## 1 Fertility care among people with primary ciliary dyskinesia

## 2 Authors

- <sup>3</sup> Leonie D Schreck<sup>1,2</sup>, Myrofora Goutaki<sup>1,3</sup>, Philippa Jörger<sup>1</sup>, Katie Dexter<sup>4</sup>, Michele
- 4 Manion<sup>5</sup>, Sophie Christin-Maitre<sup>6</sup>, Bernard Maitre<sup>7,8</sup>, COVID-PCD patient advisory
- 5 group<sup>#</sup>, Claudia E Kuehni<sup>1,3¶</sup>, Eva SL Pedersen<sup>1\*¶</sup>

## 6 Affiliations

- 7 1, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
- 8 2, Graduate School for Health Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
- 9 3, Division of Paediatric Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Department of
- 10 Paediatrics, Inselspital, University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
- 11 4, PCD Support UK, London, United Kingdom.
- 12 5, PCD Foundation, Minneapolis, USA.
- 13 6, Sorbonne University, INSERM UMR 933, 75012 Paris, France.
- 14 7, Univ Paris Est-Créteil, Faculté de Santé, INSERM, IMRB, Créteil, France.
- 15 8, Pulmonary Department, Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal de Créteil, France.

16

- 17 \*Corresponding author:
- 18 Eva Sophie Lunde Pedersen, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University
- 19 of Bern, Switzerland. Mittelstrasse 43, 3012 Bern, Switzerland.
- 20 Email: <u>eva.pedersen@unibe.ch</u>, Tel: +41 684 56 75
- <sup>21</sup> <sup>¶</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>23</sup> # COVID-PCD patient advisory group (in alphabetical order):

- 24 Sara Bellu, Associazione italiana Discinesia Ciliare Primaria Sindrome di Kartagener
- 25 Onlus Italy; Isabelle Cizeau, Association ADCP, France; Fiona Copeland, PCD
- 26 Support UK; Katie Dexter, PCD Support UK; Lucy Dixon, PCD Support UK; Trini
- 27 López Fernández, Asociación Española de Pacientes con Discinesia Ciliar Primaria,
- 28 Spain; Susanne Grieder, Selbsthilfegruppe Primäre Ciliäre Dyskinesie, Switzerland;
- 29 Catherine Kruljac, PCD Australia Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia, Australia; Michele
- 30 Manion, PCD Foundation, USA; Bernhard Rindlisbacher, Selbsthilfegruppe Primäre
- 31 Ciliäre Dyskinesie, Switzerland; Hansruedi Silberschmidt, Verein Kartagener
- 32 Syndrom und Primäre Ciliäre Dyskinesie, Germany.

#### 34 Abstract

35 **Introduction:** Fertility care is important for people living with primary ciliary 36 dyskinesia (PCD) who are at increased risk of fertility problems. We investigated 37 fertility care in an international participatory study. 38 Methods: Participants of the COVID-PCD study completed an online questionnaire addressing fertility issues. We used logistic regression to study factors associated 39 40 with fertility specialist visits. 41 **Results:** Among 384 respondents (response rate 53%), 266 were adults [median 42 age 44 years, interquartile range (IQR) 33–54), 68% female], 16 adolescents, and 43 102 parents of children with PCD. Half adult participants (128; 48%) received care 44 from fertility specialists at a median age of 30 years (IQR 27–33)—a median of 10 45 years after PCD diagnosis. Fertility specialist visits were reported more often by 46 adults with pregnancy attempts [odds ratio (OR) 9.1, 95% confidence interval (CI) 47 3.8–23.6] and among people who reported fertility as important for them (OR 5.9, 48 95% CI 2.6–14.6) and less often by females (OR 0.4, 95% CI 0.2–0.8). Only 56% of 49 participants who talked with healthcare professionals about fertility were satisfied 50 with information they received. They expressed needs for more comprehensive

fertility information and reported dissatisfaction with physician knowledge about PCD
and fertility.

Conclusion: People with PCD are inconsistently referred to fertility specialists. We recommend care from fertility specialists become standard in routine PCD care, and that PCD physicians provide initial fertility information either at diagnosis or no later than transition to adult care.

57

#### 58 Manuscript

#### 59 Introduction

Fertility care is important for people living with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) who 60 61 are at increased risk of fertility problems. PCD is a rare genetic disease which affects 62 the function and structure of motile cilia. Motile cilia are found in the respiratory tract, fallopian tubes, and efferent ductules <sup>1,2</sup>. In addition, sperm flagella share common 63 structures with motile cilia<sup>3</sup>. In females, it was hypothesized the abnormal motion of 64 cilia in fallopian tubes possibly leads to infertility and more ectopic pregnancies <sup>1,4,5</sup>. 65 Male infertility is likely caused by reduced sperm count in ejaculate from altered 66 motility of cilia in the efferent ductules or by dysmotile sperm<sup>2,3,6</sup>. However, the 67 extent of fertility problems—especially among females—is unknown<sup>7</sup>. 68

69 Fertility care is defined as interventions that include fertility awareness, support and fertility management<sup>8</sup>. Patients with PCD would benefit from fertility care as it 70 71 provides information for making informed decisions about reproductive health. If 72 needed, assisted reproduction interventions, such as in vitro fertilization with or 73 without intracytoplasmic sperm injections, could be offered early on to increase chances of pregnancy. However, no clear guidelines currently exist for integrating 74 75 fertility care into routine PCD care. Recommendations about fertility care are scarce and imprecise <sup>9-15</sup>. It is unclear how fertility care is implemented into routine PCD 76 77 care, what information is provided and when, and whether people with PCD are 78 satisfied with fertility information they receive. For cystic fibrosis (CF)—another rare 79 genetic lung disease—fertility issues are also present among most males and some 80 females. Studies about CF and reproductive health suggest adults, adolescents, and 81 parents of children with CF know about the possibility of fertility problems, yet lack

important CF-specific reproductive health information <sup>16-20</sup>. CF and reproductive
 health studies share clear recommendations for standardized education about
 reproductive health <sup>16,21</sup>.

