

1 **Causes and consequences of child growth faltering in low- and middle-income** 2 **countries**

3 Andrew Mertens,^{1*} Jade Benjamin-Chung,² John M Colford Jr,¹ Jeremy Coyle¹, Mark J van der Laan,¹
4 Alan E Hubbard,¹ Sonali Rosete,¹ Ivana Malenica,¹ Nima Hejazi,¹ Oleg Sofrygin,¹ Wilson Cai,¹ Haodong Li,¹
5 Anna Nguyen,¹ Nolan N Pokpongkiat,¹ Stephanie Djajadi,¹ Anmol Seth,¹ Esther Jung,¹ Esther O Chung,¹
6 Wendy Jilek,¹ Vishak Subramoney,³ Ryan Hafen,⁴ Jonas Häggström,⁵ Thea Norman,⁶ Kenneth H Brown,⁷
7 Parul Christian,⁸ Benjamin F. Arnold,^{9,10*} and members of the *ki* Child Growth Consortium**

8
9 ¹ Division of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of California, Berkeley, 2121 Berkeley Way Rm 5302
10 Berkeley, CA 94720-7360

11 ² Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, Stanford University, Stanford University, 259
12 Campus Drive, HRP Redwood Building T152A, Stanford, CA 94305

13 ³ DVPL Tech

14 ⁴ Hafen Consulting, LLC, West Richland WA, 99353

15 ⁵ Cytel Inc, 1050 Winter St Suite 2700 Waltham, MA 02451, USA

16 ⁶ Quantitative Sciences, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, 500 5th Ave N, Seattle, WA 98109

17 ⁷ Department of Nutrition, University of California, Davis, 3135 Meyer Hall, Davis, CA 95616-5270

18 ⁸ Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of
19 Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205

20 ⁹ Francis I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco, 490 Illinois St, San Francisco, CA
21 94158

22 ¹⁰ Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Francisco, 490 Illinois St, San Francisco, CA
23 94158

24
25 * Corresponding authors are Andrew Mertens (amertens@berkeley.edu) and Benjamin F. Arnold
26 (ben.arnold@ucsf.edu).

27 **Members of the *ki* Child Growth Consortium

28 1. Souheila Abbeddou, Food Safety and Nutrition Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary
29 Care, Ghent University, Belgium

30 2. Linda S. Adair, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

31 3. Tahmeed Ahmed, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh

32 4. Asad Ali, Aga Khan University

33 5. Hasmat Ali, JiVita Project, Bangladesh, Johns Hopkins

34 6. Per Ashorn, Center for Child, Adolescent, and Maternal Health Research, Faculty of Medicine
35 and Health Technology, Tampere University and Tampere University Hospital, Finland

36 7. Rajiv Bahl, World Health Organization

37 8. Mauricio L. Barreto, Center of Data and Knowledge Integration for Health, Fundação Oswaldo
38 Cruz, Salvador, Brazil.

39 9. Elodie Becquey, International Food Policy Research Institute

40 10. France Bégin, UNICEF

41 11. Pascal Obong Bessong, HIV/AIDS & Global Health Research Programme, University of Venda

42 12. Maharaj Kishan Bhan, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, India

43 13. Zulfiqar A. Bhutta, Institute for Global Health & Development & Center of Excellence in Women
44 and Child Health, The Aga Khan University South-Central Asia, East Africa & United Kingdom

45 14. Nita Bhandari, Centre for Health Research and Development, Society for Applied Studies, New
46 Delhi, India

47 15. Santosh K. Bhargava, Senior Consultant Pediatrics, Sunder Lal Jain Hospital, Ashok Vihar

- 48 16. Robert E. Black, Johns Hopkins University
- 49 17. Ladaporn Bodhidatta, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangkok, Thailand
- 50 18. Delia Carba, USC Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc., University of San Carlos, Cebu,
- 51 Philippines
- 52 19. William Checkley, Johns Hopkins University
- 53 20. Parul Christian, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public
- 54 Health
- 55 21. Jean E. Crabtree, Leeds Institute for Medical Research, St. James's University Hospital, University
- 56 of Leeds
- 57 22. Kathryn G. Dewey, Institute for Global Nutrition, Department of Nutrition, University of
- 58 California
- 59 23. Christopher P. Duggan, Center for Nutrition, Boston Children's Hospital
- 60 24. Caroline H.D. Fall, Emeritus Professor of International Paediatric Epidemiology, MRC Lifecourse
- 61 Epidemiology Centre, University of Southampton, UK
- 62 25. Abu Syed Golam Faruque, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
- 63 26. Wafaie W. Fawzi, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of
- 64 Public Health
- 65 27. José Quirino da Silva Filho, Federal University of Ceará
- 66 28. Robert H. Gilman, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 67 29. Richard L. Guerrant, University of Virginia, USA
- 68 30. Rashidul Haque, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
- 69 31. S. M. Tafsir Hasan, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
- 70 32. Sonja Y. Hess, Dept of Nutrition and Institute for Global Nutrition, University of California Davis
- 71 33. Eric R. Houpt, University of Virginia.edu
- 72 34. Jean H. Humphrey, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 73 35. Najeeha Talat Iqbal, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Aga Khan University
- 74 36. Elizabeth Yakes Jimenez, Departments of Pediatrics and Internal Medicine, University of New
- 75 Mexico Health Sciences Center
- 76 37. Jacob John, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India
- 77 38. Sushil Matthew John, Professor, Low Cost Effective Care Unit, Christian Medical College, Vellore
- 78 TN 632004 India
- 79 39. Gagandeep Kang, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, Faridabad, Haryana,
- 80 India
- 81 40. Margaret Kosek, University of Virginia
- 82 41. Michael S. Kramer, McGill University and McGill University Health Centre
- 83 42. Alain Labrique, Center of Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns
- 84 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 85 43. Nanette R. Lee, USC-Office of Population Studies Foundation Inc., University of San Carlos, Cebu,
- 86 Philippines
- 87 44. Aldo Ângelo Moreira Lima, Federal University of Ceará
- 88 45. Tjale Cloupas Mahopo, Department of Nutrition, School of Health Sciences, University of Venda
- 89 46. Kenneth Maleta, Department of Public Health, School of Public Health and Family Medicine,
- 90 College of Medicine
- 91 47. Dharma S. Manandhar, Mother and Infant Research Activities
- 92 48. Karim P. Manji, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Muhimbili University School of
- 93 Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- 94 49. Reynaldo Martorell, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University

- 95 50. Sarmila Mazumder, Centre for Health Research and Development, Society for Applied Studies,
96 New Delhi, India
- 97 51. Estomih Mduma, Haydom Lutheran Hospital, Haydom, Tanzania
- 98 52. Venkata Raghava Mohan, Professor, Community Medicine, Christian Medical College, Vellore TN
99 632004 India
- 100 53. Sophie E. Moore, Department of Women and Children's Health, Kings College London, London,
101 UK & MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, The Gambia
- 102 54. Robert Ntozini, Zvitambo Institute for Maternal and Child Health Research
- 103 55. Mzwakhe Emanuel Nyathi, Department of Animal Sciences, School of Agriculture, University of
104 Venda
- 105 56. Maribel Paredes Olortegui, AB PRISMA
- 106 57. Césaire T. Ouédraogo, Institute for Global Nutrition, Department of Nutrition, University of
107 California, Davis, CA, USA
- 108 58. William A. Petri, University of Virginia
- 109 59. Prasanna Samuel Premkumar, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India
- 110 60. Andrew M. Prentice, MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,
111 Banjul, The Gambia
- 112 61. Najeeb Rahman, Aga Khan University
- 113 62. Manuel Ramirez-Zea, INCAP Research Center for the Prevention of Chronic Diseases, Institute of
114 Nutrition of Central America and Panama, Guatemala City, Guatemala
- 115 63. Harshpal Singh Sachdev, Senior Consultant Pediatrics and Clinical Epidemiology, Sitaram Bhartia
116 Institute of Science and Research, B-16 Qutab Institutional Area, New Delhi, India
- 117 64. Kamran Sadiq, Aga Khan University
- 118 65. Rajiv Sarkar, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India
- 119 66. Monira Sarmin, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
- 120 67. Naomi M. Saville, Institute for Global Health, University College London
- 121 68. Saijuddin Shaikh, JiViTA Project, Bangladesh, Johns Hopkins
- 122 69. Bhim P. Shrestha, Health Research and Development Forum, Kathmandu, Nepal
- 123 70. Sanjaya Kumar Shrestha, MD, Walter Reed/AFRIMS Research Unit, Kathmandu, Nepal Centre for
124 International Health, University of Bergen
- 125 71. Alberto Melo Soares, Federal University of Ceará
- 126 72. Bakary Sonko, MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Banjul,
127 The Gambia
- 128 73. Aryeh D. Stein, Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory
129 University
- 130 74. Erling Svensen, Haukeland University Hospital
- 131 75. Sana Syed, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition
132 University of Virginia School of Medicine, and Aga Khan University
- 133 76. Fayaz Umrani, Aga Khan University
- 134 77. Honorine D. Ward, Tufts Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine
- 135 78. Keith P. West Jr., Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns
136 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 137 79. Lee Shu Fune Wu, Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns
138 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 139 80. Seungmi Yang, McGill University
- 140 81. Pablo Penataro Yori, University of Virginia

141
142

143 Abstract limit ~200 words; current count 206

144 Main text limit 2000-3000 words; current count 3168

145

146 **Summary**

147 **Growth faltering (low length-for-age or weight-for-length) in the first 1000 days — from conception to**
148 **two years of age — influences short and long-term health and survival. Interventions such as**
149 **nutritional supplementation during pregnancy and the postnatal period could help prevent growth**
150 **faltering, but programmatic action has been insufficient to eliminate the high burden of stunting and**
151 **wasting in low- and middle-income countries. Future preventive efforts will benefit from**
152 **understanding age-windows and population subgroups in which to focus. Here, we use a population**
153 **intervention effects analysis of 33 longitudinal cohorts (83,671 children, 662,763 measurements) and**
154 **30 separate exposures to show that improving maternal anthropometry and child condition at birth**
155 **accounted for population increases in length-for-age Z of up to 0.40 and weight-for-length Z of up to**
156 **0.15 by age 24 months. Boys had consistently higher risk of all forms of growth faltering than girls.**
157 **Early post-natal growth faltering predisposed children to subsequent and persistent growth faltering.**
158 **Children with multiple growth deficits had higher mortality rates from birth to two years than those**
159 **without deficits (hazard ratios 1.9 to 8.7). The importance of prenatal causes, and severe**
160 **consequences for children who experienced early growth faltering, support a focus on pre-conception**
161 **and pregnancy as key opportunities for new preventive interventions.**

162

163 **Introduction**

164 Child growth faltering in the form of stunting, a marker of chronic malnutrition, and wasting, a
165 marker of acute malnutrition, is common among young children in low-resource settings, and may
166 contribute to child mortality and adult morbidity.^{1,2} Worldwide, 22% of children under age 5 years are
167 stunted and 7% are wasted, with most of the burden occurring in low- and middle-income countries
168 (LMIC).³ Current estimates attribute >250,000 deaths annually to stunting and >1 million deaths
169 annually to wasting.² Stunted or wasted children also experience worse cognitive development⁴⁻⁶ and
170 adult economic outcomes.⁷

171 Despite widespread recognition of the importance of growth faltering to global public health,
172 preventive interventions in LMICs have had limited success.⁸ A range of nutritional interventions
173 targeting various life stages of the fetal and childhood periods, including nutrition education, food and
174 micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy, promotion of exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months
175 and continued breastfeeding for 2 years, and food and micronutrient supplementation during
176 complementary feeding, have all had a beneficial effect on child growth.⁹⁻¹¹ However, postnatal
177 breastfeeding interventions and nutritional interventions delivered to children who have begun
178 complementary feeding have only had small effects on population-level stunting and wasting burdens,
179 and implementation remains a substantial challenge.^{9,12,13} Additionally, water, sanitation, and hygiene
180 (WASH) interventions, which aim to reduce childhood infections that may heighten the risk of wasting
181 and stunting, have had no effect on child growth in several recent large randomized control trials.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

182 Modest effects of interventions to prevent stunting and wasting may reflect an incomplete
183 understanding of the optimal way and time to intervene.¹⁷ This knowledge gap has spurred renewed
184 interest in recent decades to combine rich data sources with advances in statistical methodology¹⁸ to
185 more deeply understand the key causes of growth faltering.¹⁹ Understanding the relationship between
186 the causes and timing of growth faltering is also crucial because children who falter early could be at
187 higher risk for more severe growth faltering later. In companion articles, we report that the highest rates
188 of incident stunting and wasting occur by age 3 months.^{20,21}

189

190 **Pooled longitudinal analyses**

191 Here, we report a pooled analysis of 33 longitudinal cohorts in 15 low- and middle-income countries
192 in South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America, and Eastern Europe, with data collection initiated
193 between 1987 and 2014. Our objective was to estimate relationships between child, parental, and
194 household characteristics and measures of child anthropometry, including length-for-age Z-scores (LAZ),
195 weight-for-length Z-scores (WLZ), weight-for-age Z-scores (WAZ), stunting, wasting, underweight, and
196 length and weight velocities from birth to age 24 months. Details on the estimation of growth faltering
197 outcomes are included in companion articles.^{20,21} We also estimated associations between early growth
198 faltering and more severe growth faltering or mortality by age 24 months.

