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Abstract

Introduction

Voluntary medical male circumcision (VMMC) clients are required to attend multiple post-operative follow-up visits in South Africa (SA). However, up to 98% of VMMC clients heal without adverse events (AEs). With demonstrated VMMC safety across global programs, stretched clinic staff in SA may conduct more than 400,000 unnecessary reviews for males without complications per year. As part of a randomized controlled trial (RCT) to test two-way texting (2wT) follow-up as compared to routine, in-person visits for adult VMMC clients, the objective of this study was to compare costs of 2wT-based telehealth to routine post-VMMC care in both rural and urban SA settings.

Methods

We used an activity-based costing (ABC) approach to estimate the costs in post-VMMC care, including counselling, follow-ups, and tracing activities. All costs were estimated in $US dollars for both 2wT and routine care to test the hypotheses that 2wT follow-up would result in per-client cost savings. Data were collected from routine National Department of Health VMMC forms, the RCT database, and time-and-motion surveys. Sensitivity analysis presents different scale-up scenarios.

Results

We included 1,084 clients: 537 in routine care and 547 in 2wT. Average client follow-up cost is $6.48 for routine care and $4.25 for 2wT. 2wT saved costs in both rural and urban locations. Average savings of $2.23 was greater in rural ($1.61) than urban areas ($0.62). 2wT would save $0.88, $2.23, and $4.93, respectively, if: men attended one visit; men attended visits in similar proportions to that observed in the RCT; and men attended both visits.

Conclusion

2wT reduces post-VMMC care costs by supporting most men to heal at home while using telehealth to triage clients with potential AEs to timely, in-person care. 2wT savings are higher in rural areas.
Scale-up of 2wT-based follow-up could significantly reduce overall VMMC costs while maintaining service quality.
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Background

Voluntary medical male circumcision (VMMC) is one of the most successful biomedical prevention strategies against HIV [1, 2]. VMMC is also safe since the reported rates of moderate and severe adverse events (AEs) in large VMMC programs operating at scale in Southern Africa are below 2% [3-7], meaning that 98% of VMMC clients likely heal without incident. South Africa prioritizes VMMC as part of its comprehensive HIV prevention, treatment and care plan [8], completing over 400,000 VMMCs per year for 10 years [9]. South African guidelines require all VMMC clients to attend two post-operative follow-up visits within 14 days after the procedure to ensure timely identification and treatment for AEs. These visits are costly to both the clients and the providers. A cost-effective approach is needed to reduce unnecessary visits.

Mobile health (mHealth) interventions are recognized as being more cost-effective compared to conventional interventions and may help address persistent challenges of healthcare worker (HCW) shortages and client difficulty in accessing healthcare facilities in VMMC settings [10]. The growing mobile cellular ownership worldwide has seen a rise in innovations that address challenges faced by healthcare systems in resource-constrained settings. From 2009 to 2019, approximately 600 mHealth interventions were documented and launched globally, suggesting robust approval of, and desire for, digital technologies in healthcare [11] [12]. When implemented optimally, mHealth interventions may help reduce healthcare costs by improving the provision of health education, identifying health concerns early when illness may be less severe, reducing the duration of therapy, and minimizing transport costs [10].

South Africa reported mobile-cellular subscriptions of 161.8 per 100 population in 2020 [13], which makes the country a good candidate for exploring mobile phone innovations. Rural and urban settings in Southern Africa also have varying availability of resources and different settings for the use of mHealth innovations. The International and Training Education Center for Health (I-TECH) in the Department of Global Health at the University of Washington (UW) conducted a pragmatic
randomized controlled trial (RCT) in urban and rural settings in South Africa in partnership with The
Aurum Institute, Centre for HIV-AIDS Prevention Studies (CHAPS) and technology partner, Medic.
The study employed two-way texting (2wT) to strengthen VMMC post-operative follow-up, aiming to
reduce unnecessary visits while increasing the quality of post-operative follow-up. 2wT is a platform
for conversational messaging between VMMC clinicians and clients [14], providing short messaging
service (SMS) for post-operative care. In the clinical RCT outcomes, we found that 2wT improved the
quality of post-operative care, increasing the ascertainment of AEs with high usability for clients and
providers [15].

