

1 **Improving the last mile delivery of vaccines through an informed push model:**
2 **experiences, opportunities and costs based on an implementation study in a rural**
3 **district in Uganda**

4 Authors: Pamela Bakkabulindi^{1,2}, Solomon T Wafula¹, Anthony Ssebagereka¹, Rogers
5 Sekibira³, Aloysius Mutebi¹, Jimmy Ameny⁴, Christabel Abewe⁵, John Bosco Isunju¹

6 **Author affiliation:**

7 ¹School of Public Health, Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala,
8 Uganda

9 ²Health Support Initiatives, Uganda

10 ³Landsat ICT solutions, Uganda

11 ⁴Ministry of Health, Uganda

12 ⁵University of Cape Town, School of Public Health & Family Medicine, Health Economics
13 Division, South Africa

14 **Corresponding author**

15 Pamela Bakkabulindi

16 Email: bakkapam@gmail.com

17 Institutions of affiliation

18 ¹School of Public Health, Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala,
19 Uganda

20 ²Health Support Initiatives, Uganda

21 **Keywords:** Immunization supply chain systems, last mile, cold chain, vaccine preventable
22 diseases, vaccine shortages, cost analysis, Uganda, low-income countries, Africa

24 **Abstract**

25 **Background:** Many developing countries struggle to attain equitable, timely and efficient
26 availability of potent vaccines at the health facility level. In Uganda, several challenges
27 prevent the reliable distribution of vaccines from the district vaccine store to the health
28 facility level (last mile). The currently practiced mixed push and pull system mode of
29 vaccine delivery is unpredictable, unreliable, and often presents issues of poor vaccine
30 management, vaccine stock-outs and missed opportunities for vaccination.

31 The overall aim of the study was to improve the efficiency of the last mile delivery of
32 vaccines by implementing an informed push model of vaccine delivery. Specifically, the
33 study aimed to; improve vaccine lead time; standardise cold chain management practices
34 during vaccine transportation; and cost the implementation of the informed push model.

35 **Methods:** Mixed-methods approach to evaluate the impact of the informed push model
36 on the last mile delivery of vaccines in Gomba district, Uganda was used. Quantitative
37 and qualitative data was collected at baseline and endline. Quantitative data was
38 collected on the mode, frequency, lead time and costs of vaccine delivery; vaccine stock
39 status, and cold chain maintenance of vaccines during transportation using semi-
40 structured interview survey, while the experiences and challenges were explored
41 qualitatively using a guide. Analysis of quantitative data used descriptive statistics and
42 that of costing data used an ingredients approach. Qualitative data using was analysed
43 using a thematic framework.

44 **Results:** The findings showed that the informed push system improved the efficiency and
45 quality of vaccine delivery at the last mile in Gomba district. The average lead time of
46 vaccine delivery was reduced from 14 days at baseline to 5 days by endline. The number

47 of health facilities reporting timely receipt of vaccines increased from 36.8% at baseline
48 to 100% by endline. Facilities reporting temperature monitoring of vaccines during transit
49 improved from 26.3% at baseline to 100% by endline. Number of health facilities
50 experiencing stock outs reduced from 79% at baseline to 36.8% by endline. The monthly
51 costs incurred by health facilities in vaccine pick up at baseline were \$ 170.8. The monthly
52 costs for the informed push model were \$445.9 (\$ 0.06 per child reached) and more two
53 and half times more than baseline costs of \$170.8 incurred by health facilities during pick-
54 up of vaccines from the district vaccine store.

55 **Conclusion:** The study concluded that informed push model is a financially feasible
56 strategy that could be efficient in improving the vaccine supply chain at the last mile by
57 reducing lead time delivery of vaccines, improving vaccine cold chain management,
58 reducing vaccine stock outs. We recommend the integration of this model into the
59 national immunization program and its subsequent adoption by all districts in Uganda.

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 **Background**

68 Immunisation is one of the leading cost-effective public health interventions, saving at
69 least two to three million lives each year [1-3]. Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) faces a
70 disproportionately high burden of vaccine-preventable diseases which cause over 2.4
71 million deaths among children annually due to limited access to life-saving vaccines. [4].

72 Many vaccines can now be obtained at low costs and in mass quantities thanks to
73 cutting-edge technology built on many years of research and development and the help
74 of international organisations [2,5]. Over the last decade in developing countries, there
75 have been heightened efforts from UNICEF, Gavi and local governments that have
76 increased vaccine purchases to protect the population from vaccine preventable diseases
77 [6].

78 Unlike common medicinal drugs, vaccines are highly sensitive to temperature changes
79 and thus need to be maintained at appropriate temperature ranges, outside of which,
80 they lose potency [7].

81 Globally, the Expanded Programs on Immunization (EPI) rely on well-functioning
82 immunization supply chains systems to ensure that potent vaccines reach the end user
83 in an equitable, timely, and efficient manner [8]. An efficient immunization supply chain
84 remains a critical element in ensuring access to primary health-care services [9]. The
85 ultimate goal and measure of any successful immunization supply chain system is the
86 increased visibility and availability of potent vaccines at the last mile health facility level.
87 This goal still remains hard to attain for many developing countries [10].

88

89 Two factors are critical to a functional end-to-end immunization supply chain and logistics
90 systems; a reliable transport system and maintenance of cold chain status of vaccines
91 during storage and transportation [11]. Firstly, a reliable transportation system ensures
92 that vaccines reach the end user in time. Lessons can be learnt from multi-national
93 companies such as Coca-Cola, Johnson & Johnson that have demonstrated their
94 dependability of a reliable transport system for the delivery of goods to the last user [12].
95 Secondly, a well-maintained cold chain system remains one of the chief cornerstones of
96 a successful vaccination program and not just a high vaccination coverage. Without good
97 cold chain system, a high vaccination coverage is null and without effect [13]. From
98 production to use, vaccines need to be maintained within a certain temperature range
99 [7]. The recommended temperature range are +2°C to + 8°C for refrigerator vaccines,
100 15°C to - 25°C for freezer vaccines, and -50°C - 80°C for ultra-low temperature vaccines
101 [11].

102 Like many SSA countries, Uganda struggles to attain the required immunization coverage
103 due to inefficient supply chain systems that often lead to vaccine shortages, missed
104 opportunities for vaccination and resultant vaccine preventable disease outbreaks [6,14].
105 Indeed, disease outbreaks are largely due to gaps in the herd immunity, which are a
106 consequence of the under-vaccination of the susceptible population [6]. Under-
107 vaccination can result from situations of poor vaccine supply chain systems where
108 vaccines do not reach the target population, stock-out of vaccines or available vaccines
109 that were damaged due to exposure to inappropriate temperatures during
110 transportation [6]. As new vaccines are developed and made available to immunization


111 programs expand, there is a dire and critical need to reduce vaccine wastage, improve
112 efficiencies, reliability, and agility to the immunization supply chain system [15].
113 Experiences from the recent COVID-19 pandemic have demonstrated a critical need for
114 resilient immunization supply chain systems.

115 The Ugandan EPI is largely a vertical program that is situated within the Ministry of Health
116 [16] and has the mandate to provide safe, potent, and effective vaccines for all children
117 and women of childbearing age so as to reduce morbidity, mortality, and disability due
118 to vaccine-preventable diseases [17]. Since 2012, the key functions of vaccine forecasting,
119 and procurement became shared responsibilities between the EPI and National Medical
120 Stores (NMS). However, other roles including storage at the national level and distribution
121 to all district vaccine stores countrywide were given to NMS to capitalize on their larger
122 storage and transportation infrastructure. At the district level, the district cold chain
123 technicians (DCCT) assume responsibility for cold chain equipment maintenance as the
124 primary role though they also support proper vaccine storage and handling and in some
125 cases distribution of vaccines directly to the health facilities.

