

Supplementary Figure S11 Distribution of adjunctive radial extracorporeal shockwave therapy evidence

Energy level	Disease or condition	Outcome	No of RCTs	T sample	C sample	Effect estimate (95%CI)	CoE	Control group intervention	
High energy	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty								
	Frozen shoulder								
		rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	26	26	SMD=-0.67 [-1.23, -0.11]	low	RH: joint mobilization
		rESWT + RH vs DI + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	52	51	SMD=-0.84 [-1.08, -0.61]	low	RH: shoulder stretching exercises and pendulum exercises; DI: corticosteroids
	Post-stroke upper limb spasticity								
		rESWT + MT + RH vs SESWT + MT + RH	Symptom(Modified ashworth scale)	1	80	80	SMD=-4.38 [-6.84, -1.92]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; RH: not inform; MT: not inform
	Chronic prostatitis/ chronic pelvic pain syndrome								
		rESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	52	52	SMD=-1.32 [-1.75, -0.90]	very low	
		rESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	1	52	52	SMD=-0.89 [-1.29, -0.48]	very low	
		rESWT + MT vs MT	Symptom (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Urinary Score)	1	52	52	SMD=-0.69 [-1.33, -0.05]	very low	MT: tamsulosin
		rESWT + MT vs MT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	1	52	52	SMD=-0.80 [-1.20, -0.40]	very low	
	Myofascial pain syndrome								
		rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	15	15	SMD=3.97 [2.67, 5.26]	very low	
		rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	1	15	15	SMD=3.41 [2.24, 4.57]	very low	RH: ultrasound therapy and ischemic compression
	Patellar tendinopathy								
	rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.77 [-1.30, -0.25]	very low	TCMET: small needle-knife therapy	
Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder									
	rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.03 [-1.73, -0.32]	very low		
	rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	20	20	SMD=0.87 [0.04, 1.70]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetone and lidocaine	
	rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.70 [-1.15, -0.24]	very low	RH: pulsed shortwave diathermy and ultrasound therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation	
Medium energy	Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty								
	Osteonecrosis of the femoral head								
		rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	64	54	SMD=0.90 [0.52, 1.28]	low	TCMIT: not inform
		rESWT + MT + DI + RH vs MT + DI + RH	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	37	35	SMD=0.73 [0.25, 1.21]	very low	MT: calcium carbonate and vitamin D3, DI: tecumelum methylenediphosphonate; RH: ultrashort-wave therapy and exercises
	Knee osteoarthritis								
		rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	46	52	SMD=-0.99 [-1.41, -0.57]	low	
	rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	46	52	SMD=-1.60 [-2.06, -1.14]	low	TCMIT: duhuojisheng decoction	
	rESWT + ST vs ST	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	68	73	SMD=-0.76 [-1.10, -0.41]	low	ST: arthroscopic debridement	