199 Cohorts were assembled as part of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation's Knowledge Integration (*ki*)
200 initiative, which included studies of growth and development in the first 1000 days, beginning at
201 conception.²² We selected longitudinal cohorts from the database that met five inclusion criteria: 1)
202 conducted in low- or middle-income countries; 2) enrolled children between birth and age 24 months
203 and measured their length and weight repeatedly over time; 3) did not restrict enrollment to acutely ill
204 children; 4) enrolled children with a median year of birth after 1990; and 5) collected anthropometric
205 status measurements at least every 3 months (Extended Data Fig 1). Inclusion criteria ensured we could
206 rigorously evaluate the timing and onset of growth faltering among children who were broadly
207 representative of populations in low- and middle-income countries. Thirty-three cohorts from 15
208 countries met inclusion criteria, and 83,671 children and 592,030 total measurements were included in
209 this analysis (Fig 1). Child mortality was rare and not reported in many of the *ki* datasets, so we relaxed
210 inclusion criteria for studies used in the mortality analysis to include studies that measured children at
211 least twice a year. Four additional cohorts met this inclusion criterion, and 14,317 children and 70,733
212 additional measurements were included in mortality analyses (97,988 total children, 662,763 total
213 observations, Extended Data Table 1). Cohorts were distributed throughout South Asia, Africa, and Latin
214 America, with a single European cohort from Belarus.

215

216 **Population intervention effects on growth faltering**

217 In a series of analyses, we estimated population intervention effects, the estimated change in
218 population mean Z-score if all individuals in the population had their exposure shifted from observed
219 levels to the lowest-risk reference level.²³ The PIE is a policy-relevant parameter; it estimates the
220 improvement in outcome that could be achievable through intervention for modifiable exposures, as it
221 is a function of the degree of difference between the unexposed and the exposed in a children's

222 anthropometry Z-scores, as well as the observed distribution of exposure in the population. We selected
223 exposures that were measured in multiple cohorts, could be harmonized across cohorts for pooled
224 analyses, and had been identified as important predictors of stunting or wasting in prior literature (Fig 1,
225 Extended Data Table 2). Exposure measurement varied by cohort, but all estimates were adjusted for all
226 other measured exposures that we assumed were not on the causal pathway between the exposure of
227 interest and the outcome. For example, the association between maternal height and stunting was not
228 adjusted for child birth weight, because low maternal height could increase stunting risk through lower
229 child birth weight.²⁴ Parameters were estimated using targeted maximum likelihood estimation, a
230 doubly-robust, semiparametric method that allows for valid inference while adjusting for potential
231 confounders using ensemble machine learning (details in Methods).^{18,25} We estimated cohort-specific
232 parameters, adjusting for measured covariates within each cohort, and then pooled estimates across
233 cohorts using random effects models (Extended data Fig 1).²⁶ We chose as the reference exposure for
234 PIEs the level of lowest risk across cohorts. We also estimated the effects of optimal dynamic
235 interventions, where each child's individual low-risk level of exposure was estimated from potential
236 confounders (details in Methods). Timing of exposures varied, from parental and household
237 characteristics present before birth, to fetal or at-birth exposures, and postnatal exposures. We
238 estimated associations with growth faltering that occurred after exposure measurements to ensure
239 time-ordering of exposures and outcomes.

240 Population level improvements in maternal height and birth size would be expected to improve
241 child LAZ and WLZ at age 24 months substantially, owing to both the high prevalence of suboptimal
242 anthropometry in the populations and their strong association with attained growth at 24 months (Fig 2,
243 Fig 3). Beyond anthropometry, key predictors of higher Z-scores included markers of better household
244 socioeconomic status (e.g., number of rooms in the home, parental education, clean cooking fuel use,
245 household wealth index). The pooled, cross-validated R^2 for models that included the top 10
246 determinants for each Z-score, plus child sex, was 0.25 for LAZ (N= 20 cohorts, 25,647 children) and 0.07
247 for WLZ (N=18 cohorts, 17,853 children). The population level impact of season on WLZ was large, with
248 higher WLZ in drier periods (Fig 3), consistent with seasonal differences shown in a companion article.²¹
249 Exclusive or predominant breastfeeding before 6 months of age was associated with higher WLZ but not
250 LAZ at 6 months of age and was not a major predictor of Z-scores at 24 months (Extended Data Figs
251 2,3,4).²⁷ Girls had consistently better LAZ and WLZ than boys, potentially from sex-specific differences in
252 immunology, nutritional demands, care practices, and intrauterine growth.²⁸

253 The findings underscore the importance of prenatal exposures for child growth outcomes, and
254 at the population-level growth faltering may be difficult to shift without broad improvements in
255 standard of living.^{7,29} Maternal anthropometric status can influence child Z-scores by affecting fetal
256 growth and birth size.^{30,31} Maternal height and BMI could directly affect postnatal growth through
257 breastmilk quality, or could reflect family poverty, genetics, undernutrition, or food insecurity, or family
258 lifestyle and diet.^{32,33} In a secondary analysis, we estimated the associations between parental
259 anthropometry and child Z-scores controlling for birth characteristics, and found the associations were
260 only partially mediated by birth size, order, hospital delivery, and gestational age at birth, with adjusted
261 Z-score differences attenuated by a median of 30% (Extended data Fig 5).

262 The strongest predictors of stunting and wasting estimated through population attributable

263 fractions closely matched those identified for child LAZ and WLZ at 24 months (Extended Data Figs 6, 7),
264 suggesting that information embedded in continuous and binary measures of child growth provide
265 similar inference with respect to identifying public-health relevant causes. Potential improvements
266 through population interventions were relatively modest. For example, if all children were born to
267 higher BMI mothers (≥ 20) compared to the observed distribution of maternal BMI, one of the largest
268 predictors of wasting, we estimate it would reduce the incidence of wasting by age 24 months by 8.2%
269 (95% CI: 4.4, 12.0; Extended Data Fig 7). Patterns in associations across growth outcomes were broadly
270 consistent, except for preterm birth, which had a stronger association with stunting outcomes than
271 wasting outcomes, and rainy season, which was strongly associated with wasting but not stunting
272 (Extended Data Fig 2). Direction of associations did not vary across regions, but magnitude did, notably
273 male sex was less strongly associated with low LAZ in South Asia (Extended Data Figs 8,9).

274

275 **Age-varying effects on growth faltering**

276 We estimated trajectories of mean LAZ and WLZ stratified by maternal height and BMI. We
277 found that maternal height strongly influenced at-birth LAZ, but that LAZ progressed along similar
278 trajectories through age 24 months regardless of maternal height (Fig 4a), with similar though slightly
279 less pronounced differences when stratified by maternal BMI (Fig 4b). By contrast, children born to taller
280 mothers had similar WLZ at birth and WLZ trajectories until age 3-4 months, when they diverged
281 substantially (Fig 4a); WLZ trajectory differences were even more pronounced when stratified by
282 maternal BMI (Fig 4b). The findings illustrate how maternal status strongly influences where child
283 growth trajectories start, but that growth trajectories evolve in parallel, seeming to respond similarly to
284 postnatal insults independent of their starting point.

285 We hypothesized that causes of growth faltering could differ by age of growth faltering onset —
286 for example, we expected children born preterm would have higher risk of incident growth faltering
287 immediately after birth, while food insecurity might increase risk at older ages, after weaning. For
288 exposures studied in the population intervention effect analyses, we conducted analyses stratified by
289 age of onset and in many cases found age-varying effects (Fig 4c). For example, most measures of
290 socioeconomic status were associated with incident wasting or stunting only after age 6 months, and
291 higher birth order lowered growth faltering risk under age 6 months, but increased risk thereafter. First
292 born babies are born thin and show rapid postnatal catch up in WLZ (Extended data Fig 10). This is likely
293 because first-born babies suffer uterine constraint caused by a less developed uterine-placental-vascular
294 supply^{34,35} resulting in birth weights being lower by 100-200g in most cohorts studied; weight is
295 generally more compromised than height.³⁶ The switch from a constrained uterine-placental nutrient
296 supply line to oral nutrition permits post-natal catch up. Stronger relationships between key socio-
297 demographic characteristics and wasting and stunting as children age likely reflects the accumulation of
298 insults that result from household conditions, particularly as complementary feeding is initiated, and
299 children begin exploring their environment and potentially face higher levels of food insecurity
300 especially in homes with multiple children.³⁷ When viewed across multiple definitions of growth
301 faltering, most exposures had stronger associations with severe stunting, severe wasting, or persistent
302 wasting ($> 50\%$ of measurements < -2 WLZ), rarer but more serious outcomes, than with incidence of
303 any wasting or stunting (Fig 4d). Additionally, the characteristics strongly associated with lower wasting

304 recovery by 90 days (birth size, small maternal stature, lower maternal education, later birth order, and
305 male sex) increased risk of wasting prevalence and cumulative incidence (Extended data Fig 2).

306

307 **Consequences of early growth faltering**

308 We documented high incidence rates of wasting and stunting from birth to age 6 months in
309 companion papers.^{20,21} Based on previous studies, we hypothesized that early wasting could contribute
310 to subsequent linear growth restriction, and early growth faltering could be consequential for persistent
311 growth faltering and mortality during the first 24 months of life.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Among cohorts with monthly
312 measurements, we examined age-stratified linear growth velocity by quartiles of WLZ at previous ages.
313 We found a consistent exposure-response relationship between higher mean WLZ and faster linear
314 growth velocity in the following 3 months (Fig 5a). Persistent wasting from birth to 6 months (defined as
315 >50% of measurements wasted) was the wasting exposure most strongly associated with incident
316 stunting at older ages (Fig 5b).

317 We next examined the relationship between measures of growth faltering in the first 6 months
318 and serious growth-related outcomes: persistent wasting from 6-24 months and concurrent wasting and
319 stunting at 18 months of age, both of which put children at high risk of mortality.^{1,38} Concurrent wasting
320 and stunting was measured at 18 months because stunting prevalence peaked at 18 months and the
321 largest number of children were measured at 18 months across cohorts.²⁰ All measures of early growth
322 faltering were significantly associated with later, more serious growth faltering, with measures of
323 ponderal growth faltering amongst the strongest predictors (Fig 5c).

324 Finally, we estimated hazard ratios (HR) of all-cause mortality by 2 years of age associated with
325 measures of growth faltering within eight cohorts that reported ages of death, which included 1,689
326 child deaths by age 24 months (2.4% of children in the eight cohorts). Included cohorts were highly
327 monitored, and mortality rates were lower than in the general population in most cohorts (Extended
328 Data Table 3). Additionally, data included only deaths that occurred after anthropometry
329 measurements, so many neonatal deaths may have been excluded, and without data on cause-specific
330 mortality, some deaths may have occurred from causes unrelated to growth faltering. Despite these
331 caveats, growth faltering increased the hazard of death before 24 months for all measures except
332 stunting alone, with strongest associations observed for severe wasting, stunting, and underweight
333 (HR=8.7, 95% CI: 4.7 to 16.4) and severe underweight alone (HR=4.2, 95% CI: 2.0 to 8.6) (Fig 5d).

334

335 **Discussion**

336 This synthesis of LMIC cohorts during the first 1000 days of life has provided new insights into the
337 principal causes and near-term consequences of growth faltering. Our use of a novel, semi-parametric
338 method to adjust for potential confounding provided a harmonized approach to estimate population
339 intervention effects that spanned child-, parent-, and household-level exposures with unprecedented
340 breadth (30 exposures) and scale (662,763 anthropometric measurements from 33 cohorts). Our focus
341 on effects of shifting population-level exposures on continuous measures of growth faltering reflect a
342 growing appreciation that growth faltering is a continuous process.⁴¹ Our results show children in LMICs
343 stand to benefit from interventions to support optimal growth in the first 1000 days. Combining

344 information from high-resolution, longitudinal cohorts enabled us to study critically important outcomes
345 not possible in smaller studies or in cross-sectional data, such as persistent wasting and mortality, as
346 well as examine risk-factors by age.

