1	Improving capacity for advanced training in obstetric
2 3	surgery: Evaluation of a blended learning approach
4	
5	Helen Allott <sup>1*</sup> , Alan Smith <sup>1</sup> , Sarah White <sup>1</sup> , Irene Nyaoke <sup>2</sup> , Ogoti Evans <sup>3</sup> , Michael Oriwo Oduor <sup>4</sup> , Steven
6	Karangau <sup>5</sup> , Sheila Sawe <sup>3</sup> , Nassir Shaaban <sup>3</sup> , Ochola Ephraim <sup>3</sup> , Charles Anawo Ameh <sup>1,6</sup>
7	
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Emergency Obstetric Care and Quality of Care Unit, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM),
10	Liverpool, United Kingdom
11	<sup>2</sup> Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya
12	<sup>3</sup> Directorate of Reproductive Health, Moi University Teaching and Referral Hospital (MTRH), Eldoret,
13	Kenya
14	<sup>4</sup> Bondo Subcounty Hospital, Bondo, Kenya
15	<sup>5</sup> Muriranjas Subcounty Hospital, Murang'a, Kenya
16	<sup>6</sup> University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya
17	
18	
19	* Corresponding author
20	Email: Helen.Allott@lstmed.ac.uk (HA)
21	

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### 22 Abstract

#### 23

#### 24 Introduction

25

#### 26 Significant differences in outcomes for mothers and babies following obstetric surgical interventions

- 27 between low- and middle-income countries and high-income settings have demonstrated a need for
- 28 improvements in quality of care and training of obstetric surgical and anaesthetic providers. To
- 29 address this a five-day face-to-face training intervention was developed. When the COVID-19
- 30 pandemic interrupted its roll-out, the course was redesigned for delivery by blended learning.
- 31

# 32 Methods

33

34 This 3-part blended-learning course (part-1: 15 hours self-directed online learning, part-2: 13 hours

35 facilitated virtual workshops and part-3: 10 hours face-to-face delivery), was conducted in Kenya.

36 We assessed the completion rate of part-1 (21 assignments), participation rate in parts 2 and 3,

37 participant satisfaction, change in knowledge and skills and compared the cost of the blended

38 delivery compared to the 5-day face-to-face delivery, in GB Pounds.

39

#### 40 **Results**

41

42 65 doctors took part in part 1, 53 completing at least 90% of the assignments. 60 doctors

43 participated in part 2, and 53 participated in part 3. Participants completing an evaluation reported

44 (n=53) attending the training was a good use of their time (each of parts-1 and 3: 98%, part-2: 94%)

45 and would recommend this to other colleagues (part-1 and 3: 98%, part-2: 90%). Mean (SD)

46 knowledge score improved from 64% (7%) to 80% (8%) and practical skills from 44% (14%) to 87%

47 (7%). The blended course achieved a cost-saving of £207 per participant compared to the 5-day face-

48 to-face delivery approach.

### 50 Conclusion

51

52 We have demonstrated that a blended learning approach to clinical training in a low resource setting 53 is feasible, acceptable and more cost effective. More studies are required to investigate the

54 effectiveness of this approach on health outcomes.

55

56

# 57 Introduction

58

59 Improving access to quality maternal and newborn health services, while addressing context specific 60 challenges, is critical to meeting the global maternal health Sustainable Development Goal target of 61 less than 70 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030 (1). Caesarean section (CS) is a life-62 saving emergency obstetric care major surgical procedure that, if performed when indicated, should 63 reduce the risk of maternal and perinatal mortality. Boerma and colleagues reported in 2018 that 64 21.1% (29.7 million) of births that occurred globally in 2015 were by CS. There was also an increasing 65 trend in CS rates in some world regions and in others it was still suboptimal (2). Population based CS 66 rates above 20% have not been shown to improve perinatal or neonatal outcomes (3) and rates of 67 less than 10%, as seen in many low-income and middle-income countries, indicate inadequate access 68 to medically indicated CS (4). Short and long-term risks to CS have been reported, the CS related 69 maternal mortality ratio in LMICs is 100 times more than in high income countries and a high 70 stillbirth rate associated with CS of 82.5 per 1, 000 has been reported (5–9).

71

Interventions to reduce unnecessary CS need to address the drivers of excessive and inappropriate
use of CS at three levels: a) childbearing women, families, communities and broader society, b)
health professionals c) health systems, financial reimbursements, organisational design and cultures.
These interventions include clinical and non-clinical interventions to achieve meaningful reductions
in the risk from CS (10). The systematic review by Sobhy and colleagues identified 3 themes to be

77 addressed for optimal outcomes from caesarean sections: 1) access to safe surgery, 2) the 78 management of perioperative complications in Low and Middle Income Countries (LMICs) and 3) 79 labour related complications related to the second stage of labour. Caesarean sections performed in 80 the second stage of labour can be very challenging especially for less experienced doctors. Assisted 81 vaginal birth with vacuum delivery device, when indicated, may be a safer option. In a multi-country 82 review of assisted vaginal delivery, Bailey et al found that one of the prime reasons for low levels of 83 assisted vaginal delivery in LMICs was a lack of staff training, underlining the need to provide 84 enhanced training in this procedure (11).

