

'The downhill race for a Rainbow jersey.'

The Epidemiology of Injuries in Downhill Mountain Biking at the 2023 UCI Cycling World Championships using the International Olympic Committee Consensus: A Prospective Cohort Study

Authors: Thomas Fallon 1,2; Dr Debbie Palmer 2,3, Prof Xavier Bigard 4; Dr Niall Elliott 2,5; Dr Emma Lunan 5; Dr Neil Heron 1,6

Affiliations:

1 Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland.

2 Edinburgh Sports Medicine Research Network & UK Collaborating Centre on Injury and Illness Prevention in Sport (UKCCIIS), Institute for Sport, PE and Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh.

3 Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre, University of Calgary

4 Union Cycliste Internationale, Aigle, Switzerland.

5 Scottish Institute of Sport, Stirling, FK9 5PH.

6 School of Medicine, Keele University, Staffordshire, England

Keywords: injury; surveillance; prospective; cycling; protocol

Corresponding author: tfallon02@qub.ac.uk.

Summary Box

| |
|---|
| What is already known |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Downhill Mountain Biking (DHMTB) is one of the more spectacular subdisciplines of mountain bike cycling and has been shown to have high injury prevalence.• There is a lack of methodological homogeneity amongst the prospective injury surveillance studies conducted within DHMTB and across competitive cycling.• No Study has currently reported injury incidence within elite DHMTB as per the International Olympic Committee (IOC) cycling extension recommendations. |
| What this study adds |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Within DHMTB injury incident rates were higher in training (6.4/100rides) compared to racing (2.3/100rides).• Overall Injury incident rate was significantly higher in females (5.1/100rides) compared to males (2.3/100rides).• Female athletes have a 2.89 higher risk of Injury compared to Male DHMTB athletes.• Female athletes have significantly higher risk of head/neck (RR 9.5) injuries and concussion (RR 6.34) compared to their male counterparts. |
| How this study might affect research, practice, or policy |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The IOC Cycling Extension should acknowledge that when reporting injuries per |

100 rides, the number of rides completed prior to injury should be collected to improve reporting accuracy.

- Female athletes may benefit from an extra official training ride to ease pressures during course familiarisation and reduce racing injury incidence.
- Female athletes may benefit from neck strengthening and resistance training to reduce the number of head and neck injuries.

Abstract:

Introduction

Downhill Mountain Biking (DHMTB) is one of the more spectacular sub-disciplines of mountain bike (MTB) cycling. The primary aim of our study was to prospectively document the injury rate, severity, aetiology, location and type during official training and racing by elite DHMTB riders during the 2023 UCI Cycling World Championships.

Methods

The participants of this prospective, observational study were elite male and female cyclists competing at the UCI DHMTB World Championships located in the Nevis range in Fort William, Scotland, in 2023. This study followed the injury reporting guidelines established by the International Olympic Committee (IOC), which include the STROBE-SIIS and the cycling-specific extension.

Results

Throughout the championships, 10.4% of riders sustained one injury, with 4.3% of riders injuring more than one location per injury event. The overall injury incidence was 3.3 injuries per 100 rides. The incidence rates were higher in the training group (6.4/100rides) than in the race group (2.3/100rides). There was a greater incidence of injury in females in the training 5.7/100 rides and racing 4.4/100rides compared to male riders. Female athletes experienced more severe injuries, with double the estimated time lost to injury. Additionally, female athletes were found to have a significantly greater risk of head injuries and concussions than males.

Conclusion

Overall, injuries are more prevalent in training than in competition. Compared with male DHMTB athletes, female DHMTB athletes are more at risk of injury and show a greater incidence of injury within official training and competition as well as more severe injuries.

Introduction

The sport of cycling consists of several individual sporting disciplines. The world governing body for cycling, Union Cycliste Internationale (UCI), oversees the cycling disciplines of road cycling, cyclocross, mountain bike (MTB), trail, gravel, BMX freestyle, BMX racing, track, e-sport, para-cycling and indoor. MTB as a discipline has grown exponentially since its founding in 1973 in California to the first world championship in Colorado in 1990 and the Olympics in Atlanta in 1996.[1] Downhill Mountain Biking (DHMTB), one of the more spectacular subdisciplines of MTB cycling, is where riders navigate high-speed, steep, technical descents on rugged trails, aiming for the fastest time to complete the course.

The performance characteristics of DHMTB include rider skill, handgrip endurance, self-confidence, and aerobic capacity.[2] The 2023 UCI Cycling World Championships in Fort William, Scotland, witnessed elite downhill mountain bikers converging to test their skills and mettle on a technically demanding course. Among all levels of competition, the spectre of injuries looms as riders push physical and mental extremes for world champion status, recognised by the awarding of the 'rainbow jersey' by the UCI. [3–5]

Across the sport of cycling, injury incidence rates vary by discipline, rider level and study methodology. Numerous studies have examined injury incidences within MTB and have focused on the subdisciplines of MTB. Studies have examined DHMTB,[6–9] cross-country [3, 4, 10–14], enduro [15], MTB stage racing,[16] and MTB park riding.[17] Methodologically, these studies differ from prospective and retrospective studies in terms of design and injury reporting methods. [1, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14–21]

Epidemiological research in DHMTB has shown a unique injury profile characterised by diverse injury types, mechanisms, and anatomical locations.[3, 6] Historically, studies reporting injuries within competitive cycling disciplines lacked methodological guidance and standardised reporting until the publication of the IOC consensus statement extension for competitive cycling in 2021.[22, 23] The IOC consensus statement recommends that DHMTB injuries should be reported per 100 rides, which has not been completed in any DHMTB studies to date.[3, 4, 6] Throughout the sport, cycling lacks high-quality and comparable prospective injury and illness studies across all disciplines. [3, 22] Such research is fundamental in increasing our understanding of risk profiles and possible preventative measures.

The primary aim of our study is therefore to prospectively document the spectrum of injuries incurred by elite DHMTB riders during the 2023 UCI Cycling World Championships in Glasgow, Scotland. This study will extend our knowledge through the comprehensive analysis of injury-related aspects, encompassing characteristics, prevalence, severity, mechanisms, anatomical sites, and the diverse array of factors influencing injury occurrence.