347 Maternal, prenatal, and at-birth characteristics were the strongest predictors of growth faltering
348 across regions in LMICs. Our results underscore prenatal exposures as key determinants of child growth
349 faltering.⁴² Limited impact of exclusive or predominant breastfeeding through 6 months (+0.01 LAZ)
350 aligns with a meta-analysis of breastfeeding promotion,²⁷ but our finding of limited impact of reducing
351 diarrhea through 24 months (+0.05 LAZ) contrasts with some observational studies.^{43,44} Many predictors,
352 like child sex, birth order, or season, are not modifiable but could guide interventions that mitigate their
353 effects, such as seasonally targeted supplementation or enhanced monitoring among boys. Strong
354 associations between maternal anthropometry and early growth faltering highlight the role of
355 intergenerational transfer of growth deficits between mothers and their children.³² Shifting several key
356 population exposures (maternal height or BMI, education, birth length) to their observed low-risk level
357 would improve LAZ by up to 0.40 z and WLZ by up to 0.15 z in target populations and could be expected
358 to prevent 8% to 32% of incident stunting and wasting (Figs 2,3 Extended Data Figs 6,7). Maternal
359 anthropometric status strongly influenced birth size, but the parallel drop in postnatal Z-scores among
360 children born to different maternal phenotypes was much larger than differences at birth, indicating
361 that growth trajectories were not fully “programmed” at birth (Fig 4a-b). This accords with the transition
362 from a placental to oral nutrient supply at birth.

363 The analyses have caveats. Population intervention effects were based on exposure distributions in
364 the 33 cohorts, which were not necessarily representative of the general population in each setting. Use
365 of external exposure distributions from population-based surveys would be difficult because many key
366 exposures we considered, such as at-birth characteristics or longitudinal diarrhea prevalence, are not
367 measured in such surveys. In some cases, detailed exposure measurements like longitudinal
368 breastfeeding or diarrhea history were coarsened to simpler measures to harmonize definitions across
369 cohorts, potentially attenuating their association with growth faltering. Other key exposures such as
370 dietary diversity, nutrient consumption, micronutrient status, maternal and child morbidity indicators,
371 pathogen-specific infections, and sub-clinical inflammation and intestinal dysfunction were measured in
372 only a few cohorts, so were not included.^{45,46} The absence of these exposures in the analysis, some of
373 which have been found to be important within individual contributed cohorts,^{46,47} means that our
374 results emphasize exposures that were more commonly collected, but likely exclude some additional
375 causes of growth faltering. A final caveat is that we studied consequences through age 24 months — the
376 primary age range of contributed *ki* cohort studies — and thus have not considered effects on longer-
377 term outcomes. Several studies have suggested that puberty could be another potential window for
378 intervention to enhance catch-up growth.⁴⁸ Improving girls’ stature at any point through puberty could
379 help blunt the intergenerational transfer of growth faltering by improving maternal height,⁴⁹ which in
380 turn could improve outcomes among their children (Figs 2, 3, 4a, 4b).

381 Countries that have reduced stunting most have undergone improvements in maternal education,
382 nutrition, reductions in number of pregnancies, and maternal and newborn health care,⁵⁰ reinforcing the
383 importance of interventions during the window from conception to one year, when fetal and infant
384 growth velocity is high and energy expenditure for growth and development is about 50% above adult

385 values (adjusted for fat-free mass).⁵¹ A stronger focus on prenatal interventions should not distract from
386 renewed efforts for postnatal prevention. The observed pre- and postnatal growth faltering we
387 observed reinforce the need for sustained support of mothers and children throughout the first 1000
388 days. Efficacy trials that delivered prenatal nutrition supplements to pregnant mothers,^{52–54} therapeutics
389 to reduce infection and inflammation for pregnant mothers,^{55–59} and nutritional supplements to children
390 6–24 months^{11,60} have reduced child growth faltering but have fallen short of completely preventing it.
391 Our results suggest that the next generation of preventive interventions should focus on the early
392 period of a child’s first 1000 days — from preconception through the first months of life — because
393 maternal status and at-birth characteristics are key determinants of growth faltering through 24
394 months. Halting the cycle of growth faltering early should reduce the risk of its severe consequences,
395 including mortality, during this formative window of child development. Long-term investments and
396 patience may be required, as it will take decades to eliminate the intergenerational factors limiting
397 mothers’ size.

398


399 **References**

- 400 1. McDonald, C. M. *et al.* The effect of multiple anthropometric deficits on child mortality: meta-analysis
401 of individual data in 10 prospective studies from developing countries. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **97**, 896–901
402 (2013).
- 403 2. Forouzanfar, M. H. *et al.* Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79
404 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a
405 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* **388**, 1659–1724
406 (2016).
- 407 3. WHO | Joint child malnutrition estimates - Levels and trends (2019 edition). *WHO*
408 <http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/estimates2018/en/>.
- 409 4. Sudfeld, C. R. *et al.* Malnutrition and Its Determinants Are Associated with Suboptimal Cognitive,
410 Communication, and Motor Development in Tanzanian Children. *J. Nutr.* **145**, 2705–2714 (2015).
- 411 5. Black, R. E. *et al.* Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income
412 countries. *Lancet* **382**, 427–451 (2013).
- 413 6. Grantham-McGregor, S. *et al.* Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing
414 countries. *The Lancet* **369**, 60–70 (2007).
- 415 7. McGovern, M. E., Krishna, A., Aguayo, V. M. & Subramanian, S. V. A review of the evidence linking
416 child stunting to economic outcomes. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **46**, 1171–1191 (2017).
- 417 8. Bhutta, Z. A. *et al.* What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival.
418 *Lancet* **371**, 417–440 (2008).
- 419 9. Panjwani, A. & Heidkamp, R. Complementary Feeding Interventions Have a Small but Significant
420 Impact on Linear and Ponderal Growth of Children in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A
421 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J. Nutr.* **147**, 2169S–2178S (2017).
- 422 10. Bhutta, Z. A. *et al.* Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition:
423 what can be done and at what cost? *The Lancet* **382**, 452–477 (2013).
- 424 11. Dewey, K. G. *et al.* Preventive small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements reduce severe wasting
425 and severe stunting among young children: an individual participant data meta-analysis of
426 randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* nqac232 (2022) doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqac232.
- 427 12. Dewey, K. G. *et al.* Characteristics that modify the effect of small-quantity lipid-based nutrient
428 supplementation on child growth: an individual participant data meta-analysis of randomized
429 controlled trials. *medRxiv* 2021.02.05.21251105 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.02.05.21251105.
- 430 13. Stewart, C. P., Iannotti, L., Dewey, K. G., Michaelsen, K. F. & Onyango, A. W. Contextualising
431 complementary feeding in a broader framework for stunting prevention. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **9**, 27–45

- 432 (2013).
- 433 14. Null, C. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on
434 diarrhoea and child growth in rural Kenya: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob. Health*
435 **6**, e316–e329 (2018).
- 436 15. Luby, S. P. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on
437 diarrhoea and child growth in rural Bangladesh: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob.*
438 *Health* **6**, e302–e315 (2018).
- 439 16. Humphrey, J. H. *et al.* Independent and combined effects of improved water, sanitation, and hygiene,
440 and improved complementary feeding, on child stunting and anaemia in rural Zimbabwe: a cluster-
441 randomised trial. *Lancet Glob. Health* **7**, e132–e147 (2019).
- 442 17. Young, H. & Marshak, A. A discussion paper on the scope of the problem, its drivers, and strategies
443 for moving forward for policy, practice, and research. 55.
- 444 18. Coyle, J. *et al.* (submitted). Targeting Learning: Robust Statistics for Reproducible Research. (2020).
- 445 19. Keats, E. C. *et al.* Effective interventions to address maternal and child malnutrition: an update of the
446 evidence. *Lancet Child Adolesc. Health* **5**, 367–384 (2021).
- 447 20. Benjamin-Chung, J. *et al.* (submitted). Early childhood linear growth faltering in low-and middle-
448 income countries. (2020).
- 449 21. Mertens, A. *et al.* (submitted). Child wasting and concurrent stunting in low- and middle-income
450 countries. (2020).
- 451 22. Peppard, T. *et al.* (submitted). Combined longitudinal growth cohorts from infants born in South Asia,
452 Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America. (2020).
- 453 23. Westreich, D. From Patients to Policy: Population Intervention Effects in Epidemiology. *Epidemiol.*
454 *Camb. Mass* **28**, 525–528 (2017).
- 455 24. Black RE *et al.* Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income
456 countries. *Lancet* **382**, 427–451 (2013).
- 457 25. Gruber, S. & Laan, M. van der. Targeted Maximum Likelihood Estimation: A Gentle Introduction. *UC*
458 *Berkeley Div. Biostat. Work. Pap. Ser.* (2009).
- 459 26. Raudenbush, S. W. Analyzing Effect Sizes: Random-Effects Models. in *The Handbook of Research*
460 *Synthesis and Meta-Analysis* 295–315 (Russell Sage Foundation, 2009).
- 461 27. Giugliani, E. R. J., Horta, B. L., Mola, C. L. de, Lisboa, B. O. & Victora, C. G. Effect of breastfeeding
462 promotion interventions on child growth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatr.* **104**,
463 20–29 (2015).
- 464 28. Thurstans, S. *et al.* Understanding Sex Differences in Childhood Undernutrition: A Narrative Review.
465 *Nutrients* **14**, 948 (2022).
- 466 29. Haddad, L., Alderman, H., Appleton, S., Song, L. & Yohannes, Y. Reducing Child Malnutrition: How
467 Far Does Income Growth Take Us? *World Bank Econ. Rev.* **17**, 107–131 (2003).
- 468 30. Young, M. F. *et al.* Role of maternal preconception nutrition on offspring growth and risk of stunting
469 across the first 1000 days in Vietnam: A prospective cohort study. *PloS One* **13**, e0203201 (2018).
- 470 31. Addo, O. Y. *et al.* Maternal Height and Child Growth Patterns. *J. Pediatr.* **163**, 549-554.e1 (2013).
- 471 32. Martorell, R. & Zongrone, A. Intergenerational Influences on Child Growth and Undernutrition.
472 *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* **26**, 302–314 (2012).
- 473 33. Bzikowska-Jura, A. *et al.* Maternal Nutrition and Body Composition During Breastfeeding: Association
474 with Human Milk Composition. *Nutrients* **10**, (2018).
- 475 34. Gluckman, P. D. & Hanson, M. A. Maternal constraint of fetal growth and its consequences. *Semin.*
476 *Fetal. Neonatal Med.* **9**, 419–425 (2004).
- 477 35. Hafner, E., Schuchter, K., Metzenbauer, M. & Philipp, K. Uterine artery Doppler perfusion in the first
478 and second pregnancies. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. Off. J. Int. Soc. Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.*
479 **16**, 625–629 (2000).
- 480 36. Ong, K. K. L. *et al.* Size at birth and early childhood growth in relation to maternal smoking, parity and
481 infant breast-feeding: longitudinal birth cohort study and analysis. *Pediatr. Res.* **52**, 863–867 (2002).