Costing of HIV prevention interventions is gaining recognition for its importance to inform policy and
scale-up. In recent reviews of costing research on HIV prevention interventions in sub-Saharan
Africa, 38 out of 159 studies (24%) were conducted in South Africa [16, 17]. To our knowledge,
there was no costing research on improving efficiency of VMMC care delivery in South Africa. This
costing study is nested in the clinical 2wT RCT [15]. The objective this study was to estimate the costs
associated with 2wT telehealth follow-up as compared to routine in-person VMMC follow-up in rural
and urban South Africa.

Methods

We applied the activity-based costing (ABC) approach to estimate the costs associated with 2wT
intervention. We focused on estimating the marginal cost for follow-up for an additional 2wT or
routine follow-up client.

Comparators

Routine care

The VMMC implementing partner, Centre For HIV-AIDS Prevention Studies (CHAPS), followed all
National Department of Health (NDoH) protocols [18] for routine surgical medical male circumcision
(VMMC) services, post-operative wound care counselling, in-person follow-up visits on post-surgery
days 2 and 7, and tracing. NDoH policy requires participant tracing by phone calls and/or home visits, for those who do not attend post-operative reviews on days 2 and 7 (Table 1).

Table 1: Activities undertaken in the 2wT study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>2wT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day of male circumcision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event (AEs) identification counselling</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling on SMS interactions and photos of potential AEs named in daily message</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative follow-ups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required day 2 and day 7 in-person visits</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1-13 SMS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event treatment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracing via phone calls and home visits for lost to follow up (LTFU)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2wT

The 2wT approach for VMMC follow-up was described previously [15, 19, 20]. It is a hybrid telehealth model integrating both automated SMS and individualized telehealth between clients and routine VMMC nurse. On day 0, 2wT clients were enrolled into a custom 2wT software application built using the open-source Community Health Toolkit (CHT). Before leaving the VMMC clinic, 2wT clients received enhanced post-operative counselling based on global VMMC guidelines [21] using the study-specific 2wT flipbook with additional wound care guidance, photos of AE warning signs, and instructions on how to respond to the daily SMS (Table 1). 2wT specific counseling helped 2wT clients understand the automated daily message that asked about the five common complications (i.e., bleeding, swelling, pus, redness, and wound opening), preparing men to take ownership over their wound care. 2wT participants received an automated daily text on days 1-13 in either English, Setswana, or isiZulu, and could respond in any language. Daily automated text messages read, “are you experiencing any bleeding, swelling, pus, pain, redness, wound opening, or other concern? Enter 1 = Yes; 0 (Zero) = No / I’m Ok, and press send”. Receiving and sending text messages was free for the participants. The SMS prompt encouraged the clients to reply with binary answers (0 for No, there is not a problem and 1 for Yes, there is a problem). Nurses communicated further via SMS with
clients who reported any concerns. Nurses called clients if needed. 2wT clients were not required to attend any clinic visits but could attend the clinic at any time if they needed. For 2wT men with a potential AEs or with the need for further reassurance, the nurse triaged the participants for clinical visits the following day or earlier if an emergency was suspected. If 2wT clients did not respond to text messages by day 8, tracing was activated by the clinical VMMC team.

Data collection and assumptions

Data were collected from three main sources: routine NDoH VMMC forms, the study-specific 2wT database from the RCT, and study-specific time-and-motion surveys designed for the costing component of the study. Routine VMMC data included the number of visits attended, the number/type/severity of adverse events, and the number of traced clients. RCT enrolment data included VMMC client wage and transportation costs to the clinic. The RCT 2wT database included counts of inbound and outbound SMS. It is important to note that, in routine care, the day 2 visit window included days 1 and 4 post VMMC; the day 7 visit window included days 5 and 10. All VMMC participants in both the control group and intervention group were asked to have an additional study-specific visit on day 14 but were not traced via home visit for missing that visit. On the day 14 visit, a US$7 cell phone credit was given to all participants to compensate for time and travel. The day 14 visit window included visits from day 13 until day 21. Participants were declared off-study after day 21 or after completion of the day 14 visit. The day 14 visit was excluded from the costing study since it was specific to the RCT study. Therefore, the workload outcome was calculated as the average number of in-person visits excluding the study-specific visit on day 14.