Methods

The participants of this prospective, observational study were elite male and female cyclists competing at the UCI DHMTB World Championships, located in the Nevis range in Fort

William, Scotland, between the 1st and 5th of August 2023. This study followed the injury reporting guidelines established by the International Olympic Committee (IOC), which include the STROBE-SIIS and the cycling-specific extension.[22] Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Medical Faculty at Queen University Belfast, Northern Ireland (Faculty REC Reference Number MHLS 23_97).

Implementation

The event medical team received information about the study's objectives, methodology, and inclusion criteria. All medical personnel were advised to document all injuries that occurred during training and racing from the 1st to the 5th of August at the Championship with the Injury Reporting form (**Supplementary File 1**). The questionnaire was developed from the consensus on reporting and recording injuries in cycling [22] with injury diagnosis classified by the Orchard Sports Injury Classification System (OSICS) version 10, [24, 25]. The event medical teams were required to complete all injury forms daily and return them to the chief medical officer (CMO) for the event on the same day. The data was screened for duplicates daily, and any incomplete or unclear data was queried (by NH) with the named person completing the form to allow full data capture for the study period. All the cyclists were notified of the study by the event medical staff caring for them and advised that if they objected to their anonymised medical information being shared, they were free to do this, and their medical information would not be shared with the researchers.

Data collection, inclusion, and exclusion criteria

The questionnaire (**Supplementary File 1**) was created using a Qualtrics survey-based application by DP with input from NH. All athletes who experienced an injury at the World Championships and sought medical attention were eligible for the study. The inclusion criteria included male or female cyclists in junior and elite competition with no age restriction who competed at the World Championships.

Injury incidence was calculated per 100 rides in training and competition (formula 1) and per 1000 hours in competition (formula 2). As per the UCI rules 4.3.022, "*Riders must complete at least two training runs or they will be disqualified from the race.*[26] *The start commissaire must ensure that this rule is applied.*" Therefore, it was assumed for the calculation of injury incidence rates that each rider completed 4 rides. These are comprised of 2 x official training, a qualification round, and a final round. Total competition exposure time (hrs) was calculated based on the finishing time of each rider in both the qualification and final rounds across all levels obtained from official results.[27]

All data was processed on a Macintosh computer using Microsoft Office and SPSS (V.28). The methods applied included frequencies (%), crosstabs and descriptive statistics. The relative risk (RR) was calculated using formula 3 and is presented with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All the cyclists were analysed together, and the different cycling demographics (gender, level, injury severity, and training vs. racing) were analysed

separately to allow for the comparison of injury data between the disciplines. All the statistical tests were two-sided, and results with $p < 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

Formula 1.0

$$\text{Injuries per 100 rides} = \left(\frac{(\text{Injury Event})}{(\text{Total Number of Rides})} \right) \times 100$$

Formula 2.0

$$\text{Injuries per 1000 hours Competition} = \left(\frac{(\text{Number of Injuries})}{(\text{Total Hours Exposure})} \right) \times 1000$$

Formula 3.0

$$\text{Risk Ratio} = \left(\frac{\frac{(\text{Injuries in the Exposed group})}{\text{Total injuries}}}{\frac{(\text{Injuries in Unexposed OR comparator Group})}{\text{Total injuries}}} \right)$$

Results:

A total of 230 riders (152 male; 78 female) competed in the 2023 elite DHMTB world championships. A breakdown of the rider demographics is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Event Rider Demographics

| Category | Number of Riders |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Men Elite | 91 |
| Men Junior | 61 |
| Women Elite | 43 |
| Women Junior | 35 |
| Nations | |
| Europe | 22 |
| Oceania | 2 |
| Asia | 1 |
| America | 8 |
| Africa | 1 |

Overall, 10.4% (n=34) of all riders sustained at least one injury during the 2023 UCI DHMTB World Championships. Of these, 4.3% of riders injured more than one body location on one ride, with 70% of these injuries occurring in female riders. During the world championships, 59% of the injuries occurred in female athletes, and 65% of all injuries occurred in non-

professional riders. However, there was no significant difference between the number of years of racing and injury locations ($p=0.128$).

Table 2: Percentage of injuries (and total number in brackets) reported within training and competition, rider level and sex.

| Injuries | Male (%) | Female (%) |
|----------------------|----------|------------|
| In Competition | 21 (3) | 55 (11) |
| In Official Training | 79 (11) | 45 (9) |
| Level of cyclist | | |
| Non-Professional | 57 (8) | 70 (14) |
| Professional | 43 (6) | 30 (6) |

The overall event injury incidence rate was 3.3 injuries per 100 rides. During training, there were 4.3 injuries per 100 rides, and in competition, there were 2.2 injuries per 100 rides (**Table 3**). Expressed relative to the number of competition hours, the injury incidence was 7.6/1000 hrs (**Table 3**). The average estimated time lost due to injury was 5.5 (± 1.6) days for male riders, for a maximum of 14 days. Female athletes lost more than double the estimated time due to injury, with an average of 12.6 (± 14) days and a maximum of 42 days. There was no significant difference between the level of athlete ($p=0.239$) or sex ($p=0.445$) and the time lost to injury (**Table 2,4**).