126 Although Uganda has made considerable improvements in her vaccine supply chain,
127 there is still room to improve her vaccine delivery at the last mile (from the district vaccine
128 store to health facility level). Several bottlenecks are reported to prevent the reliable
129 distribution of vaccines and immunization supplies at the last mile [16]. In the current
130 Uganda vaccine delivery model (Fig1), the National Medical Stores executes the delivery
131 of vaccines up to the district vaccine store (DVS). From the DVS to health facilities is a mix
132 of push and pull system, with the pull system (health facilities picking their stock) being
133 the dominant one where approximately 85% of the health facilities collecting vaccines

134 from the DVS [16] which ad hoc collection system often results in inconsistent availability
 135 of vaccines at the DVS and the health facility level [16].

136



137
 138 Fig 1: Current vaccine delivery model

139 The currently practiced mixed system of push and pull vaccine delivery model is
 140 unpredictable, unreliable and often presents issues of poor vaccine management,
 141 vaccine stock-outs and missed opportunities for vaccination at the health facilities. The
 142 district has no visible role on the equitable distribution of vaccines to each health facility.
 143 Therefore, the district is unable to accurately forecast and quantify its vaccine needs and
 144 only must be dependent on the health facility forecasts. Also, the supervision of vaccine
 145 management of vaccine management by the DCCT at the health facilities is hardly done
 146 [16]. A key financing gap cited at the district level is the lack of funds to transport vaccines
 147 from the district vaccine store to health facilities [17].

148 There is paucity in information on the intricacies, opportunities and costs involved in the
149 last mile delivery of vaccines in Uganda. There is a need to establish the number of days
150 required to distribute vaccines, the experiences of cold chain maintenance during
151 transportation of vaccines and the costs involved in the last mile vaccine delivery of
152 vaccines. The overall aim of the study was to improve the efficiency of the last mile
153 delivery of vaccines by implementing an informed push model of vaccine delivery.
154 Specifically, the study aimed to; improve vaccine lead time; standardise cold chain
155 management practices during vaccine transportation; and cost the implementation of the
156 informed push model.

157 **Methods**

158 **Study setting**

159 The study was implemented in a rural district called Gomba, located in the central region
160 of Uganda, 95km from the capital district, Kampala. The study was conducted between
161 February and August 2022. As of 2020, Gomba district had a population of 169,518
162 people; 34,243 children under-five years; 19 health facilities offering vaccination services;
163 Measles Containing Vaccine (MCV) coverage of 55%; Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG)
164 vaccine coverage of 65%; and had experienced 2 measles outbreaks in that same year.

165 Uganda's health care system has four levels: hospitals and health centres II, III, and IV.
166 Hospitals are higher-level facilities with consultant physicians and specialized services.
167 Health centres II, III, and IV are lower-level facilities (or primary health care) that provide
168 basic services at parish, sub-county, and county levels, respectively. For instance, health
169 centre IIs offer outpatients consultations, health centre IIIs offer some inpatient care and

170 some laboratory services, and health centre IVs offer caesarean deliveries and blood
171 transfusion services [18]. However, all these facilities offer immunization services.


172

173 **Study design**

174 The study consisted of pre and post cross-sectional study design that employed
175 quantitative and qualitative methods of data collection. After collection of data at
176 baseline, we implemented an informed push model of vaccine delivery in all the health
177 facilities (19) offering vaccination services in Gomba district.

178 **Description of the informed push model intervention.**

179 The informed push model (IPM) intervention was implemented in all the 19 government
180 health facilities providing immunisation services in Gomba district from March to May
181 2022. With this intervention, the vaccines were delivered monthly from the District
182 Vaccine Store (DVS) to all the 19 immunizing health facilities (Fig 2).



183
184 Fig 2: Informed Push Model

185 The IPM model differs from an ordinary push model in that it includes an element of first
 186 obtaining information on vaccine stock status from the EPI health worker before delivery
 187 of the vaccines. During the implementation of the IPM, the DCCT conducted the following
 188 tasks: 1) Contacting all the 19 health facilities to determine and confirm the status of their
 189 vaccine stock; 2) Designing a distribution plan and strategy of vaccine delivery and
 190 thereafter moving according to this planned schedule to each health facility; 3)
 191 Maintaining the cold chain of vaccines by use of conditioned icepacks; 4) Monitoring and
 192 record the temperature of vaccines at specified intervals (at start of journey and at the
 193 point of delivery at a health facility). In this study, during transportation of vaccines, the
 194 acceptable temperature range was considered +2°C to + 8°C and any temperatures
 195 outside this range had to be flagged to the study investigators; 5) Recording of the routes
 196 used, fuel consumed, and kilometres travelled; 6) Documenting the lead time delivery of

197 vaccines which is described as the number of days taken to deliver vaccines to all health
198 facilities in each month.

199 For the intervention period, funds were given monthly for a period of 3 months to cover
200 costs for 1) fuel for transportation of vaccines; 2) safari day allowances for the vaccine
201 delivery team of 3 people who were DCCT, District cold chain assistant (DCCA), and driver;
202 3) car maintenance costs and, 4) airtime for communication and coordination with the
203 health facility teams. There was no need for vehicle hire as there was an existent district
204 owned vehicle tagged for immunization activities.

205

206

207 **Data collection**

208 Experienced research assistants were trained for 5 days to administer the quantitative
209 survey questionnaire and qualitative guide. Quantitative and qualitative data was
210 collected before (February 2022) and after (August 2022) the implementation of the study
211 interventions. The study targeted a total of 20 respondents who included one DCCT
212 based at the district level and 19 EPI health workers based at the health facility level for
213 both the survey and qualitative interviews.

214 Quantitative survey data was collected using a pre-tested structured questionnaire.
215 Questions included sociodemographic characteristics; vaccine management training;
216 mode of vaccine delivery; vaccine delivery schedules; lead time delivery of vaccines;
217 vaccine ordering; vaccine stock-outs; functional cold chain equipment; cold chain

218 management during vaccine transportation; and costs involved in vaccine delivery/pick
219 up.

220 The qualitative guide included questions on the processes and experiences on; vaccine
221 delivery/pickup; management of cold chain during vaccine delivery/pickup; vaccine stock-
222 outs; costs involved in vaccine pick-up/delivery; challenges and opportunities for last mile
223 vaccine delivery.

224 **Outcomes measures**

225 The primary outcomes for the study were lead time delivery of vaccines; the costs
226 incurred to deliver vaccines to every health facility and maintenance of vaccine cold chain
227 temperature.

228 The secondary outcomes included frequency of vaccine stock-outs; functionality of health
229 facility cold chain equipment; the preferred mode of vaccine delivery; the number of of
230 health workers mentored on vaccine forecasting and management.

231 **Data management and analysis**

232 **Quantitative data**

233 Quantitative data was collected using the Kobo tool (Kobo Inc., Boston, MA, USA) and
234 exported to Excel. Then data was reviewed for completeness, coded, and later analysed
235 using Stata 15 (Stata Crop., College Station, TX, USA). Frequencies, means, and standard
236 deviation were used to summarize the data. The analysis was stratified by pre (February
237 2022) and post intervention (August 2022). Due to the small sample size of respondents,
238 comparisons were based on change in frequencies.