Medium energy

rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	40	38	SMD=-0.92 [-1.39, -0.45]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-5.02 [-6.08, -3.96]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.43 [-2.00, -0.86]	very low	RH: zhanzhuang training
Frozen shoulder							
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	103	103	SMD=-1.04 [-2.04, -0.03]	low	RH: manual therapy, Di: hyaluronic acid or triamcinolone acetonide or mecobalamin or diprospan or lidocaine
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	109	108	SMD=-1.62 [-2.76, -0.47]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	3	86	85	SMD=4.60 [2.40, 6.80]	low	RH: joint mobilization
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	130	126	SMD=-1.16 [-2.12, -0.20]	low	MT: corticosteroids or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RH: hot pack therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	2	130	126	SMD=0.97 [0.47, 1.47]	low	
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	96	93	SMD=-1.16 [-2.04, -0.28]	very low	DI: lidocaine or cobamide or betamethasone or hyaluronic acid
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	78	78	SMD=-0.96 [-1.29, -0.63]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	16	20	SMD=1.74 [0.95, 2.52]	very low	TCMET: acupuncture or tuina
rESWT + DI vs TCMET + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	26	25	SMD=-1.79 [-2.45, -1.13]	very low	
rESWT + DI vs TCMET + DI	Quality of life (Activities of Daily Living Scale)	1	26	25	SMD=1.17 [0.57, 1.77]	very low	DI: ropivacaine and triamcinolone acetonide; TCMET: tuina
rESWT + DI vs TCMET + DI	Function (Range of Motion)	1	26	25	SMD=4.14 [1.93, 6.34]	very low	
Post-stroke upper limb spasticity							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	2	45	45	SMD=-1.66 [-2.15, -1.18]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	45	45	SMD=-2.49 [-4.71, -0.27]	very low	RH: range of motion exercises or passive stretching exercises or manual therapy or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or kinesiology tape
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	15	15	SMD=3.83 [2.57, 5.10]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=5.85 [4.65, 7.04]	very low	
Post-stroke shoulder-hand syndrome							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	25	25	SMD=-0.83 [-1.41, -0.25]	very low	RH: exercises and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	21	21	SMD=-0.71 [-1.34, -0.09]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	21	21	SMD=1.23 [0.57, 1.90]	very low	RH: occupational therapy; TCMET: manual acupuncture
Rotator cuff tear							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	29	28	SMD=-2.24 [-2.91, -1.57]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	2	83	82	SMD=1.89 [0.78, 2.99]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	2	83	82	SMD=1.62 [0.73, 2.52]	very low	RH: mobilization with movement or electromagnetic pulse therapy
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	54	54	SMD=-1.35 [-1.77, -0.93]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	54	54	SMD=1.28 [0.99, 1.58]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH +TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	146	140	SMD=-2.20 [-2.53, -1.87]	very low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy or ultrashort

Medium energy

rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH +TCMET	Function (Range of Motion)	1	80	80	SMD=1.31 [0.96, 1.65]	very low	wave therapy or hyperthermia therapy or joint mobilization or manual therapy; TCMET: acupuncture or herbal fumigation or tuina
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH +TCMET	Pain and Function (American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons Score)	1	80	80	SMD=0.79 [0.12, 1.46]	very low	
Myofascial pain syndrome							
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	90	90	SMD=-2.76 [-4.51, -1.01]	very low	TCMET: tuina or electro-acupuncture
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	60	60	SMD=-9.97 [-11.33, -8.62]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Pain Pressure Threshold)	1	20	20	SMD=1.86 [1.10, 2.61]	very low	RH: ultrasound therapy
Low back pain							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	22	21	SMD=-1.25 [-1.90, -0.59]	very low	RH: hyperthermia therapy and therapeutic exercise and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	17	15	SMD=-1.34 [-2.11, -0.56]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	34	SMD=-0.77 [-1.24, -0.29]	very low	SESWT: shockwave separated by polyethylene cap; RH: stability training
rESWT + TCMET + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Japanese Orthopaedic Association Score)	1	15	15	SMD=1.98 [1.09, 2.88]	very low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy; TCMET: cupping therapy and electro-acupuncture
Lateral epicondylitis							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	45	45	SMD=-0.52 [-0.94, -0.10]	very low	RH: mobilization with movement
rESWT + RH vs RH	Quality of life (Activities of Daily Living Scale)	1	45	45	SMD=2.66 [2.09, 3.23]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Grip Strength)	1	43	43	SMD=1.07 [0.49, 1.66]	very low	RH: antagonistic exercises
Carpal tunnel syndrome							
rESWT + UC vs SESWT + UC	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.96 [-1.45, -0.47]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; UC: wrist splint
rESWT + UC vs SESWT + UC	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	2	49	50	SMD=-1.19 [-1.55, -0.84]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	42	42	SMD=-3.34 [-3.81, -2.86]	very low	UC: wrist splint and home exercises
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	42	42	SMD=-1.61 [-1.85, -1.36]	very low	
rESWT + DI vs SESWT + DI	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	32	32	SMD=-1.57 [-2.59, -0.55]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; DI: platelet-rich plasma
rESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	35	35	SMD=-5.23 [-6.24, -4.23]	very low	MT: mecobalamin
rESWT + MT vs MT	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	35	35	SMD=-3.23 [-3.75, -2.72]	very low	
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	28	28	SMD=-0.95 [-1.34, -0.55]	very low	RH: neural mobilization; MT: mecobalamin
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Symptom (Global Symptom Score)	1	28	28	SMD=-0.83 [-1.21, -0.44]	very low	
Cerebral palsy spasticity							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	46	46	SMD=-1.09 [-1.53, -0.65]	very low	RH: exercises or occupational therapy or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or physiotherapy or balance training
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function(Gross Motor Function Measure-88)	2	64	64	SMD=1.46 [1.15, 1.77]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	29	29	SMD=-0.88 [-1.42, -0.34]	very low	DI: botulinum toxin type A; RH: exercises and occupational therapy and wax therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
rESWT + DI vs DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.91 [-1.29, -0.53]	very low	DI: botulinum toxin type A

