

1 **Evaluating Predictive Value of *Schistosoma mansoni* Prevalence and**
2 **Infection Intensity in School-Age Children in Estimating**
3 **Community-Wide Prevalence in Siaya County, Western Kenya**

4

5 Dollycate Wanja^{1,3*¶}, Maurice R. Odier^{2, 3}, Emmy A. Kavere³, Geoffrey Muchiri³, Shehu Shagari Awandu¹

6

7 ¹ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science and Technology,
8 Bondo, Kenya

9 ² Centre for Global Health and Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, /, Kisumu, Kenya

10 ³ Safe Water and AIDS Project, Kisumu, Kenya

11

12

13 *Corresponding author

14 E-mail: wanjadollycate@gmail.com (DW)

15

16

17

18

19 **Abstract**

20 **Background**

21 Various milestones have been set targeting the elimination of schistosomiasis with the vision of “a world free
22 of schistosomiasis” including the 2020 and 2025 goals. Despite the increased control and prevention efforts,
23 schistosomiasis still affects many people, partly because treatment doesn’t cover all at-risk populations.
24 Preventive chemotherapy (PC), the cornerstone of control interventions is primarily inclined toward school-
25 age children (SAC), whose prevalence also informs interventions for other age groups in the community.
26 Whereas prevalence in SAC has been shown to be a good indicator of the prevalence in other age groups, it
27 remains to be seen as if this is not true in different epidemiological settings and risk areas.

28 **Methods**

29 This study evaluated the predictive value of schistosomiasis prevalence among SAC in estimating community-
30 wide prevalence in Siaya County, Kenya. A single stool sample was collected from participants aged 2-50
31 years. Stool samples were collected from preschool-age children (2-6 years), SAC (7-14 years), adolescents
32 (15 to <18 years), and adults (≥ 18 -50 years) in a cross-sectional survey. The prevalence and intensity of
33 *Schistosoma mansoni* infection were determined using the Kato-Katz technique (single stool, 4 slides) and
34 compared across the age groups and risk categories (low >0 to $<10\%$; moderate $\geq 10\%$ to $<50\%$; and high
35 ($\geq 50\%$).

36 **Results**

37 Of the 1,814 participants sampled, 25.6% (95% CI: 23.7-27.7) were infected with *S. mansoni*. There was no
38 significant difference between the mean egg intensity of *S. mansoni* in SAC compared to the other age groups.
39 There was a strong positive correlation between SAC prevalence and intensity and community-wide

40 prevalence and intensity; $r_s = 0.8$, $P < 0.001$, and $r_s = 0.6$, $P < 0.001$ respectively. A positive relationship between
41 the overall *S. mansoni* prevalence and *S. mansoni* prevalence in SAC was observed. The regression analysis
42 indicated that SAC prevalence/intensity can be used to predict overall *S. mansoni* prevalence/intensity. In
43 terms of age groups, it can predict in all age groups but adolescents.

44 **Conclusions**

45 In this setting, generally, the prevalence of *S. mansoni* among SAC was a good predictor of the prevalence in
46 other age groups and the overall (all age groups combined) prevalence. However, in terms of risk strata SAC
47 data was only a good predictor of overall prevalence and intensity only in the moderate risk stratum. These
48 findings suggest that *S. mansoni* prevalence and intensity among SAC are valid for community sampling
49 purposes and informing interventions including MDA at the community level.

50 **Introduction**

51 Schistosomiasis (Bilharzia) is caused by parasitic flatworms of the genus
52 *Schistosoma* and affects approximately 220 million people worldwide with 90% of the burden concentrated
53 in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (1). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 652
54 million people are at risk of the infection with about 200,000 deaths being reported annually. This infection
55 exerts a great health and financial burden on the economies affected (2). In Kenya the disease is endemic in
56 62 out of 290 sub-counties and infects approximately 9 million people and about 17 million are at risk (3).
57 The 3 main endemic regions are the coastal region (*Schistosoma haematobium*), parts of central and lower
58 eastern areas (both *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Schistosoma haematobium*), and Lake Victoria basin area
59 (mainly *Schistosoma mansoni*).

60 Success in schistosomiasis control is widely recorded in many countries with
61 significant decrease in both prevalence and infection levels (4). However, in SSA this enervating infection

62 remains a burden due to various factors that are responsible for continuous and persistent transmission. These
63 include amongst others climatic changes, occupational activities, and proximity to water bodies (2). This
64 infection is more prevalent in poor rural settings where fishing and agriculture are the main occupations (5).
65 Poor water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) is one of the key factors affecting the transmission of
66 schistosomiasis. Infections occur during routine agricultural domestic occupational (like washing, bathing and
67 fetching water) and recreational activities such as swimming, etc. These activities expose individuals to waters
68 infested with the intermediate host (snail). School-age children (SAC), adolescents, women and young adults
69 carry the greatest burden of morbidity and mortality associated with this infection(6-8). Equally, young
70 children under 5 years are exposed especially in endemic regions. This mainly occurs when caregivers carry
71 them along to the waterbodies (9, 10).

