

## Appendix 2

### Quality checklist

Braun and Clarke's 15-point checklist of criteria for good thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) and our quality self-assessment.

Criteria	Our assessment
1 The data have been transcribed to an appropriate level of detail, and the transcripts have been checked against the tapes for 'accuracy'.	Transcripts were transcribed in full except for one interview in which recording failed. Participants were given the opportunity to review and correct transcripts.
2 Each data item has been given equal attention in the coding process.	Yes.
3 Themes have not been generated from a few vivid examples (an anecdotal approach), but instead the coding process has been thorough, inclusive and comprehensive.	Themes were developed from examples across the dataset after thorough review and re-review of data.
4 All relevant extracts for all each theme have been collated.	Collated in Taguette®.
5 Themes have been checked against each other and back to the original data set.	Yes.
6 Themes are internally coherent, consistent, and distinctive.	We believe so.
7 Data have been analysed – interpreted, made sense of – rather than just paraphrased or described.	We have taken a critical approach in analysis and interpretation.
8 Analysis and data match each other – the extracts illustrate the analytic claims.	Yes.
9 Analysis tells a convincing and well-organized story about the data and topic.	We believe so.
10 A good balance between analytic narrative and illustrative extracts is provided.	We believe the balance is about right.
11 Enough time has been allocated to complete all phases of the analysis adequately, without rushing a phase or giving it a once-over-lightly.	We have reflected and analysed data over a period of about nine months.
12 The assumptions about, and specific approach to, thematic analysis are clearly explicated.	Yes (see the methodology section).
13 There is a good fit between what you claim you do, and what you show you have done – i.e., described method and reported analysis are consistent.	We believe so.
14 The language and concepts used in the report are consistent with the epistemological position of the analysis.	We believe so.
15 The researcher is positioned as active in the research process; themes do not just 'emerge'.	Researcher reflexivity is stated in the methodology section, and the researchers have actively developed the themes.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>