239 The analysis of the costing data used an incremental ingredients approach [19,20] where
240 each resource input was identified, quantified, and valued by multiplying the quantity of
241 inputs by their unit prices. A provider's perspective was considered, and the cost analysis
242 is presented by two cost centres: the costs incurred by health facilities as they pick
243 vaccines and (b) the costs incurred by the district vaccine store for delivery of vaccines.

244 Given that an incremental costing was conducted, the study did not consider already
245 sunken indirect health system costs such as human resources, buildings, purchase of
246 capital equipment, volunteer time, and other opportunity costs. Therefore, the resource
247 inputs considered for the incremental cost analysis were those additional resources that
248 would be required to facilitate the push model for vaccine supply chaining including
249 transport, fuel, vehicle hire, repairs and maintenance costs, and communication costs.

250 Cost data was captured in Uganda shillings and later expressed in US Dollars (USD). The
251 exchange rate at the time of the study was 1 USD being equivalent to 3,700 Uganda
252 shillings as of year 2022. Sensitivity analyses were carried out to assess the extent to
253 which uncertainty in some variables affected the study results.

254 **Qualitative data**

255 For qualitative data, audio tape recordings were all together transcribed verbatim into
256 English by the same research assistants who conducted the interviews, while preserving
257 the local language concepts. In keeping with this methodology, the transcripts were read
258 and re-read to obtain a good understanding of the data. A thematic framework was
259 identifying by writing memos in form of short phrases, ideas or concepts arising from the
260 data in the margins of the text [21]. These were then organized according to specific

261 categories. This was followed by highlighting and sorting out quotes and making
262 comparisons within and between cases. The quotes were then lifted from their original
263 context and re-arranged under the newly developed themes [21]. Finally, the data was
264 interpreted based on internal consistency, frequency and extensiveness of responses,
265 specificity of responses and trends or concepts that cut across the various discussions.
266 The analyzed data was then presented in text form.

267 **Ethical considerations**

268 The study was approved by Makerere University School of Public Health Higher degrees,
269 Research and Ethics committee (SPH-2021-138: A) and the Uganda National Council of
270 Science and Technology (HS2183ES). Permission to carry out the study was received from
271 Gomba district leaders. Written consent was obtained from each respondent.

272 **Results**

273 The study intervention was conducted for all nineteen health facilities offering
274 immunisation services in Gomba district. As seen in table 1, the majority of the
275 respondents were EPI focal persons (42.1%) who were enrolled nurses by profession.
276 Most of the respondents (73.7%) had been trained on vaccine management in the past
277 five years. The health facilities visited were mostly of level III (47.4%) and level II (47.4%).
278 Many of the health facilities (26.3%) were within the 21-30 kilometre and notably two
279 health facilities (10.5%) were within the farthest distance of 71-80 kilometres. Most of the
280 health facilities (89.5%) were government owned (table 1).

281 Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents in Gomba district, Uganda

Characteristics	Number of Participants N = 19	Percentage
<i>Designation of respondents</i>		
EPI Focal Person	8	42.1
Health Facility In-Charge	6	31.6
Others* ¹	5	26.3
<i>Profession of respondents</i>		
Enrolled Nurse	8	42.1
Midwife	4	21.1
Nursing assistant	3	15.8
Registered Nurse	2	10.5
Other* ²	2	10.5
<i>Ever received any training on vaccine management practices in the past 5 years</i>		
Yes	14	73.7
No	5	26.3
<i>Years in service provision of health workers, mean (SD)</i>		
In general health service	10.74 (6.67)	
In immunization service	9.37 (6.94)	
<i>Type of Health Facility</i>		
Health Centre II	9	47.4
Health Centre III	9	47.4
Health Centre IV	1	5.3
<i>Health facility ownership</i>		
Government	17	89.5
Private	2	10.5
<i>Distance of the health facilities from the district</i>		
0-10 kilometers	1	5.3
11-20 kilometers	3	15.8
21-30 kilometers	5	26.3
31-40 kilometers	4	21.1
51-60 kilometers	2	10.5
61-70 kilometers	2	10.5
71-80 kilometers	2	10.5
* ¹ Others included Health assistant, enrolled nurse, enrolled midwife, vaccinator and laboratory assistant		
* ² Others included Health assistant and laboratory assistant		

282

283 **Mode and frequency of vaccine delivery**

284 As seen in table 2, at baseline, a mix of push and pull mode of vaccine delivery existed
 285 with pull mode being the dominant one (79%) with only 7/19 (36.8%) facilities reporting a
 286 push model. However, the IPM model was implemented during the intervention and all
 287 19 facilities (100%) reported a push model at endline.

288 Table 2. Mode and frequency of delivery of vaccines in Gomba district, Uganda

	N (%)	N (%)
Characteristics	Baseline	Endline
<i>Mode of delivery of vaccines to the health facilities</i>		
Health Facility Picks from district	15 (79.0)	0 (0.0)
District delivers to Health Facility	7 (36.8)	19 (100)
Health Facility picks from another Health Facility	5 (26.3)	0 (0.0)
<i>Person involved in pick-up of vaccines from District vaccine store</i>		
Health Facility In-charge	10 (52.63)	0 (0.0)
EPI Focal person	10 (52.63)	0 (0.0)
Other* ¹	5 (26.32)	0 (0.0)
DCCT		19 (100)
<i>Mode of transport used to pick vaccines from the district vaccine store</i>		
Hired Motorcycle	10 (52.6)	0 (0.0)
District Vehicle	5 (26.3)	19 (100)
District motorcycle	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)
Walking	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)
Other* ²	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)
<i>Preferred mode of vaccine delivery</i>		
District delivers to health facility	15 (79.0)	18 (94.7)
Health facility picks from the district vaccine store	3 (15.8)	1 (5.3)
Both	1 (5.3)	
<i>Frequency of delivery of vaccines from the district vaccine store to Health facility</i>		
Weekly	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)
Monthly	12 (63.2)	19 (100)
Every two months	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)
Other* ³	4 (21.1)	0 (0.0)
* ¹ Other included motorcycle rider; neighbor at the health facility; a health worker from another health facility; any health worker present at the health facility		
* ² Other included a bicycle and use of public transport means (taxi)		

**3Other included get vaccines from a health centre IV due to lack of functional fridge; In a quarter we receive vaccines two times; Picks from another facility when there's a need; Picks from another health facility*

289

290 At baseline, the health facility in-charges (52.63%) and/or EPI focal persons (52.63%) were
291 involved in vaccine pick-up. However, we note unqualified persons (26.3%) picking
292 vaccines such as motorcycle riders. By endline, the DCCT was the one delivering vaccines
293 to all the 19 immunizing health facilities as per the IPM (table 2).

294 The reported commonest mode of transport used to pick vaccines from the district
295 vaccine store at baseline were hired motorcycles (52.6%) as compared to the district
296 vehicle (100%) at endline (table 2).

297 At baseline only 12 (63.2%) facilities reported receiving vaccines at a monthly frequency,
298 and this changed to all the 19 (100%) facilities being supplied monthly with IPM.

299 Majority of health workers at baseline and endline (79.0% and 94.7% respectively)
300 preferred the district to deliver vaccines (table 2).

