

Supplementary Figure S9 Distribution of single radial extracorporeal shockwave therapy evidence

Energy level	Disease or condition	Outcome	No of RCTs	T sample	C sample	Effect estimate (95%CI)	CoE	Control group intervention
High energy	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low Certainty							
	Post-stroke upper limb spasticity							
	rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	17	17	SMD=-0.91 [-1.63, -0.20]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
	Frozen shoulder							
	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	34	34	SMD=-1.65 [-2.20, -1.09]	low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy
	Myofascial pain syndrome							
	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	22	23	SMD=-0.73 [-1.34, -0.13]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy and hot pack therapy
	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	1	22	23	SMD=0.79 [0.18, 1.40]	low	
	Knee osteoarthritis							
	rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	40	SMD=-2.25 [-2.93, -1.57]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	40	SMD=-1.72 [-2.35, -1.10]	low	DI: corticosteroids	
Lateral epicondylitis								
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	75	78	SMD=-2.42 [-4.47, -0.37]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy or kinesiology taping	
Plantar fasciitis								
rESWT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.54 [-2.12, -0.95]	low	RH: stretching exercises; MT: diclofenac	
Medium energy	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty							
	Plantar fasciitis							
	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	9	315	293	SMD=-0.70 [-1.31, -0.08]	moderate	RH: ultrasound therapy or kinesiology taping or foot orthotics or laser therapy
	Knee osteoarthritis							
	rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	175	181	SMD=-1.68 [-2.01, -1.36]	moderate	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
	rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	4	175	181	SMD=-1.15 [-1.61, -0.69]	moderate	
	rESWT vs SESWT	Symptom (Lequesne Index)	4	175	181	SMD=-1.05 [-1.57, -0.54]	moderate	
	rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	201	200	SMD=-1.63 [-2.32, -0.93]	moderate	
	rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	3	174	174	SMD=-2.43 [-4.16, -0.70]	moderate	MT: etoricoxib or celecoxib
	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty							
Proximal hamstring tendinopathy								
rESWT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.65 [-3.54, -1.75]	low	RH: physiotherapy and a exercise program; MT: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	
rESWT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Nirschl Phase Rating Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.70 [-3.21, -2.19]	low		
Myofascial pain syndrome								
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	22	23	SMD=-1.71 [-2.41, -1.02]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy	
rESWT vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	137	133	SMD=-0.70 [-1.06, -0.34]	low	TCMET: dry needling or tuina or electro-acupuncture	
Low back pain								
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	78	66	SMD=-1.85 [-2.82, -0.87]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation	
rESWT vs SESWT	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	2	78	66	SMD=-2.67 [-4.97, -0.37]	low		

Medium energy

Plantar fasciitis							
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	94	97	SMD=-13.84 [-22.56, -5.11]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by a clasp or without energy stimulation
rESWT vs RH	Function (Patient Specific Functional Scale)	1	15	15	SMD=-2.87 [-3.93, -1.81]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy or kinesiology taping or foot orthotics or laser therapy
Frozen shoulder							
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	53	53	SMD=-3.18 [-3.76, -2.60]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by a clasp
rESWT vs SESWT	Function (Range of Motion)	1	53	53	SMD=3.17 [2.59, 3.75]	low	
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	70	70	SMD=-0.86 [-1.51, -0.21]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide or vitamin B12 or mecobalamin or diprospan or lidocaine
Patellar tendinopathy							
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	96	96	SMD=-3.93 [-5.89, -1.97]	low	RH: manual therapy
rESWT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	182	165	SMD=-1.56 [-2.53, -0.59]	low	MT: glucosamine sulfate or celebrex; RH: manual therapy or ultrasound therapy
rESWT vs RH + MT	Symptom (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Patella Questionnaire)	1	102	93	SMD=5.25 [3.48, 7.01]	very low	
Knee osteoarthritis							
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	173	173	SMD=-0.69 [-0.91, -0.47]	low	DI: hyaluronic acid or lidocaine or betamethasone
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	2	78	77	SMD=-0.79 [-1.38, -0.21]	low	
rESWT vs DI	Function (Lysholm Score)	1	37	37	SMD=0.61 [0.14, 1.07]	very low	
rESWT vs MT	Symptom (Lequesne Index)	1	50	50	SMD=-0.61 [-1.01, -0.21]	very low	MT: etoricoxib or celecoxib
Chronic prostatitis/ chronic pelvic pain syndrome							
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.89 [-4.25, -1.53]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.79 [-4.23, -1.34]	low	
rESWT vs SESWT	Symptom (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Urinary Score)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.29 [-2.29, -0.30]	low	
rESWT vs SESWT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.08 [-2.74, -1.41]	low	
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	30	15	SMD=-1.28 [-1.96, -0.60]	very low	
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	1	30	15	SMD=-1.26 [-1.94, -0.59]	very low	
rESWT vs MT	Symptom (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Urinary Score)	1	30	15	SMD=-0.69 [-1.33, -0.05]	very low	MT: tamsulosin
rESWT vs MT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	1	30	15	SMD=-0.88 [-1.53, -0.23]	very low	RH: thermal therapy
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	15	SMD=-1.13 [-1.80, -0.47]	very low	
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	49	49	SMD=-1.49 [-1.94, -1.04]	very low	
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	49	49	SMD=-0.68 [-1.09, -0.28]	very low	
Coccydynia							
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	21	SMD=0.74 [-1.19, -0.29]	very low	RH: interferential current therapy and short-wave diathermy
Stenosing tenosynovitis							
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	70	70	SMD=-1.52 [-2.27, -0.77]	very low	MT: indomethacin
rESWT vs MT	Function (Cooney Score)	2	70	70	SMD=1.47 [1.09, 1.84]	very low	
Achilles tendinopathy							
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	25	25	SMD=-0.86 [-1.44, -0.27]	very low	RH: eccentric exercises
rESWT vs RH	Symptom (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Achilles Questionnaire)	1	25	25	SMD=1.40 [0.78, 2.03]	very low	

