

## Supplementary Figure S10 Distribution of adjunctive focused extracorporeal shockwave therapy evidence

Energy level	Disease or condition	Outcome	No of RCTs	T sample	C sample	Effect estimate (95%CI)	CoE	Control group intervention
High energy	<b>Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Very low Certainty</b>							
	<b>Bone marrow edema</b>							
	fESWT + RH vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.51 [-2.02, -1.00]	very low	RH: partial weight-bearing gait and walking aids; MT: alendronate and alprostadil
	fESWT + RH vs RH + MT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.04 [-1.38, -0.71]	very low	
	<b>Frozen shoulder</b>							
	fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	42	42	SMD=-1.39 [-1.87, -0.91]	very low	RH: manual therapy
	<b>Rotator cuff tear</b>							
	fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.98 [-2.52, -1.43]	very low	RH: mobility exercises and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; TCMET: herbal fumigation
	fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	1	40	40	SMD=0.62 [0.35, 0.89]	very low	
	fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	40	40	SMD=1.35 [0.78, 1.91]	very low	
	<b>Achilles tendinopathy</b>							
	fESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=-1.61 [-2.18, -1.04]	very low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture
	<b>Plantar fasciitis</b>							
	fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-0.74 [-1.27, -0.22]	very low	RH: stretching exercises and muscle energy techniques and kinesiology taping
	fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity, function and alignment (American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society Score)	1	30	30	SMD=1.87 [1.26, 2.49]	very low	
	fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	30	30	SMD=1.11 [0.57, 1.66]	very low	
<b>Osteonecrosis of the femoral head</b>								
fESWT + TCMIT + TCMET vs TCMIT + TCMET + MT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	30	30	SMD=0.93 [0.40, 1.47]	very low	TCMIT: yishenqiangguwan; TCMET: warm acupuncture and tuina; MT: calcium carbonate	
fESWT + TCMIT + TCMET vs TCMIT + TCMET + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-2.31 [-2.97, -1.64]	very low		
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	35	35	SMD=0.61 [0.33, 0.89]	very low	TCMIT: gugutouhuaisiyujiaonang	
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	35	35	SMD=0.52 [0.28, 0.77]	very low	SESWT: shockwave with minimal energy stimulation; MT: celecoxib and alendronate	
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	35	35	SMD=-0.77 [-1.46, -0.09]	very low		
Medium energy	<b>Moderate Positive Effect with Moderate Certainty</b>							
	<b>Frozen shoulder</b>							
	fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	4	171	169	SMD=-0.70 [-0.94, -0.46]	moderate	RH: short wave diathermy or manual therapy or massage or joint mobilization
	<b>Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty</b>							
	<b>Diabetic foot ulcers</b>							
fESWT + SC vs SC	Structure (Wound Surface Area)	1	10	11	SMD=-1.49 [-2.48, -0.50]	low	SC: reference to Danish national clinical guidelines	
<b>Rotator cuff tendinopathy</b>								
fESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-5.94 [-8.73, -3.15]	low	TCMET: electro-acupuncture	
fESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	40	40	SMD=1.60 [0.14, 3.05]	low		
<b>Rotator cuff tear</b>								