Medium energy

Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder								
rESWT + TCMET + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	43	42	SMD=1.03 [0.29, 1.78]	very low	TCMET: herbal fumigation; RH: massage	
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	35	35	SMD=0.69 [0.05, 1.33]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine	
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	1	35	35	SMD=1.38 [0.18, 2.58]	very low		
Rotator cuff tendinopathy								
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	54	53	SMD=2.10 [0.80, 3.41]	very low	RH: functional training	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	54	53	SMD=-0.76 [-1.04, -0.48]	very low		
Plantar heel pain								
rESWT + TCMET vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	50	50	SMD=-0.75 [-1.15, -0.34]	very low	TCMET: herbal fumigation; MT: ibuprofen	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	19	19	SMD=-1.07 [-1.75, -0.38]	very low	TCMET: manual acupuncture	

Small Effect with Very low Certainty

Rotator cuff tear								
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH +TCMET	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	44	44	SMD=0.45 [0.03, 0.87]	very low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy; TCMET: acupuncture	
Frozen shoulder								
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-0.48 [-0.78, -0.18]	very low	DI: lidocaine and cobamide	

Large Negative Effect with Very low Certainty

Myofascial pain syndrome								
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=1.23 [0.55, 1.91]	very low	RH: ultrasound therapy	

Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty

Low energy

Cerebral palsy spasticity								
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	9	277	273	SMD=-1.28 [-1.46, -1.09]	moderate	RH: neurodevelopmental therapy or strengthening exercises or proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation or balance training or gait training or physiotherapy or occupational therapy or speech therapy or orthotic treatment or electromyographic biofeedback therapy or massage or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or wax therapy	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Gross Motor Function Measure-88)	6	189	198	SMD=0.74 [0.53, 0.94]	moderate		
Post-stroke lower limb spasticity								
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	6	191	193	SMD=-1.18 [-1.39, -0.96]	moderate	RH: neurodevelopmental therapy or bridge exercises or transfer training or balance training or ladder safety training or physiotherapy or electromyographic biofeedback therapy or gait training or occupational therapy or manual therapy or orthotic treatment	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	8	246	248	SMD=1.13 [0.95, 1.32]	moderate		
Frozen shoulder								
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	177	177	SMD=-1.62 [-1.86, -1.38]	moderate	DI: hyaluronic acid or betamethasone or lidocaine or ozone or triamcinolone acetonide or methylprednisolone	

Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty

Low energy

Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty							
Diabetic foot ulcers							
rESWT + SC vs SC	Structure (Wound Surface Area)	2	39	39	SMD=-0.81 [-1.27, -0.34]	low	SC: debridement or dressing or pressure relief
rESWT + SC vs SC	Structure (Percentage of re-epithelialization)	2	39	39	SMD=1.00 [0.52, 1.47]	low	
Cerebral palsy spasticity							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Funtion (Range of Motion)	5	138	135	SMD=0.94 [0.56, 1.33]	low	RH: neurodevelopmental therapy or strengthening exercises or proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation or balance training or gait training or physiotherapy or occupational therapy or speech therapy or orthotic treatment or electromyographic biofeedback therapy or massage or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or wax therapy
rESWT + RH vs RH	Structure (Plantar Surface Area)	3	122	118	SMD=2.20 [1.04, 3.35]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Funtion (Peabody Developmental Motor Scale)	2	38	39	SMD=1.01 [0.50, 1.53]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	10	9	SMD=-1.46 [-2.50, -0.42]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by gauze; RH: sensory integration therapy and physiotherapy and orthotic treatment
rESWT + DI vs DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	7	8	SMD=-1.09 [-1.87, -0.30]	very low	DI: botulinum toxin type A
rESWT + DI vs DI	Funtion (Passive Range of Motion)	1	7	8	SMD=0.67 [-0.07, 1.42]	very low	
Post-stroke upper limb spasticity							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Tradieu Scale)	1	30	25	SMD=0.61 [0.33, 0.88]	low	RH: range of motion exercises or neurodevelopmental therapy or exercises
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	24	24	SMD=-1.25 [-1.87, -0.63]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	4	113	115	SMD=-1.63 [-2.77, -0.48]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	5	148	150	SMD=0.78 [0.50, 1.06]	very low	RH: neurodevelopmental therapy or electromyographic biofeedback therapy or occupational therapy or exercises or balance training or manual therapy; TCMET: manual acupuncture or electro-acupuncture
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	3	83	85	SMD=0.51 [0.18, 0.85]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	35	35	SMD=-0.97 [-1.47, -0.48]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.74 [-1.05, -0.44]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=4.47 [3.78, 5.15]	very low	RH: physiotherapy or neurodevelopmental therapy or proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation or Rood's approach or exercises; DI: botulinum toxin type A
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	30	30	SMD=1.49 [0.62, 2.36]	very low	
rESWT + TCMIT vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-4.60 [-6.71, -2.50]	very low	
rESWT + TCMIT vs RH	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=3.37 [1.64, 5.10]	very low	RH: exercises; TCMIT: buyanghuanwu decoction
rESWT + TCMIT vs RH	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	30	30	SMD=0.95 [0.38, 1.52]	very low	
rESWT + MT vs RH + MT	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=1.41 [0.84, 1.98]	very low	RH: range of motion exercises; MT: baclofen
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	28	28	SMD=-0.77 [-1.20, -0.33]	very low	RH: neurodevelopmental therapy and range of motion exercises and balance training; SESWT: shockwave separated by gauze
rESWT + TCMET vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	2	43	43	SMD=-1.76 [-3.01, -0.52]	very low	RH: exercises or massage or neurodevelopmental therapy; TCMET: manual acupuncture and moxibustion
rESWT + TCMET vs RH	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	2	43	43	SMD=1.16 [0.70, 1.62]	very low	RH: exercises or massage or neurodevelopmental therapy; TCMET: manual acupuncture and moxibustion
Rotator cuff tendinopathy							

Low energy

rESWT + RH + DI vs SESWT + RH + DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	52	54	SMD=1.32 [0.22, 2.41]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by gauze; RH: infrared therapy; DI: lidocaine
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	52	54	SMD=0.64 [0.12, 1.16]	very low	RH: wax therapy; TCMET: tuina
Post-stroke lower limb spasticity							
rESWT + RH + MT vs SESWT + RH + MT	Function (Passive Range of Motion)	2	57	57	SMD=0.51 [0.13, 0.88]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; MT: not inform; RH: range of motion exercises
rESWT + RH + MT vs SESWT + RH + MT	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	27	27	SMD=0.70 [0.03, 1.38]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	2	32	31	SMD=0.80 [0.29, 1.32]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Function (Passive Range of Motion)	1	12	11	SMD=1.06 [0.42, 1.69]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	12	11	SMD=-1.01 [-1.89, -0.13]	low	RH: joint mobilization or transfer training or balance training or gait training or neurodevelopmental therapy or weight shifting exercises or facilitation techniques or range of motion exercises and progressive resistance exercise or occupational therapy or visual feedback balance training
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Function (Range of Motion)	1	17	16	SMD=0.66 [0.16, 1.16]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	17	16	SMD=1.28 [0.74, 1.81]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Symptom (Modified Tradiou Scale)	1	17	16	SMD=1.28 [0.74, 1.81]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH + SESWT	Symptom (Composite Spasticity Scale)	1	10	10	SMD=-2.51 [-3.75, -1.28]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.18 [-1.87, -0.48]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=1.07 [0.07, 2.07]	very low	RH: physiotherapy or neurodevelopmental therapy or proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation or Rood's approach or exercises; DI: botulinum toxin type A
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	20	20	SMD=0.56 [0.14, 0.99]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Composite Spasticity Scale)	2	60	60	SMD=-0.83 [-1.07, -0.59]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Passive Range of Motion)	2	60	60	SMD=0.58 [0.34, 0.81]	very low	RH: neurodevelopmental therapy or bridge exercises or transfer training or balance training or ladder safety training or physiotherapy or electromyographic biofeedback therapy or gait training or occupational therapy or manual therapy or orthotic treatment
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	2	75	75	SMD=0.67 [0.44, 0.91]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	60	60	SMD=1.22 [0.83, 1.61]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	22	21	SMD=1.10 [0.46, 1.75]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs RH	Symptom (Composite Spasticity Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=-0.65 [-1.15, -0.14]	very low	RH: joint mobilization or balance training or gait training or muscle training; TCMET: electro-acupuncture
rESWT + TCMET vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=1.05 [0.53, 1.58]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Symptom (Composite Spasticity Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=-0.60 [-1.10, -0.09]	very low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=0.89 [0.37, 1.40]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET + RH vs RH	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.12 [-1.67, -0.57]	very low	RH: postural control or bridge exercises or transfer training or gait training or balance training or exercises; TCMET: electro-acupuncture or scalp acupuncture
rESWT + TCMET + RH vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	25	25	SMD=1.05 [0.45, 1.64]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs SESWT + RH + DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	18	18	SMD=-1.10 [-1.60, -0.60]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; DI: botulinum toxin type A; RH: range of motion exercises and balance training and muscle training
rESWT + RH + DI vs SESWT + RH + DI	Function (Passive Range of Motion)	1	18	18	SMD=1.17 [0.66, 1.67]	very low	
Frozen shoulder							
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.71 [-2.45, -0.98]	low	TCMET: herbal fumigation