Using data from the largest study worldwide collecting information directly from people with PCD (COVID-PCD), we studied fertility care among people with PCD, including their sources of and factors for referrals to fertility specialists and satisfaction with fertility information received.

#### 89 Methods

#### 90 Study design and ethics

91 We obtained study data from a cross-sectional questionnaire about fertility nested within the COVID-PCD study. COVID-PCD is an international participatory cohort 92 93 study that collects information directly from people with PCD (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT04602481). A detailed study protocol has been published <sup>22</sup>. In brief, the 94 95 COVID-PCD study was set up in 2020 at the University of Bern, Switzerland in 96 collaboration with international PCD patient support groups to follow people with 97 PCD through the pandemic and answer other PCD-related research questions. The 98 COVID-PCD study enrolls participants of any age with PCD from all over the world 99 with suspected or confirmed PCD diagnoses. The study is online, anonymous, and 100 longitudinal. Questionnaires are available in five languages (English, French, 101 German, Italian, and Spanish).

The cantonal ethics committee of Bern approved the study (study ID: 2020-00830). We obtained informed consent either from participants or parents of participants younger than age 14. We report according to strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) recommendations <sup>23</sup>.

#### 106 Study procedures

107 Participants registered via the study website (www.covid19pcd.ispm.ch) and 108 received a baseline questionnaire, then weekly follow-up questionnaires. We sent a 109 fertility questionnaire by email on July 12, 2022 to all participants enrolled in the 110 COVID-PCD study. We sent up to three reminders if participants did not yet 111 complete the questionnaire. We collected responses until March 8, 2023. All data 112 was entered directly in a web-based database using the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) platform <sup>24</sup> hosted by the Swiss medical registries and data 113 114 linkage center (SwissRDL) at the University of Bern.

#### 115 Fertility questionnaire

116 We developed the fertility questionnaire based on existing literature, and we

discussed it with fertility specialists and patient representatives from PCD support

groups. We include questions and answer categories in Supplementary Table S1.

119 We developed separate questionnaires for adults older than 18 years, adolescents

ages 14–17 years, and parents of children with PCD younger than 14 years. Native

121 speaking members from patient support groups or our research team translated

122 questionnaires; two research team members independently checked translations.

### 123 Information on fertility care characteristics

124 In the adult questionnaire, we asked participants if ever referred to fertility 125 specialists; if so, at what age and who provided referrals. We calculated time until 126 fertility specialist visit as the difference between age at PCD diagnosis (or age 18 for 127 people diagnosed during childhood) and age at fertility specialist visit. We excluded

adults who were diagnosed with PCD only after fertility specialist visits or with unreported ages at PCD diagnosis or at fertility specialist visit.

We asked all participants (adults, adolescents, and parents) their opinions about the need for fertility care, their fertility information seeking behaviors, and sources of fertility information they used. Among participants who previously talked with healthcare professional about fertility, we asked about what topics were discussed and whether they were satisfied with fertility information they received. We asked participants who reported they were either partly satisfied or unsatisfied with provided fertility information to pinpoint information gaps.

137 We studied how fertility care from fertility specialists differed by age and country. For 138 adults, age at survey, age at diagnosis, and age at fertility specialist visit were 139 categorized as either 18–30 years, 31–45 years, or older than 45 years. We chose 140 age groups according to physiological female fertility changes with peak reproductive 141 years between late teens and late 20s; declining fertility starting by age 30 and by 142 age 45, fertility declining so much pregnancy without medical assistance is unlikely for most females <sup>25</sup>. For simplicity, we used the same age groups for males. We 143 144 categorized country of residence. We combined Canada and the United States as 145 North America because their PCD care is organized in a network. We included 146 England, Northern Ireland, Scotland, and Wales as the United Kingdom. We grouped 147 countries with fewer than 25 participants into either "other European countries" or "other non-European countries" (Supplementary Table S2). 148

#### 149 **Data analysis**

We described fertility care separately for females and males. We compared time from diagnosis (or age 18 for people diagnosed during childhood) until fertility specialist visit between females and males using Mann Whitney U test. We studied factors associated with fertility specialist visits using multivariable logistic regression with sex, age at survey, age at PCD diagnosis, pregnancy attempt, and selfassessed importance of fertility as explanatory variables. For the regression analysis, we excluded people with missing values for age at PCD diagnosis and without information on pregnancy attempts. Each variable had <5% missing data. We used R version 4.2.0 for all analyses <sup>26</sup>.