85

86 The first Confidential Inquiry into Maternal Deaths in Kenya report, published in 2018, showed that 87 37% of women who died had had a caesarean section. Delays in starting treatment, inadequate 88 clinical skills and inadequate monitoring were found to be the significant health workforce factors 89 associated with these deaths (9). Therefore, in 2018 we designed a health worker training 90 intervention that addressed these factors, plus the key themes identified in a systematic review (7) 91 and the lack of skills to perform assisted vaginal birth. When the covid-19 pandemic rendered it 92 impossible to continue with delivering this training face-to-face, we adapted the course delivery to 93 suit a blended learning delivery model. Blended learning has been described as "learning that 94 happens in an instructional context which is characterized by a deliberate combination of online and 95 classroom-based interventions to instigate and support learning" (12). Successful blended learning 96 requires the integration of both virtual and face-to-face methods, rather than merely using the on-97 line component as an add-on to classroom teaching.

98

99 The objective of this paper is to describe and evaluate the blended learning version of Liverpool

100 School of Tropical Medicine's Advanced Obstetric Surgical and Anaesthetic Care training package.

101

102

103 104	Methods
105 106 107	Study setting
	We recruited 69 potential participants from Ministry of Health public hospitals in the five counties in
108	Kenya (Garrisa, Taita Taveta, Kilifi, Usain Gishu and Vihiga) supported by the United Kingdom's
109	Foreign and Commonwealth Development Office Kenya's Maternal and Newborn Health (MNH)
110	programme. All participants were medical doctors with experience ranging from first year interns
111	(four) through to consultants (eleven) and residents in training (four), but the majority were medical
112	officers (MOs), four of whom had six or more years-experience. Forty-six MOs had been in post for
113	between one and five years. In sub-county and county hospitals medical officers are often
114	responsible for providing obstetric care without consultant supervision.
115	
116	Intervention
117	The LSTM Advanced Obstetric Surgical and Anaesthetic Course (AOAC) was created based on our
119	experience designing, implementing and evaluating the LSTM Emergency Obstetric and Newborn
120	Care training package (13-17). Both courses are based on principles of adult learning and a
121	structured approach to teaching (18).
122	
123	The objectives of the AOAC are to improve capacity 1) for decision making, and leadership of
124	maternity care teams, 2) to provide quality perinatal care, 3) to perform caesarean sections safely,
125	and 4) to perform assisted vaginal birth, by addressing the topics listed in Box 1. Facilitator and
126	participant manuals are made available to facilitate learning and standardise teaching (19, 20).
127	
128	Box 1: Key topics covered in the LSTM AOAC course.

- Improving decisions for operative obstetric births
- Labour ward leadership and management

- Improving interdisciplinary communication skills
- Safe anaesthesia and surgery
- Enhanced surgical skills for routine and complex caesarean surgery.
- Advanced skills in assisted vaginal delivery and perineal trauma repair.
- Detection and management of post-operative complications including use of obstetric early warning system.
- Patient counselling skills, consent
- Improving quality of care, respectful maternity care
- Performing clinical audit, maternal death surveillance and response
- Action planning

130	This course, originally designed and delivered as an entirely face to face intervention for up to 28
131	participants, followed a journey. It commenced with decision-making for operative birth, then
132	patient consent and preparation for surgery and safe surgical and anaesthetic practices for
133	Caesarean section. There was also an emphasis on assisted vaginal birth techniques and post-
134	operative care, management of complications, patient counselling for future birth and the use of
135	audit as a quality improvement tool. The training culminated with participants working in functional
136	teams to develop implementation plans and strategies to put their preferred changes, based on their
137	learning, into practice upon their return to work.
138	
139	For the blended learning version, we reduced the face-to-face component to a 1.5-day duration
140	focused on skill acquisition using models. The course lectures were recorded and divided into
141	smaller sections interspersed with on-line activities, to encourage user participation, and clinical
142	scenarios, role-plays and workshops were adapted for on-line discussion groups.
143	
144	Standard training package
145 146	The standard 5-day AOAC package developed and piloted in Cambodia, Nigeria and Kenya before the
147	COVID 19 pandemic, consisted of brief lectures (31% or 10 hours of the whole course) interspersed
_	

- 148 with interactive small group activities (39% or 13 hours of the whole course) such as facilitator led
- 149 interactive workshops, simulation-based learning (clinical scenarios and role playing) and practical

- 150 skills sessions (30% or 10 hours of the whole course) with the use of obstetric, anaesthetic and
- 151 newborn care mannequins (Table 1). The objective of the five-day interactive training course,
- 152 targeted at obstetric and anaesthetic service providers, was to enhance decision making, teamwork
- and practical skills in obstetric surgery and anaesthesia, to improve maternal and newborn
- 154 outcomes.
- 155

#### 156 Table 1: Comparison of duration of each training approach and trainer requirement

157

	Duration in hours (% of total)		
	Learning approach	Standard 5-day face- to-face approach	Alternative blended learning approach
Knowledge (face-to-	Lectures	10 (31%)	15 (40%)
face, self-directed or virtual)	Workshops	13 (39%)	12.5 (33%)
Practical skills (face- to-face)	Simulation Based Education, hands-on training	10 (30%)	10 (27%)
Total duration in hours (days)		33 hours (5 days)	37.5 (5 days)
Facilitator/participant ratio for practical sessions		1:4	1:4
Total number of facilitators per practical session		8	6

- 159 The face-to-face course was attended by a multidisciplinary group of skilled health workers including
- 160 obstetricians, medical officers and obstetric trainees, anaesthetists and peri-operative care nurses.
- 161 The obstetricians, medical officers and obstetric trainees attended for the full five days and
- 162 anaesthetists/peri-operative nurses for three days. For two days participants from both specialities
- 163 worked together and on one day they were provided with advanced skills training relevant to their
- 164 respective specialities.
- 165
- 166 The training culminated with participants working together in facility teams to formulate action
- 167 plans based on the training to improve their practice and quality of care provided afterwards.
- 168