Low energy

rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	266	266	SMD=-1.42 [-1.96, -0.88]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	31	31	SMD=1.84 [1.24, 2.44]	low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	3	167	165	SMD=-2.17 [-2.34, -2.00]	low	RH: manual therapy or microwave therapy or joint mobilization or ultrashort-wave therapy or pulsed radiofrequency treatment or medium-frequency electrotherapy
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function and pain intensity (Shoulder Pain and Disability Index)	2	95	95	SMD=-1.92 [-2.27, -1.57]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	3	104	104	SMD=1.43 [0.81, 2.05]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Quality of life (36-item Short-Form)	4	206	204	SMD=1.99 [0.47, 3.50]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	1	45	45	SMD=0.47 [0.05, 0.89]	very low	
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Range of Motion)	1	20	20	SMD=1.89 [1.36, 2.41]	very low	DI: hyaluronic acid or betamethasone or lidocaine or ozone or triamcinolone acetonide or methylprednisolone
rESWT + DI vs DI	Quality of life (Activities of daily living scale)	1	44	44	SMD=0.71 [0.28, 1.14]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	60	60	SMD=-1.30 [-2.51, -0.10]	very low	RH: ultrashort wave therapy or phototherapy; TCMET: tuina or fufangnanxingzhitonggao
rESWT + TCMET vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	91	91	SMD=-0.78 [-1.08, -0.48]	very low	TCMET: balance acupuncture; DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine
rESWT + TCMET vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	91	91	SMD=0.86 [0.55, 1.16]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	43	43	SMD=-0.61 [-1.05, -0.18]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	1	43	43	SMD=0.59 [0.39, 0.78]	very low	TCMET: manual acupuncture; RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy
rESWT + RH + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Range of Motion)	1	43	43	SMD=0.56 [0.36, 0.75]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	56	56	SMD=-1.30 [-1.82, -0.78]	very low	TCMET: tuina
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	49	50	SMD=1.31 [0.98, 1.65]	very low	RH: range of motion exercises and balance training and muscle training; DI: hyaluronic acid
Myofascial pain syndrome							
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	19	18	SMD=-1.20 [-1.91, -0.50]	low	RH: ultrasound therapy; MT: tizanidine and meloxicam
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Function (Neck Disability Index)	1	38	36	SMD=-0.46 [-0.95, 0.04]	very low	
rESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	28	36	SMD=-1.71 [-2.91, -0.51]	very low	MT: dichlofenac
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	26	29	SMD=-2.76 [-3.97, -1.54]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine and mecobalamin
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Range of Motion)	1	26	29	SMD=2.13 [1.46, 2.80]	very low	
rESWT + RH + DI vs RH + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	43	44	SMD=-0.59 [-0.91, -0.27]	very low	DI: methylprednisolone or lidocaine or mecobalamin; RH: hot pack therapy and exercises
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	45	45	SMD=-3.07 [-3.69, -2.45]	very low	TCMET: manual acupuncture and moxibustion
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	45	45	SMD=-2.84 [-3.43, -2.25]	very low	
rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMET+ TCMIT	Function (Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire)	1	32	32	SMD=-1.37 [-1.92, -0.82]	very low	TCMET: tuina; TCMIT: juanbi decoction
rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMET+ TCMIT	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	32	32	SMD=-1.27 [-1.81, -0.73]	very low	TCMET: tuina; TCMIT: juanbi decoction
Knee osteoarthritis							
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	67	65	SMD=-1.10 [-1.47, -0.73]	low	DI: hyaluronic acid
rESWT + RH vs RH + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	21	21	SMD=-0.74 [-1.36, -0.11]	very low	RH: ozone therapy; DI: hyaluronic acid