The time-and-motion data collection tools were created using both Epicollect5 and Microsoft Excel and were piloted before data collection. Time-and-motion studies consisted of five days of direct observations at both rural and urban sites. We collected data about distance travelled using car odometer readings as well as time spent in travelling and conducting client reviews in the rural district of Bojanala, South Africa, between 29th November 2021 and 12th January 2022. Nurses took
notes about the motions to specify how time was spent in each activity, which serve as qualitative
data in analysis. We collected clinic activity time (i.e., reception, waiting, and triage) and nurse
review time at the urban district of Ekurhuleni, South Africa, between 12th January 2022 and 24th
January 2022.

It is assumed that (1) all fixed costs related to post-operative follow-ups were in place, and hence we
focus on analysing marginal costs in serving an additional MC client in the system; (2) that full-time
employees worked a 40-hour workweek or 160 hours per month; (3) that nurse counselling time was
5 minutes in routine counselling and 10 minutes for 2wT counselling; (4) that the nurse spent one
minute per SMS response on average, and (5) that the average phone call time with a MC client was
5 minutes.

Hypotheses

We tested the following three hypotheses in our costing study:

Hypothesis 1: 2wT reduces post-VMMC care costs by triaging only those in need of in-person review
to care, allowing most men to heal safely at home without follow-up visits.

Hypothesis 2: 2wT saves more costs in rural VMMC programs than in urban programs.

Hypothesis 3: Cost savings associated with 2wT are highest when there are no mandatory post-
operative visits.

Data analysis: Activity-based costing

Using an activity-based costing approach, we estimated the costs in the post-VMMC care continuum.
There were four activity categories in costing: counselling on the day of male circumcision, SMS
follow-ups, physical follow-up visits, and tracing. All activity-based costs were estimated for 2wT
care and routine care to test the hypotheses of cost savings. We applied ranges of +/- 50%
uncertainty interval for parameters presented in Table 2 [22]. Data analysis was conducted using
STATA version 14.0 and Microsoft Excel. All costs were collected in the South African rand (ZAR) and converted into the United States dollar (USD) using the exchange rate ZAR 1 = $0.066.

Counselling

Each VMMC client had a counselling session directly following circumcision. The post-operative counselling cost was estimated by the average time in minutes for a counselling session and wage per minute for the nurse performing the counselling.

Counselling cost = time * wage

SMS follow-ups

There were three components in SMS follow-ups per client. The first component was the ‘Africa is Talking’ monthly cost, the SMS set-up cost from a vendor. The second component was the SMS service cost, i.e., the product of unit cost and average number of SMS per client. The third component was the associated personnel cost of sending a manual SMS. It was assumed that the nurse spent one minute per SMS response on average.

SMS cost = set-up cost + SMS service cost + manual SMS time cost

Follow-up visits and adverse event management

We estimated transportation fuel cost, transportation time cost, and nurse review time cost per visit, in rural and urban settings, respectively. We used the average number of follow-up visits per client by location. Reception time cost only applied to urban settings; rural settings did not have reception. In the rural setting, auxiliary nurses usually drove out to meet clients at their homes or workplaces to conduct the post-VMMC reviews. Cumulative moderate and severe AEs as defined by global VMMC standards [21] were reported from day 1 through day 21 by randomization group and by location. The time costs in treating AEs were included in overall follow-up visits. We estimated the material costs for AE management separately.
Rural visit cost = # of visits * (transportation fuel cost + transportation time cost + review time cost)

Urban visit cost = # of visits * (transportation fuel cost + transportation time cost + reception time cost + review time cost)

In which transportation fuel cost = Round trip distance to client home (km) * Litres of petrol (per km)

* Price of petrol (per litre)

Tracing

In routine care, if the client missed both day 2 and day 7 visits, three phone calls were attempted. In the 2wT arm, if the client had no SMS contact by day 8, the client was actively traced via phone up to three attempts. If the client was not reachable by phone, up to three home visits were attempted in routine care and 2wT. The number of clients eligible for phone tracing and home tracing was reported in the RCT.

Phone tracing cost = probability of phone tracing * (phone call time cost in all attempts + phone call service cost in successful attempt)

For home tracing, transportation cost was estimated in the care model that the nurse drove to the client’s home to conduct the review. Transportation fuel cost, transportation time cost, and nurse review time cost were also included. We considered the inexpensive model, in which the nurse also served as the driver.