- 482 37. Garg, A. & Morduch, J. Sibling rivalry and the gender gap: Evidence from child health outcomes in
483 Ghana. *J. Popul. Econ.* **11**, 471–493 (1998).
- 484 38. Myatt, M. *et al.* Children who are both wasted and stunted are also underweight and have a high risk
485 of death: a descriptive epidemiology of multiple anthropometric deficits using data from 51 countries.
486 *Arch. Public Health* **76**, (2018).
- 487 39. Richard, S. A. *et al.* Wasting Is Associated with Stunting in Early Childhood¹²³. *J. Nutr.* **142**, 1291–
488 1296 (2012).
- 489 40. Stobaugh, H. C. *et al.* Children with Poor Linear Growth Are at Risk for Repeated Relapse to Wasting
490 after Recovery from Moderate Acute Malnutrition. *J. Nutr.* **148**, 974–979 (2018).
- 491 41. Perumal, N., Bassani, D. G. & Roth, D. E. Use and Misuse of Stunting as a Measure of Child Health.
492 *J. Nutr.* **148**, 311–315 (2018).
- 493 42. Christian, P. *et al.* Risk of childhood undernutrition related to small-for-gestational age and preterm
494 birth in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Epidemiol* **42**, 1340–1355 (2013).
- 495 43. Schlaudecker, E. P., Steinhoff, M. C. & Moore, S. R. Interactions of diarrhea, pneumonia, and
496 malnutrition in childhood: recent evidence from developing countries. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **24**, 496–
497 502 (2011).
- 498 44. Checkley, W. *et al.* Multi-country analysis of the effects of diarrhoea on childhood stunting. *Int. J.*
499 *Epidemiol.* **37**, 816–830 (2008).
- 500 45. Black, R. E. *et al.* Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health
501 consequences. *Lancet* **371**, 243–260 (2008).
- 502 46. Kosek, M. *et al.* Fecal markers of intestinal inflammation and permeability associated with the
503 subsequent acquisition of linear growth deficits in infants. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **88**, 390–396
504 (2013).
- 505 47. Investigators, M.-E. N. Relationship between growth and illness, enteropathogens and dietary intakes
506 in the first 2 years of life: findings from the MAL-ED birth cohort study. *BMJ Glob. Health* **2**, (2017).
- 507 48. Prentice, A. M. *et al.* Critical windows for nutritional interventions against stunting¹²³. *Am. J. Clin.*
508 *Nutr.* **97**, 911–918 (2013).
- 509 49. Georgiadis, A. *et al.* Growth recovery and faltering through early adolescence in low- and middle-
510 income countries: Determinants and implications for cognitive development. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **179**, 81–
511 90 (2017).
- 512 50. Bhutta, Z. A. *et al.* How countries can reduce child stunting at scale: lessons from exemplar
513 countries. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **112**, 894S-904S (2020).
- 514 51. Pontzer, H. *et al.* Daily energy expenditure through the human life course. *Science* **373**, 808–812
515 (2021).
- 516 52. Lassi, Z. S. *et al.* Effects of nutritional interventions during pregnancy on birth, child health and
517 development outcomes: A systematic review of evidence from low- and middle-income countries.
518 *Campbell Syst. Rev.* **17**, e1150 (2021).
- 519 53. Hambidge, K. M. *et al.* A multicountry randomized controlled trial of comprehensive maternal nutrition
520 supplementation initiated before conception: the Women First trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **109**, 457–469
521 (2019).
- 522 54. Callaghan-Gillespie, M. *et al.* Trial of ready-to-use supplemental food and corn-soy blend in pregnant
523 Malawian women with moderate malnutrition: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*
524 **106**, 1062–1069 (2017).
- 525 55. *WHO Recommendations on Antenatal Care for a Positive Pregnancy Experience.* (World Health
526 Organization, 2016).
- 527 56. ter Kuile, F. O., van Eijk, A. M. & Filler, S. J. Effect of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance on the
528 efficacy of intermittent preventive therapy for malaria control during pregnancy: a systematic review.
529 *JAMA* **297**, 2603–2616 (2007).
- 530 57. Kayentao, K. *et al.* Intermittent preventive therapy for malaria during pregnancy using 2 vs 3 or more
531 doses of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and risk of low birth weight in Africa: systematic review and
532 meta-analysis. *JAMA* **309**, 594–604 (2013).

- 533 58. Hendrixson, D. T. *et al.* A novel intervention combining supplementary food and infection control
534 measures to improve birth outcomes in undernourished pregnant women in Sierra Leone: A
535 randomized, controlled clinical effectiveness trial. *PLOS Med.* **18**, e1003618 (2021).
- 536 59. Hallamaa, L. *et al.* Child Health Outcomes After Presumptive Infection Treatment in Pregnant
537 Women: A Randomized Trial. *Pediatrics* **141**, (2018).
- 538 60. Dewey, K. G. *et al.* Characteristics that modify the effect of small-quantity lipid-based nutrient
539 supplementation on child growth: an individual participant data meta-analysis of randomized
540 controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **114**, 15S-42S (2021).
- 541
- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545




546
 547 **Figure 1. Cohort sample sizes and exposures measured.** (a) Total number of
 548 children with each measured exposure, sorted from left to right by number of cohorts
 549 measuring the exposure. (b) Presence of 30 exposure variables in the *ki* data by within
 550 each included cohort. Cohorts are sorted by geographic region and sample size. (c)
 551 Number child anthropometry observations contributed by each cohort.


At-birth child characteristics




Postnatal child characteristics



Parental Characteristics



Household & Environmental Characteristics




553 **Figure 2. Population intervention effects and mean differences of child, parental,**
554 **and household exposures on length-for-age z-scores at age 24 months.**


555 Lighter points show adjusted mean differences (average treatment effects, ATEs) between the labeled
556 higher-risk levels of exposures and reference levels, and black points show population intervention effects
557 (PIEs), the estimated difference in length-for-age z-scores (LAZ) after shifting exposure levels for all
558 children to the reference level. The number of children that contributed to each analysis is listed by
559 exposure. The colored Y-axis label is either the level of exposure contrasted against the reference level to
560 estimate the ATE, or the percentage of the population shifted to the lowest-risk level to estimate the PIE.
561 Cohort-specific estimates were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble machine learning
562 and TMLE, and then pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only for exposures
563 measured in at least 4 cohorts.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).


At-birth child characteristics




Postnatal child characteristics



Parental Characteristics




Household & Environmental Characteristics



565 **Figure 3. Population intervention effects and mean differences of child, parental,**
566 **and household exposures on weight-for-length z-scores at age 24 months.**

567 Lighter points show adjusted mean differences (average treatment effects, ATEs), between higher-risk
568 exposure levels and reference levels, and black points show population intervention effects (PIEs), the
569 estimated difference in weight-for-length z-scores (WLZ) after shifting exposure levels for all children to
570 the reference level. The number of children that contributed to each analysis is listed by exposure. The
571 colored Y-axis label is either the level of exposure contrasted against the reference level to estimate the
572 ATE, or the percentage of the population shifted to the lowest-risk level to estimate the PIE. Cohort-
573 specific estimates were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble machine learning and
574 TMLE, and then pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only for exposures
575 measured in at least 4 cohorts.




576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587

Figure 4. Effect of key exposures on the trajectories, timing, and severity of child growth faltering

(a) Child length-for-age Z-score (LAZ) and weight-for-length Z-score (WLZ) trajectories, stratified by categories of maternal height (N=413,921 measurements, 65,061 children, 20 studies). (b) Child LAZ and WLZ, stratified by categories of maternal BMI (N=373,382 measurements, 61,933 children, 17 studies). Growth trajectories stratified by all risk factors examined beyond maternal height and BMI are available online (<https://child-growth.github.io/causes/rf-splines.html>). (c) Associations between key exposures and wasting cumulative incidence, stratified by the age of the child during wasting incidence. Gray points indicate cohort-specific estimates. (d) Associations between key exposures and growth faltering of different severity. Cumulative incidence ratios contrast the highest and lowest risk categories of each exposure, which are printed in each panel title. Gray points indicate cohort-specific estimates.


It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604

Figure 5. Early life growth faltering increases risk of more severe growth faltering and mortality.

(a) Adjusted differences in linear growth velocity (in centimeters) across 3-month age bands, by quartile of weight-for-length z-score (WLZ) in the preceding three months. The reference group is children in the first quartile of WLZ in the previous age period. The panel with black points on the far right shows the pooled estimates, unstratified by child age. Velocity was calculated from the closest measurements within 14 days of the start and end of the age period. (b) Relative risk of stunting onset between ages 6-24 months among children who experienced measures of early wasting before age 6 months compared to children who did not. Gray points indicate cohort-specific estimates. (c) Association between cumulative incidence of mutually exclusive definitions of growth faltering before age 6 months (reference: children with no measure of growth failure) and persistent wasting from ages 6-24 months (33 cohorts, 6,046 cases, and 68,645 children) or concurrent wasting and stunting at 18 months. (31 cohorts, 1,447 cases, and 22,565 children). Growth faltering definitions are sorted by estimates in panel d. (d) Hazard ratios between mutually exclusive definitions of growth faltering (reference: children with no measure of growth failure) and mortality before 24 months (8 cohorts, 1,689 deaths with ages of death, and 63,812 children). Gray points indicate cohort-specific estimates.




605
606

607 **Extended Data Figure 1. Example forest plot of cohort-specific and pooled**
608 **parameter estimates**

609 Cohort-specific estimates of the cumulative incidence ratio of stunting are plotted on each row, comparing
610 the risk of any stunting from birth to 24 months among boys compared to a reference level of girls. Below
611 the solid horizontal line are region-specific pooled measures of association, pooled using random-effects
612 models. Below the dashed line are overall pooled measures of association, comparing pooling using
613 random or fixed effects models. The primary results reported throughout the manuscript are overall (not
614 region stratified) estimates pooled using random effects models.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



615
616
617
618
619
620


Extended Data Figure 2. Heatmap of significance and direction across exposure-outcome combinations.

The heatmap shows the significance and direction of estimates through the cell colors, separated across primary outcomes by child age. Red and orange cells are exposures where the outcome is estimated have an increased probability of occurring compared to the reference level (harmful exposures except for

621 recovery outcomes), while blue and green cells are exposures associated with a decreased probability of
622 the outcome (protective exposures except for recovery outcomes). The outcomes are labeled at the top of
623 the columns, with each set of three columns the set of three ages analyzed for that outcome. Each row is
624 a level of an exposure variable, with reference levels excluded. Rows are sorted top to bottom by
625 increasing average p-value. Grey cells denote comparisons that were not estimated or could not be
626 estimated because of data sparsity in the exposure-outcome combination. All point estimates and
627 confidence intervals for exposure-outcome pairs with P-values plotted in this figure are viewable online at
628 (<https://child-growth.github.io/causes>).

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Population intervention effect - LAZ, stratified by age




630 **Extended Data Figure 3. Age-stratified population intervention effects in length-**
631 **for-age Z-scores.**

632 Exposures, rank ordered by population intervention effect on child LAZ, stratified by the
633 age of the child at the time of anthropometry measurement. The population intervention
634 effect is the expected difference in mean Z-score if all children had the reference level
635 of the exposure rather than the observed exposure distribution. Reference levels are
636 printed in the exposure label. Cohort-specific estimates were adjusted for all measured
637 confounders using ensemble machine learning and TMLE, and then pooled using
638 random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only for exposures measured in at least
639 4 cohorts.

640


It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Population intervention effect - WLZ, stratified by age



642 **Extended Data Figure 4. Age-stratified population intervention effects in weight-**
643 **for-length Z-scores.**

644 Exposures, rank ordered by population intervention effects on child WLZ,, stratified by
645 the age of the child at the time of anthropometry measurement. The population
646 intervention effect is the expected difference in population mean Z-score if all children
647 had the reference level of the exposure rather than the observed distribution. For all
648 plots, reference levels are printed next to the name of the exposure. Cohort-specific
649 estimates were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble machine
650 learning and TMLE, and then pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are
651 shown only for exposures measured in at least 4 cohorts.



652

653

654

Extended Data Figure 5. Mediation of parental anthropometry effects by birth size on child Z-scores at 24 months.

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662


663

664


Mediating effect of adjusting for birth anthropometry and at-birth characteristics on the estimated Z-score differences between levels of parental anthropometry. Primary estimates were adjusted for all other measured exposures not on the causal pathway, while the mediation analysis estimates were additionally adjusted for birthweight, birth length, gestational age at birth, birth order, small-for-gestational age status, and home vs. hospital delivery. Only estimates from cohorts measuring at least 3 of the 6 at-birth characteristics were used to estimate the pooled Z-score differences (n = 6 cohorts, 17,124 observations). Mediation estimates were slightly attenuated toward the null, and only in the case of maternal height and child WLZ were they statistically different from the primary analysis. These results imply that the causal pathway between parental anthropometry and growth faltering operates through its effect on birth size, but most of the effect is through other pathways.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).


At-birth child characteristics




Postnatal child characteristics



Parental Characteristics



Household & Environmental Characteristics




666 **Extended Data Figure 6. Rank-ordered associations between child, parental, and**
667 **household characteristics and adjusted relative risks or population attributable**
668 **fractions of stunting by age 24 months.**


669 Blue points in the left panel show adjusted cumulative incidence ratios (CIRs) between higher-risk
670 exposure levels and reference levels, and black points in the right panel show population attributable
671 fractions (PAFs), the estimated proportion of the risk in the whole population that would be removed if the
672 exposure were set to its indicated reference level. The number of children that contributed to each
673 analysis is listed by exposure. The colored Y-axis label is either the level of exposure contrasted against
674 the reference level to estimate the CIR, or the percent of the population shifted to the lowest-risk level to
675 estimate the PAF. For at-birth exposures, at-birth stunting and wasting were excluded to focus on
676 incidence of new (postnatal) cases, and for postnatal exposures (breastfeeding practice and diarrheal
677 disease), the cumulative incidence of stunting from 6-24 months was used. Cohort-specific estimates
678 were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble machine learning and TMLE, and then
679 pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only for exposures measured in at least 4
680 studies.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).


