

Remote and unsupervised digital memory assessments can reliably detect cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease

David Berron^{1,2}, Emil Olsson¹, Felix Andersson³, Shorena Janelidze¹, Pontus Tideman^{1,3},
Emrah Düzel^{2,3,4}, Sebastian Palmqvist^{1,5}, Erik Stomrud^{1,5}, Oskar Hansson^{1,5}

¹*Clinical Memory Research Unit, Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, 223 62 Lund, Sweden*

²*German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany*

³*Institute for Cognitive Neurology and Dementia Research, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany*

⁴*Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, United Kingdom*

⁵*Memory Clinic, Skåne University Hospital, 205 02 Malmö, Sweden*

Supplementary material

Supplementary table 1: Longitudinal random slope linear mixed models in the entire sample predicting future cognitive decline including baseline plasma and digital remote markers and years since baseline as predictors, demographic covariates, and mPACC slopes as an outcome. The term of interest is the interaction between baseline markers and years since baseline.

Predictor	MDT-S			MDT-O			ORR-DR			p-tau217		
	Estimate	CI	p	Estimate	CI	p	Estimate	CI	p	Estimate	CI	p
(Intercept)	0.658	-0.689 – 2.006	0.337	-0.373	-1.724 – -0.977	0.587	-1.224	-3.147 – 0.699	0.211	1.757	0.370 – 3.144	0.013
YearsSince Baseline	-0.166	-0.275 – -0.058	0.003	-0.149	-0.293 – -0.004	0.043	-0.302	-0.532 – -0.072	0.010	0.042	-0.021 – 0.105	0.195
MDT-S	1.440	0.707 – 2.174	<0.001									
diagnosis [CU+]	-0.327	-0.675 – 0.021	0.066	-0.159	-0.487 – 0.169	0.340	-0.401	-0.821 – 0.020	0.062	-0.071	-0.480 – 0.339	0.735
diagnosis [MCI-]	-1.480	-1.980 – -0.981	<0.001	-1.395	-1.865 – -0.926	<0.001	-1.446	-1.997 – -0.895	<0.001	-1.565	-2.122 – -1.007	<0.001
diagnosis [MCI+]	-1.665	-2.099 – -1.232	<0.001	-1.445	-1.856 – -1.034	<0.001	-1.348	-1.925 – -0.772	<0.001	-1.237	-1.903 – -0.571	<0.001
age	-0.021	-0.037 – -0.005	0.010	-0.016	-0.031 – -0.001	0.034	-0.012	-0.032 – 0.007	0.218	-0.033	-0.049 – -0.016	<0.001
sex [female]	0.128	-0.157 – 0.413	0.379	0.213	-0.057 – 0.483	0.122	0.053	-0.295 – 0.401	0.763	0.062	-0.260 – 0.384	0.705
Education [years]	0.020	-0.024 – 0.064	0.374	0.022	-0.019 – 0.063	0.283	0.026	-0.025 – 0.077	0.309	0.049	-0.003 – 0.100	0.062
YearsSince Baseline * MDT-S	0.245	0.010 – 0.481	0.041									
MDT-O				2.211	1.447 – 2.976	<0.001						
YearsSince Baseline * MDT-O				0.152	-0.109 – 0.413	0.253						
ORR-DR							0.121	0.069 – 0.173	<0.001			
YearsSince Baseline * ORR-DR							0.017	0.002 – 0.032	0.027			
p-tau217										-0.101	-0.252 – 0.049	0.187
YearsSince Baseline * p-tau217										-0.042	-0.076 – -0.009	0.013
Random Effects												
σ^2	0.196			0.192			0.176			0.157		
τ_{00}	0.344 _{UserID}			0.271 _{UserID}			0.301 _{UserID}			0.421 _{UserID}		
τ_{11}	0.035 _{UserID:YearsSinceBaseline}			0.040 _{UserID:YearsSinceBaseline}			0.035 _{UserID:YearsSinceBaseline}			0.014 _{UserID:YearsSinceBaseline}		
ρ_{01}	0.094 _{UserID}			0.231 _{UserID}			0.133 _{UserID}			0.145 _{UserID}		
ICC	0.751			0.756			0.758			0.780		
N	99 _{UserID}			99 _{UserID}			65 _{UserID}			85 _{UserID}		
Observations	325			325			211			276		
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.545 / 0.887			0.552 / 0.891			0.623 / 0.909			0.488 / 0.887		
AIC	717.263			707.624			472.243			565.454		

Supplementary table 2: Demographics of the sample that performed the MDT-OS and ORR-DR

	CU Aβ- (N=31)	CU Aβ+ (N=19)	MCI Aβ- (N=7)	MCI Aβ+ (N=9)	Total (N=66)
<i>Age (years)</i>	61.3 (10.9)	71.4 (7.4)	64 (13.1)	67.8 (4.3)	65.4 (10.4)
<i>Education (years)</i>	13.1 (3.1)	12.8 (3.6)	12.7 (3)	13.6 (4)	13 (3.3)
<i>Sex (% female)</i>	51.6 %	68.4 %	71.4 %	22.2 %	54.5 %
<i>MMSE</i>	29 (1.2)	28.3 (1.8)	26.4 (2.1)	27.6 (1.5)	28.3 (1.7)