Table 3: Injury incidence rates and severity (days)

| | Inj/100 Rides (95%CI) | Injury Severity (95%CI) | Inj/1000 Hours (95%CI) |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Training Overall | 4.3 (4.0 – 4.6) | 6.3 (3.13-9.47) | - |
| Racing Overall | 2.2 (2.1 – 2.3) | 14.5 (4.8- 24.2) | 7.6 (7.3- 7.9) |
| Male Training | 3.6 (3.3 – 3.9) | - | - |
| Male Racing | 0.94 (0.12-1.2) | - | 1.13 (1.1- 1.2) |
| Female Training | 5.8 (5.0 -6.6) | - | - |
| Female Racing | 4.5 (3.9- 4.9) | - | 14.2 (13.2- 15.2) |
| Female overall | 5.1 (4.6 - 5.6) | 12.60 (5.66-19.54) | - |
| Male Overall | 2.3 (2.2 - 2.4) | 5.50 (1.89 – 9.11) | - |
| Overall | 3.3 (3.1- 3.5) | 9.68 (5.34-14.01) | - |

Compared with male athletes, female athletes were at significantly greater risk of overall injury (RR 2.21, 95% CI 1.5-5.4). Female athletes were found to have a significantly greater number of head injuries (RR of 9.5, 95% CI 2.15-41.9 $p=0.002$) and incidence of concussion (RR of 6.34, 95% CI 1.34-29.84, $P=0.01$) when compared to males. Also, those diagnosed with concussion had a greater prevalence of headache/neck pain (**Figure 1**). All bone fractures were confirmed in non-professional female athletes. Female athletes presented a greater number and prevalence of observable concussive signs (**Figure 1**).

Table 4: Percentage of Injuries by location, injury type and incident rate (with the number in parentheses)

| Injured location | Male (n) | Female (n) | Injuries Per 100 comp Rides (n) | Injuries Per 100 training Rides (n) |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Abdomen (incl. Abdominal organs) | 7(1) | 10 (2) | 0.2 (1) | 0.4 (2) |
| Ankle | 7(1) | 5 (1) | 0.4 (2) | 0 |
| Chest (incl. Chest organs) | 7(1) | 5 (1) | 0.2 (1) | 0.2 (1) |
| Hand | 7(1) | 0 | 0.2 (1) | 0 |
| Wrist | 7(1) | 0 | 0 | 0.2 (1) |
| Forearm | 29 (4) | 0 | 0.2 (1) | 0.6 (3) |
| Shoulder | 21 (3) | 5 (1) | 0.4 (2) | 0.4 (2) |
| Head | 14 (2) | 55(11) | 0.4 (2) | 2.4 (11) |
| Elbow | 0 | 20 (2) | 0.4 (2) | 0 |
| Groin | 0 | 10 (2) | 0.2 (1) | 0.2 (1) |
| Injury type | | | | |
| Joint sprain/ligament tear | 21 (3) | 5 (1) | 0.4 (2) | 0.4 (2) |
| Concussion | 14 (2) | 35 (7) | 0.7 (3) | 1.3 (6) |
| Muscle contusion | 14 (2) | 5 (1) | 0.2 (1) | 0.4 (2) |
| Abrasion | 14 (2) | | 0.2 (1) | 0.2 (1) |
| Muscle strain/rupture/tear | 14 (2) | 5 (1) | 0.2 (1) | 0.4 (2) |
| Contusion/bruise (superficial) | 7(1) | 15 (3) | 0.4 (2) | 0.4 (2) |
| Internal organ trauma | 7(1) | 5 (1) | 0.2 (1) | 0.2 (1) |
| Laceration | 7(1) | 5 (1) | 0.2 (1) | 0.2 (1) |
| Bone fracture | 0 | 15 (3) | 0.6 (3) | 0 |
| Unknown, or not specified | 0 | 10 (2) | 0.2 (1) | 0.2 (1) |

Among male riders, 50% (n=7) of injuries led to no time off. Among female athletes, 40% (n=8) of the injuries that required medical attention led to no time off. The mechanism of injury and removal from the racing status are presented in **Table 5**.

Table 5: Percentage of injuries by mode of onset, injury mechanism, and injury burden (number of injuries)

| Cycle specific injury mechanism | Male (n) | Female (n) |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Collided with an inanimate object related to competition | 7.1 (1) | 5 (1) |
| Collided with the ground only | 92.8 (13) | 95 (19) |
| Injury mechanism | | |
| Direct contact with an object (e.g. Ball, wall, ground, i.e., Slipped and fell) | 100 (14) | 100 (20) |
| Unable to finish and needed to be removed from field of | | |

| play | | |
|------------------------|--------|---------|
| No | 64 (9) | 45 (9) |
| Yes, removed from play | 35 (5) | 55 (11) |

Discussion

This was the first study within DHMTB to apply the IOC consensus statement extension for the reporting of injury and illness in competitive cycling. [22] The study aims were to describe and compare the injury rate, severity, aetiology, location, and type of injury during official training and competition at the 2023 DHMTB UCI World Championships at the Nevis range in Fort William, Scotland. The main findings were as follows:

- 1) Throughout the championship, 10.4% of riders sustained at least one injury, with 4.3% of riders injuring more than one body location per incident;
- 2) The overall injury incidence rate was 3.3 injuries/100 rides;
- 3) Injury incidence rates were greater during training (4.3/100ride) than during competition (2.2/100ride);
- 4) The injury incidence rate was significantly greater in females.
- 5) Females had a 6.3-fold greater risk of concussion injury and a 9.5-fold greater risk of head/neck injuries than males did.

Injury Rates:

To date, few prospective studies have examined injuries within DHMTB.[3, 4, 6] Our study revealed a relatively low incidence of injury across the World Championship DHMTB compared to that reported in previous DHMTB studies [3, 4], those reported in the DHMTB World Cup series (80%) [6], cross-country MTB events[16] (71%) and MTB at the London (16%) and Rio (24%) Olympic games.[11, 12] However, the 10.4% overall incidence of injury observed was higher than the 8.4% observed in enduro cycling and 7% in MTB at the 2021 Tokyo Olympics [10, 15]. Consideration must be given to the similarities and differences in risks and demands between MTB disciplines when comparing injury proportions.

One of the unique strengths but also challenges of this study is the expression of injury incidence rates. The IOC consensus cycling extension recommends that injury incidence rates be expressed per 100 rides in DHMTB, in addition to reporting incidences per 1000 hrs of exposure within competition.[1, 3, 4, 6, 16] In training, injury incidence rates of 1.08/1000 hrs within DHMTB world cups [6] and 3.6/1000 hrs in enduro cycling [15] have been observed. Athletes included in these studies would have encountered similar timed downhill sections as athletes in this study. Our findings of injury incidents in racing are lower than those noted in previous DHMTB studies of 16.8/1000 hrs [3] and 43/1000 hrs [4] and within enduro of 38.3/1000 hrs [15]. Limited comparisons can be made between injury incidence in training or racing and previous DHMTB studies because they were not differentiated [3] or

included [4] within previous surveillance captures. However, the overall injury incidence trend differs from that in field-based sports, such as amateurs [28], premiership [29] rugby and football [30], where injury incidences are greater in competitions than in training.