Low energy

rESWT + DI vs TCMET + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.84 [-1.61, -0.06]	very low	DI: ozone; TCMET: manual acupuncture
rESWT + DI vs TCMET + DI	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.70 [-2.12, -1.28]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	38	38	SMD=-0.83 [-1.16, -0.49]	very low	TCMET: herbal fumigation
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Lysholm Score)	1	38	38	SMD=0.67 [0.34, 1.00]	very low	
rESWT + ST vs ST	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.45 [-1.95, -0.96]	very low	
rESWT + ST vs ST	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.87 [-2.40, -1.34]	very low	ST: arthroscopic knee debridement
rESWT + ST vs ST	Function (Lysholm Score)	1	40	40	SMD=1.24 [0.76, 1.72]	very low	
rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	33	32	SMD=-1.82 [-2.40, -1.23]	very low	TCMIT: huamoyankeli
rESWT + TCMIT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=-2.76 [-3.46, -2.07]	very low	TCMIT: herbal fumigation; MT: diclofenac
rESWT + TCMIT vs MT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	32	32	SMD=-2.40 [-3.05, -1.75]	very low	
Carpal tunnel syndrome							
rESWT + UC vs SESWT + UC	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	2	55	55	SMD=-1.67 [-2.52, -0.83]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; UC: wrist splint
rESWT + DI vs SESWT + DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.62 [-2.28, -0.97]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; DI: triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.07 [-1.98, -0.16]	very low	RH: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and ultrasound therapy
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.71 [-1.28, -0.15]	very low	
rESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.69 [-1.21, -0.16]	very low	MT: mecobalamin
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.92 [-1.29, -0.54]	very low	
Cervical spondylotic radiculopathy							
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	141	141	SMD=-1.54 [-2.37, -0.70]	very low	TCMET: tuina or manual acupuncture or electro-acupuncture
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Neck Disability Index)	1	43	43	SMD=-4.17 [-4.94, -3.41]	very low	
rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function (Neck Disability Index)	1	40	38	SMD=-3.77 [-4.52, -3.02]	very low	TCMIT: jingshukeli and gegen decoction
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Neck Disability Index)	1	42	42	SMD=-1.40 [-1.88, -0.92]	very low	RH: massage or cervical traction or joint mobilization
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	90	90	SMD=-1.87 [-2.90, -0.83]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	35	35	SMD=-0.62 [-1.10, -0.14]	very low	RH: cervical traction; TCMET: tuina
Stenosing tenosynovitis							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	56	56	SMD=-1.21 [-1.57, -0.85]	very low	RH: manual therapy or light therapy
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function and symptom (Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation)	1	24	24	SMD=-1.96 [-3.21, -0.71]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	32	32	SMD=1.59 [1.11, 2.07]	very low	RH: manual therapy or light therapy
Decubitus ulceration							
rESWT + RH +SC vs SC	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.55 [-1.06, -0.03]	very low	RH: microcurrent therapy; SC: debridement and dressing and air cushion bed
Rotator cuff tear							