Home tracing cost = probability of home tracing * (transportation time cost in all attempts + transportation fuel cost in all attempts + review time cost in successful attempt)
For all tracing attempts, phone service costs and client review costs were only recorded for the successful attempt. For instance, if the nurse made three home visits and the client was reached in the last visit, the time cost for three trips and the client review cost for one visit was considered.

Results

We included 1,084 clients in the costing analysis, consisting of 537 clients in routine care and 547 clients in 2wT intervention. As presented in table 2, a 1:1 ratio was applied in the rural-urban distribution of clients during randomization.

Table 2 also indicates key costing parameters stratified by urbanicity. Unnecessary visits and wastage in three transportation models are presented in Table 3. Average costs per client by randomization group, cost savings, and savings by location are presented in Table 4, with illustrations in Figures 1 and 2. Cost saving scenarios are presented in Table 5.
Table 2: Key parameters by activity category in rural and urban South Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Arm of randomization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2wT</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Post-operative counselling - Day 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary nurse wage (per month)</td>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>$1,002</td>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>$1,002</td>
<td>NGO pay structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse counselling time (in minutes/patient) - Routine</td>
<td>5 (25 to 75)</td>
<td>5 (25 to 75)</td>
<td>5 (25 to 75)</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse counselling time (in minutes/patient) - 2wT</td>
<td>10 (5 to 15)</td>
<td>10 (5 to 15)</td>
<td>10 (5 to 15)</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Text services for 2wT patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS aggregated setup cost (per month)</td>
<td>$742.65</td>
<td>$373.13</td>
<td>$373.13</td>
<td>$373.13</td>
<td>Africa Talking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per SMS</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td>$0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of texts (per patient) - in-box</td>
<td>14.5 (7.25)</td>
<td>13 (6.5)</td>
<td>16 (8 to 24)</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of texts (per patient) - out-box</td>
<td>5.65 (2.81)</td>
<td>4 (2.16)</td>
<td>7.30 (3.65 to 10.56)</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of texts (per patient) - out-box</td>
<td>14.5 (7.25)</td>
<td>13 (6.5)</td>
<td>16 (8 to 24)</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of 2wT program (days)</td>
<td>3 (1.5 to 19.5)</td>
<td>13 (6.5) to 19.5)</td>
<td>13 (6.5) to 19.5)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Follow-ups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of visits attended - Routine</td>
<td>134 (66.72)</td>
<td>126 (63.18)</td>
<td>126 (63.18)</td>
<td>126 (63.18)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of visits attended - 2wT</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration time option time (in minutes/patient)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td>0 (121)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse residence time in minutes (per patient)</td>
<td>5.43 (2.71)</td>
<td>5.78 (3.03)</td>
<td>7.06 (3.17)</td>
<td>Timemation study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration wage (per month)</td>
<td>$319 (595.5)</td>
<td>$688 (57)</td>
<td>$688 (57)</td>
<td>NGO pay structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse wage (per month)</td>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>$1,205</td>
<td>NGO pay structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round trip distance to patient home (km)</td>
<td>29.7 (14.87)</td>
<td>37.64 (19.47)</td>
<td>38.6 (20.18)</td>
<td>Timemation study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines of petrol (per km)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>Individual manual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of petrol (per litre)</td>
<td>$1.33 (0.67)</td>
<td>$1.33 (0.67)</td>
<td>$1.33 (0.67)</td>
<td>Automobile Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMMC patient wage (per month)</td>
<td>$1676.5 (838.25)</td>
<td>$2,148 (1,074.25)</td>
<td>$2,148 (1,074.25)</td>
<td>NGO pay structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Post-operative counselling - Day 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport time cost in minutes (per visit)</td>
<td>25.5 (7.25)</td>
<td>24.23 (7.23)</td>
<td>27.85 (7.23)</td>
<td>Timemation study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost - pickup and drop off patients (per visit)</td>
<td>$5.87 (2.94)</td>
<td>$7.83 (3.92)</td>
<td>$3.91 (1.96)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost - nurse home visits (per visit)</td>
<td>$3.81 (1.96)</td>
<td>$7.83 (3.92)</td>
<td>$3.81 (1.96)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost - patients come to clinics (per visit)</td>
<td>$2.94 (1.47)</td>
<td>$3.91 (1.96)</td>
<td>$1.96 (0.98)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with AEs – Routine (%)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with AEs – 2wT (%)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material cost per AE (e.g., antiseptic ointment)</td>
<td>$2.94 (1.47)</td>
<td>$3.91 (1.96)</td>
<td>$1.96 (0.98)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Tracing - Phone calls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone call service (per minute)</td>
<td>$0.08 (0.04)</td>
<td>$0.08 (0.04)</td>
<td>$0.08 (0.04)</td>
<td>Public records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time cost per call (in minutes)</td>
<td>$0.25 (0.75)</td>
<td>$0.25 (0.75)</td>
<td>$0.25 (0.75)</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of phone call attempts/training - Routine and 2wT</td>
<td>15 (1.5)</td>
<td>15 (1.5)</td>
<td>15 (1.5)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients missed day 2 &amp; day 7 (AEs - Routine)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients without post NC contact - 2wT (%)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary nurse wage (per month)</td>
<td>$1,205 (620.50)</td>
<td>$1,205 (620.50)</td>
<td>$1,205 (620.50)</td>
<td>NGO pay structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Tracing - Home visits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean distance to patient home (km)</td>
<td>29.7 (14.87)</td>
<td>39.64 (19.47)</td>
<td>39.64 (19.47)</td>
<td>Timemation study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines of petrol (per km)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03)</td>
<td>Individual manual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of petrol (per litre)</td>
<td>$1.33 (0.67)</td>
<td>$1.33 (0.67)</td>
<td>$1.33 (0.67)</td>
<td>Automobile Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traced patients with post NC contact - Routine (%)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traced patients with post NC contact - 2wT (%)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.005)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost - nurse home visits (per visit)</td>
<td>$3.81 (1.96)</td>
<td>$7.83 (3.92)</td>
<td>$3.81 (1.96)</td>
<td>RCT study logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary nurse wage (per month)</td>
<td>$1,205 (620.50)</td>
<td>$1,205 (620.50)</td>
<td>$1,205 (620.50)</td>
<td>NGO pay structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VMMC clients

