

# Unmet dental needs in children following suspension of school-based oral health services due to COVID-19

Ryan Richard Ruff, PhD, MPH<sup>1 2 \*</sup>, Tamarinda Barry Godín, DDS, MPH<sup>1</sup>, Rachel Whitemore, MPH<sup>1</sup>, Topaz Murray Small, MPA<sup>1</sup>, Nydia Santiago-Galvin, RN, MPH<sup>1</sup>, and Priyanka Sharma, RDH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>New York University College of Dentistry

<sup>2</sup>New York University School of Global Public Health

\*Corresponding author, email [ryan.ruff@nyu.edu](mailto:ryan.ruff@nyu.edu)

November 2023

## Abstract

**Background :** Dental caries (tooth decay) is the world's most prevalent noncommunicable disease and can lead to pain, infection, and edentulism. Many children with caries lack access to traditional dental services. School-based caries prevention can increase access to care and reduce health inequities. Disruptions in school-based care due to pandemic control policies may result in children losing access to their primary dental care option.

**Methods:** The CariedAway project was a school-based caries prevention program in operation from 2019-2023 in urban schools with a high proportion of low-income, minority students. Program operations were suspended for two years due to the COVID-19 pandemic. We estimated the prevalence of untreated decay, swelling, fistula, and pulpal involvement in participants at baseline and again after restrictions were lifted.

**Results:** 2998 children between the ages of 5 and 13 years were enrolled and received preventive care prior to pandemic shutdowns, and 1398 (47%) completed their first follow-up observation after two years. At baseline, approximately 30% had untreated caries on any dentition, 11% of children presented with evidence of having received preventive dental sealants, and no participants had swelling, fistula, or pulpal involvement. After 24 months, 12% of participants had swelling fistula, or pulpal involvement that was not treated during the pandemic period.

**Conclusion:** There are considerable unmet dental needs in high-risk children that may be further exacerbated by a lack of access to care during disease outbreaks.

*The authors report no conflicts of interest.*

## Introduction

Coined the “silent epidemic”<sup>1</sup>, the World Health Organization classifies untreated dental caries (cavities) at the most common noncommunicable disease in the world<sup>2</sup>. Children with caries can experience systemic infection<sup>3</sup>, lower quality of life<sup>4</sup>, and reduced academic performance and school attendance<sup>5</sup>. Inequities in oral disease are a reflection of disparities in access to dental care, as those most at risk have the lowest rates of service utilization<sup>6</sup>. As a result, multiple federal organizations recommend school-based caries prevention<sup>7,8</sup>, which can increase access to dental care<sup>8</sup> and reduce the burden of disease<sup>9</sup>.

The COVID-19 pandemic had considerable impact on the availability of dental services, causing a reduction in visits to US dental offices<sup>10</sup>, a decrease in routine and preventive treatments, and increases in emergency care<sup>11</sup>. Early interventions to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 before the availability of vaccines included school closings<sup>12</sup>, which lowered transmission rates<sup>13</sup> but also reduced access to school health services<sup>14,15</sup>. In contrast, school-based health centers that remained open despite school closures observed high encounter rates for preventive health exams<sup>16</sup>. While not all school caries prevention programs are part of a school-based health center, a substantial proportion of centers provide oral health services in addition to primary care<sup>17</sup>. To our knowledge there has been no investigation into the impact of the disruption of school dental services due to COVID-19.

CariedAway was a school-based oral health program operating in New York City from 2019 to 2023, providing a range of preventive services including oral examinations, fluoride varnish, silver diamine fluoride, and dental sealants<sup>18</sup>. Following initial enrollment and treatment, schools participating in CariedAway were closed due to COVID-19 and program operations were suspended from March 2020 to September 2021. Following this suspension, the program completed and primary results were reported<sup>9</sup>. In this paper, we conduct secondary analysis of the CariedAway data. We describe the baseline oral health of participating children and present data on the prevalence of unmet needs in those who returned following the COVID-19 suspension.

## Methods

CariedAway was a school-based caries prevention program and pragmatic clinical trial that operated from 1 February 2019 to 1 June 2023. The program received IRB approval from the New York University School of Medicine (#i7-00578) and is registered at [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov) (#NCT03442309).