Injury incidence rates can be influenced by many factors. Like enduro cycling, DHMTB requires technical skill, speed, concentration, reaction, aerobic capacity, and strength.[2] Thus, to win at the elite level, athletes are pushed to the limit physically and mentally. The level of performance (recreational vs elite, regional vs national, national vs international), duration of the course, and technical nature of the course influence the challenge of different skill profiles and influence injury incidence.[3, 4, 6] Furthermore, the methodology of the study will influence incident rates, i.e., retrospective vs prospective reporting,[3, 6, 15, 31] or self-reported vs physician-diagnosed [1, 3, 15, 17], which could increase the risk of bias.[32, 33] Few studies have followed the IOC consensus [22, 23] when reporting injuries and illness in MTB [3, 11–13, 15, 19], with this being the first study to do so within elite DHMTB. [21] Our study supports the need for further surveillance research that follows the IOC consensus recommendations within cycling.[22]

Influence of Sex on Injuries

This is the first study to present differences in injuries between sexes among elite DHMTB athletes. Overall, female athletes exhibited significantly greater incidence rates than males did, with an RR of 2.89 (95% CI 1.5-5.4). Despite the higher incidence of injury observed in competition, female athletes' injury incidence rates (4.5/100 rides (14.2/1000 hrs) and injury RR (2.0, 95% CI 0.64-6.23) did not significantly differ from those of males (0.94/100rides (1.3/1000 hrs)). These findings are similar to those of previous MTB studies [15] and injury incidence rates in team-based sports.[34] Female athlete participation has grown in the past decade, and studies within DHMTB date back to 1996[4]; more recent studies in DHMTB did not include sex-specific analysis,[3, 6] potentially limited by the competitive sample of female athletes. A limitation when presenting DHMTB injury incidence per 100/rides is that both male and female riders compete on the same course. Male riders complete the course quicker than their female counterparts do; therefore, the duration of risk exposure for females is greater than that for males, which is not represented per 100 rides. When comparing the injuries in racing per 1000 hrs, our overall injury incidence rates (7.6/1000 hrs) are lower than those seen in previous studies in the DHMTB[4, 35] and enduro[15]; however, they are similar to those seen within elite competition road cycling studies.[36, 37] The greater injury trends among female athletes observed in this study are similar to those observed in prospective cycling studies of pro-enduro athletes [15]. However, these sports differ from team-based sports in that there is no difference between male and female injury incidence.[38] Our findings raise the question of whether additional work needs to be done to protect female DHMTB cyclists. Examples of injury prevention could include rule changes, for example, adding an extra official practice

ride in training or introducing injury prevention programs, such as similar programs to the FIFA 11+ or Gaelic Athletic Association 15, particularly in terms of neck strengthening and concussion prevention.[39–41]

Injury regions and injury types

Our findings highlight the spectrum and prevalence of injuries among elite male and female DHMTB cyclists. Among male DHMTB riders, joint sprains and ligament tears were the most common, with 21% of injured males reporting such injuries. These injury regions are like previous cycling studies in the DHMTB, enduro MTB and cross-country MTB showing that joint and ligament injuries have a high prevalence within males.[3, 4, 10–12, 15, 35] The high prevalence of forearm (29%) and shoulder (21%) injuries observed in male DHMTB may be explained by protective fall behaviour displayed by male athletes. Sport-specific steps simulating injury prevention behaviour in training may aid coaches and medical professionals in educating athletes on fall techniques to reduce the injury severity from falls in the DHMTB.[42]

Bone health in cyclists has been a key topic of discussion throughout the past decade.[43] However, inconsistent data has shown a relatively high prevalence of low bone mineral density among cyclists, with an increased prevalence seen in elite road cyclists.[44–46] Arguably, elite road cyclists are more at risk of low bone mineral density due to a greater risk of relative energy deficiency (REDs) than DHMTB cyclists, which is more explosive.[47] Early-career female cyclists had lower bone mineral density compared to their male counterparts, which may influence fracture risk with acute injury.[48] All fractures observed in this study occurred in non-professional-level female athletes. Many factors, such as physical, and technical capabilities, may explain the greater fracture injury risk noted among amateur DHMTB cyclists than among their professional counterparts. Additionally, the small sample size contained within this study will impact the strength of the associations observed.

Concussion accounted for 24.5% of all injuries, with a 35% prevalence among female DHMTB athletes. The overall incidence of concussion observed in the DHMTB cohort was similar to that observed in previous DHMTB studies (25% [35] and 23.6%, respectively) [19]. However, the reported incidences of concussion vary significantly within MTB cycling, with an incidence as low as 5% being reported; this incidence is arguably linked to diagnostic challenges and variability in course styles and competition demands across MTB disciplines.[5, 35, 49] Some authors have reported that female athletes are more susceptible to concussion and have more prolonged symptoms after concussion.[50] Among female cyclists, 3.7/1000 hours had a concussion incidence rate within the race, similar to the overall 3.9/1000 hours seen in enduro racing.[15] Our injury incidence rates among female cyclists are similar to those seen in female footballers (3.5/1000 hrs)[51] and slightly

greater than those seen in female rugby 15 players (2.8/1000 hrs).[52] However, our findings are much lower than those observed for the rugby 7 s (8.9/1000 hrs) and rugby league (10.3/1000 hrs) plants.[52]

The most reported concussion symptoms were headache and neck pain, with visual problems/amnesia and disorientation commonly reported among both male and female riders. These symptoms are included in the recently published SCAT-6 symptom checklist [53] and the UCI concussion recognition pocket tools [54]. There have been recent calls for action around the diagnosis of concussion within DHMTB.[49] Our findings highlight a lower incidence of concussion injury (n=3) in racing compared to training (n=6), arguably linked with the diagnostic challenges of making this diagnosis.[49] Our findings raise the question of whether there is a link between neck strength and concussion in individual sports.[55] Compared with male DHMTB athletes, female DHMTB athletes were found to have a significantly greater RR of neck injury. There is a weak relationship between neck strength and concussions in team-based sports, and research has shown that female athletes have 47% less neck strength than males.[50, 55, 56] Like male DHMTB cyclists, female DHMTB cyclists use similar-weight helmets, and their reported risk of crashing is almost double the amount of neck pain/headache. During crashing, the differences in neck strength between female athletes and helmet weight may add to the peak linear acceleration and rotational shear, leading to whiplash injury (neck pain) and potentially a coup contra coup mechanism leading to concussive symptoms.[57] Although no studies have been completed to date in cycling, sports such as ice hockey have linked helmet geometry and injury mechanisms to play a role in concussion.[58] This requires further exploration within DHMTB.