301 ***Finances and stock out challenges influence the dynamics involved in delivery dynamics***

302 Qualitative interviews also pointed out some difficulties with modes of vaccine delivery
303 and how this was due to limited finances and consequently caused understocking or
304 sometimes overstocking as remarked by the district cold chain technician and one EPI
305 focal person:

306 *" Before implementation of the study, most health facilities picked vaccines from the district*
307 *vaccine store at their convenience. This was mainly because there were no available financial*

308 resources to be used for delivery of vaccines." When health facilities pick vaccines, there is
309 tendency for them to take more than they need which leads to overstocking on their part and
310 understocking for the health facilities that pick last." **KII, District Cold Chain Technician,**
311 **Gomba district.**

312 "The reason why we pick vaccines from the district store is because the district does not deliver
313 them to the facility, so instead of staying without vaccines, one must spend money on
314 transportation to ensure that vaccines are obtained". **KII-12, Health worker, Gomba district.**

315 **Informed push delivery a one stop solution to various challenges at the health facility**
316 **level**

317 Respondents also thought IPM may solved challenges of understocking in some facilities
318 and overstocking in other facilities as it is common with earlier mixed push and pull
319 system.

320 "From my experience, it is better for the cold chain technician to distribute vaccines because
321 then it allows for efficient distribution as I would first quantify and confirm the vaccine needs
322 of a health facility before distribution. I was even able to re-distribute vaccines between
323 facilities which prevented over/under stocking. I was also able to identify cold chain challenges
324 and solve those I could there and then at the health facility." **KII, District Cold Chain**
325 **Technician, Gomba district.**

326 "The best mode of vaccine delivery is when the district delivers to the health facility. This is
327 because most of the time it is cost effective because the distance from the health center to the
328 district is a long distance that means you have to use much transport and you again have to
329 facilitate the person who is going to pick the vaccine so it is less expensive when the district

330 *delivers, it would cost less when the district supplies all facilities rather than everyone going*
331 *there to pick". **KII-4, Health worker, Gomba district.***

332 Health workers complained of the inconveniences that are associated with vaccine pick-
333 up which sometimes results in not being able to attend to patients / clients. One
334 respondent remarked as follows *"Picking of vaccines is inconveniencing for the health*
335 *workers and patients. For example, a health facility at the level of health center II has three*
336 *staff so when one leaves then workload increases for the two who have remained at the*
337 *facility". **KII-9, Health worker, Gomba district.***

338 *"Vaccine pick up is difficult for us. First of all, our health facility is in a remote location, and it*
339 *is far from where you find a taxi costs three dollars and again the transport to here is in a taxi*
340 *is not readily available and is only in the evening, so you end up walking that distance at night".*
341 **KII-5, Health worker, Gomba district.**

342 *"When the district brings, we are sure that the vaccines will be received in good condition. We*
343 *also save on transport and reduce on the waiting time for mothers because then the health*
344 *worker does not have her duties to pick vaccines". **KII-2, Health worker, Gomba.***

345 **Vaccine delivery lead-time**

346 When asked the actual number of days in each month recommended for vaccine delivery
347 also known as the lead time, they reported incorrectly 22 days at baseline and correctly
348 within 5 days at endline (table 3).

349 Table 3: Lead time delivery of vaccines in Gomba district, Uganda

	N (%)	N (%)
--	--------------	--------------

Characteristics	Baseline	Endline
Awareness of vaccine delivery schedules from National medical stores to district vaccine store		
Yes	2 (10.5)	1 (5.3)
No	17 (89.5)	18 (94.7)
Knowledge on the recommended days within which vaccines should be received at the health facilities upon delivery to the district vaccine store		
Yes	3 (15.8)	2 (10.5)
No	16 (84.2)	17 (89.5)
Timely receipt of vaccines at the health facility		
On Time	7 (36.8)	19 (100)
Not on Time	12 (63.2)	0 (0.0)
The stated number of days in a month recommended for vaccine delivery (lead time), mean (SD)	22.00 (32.97)	5.00 (00.00)
Days taken to deliver vaccines to all health facilities as stated by the district cold chain technician, mean (SD)	14 (00.00)	5.00 (00.00)

350

351 Qualitative findings also corroborated this *"Before implementation of the study, it would*
352 *take an entire month for the health facilities to pick vaccines from the district vaccine store as*
353 *and when they had the time or felt it convenient". Given that funds were readily available*
354 *during the implementation, we took 5 days to distribute vaccines to all health facilities." **KII,***
355 ***District Cold Chain Technician, Gomba district.***

356 The lead time delivery of vaccines improved from 14 days at baseline to 5 days at endline.
357 Similarly, timely receipt of vaccines at the health facilities improved from 36.8% at
358 baseline to 100% by endline (table 3).

359 Health worker knowledge of the correct days for lead time delivery of vaccines improved
360 from incorrect reported 22 days at baseline to correct 5 days at endline (table 3).

361 As seen in table 3, by baseline and endline, most of the respondents (89.5% and 94.7%
362 respectively) were still not aware of the vaccine delivery schedules from the national
363 stores to the district vaccines stores.

364 This information is collaborated by the qualitative interviews:

365 *"No, we are not aware of the vaccine delivery times, though sometimes we are informed but at*
366 *times we just go there not knowing the vaccine delivery schedule for national medical stores".*

367 ***KII-1, Health worker, Gomba.***

368 *"The challenge is we are not informed about the delivery of vaccines by national medical stores*
369 *because if we knew then we would go there at the right time, but unfortunately, we always go*
370 *to the district vaccine store when the vaccines are already finished".* ***KII-7, Health worker,***
371 ***Gomba.***

372 **Cold chain and Vaccine management**

373 Notably at baseline, only 5 (26.3%) of respondents reported that they were monitoring
374 temperature of vaccines during transportation. However, during implementation of the
375 study, the DCCT was mandated to monitor temperature twice (at the start and end of the
376 journey) of the vaccines during vaccine transportation. This is reflected in the endline
377 results as all the respondents (100%) attested that temperature monitoring for vaccines
378 was conducted during their transportation (table 4).

379 Table 4: Cold Chain and Vaccine Management processes in Gomba district, Uganda,

	N (%)	N (%)
Characteristics	Baseline	Endline

Availability of cold chain storage equipment at health facility		
Yes	17 (89.5)	19 (100)
No	2 (10.5)	0.0 (0)
Functionality of the available cold chain equipment		
Yes	14 (73.7)	19 (100)
No	3 (15.8)	0.0 (0)
Type of available functional cold Chain equipment		
Fridge	17 (89.5)	19 (100)
Vaccine carriers	8 (42.1)	14 (73.4)
Fridge tag	5 (26.3)	11 (57.9)
Cold boxes	2 (10.5)	4 (21.1)
Freezers	1 (5.3)	4 (21.1)
Other*1	1 (5.3)	2 (10.5)
Type of cold chain equipment used for storage of vaccines during transportation		
Vaccine Carrier	19 (100)	0 (0.0)
Cold box	0 (0.0)	19 (100)
Type of icepacks used during vaccine transportation		
Frozen icepacks	13 (68.4)	0 (0.0)
Conditioned icepacks	6 (31.6)	19 (100)
Temperature monitoring during vaccine transportation from the district vaccine to health facilities		
Yes	5 (26.3)	19 (100)
No	14 (73.7)	
Type of temperature monitoring tool used during vaccine transportation for those that carried out monitoring		
Thermometer	3 (15.8)	0 (0.0)
Fridge tag	2 (10.5)	19 (100)
Frequency of monitoring temperature during transportation of vaccines from district vaccine store		
Not monitored	11 (57.9)	0 (0.0)
Once	3 (15.8)	0 (0.0)
Twice	2 (10.5)	19 (100)
Do not know	3 (15.8)	0 (0.0)
Issues with vaccines received		
Yes	3 (15.8)	2 (10.5)
No	16 (84.2)	17 (89.5)
Type of issues with vaccines received		