72 The WHO 2011 preventive chemotherapy (PC) strategy recommends that data from
73 SAC can be used to assess community prevalence and that mass drug administration (MDA) should be
74 conducted based on this information (11, 12). To date, monitoring and treatment of schistosomiasis is based
75 on data from SAC only and very little attention is given to these other groups who are equally at risk.
76 Integrating other age groups into the control programs will help accelerate the progress of control and
77 elimination of schistosomiasis (13). The global community discusses strategies for incorporating other age
78 groups especially adults and pre-school age children (PSAC) into treatment and monitoring plans. However,
79 one of the main questions that remain unanswered is whether the WHO recommended prevalence among SAC
80 is a good predictor of prevalence in other age groups in different epidemiological settings with varying risks
81 of transmission. Control strategies for schistosomiasis have largely relied on prevalence data from SAC,
82 which has also selectively excluded other at-risk groups such as PSAC and adults from interventions. This
83 systematic exclusion of other at-risk groups contributes to the thriving of reservoirs of infection which will
84 hamper the achievement of the WHO 2030 global elimination targets for schistosomiasis (14, 15).

85 Although WHO recommends that prevalence in SAC can be used to infer
86 prevalence in other age groups (11, 16, 17), this was not the case for one other setting (18). This study aimed
87 at evaluating a strategy that can be used to quantify the prevalence and intensity of schistosomiasis for other
88 age groups and the community as a whole using a predictive index from SAC data and also in different risk
89 regions (low, moderate, and high).

90 **Materials and methods**

91 **Research population and design**

92 The cross-sectional study was carried out in Siaya County, bordering Lake Victoria in western Kenya. The
93 region is endemic for schistosomiasis, with an inverse relationship between the prevalence of infection and
94 distance from the lake (19). Many rounds of mass drug administration (MDA) with praziquantel have been
95 conducted especially on SAC (20, 21). Considering that the main economic activities in the area are fishing
96 and agriculture, other age groups such as adolescents and adults and adolescents are exposed to infection. In
97 addition, young children (under 5 years) are infected when their caregivers carry them along to the water
98 bodies during domestic chores such as laundry.

99 **Sampling procedure and sample size determination**

100 Stratified sampling was used to classify the eligible population into 4 strata depending on age group (PSAC
101 2-6 years, SAC 7-14 years, adolescent 15-18 years & adults >18 years). Study villages were divided into 3
102 risk strata according to the WHO-defined risk thresholds for schistosomiasis (low risk >0 to <10%, moderate
103 risk \geq 10% to <50%, and high risk \geq 50%). The survey was conducted in 29 purposively selected villages. The
104 total estimated population (N) per age group in these selected villages is 870 (an average of 30 per age group
105 per village). A design effect (DEFF) of 1.58 (22) was applied.

106 Slovin's 1960 formula (23) was used to calculate the sample size for each age group per village with an
107 expected refusal rate of 15%. This gave $n = 498$ participants per age group (giving approximately 17 per age
108 group per village). Before data collection, consent/assent was obtained for each participant. Parental/guardian
109 consent was also obtained for each child. Participants were excluded from the study if they didn't provide
110 assent or consent or were observably ill or had an underlying medical condition, as judged by the study nurse.

111 **Data collection instruments**

112 Data was collected using specially designed forms with the Commcare application (Dimagi Inc.) installed on
113 Android smartphones which was then uploaded to a dedicated Commcare server. Laboratory data were
114 recorded in a lab booklet/questionnaire and later entered via smartphones (Commcare application) into the
115 same database.

116 **Reliability, validity and quality control**

117 To ensure reliability, stool sample evaluation was performed by trained microscopists who were blinded to
118 the villages where the samples were collected. To warrant the validity of the instruments, the questionnaire
119 (developed in the Commcare application) was designed taking into account validation and logical aspects,
120 especially for questions involving skip patterns. In addition, the questions were administered in a simple way
121 for ease of understanding by participants. To improve diagnostic sensitivity for the Kato-Katz technique, 4
122 slides were prepared from each stool sample. Eggs in stool samples were counted by two independent
123 microscopists and any discrepancy in the results of the two was reconciled by comparing them to the results
124 of a third independent microscopist.