As presented in S1 Appendix, a total of 1,460 VMMC clients were recruited. Out of 1,460 clients, there were 103 who had no cell phones, 21 clients had a language barrier, 13 clients could not read...
or write, 2 clients were blind, and 2 were unfit to consent. In the representative sample, around 141
(9.7%) clients were ineligible to participate in 2wT and needed routine care. In terms of future
scaling-up of 2wT, 2wT can serve most clients (>90%).

**Counselling**

An auxiliary nurse with a monthly salary of $1,205 was assumed to spend 5 minutes in routine post-
operative counselling and 10 minutes in 2wT (Table 2). There is no difference in counselling time by
location.

**SMS follow-ups**

The SMS aggregator set-up cost charged by ‘Africa is Talking’ was $74.25 per month for the 2wT
system as detailed by Table 2, evenly divided by location ($37.125 for each location). Each client
utilized the program for 13 days within a month of 30 days and the average cost per client was
proportioned to 43% of the month. With 547 clients in 2wT, the set-up cost per client was $0.14.
When ‘Africa is Talking’ serves more clients in future, the set-up cost is expected to reduce.

Table 2 also indicates that a standard SMS of 160 characters cost $0.02. Each 2wT client received
20.1 (10.05 to 30.15) messages on average, including 5.65 (2.83 to 8.48) manual messages from
nurses. Clients sent an average of 14.5 (7.25 to 21.75) messages each between Day 1 and Day 13 to
report daily status and any AE concerns. Urban clients were more active in 2wT, sending an average
of 3 more messages per client than rural clients. In turn, there were 3 more messages from the
nurse per client in urban settings than in rural settings.

**Follow-up visits and adverse event management**

**Clinic visits**

As expected, routine clients had more clinic follow-up visits than 2wT clients. Urban clients, in both
routine and 2wT groups, had more visits than rural clients. Routine clients had 1.34 (0.67 to 2.01)
visits on average between day 2 and day 13; 1.26 (0.63 to 1.89) in rural areas and 1.42 (0.71 to 2.13)
in urban locations. 2wT clients had 0.22 (0.11 to 0.33) visits on average, with 0.14 (0.07 to 0.21) in rural areas and 0.3 (0.15 to 0.45) in urban.

