The development and usability testing of two arts-based knowledge translation tools for pediatric asthma

Shannon D. Scott, PhD, RN¹
Lisa Hartling, PhD²

¹Faculty of Nursing, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
²Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

Abstract: Asthma is the most common chronic condition in children with an estimated 15% of children and youth living with asthma in Canada. Acute asthma exacerbations, or asthma attacks, are the main reason for children to seek emergency care, contributing to financial burdens for families and healthcare systems. This burden highlights opportunities to reduce health system costs and improve patient and family education.

We worked with parents of children with asthma to develop and evaluate two digital knowledge translation (KT) tools on asthma. These tools merge the best available research evidence with narratives of parent experiences, and use art and engaging media (video and interactive infographic) to optimize uptake and appeal. Following prototype completion, usability testing was conducted among 60 parents (30 parents per tool) in an urban Alberta emergency department waiting room. Parents viewed the tools on an iPad and answered questions via an electronic survey. Usability was assessed based on nine items with responses on a five-point Likert scale from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree. Overall, results were positive and the tools were highly rated across most usability items. Mean scores across usability items were 4.13 to 4.63 for the video and 4.10 to 4.43 for the infographic. The scores from the usability testing suggest arts-based digital tools are useful in sharing complex health information with parents about the care of a child with asthma and provide meaningful guidance on how to improve KT tools to better reflect the needs of parents of children with asthma.
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Abstract

Asthma is the most common chronic condition in children with an estimated 15% of children and youth living with asthma in Canada. Acute asthma exacerbations, or asthma attacks, are the main reason for children to seek emergency care, contributing to financial burdens for families and healthcare systems. This burden highlights opportunities to reduce health system costs and improve patient and family education.

We worked with parents of children with asthma to develop and evaluate two digital knowledge translation (KT) tools on asthma. These tools merge the best available research evidence with narratives of parent experiences, and use art and engaging media (video and interactive infographic) to optimize uptake and appeal. Following prototype completion, usability testing was conducted among 60 parents (30 parents per tool) in an urban Alberta emergency department waiting room. Parents viewed the tools on an iPad and answered questions via an electronic survey. Usability was assessed based on nine items with responses on a five-point Likert scale from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree. Overall, results were positive and the tools were highly rated across most usability items. Mean scores across usability items were 4.13 to 4.63 for the video and 4.10 to 4.43 for the infographic. The scores from the usability testing suggest arts-based digital tools are useful in sharing complex health information with parents about the care of a child with asthma and provide meaningful guidance on how to improve KT tools to better reflect the needs of parents of children with asthma.
Introduction

Asthma is the most common chronic condition in children with an estimated 15% of children and youth living with asthma in Canada (1). The majority of asthma management occurs at home (2, 3), however management in children remains suboptimal (4-6) and poor control of symptoms has been reported in up to 75% of cases (7). Acute asthma exacerbations, or asthma attacks, are the main reason for children to seek emergency care, contributing to financial burdens for families and healthcare systems. This burden highlights opportunities to reduce health system costs and improve patient and family education.

A wide variety of asthma information is available including written and online materials. However, parents are not always aware of these resources, and even if they are aware, uncertainty about the credibility and reliability of information sources is high (8, 9). Additionally, resources provided often contain medical jargon as opposed to simplified lay language that is both understandable and useable for parents (10). Parental uncertainty combined with anxiety during a child’s illness are common, leading parents to seek emergency or medical care (8).

In a previously conducted mixed studies systematic review, we identified that parents lacked confidence in recognizing, treating, or seeking care for asthma exacerbations (11). Similarly, in a previously conducted qualitative descriptive study (publication in progress) we found that parents reported feelings of uncertainty as to when their child needed emergency care, and had a lack of basic information about how their children’s asthma medications worked and when to take them. Understanding these information needs is essential to creating resources that can effectively communicate health information to help parents make informed decisions when seeking health care for their asthmatic children. Furthermore, this information demonstrates that more effective knowledge translation (KT) tools are needed to satisfy parent information needs.