125 **Stool sample collection and processing**

126 A single stool sample was collected from each participant. The stool samples were tested using the Kato- Katz
127 technique (duplicate 41.7 mg fecal) for detection of *S. mansoni*. Four slides were prepared from the single
128 stool sample and the slides were allowed to clear for about 24 hours before microscopic examination. The
129 laboratory technicians counted the number of eggs and recorded them in the lab booklet. This data was then
130 entered into the Commcare application using smartphones. At the analysis level, the intensity of *S. mansoni*
131 was enumerated and expressed as eggs per gram (epg) of feces. Positive participants were grouped into
132 intensity levels, low(1-99epg), moderate (100-399epg), and heavy(≥ 400 epg).

133 **Data analysis**

134 Data cleaning and analysis were done using STATA version 14.0 (STATA Corp. LP., College Station, TX,
135 USA). The normality test was conducted using the Shapiro-Wilk test and histogram plots. The arithmetic
136 means egg counts calculated from the 4 slides (24) data were multiplied by 24 to convert the egg counts to
137 eggs per gram (epg). For each participant, stool results were used to determine the presence of *S. mansoni* and
138 its intensity. For each village, the *S. mansoni* prevalence, and arithmetic mean (AM) epg were calculated, as
139 well as the prevalence for each age group. Villages were classified into risk strata according to the prevalence
140 of *S. mansoni* using the WHO recommended criteria (low risk >0 to $<10\%$, moderate risk $\geq 10\%$ to $<50\%$, and
141 high risk $\geq 50\%$) and analysis to come up with a regression equation conducted for each stratum. Kruskal
142 Wallis test was used to compare the prevalence of the 4 age categories and a post hoc test (Dunnnett's test) was
143 conducted to compare prevalence in SAC with each of the other 3 categories. Spearman's correlation (r_s)
144 analysis was conducted, and scatter plots were plotted to illustrate the relationship between overall prevalence
145 and intensity as a function of SAC prevalence and intensity. Linear regression analysis was conducted to come
146 up with a predictive model $Y = a + bX$ (where Y is overall prevalence/intensity or prevalence/intensity of other
147 age groups and X = prevalence/intensity of school-age children). All statistical tests and confidence intervals
148 were conducted at a 5% level of significance.

149 **Ethical approvals**

150 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Board of Postgraduate Studies of Jaramogi Oginga
151 Odinga University of Science and Technology (H153/4268/2019). This study was nested within a larger study
152 whose ethical approval was obtained from the Maseno University Ethics Review Committee
153 (MSU/DRPI/MUERC/00675/19). Additional approval for the larger study was obtained from the Kenya
154 National Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI/P/75695/30230). Parents and
155 participants were provided with information sheets detailing the purpose of the study and what to expect.
156 Written informed consent by parents or guardians and verbal assent by participating children were obtained
157 before enrolment in the study.

158 **Results**

159 A total of 29 villages were involved in the study with 1,814 participants enrolled. An almost even proportion
160 by gender was represented, 45% (818) for males and 55% (996) for females. The mean age was 17.9 years.
161 The enrollment was 23.7% (430) for PSAC, 27.7% (502) for SAC, 21.6% (392) for adolescents, and 27.0%
162 (490) for adults.

163 The helminth egg count data was tested for normality before analysis and non-parametric tests were
164 subsequently employed for analysis.

165 **Overall prevalence and intensity of helminth infections**

166 Overall, 30.5% (548) of the participants were infected either with *S. mansoni* or one of the soil-transmitted
167 helminths (STH), while 18.0% (324) of the participants were infected with one or more STH species. The
168 most prevalent helminth infection among the participants was *S. mansoni* 25.6% (465) as shown in (**Table**
169 **1**).

170 **Table 1. Overall (all age groups combined) prevalence and intensity of infection for *Schistosoma***
 171 ***mansoni* and soil-transmitted helminths (STH) in Siaya county, western Kenya**

Species Infection	Intensity Threshold				Prevalence Intensity(arithmetic mean epg ± SD)
	Overall Prevalence %, (Range)	Light	Moderate	Heavy	
Any helminth	30.5 (28.4-32.6)	21.3	5.5	3.3	
<i>S. mansoni</i>	25.6 (23.7-27.7)	16.9	5.4	3.3	215.4±628.8
Any STH	18.0(16.3-19.9)	17.9	0.1	0.0	
Hookworms	0.1(0.02-0.4)	0.1	0.0	0.0	102.0±84.9
<i>Ascaris</i> <i>lumbricoides</i>	0.2(0.00-0.1)	0.1	0.1	0.0	4536.0±7742.5
<i>Trichuris</i> <i>trichiura</i>	17.9(16.2-19.7)	17.8	0.1	0.0	24.7±207.5

172
 173 The proportions of individuals infected with multiple helminth species were slightly higher than those
 174 infected with *S. mansoni* only.

