

Supplementary Figure S8 Distribution of single focused extracorporeal shockwave therapy evidence

Energy level	Disease or condition	Outcome	No of RCTs	T sample	C sample	Effect estimate (95%CI)	CoE	Control group intervention
High energy	Large Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty							
	Plantar fasciitis							
	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	255	251	SMD=-1.88 [-3.06, -0.70]	moderate	SESWT: shockwave separated by thin foam cushion or air-chambered polyethylene foil
	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Very low Certainty							
	Myofascial pain syndrome							
	fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	49	45	SMD=-1.87 [-2.36, -1.39]	very low	
	fESWT vs RH	Sleep quality and quantity (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index)	1	49	45	SMD=-1.61 [-2.08, -1.14]	very low	RH: ultrasound therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
	fESWT vs RH	Depression symptom (Beck Depression Inventory)	1	49	45	SMD=-1.12 [-1.55, -0.68]	very low	
	fESWT vs RH	Function (Neck Disability Index)	1	49	45	SMD=-1.55 [-2.01, -1.08]	very low	
	Osteonecrosis of the femoral head							
	fESWT vs ST	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	23	25	SMD=4.51 [3.41, 5.61]	very low	ST: core decompression and bone grafting
	fESWT vs ST	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	23	25	SMD=-3.44 [-4.36, -2.53]	very low	
	Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder							
	fESWT vs RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	96	84	SMD=1.17 [0.85, 1.49]	very low	RH: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
	fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	96	84	SMD=-0.68 [-1.11, -0.25]	very low	
	Achilles tendinopathy							
	fESWT vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=-0.83 [-1.59, -0.06]	very low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture
	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	44	42	SMD=0.58 [0.14, 1.01]	very low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation
	Knee osteoarthritis							
	fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	82	44	SMD=-1.47 [-1.78, -1.16]	very low	DI: alprostadiil
fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	82	44	SMD=-1.77 [-2.04, -1.50]	very low		
Plantar heel pain								
fESWT vs NT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	12	10	SMD=-0.52 [-0.95, -0.09]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetone and lidocaine	
fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	33	34	SMD=-2.12 [-2.49, -1.74]	very low		
fESWT vs DI	Function (Maryland Foot Score)	1	33	34	SMD=0.64 [0.36, 0.92]	very low		
Small or Very small Effect with Very low Certainty								
Achilles tendinopathy								
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	44	42	SMD=-0.47 [-0.90, -0.04]	very low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation	
Osteonecrosis of the femoral head								
fESWT vs TCMIT + MT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	75	78	SMD=0.25 [0.11, 0.38]	very low	MT: alendronate; TCMIT: xianling gubao capsule	
fESWT vs TCMIT + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	75	78	SMD=-0.17 [-0.30, -0.04]	very low		
Medium energy	Large Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty							
	Plantar fasciitis							
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	176	168	SMD=-0.55 [-0.91, -0.19]	moderate	SESWT: shockwave with sound-reflecting pad or minimal energy stimulation	