# 169 Blended training approach

170	During the Covid pandemic, training was provided purely to obstetric cadres because the anaesthetic
172	trainers were not available due to Covid-related clinical commitments. The blended learning
173	approach consisted of three basic components: self-directed learning consisting of recorded lectures
174	(40% or 15 hours of the whole course), workshops delivered through five real-time interactive small
175	group facilitator lead learning discussions (33% or 12.5 hours of the whole course) followed by 1.5
176	days of face-to-face practical skills training (27% or 10 hours of the whole course). The blended-
177	learning course takes slightly longer to complete (37.5 hours versus 33 for the face-to-face version.
178	This is due to an estimated longer period to complete the self-directed learning component of
179	listening to the recorded lectures and accompanying activities (Table1).
180	
181	We used the limited version of the Google® Classroom learning platform to pilot the self-directed
182	component. The lectures from the original course were recorded and uploaded to YouTube® and the
183	duration of time each participant spent listening to each lecture was monitored on the learning
184	platform. To enhance concentration and interest, the 21 lectures were divided into short sections,
185	mostly lasting between 5 to 10 minutes, interspersed with a variety of participatory activities,
186	including short videos and questions. To encourage engagement, at the end of each lecture
187	participants were required to complete a short quiz. Once the quiz had been completed and
188	submitted, participants were enabled to access the correct answers for the questions together with
189	brief explanations regarding the rationale underlying these answers.
190	
191	The interactive small group guided discussions lasted 2.5 hours each. Participants were divided into
192	eight groups, each with two facilitators leading participants through a pre-prepared script to guide

193 the discussion. Topics covered included respectful care, decision-making skills for operative birth,

194 informed consent, prioritisation and triage on the labour ward, use of the World Health Organisation

195 (WHO) Safe Surgical Check List, safe operating procedures and recognition of the deteriorating

196 patient intra- and post-operatively, managing post-operative patients using an obstetric early 197 warning system, prevention, identification and management of intra- and post-operative 198 haemorrhage and other post-operative complications, safe blood transfusion, analgesia, 199 documentation, counselling for future births, audit and Maternal Death Surveillance and Response 200 together with training on action planning. 201 202 The surgical skills selected for the face-to-face training component included assisted vaginal birth, 203 obstetric anal sphincter injury repair, insertion of B-Lynch sutures, improved techniques for knot-204 tying and uterine incision closure, extraction of an impacted fetal head, balloon tamponade after 205 placenta praevia and venous cut-down, together with revision of airway assessment and 206 management skills, and newborn resuscitation. 207 Study design and evaluation framework 208 209 210 We used a cross sectional study design and the adapted Kirkpatrick's training evaluation framework, 211 previously described to evaluate the participants engagement, acceptance, reaction and immediate 212 change in knowledge and skills (Kirkpatrick level 2) (12). Additionally, we compared the cost in 213 Pounds Sterling per participant of the blended learning approach to the cost of the 5-day face-to-214 face training approach. 215 216 To evaluate participant engagement, we monitored the time that participants spent on the self-217 directed sessions and compared this to the minimum time necessary to view all the recorded lecture 218 sections and accompanying videos. To evaluate the participant acceptance and reaction to the 219 blended learning approach, they were invited to complete an on-line feedback evaluation, using a 220 Likert-scale together with free comments, at the end of the third component of the training. 221 Learning was evaluated by means of an overall multiple choice (MCQ) knowledge assessment taken

before and after all the course elements. All participants who attended the face-to-face component

- took part in three objective structured clinical examinations (OSCEs) before and after that part of thetraining.
- 225

227

- 226 Data collection procedure
- 228 Participants were provided with funds for airtime to enable them to engage on the internet-
- dependent components of the course. They were provided with both written and video instructions
- 230 explaining how to engage with the self-directed learning components of the course. A dedicated on-
- 231 line education consultant provided support to any participant who found engagement with the
- 232 online learning platform problematic.
- 233

Authors were aware of participants' identities because they were teaching them and also conducting face-to-face objective structured clinical examinations as a part of the evaluation. Participants were issued with an ID number and no names were recorded on evaluation score sheets, only ID numbers. Date concerning results of the evaluations was entered onto a password protected file, accessible only to the educational consultant (AS) who was aware of the ID number of each participant. This was used to provide essential feedback to each participant regarding their performance as and when they requested it.

241

242 For the on-line group discussions 18 volunteer faculty members were recruited in total, including six 243 from Kenya and 12 from UK. Wherever possible, Kenyan and UK faculty members were paired to 244 work together, putting the Kenyan members' greater contextual awareness to good advantage. Each 245 2.5-hour session contained between four to six separate group discussions of durations between 20 246 and 50 minutes. Faculty members moved between groups for each discussion to provide 247 participants with a range of pedagogical approaches. Fifty-three participants attended the face-to-248 face component. Subnational travel restrictions in place due to the Covid-19 pandemic and weather-249 related problems prohibited the rest from attending.