Previous research has demonstrated the positive impact of working with end-users of health information, such as parents and other caregivers, to develop KT tools (12-18). Such collaborations have resulted in tools that are relevant and meet the information needs of the appropriate stakeholders. More specifically, arts- and narrative-based KT tools have been proven to be effective sources of communication, translating complex health information into engaging and understandable content for parents (12-18). Therefore, we worked with parents of children with asthma to develop and evaluate two digital KT tools on asthma (video and interactive infographic) that were relevant to their information needs. Following prototype completion, usability testing was conducted using iPads in an urban Alberta emergency department (ED) waiting room. Final tools were made publicly available on our website (https://www.echokt.ca/tools) and disseminated through stakeholder websites and on social media.
Methods

A series of studies using multiple methods and involving parent engagement were undertaken to develop, refine, and evaluate an animation video and interactive infographic for pediatric asthma. Research ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta Health Research Ethics Board (Edmonton, AB) [Pro00062904]. Operational approvals were obtained from the Stollery Children’s Hospital to conduct usability testing.

Compilation of Parents’ Narratives
We conducted a qualitative descriptive study(19-21) involving semi-structured interviews (Appendix A) to identify parents’ experiences and information needs and to inform parental narratives for our tools. Parents with children who presented to the Stollery Children’s Hospital Emergency Department with asthma were recruited for qualitative interviews. Parents were asked to share their experiences with having a child with asthma. The qualitative descriptive study is currently being written for publication. Concurrently, our research group conducted a mixed studies systematic review to synthesize current evidence about experiences and information needs of parents managing asthma. Detailed methods and results from the systematic review are published elsewhere (11).

Prototype (Intervention) Development
Results from both the systematic review and qualitative interviews were used to inform the development of an infographic skeleton and video script. Clinical content from Bottom Line Recommendations (BLR) developed by TRanslating Emergency Knowledge for Kids (TREKK) was also included in the tools (22). Following the completion of the infographic skeleton and video script, researchers worked with illustrators and graphic designers to develop the tool prototypes.

Video
The English-language video was 6 minutes and 35 seconds long, narrated in the third person, and included closed captioning. It outlined the story of a young child named Ari who has asthma. The video highlighted the process Ari took to become diagnosed with the condition and described important information about asthma, including symptoms, how it can be diagnosed, and how asthma may impact children differently. The video also outlined how asthma may be exacerbated by other conditions or triggers, such as viral infections, animals, dust mites, and smoke. Included in the video was information on asthma medications as well as when to seek emergency care or visit a doctor. Screen captures of the video are included in Appendix B.

Infographic
The interactive infographic was developed in the same format as other infographics in our suite of tools (https://www.echokt.ca/tools/). The style is unique to our research program and was developed over the course of several years (23).
The interactive infographic looks similar to a webpage and allows users to scroll through the information, exploring it at their own pace. The ability for parents to control what they view based on their needs differentiates the interactive infographic from the video. The information provided in the infographic mirrors the information provided in the video and is comprised of 8 major sections: (1) General Information about Asthma, (2) Diagnosis, (3) Symptoms, (4) Asthma Attacks, (5) When to seek Emergency Care vs When to go to a Doctor, (6) Treatment, (7) Triggers, (8) Managing Asthma Attacks. Within Treatment, the tool provides information about reliever medications, controller medications, and action plans. The infographic also provides useful links to other websites with information about asthma in children. Screen captures of the infographic are included in Appendix C.

Revisions
Iterative processes were used to develop the tools and parents, health care professionals (HCPs), and researchers provided several rounds of feedback. HCPs were asked to comment on the accuracy of clinical information and evidence. Parents from our Pediatric Parent Advisory Group (P-PAG) (23) were asked to provide feedback on the length, stylistic elements, and information not addressed in the tools. The P-PAG meets once a month and members are asked to participate in tool development several times a year. Likewise, research team meetings are held weekly to discuss the development of our tools.