Implications for Injury Prevention within Cycling and DHMTB?

The epidemiological insights presented within this study will provide a foundation for the discussion and subsequent development of evidence-based injury prevention and management strategies within the DHMTB. As this was the first study to apply the IOC cycling extension to injury, it has raised points of improvement around the consensus application in cycling. When reporting injuries per 100 rides or 100/rounds, the round in which the injury occurred should be included to improve the accuracy of the data. This point should also apply to some events in BMX and track cycling sprint events. Additionally, within the DHMTB, our study showed that the incidence of injury during practice was greater than that during racing in both males and females. As per UCI rule 4.3.022, there is a prerequisite requirement to officially ride 2 practice rounds.[26] For male riders, this is satisfactory given the low incidence of injury observed within racing. However, for female athletes, should there be a protected time window for an additional practice round or mandatory review of the course video analysis, which may reduce the number of crashes/injuries seen in racing? With the high prevalence of neck/head injuries, including concussions, noted in female DHMTB athletes, the inclusion of neck strengthening exercises may have a positive impact

on reducing soft tissue injury risk as well as reducing concussion risk. Last, the high incidence (15%) and RR (13.5) of bone fractures noted among female athletes raise the importance of encouraging resistance-based exercise and screening for risk factors for low BMD in cyclists. These risk factors include low body mass index, fracture incidence, smoking, lack of bone-specific physical activity, and low energy availability. [48]

Limitations and Future Considerations

Given that this study was descriptive and included small sample size, the associations noted cannot be assumed to be causative factors for injury. Second, as this was a “within competition surveillance study”, the methodology of this study was biased toward acute injuries; thus, the representation of illnesses and overuse injuries will likely be underreported. Last, in contrast to racing, determining rider training exposure (hours) directly was not feasible. Therefore, a composite indirect measure using the number of rides in line with the rules was used.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to prospectively examine injuries within the UCI DHMTB World Championships and report these injuries in line with the cycling extension of the IOC consensus statement. This study provides insights into the injury trends that athletes are exposed to at world championships and can be used to inform injury prevention programs and basis to recommend rule changes in the future. Compared with male DHMTB athletes, female DHMTB athletes are significantly more at risk of injury than their male counterparts are at risk of injury, and they show a greater incidence of injury within official training and competition, particularly in terms of greater risk of head injury and concussions. This study further highlights that injury surveillance can be performed with little burden on event organisers and medical staff and calls for the UCI to endorse similar projects at major competitions. This approach will facilitate the development of our knowledge of the prevalence of injuries within various cycling disciplines.

X (Twitter): @TFallon_Physio, @UKCCIIS, @neilsportsdoc,

Contributors

The authors would like to thank the Nevis Range medical team for their help with the study in completing the injury reporting forms. Additionally, we thank the UCI and Glasgow 2023 World Championship medical team for endorsing the study.

Funding:

TF is funded by the Department for Education (DfE). No other funding was received for this study.

Competing interests: None declared.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s):

Figure 1. Concussion Symptom prevalence between Female and Male athletes.

Sup File 1: Appendix 1: Survey Log.

Sup File 2: Appendix 2: STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*.

Sup File 3: Appendix 3: CHAMP: Checklist for statistical Assessment of Medical Papers

EDI Statement

Our authorship team included two women and four men, with a mix of senior and early-career research experience. Additionally, the team cover a variety of disciplines (musculoskeletal physiotherapy, General Practice, sport and exercise medicine, and epidemiology) with specialist knowledge, clinical experience, and interest in cycling medicine.

References

- [1] Becker J, Moroder P. Extreme Mountain Biking Injuries. In: *Extreme Sports Medicine*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 139–150.
- [2] Chidley JB, MacGregor AL, Martin C, et al. Characteristics Explaining Performance in Downhill Mountain Biking. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2015; 10: 183–190.
- [3] Becker J, Runer A, Neunhäuserer D, et al. A prospective study of downhill mountain biking injuries. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 458–62.
- [4] Kronisch RL, Pfeiffer RP, Chow TK. Acute injuries in cross-country and downhill off-road bicycle racing. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996; 28: 1351–5.
- [5] Buchholtz K, Lambert M, Corten L, et al. Incidence of Injuries, Illness and Related Risk Factors in Cross-Country Marathon Mountain Biking Events: A Systematic Search and Review. *Sports Med Open* 2021; 7: 68.
- [6] Himmelreich H, Pralle H, Vogt L, et al. [Mountainbike injuries in world-cup and recreational athletes]. *Sportverletz Sportschaden* 2007; 21: 180–4.
- [7] Becker J, Runer A, Neunhäuserer D, et al. A prospective study of downhill mountain biking injuries. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 458–462.
- [8] Kronisch RL, Pfeiffer RP, Chow TK. Acute injuries in cross-country and downhill off-road bicycle racing. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996; 28: 1351–1355.
- [9] Saragaglia D, Favarel G, Banihachemi JJ. Downhill mountain biking traumatology: Prospective epidemiological study of 138 cases totaling 178 lesions. *Journal de Traumatologie du Sport* 2020; 37: 139–144.
- [10] Soligard T, Palmer D, Steffen K, et al. New sports, COVID-19 and the heat: sports injuries and illnesses in the Tokyo 2020 Summer Olympics. *Br J Sports Med*. Epub ahead of print 13 December 2022. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-106155.
- [11] Engebretsen L, Soligard T, Steffen K, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the London Summer Olympic Games 2012. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 407–414.
- [12] Soligard T, Steffen K, Palmer D, et al. Sports injury and illness incidence in the Rio de Janeiro 2016 Olympic Summer Games: A prospective study of 11274 athletes from 207 countries. *Br J Sports Med* 2017; 51: 1265–1271.
- [13] Engebretsen L, Soligard T, Steffen K, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the London Summer Olympic Games 2012. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 407–14.
- [14] Arnold MP. [Mountain biking. Cool way to enjoy nature with side effects]. *Orthopade* 2005; 34: 405–10.
- [15] Palmer D, Florida-James G, Ball C. Enduro World Series (EWS) Mountain Biking Injuries: A 2-year Prospective Study of 2010 Riders. *Int J Sports Med* 2021; 42: 1012–1018.