Medium energy

Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty

Rotator cuff tendinopathy								
fESWT vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	40	30	SMD=-5.20 [-7.54, -2.87]	low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture	
Erectile dysfunction								
fESWT vs SESWT	Function (Sexual Encounter Profile Question 3)	1	30	30	RR=5.50 [2.15, 14.04]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation	
Stenosing tenosynovitis								
fESWT vs DI	Function (Cooney Score)	1	29	28	SMD=0.63 [0.10, 1.16]	low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine	
Low back pain								
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	34	31	SMD=-1.19 [-1.72, -0.66]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by special polyethylene cap or of the same sound with minimal energy	
Pes anserine tendinopathy								
fESWT + RH + MT vs SESWT + RH + MT	Pain intensity (McGill Pain Questionnaire)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.79 [-1.24, -0.33]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; RH: stretching exercises; MT: gelofen	
Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome								
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	3	69	68	SMD=-4.88 [-7.77, -1.98]	low		
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	3	69	68	SMD=-5.84 [-9.36, -2.32]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by absorbing materials or without energy stimulation	
fESWT vs SESWT	Symptom (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Urinary Score)	2	39	38	SMD=-1.32 [-1.82, -0.82]	very low		
fESWT vs SESWT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	2	39	38	SMD=-1.87 [-2.41, -1.32]	very low		
fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	2	119	95	SMD=-1.13 [-1.42, -0.84]	very low		
fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	2	119	95	SMD=-0.96 [-1.25, -0.68]	very low	RH: high-frequency hyperthermia	
fESWT vs RH	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	2	119	95	SMD=-0.99 [-1.27, -0.70]	very low		
Lateral epicondylitis								
fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-0.93 [-1.39, -0.47]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy and cryotherapy	
fESWT vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.95 [-3.87, -2.03]	very low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture	
Osteonecrosis of the femoral head								
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	32	25	SMD=2.90 [2.14, 3.66]	very low	TCMIT: taohongsiwu decoction	
Achilles tendinopathy								
fESWT vs SESWT	Function (Lower Limb Functional Index)	1	22	27	SMD=1.05 [0.45, 1.65]	very low	SESWT: shockwave separated by opaque cloth	
Patellar tendinopathy								
fESWT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	24	SMD=-3.47 [-4.34, -2.60]	very low	MT: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RH: physiotherapy, exercise program, knee strap and modification of activity levels	
fESWT vs RH + MT	Symptom (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Patella Questionnaire)	1	30	24	SMD=4.77 [3.69, 5.85]	very low		
fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	69	69	SMD=-0.62 [-0.96, -0.28]	very low	DI: platelet-rich plasma	
Frozen shoulder								
fESWT vs TCMET	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	39	39	SMD=2.00 [1.45, 2.55]	very low	TCMET: tuina	
fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	47	47	SMD=-1.24 [-1.68, -0.80]	very low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy and ultrasound therapy	
Small Effect with Low Certainty								
Low back pain								
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Laitinen Pain Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.49 [-0.85, -0.13]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by special polyethylene cap	

		Large or Moderate Negative Effect with Low or Very low Certainty							
		Comparison	Outcome	Level of Evidence	Number of Patients	Number of Events	Effect Size (95% CI)	Certainty	Notes
Medium energy	Plantar heel pain	fESWT vs ST	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	11	14	SMD=1.41 [0.51, 2.31]	low	ST: endoscopic plantar fasciotomy
	fESWT vs ST	Pain intensity and function (Roles and maudslay score)	1	11	14	SMD=1.53 [0.61, 2.44]	low		
	Patellar tendinopathy	fESWT vs DI	Symptom (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Patella Questionnaire)	1	69	69	SMD=-0.61 [-0.97, -0.26]	very low	DI: platelet-rich plasma
	Carpal tunnel syndrome	fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	35	36	SMD=0.52 [0.04, 0.99]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide or mecobalamin
		Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty							
Low energy	Erectile dysfunction	fESWT vs SESWT	Function(International Index of Erectile Function-Erectile Function Domain Score)	14	505	404	SMD=0.77 [0.43, 1.10]	moderate	SESWT: shockwave separated by absorbing materials (gel pad, cap, mental plate) or without energy stimulation
	fESWT vs SESWT	Funtion(Erection Hardness Score >= 3 rate)	7	330	241	RR=2.55 [1.45, 4.47]	moderate		
	Lateral epicondylitis	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	5	196	197	SMD=-0.67 [-1.16, -0.18]	moderate	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation
		Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty							
Low energy	Carpal tunnel syndrome	fESWT vs RH	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	16	9	SMD=-0.72 [-1.21, -0.24]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy
	Rotator cuff tendinopathy	fESWT vs SESWT	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	22	18	SMD=1.15 [0.46, 1.83]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
	Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	5	158	134	SMD=-1.20 [-1.61, -0.79]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	4	126	123	SMD=-0.99 [-1.23, -0.75]	low		
	fESWT vs SESWT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	4	126	123	SMD=-1.04 [-1.28, -0.80]	low		
	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	28	26	SMD=-1.32 [-1.74, -0.90]	low		
	fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	4	123	115	SMD=-2.15 [-3.31, -0.98]	very low	RH: high-frequency hyperthermia	
	fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	4	123	115	SMD=-2.05 [-3.29, -0.82]	very low		
	Lateral epicondylitis	fESWT vs SESWT	Function and symptom (Patient-Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation Questionnaire)	1	30	28	SMD=1.64 [1.22, 2.07]	low	SESWT: shockwave with minimal energy stimulation
		fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	24	24	SMD=-1.28 [-1.90, -0.65]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine
	Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder	fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	18	SMD=-3.00 [-3.43, -2.57]	low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation
	fESWT vs SESWT	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	40	40	SMD=1.40 [0.91, 1.90]	very low		
	Cerebral palsy spasticity	fESWT vs SESWT	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	12	12	SMD=-2.56 [-6.39, -1.44]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation
	fESWT vs SESWT	Funtion (Range of Motion)	1	12	12	SMD=4.19 [2.67, 5.71]	very low		
	fESWT vs SESWT	Structure (Plantar Surface Area)	1	12	12	SMD=6.43 [4.28, 8.57]	very low		