### *Settings and Population*

Any primary school in New York City with a student population consisting of at least 50% Hispanic/Latino or Black ethnicities and at least 80% receiving free or reduced lunch were eligible to participate. The latter criteria was used as a proxy for low socio-economic status. Schools were further ineligible if they had a preexisting caries prevention program or school based health center providing dental services (SBHC-D) operating within the school.

Inclusion criteria included those with parental informed consent and child assent. Exclusion criteria for individual participants included any child who did not speak English or was enrolled in a special education classroom. While children of any age were eligible for participation in the CariedAway program, analysis only included children ages 5-13.

### *Examination and Treatment*

All participants in CariedAway received a full visual-tactile dental examination from a standardized dental hygienist or registered nurse while under the supervision of a licensed pediatric dentist. Program participants then received either (a) fluoride varnish (5% NaF, Colgate Prevident) on all teeth with a 38% silver diamine fluoride (Elevate Oral Care Advantage Arrest, 2.24 F-ion mg/dose) solution for asymptomatic cavitated lesions of the posterior dentition and pits and fissures of bicuspid and molars or (b) fluoride varnish on all teeth, glass ionomer sealants (GC Fuji IX, GC America) on pits and fissures of bicuspid and molars, and atraumatic restorations on any asymptomatic cavitated lesions. Both treatments provided primary and secondary prevention. All program participants received treatment at every observation.

### *Recruitment and Enrollment*

Study investigators first met with the principal and parent coordinator at each school, after which a memo of understanding was signed by school administrators to participate in the study. In New York, state approval is required to provide dental care in schools, so applications were submitted to the New York State Department of Health to serve as the sole oral healthcare provider for each school. Following state approval, initial baseline visits were scheduled with each school and consents were distributed to school personnel. During consent delivery, study investigators conducted program promotion in each school, consisting of tabling sessions, informational letters for parents, and presenting at parent-teacher conferences. After two weeks, consents were collected and subjects were enrolled and received their assigned treatment.

### *Training and Standardization*

All clinical research staff, including registered dental hygienists, registered nurses, and dental assistants received a minimum of 70 hours of training during an annual orientation held for the first time in Spring of 2019 and every September thereafter through the study's conclusion in Spring 2023. Additional training took place annually during the first week of January (when most elementary schools declined school visits due to conflicts with the academic calendar). Training was didactic and practical, including screening and treatment protocol standardization exercises using intraoral photos and typodonts, as well as through patient interactions with consented students not included in the CariedAway program.

### *Outcomes and Diagnostics*

We present data for caries prevalence, sealant prevalence, and the prevalence of fistula, pulpal involvement, and swelling. Untreated decay, treated dentition (identified as any tooth presenting with evidence of prior fillings or dental sealants received), and dental sealants were included as dichotomous variables. We also include data on the total number of teeth with

untreated decay and the total decayed/missing/filled teeth and tooth surfaces for both deciduous (dmfs/dmft) and permanent (DMFS/DMFT) dentition.

Diagnosis of dental caries was conducted using the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS) adapted criteria for epidemiology and clinical research settings<sup>19</sup>. Lesions that were scored as either a 5 (distinct cavity with visible dentin) or 6 (extensive, more than half the surface, distinct cavity with visible dentin) on the ICDAS scale were recorded as caries. Caries was diagnosed at the surface level and analyzed at the individual level (e.g., any participant with any lesion on any surface was classified as having dental caries). Diagnosis of swelling, fistula, or pulpal involvement followed the PUFA index criteria (Presence of visible pulp, Ulceration of the oral mucosa due to root fragments, Fistula, or Abscess). Changes in pulpal status were observed when PUFA criteria were not met at the baseline visit but were met at subsequent observations.

### *Statistical Analysis*

Sociodemographic information for the enrolled and retained subjects were computed (means, standard deviations, and proportions). We then assessed any sociodemographic variation in baseline untreated caries (present versus not present) or baseline prevalence of dental sealants (present versus not present) using logistic regression. We produced estimates for the prevalence of untreated decay, dental sealants, treated dentition, and the number of decayed, missing, and filled teeth and tooth surfaces for the analytic sample at baseline and follow-up. Finally, we estimated the proportion of subjects with swelling, fistula, or pulpal involvement at each time period and assessed differences using McNemar's test. Statistical significance was set at  $p < .05$ . Analysis was conducted using R v1.4.