#### 159 **Results**

#### 160 Study population

161 In total, 384 of 723 COVID-PCD participants (response rate 53%) completed the 162 fertility questionnaire (Supplementary Table S3). Of these, 266 were adults (69%) 163 with a median age of 44 years [interquartile range (IQR) 33-54]; 16 adolescents 164 (4%), and 102 parents of children with PCD (27%). Two-thirds of adult participants 165 were female (181; 68%). Most participants were from North America (71; 18%), the 166 United Kingdom (66; 17%), and Germany (62; 16%). Compared with non-167 participants, people who completed the fertility questionnaire were older and more often living in Germany, Switzerland, Australia, and other European countries 168 169 (Supplementary Table S3).

#### 170 Fertility care among adults

Half of adult participants (128; 48%) reported receiving fertility care from fertility specialists before completing the survey (Table 1) with a higher proportion of males (47; 55%) than females (81; 45%). The median age at fertility specialist visit was 30 years (IQR 27–33). Only 15 (12%) participants were referred by their PCD physicians, while most participants either reported referrals by non-PCD physicians

(46; 36%) or organized appointments themselves (43; 34%) (Table 1). The median
time between PCD diagnosis (or age 18 for people diagnosed during childhood) and
fertility specialist visit was 10 years, and higher among females (11 years, IQR 7–13)
than males (7.5 years, IQR 0–14) (p-value 0.06).

Adults who received fertility care from fertility specialists were less often female [odds ratio (OR) 0.4, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.2–0.8], more often reported prior pregnancy attempts (OR 9.1, 95% CI 3.8–23.6) and fertility as an important issue (OR 5.9, 95% CI 2.6–14.6) (Table 2). The proportion of people who reported fertility care from fertility specialists differed between countries with the highest in France (11; 69%) and lowest in Italy (7; 35%).

Most participants (370; 96%) felt people with PCD should be referred for fertility care by fertility specialists (Table 3), yet opinions about the timing of fertility care differed. More than one-third (144; 38%) reported everyone should be referred; many (102; 27%) thought only people who want children should be referred; some (78; 20%) felt only adults and few (37; 10%) reported only people with unsuccessful pregnancy attempts should be referred.

#### 192 **Fertility information among all participants**

Most participants (277; 72%) searched for information about fertility (Table 3). They mostly searched multidisciplinary PCD network websites (119; 43%) or scientific articles (92; 33%). Around half (203; 53%) of all participants talked about fertility with healthcare professionals involved in their PCD care prior to the study, with adult females (108; 60%) and adult males (61; 72%) more often than parents of children (32; 31%) and adolescents (2; 13%) with PCD (Table 3). Participants reported most healthcare professionals mentioned people with PCD may have problems with

200 fertility (females: 97; 90%, males: 53; 87%, parents: 30; 94%) (Figure 1). More than 201 half of adult participants received information about the possibility of fertility 202 treatments (females: 67; 62%, males: 33; 54%) and one-quarter (females: 31; 29%, 203 males: 16; 26%) were informed about fertility awareness factors other than PCD 204 influencing fertility, such as advanced age or lifestyle factors. Overall, half of 205 participants (111; 56%) were satisfied with information from healthcare 206 professionals, ranging from fewer than half of females (49; 45%) to three-quarters of 207 males (43; 72%) (Figure 2). Participants who reported dissatisfaction with fertility information noted information gaps, such as detailed information about effects from 208 209 PCD on fertility (18; 20%), effects on female fertility specifically (8; 9%) or 210 information and advice on fertility treatments (9; 10%). They reported dissatisfaction 211 with physician knowledge about PCD and fertility (16; 18%). Eight participants 212 reported fertility was not yet relevant because the person diagnosed with PCD was 213 young; and five reported long ago PCD-fertility discussions with little known about 214 PCD at the time of the conversation (Table 4).

#### 215 **Discussion**

#### 216 Summary of findings

217 In the first study on fertility care among people with PCD, we showed only 48% of 218 adults with PCD reported ever visiting fertility specialists with a median delay of 10 219 years since diagnosis (or age 18 for people diagnosed during childhood). Only 12% 220 were referred to fertility specialists by their PCD physician. Among participants with 221 PCD who spoke with healthcare professional about fertility, only 56% reported 222 satisfaction with provided information. They expressed needs for more

223 comprehensive fertility information, such as detailed information about effects from224 PCD on fertility.

#### 225 Strengths and weaknesses

Using data from the largest study with patient-reported data in PCD, we collected information about fertility care and opinions from people with PCD. Above all, our results represent relevance for people with PCD. Since we conducted our study online and anonymously, we involved people normally with limited involvement in research from many countries around the world.

Our study also has limitations. People who talked with healthcare professionals about fertility long ago possibly found it difficult to recall conversation details, which could lead to recall bias. However, we did not ask detailed questions about fertility discussions; instead, we focused on general aspects and opinions. Therefore, we expected respondents to remember the main points discussed. Fertility is a sensitive topic and people with negative fertility care experiences possibly participate more as an opportunity to make themselves heard, which could lead to selection bias.