175 **Prevalence and intensity of *Schistosoma mansoni* by age group**

176 We assessed the overall prevalence and the prevalence for each *S. mansoni* infection intensity level segregated
 177 by age group. Most of the *S. mansoni* infections were of light intensity (100-399 epg, with heavy-intensity
 178 infections (≥400 epg) accounting for the least proportion (**Table 2**). The prevalence for light and moderate

179 infection levels was highest among adults followed by adolescents. However, the mean eggs per gram were
 180 highest among Preschool-age children 353.0(88.7-617.2).

181 **Table 2. Prevalence and intensity levels of *Schistosoma mansoni* per age group**

	Positive	Light	Moderate	Heavy	
Age group	n (%)	(1-99 epg)	(100-399 epg)	(>=400 epg)	Mean epg* (95% CI)
PSAC	83 (19.3)	12.8	3.0	3.3	353.0 (88.7-617.2)
SAC	112 (22.3)	14.1	6.0	2.2	215.3 (113.0-317.6)
Adolescent	114 (29.1)	19.6	5.4	4.1	173.1 (116.5-229.7)
Adults	156 (31.8)	21.0	6.9	3.9	172.5 (116.1-228.8)

182

183 **Predictive value of *Schistosoma mansoni* prevalence and egg intensity in**
 184 **school-age children to that of PSAC, adolescents, and adults in low, moderate,**
 185 **and high-risk communities**

186 Villages were categorized into 3 risk strata (low-risk >0 to <10%, moderate-risk ≥10% to <50%, and high-
 187 risk ≥50%). There were 5, 20, and 4 villages in the low, moderate, and high risk strata, respectively.

188 As expected, the prevalence of *S. mansoni* was highest in the high-risk areas, with an overall prevalence of
 189 63.2%. Results of Dunnett’s test revealed no statistically significant difference between the mean egg intensity
 190 (epg) of SAC compared with that of PSAC, adolescents or adults, all with P > 0.05 (**Table 3**).

191 **Table 3. Pairwise comparison of mean epg for SACs versus other age groups**

Age group	Contrast	Std. Err.	t	P value	95% CI
-----------	----------	-----------	---	---------	--------

SAC vs PSAC	19.2	21.8	1.0	0.704	(-31.8-70.4)
SAC vs Adolescent	2.3	22.3	0.1	0.999	(-50.1-54.7)
SAC vs Adults	6.9	21.0	0.3	0.977	(-42.5-56.3)

192

193 **Correlation analysis**

194 There was a positive relationship between the overall *S. mansoni* prevalence and *S. mansoni* prevalence in
195 school-age children ($r_s = 0.8$, $P < 0.001$) (**S1 Fig**). There was also a positive linear relationship between
196 community-wide (all groups combined) mean egg intensity and SAC mean egg intensity ($r_s = 0.6$, $P < 0.001$)
197 Spearman's rank correlation analysis was conducted to assess the association between village-level
198 prevalence and mean egg intensity for SAC and other age groups. There was a positive correlation between
199 *S. mansoni* prevalence in SAC and that of other individual age groups as follows; PSAC ($r_s = 0.5$, $P < 0.001$),
200 adolescents ($r_s = 0.6$, $P < 0.001$), and marginally significant for adults ($r_s = 0.4$, $P = 0.06$). In terms of risk
201 strata, SAC prevalence had a positive correlation with overall prevalence (all groups combined) only in the
202 moderate risk strata ($r_s = 0.7$, $P < 0.001$). There was a positive relationship between *S. mansoni* mean egg
203 intensity in SAC and overall mean egg intensities; PSAC ($r_s = 0.4$, $P = 0.03$) and adults ($r_s = 0.5$, $P = 0.01$)
204 but not adolescents. A similar pattern was observed in the overall prevalence in the moderate and high
205 prevalence strata; PSAC ($r_s = 0.5$, $P = 0.04$) and adults ($r_s = 1.0$, $P < 0.001$).

206 There was a strong positive correlation between SAC prevalence and intensity and community-wide
207 prevalence and intensity; $r_s = 0.8$, $P < 0.001$ and $r_s = 0.6$, $P < 0.001$ for overall prevalence and intensity
208 respectively.

209 There was a positive linear relationship between the prevalence of *S. mansoni* in SAC and that of other
210 individual age groups. As illustrated in (**S2 Fig**).

211 Regression Analysis

212 Linear regression analysis was used to come up with a model that can predict the prevalence and intensity of
 213 *S. mansoni* in SAC to the other age groups (PSAC, adolescents, and adults) and the overall community. This
 214 analysis was conducted overall (all risk strata combined) and per risk strata (low, moderate and high). A
 215 regression model of $Y = a + bX$ where X is the prevalence of school-age children and Y is either the prevalence
 216 of PSAC, adolescents, adults, or overall community prevalence.