### **Results**

A total of 2998 viable subjects were enrolled and treated prior to program suspension due to COVID-19, of which 1398 (47%) completed their follow-up observation after pandemic restrictions were lifted (Table 1). Of the retained participants, 53.9% were female and

approximately 64% were either Hispanic or Black race/ethnicities. The average age at baseline amongst retained participants was 6.63 (SD=1.2) and ranged from 5-10 years.

For logistic regression models predicting untreated decay or the presence of sealants at baseline (Table 2), Black participants were less likely to have sealants compared to Hispanic/Latino participants (OR = 0.54, 95% CI = 0.30, 0.96). The odds of having sealants increased with age at baseline (OR = 1.85, 95% CI = 1.59, 2.15), as did the odds of having untreated decay (OR = 1.11, 95% CI = 1.01, 1.22). There were no significant differences in the odds of decay or sealants by sex.

Of retained participants, 413 participants presented at baseline with untreated decay (29.5%, 95% CI = 27.21, 32.0). After receiving treatment for existing caries and prevention for sound teeth, 380 participants (27.2%, 95% CI = 24.91, 29.58) had untreated decay again at their post-pandemic observation (Table 3). Approximately 21% of participants had a filling when they received their baseline observation, and only 11% had preexisting sealants. At follow-up, reflecting the treatment received through CariedAway, 41% of participants had sealants present. The remaining participants received silver diamine fluoride, as part of the original clinical protocol. The average decayed, missing, or filled index at follow-up was 1.1 (SD=1.8) for deciduous teeth, 3.1 (SD=6.0) for deciduous surfaces, 0.1 (SD=0.4) for permanent teeth, and 0.2 (0.8) for permanent surfaces.

There were no participants at baseline with fistula, pulpal involvement, or swelling (Table 4). At follow-up, 171 participants (12.2%) presented with either fistula, pulpal involvement, or swelling ( $p < .00001$ ). This consisted of 61 participants (4.4) having fistula ( $p < .00001$ ), 138 (9.9%) having pulpal involvement ( $p < .00001$ ), and 6 (0.4%) having swelling ( $p = 0.014$ ).

## Discussion

School-based caries prevention programs are effective and cost-efficient methods to increase access to care for vulnerable, traditionally underserved populations<sup>7,8,20</sup> and can significantly

reduce the risk of disease <sup>21</sup>. However, no preventive therapy is 100% effective. Prior studies into school caries prevention conclude that approximately 20-30% of participating students go on to develop new dental caries <sup>9,21</sup>. As a result, continued care for these children is required to ensure that oral diseases are controlled before they progress to more serious infection. Results from secondary data analysis of the CariedAway school-based caries prevention program suggest that children who experienced new dental caries or developed swelling, fistula, or pulpal involvement did not seek outside care from dental offices when program services were suspended due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The observed baseline prevalence of untreated caries and dental sealants indicates a lack of access to, or utilization of, office-based dental services and is consistent with the extant literature. In a similar assessment of low-income children participating in a school-based sealant program in Massachusetts, approximately one third had untreated caries despite living in close proximity to community dental clinics and nearly two-thirds of children had no clinical indication of prior treatment with sealants on permanent dentition <sup>22</sup>. The fact that dental fillings were observed in three times as many CariedAway subjects as sealants suggest that the majority of outside care, when provided, was for caries management and not prevention. As a result, school-based health programs likely serve as the predominant source of caries prevention for this population.

In New York City, dental offices were closed in June 2020 except for emergency care and all school-based health programs were suspended from March 2020 through August 2021. While traditional offices later reopened with stringent infection control policies in place, dental care access during the pandemic was significantly reduced <sup>23</sup>. Although there were no significant differences in untreated decay between baseline and follow-up, the treatment protocols in CariedAway included both primary (prevention of new disease) and secondary (arrest of existing disease) prevention. As a result, all untreated caries at baseline were arrested using either silver diamine fluoride or atraumatic restorations. This means that approximately 30% of participants experienced new caries during the pandemic period that were not treated. Similarly, approximately 12% of subjects returning to the program after the pandemic had fistula,

swelling, or pulpal involvement that were not treated while the project was suspended. Research suggests that children were more likely to have poor oral health and a lower utilization of dental visits during the COVID-19 period, specifically preventive ones<sup>24</sup>, and the largest decline in school health services due to the pandemic were reported for dental screenings and dental services<sup>25</sup>. In contrast, those school health services that remained open for clinical care throughout COVID-19 saw increased annual preventive health exams and were a critical source of primary care to vulnerable populations<sup>16</sup>.