### 238 **Comparison with other studies**

To our knowledge, no other study investigated fertility care among people with PCD. Review papers on managing PCD suggest including fertility topics in routine PCD care <sup>10,15</sup>. The PCD Foundation recommends including reproductive medicine "as clinically needed" <sup>9</sup>; Werner et al. note "infertility is managed with adequate reproductive techniques" <sup>14</sup>; and Lucas et al. suggest integrating fertility care at transition into adult care <sup>13</sup>. For male infertility, two reviews promote including fertility care during the transition from pediatric to adult care <sup>27 3</sup>. However, none explicitly

246 counsel how and when fertility care should be included; how it should be organized; and what topics should be addressed <sup>7</sup>. In CF research, recent reproductive health 247 reviews address some of these questions and propose management strategies for 248 healthcare professionals <sup>21,28-31</sup>. However, data on fertility care implementation show 249 reproductive health needs are not proactively addressed among people with CF<sup>32</sup>. 250 251 Adults and adolescents with CF report inadequate communication on the topic from CF care providers <sup>17,18</sup> and delayed introduction of reproductive health education <sup>16</sup>. 252 253 Only 30% of parents of children with CF were satisfied with their current knowledge of reproductive health <sup>20</sup>. Results from our study confirm people with PCD face 254 255 similar challenges in terms of fertility care and highlight important gaps in PCD care 256 and existing recommendations.

#### 257 Interpretation of results

258 Young adults with PCD are not referred to fertility specialists as part of routine PCD 259 care. Most seem only to be referred when experiencing fertility problems, and usually 260 at their own request. Females are referred later than males, although an early visit would be even more important for them since their fertility declines earlier. This 261 262 suggests fertility care is not satisfactorily integrated in routine PCD care and potential 263 fertility problems are not proactively addressed before becoming relevant to people 264 with PCD. Only one-quarter of participants were informed by healthcare 265 professionals about other fertility awareness factors, such as age, influencing fertility; 266 only half of participants were informed about the possibility of fertility treatments. Our results also suggest great dissatisfaction among people with PCD regarding their 267 268 fertility care. Most participants expressed a need for more comprehensive 269 information about fertility for people with PCD. This also reflects the general lack of

knowledge regarding fertility issues among people with PCD—especially in
females—and indicates a need for further research. Until this knowledge gap is filled,
fertility care will have limitations.

273 PCD physicians may not recognize the need to address fertility as it is out of their 274 area of expertise. Nevertheless, it is likely beneficial for PCD physicians to openly 275 discuss potential fertility issues. Already at diagnosis, PCD physicians can provide 276 information about potential impact from PCD on fertility and-no later than the 277 transition to adult care—offer information about fertility awareness, such as age or 278 timing of sexual intercourse. By integrating fertility care, physicians could mention 279 ways to increase chances of pregnancy through fertility treatments and interventions, 280 offer further fertility information, and organize referrals to fertility specialists if 281 needed.

### 282 Conclusion

People with PCD are inconsistently referred to fertility specialists and report difficulties obtaining satisfactory fertility information. We recommend care from fertility specialists become standard in routine PCD care, and PCD physicians provide initial fertility information either at diagnosis or no later than transition to adult care.

#### 288 **Declarations**

#### 289 Acknowledgments

We thank all participants and their families, and we thank the PCD support groups and physicians who advertised the study. We thank our collaborators who helped set up the COVID-PCD study: Cristina Ardura, Yin Ting Lam, Christina Mallet, Helena Koppe, Dominique Rubi from the University of Bern and Jane S Lucas and Amanda Harris from the University Hospital Southampton. We thank Nathalie Massin and Lara Gonçalves Pissini for their help in the design of the fertility questionnaire. We thank Kristin Marie Bivens for her editorial work and guidance on our manuscript.

### 297 Ethics approval and consent to participate

Bern Cantonal Ethics Committee (Kantonale Ethikkomission Bern) approved our study (Study ID: 2020-00830). Participants provide their informed consent to participate in the study at registration. Participation is anonymous. Participants can withdraw consent to participate at any time by contacting the study team.

### 302 Funding

303 Our research was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation, Switzerland 304 (SNSF 320030B\_192804/1), the Swiss Lung Association, Switzerland (2021-305 08\_Pedersen), and we also received support from the PCD Foundation, United 306 States; the Verein Kartagener Syndrom und Primäre Ciliäre Dyskinesie, Germany; 307 the PCD Support UK; and PCD Australia, Australia. M. Goutaki receives funding 308 from the Swiss National Science Foundation (PZ00P3\_185923). Study authors 309 participate in the BEAT-PCD Clinical Research Collaboration supported by the 310 European Respiratory Society.

## 311 Competing interests

312 All authors declare no conflict of interest.

## 313 Availability of data and materials

- 314 COVID-PCD data is available upon reasonable request by contacting Claudia
- 315 Kuehni (claudia.kuehni@unibe.ch).

## 316 Authors' contributions

LD Schreck, M Goutaki, CE Kuehni and ESL Pedersen made substantial contributions to the study concept and design. LD Schreck, M Goutaki, K Dexter, M Manion, S Christin-Maitre, B Maitre, Claudia E Kuehni and ESL Pedersen were involved in the design of the fertility questionnaire. LD Schreck analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. LD Schreck, ESL Pedersen, P Jörger, K Dexter, M Manion, S Christin-Maitre, B Maitre, M Goutaki, and CE Kuehni critically revised and approved the manuscript.

### 325 Display items

- **Table 1:** Frequency of fertility specialist visits, age at visit, mode of referral, and time
- until fertility specialist visit among adult females (n = 181) and males (n = 85) with
- 328 PCD (COVID-PCD study).