Medium energy	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	1	22	23	SMD=1.06 [0.47, 1.66]	very low	RH: eccentric exercises	
	Small Effect with Low or Very low Certainty								
	Plantar heel pain								
	rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	125	118	SMD=-0.29 [-0.55, -0.04]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation	
	Carpal tunnel syndrome								
	rESWT vs UC	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	26	23	SMD=0.35 [0.06, 0.63]	very low	UC: splint therapy	
	Plantar fasciitis								
	rESWT vs RH	Function (Foot Function Index)	1	40	40	SMD=0.33 [0.05, 0.62]	very low	RH: ultrasound therapy or kinesiology taping or foot orthotics or laser therapy	
	Large or Moderate Negative Effect with Low or Very low Certainty								
	Achilles tendinopathy								
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	22	23	SMD=-0.95 [-1.57, -0.33]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation		
Cervical spondylotic radiculopathy									
rESWT vs TCMET	Function (Neck Disability Index)	1	29	31	SMD=0.76 [0.23, 1.28]	very low	TCMET: tuina		
Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder									
rESWT vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.38 [-1.95, -0.81]	very low	DI: betamethasone or lidocaine or ropivacaine		
rESWT vs DI	Function and symptom (Oxford Shoulder Score)	1	30	30	SMD=3.59 [2.75, 4.42]	very low			
Low energy	Large Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty								
	Lateral epicondylitis								
	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	5	177	185	SMD=-1.53 [-2.26, -0.81]	moderate	RH: ultrasound therapy or wrist extension splint or exercises	
	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Very low Certainty								
	Post-stroke upper limb spasticity								
	rESWT vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	53	53	SMD=-0.76 [-1.03, -0.48]	low	RH: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation	
	rESWT vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	53	53	SMD=0.89 [0.52, 1.27]	low		
	Post-stroke shoulder-hand syndrome								
	rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	17	17	SMD=-0.90 [-1.31, -0.49]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation	
	Achilles tendinopathy								
	rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	138	138	SMD=-1.05 [-1.41, -0.69]	low	RH: eccentric exercises or ultrashort wave radiation therapy or stability training or stretching exercises or ultrasound therapy	
	rESWT vs NT	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	25	25	SMD=-0.80 [-1.17, -0.42]	low		
	rESWT vs NT	Symptom (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Achilles Questionnaire)	1	25	25	SMD=0.87 [0.49, 1.25]	low		
	rESWT vs NT	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	1	25	25	SMD=0.72 [0.15, 1.30]	low		
	Post-stroke lower limb spasticity								
	rESWT vs NT	Function (Passive Range of Motion)	2	31	31	SMD=0.86 [0.34, 1.39]	low		
	Plantar fasciitis								
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	16	21	SMD=-0.51 [-0.80, -0.21]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation		
Knee osteoarthritis									
rESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	32	32	SMD=-1.57 [-2.13, -1.01]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation		
rESWT vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	20	20	SMD=1.77 [1.03, 2.52]	low	RH: kinesiotherapy		