Actual versus perceived availability of affordable dental health options may further exacerbate oral disease inequities in urban school children. Approximately 4600 dental providers were previously listed that operated in New York, treated children, and accepted both Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program. However, 90% of these providers were located in a small number of counties, and a substantial proportion of listings for providers were invalid<sup>26</sup>. As a result, children from low-income households may not have the support necessary to treat urgent needs outside of school-based dental care.

### *Implications for School Health*

Suspension of school-based health services due to increased restrictions to control the spread of infectious disease, such as those implemented in response to COVID-19, can potentially result in a lack of adequate dental care for high-need children. Concerns with school-based dental operations during COVID-19 stemmed from the risks of aerosolization, which can increase the airborne transmission of viruses. While this concern is justified, the silver diamine fluoride implemented in CariedAway is non-aerosol generating<sup>27,28</sup> and is recommended as part of a Safer Aerosol-Free Emergent Dentistry (SAFER) approach to dental care<sup>29</sup>. Although recommendations for preparing for future pandemics focus largely on virology, transmission models, and rapid vaccine development<sup>30</sup>, attention should also be given to minimize disruption in community health services during pandemic periods. Establishing emergency protocols for school-health services that can safely treat children who otherwise lack access is critical to ensure continuity of care.



## **Funding**

Research reported in this publication was funded through a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) award (PCS-1609-36824). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the official views of the funding organization, New York University, or the NYU College of Dentistry.

## **Author contributions**

RRR was a principal investigator and conceived of and designed the study. TBG served as the supervising dentist, directed clinical activities, and oversaw all data collection. RW, TM, NSG, and PS were involved in the conduct and management of CariedAway, including study coordination, enrollment, community engagement, provision of treatments, and data collection. RRR wrote the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript and provided edits. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Availability of Data and Materials**

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

1. Benjamin RM. Oral health: the silent epidemic. *Public Health Rep.* Mar-Apr 2010;125(2):158-9. doi:10.1177/003335491012500202
2. Duangthip D, Chu CH. Challenges in Oral Hygiene and Oral Health Policy. *Front Oral Health.* 2020;1:575428. doi:10.3389/froh.2020.575428
3. Peres MA, Macpherson LMD, Weyant RJ, et al. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *The Lancet.* 2019;394(10194):249-260. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(19)31146-8
4. Aimee NR, van Wijk AJ, Maltz M, Varjao MM, Mestrinho HD, Carvalho JC. Dental caries, fluorosis, oral health determinants, and quality of life in adolescents. *Clinical oral investigations.* Jun 2017;21(5):1811-1820. doi:10.1007/s00784-016-1964-3
5. Ruff RR, Senthil S, Susser SR, Tsutsui A. Oral health, academic performance, and school absenteeism in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Dent Assoc.* Feb 2019;150(2):111-121 e4. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2018.09.023
6. Northridge ME, Kumar A, Kaur R. Disparities in Access to Oral Health Care. *Annual review of public health.* Apr 2 2020;41:513-535. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094318
7. Gooch BF, Griffin SO, Gray SK, et al. Preventing dental caries through school-based sealant programs: updated recommendations and reviews of evidence. *J Am Dent Assoc.* Nov 2009;140(11):1356-65.
8. Griffin SO, Naavaal S, Scherrer C, Patel M, Chattopadhyay S, Community Preventive Services Task F. Evaluation of School-Based Dental Sealant Programs: An Updated Community Guide Systematic Economic Review. *Am J Prev Med.* Mar 2017;52(3):407-415. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2016.10.004
9. Ruff RR, Barry-Godin T, Niederman R. Effect of Silver Diamine Fluoride on Caries Arrest and Prevention: The CariedAway School-Based Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open.* Feb 1 2023;6(2):e2255458. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.55458
10. Kranz AM, Chen A, Gahlon G, Stein BD. 2020 trends in dental office visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Dent Assoc.* Jul 2021;152(7):535-541.e1. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2021.02.016
11. Dickson-Swift V, Kangutkar T, Knevel R, Down S. The impact of COVID-19 on individual oral health: a scoping review. *BMC oral health.* Sep 22 2022;22(1):422. doi:10.1186/s12903-022-02463-0
12. National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD), Division of Viral Diseases. CDC COVID-19 Science Briefs [Internet]. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US); 2020-. Science Brief: Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools and Early Care and Education Programs – Updated. [Updated 2021 Dec 17]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK570438/>.
13. Alfano V. The Effects of School Closures on COVID-19: A Cross-Country Panel Analysis. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy.* Mar 2022;20(2):223-233. doi:10.1007/s40258-021-00702-z
14. Sullivan E, Brey L, Soleimanpour S. School-Based Health Center Operations During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Preliminary Study. *Health Promot Pract.* Sep 2021;22(5):616-621. doi:10.1177/15248399211016471