Adult participants who completed the fertility	Overall	Female	Male
questionnaire			
	n = 266	n = 181	n = 85
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Have you ever visited a fertility specialist?			
Yes	128 (48)	81 (45)	47 (55)
No, but I have an appointment	6 (2)	5 (3)	1 (1)
No, but I would like to	14 (5)	12 (7)	2 (2)
No	118 (44)	83 (46)	35 (41)
Adult participants who visited fertility	n = 128	n = 81	n = 47
specialists			
Age at fertility specialist visit			
Median (IQR)	30 (27–33)	29 (27–32)	30 (28–35)
18–30 y	74 (58)	50 (62)	24 (51)
31–45 у	53 (41)	30 (37)	23 (49)
Missing	1 (1)	1 (1)	0 (0)
Referral by PCD physician	15 (12)	5 (6)	10 (21)
Referral by non-PCD physician	46 (36)	29 (36)	17 (36)
Participant asked for referral	19 (15)	14 (17)	5 (11)
Participant organized appointment	43 (34)	28 (35)	15 (32)
Other <sup>a</sup>	2 (2)	2 (2)	0 (0)
Missing	3 (2)	3 (4)	0 (0)
Time until fertility specialist visit <sup>b</sup>	n = 93	n = 61	n = 32
Years, median (IQR)	10 (6–13)	11 (7–13)	7.5 (0–14)

<sup>a</sup>Other answers included friend (n = 1), not specified (n = 1). <sup>b</sup>Calculated as the difference between the age at 330 PCD diagnosis (or age 18 for people diagnosed during childhood) and the age at fertility specialist visit. We 331 excluded participants when PCD was diagnosed only after fertility specialist visit (female n = 10; male n = 15) and 332 when age at diagnosis (female n = 9) or age at fertility specialist visit (female n = 1) were unreported. All 333 characteristics are presented as n and column %, unless otherwise stated. Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile 334 range. PCD, primary ciliary dyskinesia. y, years.

### **Table 2:** Characteristics of adult participants and predictors of fertility specialist visit

# 337 (COVID-PCD study, n = 266).

	Fertility	No fertility	Univariable <sup>a</sup>	Multivariable <sup>a</sup>
	specialist	specialist		
	visit	visit		
	n = 128	n = 138	n = 266	n = 253
	n (%)	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Sex				
Male	47 (55)	38 (45)	1.0	1.0
Female	81 (45)	100 (55)	0.7 (0.4–1.1)	0.4 (0.2–0.8)
Age at survey				
18–30 y	9 (19)	39 (81)	1.0	1.0
31–45 y	55 (55)	45 (45)	5.3 (2.4–12.7)	1.7 (0.6–5.2)
> 45 y	64 (54)	54 (46)	5.1 (2.4–12.2)	3.5 (1.04–12.1)
Age at PCD diagnosis				
< 18 y	67 (49)	70 (51)	1.0	1.0
18–30 y	22 (46)	26 (54)	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	0.7 (0.3–1.6)
31–45 y	21 (51)	20 (49)	1.1 (0.5–2.2)	0.5 (0.2–1.2)
> 45 y	9 (33)	18 (67)	0.5 (0.2–1.2)	0.2 (0.05–0.6)
Missing	9 (69)	4 (31)		
Pregnancy attempt				
No	13 (14)	78 (86)	1.0	1.0
Yes	114 (66)	60 (34)	11.4 (6.0–23.0)	9.1 (3.8–23.6)
Missing	1 (100)	0 (0)		
Importance of fertility				
Not important	12 (17)	57 (83)	1.0	1.0
Important	116 (59)	81 (41)	6.8 (3.5–14.0)	5.9 (2.6–14.6)
Countries/regions				
North America	26 (51)	25 (49)	1.0	
United Kingdom	20 (40)	30 (60)	0.6 (0.3–1.4)	
Germany	20 (54)	17 (46)	1.1 (0.5–2.7)	
Switzerland	11 (42)	15 (58)	0.7 (0.3–1.8)	
Italy	7 (35)	13 (65)	0.5 (0.2–1.5)	
France	11 (69)	5 (31)	2.1 (0.7–7.5)	
Australia	7 (58)	5 (42)	1.3 (0.4–5.1)	
Other European countries	23 (50)	23 (50)	1.0 (0.4–2.1)	
Other countries	3 (38)	5 (62)	0.6 (0.1–2.6)	

338 <sup>a</sup>We compared people who reported fertility specialist visits with the group who reported no fertility specialist

339 visits. Multivariable model adjusted for sex, age at survey, age at PCD diagnosis, pregnancy attempt, and

- 340 importance of fertility. We excluded people with missing age at diagnosis and missing information about
- 341 pregnancy attempt. All characteristics are presented as n and row % or as OR and 95% CI. Abbreviations: CI,
- 342 confidence interval. OR, odds ratio. PCD, primary ciliary dyskinesia. y, year.