250

#### 251 Data analysis

252

253 For each assessment tool, the raw scores were converted to percentage scores before analysis. 254 Participants who did not complete both assessments were excluded. Improvement in score was 255 measured as a percentage of the possible improvement, e.g., if the pre-training score was 40% and 256 post training it was 70% then the improvement was half of the maximum potential improvement to 257 100% of 60%, making the improvement score 50%. Where the score decreased the change was 258 relative to the maximum possible reduction. Relative percentage change scores were used to 259 compare performance post-training with pre-training, by using a one sample t-test with the null 260 hypothesis that the mean change was zero. The 5% significance level was used to determine 261 statistical significance. 262 263 All cost data were collected in Pounds Sterling. Analysis was conducted in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft 264 Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). All costs for delivery of each version of the training were 265 collected, these include direct participant costs as applicable (cost of travel for participants and 266 faculty for face-to-face components, daily subsistence allowance, cost of meals during face-to-face 267 training, cost of airtime and Kenya based faculty allowance). All UK faculty volunteered their time, so 268 no cost was allocated to this. The cost of training equipment was not included, as the same set was 269 used for both versions of the training. We modelled the cost for the full 5-day face-to-face approach 270 assuming no UK faculty were required travel to support the Kenya-based faculty. We compared the 271 mean cost per participant for both versions of the training. 272 Ethical considerations 273

274

275 Delivery and evaluation of the AOAC using both the traditional and the blended learning approaches276 was approved by the Kenya Ministry of Health and all participating counties. Completing

277	assessments before and after the training was considered part of the learning experience but
278	participants could opt out of the assessment if they so desired after reviewing the information
279	provided at registration. All participants were allocated unique identifying numbers and no
280	participant identifying information was used to report the findings from this study.
281	
282	
283 284	Results
285	Participant satisfaction
280 287	The levels of satisfaction reported with all three elements of the blended learning were high
288	throughout, and not significantly different from each other.
289	
290	Sixty participants completed a questionnaire concerning their satisfaction regarding each facet of
291	the course that they had attended. Of those who responded, 55 (91.7%) felt satisfied with the
292	feedback provided as to their progress through the course and 57 (95%) were happy with the level of
293	support provided by the education consultant.
294	
295	23 (38.3%) participants reported intermittent internet connectivity problems. More than half of all
296	participants (56.6%) reported that they were called away to attend to emergency cases during the
297	sessions, although, despite this, 46.7% of the participants reported that they were able to
298	concentrate on the sessions without distractions. Overall, 40 (66.7%) of participants said it was
299	difficult to get time away from work to join the sessions. When asked if they preferred the on-line
300	discussions to face to face training, 27 (45%) expressed a preference for on-line teaching. One
301	participant stated, "Face to face learning is good but I find on-line discussions are able to achieve the
302	same, maybe we just need to get used to it." However, when those who attended the 1.5 day face-

to face practical skills training were asked at the end about their preferences, o hove then stated a
preference for face-to-face training. This difference may have been due to the nature of the
sessions, as the face-to-face sessions focusing on practical skills acquisition may have been
preferable.
Several participants suggested that on-line sessions in the evenings might be easier to attend as
compared to those held during normal working hours. It was apparent that many participants
experienced a degree of conflict between concentrating on the sessions and the distraction of
providing clinical care that would have been avoided had the participants been able to book time off
to attend a course away from the workplace.
Of those responding to the survey, 58 (96.7%) felt that the content of the self-directed learning
assignments was useful for their work and 57 (95%) thought that engaging with these sessions was a
good use of their time. 59 (98.3%) said they had learned new things that had made them consider
implementing changes to their working practices and they would like to share the contents with
their work colleagues. One participant stated, "I am sorry that I did not have interest in doing the
self-directed part initially, but I am now enjoying and appreciating it." Another remarked "It was
clear and precise. It was very useful to me, and I will still revisit the lectures as a reminder to myself
for better practice."
For the on-line facilitated workshops, 59 (98.3%) felt the content was relevant and useful and would
recommend it to a colleague. 58 (96.7%) felt attending the sessions was a good use of their time and
would be implementing changes to their practice consequently.

326

Of those who attended the face-to-face element of the course, 53 (100%) felt the training was
relevant and useful, and that they had learned things that would change their clinical practice. All

intended to increase their use of assisted vaginal delivery as a strategy to manage the second stage of labour in preference to performing a caesarean and all felt that their management of obstetric anal sphincter injuries would improve. 52 (98.1%) felt it had been a good use of their time and would recommend the training to a colleague. Across the three components of the course, 60 (100%) reported that they were either extremely or very satisfied with the overall quality of the training, and all would recommend it to other doctors. All participants agreed that the course was useful in improving the quality of care provided to patients.

336

337 Participants were asked to state the three most important things they had learned. Of those who

had attended the face-to-face training, the three most commonly chosen aspects were assisted

339 vaginal delivery techniques, newborn resuscitation and obstetric anal sphincter injury repair.

340 Techniques for improved caesarean surgery, especially for delivering a deeply impacted fetal head,

also scored highly, as did maternal resuscitation and techniques for performing venous cutdown.

342 When asked what changes they would most like to implement, increasing the use of assisted vaginal

delivery was by far the most popular, followed by consistent use of the WHO Safe Surgical Check List

344 and a Maternal Early Obstetric Warning Scoring (MEOWS) chart using vital signs to aid prompt

recognition of a deteriorating patient and track their progress in response to interventions. Several

346 participants commented that they intended to train others in the techniques they had learned.

347

The participants who were unable to attend the face-to-face component reported a similar range of important lessons learned and their choices for implementation included using the MEOWS chart, use of the WHO Safe Surgical Check List and implementation of regular standards-based clinical audits in their facilities.