- [16] Stoop R, Hohenauer E, Vetsch T, et al. Acute Injuries in Male Elite and Amateur Mountain Bikers: Results of a Survey. *J Sports Sci Med* 2019; 18: 207–212.
- [17] Gaulrapp H, Weber A, Rosemeyer B. Injuries in mountain biking. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2001; 9: 48–53.
- [18] Ashwell Z, McKay MP, Brubacher JR, et al. The epidemiology of mountain bike park injuries at the Whistler Bike Park, British Columbia (BC), Canada. *Wilderness Environ Med* 2012; 23: 140–5.
- [19] Willick SE, Cushman DM, Klatt J, et al. The NICA injury surveillance system: Design, methodology and preliminary data of a prospective, longitudinal study of injuries in youth cross country mountain bike racing. *J Sci Med Sport* 2021; 24: 1032–1037.
- [20] Willick SE, Webbhorn N, Emery C, et al. The epidemiology of injuries at the London 2012 Paralympic Games. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 426–32.
- [21] Taylor N, Ranse J. Epidemiology of injuries at the Australian 24 hour mountain bike championships. *Australasian Journal of Paramedicine*; 10. Epub ahead of print 4 February 2013. DOI: 10.33151/ajp.10.1.46.
- [22] Clarsen B, Pluim BM, Moreno-Pérez V, et al. Methods for epidemiological studies in competitive cycling: an extension of the IOC consensus statement on methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport 2020. *Br J Sports Med* 2021; 55: 1262–1269.
- [23] Bahr R, Clarsen B, Derman W, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement: methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport 2020 (including STROBE Extension for Sport Injury and Illness Surveillance (STROBE-SIIS)). *Br J Sports Med* 2020; 54: 372–389.
- [24] Orchard JW, Meeuwisse W, Derman W, et al. Sport Medicine Diagnostic Coding System (SMDCS) and the Orchard Sports Injury and Illness Classification System (OSIICS): revised 2020 consensus versions. *Br J Sports Med* 2020; 54: 397–401.
- [25] Correction: Sport Medicine Diagnostic Coding System (SMDCS) and the Orchard Sports Injury and Illness Classification System (OSIICS): revised 2020 consensus versions. *Br J Sports Med* 2021; 55: e1.
- [26] Union Cycliste Internationale. UCI Technical Guide PART IV – MOUNTAIN BIKE. 2023 2023; 11.
- [27] Tissot Timing. 2023 UCI CYCLING WORLD CHAMPIONSHIPS - MOUNTAIN BIKE DOWNHILL, <https://www.tissottiming.com/2023/mtbdhiwch> (2023, accessed 30 November 2023).
- [28] Yeomans C, Kenny IC, Cahalan R, et al. The Incidence of Injury in Amateur Male Rugby Union: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine* 2018; 48: 837–848.
- [29] West SW, Starling L, Kemp S, et al. Trends in match injury risk in professional male rugby union: a 16-season review of 10 851 match injuries in the English Premiership (2002–2019): the Professional Rugby Injury Surveillance Project. *Br J Sports Med* 2021; 55: 676–682.
- [30] Ekstrand J, Spreco A, Bengtsson H, et al. Injury rates decreased in men’s professional football: an 18-year prospective cohort study of almost 12 000 injuries sustained during 1.8 million hours of play. *Br J Sports Med* 2021; 55: 1084–1092.
- [31] Jeys LM, Cribb G, Toms AD, et al. Mountain biking injuries in rural England. *Br J Sports Med* 2001; 35: 197–9.