Surveys
Parents presenting with an ill child to a major pediatric emergency department (ED) in the Edmonton area were recruited to participate in an electronic, usability survey (Appendix D). Members of the study team approached parents in the ED to determine interest and study eligibility. Parents who agreed to participate in the study were provided with an iPad by the researcher and asked to complete a consent form. Video usability testing was conducted from November 4, 2020 to November 21, 2020 and infographic testing was conducted from January 4, 2022 to January 20, 2022. Study team members were available in the ED to provide technical assistance and answer questions as parents were completing the surveys. The usability survey included 9, 5-point Likert items that assessed: 1) usefulness, 2) aesthetics, 3) length, 4) relevance, and 5) future use. The usability survey was designed in-house based upon key elements identified by a systematic search of over 180 usability evaluations (24). Parents were also asked to provide their positive and negative opinions of the tool via two free text boxes.

Data Analysis
Data was cleaned and analyzed using SPSS v.24. Descriptive statistics and measures of central tendency were generated for demographic questions. Likert responses were given a corresponding numerical score, with 5 being “Strongly Agree” and 1 being “Strongly Disagree” (25, 26). Means and standard deviations (SDs) were calculated for each usability item. Independent two tailed t-tests were used to determine if there was a significant difference in the mean usability scores of the two KT tools for each usability item. Open-ended survey data was analyzed thematically.
Data was cleaned and analyzed using SPSS v.24. Descriptive statistics and measures of central tendency were generated for demographic questions. Likert answers were given a corresponding numerical score, with 5 being “Strongly Agree” and 1 being “Strongly Disagree”. Open-ended survey data was analyzed thematically.

See Appendix E for an overview of the entire project timeline.
Results

Sixty parents awaiting pediatric ED care completed the usability survey (30 parents participated in the infographic usability testing and 30 parents participated in the video usability testing). The demographic characteristics of the parents who participated are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants who assessed the usability of the asthma digital tools (video n=30; infographic n=30; combined n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Video n (%)</th>
<th>Infographics n (%)</th>
<th>Combined n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24 (80)</td>
<td>24 (80)</td>
<td>48 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>12 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American/Canadian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Indian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Nations</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metis</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle eastern/North African</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>5 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/Caucasian</td>
<td>18 (60)</td>
<td>17 (56.7)</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 years</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>18 (60)</td>
<td>17 (56.7)</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 years</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>17 (28.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60 years</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/Partnered</td>
<td>24 (80)</td>
<td>29 (96.7)</td>
<td>53 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some high school</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma</td>
<td>5 (16.7)</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some post-secondary</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-secondary certificate/diploma</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>11 (36.7)</td>
<td>25 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-secondary degree</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>8 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate degree</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>5 (16.7)</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Income</td>
<td>Less than $25,000</td>
<td>$25,000-$49,000</td>
<td>$50,000-$74,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>7 (23.3)</td>
<td>5 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>26 (86.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Children in the Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11 (36.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to child brought to the ED</td>
<td>27 (90)</td>
<td>27 (90)</td>
<td>54 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardian</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other family member</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times Visited ED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 Times</td>
<td>20 (66.7)</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
<td>45 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+ Times</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>14 (23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, parents scored the two tools positively with most selecting strongly agree and agree for the usability items (Table 2). The mean scores across usability items for the video ranged from 4.13 to 4.63 (on a 5-point scale with 4 indicating agree and 5 indicating strongly agree). Parents felt the video was useful and relevant to them. They found the video easy to use and felt it could be used without written instruction. Parents also found the length of the video appropriate and thought it was aesthetically pleasing. Parents agreed and strongly agreed that they would use the video in the future and that it would help them make decisions about their child’s health. Finally, when asked if they would recommend the video to a friend, parents agreed or strongly agreed.