At-birth child characteristics




Postnatal child characteristics



Parental Characteristics



Household & Environmental Characteristics




682 **Extended Data Figure 7. Rank-ordered associations between child, parental, and**
683 **household characteristics and adjusted relative risks or population attributable**
684 **fractions of wasting by age 24 months.**

685 Blue points in the left panel show adjusted cumulative incidence ratios (CIRs) between higher-risk
686 exposure levels and reference levels, and black points in the right panel show population attributable
687 fractions (PAFs), the estimated proportion of the risk in the whole population that would be removed if the
688 exposure were set to its indicated reference level. The number of children that contributed to each
689 analysis is listed by exposure. The colored Y-axis label is either the level of exposure contrasted against
690 the reference level to estimate the CIR, or the percent of the population shifted to the lowest-risk level to
691 estimate the PAF. For at-birth exposures, at-birth stunting and wasting were excluded, and for postnatal
692 exposures (breastfeeding practice and diarrheal disease), the cumulative incidence of wasting from 6-24
693 months was used. Cohort-specific estimates were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble
694 machine learning and TMLE, and then pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only
695 for exposures measured in at least 4 studies. The PAF for diarrhea under 6 months was not calculable or
696 plotted due to the unexpected CIR <1 for estimated higher diarrheal disease burden.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Population intervention effect - LAZ, stratified by region




698 **Extended Data Figure 8. Regionally-stratified population intervention effects for**
699 **length-for-age Z-scores at age 24 months.**

700 Exposures, rank ordered by population intervention effect on child length-for-age z-score (LAZ) at age 24
701 months, stratified by region. The population intervention effect is the expected difference in population
702 mean Z-score if all children had the reference level of the exposure rather than the observed distribution.
703 For all plots, reference levels are printed next to the name of the exposure. Cohort-specific estimates
704 were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble machine learning and TMLE, and then
705 pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only for exposures measured in at least 4
706 cohorts.


It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Population intervention effect - WLZ, stratified by region



708 **Extended Data Figure 9. Regionally-stratified population intervention effects for**
709 **weight-for-length Z-scores at age 24 months.**

710 Exposures, rank ordered by population attributable difference on child weight-for-length z-score (WLZ) at
711 age 24 months, stratified by region. The population intervention effect is the expected difference in
712 population mean Z-score if all children had the reference level of the exposure rather than the observed
713 distribution. For all plots, reference levels are printed next to the name of the exposure. Cohort-specific
714 estimates were adjusted for all measured confounders using ensemble machine learning and TMLE, and
715 then pooled using random effects (Methods). Estimates are shown only for exposures measured in at
716 least 4 cohorts.



717

718

Extended Data Figure 10. Child growth trajectories stratified by birth order

719

(a) Child weight-for-length Z-score (WLZ) trajectories, stratified by categories of child birth order.

720

(b) Child length-for-age Z-score (LAZ) trajectories, stratified by categories of child birth order. Details

721

on the estimation of growth trajectories are in the Methods. Child growth trajectories stratified by


722

categories of all risk factors are available online ([https://child-growth.github.io/causes/rf-](https://child-growth.github.io/causes/rf-splines.html)


723

[splines.html](https://child-growth.github.io/causes/rf-splines.html)).

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).




724
 725 **Extended Data Figure 11 Comparing fixed-reference and optimal intervention**
 726 **estimates of the population intervention effect.**
 727 Pooled population intervention effects on child LAZ and WHZ at 24 months, with the X-axis showing
 728 attributable differences using a fixed, and the Y-axis showing the optimal intervention attributable
 729 difference, where the level the exposure is shifted to can vary by child. Points are labeled with the specific
 730 risk factor. Estimates farther from the diagonal line have larger differences between the static and optimal
 731 intervention estimates. The optimal intervention attributable differences, which are not estimated with an
 732 a-priori specified low-risk reference level, were generally close to the static attributable differences,
 733 indicating that the chosen reference levels were the lowest risk strata in most or all children.



734

735 **Extended Data Figure 12 | Difference between adjusted and unadjusted Z-score**
736 **effects by number of selected adjustment variables.**

737 Points mark the difference in estimates unadjusted and adjusted estimates of the difference in average Z-
738 scores between exposed and unexposed children across 33 cohorts, 30 exposures and length-for-age
739 and weight-for-length Z-score outcomes included in the analysis. Different cohorts measured different
740 sets of exposures, and a different number of adjustment covariates were chosen for each cohort-specific
741 estimate based on outcome sparsity, so cohort-specific estimates adjust for different covariates and
742 numbers of covariates. The plot shows no systematic bias between unadjusted and adjusted estimates
743 based on number of covariates chosen. The blue line shows the average difference between adjusted
744 estimates from unadjusted estimates, fitted using a cubic spline.



745

746 **Extended Data Figure 13 | Assessing sensitivity of estimates to unmeasured**
747 **confounding using E-values**

748 An E-value is the minimum strength of association in terms of relative risk that an unmeasured
749 confounder would need to have with both the exposure and the outcome to explain away an estimated
750 exposure–outcome association.¹ Orange points mark the E-values for the pooled estimates of relative risk
751 for each exposure. Grey points are cohort-specific E-values for each exposure–outcome relationship.
752 Non-significant pooled estimates have points plotted at 1.0. Orange points are median E-values among
753 statistically significant estimates for each exposure. As an example, an unmeasured confounder would on
754 average need to almost double the risk of both the exposure and the outcome to explain away observed
755 significant associations for the birth length exposure.

756

757

758 **Extended data table 1**

Region, Study ID	Country	Study Years	Design	Children Enrolled*	Anthropometry measurement ages (months)	Total measurements*	Primary References
South Asia							
Biomarkers for EE	Pakistan	2013-2015	Prospective cohort	380	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 18	8918	Iqbal et al 2018 Nature Scientific Reports ²
Resp. Pathogens	Pakistan	2011 - 2014	Prospective cohort	284	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 17	3177	Ali et al 2016 Journal of Medical Virology ³
Growth Monitoring Study	Nepal	2012 - Ongoing	Prospective cohort	698	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	13487	Not yet published
MAL-ED	Nepal	2010 - 2014	Prospective cohort	240	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	5936	Shrestha et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ⁴
CMC Birth Cohort, Vellore	India	2002 - 2006	Prospective cohort	373	Birth, 0.5, 1, 1.5, ..., 24	9131	Gladstone et al. 2011 NEJM ⁵
MAL-ED	India	2010 - 2012	Prospective cohort	251	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	5947	John et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ⁶
Vellore Crypto Study	India	2008 - 2011	Prospective cohort	410	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	9825	Kattula et al. 2014 BMJ Open ⁷
CMIN	Bangladesh	1993 - 1996	Prospective Cohort	280	Birth, 3, 6, ..., 24	5399	Pathela et al 2007 Acta Paediatrica ⁸
TDC	India	2008-2011	Quasi-experimental	160	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	3723	Sarkar et al. 2013 BMC Public Health
MAL-ED	Bangladesh	2010 - 2014	Prospective cohort	265	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	5816	Ahmed et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ⁹
PROVIDE RCT	Bangladesh	2011 - 2014	Individual RCT	700	Birth, 6, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 24, 39, 40, 52, 53 (weeks)	12165	Colgate et al 2016 Clin Infect Dis ¹⁰
Food Suppl RCT	India	1995 - 1996	Individual RCT	418	Baseline, 6, 9, 12	2242	Bhandari et al 2001 J Nutri ¹¹
Optimal Infant Feeding	India	1999 - 2001	Cluster RCT	1535	Birth, 3, 6, ..., 18	9539	Bhandari et al 2004 J Nutri ¹²
NIH Birth Cohort	Bangladesh	2008 - 2009	Prospective Cohort	629	Birth, 3, 6, ..., 12	6216	Korpe et al. 2016 PLOS NTD ¹³
JiVitA-4 Trial	Bangladesh	2012 - 2014	Cluster RCT	5444	6, 9, 12, 14, 18	36167	Christian et al 2015 IJE ¹⁴
JiVitA-3 Trial	Bangladesh	2008 - 2012	Cluster RCT	27342	Birth, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24	109535	West et al JAMA 2014 ¹⁵
NIH Cryptosporidium Study	Bangladesh	2014 - 2017	Prospective cohort	758	Birth, 3, 6, ..., 24	9774	Steiner et al 2018 Clin Infect Dis ¹⁶
Africa							
MAL-ED	Tanzania	2009 - 2014	Prospective cohort	262	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	5857	Mduma et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ¹⁷
Tanzania Child 2	Tanzania	2007 - 2011	Individual RCT	2400	1, 2, ..., 20	32198	Locks et al Am J Clin Nutr 2016 ¹⁸
MAL-ED	South Africa	2009 - 2014	Prospective cohort	314	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	6478	Bessong et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ¹⁹
MRC Keneba	Gambia	1987 - 1997	Cohort	2931	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	40952	Schoenbuchner et al. 2019, AJCN ²⁰

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

ZVITAMBO Trial	Zimbabwe	1997 - 2001	Individual RCT	14104	Birth, 6 wks, 3, 6, 9, 12	73651	Malaba et al 2005 Am J Clin Nutr ²¹
Lungwena Child Nutrition RCT	Malawi	2011 - 2014	Individual RCT	840	Birth, 1-6 wk, 6, 12 18	4346	Mangani et al. 2015, Mat Child Nutr ²²
iLiNS-Zinc Study	Burkina Faso	2010 - 2012	Cluster RCT	3266	9, 12, 15, 18	10552	Hess et al 2015 Plos One ²³
CMIN GB94	Guinea Bissau	1994 - 1997	Prospective Cohort	870	Enrollment and every 3 months after	6459	Valentiner-Branth 2001 Am J Clin Nutr
Latin America							
MAL-ED	Peru	2009 - 2014	Prospective cohort	303	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	6442	Yori et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ²⁴
CONTENT	Peru	2007 - 2011	Prospective cohort	215	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	8339	Jaganath et al 2014 Helicobacter ²⁵
Bovine Serum RCT	Guatemala	1997 - 1998	Individual RCT	315	Baseline, 1, 2, ..., 8	2551	Begin et al. 2008, EJCNC ²⁶
MAL-ED	Brazil	2010 - 2014	Prospective cohort	233	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	5092	Lima et al 2014 Clin Infect Dis ²⁷
CMIN Brazil89	Brazil	1989-2000	Prospective Cohort	119	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	889	Moore et al. 2001 Int J Epidemiol.
CMIN Peru95	Peru	1995 - 1998	Prospective Cohort	224	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	3979	Checkley et al. 2003 Am J Epidemiol.
CMIN Peru89	Peru	1989 - 1991	Prospective Cohort	210	Birth, 1, 2, ..., 24	2742	Checkley et al. 1998 Am J Epidemiol.
Europe							
PROBIT Study	Belarus	1996 - 1997	Cluster RCT	16898	1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12	124509	Kramer et al 2001 JAMA ²⁸
Mortality analysis only							
Burkina Faso Zinc trial	Burkina Faso	2010-2011	Cluster RCT	7167	6, 10, 14, 17, 22	15155	Becquey et al 2016 J Nutr ²⁹
Vitamin A Trial	India	1995-1996	Cluster RCT	3983	1, 3, 6, 9, 12	32570	WHO CHD Vitamin A Group 1998 Lancet ³⁰
iLiNS-DOSE	Malawi	2009-2011	Individual RCT	1932	6, 9, 12, 18	13801	Maleta et al. 2015 J Nutr ²²
iLiNS-DYAD-M	Malawi	2011-2015	Individual RCT	1235	1, 6, 12, 18	9207	Ashorn et al 2015 J. Nutr ²²
*Children enrolled is for children with measurements under 2 years of age. Total measurements are number of measurements of anthropometry on children under 2 years of age.							

759 **Extended data table 2**

760 All exposures included in the analysis, as well as the categories the exposures were classified into across all cohorts, categorization rules, the
 761 total number of children, the percentage of children in each category, select evidence from prior literature, and comparisons to our results. We
 762 selected the exposures of interest based on variables present in multiple cohorts that met our inclusion criteria, were found to be important
 763 determinants of stunting and wasting in prior literature, and could be harmonized across cohorts for pooled analyses. Where possible, we cite
 764 findings from recent randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews. All referenced results from this manuscript are available here:
 765 <https://child-growth.github.io/causes/RR-forest.html>. *Bracketed codes at the end of each cell in the “Comparison to results in this analysis”
 766 indicate limitations to comparisons with previous evidence due to differences in: P=population, CA=child age, AV=adjustment variables used in the
 767 analysis, MOA=measure of association, SD=study design, EC=exposure classification.