352

#### 353 **Participant engagement**

Sixty-five doctors engaged to some extent with the self-directed learning component of the blended
training approach. Of these, 42 (64.6%) completed all 21 individual assignments within this
component. A further 11 (16.9%) completed 90% or more. Four (6.2%) participants completed more
than half of the assignments and 8 (12.3%) completed less than half.

360 We tracked the maximum time that participants spent watching the recorded lectures and videos 361 contained within each assignment by monitoring the time taken between completing successive 362 assignments. Whilst this did not necessarily reveal exactly how long participants spent on the 363 respective assignments, we were able to spot those who appeared to skim through sequential 364 assignments without adequate viewing time, or those who tried to attempt the quiz without 365 watching the videos. Those assignments where more participants spent less than an appropriate 366 amount of time watching included the final three assignments on the course; post-operative 367 analgesia and anaesthetic follow up, counselling for the next birth and documentation, audit and 368 quality of care, with 75%, 75% and 66% full engagement respectively. Other less popular 369 assignments were safe anaesthesia (86% full engagement), safe blood transfusion (83%), managing 370 the second stage of labour (88%) and surgical techniques and best practices for caesarean section 371 (82%).

372

In total, 60 participants logged on for all or part of the five on-line discussion groups, that took place
daily over five days. 54 (90%) of participants logged on for four or five days, with 38 (63.3%) present
on all five occasions.

376

377 Change in knowledge and skills

After each individual assignment participants were invited to complete a short quiz to assess their
learning. The pass mark was set at 70%, and participants were provided with an explanation as to
the rationale for the correct answer and offered the opportunity to repeat the test. When asked if

382	and why they had repeated any tests, 18 (27.7%) participants said they wanted to improve their
383	knowledge, 20 (30.8%) stated that they wanted to pass the assignment and a further 7 (10.7%)
384	wanted to achieve a better mark. 57 (87.7%) participants had read the explanatory comments and of
385	these, all but one found them useful.
386	
387	All participants were invited to take part in a pre and post course MCQ knowledge test on-line. 61
388	participants completed both components. All 53 participants who attended the face-to-face
389	component took part in Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) before and after the two
390	days of training. The OSCE topics used were maternal airway management, newborn resuscitation
391	and assisted vaginal birth. Improvement in scores occurred in both the on-line knowledge tests and
392	OSCEs. The improvements in OSCE scores were more marked for those participants starting from a
393	lower baseline.
394	
395	Before training the mean (95% CI) MCQ score was 67% (65%-68%), whereas the mean total OSCE
396	score was only 41% (39%-43%). For each of the OSCEs the mean (95% CI) score was similar, ranging
397	from 39% (37%-42%) for the airways OSCE and 43% (40%-46%) for neonatal OSCE (Table 2).

398

#### 399 Table 2: Comparison of knowledge and skills percentage scores post training with pre-training.

Tool	Mean (95% CI) score		Relative improvement	p-value
	Pre-training	Post-training	(95% CI)	
MCQ	67 (65-68)	84 (83-85)	50 (47-54)	<0.001
Total OSCE	41 (39-43)	85 (83-86)	74 (73-76)	<0.001
Assisted Vaginal Birth	41 (38-43)	77 (75-80)	61 (57-65)	<0.001
OSCE				
Neonatal resuscitation	43 (40-46)	91 (90-92)	83 (80-86)	<0.001
OSCE				
Airway management	39 (37-42)	86 (84-87)	76 (73-78)	<0.001
OSCE				

401	There were five instances of a reduction in score after training compared with before training. Four
402	were for the MCQ and one for the AVB OSCE, otherwise all participants OSCE scores and MCQ scores
403	did not decrease. Figure 1 summarises the distribution of relative improvement scores.
404	
405	Fig 1. Boxplots of the distribution of relative changes in scores for each assessment tool.
406	
407	After training there were statistically significant relative improvements (p<0.001 in each case) for
408	MCQs and for each OSCE, compared with before training (Table 2). For MCQs, which had a higher
409	percentage score before training, the mean (95% CI) relative improvement was 50% (47%-54%). For
410	OSCEs the relative improvements were higher, with the mean (95% CI) being 61% (57%-65%) for
411	AVB, 76% (73%-78%) for maternal airway management and 83% (80%-86%) for neonatal
412	resuscitation.
413	
414	Comparison of cost of both approaches
415 416	The allowance for each Kenyan facilitator was in line with the Kenyan MoH guidelines, £56.10 per
417	day for the face-to-face aspects of the training and £28.57 per day for the virtual workshop
418	facilitation.
419	
420	With regard to the practical skills training, in the blended approach 6 Kenya facilitators trained 53
421	participants in 3 groups, each group trained over 2 days. On the fully face-to-face course the same
422	number of participants would have been trained in 2 groups, each trained over 5 days. The
423	participant costs for the face-to-face component of the blending learning approach were $\pm 15,051$
424	and the same cost for a full 5-day face-to-face course would have been £25,844. Therefore, the
425	blended training approach costs £207 less per participant (assuming 6 facilitators and 53 participants