Low energy

rESWT + TCMIT + RH vs TCMIT + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-1.08 [-1.62, -0.53]	very low	TCMIT: xujinjiegu decoction; RH: manual therapy
rESWT + TCMIT + RH vs TCMIT + RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	30	30	SMD=0.61 [0.09, 1.13]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	31	31	SMD=-1.59 [-2.17, -1.02]	very low	RH: water-filtered infrared-A irradiation
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	1	31	31	SMD=2.18 [1.54, 2.81]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	31	31	SMD=0.99 [0.47, 1.51]	very low	DI: platelet-rich plasma
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	24	24	SMD=-1.06 [-1.59, -0.54]	very low	
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	24	24	SMD=1.44 [1.12, 1.76]	very low	
Post-stroke shoulder-hand syndrome							
rESWT + RH + MT vs TCMET + RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	28	28	SMD=-0.83 [-1.37, -0.28]	very low	TCMET: manual acupuncture; RH: general rehabilitation; MT: general medication
rESWT + RH + MT vs TCMET + RH + MT	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	28	28	SMD=0.55 [0.02, 1.09]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET + MT vs TCMET + RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	89	89	SMD=-1.25 [-1.89, -0.61]	very low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture or manual acupuncture; RH: general rehabilitation; MT: general medication
rESWT + RH + TCMET + MT vs TCMET + RH + MT	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	2	50	50	SMD=0.68 [0.27, 1.08]	very low	
rESWT + RH + TCMET + MT vs TCMET + RH + MT	Function (Range of Motion)	1	30	30	SMD=0.77 [0.24, 1.30]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	94	94	SMD=-1.60 [-2.20, -1.00]	very low	RH: joint mobilization or low-frequency sound wave therapy or sling exercise training or manual lymphatic drainage technique or transfer training or range of motion exercises
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	2	75	75	SMD=2.83 [0.82, 4.84]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	19	19	SMD=0.83 [0.41, 1.24]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	29	29	SMD=5.91 [4.68, 7.13]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Quality of life (Modified Barthel Index)	1	46	46	SMD=0.99 [0.69, 1.30]	very low	TCMET: manual acupuncture; RH: occupational therapy
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	43	43	SMD=-1.18 [-1.64, -0.72]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	43	43	SMD=1.19 [0.73, 1.65]	very low	
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=-0.86 [-1.37, -0.35]	very low	
rESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Function (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=2.90 [2.18, 3.61]	very low	RH: general rehabilitation; MT: general medication
Low back pain							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	3	99	98	SMD=-1.03 [-1.33, -0.73]	very low	RH: posterior pelvic tilt exercise or abdominal stretching exercise or core strength training or respiratory muscle training
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	37	36	SMD=-1.23 [-1.79, -0.68]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire)	1	32	32	SMD=-0.79 [-1.30, -0.28]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Quality of life (36-item Short-Form)	1	32	32	SMD=0.90 [0.39, 1.42]	very low	MT: flurbiprofen
rESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	24	22	SMD=-2.36 [-3.55, -1.17]	very low	
rESWT + MT vs MT	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	24	22	SMD=-1.48 [-2.15, -0.81]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET + RH vs TCMET + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	56	56	SMD=0.98 [-1.37, -0.58]	very low	TCMET: tuina or herbal fumigation; RH: interferential