Low energy

rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	82	82	SMD=-1.36 [-1.77, -0.95]	very low	
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	2	82	82	SMD=-1.16 [-1.49, -0.83]	very low	DI: hyaluronic acid
Lateral epicondylitis							
rESWT vs SESWT	Function and symptom (Patient-Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation Questionnaire)	1	29	28	SMD=1.29 [0.89, 1.69]	low	SESWT: shockwave with minimal energy stimulation
rESWT vs DI	Function (Grip Strength)	1	20	19	SMD=2.18 [1.37, 2.99]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine
rESWT vs TCMET	Function (Grip Strength)	1	23	23	SMD=0.86 [0.25, 1.47]	very low	TCMET: manual acupuncture
Carpal tunnel syndrome							
rESWT vs RH	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	36	36	SMD=-1.22 [-2.34, -0.10]	very low	RH: ultrasound therapy
Myofascial pain syndrome							
rESWT vs DI	Function (Range of Motion)	1	26	29	SMD=2.06 [1.39, 2.72]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine and mecobalamin
rESWT vs DI	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	27	27	SMD=-4.52 [-5.55, -3.48]	very low	
Cerebral palsy spasticity							
rESWT vs SESWT	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	2	35	35	SMD=-4.96 [-8.36, -1.56]	very low	
rESWT vs SESWT	Function (Range of Motion)	2	35	35	SMD=4.51 [1.31, 7.71]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
rESWT vs SESWT	Structure (Plantar Surface Area)	1	10	10	SMD=4.48 [3.64, 5.32]	very low	
Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome							
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	3	83	70	SMD=-1.74 [-2.78, -0.70]	very low	
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	1	33	30	SMD=-3.52 [-4.56, -2.49]	very low	MT: α-blocker or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or celecoxib or tamsulosin or antibiotics or muscle relaxants
rESWT vs MT	Symptom (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Urinary Score)	1	33	30	SMD=-0.44 [-0.73, -0.15]	very low	
rESWT vs MT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	1	33	30	SMD=-3.29 [-4.92, -1.67]	very low	
Stenosing tenosynovitis							
rESWT vs RH	Function (Cooney Score)	1	36	36	SMD=2.09 [1.51, 2.67]	very low	
rESWT vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	32	32	SMD=2.09 [1.51, 2.67]	very low	RH: ultrashort wave radiation therapy or light therapy
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	104	102	SMD=-1.29 [-2.54, -0.05]	very low	
rESWT vs MT	Function (Cooney Score)	2	74	72	SMD=1.76 [0.15, 3.38]	very low	MT: diclofenac or indometacin
rESWT vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.18 [-1.73, -0.62]	very low	
rESWT vs TCMET	Function (Cooney Score)	1	30	30	SMD=1.14 [0.60, 1.69]	very low	TCMET: tuina
Plantar heel pain							
rESWT + TCMET vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.59 [-1.11, -0.07]	very low	TCMET: tuina; MT: celecoxib
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.65 [-2.24, -1.05]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and mecobalamin and lidocaine
rESWT vs TCMET	Function (Maryland Foot Score)	1	39	36	SMD=0.86 [0.17, 1.56]	very low	TCMET: small needle-knife therapy
rESWT vs TCMET + DI	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=1.86 [0.78, 2.95]	very low	TCMET: small needle-knife therapy; DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine
rESWT vs TCMET + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	38	38	SMD=-2.09 [-3.47, -0.71]	very low	TCMET: small needle-knife therapy; DI: betamethasone and lidocaine
rESWT vs TCMET + DI	Quality of life (36-item Short-Form)	1	38	38	SMD=1.69 [1.18, 2.19]	very low	
Osgood-schlatter disease							
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.84 [-2.45, -1.23]	very low	RH: ultrasound diathermy
Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder							

Low energy

rESWT vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=-1.09 [-1.46, -0.71]	very low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture
rESWT vs TCMET	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	60	60	SMD=0.80 [0.31, 1.28]	very low	
Patellar tendinopathy							
rESWT vs TCMET + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	63	63	SMD=-5.54 [-7.22, -3.86]	very low	TCMET: acupuncture or tuina; RH: ultrasound therapy or microwave therapy or infrared therapy
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	48	48	SMD=-7.25 [-8.37, -6.12]	very low	
Pes anserine tendinopathy							
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	73	73	SMD=-1.00 [-1.35, -0.66]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetone and lidocaine
Diabetic foot ulcers							
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	33	33	SMD=-4.56 [-5.50, -3.63]	very low	MT: routine medication
Bone marrow edema							
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.91 [-2.67, -1.15]	very low	MT: diclofenac and alendronate
rESWT vs MT	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	20	20	SMD=1.42 [0.72, 2.13]	very low	
Small Effect with Low Certainty							
Lateral epicondylitis							
rESWT vs SESWT	Function (Grip Strength)	2	54	53	SMD=0.47 [0.09, 0.86]	low	SESWT: shockwave with minimal energy stimulation or without energy stimulation
Large or Moderate Negative Effect with Very low Certainty							
Stenosing tenosynovitis							
rESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=1.69 [1.27, 2.12]	very low	DI: dexamethasone and lidocaine
rESWT vs DI	Function (Cooney Score)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.09 [-1.47, -0.70]	very low	
Plantar fasciitis							
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	23	23	SMD=-0.80 [-1.41, -0.20]	very low	RH: deep muscle stimulator
rESWT vs RH	Pain intensity and function (Roles and maudslay score)	1	23	23	SMD=0.84 [0.24, 1.45]	very low	
rESWT vs RH	Function (Foot Function Index)	1	32	32	SMD=0.66 [0.15, 1.16]	very low	RH: laser therapy

Note: C, control group; CI, confidence interval; CoE, certainty of evidence assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach; DI, drug injection; MT, medication therapy; NT, no treatment; RCTs, randomised controlled trials; rESWT, radial extracorporeal shockwave therapy; RH, rehabilitation; SESWT, sham extracorporeal shockwave therapy; SMD, standardized mean difference; T, treatment group; TCMET, traditional Chinese medicine external therapy; UC, usual care.