15. Terepka A, Torres-Pagán L, De La Fuente A. Schools on the front lines: School based health centers amidst COVID-19. *Sch Psychol*. Sep 2021;36(5):398-409. doi:10.1037/spq0000432
16. Gallardo M, Zepeda A, Biely C, et al. School-Based Health Center Utilization During COVID-19 Pandemic-Related School Closures. *J Sch Health*. Nov 2022;92(11):1045-1050. doi:10.1111/josh.13226
17. Boudreaux M, Chu J, Lipton BJ. School-Based Health Centers, Access to Care, and Income-Based Disparities. *JAMA Netw Open*. Sep 5 2023;6(9):e2334532. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.34532
18. Ruff RR, Niederman R. Silver diamine fluoride versus therapeutic sealants for the arrest and prevention of dental caries in low-income minority children: study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. Sep 26 2018;19(1):523. doi:10.1186/s13063-018-2891-1
19. Gugnani N, Pandit IK, Srivastava N, Gupta M, Sharma M. International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS): A New Concept. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent*. May-Aug 2011;4(2):93-100. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1089
20. Griffin SO, Jones K, Crespín M. Calculating averted caries attributable to school-based sealant programs with a minimal data set. *J Public Health Dent*. Summer 2014;74(3):202-9. doi:10.1111/jphd.12047
21. Starr JR, Ruff RR, Palmisano J, Goodson JM, Bukhari OM, Niederman R. Longitudinal caries prevalence in a comprehensive, multicomponent, school-based prevention program. *J Am Dent Assoc*. Mar 2021;152(3):224-233 e11. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2020.12.005
22. Aldosari MA, Bukhari OM, Ruff RR, et al. Comprehensive, School-Based Preventive Dentistry: Program Details and Students' Unmet Dental Needs. *J Sch Health*. Sep 2021;91(9):761-770. doi:10.1111/josh.13063
23. Moharrami M, Bohlouli B, Amin M. Frequency and pattern of outpatient dental visits during the COVID-19 pandemic at hospital and community clinics. *J Am Dent Assoc*. Apr 2022;153(4):354-364 e1. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2021.09.007
24. Lyu W, Wehby GL. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on children's oral health and oral health care use. *J Am Dent Assoc*. Aug 2022;153(8):787-796.e2. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2022.02.008
25. Kranz AM, Steiner ED, Mitchell JM. School-Based Health Services in Virginia and the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Sch Health*. May 2022;92(5):436-444. doi:10.1111/josh.13147
26. Chinn CH, Rossy S, Best E. Analysis of InsureKidsNow.gov dental providers in New York State. *Pediatr Dent*. Sep-Oct 2013;35(5):451-5.
27. Eden E, Frencken J, Gao S, Horst JA, Innes N. Managing dental caries against the backdrop of COVID-19: approaches to reduce aerosol generation. *British Dental Journal*. 2020/10/01 2020;229(7):411-416. doi:10.1038/s41415-020-2153-y
28. Singhal R, Singhal P, Namdev R, Negi S. Can silver diamine fluoride be an alternative to aerosol-based dentistry during the COVID scenario? A retrospective analysis. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*. Jul-Sep 2021;39(3):316-320. doi:10.4103/jisppd.jisppd\_127\_21
29. Benzian H, Niederman R. A Dental Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic-Safer Aerosol-Free Emergent (SAFER) Dentistry. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2020;7:520. doi:10.3389/fmed.2020.00520
30. Neumann G, Kawaoka Y. Which Virus Will Cause the Next Pandemic? *Viruses*. Jan 10 2023;15(1)doi:10.3390/v15010199

Table 1: Sample demographics for enrolled (N=2998) and retained (N=1398) participants

