $40-44$ | $174 \text { (17.2\%) }$ | $1376 \text { (9.7\%) }$ | $9.56 \text { (6.77-13.52) }$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | $7.62 \text { (4.86-11.95) }$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | 45-49 | 236 (23.3\%) | 1193 (8.4\%) | 14.96 (10.67-20.99) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 11.78 (7.45-18.62) | p<0.001 |
| Education level | No education | 106 (10.5\%) | 2281 (16.0\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
|  | Primary | $475 \text { (46.8\%) }$ | $6938 \text { (48.7\%) }$ | $1.47 \text { (1.19-1.83) }$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | $1.17 \text { (0.93-1.48) }$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.175$ |
|  | Secondary | 409 (40.3\%) | 4826 (33.9\%) | 1.82 (1.47-2.27) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.76 (1.36-2.28) | p<0.001 |
|  | Higher | 24 (2.4\%) | 195 (1.4\%) | 2.65 (1.66-4.22) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.47 (0.87-2.47) | $\mathrm{p}=0.147$ |
| Marital status | Never married | 121 (11.9\%) | 4111 (28.9\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
|  | Married | $624 \text { (61.5\%) }$ | $6127 \text { (43.0\%) }$ | $3.46 \text { (2.84-4.22) }$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | $1.57 \text { (1.19-2.08) }$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.002$ |
|  | Cohabiting | 100 (9.9\%) | 2300 (16.2\%) | 1.48 (1.13-1.94) | $\mathrm{p}=0.005$ | 0.99 (0.71-1.38) | $\mathrm{p}=0.933$ |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 132 (13.0\%) | 1382 (9.7\%) | 3.93 (2.67-5.78) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.40 (0.89-2.18) | $\mathrm{p}=0.145$ |
|  | Widowed | 37 (3.6\%) | 320 (2.2\%) | 3.25 (2.52-4.18) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.54 (1.11-2.12) | $\mathrm{p}=0.009$ |
| Residence | Urban | 502 (49.5\%) | 4939 (34.7\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
|  | Rural | $512(50.5 \%)$ | 9301 (65.3\%) | 0.54 (0.48-0.62) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.02 (0.86-1.20) | $\mathrm{p}=0.853$ |
| Wealth status | Poorest | 51 (5.0\%) | 2220 (15.6\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | - |  |
|  | Poorer | 77 (7.6\%) | 2421 (17.0\%) | 1.38 (0.97-1.98) | $\mathrm{p}=0.075$ |  | - |


|  | Middle | 170 (16.8\%) | 2893 (20.3\%) | 2.56 (1.86-3.52) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 2.28 (1.64-3.16) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Richer | 241 (23.8\%) | 3137 (22.0\%) | 3.34 (2.46-4.55) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 2.98 (2.14-4.15) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | Richest | 475 (46.8\%) | 3569 (25.1\%) | 5.79 (4.32-7.77) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 5.06 (3.58-7.15) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| Smoke cigarette | Yes | 5 (0.5\%) | 64 (0.4\%) | 1.09 (0.44-2.73) | $\mathrm{p}=0.841$ | - | - |
|  | No | 1009 (99.5\%) | 14176 (99.6\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | - |  |
| Currently working | Yes | 679 (67.0\%) | 8244 (57.9\%) | 1.47 (1.29-1.69) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 0.88 (0.76-1.02) | $\mathrm{p}=0.098$ |
|  | No | 335 (33.0\%) | 5996 (42.1\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
| Covered by health insurance | Yes | 98 (9.7\%) | 770 (5.4\%) | 1.87 (1.50-2.33) | p<0.001 | 0.91 (0.71-1.16) | $\mathrm{p}=0.437$ |
|  | No | 916 (90.3\%) | 13470 (94.6\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
| Self-Reported Health Status | Very good | 214 (21.1\%) | 2891 (20.3\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
|  | Good | 496 (48.9\%) | 7546 (53.0\%) | 0.89 (0.75-1.05) | $\mathrm{p}=0.160$ | - | - |
|  | Moderate | 278 (27.4\%) | 3678 (25.8\%) | 1.01 (0.85-1.23) | $\mathrm{p}=0.825$ | - | - |
|  | Bad | 25 (2.5\%) | 122 (0.9\%) | 2.77 (1.76-4.35) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 2.87 (1.76-4.67) | p < 0.001 |
|  | Very bad | 1 (0.1\%) | 3 (0.02\%) | 4.50 (0.47-43.48) | $\mathrm{p}=0.193$ | - | - |
| Visited HF in the Last 12 months | Yes | 661 (65.2\%) | 7546 (53.0\%) | 1.66 (1.45-1.90) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.38 (1.20-1.60) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | No | 353 (34.8\%) | 6694 (47.0\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
| Total Children Ever Born | No Child | 121 (11.9\%) | 4017 (28.2\%) | 1 (Reference) |  | 1 (Reference) |  |
|  | 1 to 3 Children | 434 (42.8\%) | 5866 (41.2\%) | 2.46 (2.00-3.02) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.13 (0.84-1.54) | $\mathrm{p}=0.421$ |
|  | >3 Children | 459 (45.3\%) | 4357 (30.6\%) | 3.50 (2.85-4.29) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ | 1.32 (0.93-1.88) | $\mathrm{p}=0.119$ |

Birth in Last 5
No Birth
Once or Twice
More than Twice

| $554(54.6 \%)$ | $7019(49.3 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $440(43.4 \%)$ | $6884(48.3 \%$ |

1 (Reference)
$440(43.4 \%) \quad 6884(48.3 \%) \quad 0.81(0.71-0.92) \quad \mathrm{p}=0.001$
0.80 (0.67-0.96)
$20(2.0 \%) \quad 337(2.4 \%) \quad 0.75(0.48-1.19) \quad \mathrm{p}=0.224$