For the infographic, mean scores across usability items ranged from 4.10 to 4.43 (on a 5-point scale with 4 indicating agree and 5 indicating strongly agree). Parents felt the infographic was useful and relevant to them. They found the infographic easy to use and felt it could be used without written instruction. Parents also found the length of the infographic appropriate and thought it was aesthetically pleasing. Parents agreed and
strongly agreed that they would use the infographic in the future and that it would help
them make decisions about their child’s health. Finally, when asked if they would
recommend the infographic to a friend, parents agreed or strongly agreed.

There was a statistically significant difference in the mean ratings of the video versus
the infographic on one of the usability items. Parents were more likely to recommend
the video than the infographic to friends. There was no statistically significant difference
for mean scores for the video versus infographic in terms of their usefulness, if they
provided relevant information, if they were simple to use, if they could use them without
written instructions or additional help, if their length was appropriate, if they were
aesthetically pleasing, if they could help them make decisions about their child’s health,
or if they should use them in the future.

**Table 2. Means (SD) of participant responses to the usability survey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usability Measures</th>
<th>Video</th>
<th>Infographic</th>
<th>Significance (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is useful.</td>
<td>4.63 (0.49)</td>
<td>4.37 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It provides information that is relevant to me as a parent.</td>
<td>4.57 (0.57)</td>
<td>4.33 (0.66)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is simple to use.</td>
<td>4.60 (0.50)</td>
<td>4.43 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can use it without written instructions or additional help.</td>
<td>4.57 (0.50)</td>
<td>4.43 (0.57)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its length is appropriate.</td>
<td>4.13 (0.86)</td>
<td>4.27 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is aesthetically pleasing (i.e. images, colours, etc.).</td>
<td>4.43 (0.63)</td>
<td>4.40 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It helps me make decisions about my child’s health.</td>
<td>4.40 (0.67)</td>
<td>4.17 (0.65)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would use it in the future.</td>
<td>4.23 (0.77)</td>
<td>4.10 (0.66)</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend it to a friend.</td>
<td>4.53 (0.51)</td>
<td>4.17 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value of <0.05 is considered significant.

There were a few negative comments in the open text responses about the video, which
all centered around parents feeling the video was a bit long, however several parents
also noted that “all the information was necessary”. In the positive comments, parents
noted that the video was “very informative” and “easy to understand”. There were many
positive comments where parents described the infographic as a “great tool” that was
“very easy to read” and “easy to follow”. There were no negative comments about the
infographic. As a result of these comments and the high rating of both tools, no
revisions were made to the tools following usability testing.
Conclusions
The purpose of this project was to develop two arts-based digital tools (an animation video and interactive infographic) for parents of children who have asthma and experience asthma exacerbations. Using a multi-method approach that encompassed stakeholder engagement, we were able to create tools that were highly rated amongst parents seeking care for their children in an urban emergency care centre. Parents found the tools to be useful, relevant, easy to use, and aesthetically pleasing. Most importantly, parents felt that the tools could facilitate decision-making in the future, with parents mainly agreeing and strongly agreeing that they would use the tool and recommend the tool to their friends. Results from this project indicate that end-user engagement plays a positive role in developing KT tools that address the needs of parents.

The tools can be found here: echokt.ca/asthma

Note: Our KT tools are assessed for alignment with current, best-available evidence every two years. If recommendations have changed, appropriate modifications are made to our tools to ensure that they are up-to-date (27).
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Appendices

Appendix A – Qualitative Interview Guide

Parents will be interviewed to understand their experience having a child with an asthma exacerbation. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with parents in order to get their “narrative” or experiences. The following questions will be used to guide these interviews. Being true to semi-structured interview techniques, interview questions will start broad and then move to the more specific.