AE material cost

The study identified a total of 16 AEs across both arms. Among 719 visits in the routine arm, there were 5 AEs identified. Among 118 visits in 2wT, there were 11 AEs identified. Material cost per AE, including bandage, paraffin gauze, and antiseptic ointment, was estimated at $5.28.

Salary and time cost

In the rural setting, auxiliary nurses with a monthly salary of $1,205 conducted the post-VMMC reviews with 3.79 (1.90 to 5.69) minutes per client. In urban settings, administrators with a monthly salary of $638 facilitated reception with 2.67 (1.34 to 4.01) minutes per client and professional nurses with a monthly salary of $2,148 conducted the reviews with 7.06 (3.53 to 10.59) minutes per client.

Transportation cost

It was estimated that a round-trip distance to a client’s home on average was 39.64 (19.82 – 9.91) kilometers in rural areas and 19.82 (9.91 – 29.73) kilometers in urban settings. As indicated in the vehicle manual, the average fuel consumption of the vehicle that was used for tracing was 0.06 l/km (6 l/100 km). Information from the Automobile Association reported the fuel price of $1.33/L [23]. The transportation fuel cost was $3.21 (1.61 to 4.82) and $1.61 (0.67 to 2) in rural areas and urban areas, respectively. From the client perspective, 1,084 clients reported in the baseline survey that transportation cost was $2.05 in rural setting and $1.62 urban settings, respectively. Results from time-motion study and client baseline survey were consistent.

From time-motion data, it was estimated that transportation time per visit was 34 minutes in rural settings. We monetize time costs by incorporating employment rate and wages and quantify
potential subsidy amounts to compare different transportation models. We estimated three
hypothetical scenarios of transportation time cost in the rural setting:

1. Pick up and drop off clients by hiring a driver at the average wage of $197 per day in rural
   settings
2. Home visits by the nurse at the wage of $1,205 per month; and
3. Clients attending clinics with travel subsidy provided by the program to compensate fuel and
time costs.

As shown in Table 2, transportation costs for scenarios above in rural settings were estimated at
$7.83 (3.92 to 11.75), $7.48 (3.74 to 11.22), and $3.91 (1.96 to 5.87) per visit, respectively. Using the
third scenario of $3.91 potential compensation, $3.21 was the estimated fuel cost and $0.70 was the
estimated time cost for the client, to remove financial barriers to attend necessary visits. Urban
transportation time was estimated at 17 minutes for a round trip and estimated transportation cost
was $1.96 (0.98 to 2.94) for the client to come to a clinic in urban settings.

Tracing

Among routine men, 29 clients did not attend any visit and were considered as lost-to-follow-up
(LTFU) and traced by phone, among whom 17 were traced by home visit. For the participants in the
2wT arm, 47 had no contact by day 8 post-VMMC, with 1 participant traced by home visit.

The cost of phone call service was $0.08 per minute. It was assumed that the auxiliary nurse
classified by phone calls, and an average phone call time was 5 minutes. To trace LTFU clients, it
was assumed that on average 3 phone calls were attempted in both routine group and 2wT group. In
home tracing, the transportation fuel cost was the same as the clinic visit. The estimated
transportation costs, including fuel and personnel costs, were $7.48 (3.74 to 11.22) and $3.74 (1.87
to 5.61) in rural and urban areas, respectively. According to our time-motion study, it is important to
note that home visit practice is costly both in money and time, especially in rural areas. The average
travel time per client was 34 (17 to 51) minutes and the average review time was 3.79 (1.90 to 5.69)
minutes, which meant that the nurse spent 90% of the time on the road on a typical day of tracing in the rural areas. On average, 5 (2.5 to 7.5) clients would be traced in one day.