428

# 429 **Discussion**

430 431	We have demonstrated the feasibility of converting a five-day face-to-face advanced obstetric
432	surgical course into a blended learning course in Kenya, reducing the face-to-face component to 1.5
433	days. The training was well received by most participants and both knowledge and practical skills
434	demonstrably improved following the course. The cost saving per participant for our course was
435	£207 (USD 250, Euro 236) after considering hotel and conference centre costs and funding for
436	airtime. This represents a cost saving of 40% as compared to our fully face-to-face course, excluding
437	travel expenses, which would have been incurred with either method of course delivery. Our course
438	also resulted in a 3-day reduction of the time that staff are away from their workplace. Similar cost
439	savings have been reported in association with another blended learning training approach (21).
440	
441	There is a growing body of literature evaluating the acceptability and outcomes of blended learning
442	in healthcare training, although direct comparisons of effectiveness are complicated by the
443	heterogenicity of the blended learning methods employed. A systematic review by Valée et al,
444	comparing traditional learning methods to blended learning in medical education, concluded that
445	blended learning demonstrated consistently better effects on knowledge outcomes when compared
446	with traditional learning in health education (22). However, of the 56 studies included in this review,
447	only one was conducted in sub-Saharan Africa (23) and only one concerned midwifery or obstetrics
448	(24).
440	

449

The training we have described was introduced as a pragmatic solution to the challenges posed by the Covid-19 pandemic to in-service training, rather than as part of a study comparing the blended learning method of training to a fully face-to-face course. We therefore are not able to provide evidence as to whether the results we obtained from a blended learning delivery demonstrated

454 greater, the same or lesser improvement in knowledge and skills acquisition. Nevertheless, we have 455 been able to demonstrate a highly significant relative improvement in both knowledge and skills of 456 participants between before and after tests, with improvements comparable to a before and after 457 study of knowledge and skills from a multi-country study of face-to-face emergency obstetric skills 458 training (14).

459

460 Our choice of on-line platform for the self-directed component was made taking into consideration 461 the need for sustainability. Although some bespoke platforms would have been easier to work with, 462 we prioritised choosing a platform that was available at no cost because we wanted it to remain 463 freely available in the future to participants. If courses such as this are to be available to health 464 workers in low resource settings maintaining access at zero or low cost is important. Following the 465 pilot of the blended learning delivery approach, we have now hosted the self-directed learning 466 component on the World Continuing Education Alliance (WCEA) platform. Health worker 467 professional and regulatory bodies in most of sub-Saharan Africa have validated courses on this 468 platform for continuous professional development (CPD). All courses on the WCEA platform are 469 available free of charge to health workers from low-and-middle income countries. 470 471 One disadvantage of providing internet-based training remains the cost of purchasing air time. 472 Although the internet is widely available, many health workers in low resource settings do not have 473 contracts with unlimited internet availability and they may struggle to fund air time for training 474 unless provided with financial support. We did fund air time for this course, but this would inevitably 475 affect sustainability if funding was not provided. However, in several countries in sub-Saharan Africa 476 CPD is increasingly becoming mandatory for health workers linked to practice license renewal. Policy 477 makers need to make allowance for covering training costs as part of an overall strategy to ensure

478 competent skilled birth personnel, a key strategy to achieving the SDG3 maternal mortality

479 reduction target.

480	
-----	--

481	Aware of the difficulties that participants might encounter using an unfamiliar platform, in
482	mitigation we provided them with a document and video explaining platform navigation step by
483	step. This worked well for most of the participants, despite their lack of familiarity with the platform.
484	
485	Tracking the extent to which participants engaged with the on-line components was key to our
486	evaluation of this course. Some participants stated in feedback that they tended to avoid certain
487	topics because they had judged that they already knew enough about these topics. Other
488	participants explained that they had watched the videos throughout but had exploited the facility on
489	the platform to watch at a faster than normal speed. The ability to pick and choose which aspects of
490	the course to focus on could represent a good use of participant's time, although this does rely on
491	the accuracy of their judgement as to the adequacy of their knowledge.
492	
493	We had explained to participants that the self-directed component would take approximately 15
494	hours to complete, and assignments were released to the participants two weeks prior to the on-line
495	discussion group component. Nevertheless, some participants felt that they did not have sufficient
496	time to complete all the assignments, commenting that they struggled to complete the lectures in
497	between reporting daily for work and having very short times off duty due to staff shortages. 10
498	(15.4%) of the participants reported that the self-directed assignments took longer to complete than
499	they had expected. Earlier release of the self-directed component to participants may reduce time
500	pressures in future.
501	
502	It was clear that a significant number of participants found it difficult to book time off from their
503	work commitments to enable them to attend the on-line discussion groups. Learning in the

505 clinical issues in the workplace. Although we ensured that only half of the doctors attending the

506	discussion groups from any facility were booked for any given session, nevertheless, due to staff
507	shortages it proved impossible for some doctors to give the groups their undivided attention. The
508	2.5-hour duration of the sessions may have been a factor, as booking this time off work may have
509	been more challenging when compared to booking an entire day or half day. This may have
510	encouraged doctors to hope for the best regarding clinical workload and try to manage clinical
511	commitments and attend the sessions simultaneously. A potential solution would be to run these
512	sessions in the evening, depending upon acceptability to both participants and faculty. However,
513	concerns have been expressed regarding the potential for on-line learning to invade participants'
514	everyday lives suggesting evening sessions may not be suitable for all participants (25).
515	
516	Running the discussion groups on-line enabled UK and Kenyan faculty members to work together
517	without the need for either international or national travel. This enabled international collaboration
518	whilst removing the requirement for travel, providing cost savings and environmental benefits.
519	Faculty members from both settings enjoyed working in collaboration and learned from each other.
520	
521	Although, in the context of the Coronavirus pandemic, converting 100% of the training to internet
522	based would have been preferable, it was considered that it would have been very challenging for
523	participants to acquire the necessary clinical skills in the absence of the opportunity for hands on
524	practice that the face-to-face element provided. Some skills improvement may occur from watching
525	video demonstrations, but supervised hands-on practice using high fidelity models has a track record
526	of success (14) and this was replicated here as evidenced by the improvement in OSCE scores.
527	
528	Of concern were the low pre-course OSCE scores for basic clinical skills across all cadres. The medical