1. Tell me about your experience having a child with asthma
   a. Tell me about the events leading up to your child being diagnosed.
      [Typically, the events leading up to being diagnosed take a good chunk of time]
      i. Signs/symptoms your child was experiencing (Child's health)
      ii. Visits to healthcare professionals to understand what was happening. (Probe number of visits, types of healthcare settings they visited, what did they do? Medications, assessments; were other diagnoses given to your child? E.g. allergies?)
      iii. Things you tried to decrease the symptoms (e.g., removing cats, removing carpets, no stuffed animals, etc.).
      iv. How did your child feel during this time? (emotions, mental state)
      v. How did you feel during this time? (emotions, mental state)

2. Tell me when your child was diagnosed with asthma.
   a. Confirm child’s age when diagnosed
   b. Who diagnosed your child with asthma?
   c. How did they diagnosis your child with asthma? (tests they did)
   d. What happened after they were diagnosed with asthma?
      i. Medications ordered? (tell me about the medications they take and response to medications)
      ii. Education given for day-to-day management
      iii. Follow up care provided?
      iv. Were there changes that the family needed to make for the child with asthma? (Probe – removing carpet from the house, removing cats from the house, quitting smoking, etc.)

3. Tell me about the day-to-day management of your child’s asthma.
   a. Probe for a sense of is the child’s asthma well managed or are their frequent flare ups.
   b. How do you feel about managing your child’s asthma (day to-day) – Probe for parent’s level of comfort/knowledge/skill being able to manage their child’s asthma

4. When your child’s asthma “flares up” (asthma exacerbation) – tell me how you manage it. (Probe if their child has had an exacerbation – not all children do)
a. How do you know when your child is having a “flare up?” (probe child’s symptoms, signs)
b. What do you do? (medications, assessments, seeking advice)
c. When do you seek healthcare? (How do they decide to go for help? How do they decide where to go – medicentre or ED)?
d. Do you feel that you have adequate knowledge, know-how to manage your child’s asthma flare ups?
e. If you went to the ED, tell me about that experience (if there are many – suggest the last experience, or the most memorable experience).
   i. What assessments were done?
   ii. Medications
   iii. How was your child during the experience (emotions)?
   iv. How were you during the experience?
f. Have the asthma “flare ups” affected other aspects of your child’s life?
   i. School attendance
   ii. Friends/Social Support

5. Are there “things” that make it challenging to care for your child with asthma?
   a. Probe for – knowledge needs? (If yes, what would they like to know more about); is it parental knowledge needs or the need for others in their child’s live to know more about asthma (e.g., teachers, coaches, grandparents).
   b. Environmental challenges (where they live, where the child goes to school, weather/seasonal challenges)
   c. Personal challenges (the costs associated with having a child with asthma)
Appendix B – Images from art-based video about childhood asthma (video available at [https://www.echokt.ca/asthma/](https://www.echokt.ca/asthma/))

There may be times when your child is well and other times when they have more difficulties.

You can help manage your child’s asthma by:

- Removing your child from triggers
- Giving reliever and controller medicines, as prescribed
- Monitoring breathing
Asthma Medication

During an asthma attack, airways get thick and swell.
Common triggers include:

- Viral infections
- Animals
- Dust mites
- Moulds and pollens
- Air pollutants
- Smoke

Actions plans tell you and your child:

- What to do every day to manage the asthma
- What medications to take and when
- What to do if your child’s asthma gets worse
Appendix C – Images from Infographic about childhood asthma (infographic available at https://www.echokt.ca/asthma-infographic/)
Common triggers include:

- Viruses
- Animals
- Dust Mites
- Molds and Pollen
- Air Pollutants
- Smoke
- Exercise

Treatment

Controller Medications

Some children may also be prescribed controller medicine. Controller medicines work slowly over time to stop the airway linings from swelling. Controller medicines are usually taken every day.

Corticosteroids are an example of controller medicines. Some controller medication needs to be used with a puffer or inhaler, others are in pill form.
When you should get emergency care

Get emergency care if your child:

- Is having trouble breathing (look for indrawing), OR
- Looks blue around the lips, OR
- Is very sleepy (lethargic)

When you should go to the doctor

Visit your doctor if your child's symptoms:

- Do not get better when taking reliever medication every 4 hours, OR
- Do not improve after 2-3 days, OR
- Get worse
Appendix D – Usability Survey