Costs and cost savings

Table 3 shows that the estimated unnecessary visits were 1.12 in the post-VMMC period, reflecting the difference between routine visits (1.34) and elected visits (0.22). Based on partial compliance with the requirement for 2 visits in routine group, it was 1.26 visits and 1.42 visits in rural and urban areas, respectively. In the case of no requirement for clinic visits in 2wT, the actual visits in this group reflected the demand for post-VMMC care, 0.14 and 0.3 visits in rural and urban areas, respectively. The unnecessary visits were 1.12 counts in both rural and urban areas. Due to different transportation models, the estimated saving from unnecessary visits ranged from $4.54 to $7.83 per client. Having clients independently return to the clinic (even with a subsidy for fuel cost and wage loss) is the most economical model for the program to manage clinic care provision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Unnecessary visits and wastage in three transportation models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routine visits (Partial compliance of requirement for 2 visits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected visits (2wT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unnecessary visits (routine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste of hiring a driver to pick-up and drop-off clients for unnecessary visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste of nurse time for unnecessary home visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste for clients to commute to clinic for unnecessary visits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows that the average cost per post-operative visit is $6.48 in routine care and $4.25 in 2wT. The cost saving of $2.2 was primarily seen in rural areas ($1.6), with meaningful, but lower savings in urban areas ($0.6). Table 4 also shows the disaggregation of costs by location. The average post-operative counselling cost was $0.63 and $1.26 in routine and 2wT groups, respectively. Similarly, SMS cost per client was $1.67 in the 2wT group, comprising $1.15 in urban areas and $0.53 in rural areas.
Table 3: Cost per client for routine care and 2wT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs per client</th>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>2wT</th>
<th>Diff = 2wT - Routine</th>
<th>Diff in rural</th>
<th>Diff in urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative counselling - day 0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way texting</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up visits</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-4.54</td>
<td>-2.46</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE management (materials)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracing - Phone calls</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracing - Home visits</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.48</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>-2.23</strong></td>
<td><strong>-1.61</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.62</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 illustrates the cost distribution across the post-VMMC care continuum. In the 2wT group, the costs were concentrated in early actions within the care continuum, including counselling ($1.26) and SMS ($1.67), which accounted for 70% of the overall costs. By contrast, in the routine group, most of the financial investment was in clinical visits, $5.4 out of $7.6 (76%), followed by counselling ($0.63). In the post-VMMC care continuum, 2wT intervention shifts the healthcare effort to client-driven visual recognition of AEs on day 0 and prompt timely care-seeking via consistent daily communication with the client from day 1 to day 13.

Figure 2 demonstrates costs of SMS by urbanicity ($1.67, including $1.15 in urban areas and $0.53 in rural areas), counselling ($0.63, evenly distributed by location), calls ($0.12, including $0.05 in urban...
areas and $0.07 in rural areas), and AE materials ($0.07, including $0.05 in urban areas and $0.02 in rural areas). Cost savings were calculated as $4.54, including $2.08 savings in urban areas and $2.46 in rural areas, and savings from home visits in tracing of $0.18, which was evenly distributed by location.

![Costs and savings per client in rural and urban settings](image)

**Fig 2: Costs and savings per client in rural and urban settings**

**Other scenarios**

Table 5 depicts three scenarios in comparing cost savings of using 2wT compared to routine care visits namely one visit, 1.34 visits on average, and two visits within routine care. If there had been one visit per client in routine care, the average cost saving was calculated at $0.88 per client. In the scenario of 1.34 visits within routine care shown in Table 3, the average cost saving is $2.23. If there had been two visits per client in routine, the average cost saving is $4.93 per client. Table 5 also shows the disaggregation of saved costs by location.
Table 4: Cost savings from 2wT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost saving (2wT vs. Routine)</th>
<th>Average (USD)</th>
<th>Rural (USD)</th>
<th>Urban (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routine - One visit</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine - 1.34 visits</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine - Two visits</td>
<td>-4.93</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In this costing analysis, we found that 2wT-based VMMC follow-up saved an average of $2.23 per client, ranging from $0.88 to $4.93. Similar to the 2wT RCT conducted in Zimbabwe that found a net savings of $2.10 using 2wT over routine care[22], 2wT saves costs in South Africa by providing a safe substitute for the required day 2 and/or day 7 post-operative visits for clients with access to cell phones. In our study, the additional cost for enhanced counselling to facilitate recognition of potential AEs among men in the 2wT arm was $0.63, a small cost investment to enhance men's ability to adopt 2wT-based follow-up. The 2wT clients on average received 20.1 messages and sent 14.45 messages in the post-operative period for an SMS cost of $1.67 per client.