ls across al 28 OSC : SCO es 0 basic ci cal s i cadres. е leaica 529 officers' and interns' scores were low across all OSCEs. Medical officers form the backbone of service 530 provision in many facilities, especially at sub-county level, where they provide obstetric care, usually 531 without senior supervision. From our initial assessment, none of the medical officers were able to

532 demonstrate basic clinical skills sufficient to safely manage a maternal airway and provide 533 ventilation using an Ambu<sup>®</sup> bag and mask, and only one was able to demonstrate competency in 534 newborn resuscitation. Similarly, only one medical officer had appropriate AVD skills. This may 535 explain the reticence to conduct assisted vaginal birth seen in some Kenvan health facilities (26). 536 Although doctors in Kenya are expected to provide evidence of CPD, these findings would suggest 537 that a more prescriptive approach to the nature of CPD activities would be of benefit, including 538 regular clinical skills training for doctors at all levels, as is the case in some other countries where 539 mandatory training includes regular updates on basic resuscitation skills. This training has 540 demonstrated a significant uplift is skills after a short intervention. Although we have not been able 541 yet to demonstrate sustained improvement, previous evidence suggests that skills are retained for at 542 least a year (15). Participants themselves clearly recognised the need to improve the provision of 543 assisted vaginal birth in their units, and to practice and teach improved resuscitation skills for both 544 mothers and babies, as judged by their stated intentions on finishing the training.

545

#### 546 Limitations

547

We acknowledge that it is not possible to report the exact degree of engagement with the on-line components of this training. However, even when sitting in a face-to-face lecture, it is possible for the participant's mind to wander, hence attention cannot be directly measured in either situation. This study did not set out to measure comparative effectiveness between traditional face-to-face and blended learning teaching methods but rather the acceptability and feasibility of blended learning in the context described. We acknowledge that it will be important to compare the impact of both versions of the training on maternal and newborn health outcomes.

555

Across the cadres, the majority of those recruited were medical officers, with smaller numbers of the other cadres and the results for these cadres may not be generalisable. While the content of the training was more suitable for medical doctors, midwives and anaesthetists are involved in peri-

559	operative and postnatal care, and a multidisciplinary training is likely to facilitate change in practice
560	and behaviour.
561	
562	
563	Conclusion
564 565	We have demonstrated that a blended learning approach to clinical training in a low resource setting
566	is feasible and sustainable, resulting in a reduction in time taken for face-to-face training, with this
567	component being reserved for practical skills acquisition. We recommend that consideration is given
568	to the development of a more structured approach to continued professional development for
569	doctors providing obstetric services, to include mandatory clinical skills updates on a regular basis.
570	

571

# 572 Funding information

573

# 575 CAA received funding from United Kingdom Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office,

575 Reducing Maternal and Neonatal Deaths in Kenya. Grant number 202549: March 2014-March 2023.

576 The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or

577 preparation of the manuscript.

578

579

# 580 **References**

581

582	1.	Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank
583		Group and UNDESA/Population Division. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023. Licence:
584		CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO

- 585 2. Boerma T, Ronsmans C, Melesse DY, Barros AJD, Barros FC, Juan L, et al. Global epidemiology
- 586 of use of and disparities in caesarean sections. Lancet [Internet]. 2018 Oct 13 [cited 2018
- 587 Nov 25];392(10155):1341–8. Available from:
- 588 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673618319287?via%3Dihub
- 589 3. Molina G, Weiser TG, Lipsitz SR, et al. Relationship Between Cesarean Delivery Rate and
- 590 Maternal and Neonatal Mortality. JAMA. 2015;314(21):2263–2270.
- 591 doi:10.1001/jama.2015.15553
- 592 4. Althabe F, Sosa C, Belizan JM, Gibbons L, Jacquerioz F, Bergel E. Cesarean section rates and
- 593 maternal and neonatal mortality in low-, medium-, and high-income countries: an ecological
- 594 study. Birth (Berkeley, Calif) 2006;33:270–7
- 595 5. Sandall J, Tribe RM, Avery L, Mola G, Visser GH, Homer CS, et al. Short-term and long-term
- effects of caesarean section on the health of women and children. Lancet.
- 597 2018;392(10155):1349–57.
- 598 6. Bishop D, Dyer RA, Maswime S, Rodseth RN, van Dyk D, Kluyts HL, et al. Maternal and
- neonatal outcomes after caesarean delivery in the African Surgical Outcomes Study: a 7-day
- 600 prospective observational cohort study. Lancet Glob Heal. 2019;7(4).
- 601 7. Sobhy S, Arroyo-Manzano D, Murugesu N, Karthikeyan G, Kumar V, Kaur I, et al. Maternal
- and perinatal mortality and complications associated with caesarean section in low-income
- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet [Internet].
- 604 2019 Mar [cited 2019 Mar 30]; Available from:
- 605 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673618323869
- 8. Maswime T, Buchmann E. Near-miss maternal morbidity from severe haemorrhage at
- 607 caesarean section: A process and structure audit of system deficiencies in South Africa. S Afr
- 608 Med J [Internet]. 2017 Nov 1 [cited 2021 Aug 5];107(11):1005–9. Available from:
- 609 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29262944/