768

Exposure variable	N children under 24 months with both measured exposure and length	Exposure levels [N (%)]	Categorization rules	Previous published evidence	Comparison to results in this analysis
Sex	78751	Female: 38444 (48.8%) Male: 40307 (51.2%)		In a meta-analysis of cohorts and surveys, boys had higher odds of being wasted and stunted than girls (pooled wasting OR 1.2, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.40, pooled stunting OR 1.29 95% CI 1.22 to 1.37). There was some evidence that the sex difference is smaller in South Asia. ³¹	Supports our finding of increased risk of stunting (prevalence ratio (PR) of 1.15 [95% CI: 1.06, 1.26] at 24 months for wasting, 1.26 [95% CI: 1.13, 1.39] at 24 months for stunting), and slightly smaller prevalence ratios in South Asia (stunting PR: 1.06 [95% CI: 1.02, 1.09, wasting PR: 1.22 [95% CI: 1.10, 1.35]). [Different P, CA]*
Birth weight (kg)	65041	Normal or high birth weight: 50940 (78.3%) Low birth weight: 14101 (21.7%)		A meta-analysis of 19 birth cohorts found a stunting PR of 2.92 (95% CI: 2.56, 3.33) associated with low birth weight (LBW) in children 1-5 years old, and a wasting PR of 2.68 (95% CI: 2.23, 3.21). ³² A meta-analysis of sub-Saharan African DHS datasets found LBW was strongly associated with stunting (adjusted OR: 1.68 [95% CI: 1.58–1.78]) and wasting (aOR: 1.35 [95% CI: 1.20–1.38]) in children under 5. A systematic review of growth failure in sub-Saharan Africa consistently found LBW as a top risk factor for later wasting and stunting. ³³	Birthweight was also one of the strongest risk factors (PR of stunting at 24 months: 1.49 [95% CI: 1.37, 1.62], PR of wasting at 24 months: 1.87 [95% CI: 1.70, 2.06]), though with lower magnitude point estimates compared the cohort meta-analysis and more aligned with the DHS analysis of older children. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD]*
Birth length (cm)	61703	>=50 cm: 23313 (37.5%) [48-50] cm: 14136 (39.6%) <48 cm: 24426 (22.9%)		Birth length was the strongest predictor of stunting at in 2-year old children in the four country-specific cohorts included in the Women First trial (adjusted PR of 1.62 [95% CI: 1.39, 1.88] comparing children stunted at birth to children with a LAZ > -1 at birth). ³⁴	There was a very similar risk of low birth length. Children born with a length <48 cm (close to the stunting cutoff at birth) had 1.52 times the risk of stunting compared to children born with a length >50 cm (95% CI: 1.66, 2.58).

					Wasting risk was also increased (PR: 1.52 [95% CI: 1.21, 1.92]). [Different P, CA, AV]*
Gestational age at birth	45269	Full or late term: 23313 (51.5%) Preterm: 6328 (14%) Early term: 15628 (34.5%)	<260 days is preterm, [260-274] days is early term, >= 274 is full term	In a meta-analysis of 19 birth cohorts, infants born preterm had 1.69 times the odds (95% CI: 1.48, 1.93) of stunting and 1.55 times the odds (95% CI: 1.21, 1.97) of wasting from 1 to 5 years of age. ³²	The estimates are higher than in our study (PR of stunting at 24 months: 1.21 [95% CI: 1.13, 1.29], PR of wasting at 24 months: 1.13 [95% CI: 1.01, 1.26]), but support our finding of a significant increase in growth failure risk with preterm birth. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA]*
Small for gestational age	39934	Not small for gestational age 27161 (68%) Small for gestational age 12773 (32%)	Children were classified as small-for gestational age if they had birthweights below the 10th percentile based on INTERGROWTH gestational age adjusted weight-for-age Z-scores (< -1.282 WAZ). ³⁵	In a meta-analysis of 19 birth cohorts, infants born small for gestational age (SGA) had 2.32 times the odds (95% CI: 2.12, 2.54) of stunting from 1 to 5 years of age compared to children not SGA, and they had 2.36 times the odds (95% CI: 2.14, 2.60) of wasting. ³²	The estimates are higher than in our study (PR of stunting at 24 months: 1.33 [95% CI: 1.22, 1.46], PR of wasting at 24 months: 1.83 [95% CI: 1.51, 2.21]), but support our finding of a significant increase in growth failure risk with SGA [Different P, CA, AV, MOA]*
Birth order	46099	1: 17294 (37.5%) 2: 14107 (30.6%) 3+: 14698 (31.9%)		A systematic review found that later birth order was consistently associated with a higher risk of stunting and wasting in the 16% of studies that identified birth order as an important risk factor for malnutrition. ³⁶ In an analysis of 35 country-specific DHS analyses, birth order had an inconsistent relationship with stunting and wasting, with a decreased risk in second and third-born children compared to firstborn, but an increased risk in fourth-born or later. ³⁷ In the four country-specific cohorts included in the Women First trial, second-born or later children had an increased Z-score trajectory from birth to 24 months, but a lower LAZ and higher risk of stunting at 24 months (PR: 1.12 [95% CI: 1.02, 1.24]). ³⁴	Our results were somewhat incongruous with the previous research. Birth order had a complex association with child growth failure, with a decreased risk of wasting and stunting in thirdborn or later children before 6 months of age (compared to firstborn children), and an increased risk after 6 months (Figure 4c). Stunting risk was similarly increased at 24 months (PR: 1.11 [95% CI: 1.01, 1.22]), but Z-score trajectories were also lower, in contrast to the Women First trial. [Different P, AV]*
Delivery location	8487	0: 2793 (32.9%) 1: 5694 (67.1%)		In an urban matched case-control study of infants 0-3 months old in Nigeria, the adjusted odds ratio associated with home delivery was 2.33 for severe stunting (95% CI: 1.50–3.60) and 2.90 for severe wasting (95% CI: 1.32–6.37) compared to delivery in public hospitals. ³⁸ In a cohort in Malawi, home delivery was associated with 1.7 times the odds of severe stunting at 1 year of age after confounder adjustment (95% CI: 1.1 to 2.7). ³⁹	Home delivery had a significant but smaller association with any wasting (PR: 1.34 [95% CI: 1.03, 1.74]) or stunting (PR: 1.14 [95% CI: 1.06, 1.23]) at 6 months, but a null association with severe stunting. Home delivery was still associated with stunting (PR: 1.14 [95% CI: 1.04, 1.24]) but not wasting or severe stunting at 24 months. Severe wasting was too rare among the cohorts that measured home delivery to estimate the association at either age. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Maternal height	60742	>=150 cm: 44831 (73.8%) <150 cm: 15911	Cutoff chosen because a 150cm tall, 19-year-old woman has a HAZ of -2	An analysis of 109 DHS surveys found a 1-cm increase in maternal height was associated with a decreased risk of child stunting (OR, 0.968; 95% CI,	Maternal height was also consistently and strongly associated with all measures of child growth failure at the different examined ages.

		(26.2%)		0.967-0.968), and wasting (OR, 0.994; 95% CI, 0.993-0.995). ⁴⁰ An analysis of 35 DHS surveys also found consistent, significant, exposure-response curve between categories of maternal height and risk of stunting and wasting. ³⁷	For example, the risk of stunting at 24 months was 1.63 times higher (95% CI: 1.46, 1.82) for children of stunted mothers compared to non-stunted mothers, and the risk of wasting was 1.18 time higher (95% CI: 1.09, 1.29). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Maternal body mass index (BMI)	57627	>=20 BMI: 34952 (60.7%) < 20 BMI: 22675 (39.3%)	Calculated from maternal height and weight. Excludes mothers whose only weight measurement was taken during pregnancy. A 45 kg, 150 cm woman (the cutoffs for height and weight) has a BMI of 20.	A pooled analysis of 35 DHS cohorts found a significant increase in child stunting (OR: 1.64, p-value: <0.001) and wasting (OR: 1.64, p-value: <0.001) when mothers had BMI < 18.5 during pregnancy compared to mothers with a BMI > 25. ³⁷	Maternal BMI was also consistently and strongly associated with all measures of child growth failure at the different examined ages. The risk of stunting at 24 months was 1.21 times higher (95% CI: 1.06, 1.38) for children of lower weight mothers and the risk of wasting was 1.81 time higher (95% CI: 1.33, 2.47). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD]*
Maternal weight	59256	>=45 kg: 40338 (68.1%) <45 kg: 18918 (31.9%)	Cutoff chosen because a 45kg heavy, 19-year-old woman has a WAZ of -2	No studied examining maternal weight in kg were found; the studies identified all used BMI to examine associations between maternal weight and child growth failure.	
Mother's age	70548	[20-30]: 41707 (59.1%) <20: 17826 (25.3%) >=30: 11015 (15.6%)		A systematic review found that children born to women under the age of 20 had a consistently greater risk of stunted children compared to women aged ≥ 20 years (OR from 1.37 to 7.56). ⁴¹	We observed a similar increased risk of stunted children (at 24 months) born to teenage mothers (PR: 1.07 [95% CI: 1.02, 1.12]) but a less consistent association with wasting (PR: 1.07 [95% CI: 0.83, 1.37]). However, the pooled risk in this study was much smaller, possibly because the children were younger than average, or a more complete control of confounding by SES and maternal size. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Maternal education	69971	High: 23013 (32.9%) Low: 23702 (33.9%) Medium: 23256 (33.2%)	Classified by splitting distribution of numbers of years of educations into thirds within each cohort, or grouping ordered categories of educational attainment into three levels.	Multiple systematic reviews have found maternal education to be the most frequently reported significant factor associated with child malnutrition (reported in >50% of studies). ^{36,42} A meta-analysis of 182 DHS datasets found a strong association between maternal education and wasting and stunting. ⁴³ At a country level, a systematic review found improvements in maternal educational attainment predicted 17% of the total HAZ change in Pakistan (49), between 11% and 14% in Nepal (33, 49–51), 10% in Guinea (29) and India (49), and 7% in Cambodia (55). ⁴⁴ However, a SRMA found that, while several included studies found inconsistent associations between maternal education and wasting and stunting, the pooled estimates were insignificant for both. ⁴⁵	After tertiling years of education within studies, low and medium maternal education was significantly associated with prevalence of stunting at 24 months compared to children of high-education mothers (low education PR: 1.21 [95% CI: 1.13, 1.30], medium education PR: 1.10 [95% CI: 1.04, 1.17]). Education was not associated with wasting at 24 months, but it was associated with the cumulative incidence of wasting between birth and 2 years (low education CIR: 1.09 [95% CI: 1.05, 1.13], medium education CIR: 1.08 [95% CI: 1.04, 1.11]) as well as with the cumulative incidence of stunting. The inconsistent associations in this and prior studies may be due to inconsistent coding of maternal education and differences in educational systems. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*

Paternal height	15772	>=162 cm: 15079 (95.6%) <162 cm: 693 (4.4%)	Cutoff chosen because a 162cm tall, 19 year old man has a HAZ of -2	A meta-analysis of 14 DHS studies found a significant increase in the risk of child stunting (in children under 5 years) comparing the shortest to tallest quintiles of fathers (adjusted RR = 1.56 [95% CI: 1.47, 1.65]), though the association was stronger when using mother's heights. In a sensitivity analysis as part of a meta-analysis of 35 DHS studies, low paternal height (<155cm) was significantly associated with stunting (1.9 [95% CI: 1.7, 2.2]) and wasting (1.7 [95% CI: 1.4, 2.0]), but also less strongly than maternal height. ³⁷	We utilized a different cutoff than either study, comparing stunted fathers to non-stunted fathers, and found a significant, but smaller, association with the cumulative incidence of stunting in younger children than the DHS analysis (CIR: 1.12 [95% CI: 1.02, 1.23]). This was also smaller than the association between maternal stunting and child stunting, but the association with wasting was significant and of similar magnitude (CIR: 1.16 [95% CI: 1.04, 1.30]). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Paternal age	18976	>=35: 2289 (12.1%) <30: 13002 (68.5%) [30-35): 3685 (19.4%)		No meta-analyses examining this risk factor were found, and in general there were limited studies analyzing the association between father's age and child growth failure. A repeated cross-sectional survey in Indonesia found no association with father's age and stunting, wasting, or underweight. ⁴⁶	Children of fathers older than 35 had higher WLZ's at 24 months than children of fathers younger than 30 after adjusting for potential confounders, but there were no other growth associations. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Paternal education	65728	High: 12684 (19.3%) Low: 23089 (35.1%) Medium: 29955 (45.6%)	Classified by splitting distribution of numbers of years of educations into thirds within each cohort, or grouping ordered categories of educational attainment into three levels.	A meta-analysis of 182 DHS datasets found a similarly strong association between paternal and maternal education and child growth failure after confounder adjustment. ⁴³	We also found a similar association between paternal and maternal education and growth failure, with a null association with wasting and 24 months and significantly higher risk of stunting in children of low and medium education mothers compared to high education mothers. (Low education PR: 1.30 [95% CI: 1.08, 1.57], medium education PR: 1.26 [95% CI: 1.07, 1.47]). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Caregiver partner status	38222	0: 36393 (95.2%) 1: 1829 (4.8%)	Caregivers were classified as single if they were unmarried, widowed, or with a long-term long-distance partner.	A meta-analysis found that single mothers had a higher risk of infant low birth weight (OR 1.54 [95%CI 1.39–1.72]). ⁴⁷	Caregiver status was not associated with child stunting at 24 months (wasting was too rare to examine) or wasting at 6 months, but children of unpartnered mothers were significantly more likely to be stunted at 6 months (PR: 1.25 [95% CI: 1.08, 1.44]) and the cumulative incidence of wasting before 2 years of age (CIR: 1.12 [95% CI: 1.02, 1.24]). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Asset based household wealth index	36754	WealthQ4: 9618 (26.2%) WealthQ3: 9165 (24.9%) WealthQ2: 9012 (24.5%) WealthQ1: 8959 (24.4%)	First principal component of a principal components analysis of all recorded assets owned by the household (examples: cell phone, bicycle, car).	A meta-analysis of 35 DHS surveys from sub-Saharan Africa examined the associations between household wealth indices computed by principal components analyses and stunting in children under 5 years. It found an OR of 1.34 (95% CI: 1.27, 1.42) when comparing the lowest versus highest wealth quintile. ⁴⁸ Related, a meta-analysis of cash transfer programs found significant effects on height-for-age z-scores (of 0.03 5% CI 0.00 to 0.06) and a 2.1% decrease in stunting (95% CI -3.5% to -0.7%). ⁴⁹	Asset based household wealth was significantly associated with stunting at 24 months (PR: 1.26 [95% CI: 1.17, 1.36]) but not wasting (PR: 1.12 [95% CI: 0.98, 1.27]). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*