Cost savings from 2wT varies by the requirements to attend post-VMMC visits. The rural site was serviced by a mobile CHAPS VMMC team as the district geography is expansive; clients would have to cover long distances to get to dedicated CHAPS VMMC sites. For scheduled day 2 and day 7 post-operative visits, the CHAPS rural VMMC team arranged to meet the clients at a convenient location. Physical client reviews usually involved an auxiliary nurse and a dedicated driver. In other settings, the driver would collect the clients and transport them to a central clinic return them home after the consult. Clients could also seek care outside scheduled visits for suspicion of AEs at any healthcare facility, but most often returned to their VMMC site or to contact the CHAPS VMMC team for an in-person home visit. Client care in rural areas is more expensive. The urban model differed with three teams based at three static clinics; clients commuted independently. Most in-person client reviews were conducted at the dedicated sites. Given that moderate and severe AEs in male circumcisions
are rare (1%-2%) [4, 24], it is costly to require all circumcised clients to attend one or two post-operative in-person clinic visits.

2wT has three major advantages for post-circumcision care delivery. Firstly, daily communication improved early detection of AEs and subsequent prevention of progression of severity of the adverse event. Enhanced counselling incurred a minimal cost of $0.63, but enabled the clients to effectively identify and communicate AE concerns in daily SMS — a worthy investment. Secondly, 2wT enabled triage with clients experiencing potential AEs and referred those in need for in-person clinical visits. Third, 2wT-based telehealth provided additional benefits in the context of COVID-19 by reducing unnecessary contact for clients and providers by adding to clinic burden. Only the clients with AE concerns or those desiring reassurance attended clinic visits, resulting in only 0.22 elected visits per 2wT client (Table 3). This demonstrates that most clients do not need to visit the clinic post-operatively. Reduced follow-up visits allow providers to concentrate on cases in need of medical attention and frees time to commence surgery early and on-time, increasing client satisfaction. This is also supportive of expedient service delivery that reduces in-queue client lost-to-follow-up, which occurs when clients face long waiting times and opt-out of VMMC.

2wT saves the most money in the absence of any required visits, with a savings of $2.23 per VMMC client. In the context of National VMMC targets that are typically between 350,000 to 500,000 per annum, these savings could translate into a program saving of over $1.1 million per year. These savings could facilitate optimal program cost-efficiencies such as reinvesting in demand creation to draw more men to VMMC, increasing the quality of care, and focusing clinical resources on those with potential AEs. Coupled with ease of 2wT post-operative client management, reinvestment of 2wT savings could compound interest in, and uptake of, VMMC among men, hence furthering VMMC program goals of safe, efficient, and effective VMMC scale-up.

**Limitations**
There are several assumptions and limitations in this study. Firstly, this study focuses on cost of the activities to serve an average client, given that 2wT is implemented within an existing routine VMMC service. Accordingly, start-up costs (e.g., developing text message library, translation to local languages, adaptation to South Africa context) and fixed costs (e.g., full-time employment dedicated to 2wT, cell phones, computers, vehicle purchase, insurance, maintenance cost) were excluded. Secondly, we restrict our analysis to routine and not research activities (e.g., SMS to the routine group, potential AE form filling). Thirdly, we conducted costing from the perspective of the VMMC program and the Ministry of Health. Lastly, for AE management, only costs related to moderate and severe cases are documented and costed in this study. We did not measure the costs to manage mild AEs such as pain.

Conclusion

2wT precludes the need for mandatory visit requirements, whilst maintaining oversight and supportive reviews of post-operative care. There are more cost savings in 2wT for clients in rural areas than those in urban areas. 2wT reduced post-VMMC care cost by better informing, engaging, and triaging clients with potential AEs through enhanced counselling, tailored health promotion, and daily communication. This approach of follow-up complied with government regulations regarding client privacy and provided assured, timely and nurse-led post-operative support through a remote care management system, enabling participation irrespective of client location. This is a promising strategy in the post-VMMC care continuum. The 2wT-based telehealth approach can be implemented across the vast majority of VMMC programs, significantly reducing overall costs while improving post-operative care quality.
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