Vaccines with vaccine vial monitor changes	2 (10.5)	1 (5.3)
Poor bundling	1 (5.2)	1 (5.3)
Health worker knowledge of reading and interpreting VVM changes		
Yes	19 (100)	19 (100)
Health worker checking for VVM changes at the point of receipt of vaccines		
Yes	18 (94.7)	16 (84.2) (16)
No	1 (5.3)	3 (15.8)

*1 Other included icepacks

380

381

382

383 More people indicated, they didn't monitor temperature prior to implementation of IPM:

384 *"To be honest, I do not monitor for temperature when I go to pick vaccines from the district*
385 *vaccine store." **KII-17, Health worker, Gomba.***

386 *"I won't lie to you that we do it. Because we use a taxi or motorcycle to pick vaccines from the*
387 *district vaccine store to the health facility. So, with that mode of transport, you can't monitor*
388 *the temperature." **KII-2, Health worker, Gomba.***

389 *"To be honest, before implementation, during the vaccine delivery, I would measure the*
390 *temperatures but not record them anywhere. But during implementation, I was mandated to*
391 *record the temperatures before, during and on arrival at the health facilities, this was big*
392 *lesson for me." **KII, District Cold Chain Technician, Gomba district.***

393 The availability of cold chain equipment for storage of vaccines improved from 89.5% at
394 baseline to 100% at endline (table 4). Similarly, the functionality of this equipment

395 improved from 73.7% at baseline to 100% at endline. This is because the DCCT was able
396 to repair broken down fridges during the monthly delivery of the vaccines (table 4).

397 At baseline, most of the respondents (68.5%) incorrectly reported using frozen icepacks
398 (placed within vaccine carriers) during vaccine transportation. With the DCCT being able
399 to observe the right practices, this was corrected and by endline, all the respondents
400 (100%) reported that use of conditioned icepacks during vaccine transportation (table 4).

401 The number of respondents reporting cold chain issues with vaccines received decreased
402 from 15.8% (3/19) at baseline to 10.5% (2/19). The commonest issue reported was
403 changes in the vaccine vial monitor (VVM). In addition, all the health workers knew how
404 to read and interpret the vaccine vial monitor (VVM) changes and more than 80% of the
405 respondents checked for VVM changes upon receipt of vaccines at baseline and endline
406 (table 4).

407 Key informants highlighted some advantages of the IPM approach. One respondent
408 remarked as follows:

409 *"The push system or when the district delivers vaccine had so many advantages. We were able*
410 *to identify faulty fridges and have them repaired in a short time. We were able to distribute*
411 *vaccines according to the rightful need. We were able to train the health workers in*
412 *quantification, cold chain maintenance and record keeping. At some point, we even re-*
413 *distributed vaccines to ensure a good stock balance. We noticed an improvement in the*
414 *number of children immunized during the 3 months of implementation.'* **KII, District Cold**
415 **Chain Technician, Gomba district.**

416 When asked about the earlier strategy for vaccine delivery at baseline, respondents
 417 highlighted the difficulty in maintenance of the cold chain during transit and yet this had
 418 detrimental practice could affect the vaccine potency. One respondent stated as follows:
 419 “...., in my opinion, there is no proper cold chain maintenance when we pick vaccines
 420 from the district store. One can go with icepacks in the vaccine carriers. But by the time
 421 we get at the district store, they have melted with hardly any cold temperature. So, am
 422 not sure that col chain is maintained as we transport these vaccines” **KII-5, Health worker,**
 423 **Gomba.**

424 **Vaccine stock status**

425 All the respondents at both baseline and endline reported the availability of the issuing
 426 and ordering tool (table 5). The number of health facilities reporting that they did not
 427 receive vaccines as ordered reduced from 57.9% at baseline to 26.3% at endline. Similarly,
 428 the number of health facilities experiencing vaccine stock outs also reduced from 79% at
 429 baseline to 36.8% at endline following implementation of IPM.

430 Table 5: Vaccine Stock status, Gomba district, Uganda

	N (%)	N (%)
Characteristics	Baselin e	Endline
Availability of issuing and ordering tool at health facility		
Yes	19 (100)	19 (100)
Health worker Knowledge on use of the issuing and ordering tool		
Yes	19 (100)	19 (100)
Health Facility receiving vaccines as ordered		
Yes	8 (42.1)	14 (73.7)
No	11 (57.9)	5 (26.3)

Health facility experiencing stock out of vaccines		
Yes	15 (79.0)	7 (36.8)
No	4 (21.1)	12 (63.2)
Instances of under-fulfilled orders received by health facility		
Yes	12 (63.2)	6 (31.6)
No	7 (36.8)	13 (68.4)

431

432 *As seen in table 5, all the respondents at both baseline and endline reported the availability of*
433 *the issuing and ordering tool at the health facility. However, at baseline, more than half of*
434 *respondents (57.9%) reported that their health facilities did not receive vaccines as ordered*
435 *which picture improved at endline as only 26.3% reported not receiving vaccines as ordered.*

436 Similar disparities between number of vaccines ordered and supplied was highlighted
437 qualitatively at baseline as indicated as follows:

438 *"We usually do not receive the same quantity of vaccines as ordered for. Sometimes I reach*
439 *district vaccine store and find that some vaccines are out of stock, and I end up taking less*
440 *quantities than what is needed". **KII-8, Health worker, Gomba***

441 "Other times on arrival at the district vaccine store, I find most vaccines are taken and are
442 finished so I take what is left." **KII-13, Health worker, Gomba**

443 **Costs incurred during vaccine delivery**

444 Summary of Cost Analysis Results

445 The costs incurred by the health facilities as they picked vaccines from the DVS at baseline
446 were \$170.8 as compared to those incurred by the district as they delivered vaccines to

447 health facilities which were \$324. At endline, the health facilities did not incur any costs
 448 while the district incurred \$ 445.9 (table 6).

449 Table 6: Summary of costs incurred by health facilities and the district in vaccine delivery

Resource Input	Costs incurred by Health Facilities in USD		Costs incurred by District in USD	
	Baseline	Endline	Baseline	Endline
Transport/Fuel	170.8	0	324	270
Repairs & maintenance	0	0	0	81
Safari Day Allowance	0	0	0	81
Communication	0	0	0	14
Total	170.8	0	324	445.9

450

451

452 **Baseline costs incurred by health facilities for Vaccine Pick-up**


453 At baseline, the combined costs incurred for pick-up of vaccines from the DVS for all the
 454 19 health facilities were \$170.81. \$ 21.62 was the highest amount paid by a health facility
 455 and the lowest being \$3.24 (table 7).

Table 7: Baseline monthly average costs incurred by health facilities for vaccine pick-up

Costs	Amount (USD)
Total cost for all 19 health facilities combined	170.8
Median cost	8.1
Inter Quartile Range (IQR)	5.4
Average costs	9
Highest cost	22
Lowest cost	3

456

457 The major areas of expenditure were transport (53%) and or fuel (42%). In addition, a
458 significant 32% under 'other cost' included cost areas for hiring of motorcycles,
459 motorcycle repairs, lunch, and airtime for communication. The respondents indicated
460 that the primary health care funds (89%) were the major source of funds for vaccine pick-
461 up. However, 21% of the respondents reported using out-of-pocket funds for vaccine
462 pick-up from multiple choice questions asked (Fig 3).