- [32] Brooks JHM, Fuller CW. The influence of methodological issues on the results and conclusions from epidemiological studies of sports injuries: illustrative examples. *Sports Med* 2006; 36: 459–72.
- [33] Coughlin SS. Recall bias in epidemiologic studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1990; 43: 87–91.
- [34] Zech A, Hollander K, Junge A, et al. Sex differences in injury rates in team-sport athletes: A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *J Sport Health Sci* 2022; 11: 104–114.
- [35] Kronisch RL, Chow TK, Simon LM, et al. Acute injuries in off-road bicycle racing. *Am J Sports Med* 1996; 24: 88–93.
- [36] Yanturali S, Canacik O, Karsli E, et al. Injury and illness among athletes during a multi-day elite cycling road race. *Phys Sportsmed* 2015; 43: 348–54.
- [37] Edler C, Droste J-N, Anemüller R, et al. Injuries in elite road cyclists during competition in one UCI WorldTour season: a prospective epidemiological study of incidence and injury burden. *Phys Sportsmed* 2023; 51: 129–138.
- [38] Zech A, Hollander K, Junge A, et al. Sex differences in injury rates in team-sport athletes: A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *J Sport Health Sci* 2022; 11: 104–114.
- [39] Schlingermann BE, Lodge CA, Gissane C, et al. Effects of the Gaelic Athletic Association 15 on Lower Extremity Injury Incidence and Neuromuscular Functional Outcomes in Collegiate Gaelic Games. *J Strength Cond Res* 2018; 32: 1993–2001.
- [40] Magoshi H, Hoshiba T, Tohyama M, et al. Effect of the <sc>FIFA</sc> 11+ injury prevention program in collegiate female football players over three consecutive seasons. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2023; 33: 1494–1508.
- [41] Elliott J, Heron N, Versteegh T, et al. Injury Reduction Programs for Reducing the Incidence of Sport-Related Head and Neck Injuries Including Concussion: A Systematic Review. *Sports Medicine* 2021; 51: 2373–2388.
- [42] Kemler E, Valkenberg H, Gouttebarga V. Stimulating injury-preventive behaviour in sports: the systematic development of two interventions. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil* 2019; 11: 26.
- [43] Short M, Heron N, Matthews M. Road cycling and bone health: a scoping review. *Journal of Science and Cycling* 2022; 11: 3–22.
- [44] Nagle KB, Brooks MA. A Systematic Review of Bone Health in Cyclists. *Sports Health* 2011; 3: 235–243.
- [45] Klomsten Andersen O, Clarsen B, Garthe I, et al. Bone health in elite Norwegian endurance cyclists and runners: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2018; 4: e000449.
- [46] Warner SE, Shaw JM, Dalsky GP. Bone mineral density of competitive male mountain and road cyclists. *Bone* 2002; 30: 281–286.
- [47] Mountjoy M, Ackerman KE, Bailey DM, et al. 2023 International Olympic Committee’s (IOC) consensus statement on Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (REDs). *Br J Sports Med* 2023; 57: 1073–1097.
- [48] Hilkens L, VAN Schijndel N, Weijer V, et al. Low Bone Mineral Density and Associated Risk Factors in Elite Cyclists at Different Stages of a Professional Cycling Career. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2023; 55: 957–965.
- [49] McLarnon M, Boyce SH, Fisher N, et al. ‘It’s All Downhill from Here’: A Scoping Review of Sports-Related Concussion (SRC) Protocols in Downhill Mountain Biking (DHI), with

- Recommendations for SRC Policy in Professional DMB. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*; 19. Epub ahead of print 27 September 2022. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph191912281.
- [50] McGroarty NK, Brown SM, Mulcahey MK. Sport-Related Concussion in Female Athletes: A Systematic Review. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2020; 8: 232596712093230.
- [51] Horan D, Büttner F, Blake C, et al. Injury incidence rates in women's football: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective injury surveillance studies. *Br J Sports Med* 2023; 57: 471–480.
- [52] King DA, Hume PA, Hind K, et al. The Incidence, Cost, and Burden of Concussion in Women's Rugby League and Rugby Union: A Systematic Review and Pooled Analysis. *Sports Med* 2022; 52: 1751–1764.
- [53] Echemendia RJ, Brett BL, Broglio S, et al. Introducing the Sport Concussion Assessment Tool 6 (SCAT6). *Br J Sports Med* 2023; 57: 619–621.
- [54] Union Cycliste Internationale (UCI). MOUNTAIN BIKE TRACKSIDE CONCUSSION DETECTION, https://assets.ctfassets.net/76117gh5x5an/6WCBUZqYzoMlMPnizxgkTT/4a00da0c9691cb3afcb0731ba9095293/POCKET_CARD_CONCUSSION_MTB_A7_EN.pdf (2023, accessed 20 October 2023).
- [55] Garrett JM, Mastrorocco M, Peek K, et al. The Relationship Between Neck Strength and Sports-Related Concussion in Team Sports: A Systematic Review With Meta-analysis. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* 2023; 53: 585–593.
- [56] Williams EMP, Petrie FJ, Pennington TN, et al. Sex differences in neck strength and head impact kinematics in university rugby union players. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2022; 22: 1649–1658.
- [57] Kotler D, Rice S, Katz N, et al. Training and Injury Considerations in Female-Identifying Cyclists. *Journal of Women's Sports Medicine* 2023; 3: 11–24.
- [58] Pennock B, Kivi D, Zerpa C. Effect of Neck Strength on Simulated Head Impacts During Falls in Female Ice Hockey Players. *Int J Exerc Sci* 2021; 14: 446–461.

Appendix 1: Survey Log.



2023 UCI CYCLING WORLD CHAMPIONSHIPS, GLASGOW SCOTLAND DAILY MEDICAL REPORT ON RIDER INJURIES

Form completed by: _____ Country/Team: _____ Date report completed: _____

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Rider country: | Discipline: | Venue: | Gender: | Competition or training: | Date of injury: |
| Onset: | Mechanism: | Cycle mechanism: | Injured body region: | Side of body: | Injury type: |
| Concussion symptoms: | New, recurrent, exacerbation: | First aid: | Time loss (days): | Cyclist level: | Years competing: |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Rider country: | Discipline: | Venue: | Gender: | Competition or training: | Date of injury: |
| Onset: | Mechanism: | Cycle mechanism: | Injured body region: | Side of body: | Injury type: |
| Concussion symptoms: | New, recurrent, exacerbation: | First aid: | Time loss (days): | Cyclist level: | Years competing: |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Rider country: | Discipline: | Venue: | Gender: | Competition or training: | Date of injury: |
| Onset: | Mechanism: | Cycle mechanism: | Injured body region: | Side of body: | Injury type: |
| Concussion symptoms: | New, recurrent, exacerbation: | First aid: | Time loss (days): | Cyclist level: | Years competing: |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Rider country: | Discipline: | Venue: | Gender: | Competition or training: | Date of injury: |
| Onset: | Mechanism: | Cycle mechanism: | Injured body region: | Side of body: | Injury type: |
| Concussion symptoms: | New, recurrent, exacerbation: | First aid: | Time loss (days): | Cyclist level: | Years competing: |

No new injuries today to report in our team

Please report any new rider injuries occurring during training or competition

Definitions and codes

Injury is tissue damage or other derangement of normal physical function due to participation in sports, resulting from rapid or repetitive transfer of kinetic energy