217 **Table 4: Regression equations for overall prevalence and mean egg intensities**

	The regression equation for prevalence, P value	The regression equation for intensities, P value
Overall (all age groups combined)	$10.27 + 0.69SAC, P < 0.001$	$59.19 + 0.76SAC, P < 0.001$
PSAC (2-6years old)	$6.69 + 0.59SAC, P < 0.001$	$42.97 + 1.06SAC, P = 0.006$
adolescents (15-17years old)	$15.72 + 0.71SAC, P = 0.01$	$112.44 + 0.15SAC, P = 0.617$
adults (≥ 18 years old)	$23.81 + 0.36SAC, P = 0.038$	$78.32 + 0.51SAC, P = 0.001$

218

219 Results from linear regression modeling are as in **Table 5** below.

220 **Table 5: Prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection in school-age children and their corresponding values in**
 221 **other age groups according to the regression equations**

SAC prevalence (%)	Overall community-wide prevalence (%)	PSAC (%)	Adolescents (%)	Adults (%)
10	17.17	12.59	22.82	27.41

20	24.07	18.49	29.92	31.01
30	30.97	24.39	37.07	34.61
40	37.87	30.29	44.12	38.21
50	44.77	36.19	51.22	41.81
60	51.67	42.09	58.32	45.41
70	58.57	47.99	65.42	49.01
80	65.47	53.89	72.52	52.61
90	72.37	59.79	79.62	56.21
100	79.27	65.69	86.72	59.81

222

223 The above findings were all statistically significant, indicating that the prevalence of *S. mansoni* in school-
 224 age children can be used to predict the prevalence of *S. mansoni* in PSAC, adolescents, adults, or the overall
 225 community-wide. SAC mean egg intensity was also a predictor for mean egg intensity in PSAC, adults, and
 226 the entire community but not for adolescents.

227 **Table 6: Regression equations for overall prevalence and mean egg intensities per risk strata**

	The regression equation for prevalence, P value	The regression equation for intensities, P value
Low-risk stratum		
Overall (all age groups combined)	7.64 - 0.347SAC, P = 0.391	34.03+ 0.79SAC, P = 0.403
PSAC (2-6years old)	15.02 - 1.04SAC, P = 0.494	60.01 - 1.14SAC, P = 0.395
adolescents (15-17years old)	1.21 - 0.06SAC, P = 0.987	-15.24 + 3.01SAC, P = 0.001*

adults (≥ 18 years old)	16.02 - 1.50SAC, P = 0.092	22.48+ 0.55SAC, P= 0.528
Moderate-risk stratum		
Overall (all age groups combined)	16.91 - 0.379SAC, P = 0.012*	76.35+ 0.49SAC, P <0.001*
PSAC (2-6years old)	10.90 + 0.27SAC, P=0.180	88.23 + 0.38SAC, P = 304
adolescents (15-17years old)	26.90 + 0.31SAC, P = 0.468	149.79 - 0.24SAC, P = 0.554
adults (≥ 18 years old)	37.47 - 0.25SAC, P = 0.449	92.48 + 0.12SAC, P = 0.079
High-risk stratum		
Overall (all age groups combined)	34.76 - 0.40SAC, P = 0.325	-0.22 + 1.33SAC, P = 0.069
PSAC (2-6years old)	56.17-0.004SAC, P = 0.998	-389.18 + 3.26SAC, P = 192
adolescents (15-17years old)	7.64 + 0.85SAC, P = 0.104	92.10 - 0.67SAC, P = 0.311
adults (≥ 18 years old)	60.51 - 0.05SAC, P=0.815	227.97 + 0.52SAC, P = 0.77

228

229 In terms of risk strata, school-age data was a good predictor for overall prevalence and intensity in the
 230 moderate-risk regions (P = 0.012 and P < 0.001 respectively). It was also able to significantly predict the
 231 intensity of *S. mansoni* among adolescents in low-risk regions (P = 0.001).

232 Discussion

233 This study was key in answering the question of whether SAC is a suitable reference group for
 234 predicting community-wide prevalence and more so in different risk areas. Such a predictor should be

235 representative of the MDA target group and the entire community. School children are easily accessible from
236 schools and this eases the process of sample collection. Both logistic and economic aspects need to be
237 considered. Proper planning of control and intervention strategies for schistosomiasis requires information on
238 community-level or local prevalence and mapping of the distribution of the disease. This information is critical
239 for control programs. Whereas it is impractical and illogical to conduct assessment surveys on the infection
240 in all possibly endemic communities, model-based statistics can help predict the prevalence and determine
241 appropriate intervention master plans (25).