Low energy

rESWT + TCMET + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire)	1	25	25	SMD=-1.48 [-2.11, -0.85]	very low	therapy or core strength training or magneto-thermo-vibration therapy
rESWT + TCMET + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale)	1	31	31	SMD=-0.61 [-1.12, -0.10]	very low	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=-1.80 [-2.23, -1.38]	very low	TCMET: herbal paste
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	60	60	SMD=-2.73 [-3.23, -2.23]	very low	
Plantar fasciitis							
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (McGill Pain Questionnaire)	1	40	40	SMD=-0.85 [-1.12, -0.59]	very low	
rESWT + DI vs DI	Structure (Plantar Fascia Thickness)	1	40	40	SMD=-0.50 [-0.76, -0.24]	very low	DI: lidocaine and betamethasone
rESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	40	40	SMD=0.76 [0.50, 1.02]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	23	23	SMD=-2.90 [-3.74, -2.05]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	23	23	SMD=2.29 [1.53, 3.05]	very low	RH: deep muscle stimulator
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity and function (Roles and maudsley score)	1	23	23	SMD=-2.28 [-3.04, -1.52]	very low	
Osteonecrosis of the femoral head							
rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	2	58	58	SMD=0.89 [0.18, 1.61]	very low	TCMIT: boneerosionjiaonang or xiaozhonghuoxue decoction
rESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	58	58	SMD=-0.67 [-1.31, -0.04]	very low	
Breast cancer-related lymphedema							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Structure (Volume of Lymphedema)	1	20	20	SMD=-2.77 [-3.66, -1.88]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Structure (Skin Thickness)	1	21	22	SMD=-0.68 [-1.30, -0.06]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	20	20	SMD=1.07 [0.68, 1.46]	very low	RH: complex decongestive therapy
rESWT + RH vs RH	Structure (Modified Rodnan Skin Score)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.82 [-1.28, -0.36]	very low	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Breast Cancer and Lymphedema Symptom Experience Index)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.76 [-1.21, -0.30]	very low	
Post-burn pathological scar							
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	46	46	SMD=-0.75 [-1.17, -0.33]	very low	RH: pressure therapy and exercises and audiofrequency current therapy and occupational therapy
Bone marrow edema							
rESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	30	30	SMD=1.28 [0.72, 1.83]	very low	DI: hyaluronic acid
Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome							
rESWT + MT + TCMIT vs MT + TCMIT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.77 [-1.04, -0.51]	very low	MT: α -blocker and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and tamsulosin and diclofenac; TCMIT: qianliebeixijiaonang
rESWT + MT + TCMIT vs MT + TCMIT	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.93 [-1.20, -0.66]	very low	
Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder							
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	28	28	SMD=-2.90 [-3.67, -2.14]	very low	TCMET: directional drug penetration treatment; RH: microwave therapy
rESWT + RH vs TCMET + RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	28	28	SMD=1.24 [0.67, 1.82]	very low	TCMET: directional drug penetration treatment; RH: microwave therapy

Low energy

Plantar heel pain								
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	50	50	SMD=-3.48 [-4.12, -2.84]	very low	TCMET: herbal fumigation	
rESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Maryland Foot Score)	2	50	50	SMD=2.55 [-0.46, 5.56]	very low		
rESWT + RH vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	63	63	SMD=-1.40 [-2.46, -0.34]	very low	DI: triamcinolone acetone or lidocaine; RH: plantar aponeurosis traction training	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.85 [-1.23, -0.47]	very low	RH: polarized light therapy or pulsed radiofrequency treatment	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Maryland Foot Score)	1	20	20	SMD=1.00 [0.67, 1.32]	very low		
Patellar tendinopathy								
rESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-0.80 [-1.25, -0.34]	very low	RH: manual therapy	
rESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Lysholm Score)	1	40	40	SMD=22.29 [18.72, 25.86]	very low		
Small Effect with Very low Certainty								
Carpal tunnel syndrome								
rESWT + UC vs UC	Function and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	60	75	SMD=-0.23 [-0.43, -0.03]	very low	UC: wrist splint	
Decubitus ulceration								
rESWT + RH +SC vs SC	Structure (Pressure ulcer scale for healing)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.42 [-0.71, -0.12]	very low	RH: microcurrent therapy; SC: debridement and dressing and air cushion bed	
Chronic prostatitis/ chronic pelvic pain syndrome								
rESWT + MT + TCMIT vs MT + TCMIT	Symptom (International Prostatism Symptom Score)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.46 [-0.72, -0.20]	very low	MT: α -blocker and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and tamsulosin and diclofenac; TCMIT: qianliebeixijiaonang	
Erectile dysfunction								
rESWT + MT vs MT	Function(International Index of Erectile Function-Erectile Function Domain Score)	1	81	42	SMD=0.43 [0.07, 0.78]	very low	MT: tadalafil	
Large Negative Effect with Very low Certainty								
Knee osteoarthritis								
rESWT + RH vs RH + DI	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	21	21	SMD=1.51 [0.82, 2.21]	very low	RH: ozone therapy; DI: hyaluronic acid	

Note: C, control group; CI, confidence interval; CoE, certainty of evidence assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach; DI, drug injection; MT, medication therapy; RCTs, randomised controlled trials; rESWT, radial extracorporeal shockwave therapy; RH, rehabilitation; SC, standard care; SESWT, sham extracorporeal shockwave therapy; SMD, standardized mean difference; T, treatment group; TCMET, traditional Chinese medicine external therapy; TCMIT, traditional Chinese medicine internal therapy; UC, usual care.