463

464 Fig 3: Graph showing source of funds for vaccine pick-up at baseline

465 Qualitative interviews also provided perspective on source of funds as seen *below*:

466 *"The source of funds for transport to pick vaccines is a charge we put to the clients. We get the*
467 *money from mothers who come for immunization. Mothers are charged \$ 0.3 each time they*
468 *come for immunization so it's the money we use for transport costs during vaccine pick-up".*

469 **KII-6, Health worker, Gomba.**

470 **Costs incurred by district to deliver vaccines to all health facilities during**
471 **implementation of IPM**

472 Prior to the study implementation, there were no consistent district budgeted costs for
473 vaccine delivery. However, the average baseline costs were \$ 324 and these catered for
474 only fuel costs for delivery of vaccines. The push model required the district cold chain
475 team to deliver vaccines to all the 19 immunising health facilities using the district car that
476 was allocated to the EPI department. There were three personnel involved in vaccine
477 delivery including the DCCT, DCCA and driver who were given as daily allowance. The total
478 monthly costs expended for vaccine delivery were \$ 445.9 (Table 8). When we considered
479 the total number of children under one-year in Gomba district (7,290), the \$ 445.9 total
480 cost for vaccine delivery under the IPM model translates into a unit cost of \$0.06 to reach
481 each infant with vaccination services.

Table 8: Monthly costs incurred by district to deliver in vaccines during implementation

Cost item	Unit cost in USD	Quantity	Frequency	Total in USD
Safari Day allowance	5.4	3	5	81.1
Fuel in liters	5.4	40	5	270.3
Communication	5.4	1	1	13.5
Car maintenance	5.4	1	1	81.1
Total				445.9

482

483 A total of 5 days was used to deliver vaccines to all health facilities following a pre-defined
484 route. On average 1200 kilometres were travelled within the 5 days of the months'
485 delivery and approximately 200 litres of fuel were consumed. Therefore, 6 litres of fuel

486 were required for each kilometre moved in distance. The costs budgeted and incurred
487 included safari day allowance, fuel, communication, and car maintenance costs.

488 As noted, a few financial challenges were highlighted prior to IPM as follows:

489 *"The main challenge with vaccine delivery is lack of funds and consistence in flow of funding*
490 *because when you have this time and don't have in the next then you can't deliver without*
491 *funds. The main issue is funds, once you have the funds the rest are easy to work with". **KII,***
492 ***District Cold Chain Technician, Gomba district.***

493

494 **Discussion**

495 This is the first known implementation study in Uganda that has assessed a costed
496 informed push vaccine delivery model with its potential benefits in improving the last
497 mile delivery of vaccines. The results of this study can be used for planning and evidence-
498 based response actions. In our study we considered, several components that can the
499 improve efficiency of the last mile delivery system including timely delivery of vaccines,
500 maintenance of cold chain system, and costing of the last mile logistic system.

501 Our findings show that the lead time delivery of vaccines from the DVS to all immunizing
502 health facilities is 5 days in each month and yet before, health facilities could take on
503 average two weeks to pick vaccines from the district vaccine store. Evidence from this
504 study suggest that when health facilities picked vaccines, there was no real time
505 temperature monitoring done during transportation and therefore no known visible
506 active cold chain maintenance performed which gravely compromised on the potency of

507 vaccines. However, during the IPM intervention, with a use of a trained cold chain
508 technician, cold chain maintenance was enforced and therefore vaccines delivered in
509 their rightful conditions. In addition, there was reduction on vaccine stock-outs as health
510 facilities received vaccines that they were able to consume after proper quantification as
511 opposed to before when they got vaccines in an ad hoc manner. This study demonstrated
512 varying costs for vaccine pick-up which oftentimes were either out-of-pocket expenses
513 incurred by health workers or costs that were eventually charged to caregivers before
514 receipt of immunization services. Moreso, pick-up of vaccines by health workers would
515 impinge on their time for delivering immunisation services which would lead to missed
516 opportunities for vaccination. The monthly cost of vaccine delivery to all health facilities
517 incurred was \$445.9 versus combined average \$170.8 incurred by all the 19 health
518 facilities for vaccine pick-up. However, the numerous tangible and intangible benefits
519 when the DCCT delivered vaccines to health facilities indisputably outweighs the total
520 costs incurred for vaccine delivery.

521 **Vaccine delivery model**

522 Our results showed that 79% and 95% of health workers at baseline and endline
523 respectively preferred push model. This finding confirms that health workers prefer to
524 stay at their respective facilities and execute their mandate of delivering healthcare
525 services at the service delivery point rather than having to routinely travel to collect
526 vaccines from the DVS, also recognizing that majority of the health facilities within this
527 setting are struggling with understaffing and patient overload challenges [22]. This takes
528 the pressure off the health worker involved in picking vaccines from the DVS. The

529 constraints related to low staffing levels at the health facilities is not alien and has been
530 widely documented by several scholars which affects access to vaccination services [23].

531 These results are similar to study conducted in Nigeria that showed a push vaccine
532 delivery model saved one to six hours of the health care workers' time spent on vaccine
533 logistics (collection and distribution) each week. This enabled healthcare workers to focus
534 on delivering services to mothers and children with attendant reduction in clients' waiting
535 time as well as enhanced job satisfaction [10].

536 Our study showed that 26% (5/19) 'others' category that picked vaccines included
537 neighbours and motorcycle riders who represent an untrained, unqualified, and
538 unequipped personnel unfit to handle and manage vaccines during transportation. Most
539 common mode of transport used for transporting vaccines from the DVS to the health
540 facilities were hired motorcycles (for more than half of the facilities). These motorcycles
541 were a more preferred mode of transport because they are flexible, convenience and
542 easily available means of transport regardless of the weather changes, versatile and
543 relatively less costly than hiring or fuelling a car over the same comparative distance to
544 be travelled. In many of the cases, rural areas are disadvantaged due to poor road
545 networks, especially during rainy seasons [24]. However, there are certainly concerns
546 about safety, maintenance of the cold chain for the vaccines, possible theft of vaccines or
547 diversion to other parties. On the other hand, the vaccines could get destroyed in case
548 the motorcycle gets an accident which are quite common in Uganda and are routinely
549 fatal.

550 Vaccine handling and management is best handled by trained and equipped skilled
551 worker who are able recognise and respond appropriately to temperature excursions [2].
552 Studies have shown that, poor vaccine handling is often associated with technically
553 incompetent stakeholders [13]. The success of the EPI program is tagged to potent
554 vaccines which depend on good cold chain status, therefore, vaccine management is a
555 critical step [11].

556 **Lead time**

557 Majority of respondents were not aware of the vaccine delivery schedule by National
558 Medical stores at both baseline and endline. Our results showed that only 5% of the
559 health workers knew the NMS vaccine delivery time schedules and only 11% knew the
560 correct recommended number of days within which vaccines should be delivered to
561 health facilities upon their receipt at the DVS. This is consistent with a study in Ghana
562 which showed that out of the 21 respondents, 6 (29%) had heard of “vaccine supply
563 period,” Of which, 2 (33.3%) knew the purpose of the supply period and could correctly
564 tell the vaccine supply period for their facility store [25].

565 This suggests that more effort is still needed to bridge the gap the knowledge gap around
566 microplanning and vaccine delivery schedules. It is also important to recognise that
567 health workers at facility level need to have knowledge about the vaccine delivery
568 schedule by national medical stores so that their immunization micro plans synchronize
569 with the vaccine delivery schedules which can be helpful in avoiding vaccine stock-outs
570 [26]. For example, in 2011, the Ministry of Health-Uganda acknowledged that vaccine

571 stock-outs were not due to shortages but rather attributed to persistent distribution
572 problems within the districts [27].