242 This study was conducted in Siaya County, a region that borders Lake Victoria. Previous studies have
243 shown that these areas that border Lake Victoria are highly endemic for schistosomiasis (10, 26). In agreement
244 with these studies, this study reported a significantly high prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection. However, the
245 prevalence was marginally relatively higher among adolescents and adults as compared to other age groups,
246 a pattern that was observed in other settings (27) (6). The slightly higher prevalence among adolescents and
247 adults relative to SAC in the current study setting may be explained by differences in their daily activities and
248 occupations that expose them to frequent water contact such as fishing, washing among others. For adults in
249 particular, it may also be a result of the cumulative effects of years of exclusion from MDA conducted in
250 Kenya by the National School-Based Deworming Programme (NSBDP) which only targets school-age
251 children (28).

252 A positive linear relationship was observed between the prevalence and mean epg for SAC (7-15
253 years) and that of the entire community (all age groups combined). Moreover, there was no significant
254 difference in the mean epg for SAC and that of other individual age groups, and a strong positive correlation
255 between the prevalence of *S. mansoni* among SAC and that of the overall community. The linear regression
256 model also confirmed that indeed SAC is a good predictor for overall prevalence and mean intensities for
257 each age group and the entire community. These findings add to the evidence that SAC is indeed a suitable

258 reference group and also confirm the WHO recommendation of using school-age children data to predict
259 community-wide prevalence (29-31). In a study conducted in western Kenya, SAC (9-12 years) was a good
260 predictor for community-wide prevalence and intensity of *S. mansoni* (11). Prevalence of *S. haematobium*
261 among SAC (7-14 years) sufficiently estimated the community-wide prevalence in Mali (16). Similarly, a
262 study conducted among 180,000 people from 3 municipalities in Brazil, found that the prevalence of
263 schistosomiasis among children aged 7-14 years had a strong relationship with entire community prevalence
264 (32). Another nationwide study by Guyatt revealed that SAC was a good and cost-effective predictive
265 technique for community-wide prevalence that can be used to make intervention decisions not only at the
266 district level but also at the national level (17). Additionally, another study conducted in an endemic area in
267 Pernambuco reported that the prevalence of Schistosomiasis in SAC(6-15 years) is a suitable indicator for
268 community prevalence(33).

269 There was a positive correlation between *S. mansoni* prevalence in SAC and that of other individual
270 age groups but not significant for adults. In that regard this study's regression analysis per risk strata indicated
271 that *S. mansoni* prevalence in SAC was only a good predictor in moderate-risk areas with prevalence ($\geq 10\%$
272 to $< 50\%$) and not for low (> 0 to $< 10\%$,) and high-risk areas ($\geq 50\%$). That concluded that SAC data wasn't a
273 good predictor for adults and low and high-risk settings. Similar results were depicted in a study conducted
274 in Nigeria (18) reporting that SAC was not an appropriate reference group for predicting prevalence among
275 adults in high-risk regions where *S. mansoni* prevalence is $\geq 50\%$.

276 A limitation of this study is that the study was conducted in an area that has undergone several rounds
277 of MDA targeting mainly SAC and no other age groups. It is therefore difficult to know if the findings can be
278 inferred for an area where treatment has been provided across all age groups. Nevertheless, findings can be
279 considered within the context and understanding that until recently, the recommended MDA strategy was
280 premised on the fact that treatment is provided to a certain target group (in this case SAC), and that the benefits

281 are accrued to all others age groups living in that endemic area. It is therefore reasonable to assume that any
282 benefits accrued to SAC as a result of treatment are also experienced in the other age groups, as has been
283 demonstrated for PSAC and adults(34). Additionally, existing evidence shows that SAC prevalence can
284 overestimate prevalence in other age groups in the community, the degree of overestimation being dependent
285 on the parasite species and the intensity level of infection (17, 35). This study considered only one parasite
286 species (*S. mansoni*) which is the dominant species in the study area and did not include *S. haematobium*.
287 Therefore, findings may only be readily applicable to *S. mansoni* and may suggest the need for careful
288 considerations among other things, local transmission dynamics including parasite species.

289 **Conclusion**

290 This study's findings showed that the *S. mansoni* prevalence and intensity among SAC (7-15 years) was
291 comparable to other age groups in the same epidemiological settings as well as with the entire community (all
292 age groups combined). SAC is a good predictor/reference group for predicting community-wide prevalence
293 and can be used to inform the planning and implementation of control interventions such as MDA. However,
294 in terms of risk areas (categorized as low, moderate, and high risk), SAC was only a good predictor for
295 schistosomiasis in moderate-risk communities.

296 Where resources are limited, predictive regression models may be of great value in generating the much-
297 needed prevalence data to inform control strategies. Importantly, additional studies are required in different
298 epidemiological settings with varying risks (low, moderate, and high), to ascertain the utility of SAC
299 prevalence in informing community-wide prevalence.

300 **Acknowledgments**

301 This research was supported by the Safe Water and Aids project/KEMRI-CDC. We thank our colleagues who
302 provided great insights and expertise that greatly made this research a success. We would also like to thank
303 the study participants for voluntarily agreeing to take part in the research.