SECTION 1: Demographics

1) a. What is your gender?
   □ Male
   □ Female
   □ Non-binary
   □ Two-spirit
   □ Other: __________
   □ Prefer not to answer

1) b. Would you describe yourself as transgender?
   □ Yes
   □ No
   □ Prefer not to answer

2) Which ethnicities best describes you? Please select all that apply.
   □ Asian
   □ African American or African Canadian
   □ Black
   □ First Nations
   □ Hispanic or Latino
   □ Métis
   □ Middle Eastern or North African
   □ South Asian
   □ Southeast Asian
   □ White or Caucasian
   □ Not listed: __________
   □ Prefer not to answer

3) What is your Age?
   □ Less than 20 years old
   □ 20-30 years
   □ 31-40 years
   □ 41-50 years
   □ 51 years and older

4) What is your Marital Status?
   □ Married/Partnered
   □ Single

5) What is your gross annual household income?
   □ Less than $25,000
   □ $25,000-$49,999
   □ $50,000-$74,999
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Range</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$75,000-$99,999</td>
<td>$100,000-$149,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 and over</td>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) What is your highest level of education?
   - Some high school
   - High school diploma
   - Some post-secondary
   - Post-secondary certificate/diploma
   - Post-secondary degree
   - Graduate degree
   - Other: ________

7) Where does your household live
   - City
   - Suburb
   - Town
   - Farm
   - Other: ________

8) What is your relationship to the child that you have brought to the emergency department?
   - Parent
   - Grandparent
   - Other family member
   - Guardian

9) How many children do you have? ________

10) How old are your children? ____________

11) How many times have you visited the emergency department with your children?
    - 1-5 times
    - 6+ times

12) Have any of your children ever been admitted to the hospital?
    - Yes
    - No
SECTION 2: Assessment of attributes of the arts-based, digital tools
Note: items 1-9 are rated on a 5-point Likert scale from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree

1. It is useful. [5-point Likert Scale]
2. It provides information that is relevant to me as a parent. [5-point Likert Scale]
3. It is simple to use. [5-point Likert Scale]
4. I can use it without written instructions or additional help. [5-point Likert Scale]
5. Its length is appropriate. [5-point Likert Scale]
6. It is aesthetically pleasing (i.e., images, colours, etc.). [5-point Likert Scale]
7. It helps me to make decisions about my child’s health. [5-point Likert Scale]
8. I would use it in the future. [5-point Likert Scale]
9. I would recommend it to a friend. [5-point Likert Scale]
10. List the most negative aspects: [open text]
11. List the most positive aspects: [open text]
Appendix D – Project Timeline

**Development Timeline**

Asthma

- **AUGUST 2019**: Qualitative Interviews
- **NOVEMBER 2019**: Systematic Review Begins
- **DECEMBER 2019**: Video Script and Infographic Skeleton Development Begins
- **FEBRUARY 2020**: Feedback on Script and Storyboard gathered from P-PAG*
- **NOVEMBER 2020**: Usability Testing of Video
- **JULY 2020**: Video Prototype Development Begins
- **JUNE 2020**: Feedback on Video Script and Storyboard Gathered from HCPs
- **FEBRUARY 2021**: Video Finalized and Disseminated on Researchers’ Website
- **JUNE 2021**: Infographic Prototype Development Begins
- **SEPTEMBER 2021**: Feedback on Infographic Gathered from HCPs
- **OCTOBER 2021**: Feedback on Infographic Gathered from P-PAG and P-PCN**
- **FEBRUARY 2022**: Both Tools Available on Researchers’ Website
- **JANUARY 2022**: Usability Testing of Infographic Complete and Infographic Finalized
- **NOVEMBER 2021**: Systematic Review Manuscript Published
- **MAY 2022**: Tools Disseminated to Partners, Stakeholders, and on Social Media
- **PRESENT**: Tools Currently Available at ehckt.ca

*P-PAG = Parents from our Pediatric Parents’ Advisory Group (P-PAG)
**P-PCN = Pediatric Parent Consultation Network
HCPs = Healthcare Providers