573 Cold chain and vaccine management

574 The cold chain remains a highly vulnerable point for number of EPI programs in
575 developing countries [28]. The core of vaccine cold chain is temperature monitoring [2].
576 Since all vaccines need to be maintained within certain temperature ranges, the
577 monitoring of vaccine temperatures becomes a must especially through transportation
578 [2]. All Health workers reported reading VVMS upon receipt of vaccines at the health
579 facility. These results are consistent with results from various vaccine assessments that
580 show that health workers are knowledgeable about VVMs and their use in protection of
581 vaccines from heat excursions [29]. However, VVMs only capture the heat exposure over
582 time and not freezing conditions [2]. Indeed, studies have shown that Cold chain
583 management weaknesses are often observed during transportation and storage of the
584 vaccines [28].

585 Our study showed that only 6/19 (31.58%) health workers used conditioned icepacks
586 during vaccine pick-up. These results are consistent with a study conducted in Ghana
587 where only 23% of health workers had heard of the “conditioning” ice packs [25].

588 The DCCT is not only meant to deliver vaccines to the health facility, but also supports the
589 vaccine supply chain system like quality assurance activities related to temperature
590 monitoring to maintain cold chain, cross checking for good functionality of the cold chain
591 equipment at a facility, mentorship of health workers in vaccine management, etc.
592 Storage vaccine equipment was functional in majority of the facilities and they all facilities

593 had functional cold chain equipment at endline. Therefore, maintenance of vaccine cold
594 chain across the facilities was well done, including use of vaccine carriers for storage
595 when go to pick them from the DVS. It is important to recognise that monitoring of the
596 cold chain performance is often limited by lack of performance management systems
597 and are rarely done due to limited prioritization of cold chain assessments like
598 temperature monitoring studies and funding [30]. However, the gaps in cold chain
599 management are still evident in transportation of the vaccines from the DVS to the health
600 facilities, with more than 30% of the respondents noting that their facilities do not use
601 frozen ice packs during transportation of vaccines from DVS to health facilities. Such gaps
602 in cold chain management of vaccines during transportation ought to be effectively
603 addressed and managed to ensure vaccine safety, quality and integrity. Inadequate
604 availability of cold boxes and frozen packs to maintain cold chain for vaccines is
605 disadvantageous to the health sector since it attracts a loss of up to 55% of the medicines
606 due to poor storage management of the vaccines [31].

607 Vaccine stock-outs

608 Results from our study show that the number of health facilities experiencing vaccine
609 stock-outs reduced from 79% (15/19) at baseline to 37% (7/19) at endline. These results
610 are similar to a study conducted in Nigeria that showed a reduction of vaccine stock-outs
611 from 43% to 0% after streamlining their last mile vaccine supply delivery to informed
612 push model [10].

613 Vaccine stock-outs often lead to missed opportunities for vaccination, decrease number
614 of children who could have been immunised and create a negative demand for

615 immunization [14]. Missed opportunities for vaccination for children that result from
616 inequitable and poor supply chain systems negatively impact the vaccination coverage
617 and can expose children to morbidity and mortality from vaccine preventable diseases
618 which in the long run negatively impacts the economy of a country [14].

619 Costs

620 Our study revealed varying baseline costs incurred by health facilities for picking vaccines
621 from the district vaccine store with a monthly total combined cost of \$ 170.8 for all the
622 19 health facilities and a monthly median cost of \$ 8.1. However, it is important to note
623 that this cost is underestimated as it doesn't include opportunity costs such as
624 productivity lost when a health worker leaves their duty station to fetch the vaccines from
625 the DVS. Furthermore, while the monthly median cost was quiet low (\$8.1), it is also
626 important to highlight that in a context where resources are highly constrained, most
627 facilities often struggled to mobilize the costs of transportation of vaccines and primarily
628 relied on the grossly insufficient primary health care funds and out-of-pocket charges to
629 patients. Collecting money from patients/caretakers to finance transportation of vaccines
630 among others exposes them to financial ruin as they seek health care services as a result
631 of increased out-of-pocket expenditure to meet medical and non-medical costs.

632 For the IPM, our study estimated the monthly cost for implementation of the push model
633 at \$ 445.9 and this translates to an estimated quarterly and annual cost of \$ 1, 337.7 and
634 \$ 5,350.8 respectively. The estimated monthly cost per child reached with vaccination
635 services in Gomba district is \$ 0.06. This very low per child cost makes financial sense and
636 a strong case for nation-wide scale up of the IPM.

637 Furthermore, the elevated costs of the push model (more than two and half times that
638 of the combined costs of all health facilities at baseline to pick the vaccines), are
639 countered by the immense benefits associated with the IPM such as timely delivery of
640 vaccines, maintenance of vaccines in their right conditions, mentorships and ad hoc
641 repairs of cold chain equipment and time saved for health workers to attend to
642 immunisation activities.

643 There are studies that have used third party logistic companies to deliver the vaccines to
644 the last mile often at high costs. A study in Cape Town (South Africa) found that
645 outsourcing vaccine logistics to the private sector reduced delivery and inventory costs,
646 improved adherence to temperature thresholds, reduced delivery delays, improved
647 handling practises, and allowed greater volume flexibility [32].

648 It is arguable that the use of third company logistics to deliver vaccines to the last mile
649 may be too expensive and not sustainable. Our research shows that a better and
650 sustainable approach would be empowering the district cold chain teams to use the
651 available cars designated for the EPI programs by to distribute vaccines in a timely and
652 equitably manner while offering support supervision in vaccine management, conducting
653 ad hoc cold chain maintenance of vaccine equipment at the health facilities, and ensuring
654 health facilities have the right stocks of vaccines. The DCCT is able mentor health workers
655 in EPI in stock management, vaccine forecasting whilst having visibility on functionality of
656 cold chain equipment at the health facility level.

657 A robust last mile cold chain and supply chain system will require technical persons who
658 are able to carry out multiple tasks such as repairs of cold chain equipment, mentorships,
659 support supervision, vaccine management in addition to vaccine distribution.

660 There are some limitations in our study including the fact the patient costs were not
661 included in the scope of this analysis. Moreover, the indirect costs already borne by the
662 government such as health personnel, opportunity costs, and other capital costs were
663 also not included in this analysis. Although the study is informative in terms of the
664 potential to use IPM approach for vaccine delivery, the findings should be treated with
665 caution because it was limited to one district and the cost of IPM may differ from district
666 to district as it is affected by distances and terrains. The sample size of one district also
667 limits this study's ability to generalize to other settings.

668 **Conclusion**

669 As per the authors' knowledge, the study described here is the first of its kind that has
670 attempted to demonstrate an improved and costed last mile immunisation supply chain
671 model that uses district based trained cold chain personnel. To address the challenges of
672 an inefficient and poorly defined last mile vaccine logistics system, our study
673 implemented and costed an informed push model of vaccine delivery from the district to
674 all immunising health facilities. This streamlined vaccine supply chain system ensured
675 vaccine quality, timeliness of vaccine delivery, reduced stock-outs, reduced the burden of
676 HCWs in vaccine pick-up, ensured timely repairs of broken-down cold chain equipment,
677 provided visibility of stock status of health facilities, provided a platform for onsite
678 mentorship and other less tangible spill over benefits. The costings, successes and areas

679 of opportunities described herein can serve as a guide for other last mile vaccine systems
680 in Uganda and other countries with similar contexts. The monthly costs associated with
681 the IPM (\$445.9 and \$0.06 per child) make financial sense and a strong case for the model
682 and this is further reinforced by the various benefits of last mile delivery. This benefit is
683 critical in hard-to-reach areas that tend to have frequent vaccine stock-outs, missed
684 opportunities for vaccination and generally poor vaccine coverages.