Low energy

Post-stroke upper limb spasticity								
fESWT vs SESWT	Function (Range of Motion)	1	20	20	SMD=1.35 [0.36, 2.35]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation	
Myofascial pain syndrome								
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	18	22	SMD=-0.99 [-1.66, -0.32]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation	
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	2	18	22	SMD=1.43 [0.71, 2.14]	very low		
fESWT vs RH + DI	Function (Range of Motion)	1	30	30	SMD=1.05 [0.38, 1.72]	very low	RH: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; DI: not inform	
fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.51 [-2.09, -0.92]	very low		
fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	1	30	30	SMD=1.17 [0.62, 1.73]	very low	DI: trigger point injections	
fESWT vs DI	Function (Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.66 [-1.18, -0.14]	very low		
Plantar fasciitis								
fESWT vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	16	16	SMD=-0.78 [-1.50, -0.06]	very low	DI: methylprednisolone and mepivacaine	
Stenosing tenosynovitis								
fESWT vs DI	Function (Cooney Score)	1	30	28	SMD=1.21 [0.65, 1.78]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine	
fESWT vs SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.76 [-1.13, -0.38]	very low		
fESWT vs SESWT	Function and symptom (Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.52 [-0.99, -0.04]	very low	SESWT: shockwave separated by a specific applicator	
Small Effect with Low or Very low Certainty								
Rotator cuff tendinopathy								
fESWT vs SESWT	Function and pain intensity (Shoulder Pain and Disability Index)	1	34	40	SMD=-0.29 [-0.52, -0.06]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation	
Achilles tendinopathy								
fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.42 [-0.78, -0.06]	very low	RH: cold air therapy and high power laser therapy	
Large Negative Effect with Very low Certainty								
Achilles tendinopathy								
fESWT vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=1.56 [0.63, 2.48]	very low	RH: cold air therapy and high power laser therapy	

Note: C, control group; CI, confidence interval; CoE, certainty of evidence assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach; DI, drug injection; fESWT, focused extracorporeal shockwave therapy; MT, medication therapy; NT, no treatment; RCTs, randomised controlled trials; RH, rehabilitation; RR, relative risk; SESWT, sham extracorporeal shockwave therapy; SMD, standardized mean difference; ST, surgical treatment; T, treatment group; TCMET, traditional Chinese medicine external therapy; TCMIT, traditional Chinese medicine internal therapy.