- 610 9. Muthigani W, Ameh CA, Godia, M P, Mgamb E, Maua JM, Okoro D, et al. Saving Mothers
- 611 Lives 2017. First Confidential Report into Maternal Deaths in Kenya. [Internet]. 2017 [cited
- 612 2019 Apr 5]. Available from: https://cmnh.lstmed.ac.uk/sites/default/files/content/centre-
- 613 news-articles/attachments/CEMD Main Report Sept 3 FINAL- Full Report.pdf
- 614 10. Betrán AP, Temmerman M, Kingdon C, Mohiddin A, Opiyo N, Torloni MR, et al. Interventions
- to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections in healthy women and babies. Lancet.
- 616 2018;392(10155):1358–68.
- Bailey P, Roosmalen J van, Mola G, Evans C, Bernis L de, Dao B. Assisted vaginal delivery in
  low and middle-income countries: an overview. BJOG. 2017;124:1335–44.
- 619 12. Boelens R, Van Laer S, De Wever B, Elen J. Blended learning in adult education: towards a
- 620 definition of blended learning. Osguthorpe & Graham [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2021 Aug 5];
- 621 Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/1854/LU-6905076
- 622 13. Ameh CA, van den Broek N. Making It Happen: Training health-care providers in emergency
- 623 obstetric and newborn care. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol [Internet]. 2015 Nov [cited
- 624 2018 Dec 20];29(8):1077–91. Available from:
- 625 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25911056
- 626 14. Ameh CA, Kerr R, Madaj B, Mdegela M, Kana T, Jones S, et al. Knowledge and skills of
- 627 healthcare providers in sub-Saharan Africa and Asia before and after competency-based

training in emergency obstetric and early Newborn Care. PLoS One. 2016;11(12).

- 629 15. Ameh CA, White S, Dickinson F, Mdegela M, Madaj B, Van Den Broek N. Retention of
- knowledge and skills after Emergency Obstetric Care training: A multicountry longitudinal
  study. PLoS One. 2018;
- 632 16. Ameh C, Adegoke A, Hofman J, Ismail FM, Ahmed FM, Van Den Broek N. The impact of
- 633 emergency obstetric care training in Somaliland, Somalia. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2012;117(3).
- Raven J, Utz B, Roberts D, Van Den Broek N. The "Making it Happen" programme in India
  and Bangladesh. BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2011;

636	18.	Bullock I, Davis N	l, Lockey A	, Mackway	Jones K.	Pocket Guide to	<b>Teaching for Clin</b>	iical
		,	, ,					

- 637 Instructors. Pocket Guid to Teach Clin Instr [Internet]. 2015 Jul 31 [cited 2021 Aug 6];
- 638 Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781119088769
- 639 19. Allott H, Ameh C, Saddington F, Fitzgerald A. Advanced Comprehensive Obstetric,
- 640 Anaesthetic and Newborn Care A Manual for Facilitators. 1st ed. Allott H, Ameh C, editors.
- 641 Liverpool: Emergency Obstetric Care and Quality of Care Unit LSTM; 2020.
- 642 20. Allott H, Ameh C, Saddington F, Fitzgerald A. Advanced Comprehensive Obstetric
- 643 Anaesthetic and Newborn Care-A manual for Participants [Internet]. 1st ed. Ameh C, Allott
- 644 H, editors. Vol. 1. Liverpool: LSTM; 2019 [cited 2021 Aug 6]. Available from:
- 645 https://www.lstmed.ac.uk/sites/default/files/participants manual.pdf
- 646 21. Dankbaar ME, Storm DJ, Teeuwen IC, Schuit SC. A blended design in acute care training:
- 647 similar learning results, less training costs compared with a traditional format. Perspectives
- 648 on Medical Education. 2014 Sep;3(4):289-299. doi: 10.1007/s40037-014-0109-0. PMID:
- 649 24477971; PMCID: PMC4152470
- 650 22. Vallée A, Blacher J, Cariou A, Sorbets E. Blended Learning Compared to Traditional Learning in
- 651 Medical Education: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020 Aug
- 652 10;22(8):e16504. doi: 10.2196/16504. PMID: 32773378; PMCID: PMC7445617
- 653 23. Mars M, McLean M. Students' perceptions of a multimedia computer-aided instruction
- 654 resource in histology. S Afr Med J. 1996 Sep;86(9):1098–102
- 655 24. Gerdprasert, S., Pruksacheva, T., Panijpan, B., and Ruenwongsa, P. (2010). Development of a
- 656 web-based learning medium on mechanism of labour for nursing students. *Nurse Educ. Today*
- 657 30, 464–469. doi:10. 1016.nedt.2009.10.007.
- 658 25. Smyth S, Houghton C, Cooney A and Casey D. Students' experiences of blended learning
- across a range of postgraduate programmes. Nurse Education Today. 2012; Vol32, Issue 4
- 660 p464-468.

- 661 26. Dickinson F M, Allott H, Nyongesa P, Eyinde M, Muchemi OM, Karangau SW, et al. It's
- 662 complicated...: Exploring the missed opportunities and reasons for non-performance of
- assisted vaginal births in Kenya. [Preprint] Available at:
- 664 https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.12.21.22283818v1/j



# Figure