685 **Acknowledgement**

686 We wish to extend our appreciation to the institutions and individuals that contributed to
687 the implementation of this research study, that is East Africa Community Regional Centre
688 of Excellence for Vaccine Immunization, and Health Supply Chain Management,
689 University of Rwanda; Makerere University School of Public Health; Health Support
690 Initiatives, Uganda; Ministry of Health-EPI division; Uganda National Medical Stores;
691 Gomba District Health team; in-charges and EPI health workers of Health Facilities in
692 Gomba district; and the field data collection teams.

693

694 **References**

- 695 1. WHO (2023) Vaccines and immunization. In: Organization WH, editor. World Health
696 Organization.
- 697 2. Kartoglu U, Ames H (2022) Ensuring quality and integrity of vaccines throughout the cold
698 chain: the role of temperature monitoring. *Expert Review of Vaccines* 21: 799-810.
- 699 3. Zhang J, Xia Y, Liu X, Liu G (2023) Advanced Vaccine Design Strategies against SARS-CoV-2
700 and Emerging Variants. *Bioengineering* 10: 148.
- 701 4. Rappuoli R, Black S, Bloom DE (2019) Vaccines and global health: In search of a sustainable
702 model for vaccine development and delivery. *Science Translational Medicine* 11:
703 eaaw2888.
- 704 5. Yang Y, Bidkhori H, Rajgopal J (2021) Optimizing vaccine distribution networks in low and
705 middle-income countries. *Omega* 99: 102197.

- 706 6. Songane M (2018) Challenges for nationwide vaccine delivery in African countries.
707 International journal of health economics and management 18: 197-219.
- 708 7. Lin Q, Zhao Q, Lev B (2020) Cold chain transportation decision in the vaccine supply chain.
709 European Journal of Operational Research 283: 182-195.
- 710 8. Srivastava V, Ratna M, Ray A, Shukla S, Shrivastava V, et al. (2021) Strengthening the
711 immunization supply chain: A time-to-supply based approach to cold chain network
712 optimization & extension in Madhya Pradesh. Vaccine 39: 6660-6670.
- 713 9. Iwu CJ, Jaca A, Abdullahi LH, Ngcobo NJ, Wiysonge CS (2019) A scoping review of
714 interventions for vaccine stock management in primary health-care facilities. Human
715 vaccines & immunotherapeutics 15: 2666-2672.
- 716 10. Sarley D, Mahmud M, Idris J, Osunkiyesi M, Dibosa-Osador O, et al. (2017) Transforming
717 vaccines supply chains in Nigeria. Vaccine 35: 2167-2174.
- 718 11. Mulatu S, Tesfa G, Dinku H (2020) Assessment of Factors Affecting Vaccine Cold Chain
719 Management Practice in Bahir Dar City Health Institutions. American Journal of Life
720 Sciences 8: 107-113.
- 721 12. Mwanaumo ET, Kabwe D, Mwanza BGM, Mwanaumo EMu Assessing the Last Mile delivery
722 logistics of the Zambia Medicines and Medical Supplies Agency.
- 723 13. Pambudi NA, Sarifudin A, Gandidi IM, Romadhon R (2022) Vaccine cold chain management
724 and cold storage technology to address the challenges of vaccination programs.
725 Energy Reports 8: 955-972.
- 726 14. Kremer P, Haruna F, Sarpong RT, Agamah D, Billy J, et al. (2023) An impact assessment of
727 the use of aerial logistics to improve access to vaccines in the Western-North Region
728 of Ghana. Vaccine.
- 729 15. Prosser W, Spisak C, Hatch B, McCord J, Tien M, et al. (2021) Designing supply chains to
730 meet the growing need of vaccines: evidence from four countries. Journal of
731 pharmaceutical policy and practice 14: 1-10.
- 732 16. Luzze H, Badiane O, Ndiaye AS, Atuhaire B, Atuhebwe P, et al. (2017) Understanding the
733 policy environment for immunization supply chains: Lessons learned from landscape
734 analyses in Uganda and Senegal. Vaccine 35: 2141-2147.
- 735 17. PATH (2016) IMMUNIZATION SUPPLY CHAIN POLICY ENVIRONMENT IN UGANDA.
- 736 18. Mugambe RK, Yakubu H, Wafula ST, Ssekamatte T, Kasasa S, et al. (2021) Factors
737 associated with health facility deliveries among mothers living in hospital catchment
738 areas in Rukungiri and Kanungu districts, Uganda. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 21: 329.
- 739 19. Levin HM, Belfield C (2015) Guiding the development and use of cost-effectiveness
740 analysis in education. Journal of research on educational effectiveness 8: 400-418.
- 741 20. Levin HM, McEwan PJ (2001) Cost-effectiveness analysis: Methods and applications: Sage.
- 742 21. Vaismoradi M, Jones J, Turunen H, Snelgrove S (2016) Theme development in qualitative
743 content analysis and thematic analysis.
- 744 22. Li AJ, Tabu C, Shendale S, Okoth PO, Sergon K, et al. (2020) Qualitative insights into reasons
745 for missed opportunities for vaccination in Kenyan health facilities. PloS one 15:
746 e0230783.
- 747 23. Nabirye J, Okwi LA, Nuwematsiko R, Kiwanuka G, Muneza F, et al. (2020) Health system
748 factors influencing uptake of Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) vaccine among adolescent
749 girls 9-15 years in Mbale District, Uganda. BMC public health 20: 1-11.
- 750 24. Bbaale E (2013) Factors influencing childhood immunization in Uganda. Journal of health,
751 population, and nutrition 31: 118.

- 752 25. Osei E, Ibrahim M, Kofi Amenuvegbe G (2019) Effective vaccine management: The case of
753 a rural district in Ghana. *Advances in Preventive Medicine* 2019.
- 754 26. Mafigiri DK, Iradukunda C, Atumanya C, Odie M, Mancuso A, et al. (2021) A qualitative
755 study of the development and utilization of health facility-based immunization
756 microplans in Uganda. *Health Research Policy and Systems* 19: 1-8.
- 757 27. Dowling P (2011) *Healthcare supply chains in developing countries: situational analysis.*
758 Arlington, Va: USAID| DELIVER PROJECT.
- 759 28. Bogale HA, Amhare AF, Bogale AA (2019) Assessment of factors affecting vaccine cold
760 chain management practice in public health institutions in east Gojam zone of Amhara
761 region. *BMC public health* 19: 1-6.
- 762 29. Falcón VC, Porras YVV, Altamirano CMG, Kartoglu U (2020) A vaccine cold chain
763 temperature monitoring study in the United Mexican States. *Vaccine* 38: 5202-5211.
- 764 30. Ashok A, Brison M, LeTallec Y (2017) Improving cold chain systems: Challenges and
765 solutions. *Vaccine* 35: 2217-2223.
- 766 31. Tumwine Y, Rajab K, Kutyabami P, Nyandwi JB, Asingizwe D (2023) Cold chain
767 management practices of non-vaccine commodities in public health facilities of
768 Rwenzori Region, Western Uganda. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice* 16:
769 1-9.
- 770 32. Lydon P, Raubenheimer T, Arnot-Krüger M, Zaffran M (2015) Outsourcing vaccine logistics
771 to the private sector: the evidence and lessons learned from the Western Cape
772 Province in South-Africa. *Vaccine* 33: 3429-3434.

773

774

775 **Supporting Information**

776 SI Dataset




Fig 1: Current vaccine delivery model




Fig 2: Informed Push Model




Fig 3: Graph showing source of funds for vaccine pick-up at baseline