304 **References**

- 305 1. WHO. Schistosomiasis, fact sheet no 115. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2014.
- 306 2. Adenowo AF, Oyinloye BE, Ogunyinka BI, Kappo AP. Impact of human schistosomiasis in sub-
307 Saharan Africa. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2015;19(2):196-205.
- 308 3. Gichuki PM, Kepha S, Mulewa D, Masaku J, Kwoba C, Mbugua G, et al. Association between
309 *Schistosoma mansoni* infection and access to improved water and sanitation facilities in Mwea, Kirinyaga
310 County, Kenya. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2019;19(1):1-14.
- 311 4. Sokolow SH, Wood CL, Jones IJ, Swartz SJ, Lopez M, Hsieh MH, et al. Global assessment of
312 schistosomiasis control over the past century shows targeting the snail intermediate host works best. *PLoS*
313 *neglected tropical diseases*. 2016;10(7):e0004794.
- 314 5. WHO. A global brief on vector-borne diseases. World Health Organization; 2014.
- 315 6. Faust CL, Osakunor DN, Downs JA, Kayuni S, Stothard JR, Lamberton PH, et al. Schistosomiasis
316 control: leave no age group behind. *Trends in parasitology*. 2020;36(7):582-91.
- 317 7. Nigo MM, Odermatt P, Salieb-Beugelaar GB, Morozov O, Battegay M, Hunziker PR. Epidemiology
318 of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection in Ituri Province, north-eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo. *PLoS*
319 *Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2021;15(12):e0009486.
- 320 8. Mationg MLS, Tallo VL, Williams GM, Gordon CA, Clements AC, McManus DP, et al. The control
321 of soil-transmitted helminthiases in the Philippines: the story continues. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*.
322 2021;10(1):1-26.

- 323 9. Kibira SPS, Ssempebwa JC, Ssenyonga R, Radloff S, Makumbi FE. Schistosomiasis infection in
324 pre-school aged children in Uganda: a qualitative descriptive study to identify routes of exposure. BMC
325 infectious diseases. 2019;19(1):1-10.
- 326 10. Nalugwa A, Olsen A, Tukahebwa M, Nuwaha F. Intestinal schistosomiasis among preschool
327 children along the shores of Lake Victoria in Uganda. Acta tropica. 2015;142:115-21.
- 328 11. Mwinzi PN, Muchiri G, Wiegand RE, Omedo M, Abudho B, Karanja DM, et al. Predictive value of
329 school-aged Children's schistosomiasis prevalence and egg intensity for other age groups in western Kenya.
330 The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2015;93(6):1311-7.
- 331 12. WHO. Helminth control in school-age children: a guide for managers of control programmes: World
332 Health Organization; 2011.
- 333 13. Faust CL, Osakunor DN, Downs JA, Kayuni S, Stothard JR, Lamberton PH, et al. Schistosomiasis
334 control: leave no age group behind. Trends in Parasitology. 2020.
- 335 14. Fenwick A, Jourdan P. Schistosomiasis elimination by 2020 or 2030? International Journal for
336 Parasitology. 2016;46(7):385-8.
- 337 15. Casulli A. New global targets for NTDs in the WHO roadmap 2021–2030. Public Library of Science
338 San Francisco, CA USA; 2021. p. e0009373.
- 339 16. Traoré M, Maude GH, Bradley DJ. Schistosomiasis haematobia in Mali: prevalence rate in
340 school-age children as index of endemicity in the community. Tropical Medicine & International Health.
341 1998;3(3):214-21.
- 342 17. Guyatt H, Brooker S, Donnelly C. Can prevalence of infection in school-aged children be used as an
343 index for assessing community prevalence? Parasitology. 1999;118(3):257-68.