### **Table 3:** Participant opinions on fertility care and their sources of fertility information

# 344 (COVID-PCD study, n = 384).

Participants who completed	Overall	Female	Male	Adolescent	Parent of	
the fertility questionnaire children						
	n = 384	n = 181	n = 85	n = 16	n = 102	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Who should be referred to fertility specialists?						
Everyone	144 (38)	66 (36)	37 (44)	7 (44)	34 (33)	
Only adults	78 (20)	25 (14)	14 (16)	3 (19)	36 (35)	
Only people who want children	102 (27)	54 (30)	25 (29)	5 (31)	18 (18)	
Only people with unsuccessful	37 (10)	24 (13)	5 (6)	0 (0)	8 (8)	
pregnancy attempts						
Other <sup>a</sup>	9 (2)	2 (1)	1 (1)	1 (6)	5 (5)	
Nobody	14 (4)	10 (6)	3 (4)	0 (0)	1 (1)	
I searched for information	277 (72)	130 (72)	65 (76)	8 (50)	74 (73)	
about fertility						
Missing	1 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Where did you search for	n = 277	n = 130	n = 65	n = 8	n = 74	
information?						
PCD network websites	119 (43)	53 (41)	20 (31)	4 (50)	42 (57)	
Scientific articles	92 (33)	47 (36)	20 (31)	1 (13)	24 (32)	
Patient support groups/	88 (32)	43 (33)	13 (20)	1 (13)	31 (42)	
patients with PCD						
Other websites	87 (31)	41 (32)	13 (20)	4 (50)	29 (39)	
Social media	41 (15)	21 (16)	7 (11)	1 (13)	12 (16)	
Friends/family	17 (6)	11 (8)	4 (6)	2 (25)	0 (0)	
Books	6 (2)	3 (2)	2 (3)	0 (0)	1 (1)	
I talked about fertility with a	203 (53)	108 (60)	61 (72)	2 (13)	32 (31)	
healthcare professional						

<sup>a</sup>Other answers included mid-late teens/reproductive age (n = 3), only if they want to (n = 4), children at an age

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{346} & \text{determined by parent/guardian } (n = 1), \text{ not specified } (n = 1). \text{ Abbreviation: PCD, primary ciliary dyskinesia. All} \\ \text{staracteristics are presented as n and column } \%. \end{array}$ 

348

349

350

351

### 353 **Figure 1:** Topics covered in fertility discussions with the healthcare professional

among females (n = 108), males (n = 60) and parents of children with PCD (n = 32).



- 355
   356 We excluded adolescents (n = 2) and missing values (male n = 1) from our analysis. Additional topics mentioned:
- ectopic pregnancy (n = 2), PCD does not affect female fertility (n = 1). Abbreviation: PCD, primary ciliary
   dyskinesia.

**Figure 2:** Satisfaction with information provided by fertility specialists among females

(n = 108), males (n = 60), and parents of children with PCD (n = 32) (COVID-PCD

361 study).





We excluded adolescents (n = 2) and missing values (male n = 1) from our analyses. Abbreviation: PCD, primary ciliary dyskinesia.

- 366 **Table 4:** Fertility information gaps identified and other reasons for dissatisfaction
- among people who discussed fertility care with healthcare professionals (n = 89)
- 368 (COVID-PCD study).

Participants who were dissatisfied with information about fertility from healthcare professionals (n = 89)	n (%)			
Fertility information gaps				
Detailed information about effects from PCD on fertility	18 (20)			
Specific information				
<ul> <li>Effects of PCD on female fertility</li> </ul>	8 (9)			
<ul> <li>Chances of pregnancy without medical assistance</li> </ul>	5 (6)			
<ul> <li>Differences between fertility problems and sterility</li> </ul>	2 (2)			
<ul> <li>Risks of ectopic pregnancy</li> </ul>	2 (2)			
<ul> <li>Information about pregnancy and PCD</li> </ul>	2 (2)			
<ul> <li>Risk of inherited PCD</li> </ul>	1 (1)			
<ul> <li>Associations of fertility with genetic defects</li> </ul>	1 (1)			
Follow-up care				
<ul> <li>Information and advice on fertility treatments</li> </ul>	9 (10)			
<ul> <li>Information on fertility tests</li> </ul>	2 (2)			
<ul> <li>Offering genetic counselling</li> </ul>	1 (1)			
<ul> <li>Follow-up care when ready for pregnancy</li> </ul>	1 (1)			
<ul> <li>Sources of further information</li> </ul>	1 (1)			
<ul> <li>Support for adoption</li> </ul>	1 (1)			
Other reasons for dissatisfaction				
Physician knowledge of PCD and fertility	16 (18)			
Fertility was not yet relevant because person diagnosed with PCD was young	8 (9)			
Little known about PCD at the time of the conversation	5 (6)			
Emotional component, physician empathy	4 (4)			
PCD diagnosed after the conversation 2				
Lack of scientific evidence from studies 2 (2				
Information about fertility not provided earlier				