# Medium energy

fESWT + TCMIT +RH vs TCMIT +RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=-2.20 [-3.40, -1.00]	low	TCMIT: xujinjiegu decoction; RH: manual therapy and massage	
fESWT + TCMIT +RH vs TCMIT +RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	60	60	SMD=1.22 [0.76, 1.67]	low		
<b>Knee osteoarthritis</b>								
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	19	28	SMD=-1.34 [-1.92, -0.75]	low	RH: muscular strength exercises and ultrasound therapy	
fESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Lequesne Index)	1	28	27	SMD=-2.23 [-2.91, -1.54]	low		
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	27	43	SMD=0.99 [0.42, 1.55]	low		
fESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.07 [-1.54, -0.60]	low		DI: hyaluronic acid
fESWT + DI vs DI	Symptom (Lequesne Index)	1	28	27	SMD=-0.58 [-1.03, -0.13]	low		
fESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	1	27	43	SMD=-1.96 [-2.47, -1.45]	low	MT: glucosamine	
<b>Post-burn pathological scar</b>								
fESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Pain intensity (Numerical Rating Scale)	2	43	45	SMD=-1.13 [-1.58, -0.68]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; RH: comprehensive rehabilitation therapy	
fESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Structure (Scar Thickness)	2	43	45	SMD=-0.75 [-1.19, -0.32]	low		
fESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Symptom (Transepidermal water loss)	1	20	20	SMD=-1.61 [-2.33, -0.88]	low		
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	63	65	SMD=-0.78 [-1.14, -0.42]	low	RH: comprehensive rehabilitation therapy	
fESWT + RH vs RH	Structure (Scar Thickness)	1	25	23	SMD=-0.94 [-1.54, -0.34]	low		
<b>Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome</b>								
fESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	90	90	SMD=-1.07 [-1.57, -0.57]	low	MT: $\alpha$ -blocker or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or muscle relaxants	
fESWT + MT vs MT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	1	90	90	SMD=-1.23 [-1.72, -0.74]	low		
fESWT + MT vs MT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	1	90	90	SMD=-0.86 [-1.17, -0.55]	low		
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Pain intensity, urinary symptoms, and quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Total Score)	1	16	15	SMD=-1.34 [-2.13, -0.55]	very low	SESWT: shockwave without energy stimulation; MT: $\alpha$ -blocker or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or antibiotics or muscle relaxants	
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Pain intensity (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Pain Score)	1	16	15	SMD=-0.85 [-1.59, -0.11]	very low		
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Symptom (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Urinary Score)	1	16	15	SMD=-0.95 [-1.70, -0.21]	very low		
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Quality of life (National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index Quality of Life Score)	1	16	15	SMD=-1.31 [-2.09, -0.52]	very low		
fESWT + MT vs SESWT + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	16	15	SMD=-1.35 [-2.14, -0.56]	very low		
<b>Frozen shoulder</b>								
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	2	64	64	SMD=1.53 [1.13, 1.93]	low	RH: short wave diathermy or manual therapy or massage or joint mobilization	
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function and pain intensity (Shoulder Pain and Disability Index)	1	49	49	SMD=-7.79 [-8.97, -6.61]	very low		
fESWT + DI vs DI	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=-1.73 [-2.15, -1.31]	very low	DI: prednisolone and lidocaine	
fESWT + DI vs DI	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	60	60	SMD=2.11 [1.66, 2.56]	very low		
fESWT + DI vs DI	Quality of life (Activity of Daily Living Scale)	1	60	60	SMD=5.23 [4.47, 5.99]	very low		
<b>Osteonecrosis of the femoral head</b>								
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	2	61	61	SMD=1.86 [0.97, 2.74]	very low	RH: hyperbaric oxygen therapy or ozone therapy	
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	40	40	SMD=-1.22 [-1.70, -0.74]	very low		
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	30	30	SMD=4.66 [3.66, 5.66]	very low	TCMIT: xianlinggubaojiaonang	
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	30	30	SMD=-2.15 [-2.80, -1.51]	very low		
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Quality of life (36-item Short-Form)	1	30	30	SMD=0.97 [0.78, 1.16]	very low	TCMIT: xianlinggubaojiaonang	

**Medium energy**

<b>Post-stroke shoulder-hand svndrome</b>								
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	35	35	SMD=-0.65 [-1.13, -0.16]	very low	RH: massage and exercises	
fESWT + RH vs RH	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	1	35	35	SMD=1.52 [0.99, 2.06]	very low		
fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	78	76	SMD=-1.06 [-1.40, -0.72]	very low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy or mobility exercises; TCMET: manual acupuncture	
fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Funtion (Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale)	2	78	76	SMD=3.03 [2.56, 3.50]	very low		
<b>Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder</b>								
fESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	28	28	SMD=-1.55 [-3.03, -0.06]	very low	DI: diclofenac; RH: microwave therapy	
<b>Plantar heel pain</b>								
fESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Function (Maryland Foot Score)	1	30	30	SMD=0.91 [0.60, 1.21]	very low	TCMET: laser needle-knife	
<b>Carpal tunnel syndrome</b>								
fESWT + MT + DI vs DI + MT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.85 [-1.50, -0.20]	very low		
fESWT + MT + DI vs DI + MT	Funtion and symptom (Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Questionnaire)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.55 [-1.00, -0.11]	very low	MT: mecobalamin; DI: betamethasone	
fESWT + MT + DI vs DI + MT	Quality of life (36-item Short-Form)	1	20	20	SMD=0.69 [0.05, 1.33]	very low		

**Small Effect with Low Certainty**

<b>Patellar tendinopathy</b>								
fESWT + RH vs RH	Symptom (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment-Patella Questionnaire)	1	66	90	SMD=-0.34 [-0.66, -0.02]	low	RH: eccentric exercises	