- 344 18. Evans DS, King JD, Eigege A, Umaru J, Adamani W, Alphonsus K, et al. Assessing the WHO 50%
345 prevalence threshold in school-aged children as indication for treatment of urogenital schistosomiasis in
346 adults in central Nigeria. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2013;88(3):441-5.
- 347 19. Handzel T, Karanja DM, Addiss DG, Hightower AW, Rosen DH, Colley DG, et al. Geographic
348 distribution of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminths in Western Kenya: implications for
349 anthelmintic mass treatment. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2003;69(3):318-23.
- 350 20. Shen Y, Wiegand RE, Olsen A, King CH, Kittur N, Binder S, et al. Five-Year Impact of Different
351 Multi-Year Mass Drug Administration Strategies on Childhood *Schistosoma mansoni*–Associated
352 Morbidity: A Combined Analysis from the Schistosomiasis Consortium for Operational Research and
353 Evaluation Cohort Studies in the Lake Victoria Regions of Kenya and Tanzania. *The American journal of*
354 *tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2019;101(6):1336.
- 355 21. Sircar AD, Mwinzi PN, Onkanga IO, Wiegand RE, Montgomery SP, Secor WE. *Schistosoma*
356 *mansoni* mass drug administration regimens and their effect on morbidity among schoolchildren over a 5-
357 year period—Kenya, 2010–2015. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2018;99(2):362.
- 358 22. Tuhebwe D, Bagonza J, Kiracho EE, Yeka A, Elliott AM, Nuwaha F. Uptake of mass drug
359 administration programme for schistosomiasis control in Koome Islands, Central Uganda. *PLoS One*.
360 2015;10(4):e0123673.
- 361 23. Slovin E. Slovin’s formula for sampling technique. Retrieved July 21, 2021. 1960.
- 362 24. Katz N, Chaves A, Pellegrino J. A simple, device for quantitative stool thick-smear technique in
363 schistosomiasis mansoni. *Revista do instituto de medicina tropical de São Paulo*. 1972;14(6):397-400.
- 364 25. Kabore A, Biritwum N-K, Downs PW, Soares Magalhaes RJ, Zhang Y, Ottesen EA. Predictive vs.
365 empiric assessment of schistosomiasis: implications for treatment projections in Ghana. *PLoS Neglected*
366 *Tropical Diseases*. 2013;7(3):e2051.

- 367 26. Siza JE, Kaatano GM, Chai J-Y, Eom KS, Rim H-J, Yong T-S, et al. Prevalence of schistosomes and
368 soil-transmitted helminths and morbidity associated with schistosomiasis among adult population in Lake
369 Victoria Basin, Tanzania. *The Korean journal of parasitology*. 2015;53(5):525.
- 370 27. Deribe K, Eldaw A, Hadziabduli S, Kailie E, Omer MD, Mohammed AE, et al. High prevalence of
371 urinary schistosomiasis in two communities in South Darfur: implication for interventions. *Parasites &*
372 *vectors*. 2011;4(1):1-5.
- 373 28. Mwandawiro C, Okoyo C, Kihara J, Simiyu E, Kepha S, Campbell SJ, et al. Results of a national
374 school-based deworming programme on soil-transmitted helminths infections and schistosomiasis in Kenya:
375 2012–2017. *Parasites & vectors*. 2019;12(1):1-18.
- 376 29. Montresor A, Crompton DW, Hall A, Bundy D, Savioli L, Organization WH. Guidelines for the
377 evaluation of soil-transmitted helminthiasis and schistosomiasis at community level: a guide for managers
378 of control programmes. World Health Organization; 1998.
- 379 30. WHO. Prevention and control of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis: report of a
380 WHO expert committee: World Health Organization; 2002.
- 381 31. Montresor A, Stoltzfus RJ, Albonico M, Tielsch JM, Rice AL, Chwaya HM, et al. Is the exclusion of
382 children under 24 months from anthelmintic treatment justifiable? *Transactions of the Royal Society of*
383 *Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2002;96(2):197-9.
- 384 32. Rodrigues L, Wheeler J, Shier R, Guerra H, Pimenta F, e COSTA ML. Predicting the community
385 prevalence of schistosomiasis mansoni from the prevalence among 7-to 14-year-olds. *Parasitology*.
386 2000;121(5):507-12.
- 387 33. Pereira APB, Favre TC, Galvão AF, Beck L, Barbosa CS, Pieri OS. The prevalence of
388 schistosomiasis in school-aged children as an appropriate indicator of its prevalence in the community.
389 *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*. 2010;105:563-9.

390 34. Secor WE, Wiegand RE, Montgomery SP, Karanja DM, Odiere MR. Comparison of school-based
391 and community-wide mass drug administration for schistosomiasis control in an area of western Kenya with
392 high initial *Schistosoma mansoni* infection prevalence: a cluster randomized trial. *The American Journal of*
393 *Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2020;102(2):318.

394 35. Brooker S, Donnelly CA, Guyatt HL. Estimating the number of helminthic infections in the
395 Republic of Cameroon from data on infection prevalence in schoolchildren. *Bulletin of the world Health*
396 *Organization*. 2000;78:1456-65.

397

398 **Supporting Information**

399 S1 Fig. Scatter plot for overall prevalence and as a function of prevalence by village for school-age children

400 S1 Fig. Scatter plot for overall mean egg intensity as a function of mean egg intensity by village for school-
401 age children

402 S2 Fig. *Schistosoma mansoni* prevalence between age groups in Siaya County, western Kenya

403




Figure 1a: Scatter plot for overall prevalence and as a function of prevalence by village for school-age children




Figure 1b: Scatter plot for overall mean egg intensity as a function of mean egg intensity by village for school-age children

figure




Figure 2. *Schistosoma mansoni* prevalence between age groups in Siaya County, western Kenya

figure