369 All characteristics are presented as n and column %. Abbreviation: PCD, primary ciliary dyskinesia.

### 370 References

- 3711.Lyons RA, Saridogan E, Djahanbakhch O. The reproductive significance of human Fallopian372tube cilia. Hum Reprod Update. 2006;12(4):363-372.
- Aprea I, Nöthe-Menchen T, Dougherty GW, et al. Motility of efferent duct cilia aids passage
   of sperm cells through the male reproductive system. *Molecular human reproduction*.
   2021;27(3).
- Sironen A, Shoemark A, Patel M, Loebinger MR, Mitchison HM. Sperm defects in primary
   ciliary dyskinesia and related causes of male infertility. *Cellular and molecular life sciences*:
   *CMLS*. 2020;77(11):2029-2048.
- 3794.Raidt J, Werner C, Menchen T, et al. Ciliary function and motor protein composition of380human fallopian tubes. *Hum Reprod.* 2015;30(12):2871-2880.
- 3815.Goutaki M, Meier AB, Halbeisen FS, et al. Clinical manifestations in primary ciliary dyskinesia:382systematic review and meta-analysis. The European respiratory journal. 2016;48(4):1081-3831095.
- Aprea I, Raidt J, Höben IM, et al. Defects in the cytoplasmic assembly of axonemal dynein
   arms cause morphological abnormalities and dysmotility in sperm cells leading to male
   infertility. *PLoS Genet*. 2021;17(2):e1009306.
- 3877.Newman L, Chopra J, Dossett C, et al. The impact of primary ciliary dyskinesia on female and388male fertility: a narrative review. Hum Reprod Update. 2023.
- 3898.Zegers-Hochschild F, Adamson GD, Dyer S, et al. The International Glossary on Infertility and390Fertility Care, 2017. Fertility and sterility. 2017;108(3):393-406.
- Shapiro AJ, Zariwala MA, Ferkol T, et al. Diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of primary
   ciliary dyskinesia: PCD foundation consensus recommendations based on state of the art
   review. *Pediatric pulmonology*. 2016;51(2):115-132.
- Contarini M, Shoemark A, Rademacher J, et al. Why, when and how to investigate primary
   ciliary dyskinesia in adult patients with bronchiectasis. *Multidisciplinary respiratory medicine*. 2018;13(Suppl 1):26.
- Hosie P, Fitzgerald DA, Jaffe A, Birman CS, Morgan L. Primary ciliary dyskinesia: overlooked
   and undertreated in children. *Journal of paediatrics and child health*. 2014;50(12):952-958.
- 39912.Wallmeier J, Nielsen KG, Kuehni CE, et al. Motile ciliopathies. Nature reviews Disease400primers. 2020;6(1):77.
- 401 13. Lucas JS, Alanin MC, Collins S, et al. Clinical care of children with primary ciliary dyskinesia.
  402 *Expert review of respiratory medicine*. 2017;11(10):779-790.
- 403 14. Werner C, Onnebrink JG, Omran H. Diagnosis and management of primary ciliary dyskinesia.
  404 *Cilia.* 2015;4(1):2.
- 405 15. Goutaki M, Shoemark A. Diagnosis of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *Clinics in chest medicine*.
  406 2022;43(1):127-140.
- 407 16. Hailey CE, Tan JW, Dellon EP, Park EM. Pursuing parenthood with cystic fibrosis:
  408 Reproductive health and parenting concerns in individuals with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric*409 *pulmonology*. 2019;54(8):1225-1233.
- 410 17. Kazmerski TM, Hill K, Prushinskaya O, et al. Perspectives of adolescent girls with cystic
  411 fibrosis and parents on disease-specific sexual and reproductive health education. *Pediatric*412 *pulmonology*. 2018;53(8):1027-1034.
- 413 18. Kazmerski TM, Gmelin T, Slocum B, Borrero S, Miller E. Attitudes and Decision Making
  414 Related to Pregnancy Among Young Women with Cystic Fibrosis. *Maternal and child health*415 *journal*. 2017;21(4):818-824.
- 416 19. Sawyer SM, Farrant B, Cerritelli B, Wilson J. A survey of sexual and reproductive health in
  417 men with cystic fibrosis: new challenges for adolescent and adult services. *Thorax.*418 2005;60(4):326-330.

419 420	20.	Frayman KB, Cerritelli B, Wilson J, Sawyer SM. Reproductive and sexual health in boys with cystic fibrosis: what do parents know and say? <i>Pediatric pulmonology</i> . 2008;43(11):1107-
421	21	1110. Wast NE Kazmarski TM, Taylor Cousar II, at al. Ontimizing soxual and reproductive health
422	21.	across the lifespan in people with cystic fibrosis. <i>Pediatric pulmonology</i> 2022:57 Suppl
424		1/Suppl 1):S89-s100
425	22	Pedersen ESL Collaud ENR Mozun R et al COVID-PCD a participatory research study on the
426		impact of COVID-19 in people with primary ciliary dyskinesia. <i>ERJ open research</i> . 2021;7(1).
427	23.	von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The
428		Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement:
429		guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2008;61(4):344-349.
430	24.	Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data
431		capture (REDCap)a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing
432		translational research informatics support. Journal of biomedical informatics.
433		2009;42(2):377-381.
434	25.	Optimizing natural fertility: a committee opinion. <i>Fertility and sterility</i> . 2022;117(1):53-63.
435	26.	R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [computer program]. R Foundation
436		for Statistical Computing; 2010.
437	27.	Jayasena CN, Sironen A. Diagnostics and Management of Male Infertility in Primary Ciliary
438		Dyskinesia. Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland). 2021;11(9).
439	28.	Jain R, Kazmerski TM, Zuckerwise LC, et al. Pregnancy in cystic fibrosis: Review of the
440		literature and expert recommendations. <i>J Cyst Fibros.</i> 2022;21(3):387-395.
441	29.	Kazmerski TM, West NE, Jain R, et al. Family-building and parenting considerations for
442		people with cystic fibrosis. <i>Pediatric pulmonology</i> . 2022;57 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S75-s88.
443	30.	Hughan KS, Daley T, Rayas MS, Kelly A, Roe A. Female reproductive health in cystic fibrosis. J
444		<i>Cyst Fibros</i> . 2019;18 Suppl 2:S95-s104.
445	31.	Frayman KB, Chin M, Sawyer SM, Bell SC. Sexual and reproductive health in cystic fibrosis.
446		Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2020;26(6):685-695.
447	32.	Meiss LN, Jain R, Kazmerski TM. Family Planning and Reproductive Health in Cystic Fibrosis.
448		Clinics in chest medicine. 2022;43(4):811-820.
449		

### 451 Supplementary Material

- 452 **Supplementary Table S1:** Formulation of questions from the English adult baseline
- 453 questionnaire and the English female and male fertility questionnaires from the
- 454 COVID-PCD study.