	Enrolled		Retained	
	N/Mean	%	N/Mean	% / SD
Subjects	2998	100	1398	100
Female	1566	52.23	753	53.86
Race				
Hispanic	1631	54.75	679	48.85
Black	558	18.73	208	14.96
White	75	2.52	29	2.09
Asian	44	1.48	24	1.73
Multiple	58	1.95	20	1.44
Other	41	1.38	11	0.79
DK/Missing	572	19.20	419	30.14
Age at baseline	6.63 (mean)	1.24 (SD)	6.63 (mean)	1.21 (SD)

Table 2: Odds of untreated decay and sealants at baseline for sociodemographic groups (N=1398)

	Untreated decay		Sealant present	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Race				
Black	1.29	0.92, 1.80	0.54	0.30, 0.96
White	1.47	0.67, 3.22	1.41	0.47, 4.27
Asian	1.42	0.60, 3.39	0.79	0.18, 3.56
Multiple	0.87	0.31, 2.44	0.35	0.44, 2.71
Other	0.59	0.13, 2.75	0.6	0.73, 4.85
Unreported	1.31	1.00, 1.70	0.98	0.67, 1.44
Age	1.11	1.01, 1.22	1.85	1.59, 2.15
Females	0.89	0.71, 1.13	1.15	0.81, 1.62

Notes: Logistic regression results for outcomes of untreated decay and presence of sealants at baseline. Models included all explanatory variables for race/ethnicity, age, and sex. Reference groups include Hispanic/Latino for race/ethnicity and males for sex

Table 3: Oral health status at baseline and follow-up (N=1398)

	Baseline		Follow-up	
	N	% (total)	N	% (total)
Untreated decay, overall	413	29.54	380	27.18
Decay, occlusal first molar	26	1.86	41	2.93
Decay, deciduous	401	28.68	343	24.54
Decay, permanent	30	2.15	58	4.15
Total decayed teeth				
0	985	70.46	1018	72.82
1-2	269	19.24	294	21.03
3-5	121	8.66	71	5.08
6-8	22	1.57	13	0.93
8+	1	0.07	4	0.28
Decay by age				
5	78	18.89	*	*
6	106	25.67		
7	102	24.70		
8	103	24.94		
9	23	5.57		
10	1	0.24		
Decay by race/ethnicity				
Hispanic	183	44.63	219	58.09
Black	67	16.34	87	23.08
White	10	2.44	5	1.33
Asian	8	1.95	6	1.59
Other	2	0.49	10	2.65
Multiple	5	1.22	1	0.27
Unreported	135	32.93	49	13.00
Baseline treated dentition	374	26.75	NA	NA
Sealant prevalence	156	11.16	568	40.63
Filling prevalence	288	20.6	338	24.18
Sealant by race				
Hispanic	83	53.55	357	63.30
Black	15	9.68	102	18.09
White	4	2.58	11	1.95
Asian	2	1.29	11	1.95
Other	1	0.65	5	0.89
Multiple	1	0.65	11	1.95
Unreported	49	31.61	67	11.88
	X	SD	X	SD
DMFT	0.54	0.3	0.12	0.43
DMFS	0.79	0.49	0.19	0.78
dmft	1.24	2.04	1.06	1.82
dmfs	3.42	6.43	3.10	5.97

Notes: DMFT/dmft is the decayed, missing, and filled tooth index for permanent and deciduous teeth, respectively. DMFS/dmfs is the decayed, missing, and filled surfaces for permanent and deciduous teeth, respectively.

\* Age was only assessed at baseline

Table 4: Prevalence of fistula, pulpal involvement, and swelling at baseline and follow-up (N=1398)

	Baseline		Follow-up		X <sup>2</sup>	p-value
	N	%	N	%		
Fistula (any)	0	0	61	4.36	61	< .00001
Fistula (number)						
0	1398	100	0	95.64		
1	0	0	52	3.72		
2	0	0	7	0.5		
3	0	0	2	0.14		
Pulpal involvement (any)	0	0	138	9.87	138	< .00001
Pulpal involvement (number)						
0	1398	100	126	90.13		
1	0	0	92	6.58		
2	0	0	30	2.15		
3	0	0	10	0.72		
4	0	0	6	0.43		
Swelling (any)	0	0	6	0.43	6	0.014
Swelling (number)						
0	1398	100	139	99.57		
1	0	0	5	0.36		
2	0	0	1	0.07		
Total fistula, swelling, or PI	0	0	171	12.23	171	< .00001