Household food security	24461	Food Secure: 12534 (51.2%) Mildly Food Insecure: 7921 (32.4%) Food Insecure: 4006 (16.4%)	Combination of three food security scales: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Household Hunger Scale (HHS)⁵⁰ 2. Food Access Survey Tool (FAST)⁵¹ 3. USAID Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS), with middle 2 categories classified as mildly food insecure.⁵² <p>And one survey question from the NIH Bangladesh birth cohort and NIH Bangladesh Cryptosporidium cohort: “In terms of household food availability, how do you classify your household?”</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Deficit in whole year 2. Sometimes deficit 3. Neither deficit nor surplus 4. Surplus <p>Where the middle two categories were classified as mildly food insecure.</p>	A systematic review and meta-analysis of 21 studies found that food insecurity increased the risk of stunting (odds ratio [OR] = 1.17; 95% CI: 1.09–1.25) but not of wasting (OR = 1.04; 95% CI: 0.96–1.12). The associations were stronger in children older than 5 years old than those younger than 5 years, and in LMIC’s. ⁵³ Related to household food security, a recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements (SQ-LNSs) found a significant reduction in both stunting and wasting. ⁵⁴	Higher food insecurity was consistently but not significantly associated with wasting and stunting (PR of stunting at 24 months between food insecure and secure: 1.17 [95% CI: 0.96, 1.44] and PR of wasting 1.07 [95% CI: 0.95, 1.20], with similar associations with prevalence at 6 months and the cumulative incidence). [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Improved flooring	35354	1: 4693 (13.3%) 0: 30661 (86.7%)		No meta-analyses examining this risk factor were found. Overall, there are limited studies specifically intervening to improve flooring or on the associations between improved flooring and growth, but an Ethiopian DHS analysis found an increased risk of stunting among children in households with natural/earth/sand floors versus cement/wood floors (OR: 1.33 [95% CI: 1.08, 1.64]). ⁵⁵ Additional research in two cohorts found improved flooring reduces soil-transmitted helminth and Giardia infections, which are associated with reduced growth. ⁵⁶	We also found an increased risk of stunting in younger children than the DHS analysis (PR at 24 months: 1.17 [95% CI: 1.08, 1.28]), and a reduction in LAZ and WLZ, but no effect on wasting. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Improved sanitation	35086	1: 24119 (68.7%) 0: 10967 (31.3%)	WHO Joint Monitoring program definition	Two large trials of individual and combined WASH interventions (WASH Benefits Kenya and Bangladesh) found no effect of improved sanitation interventions on HAZ, WHZ, stunting, or wasting. ^{57,58} In contrast, a pooled meta-analysis of 35 DHS studies found an	Similar to the DHS analysis but in contrast to evidence from recent randomized trials, unimproved sanitation was associated with increased prevalence of stunting (PR: 1.09, [95% CI: 1.04, 1.14]) and wasting (PR: 1.22,

				effect on stunting (PR: 1.10, [95% CI: 1.06, 1.13]) and wasting PR: 1.07, [95% CI: 1.02, 1.12]), potentially indicating residual confounding in observational analyses of WASH condition. ³⁷	[95% CI: 1.08, 1.38]) at 24 months. This potentially indicated either residual confounding from wealth and health seeking behavior of those with improved sanitation, or increased density of improved sanitation around household in observational studies compared to intervention studies. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Improved water source	35284	1: 33777 (95.7%) 0: 1507 (4.3%)	WHO Joint Monitoring program definition	Two large trials of individual and combined WASH interventions (WASH Benefits Kenya and Bangladesh) found no effect of improved water interventions on HAZ, WHZ, stunting, or wasting. ^{57,58} A pooled meta-analysis of 35 DHS studies also found no effect on stunting, but did find an association with wasting (PR: 1.07, [95% CI: 1.02, 1.12]). ³⁷	Improved water source was not associated with wasting or stunting, aligned with the randomized trial findings. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Clean cooking fuel usage	1401	1: 407 (29.1%) 0: 994 (70.9%)		A meta-analysis of clean cookstove interventions found a reduction in stunting in children ages 0-59 months (Odds Ratio: 0.79 [95% CI: 0.70–0.89]). ⁵⁹ A different meta-analysis found a similar reduction in low birthweight (Odds Ratio: 0.73 [95% CI: 0.61–0.87]), but did not examine growth in older children. ⁶⁰	Like the meta-analysis, clean cooking fuel use also associated with reduced stunting (PR at 24 months: 0.81, [95% CI: 0.68, 0.97]), and was also associated with reduced wasting at 24 months (PR: 0.59, [95% CI: 0.43, 0.83]). Clean cooking fuel use was also associated with the cumulative incidence of stunting in the first 6 months, but the studies with cooking fuel data didn't measure children at birth. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Number of children <5 in the household	31610	1: 18963 (60%) 2+: 12647 (40%)		No meta-analyses or systematic reviews examining this risk factor were found. A case-control study from Malaysia found an increased risk of any form of growth failure in children under 5 years old with more children in the household (PR comparing households 4 or more children to three or less: 5.86 [95% CI: 1.96-17.55]). ⁶¹	Other children in the household were associated with increased stunting (PR: 1.19 [9% CI: 1.04, 1.35]) but not wasting at 24 months. [Different P, CA, AV, SD]*
Number of individuals in the household	1805	3 or less: 363 (20.1%) 4-5: 745 (41.3%) 6-7: 452 (25%) 8+: 245 (13.6%)		No meta-analyses or systematic reviews examining this risk factor were found. A cross-sectional study from Madagascar found an increased risk of stunting and wasting in children 5-14 years with more people in the household (stunting PR comparing households with 5 or more people children to four or less: 1.17 [95% CI: 1.03-1.33], wasting PR: 1.24 [95% CI: 1.04–1.48]). ⁶²	There was a small but non-significant increase in risk of stunting and wasting with more individuals in the household. [Different P, CA, AV, SD]*
Number of rooms in household	35929	4+: 2492 (6.9%) 1: 20210 (56.2%) 2: 9484 (26.4%) 3: 3743 (10.4%)		No meta-analyses examining this risk factor were found, and DHS surveys generally measure the number rooms used for sleeping, not the total number of rooms.	The number of rooms in the household was not associated with increased risk of stunting or wasting.

Rain season	9769	Opposite max rain: 2469 (25.3%) Pre max rain: 2248 (23.0%) Max rain: 2718 (27.8%) Post max rain: 2334 (23.9%)	Rainfall data was extracted from Terraclimate, a dataset that combines readings from WorldClim data, CRU Ts4.0, and the Japanese 55-year Reanalysis Project. ⁶³ For each study region, we averaged all readings within a 50 km radius from the study coordinates. If GPS locations were not in the data for a cohort, we used the approximate location of the cohort based on the published descriptions of the cohort. The three-month period opposite the three months of maximum rainfall was used as the reference level (e.g., if June-August was the period of maximum rainfall, the reference level is child mean WLZ during January-March). Due to the time-varying nature of this exposure, N's are reported for children with length measures at 24 months and measures of rain season.	In a SRMA, drought conditions were significantly associated with wasting (OR: 1.46 [95% CI: 1.05, 2.04]). ⁶⁴ A meta-analysis of 55 DHS datasets found that both abnormally high and low rainfall was associated with reduced HAZ and WHZ. ⁶⁵ A systematic review found extreme rainfall was associated with an increased risk of wasting, but found crop growing season rainfall was protective for wasting. ⁶⁶ A different systematic review found consistent associations between rainfall and HAZ, but the magnitude and direction of effect varied by study and the timing of the rainfall that the study examined. ⁶⁷	WHZ was significantly lower and wasting significantly higher during the three months of highest rainfall and the three months after the highest rainfall period, but there was no significant association between rain and stunting of HAZ. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Breastfed in the hour after birth	49168	1: 11609 (23.6%) 0: 37559 (76.4%)		Early initiation of breastfeeding was significantly associated with stunting in most cross-sectional studies evaluated in a systematic review, but most of these estimates were not adjusted for confounding. ⁶⁸ A cohort study found no association with stunting and wasting, ⁶⁹ while a pooled analysis of 35 DHS surveys found an increase in stunting odds (OR: 1.037, P-value <0.001) but a decreased risk of wasting (OR: 0.937, P-value <0.001). ³⁷	Early breastfeeding was not significantly associated with reduced stunting or wasting, in contrast to prior cross-sectional studies but aligned with prior evidence from analyses of cohorts. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD]*
Exclusive or predominant breastfeeding in the first 6 months of life	26173	1: 18285 (69.9%) 0: 7888 (30.1%)	Exclusive breastfeeding: mother reported only feeding child breastmilk on all dietary surveys Predominant breastfeeding: mother reported only feeding child breastmilk, other liquids, or medicines on all dietary surveys	A SRMA of studies of exclusive breastfeeding found a reduction in stunting (OR = 0.73 [95% CI = 0.55, 0.95]), ⁷⁰ but a SRMA of breastfeeding promotion interventions found no impact on LAZ and an unexpected reduction in WLZ. ⁷¹	Non-exclusive breastfeeding was associated with a smaller but still significant increase in the prevalence of stunting at 6 months (PR: 1.11 [95% CI: 1.03, 1.21]) and 24 months (PR: 1.05 [95% CI: 1.00, 1.10]), but there was no association with wasting. [Different P, CA, AV, MOA, SD, EC]*
Cumulative percent of days with diarrhea under 6 months	3735	[0%, 2%]: 2245 (60.1%) >2%: 1490 (39.9%)	Percent days defined as proportion of disease surveillance days a child had diarrhea during the time interval. Diarrhea defined by 3 or more	A pooled analysis of nine cohorts and trials found that the adjusted odds of stunting at 24 months increased by 1.16 for every 5% absolute increase in longitudinal prevalence of diarrheal disease prior to 24 months (95% CI 1.07–1.25). ⁷² A separate analysis	We found a similar magnitude in the reduction of LAZ at 24 months (-0.14 z [95% CI: -0.21 - 0.06]) associated with increased diarrhea, but no association with WLZ, stunting, or wasting. [Different P, AV, EC]*

			loose stools, or bloody stool, in a 24 hour period. Only included studies with at least 100 disease surveillance measurements during age range.	of 7 cohorts found WHZ, but not LAZ was reduced 30 days after diarrheal disease, while a higher cumulative burden of diarrhea reduced linear growth at 24 months (-0.1 LAZ per 10 days of diarrhea. ⁷³	
Cumulative percent of days with diarrhea under 24 months	12639	[0%, 2%]: 6133 (48.5%) >2%: 6506 (51.5%)	Same as above.	In the second study detailed above, it was estimated that a child with the average diarrhea burden during the first 6 months of life who then went on to have no diarrhea did not have a significantly lower LAZ at 24 months than a child with no diarrhea. ⁷³ Because there was an overall effect of diarrhea on LAZ at 24 months, this indicated the potential for catch-up growth, or a lower impact of infant diarrhea on growth.	We also found no association between diarrhea before 6 months and growth at 24 months, and there was also no association with growth outcomes at 6 months. [Different P, AV, EC]*

769 **Extended data table 3**

770 Under 1-year country-specific mortality rate is from UNICEF (<https://data.unicef.org/country>), and is

771 higher than the cohort-specific under 2-year mortality rate for all cohorts used in the mortality analysis.