Question	Answer category	
Baseline Questionnaire		
What is your sex?	Male; Female; Other	
Which year were you born?	text (integer)	
Which country do you live in?	List of all countries worldwide	
Which other country?	text	
Which year were you diagnosed with PCD?	text (integer)	
How old were you, when you were diagnosed with PCD?	text (integer)	
Fertility questionnaire	-	
Have you ever searched for information about fertility in people with PCD?	No; Yes	
Where did you get your information from? (Tick all	Patient support groups;	
that apply)	Social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter);	
	Websites from national or international PCD networks (e.g. BEAT-PCD);	
	Other websites;	
	Books;	
	Scientific articles;	
	Friends/family;	
	I asked my doctor;	
	Other	
Please specify where you got your information from	text	
Is fertility an important issue for you?	No; Yes	
Have you ever talked to a health care professional about fertility and fertility-related problems of people with PCD?	No; Yes	
What topics were covered in your discussion with the health care professional? (Tick all that apply)	People with PCD may have problems with fertility.;	
	PCD is a genetic disease and people may need counselling before trying to have children (genetics counselling).;	
	Fertility tests can be performed.;	
	Fertility treatments can help to conceive a	

	child.; Fertility treatments are not without risks.; Other factors can influence chances of having a baby (e. g. timing of sexual intercourse, age, tobacco smoking).; Other
Please specify what other topics were covered	text
Were you satisfied with the information about fertility provided by the health care professional?	No; Partly; Yes
What information was missing?	text
In your opinion, should every person with PCD receive fertility counselling from a fertility expert (= a doctor who is specialized in fertility)?	No; Yes, everybody; Yes, but only adults; Yes, but only if they want children; Yes, but only if they tried to get pregnant unsuccessfully; Yes, other
Please specify who should receive fertility counselling	text
Have you ever seen a fertility expert (= a doctor who is specialized in fertility)?	No; No, but I have an appointment / I am waiting to be referred; No, but I would like to; Yes
How old were you when you first saw a fertility expert? If you cannot remember the exact age, please give an approximate age.	text (integer)
Who referred you to the fertility expert?	I was referred by my PCD physician.; I was referred by a doctor other than my PCD physician (e.g. my general practitioner).; I had to ask for a referral.; I had to organize it myself.; Other
Please specify who referred you to the fertility expert	text
Have you ever tried to become pregnant? Have you ever tried to father a child?	No; Yes, but it did not work / we are still trying; Yes, it worked

455

- 457 **Supplementary Table S2:** Countries of residence of COVID-PCD participants with
- 458 fewer than 25 enrolled participants who completed the fertility questionnaire,
- 459 grouped as either "other European countries" or "other non-European countries".

Country	Number of participants
Other European countries	67
Austria	4
Belgium	6
Croatia	1
Cyprus	2
Czech Republic	1
Denmark	5
Georgia	2
Greece	1
Hungary	1
Ireland	7
Jersey	1
Netherlands	12
Norway	8
Poland	3
Portugal	2
Spain	8
Sweden	3
Other non-European countries	9
Brazil	1
Cameroon	1
Hong Kong	1
Israel	2
Panama	1
Puerto Rico	1
Saudi Arabia	1
South Africa	1

## 461 Supplementary Table S3: Characteristics of participants who did or did not

## 462 complete the fertility questionnaire (n = 723).

	Completed questionnaire	Not completed questionnaire
	n (%)	n (%)
	n = 384	n = 339
Questionnaire groups		
Adults	266 (55)	216 (45)
Adolescents	16 (48)	17 (52)
Parents	102 (49)	106 (51)
Age at survey		
Adults, median (IQR; range)	44 (33-54; 19–87)	36 (26-47; 19–76)
18–30 у	48 (38)	79 (62)
31–45 у	100 (56)	78 (44)
> 45 y	118 (67)	59 (33)
Adolescents, median (IQR; range)	17 (16–17; 15–18)	17 (17–18; 16–18)
Children, median (IQR; range)	9 (6–13; 2–15)	9 (7–12; 3–15)
Sex, adults		
Female	181 (56)	141 (44)
Male	85 (54)	73 (46)
Other	0 (0)	2 (100)
Countries		
North America	71 (46)	85 (54)
United Kingdom	66 (45)	80 (55)
Germany	62 (63)	37 (37)
Switzerland	36 (78)	10 (22)
Italy	29 (57)	22 (43)
France	23 (53)	20 (47)
Australia	21 (64)	12 (36)
Other European countries	67 (61)	43 (39)
Other countries	9 (23)	30 (77)

All characteristics are presented as n and row %, unless otherwise stated. Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range. y, years.