Competition or training

1 Competition
 2 Training
 3 Peri-competition activities
 4 Unknown (e.g. gradual onset)
 (e.g. warm-up, cool-down)

Onset

1 Sudden after acute trauma
 2 Sudden but no acute trauma
 3 Gradual
 4 Mixed

Mechanism

1 No identifiable single event
 (repetitive transfer of energy, overuse)
 2 Direct contact with another athlete
 3 Direct contact with an object
 (e.g. ball, wall, ground, i.e. slipped and fell)
 4 Indirect contact with another athlete
 5 Acute non-contact trauma
 (e.g. fall after a push)
 6 Following contact with an object

Injured body region

1 Head
 2 Neck/cervical spine
 3 Chest (incl. chest organs)
 4 Thoracic spine/upper back
 5 Lumbar spine/buttock
 6 Abdomen
 7 Shoulder
 8 Upper arm
 9 Elbow
 10 Forearm
 11 Wrist
 12 Hand
 13 Hip/groin
 14 Thigh
 15 Knee
 16 Lower leg/Achilles tendon
 17 Ankle
 18 Foot

Side of body

1 left
 2 right
 3 bilateral
 4 anterior
 5 posterior
 6 not applicable

Injury type

1 Concussion/brain injury*
 2 Spinal cord injury
 3 Peripheral nerve injury
 4 Bone fracture
 5 Bone stress injury
 6 Bone contusion
 7 Avascular necrosis
 8 Physis injury
 9 Cartilage injury
 10 Joint sprain/ligament tear
 11 Chronic instability
 12 Tendon rupture
 13 Tendinopathy
 14 Muscle strain/tear
 15 Muscle contusion
 16 Muscle compartment syndrome
 17 Laceration
 18 Abrasion
 19 Contusion/bruise (superficial)
 20 Arthritis
 21 Bursitis
 22 Synovitis
 23 Vascular damage
 24 Stump injury
 25 Dental injury
 26 Internal organ trauma
 27 Unknown, or not specified

*Concussion symptoms

1 Loss of consciousness
 2 Seizure or convulsion
 3 Vomiting
 4 Confusion
 5 Agitation
 6 Disorientation
 7 Amnesia
 8 Nausea
 9 Headache/neck pain
 10 Vertigo
 11 Balance problems
 12 Visual problems
 13 Drowsiness
 14 Weakness
 15 Tingling in arms/legs

New, recurrent or exacerbation

1 Newly incurred during the championships
 2 Recurrent after full recovery and return-to-sport
 3 Exacerbation of a stable (not recovered) condition
 4 Unknown, or not specified

First aid given

1 lifting
 2 half seated
 3 on back
 4 raised legs
 5 lateral safety position
 6 immobilisation
 7 spinal board
 8 vacuum mattress
 9 cervical collar
 10 helmet removal
 11 manual resuscitator
 12 mucus aspiration
 13 external cardiac massage
 14 oxygenation
 15 arm sling
 16 splint
 17 bandage
 18 icing
 19 cooling
 20 disinfection

Time loss

Please provide an estimate of the number of days that the athlete will not be able to undertake their normal training or will not be able to compete as usual, counting the day after the onset of the injury as day 1

Cyclist level

1 Professional
 2 Amateur

Appendix 2:

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*.

| | Item No | Recommendation | Page No |
|---------------------------|---------|--|---------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | 1,2 |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 3 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 3 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 3-5 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | 4 |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed | 4 |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | 3-5 |
| Data sources/measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | 3-5 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | N/A |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | 4 |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | 4,5 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | 4,5 |
| Results | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | 5-8 |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | 5-8 |
| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | 5-8 |

Appendix 3 CHAMP: CHecklist for statistical Assessment of Medical Papers

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| <i>Design and conduct</i> | 1. Clear description of the goal of research, study objective(s), study design, and study population | Yes |
| | 2. Clear description of outcomes, exposures/treatments and covariates, and their measurement methods | Yes |
| | 3. Validity of study design | Yes |
| | 4. Clear statement and justification of sample size | Yes |
| | 5. Clear declaration of design violations and acceptability of the design violations | Yes |
| | 6. Consistency between the paper and its previously published protocol | Yes |
| <i>Data analysis</i> | | |
| | 7. Correct and complete description of statistical methods | Yes |
| | 8. Valid statistical methods used and assumptions outlined | Yes |
| | 9. Appropriate assessment of treatment effect or interaction between treatment and another covariate | Yes |
| | 10. Correct use of correlation and associational statistical testing | Yes |
| | 11. Appropriate handling of continuous predictors | Yes |
| | 12. Confidence intervals do not include impossible values | Yes |
| | 13. Appropriate comparison of baseline characteristics between the study arms in randomized trials | Yes |
| | 14. Correct assessment and adjustment of confounding | Yes |
| | 15. Avoiding model extrapolation not supported by data | Yes |
| | 16. Adequate handling of missing data | Yes |
| <i>Reporting and presentation</i> | | |
| | 17. Adequate and correct description of the data | Yes |
| | 18. Descriptive results provided as occurrence measures with confidence intervals, and analytic results provided as association measures and confidence intervals along with P-values | Yes |
| | 19. Confidence intervals provided for the contrast between groups rather than for each group | Yes |
| | 20. Avoiding selective reporting of analyses and P-hacking | Yes |
| | 21. Appropriate and consistent numerical precisions for effect sizes, test statistics, and P-values, and reporting the P-values rather their range | Yes |
| | 22. Providing sufficient numerical results that could be included in a subsequent meta-analysis | Yes |
| | 23. Acceptable presentation of the figures and tables | Yes |
| <i>Interpretation</i> | | |
| | 24. Interpreting the results based on association measures and 95% confidence intervals along with P-values, and correctly interpreting large P-values as indecisive results, not evidence of absence of an effect | Yes |
| | 25. Using confidence intervals rather than post-hoc power analysis for interpreting the results of studies | Yes |
| | 26. Correctly interpreting occurrence or association measures | Yes |
| | 27. Distinguishing causation from association and correlation | Yes |

- | | |
|---|-----|
| 28. Results of pre-specified analyses are distinguished from the results of exploratory analyses in the interpretation | Yes |
| 29. Appropriate discussion of the study methodological limitations | Yes |
| 30. Drawing only conclusions supported by the statistical analysis and no generalization of the results to subjects outside the target population | Yes |