**Large or Moderate Positive Effect with Low or Very low Certainty**

**Low energy**

<b>Erectile dysfunction</b>								
fESWT + MT vs MT	Function(International Index of Erectile Function-Erectile Function Domain Score)	1	34	41	SMD=0.75 [0.29, 1.21]	low	MT: tadalafil	
fESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Function(International Index of Erectile Function-Erectile Function Domain Score)	1	21	21	SMD=1.45 [0.76, 2.13]	low	RH: kegel exercises	
<b>Calcific tendinitis of the shoulder</b>								
fESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	47	41	SMD=1.54 [1.06, 2.01]	low	SESWT: shockwave separated by airchambered polyethylene foil; RH: joint mobilization and traction techniques and massage and manual therapy	
fESWT + RH vs SESWT + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	47	41	SMD=-1.93 [-2.44, -1.42]	low		
<b>Post-stroke upper limb spasticity</b>								
fESWT + DI vs SESWT + DI	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	1	32	32	SMD=-0.86 [-1.38, -0.35]	low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; DI: botulinum toxin type A	
fESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Symptom (Modified Ashworth Scale)	2	41	39	SMD=-0.86 [-1.32, -0.39]	very low	RH: range of motion exercises or stretching exercises or physical therapy; MT: antispastic medication	
fESWT + RH + MT vs RH + MT	Funtion (Passive Range of Motion)	2	41	39	SMD=0.60 [0.13, 1.06]	very low		
<b>Low back pain</b>								
fESWT + RH vs DI + RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	27	27	SMD=-1.02 [-1.59, -0.45]	low	DI: triamcinolone and lidocaine; RH: stretching exercises	
fESWT + RH vs DI + RH	Function (Oswestry Disability Index)	1	27	27	SMD=-4.52 [-5.55, -3.48]	low		
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	15	15	SMD=-1.34 [-2.14, -0.54]	very low	RH: manual therapy and stretching exercises	
<b>Carpal tunnel syndrome</b>								
fESWT + UC vs SESWT + UC	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	10	10	SMD=-1.43 [-2.21, -0.66]	very low	SESWT: shockwave of the same sound without energy stimulation; UC: wrist splint	
<b>Diabetic foot ulcers</b>								
fESWT + SC vs SC	Structure (Wound Surface Area)	2	49	29	SMD=-0.69 [-1.18, -0.19]	very low	SC: regular dressing or therapeutic footwear or debridement	
<b>Frozen shoulder</b>								
fESWT + TCMET vs TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	65	64	SMD=-0.68 [-1.03, -0.32]	very low	TCMET: tuina	

Low energy

<b>Rotator cuff tear</b>									
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	34	34	SMD=-0.53 [-0.81, -0.25]	very low	RH: comprehensive rehabilitation therapy		
<b>Knee osteoarthritis</b>									
fESWT + RH vs RH	Pain intensity, stiffness and physical function (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index)	2	19	28	SMD=-0.84 [-1.47, -0.22]	very low	RH: mobilization with movement or interferential current therapy or exercises		
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	2	27	43	SMD=1.46 [0.68, 2.24]	very low			
<b>Osteonecrosis of the femoral head</b>									
fESWT + ST vs ST	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	2	73	79	SMD=0.50 [0.26, 0.74]	very low	ST: advanced core decompression or synthetic bone substitutes		
fESWT + ST vs ST	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	2	73	79	SMD=-0.59 [-0.93, -0.26]	very low			
<b>Breast cancer-related lymphedema</b>									
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	30	30	SMD=1.08 [0.55, 1.61]	very low	RH: complex decongestive therapy		
<b>Bone marrow edema</b>									
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Function and symptom (Harris Hip Score)	1	20	20	SMD=0.98 [0.35, 1.60]	very low	TCMIT: yishenhuoxue decoction		
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Quality of life (36-item Short-Form)	1	20	20	SMD=0.83 [0.60, 1.06]	very low			
<b>Proximal hamstring tendinopathy</b>									
fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	50	50	SMD=-7.77 [-11.34, -4.20]	very low	RH: medium-frequency electrotherapy; TCMET: electro-acupuncture		
<b>Small Effect with Very low Certainty</b>									
<b>Cerebral palsy spasticity</b>									
fESWT + RH + TCMET vs RH + TCMET	Function (Passive Range of Motion)	1	28	32	SMD=-0.31 [-0.56, -0.05]	very low	RH: exercises; TCMET: tuina		
<b>Rotator cuff tear</b>									
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score)	1	34	34	SMD=0.44 [0.16, 0.72]	very low			
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Constant-Murley Score)	1	34	34	SMD=0.48 [0.20, 0.75]	very low	RH: comprehensive rehabilitation therapy		
fESWT + RH vs RH	Function (Range of Motion)	1	34	34	SMD=0.48 [0.20, 0.76]	very low			
<b>Bone marrow edema</b>									
fESWT + TCMIT vs TCMIT	Pain intensity (Visual Analogue Scale)	1	20	20	SMD=-0.48 [-0.79, -0.16]	very low	TCMIT: yishenhuoxue decoction		

Note: C, control group; CI, confidence interval; CoE, certainty of evidence assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach; DI, drug injection; fESWT, focused extracorporeal shockwave therapy; MT, medication therapy; RCTs, randomised controlled trials; RH, rehabilitation; SC, standard care; SESWT, sham extracorporeal shockwave therapy; SMD, standardized mean difference; ST, surgical treatment; T, treatment group; TCMET, traditional Chinese medicine external therapy; TCMIT, traditional Chinese medicine internal therapy; UC, usual care.