Study	Country	Number of deaths under 2	Under 2 mortality rate in cohort (%)	Infant (Under 1) mortality rate in cohort (%)	Infant (Under 1) mortality country rate (UNICEF)
Burkina Faso Zn	Burkina Faso	39	0.54	0.42	5.4
iLiNS-DOSE	Malawi	53	2.74	1.92	3.1
iLiNS-DYAD-M	Malawi	54	4.37	3.48	3.1
JiVitA-3	Bangladesh	934	3.41	2.85	2.6
JiVitA-4	Bangladesh	49	0.9	0.39	2.6
Keneba	The Gambia	65	2.22	1.52	3.6
VITAMIN-A	India	108	2.70	2.7	2.8
ZVITAMBO	Zimbabwe	1113	7.89	6.57	3.8

772

773 **Supplementary References**

- 774 1. VanderWeele, T. J. & Ding, P. Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Research: Introducing the E-
775 Value. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **167**, 268 (2017).
- 776 2. Iqbal, N. T. *et al.* Promising Biomarkers of Environmental Enteric Dysfunction: A Prospective Cohort
777 study in Pakistani Children. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 2966 (2018).
- 778 3. Ali, A. *et al.* Respiratory viruses associated with severe pneumonia in children under 2 years old in a
779 rural community in Pakistan. *J. Med. Virol.* **88**, 1882–1890 (2016).
- 780 4. Shrestha, P. S. *et al.* Bhaktapur, Nepal: The MAL-ED Birth Cohort Study in Nepal. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*
781 **59**, S300–S303 (2014).
- 782 5. Gladstone, B. P. *et al.* Protective Effect of Natural Rotavirus Infection in an Indian Birth Cohort. *N.*
783 *Engl. J. Med.* **365**, 337–346 (2011).
- 784 6. John, S. M. *et al.* Establishment of the MAL-ED Birth Cohort Study Site in Vellore, Southern India.
785 *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S295–S299 (2014).
- 786 7. Kattula, D. *et al.* The first 1000 days of life: prenatal and postnatal risk factors for morbidity and
787 growth in a birth cohort in southern India. *BMJ Open* **4**, e005404 (2014).
- 788 8. Pathela, P. *et al.* Diarrheal illness in a cohort of children 0-2 years of age in rural Bangladesh: I.
789 Incidence and risk factors: Risk factors for diarrhea in Bangladeshi children. *Acta Paediatr.* **95**, 430–
790 437 (2007).
- 791 9. Ahmed, T. *et al.* The MAL-ED Cohort Study in Mirpur, Bangladesh. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S280–S286
792 (2014).
- 793 10. Colgate, E. R. *et al.* Delayed Dosing of Oral Rotavirus Vaccine Demonstrates Decreased Risk of
794 Rotavirus Gastroenteritis Associated With Serum Zinc: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Clin. Infect.*
795 *Dis.* **63**, 634–641 (2016).
- 796 11. Bhandari, N. *et al.* Food Supplementation with Encouragement to Feed It to Infants from 4 to 12
797 Months of Age Has a Small Impact on Weight Gain. *J. Nutr.* **131**, 1946–1951 (2001).
- 798 12. Bhandari, N. *et al.* An Educational Intervention to Promote Appropriate Complementary Feeding
799 Practices and Physical Growth in Infants and Young Children in Rural Haryana, India. *J. Nutr.* **134**,
800 2342–2348 (2004).
- 801 13. Korpe, P. S. *et al.* Natural History of Cryptosporidiosis in a Longitudinal Study of Slum-Dwelling
802 Bangladeshi Children: Association with Severe Malnutrition. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **10**, (2016).
- 803 14. Christian, P. *et al.* Effect of fortified complementary food supplementation on child growth in rural
804 Bangladesh: a cluster-randomized trial. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **44**, 1862–1876 (2015).
- 805 15. West, K. P. *et al.* Effect of Maternal Multiple Micronutrient vs Iron–Folic Acid Supplementation on
806 Infant Mortality and Adverse Birth Outcomes in Rural Bangladesh: The JiViA-3 Randomized Trial.
807 *JAMA* **312**, 2649–2658 (2014).
- 808 16. Steiner, K. L. *et al.* Species of Cryptosporidia Causing Subclinical Infection Associated With Growth
809 Faltering in Rural and Urban Bangladesh: A Birth Cohort Study. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis.*
810 *Soc. Am.* **67**, 1347–1355 (2018).
- 811 17. Mduma, E. R. *et al.* The Etiology, Risk Factors, and Interactions of Enteric Infections and Malnutrition
812 and the Consequences for Child Health and Development Study (MAL-ED): Description of the
813 Tanzanian Site. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S325–S330 (2014).
- 814 18. Locks, L. M. *et al.* Effect of zinc and multivitamin supplementation on the growth of Tanzanian
815 children aged 6–84 wk: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **103**,
816 910–918 (2016).
- 817 19. Bessong, P. O., Nyathi, E., Mahopo, T. C. & Netshandama, V. Development of the Dzimauli
818 Community in Vhembe District, Limpopo Province of South Africa, for the MAL-ED Cohort Study.
819 *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S317–S324 (2014).
- 820 20. Schoenbuchner, S. M. *et al.* The relationship between wasting and stunting: a retrospective cohort
821 analysis of longitudinal data in Gambian children from 1976 to 2016. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*
822 doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqy326.

- 823 21. Malaba, L. C. *et al.* Effect of postpartum maternal or neonatal vitamin A supplementation on infant
824 mortality among infants born to HIV-negative mothers in Zimbabwe. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **81**, 454–460
825 (2005).
- 826 22. Mangani, C. *et al.* Effect of complementary feeding with lipid-based nutrient supplements and corn-
827 soy blend on the incidence of stunting and linear growth among 6- to 18-month-old infants and
828 children in rural Malawi. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **11**, 132–143 (2015).
- 829 23. Hess, S. Y. *et al.* Small-Quantity Lipid-Based Nutrient Supplements, Regardless of Their Zinc
830 Content, Increase Growth and Reduce the Prevalence of Stunting and Wasting in Young Burkinabe
831 Children: A Cluster-Randomized Trial. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0122242 (2015).
- 832 24. Yori, P. P. *et al.* Santa Clara de Nanay: The MAL-ED Cohort in Peru. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S310–S316
833 (2014).
- 834 25. Jaganath, D. *et al.* First Detected *Helicobacter pylori* Infection in Infancy Modifies the Association
835 Between Diarrheal Disease and Childhood Growth in Peru. *Helicobacter* **19**, 272–279 (2014).
- 836 26. Bégin, F., Santizo, M.-C., Peerson, J. M., Torún, B. & Brown, K. H. Effects of bovine serum
837 concentrate, with or without supplemental micronutrients, on the growth, morbidity, and micronutrient
838 status of young children in a low-income, peri-urban Guatemalan community. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **62**,
839 39–50 (2008).
- 840 27. Lima, A. A. M. *et al.* Geography, Population, Demography, Socioeconomic, Anthropometry, and
841 Environmental Status in the MAL-ED Cohort and Case-Control Study Sites in Fortaleza, Ceará,
842 Brazil. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S287–S294 (2014).
- 843 28. Kramer, M. S. *et al.* Promotion of Breastfeeding Intervention Trial (PROBIT): A Randomized Trial in
844 the Republic of Belarus. *JAMA* **285**, 413–420 (2001).
- 845 29. Becquey, E. *et al.* Comparison of Preventive and Therapeutic Zinc Supplementation in Young
846 Children in Burkina Faso: A Cluster-Randomized, Community-Based Trial. *J. Nutr.* **146**, 2058–2066
847 (2016).
- 848 30. Randomised trial to assess benefits and safety of vitamin A supplementation linked to immunisation
849 in early infancy. *The Lancet* **352**, 1257–1263 (1998).
- 850 31. Thurstans, S. *et al.* Boys are more likely to be undernourished than girls: a systematic review and
851 meta-analysis of sex differences in undernutrition. *BMJ Glob. Health* **5**, e004030 (2020).
- 852 32. Christian, P. *et al.* Risk of childhood undernutrition related to small-for-gestational age and preterm
853 birth in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Epidemiol* **42**, 1340–1355 (2013).
- 854 33. Akombi, B. J. *et al.* Stunting, Wasting and Underweight in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review.
855 *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **14**, (2017).
- 856 34. Krebs, N. F. *et al.* Birth length is the strongest predictor of linear growth status and stunting in the first
857 2 years of life after a preconception maternal nutrition intervention: the children of the Women First
858 trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **116**, 86–96 (2022).
- 859 35. Villar, J. *et al.* International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by
860 gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project.
861 *Lancet Lond. Engl.* **384**, 857–868 (2014).
- 862 36. Katoch, O. R. Determinants of malnutrition among children: A systematic review. *Nutrition* **96**, 111565
863 (2021).
- 864 37. Li, Z., Kim, R., Vollmer, S. & Subramanian, S. V. Factors Associated With Child Stunting, Wasting,
865 and Underweight in 35 Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *JAMA Netw. Open* **3**, e203386 (2020).
- 866 38. Olusanya, B. O. & Renner, J. K. Is home birth a marker for severe malnutrition in early infancy in
867 urban communities of low-income countries? *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **8**, 492–502 (2012).
- 868 39. Espo, M. *et al.* Determinants of linear growth and predictors of severe stunting during infancy in rural
869 Malawi. *Acta Paediatr.* **91**, 1364–1370 (2002).
- 870 40. Özaltın, E., Hill, K. & Subramanian, S. V. Association of Maternal Stature With Offspring Mortality,
871 Underweight, and Stunting in Low- to Middle-Income Countries. *JAMA* **303**, 1507–1516 (2010).
- 872 41. Astuti, F. D., Azka, A. & Rokhmayanti, R. Maternal age correlation of stunting in children: Systematics

- 873 review. *J. Matern. Child Health* **7**, 479–448 (2022).
- 874 42. Verma, P. & Prasad, J. B. Stunting, wasting and underweight as indicators of under-nutrition in under
875 five children from developing Countries: A systematic review. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Rev.*
876 **15**, 102243 (2021).
- 877 43. Vollmer, S., Bommer, C., Krishna, A., Harttgen, K. & Subramanian, S. The association of parental
878 education with childhood undernutrition in low- and middle-income countries: comparing the role of
879 paternal and maternal education. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **46**, 312–323 (2017).
- 880 44. Vaivada, T. *et al.* Stunting in childhood: an overview of global burden, trends, determinants, and
881 drivers of decline. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **112**, 777S–791S (2020).
- 882 45. Mensch, B. S., Chuang, E. K., Melnikas, A. J. & Psaki, S. R. Evidence for causal links between
883 education and maternal and child health: systematic review. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **24**, 504–522
884 (2019).
- 885 46. Rachmi, C. N., Agho, K. E., Li, M. & Baur, L. A. Stunting, Underweight and Overweight in Children
886 Aged 2.0–4.9 Years in Indonesia: Prevalence Trends and Associated Risk Factors. *PLoS ONE* **11**,
887 e0154756 (2016).
- 888 47. Shah, P. S., Zao, J. & Ali, S. Maternal Marital Status and Birth Outcomes: A Systematic Review and
889 Meta-Analyses. *Matern. Child Health J.* **15**, 1097–1109 (2011).
- 890 48. Abate, K., Assen, W., Ali, R., Kalkidan, H. & Waseyehon, A. wasihun hassen. Varying Relationship of
891 Wealth of Households and Stunting of Under Five Children in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Meta-Analysis
892 of Demographic Health Surveys. 616–623 (2019).
- 893 49. Manley, J. *et al.* Cash transfers and child nutritional outcomes: a systematic review and meta-
894 analysis. *BMJ Glob. Health* **5**, e003621 (2020).
- 895 50. Ballard, T., Coates, J., Swindale, A. & Deitchler, M. Household Hunger Scale: Indicator Definition and
896 Measurement Guide. 23.
- 897 51. Coates, J. Measuring Food Insecurity: Going Beyond Indicators of Income and Anthropometry. 106
898 (1825).
- 899 52. Coates, J., Swindale, A. & Bilinsky, P. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for
900 Measurement of Food Access: Indicator Guide: Version 3: (576842013-001). (2007)
901 doi:10.1037/e576842013-001.
- 902 53. Moradi, S. *et al.* Food insecurity and the risk of undernutrition complications among children and
903 adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrition* **62**, 52–60 (2019).
- 904 54. Dewey, K. G. *et al.* Preventive small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements reduce severe wasting
905 and severe stunting among young children: an individual participant data meta-analysis of
906 randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* nqac232 (2022) doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqac232.
- 907 55. Ayelign, A. & Zerfu, T. Household, dietary and healthcare factors predicting childhood stunting in
908 Ethiopia. *Heliyon* **7**, e06733 (2021).
- 909 56. Benjamin-Chung, J. *et al.* Household finished flooring and soil-transmitted helminth and Giardia
910 infections among children in rural Bangladesh and Kenya: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob.*
911 *Health* **9**, e301–e308 (2021).
- 912 57. Luby, S. P. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on
913 diarrhoea and child growth in rural Bangladesh: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob.*
914 *Health* **6**, e302–e315 (2018).
- 915 58. Null, C. *et al.* Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and nutritional interventions on
916 diarrhoea and child growth in rural Kenya: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob. Health*
917 **6**, e316–e329 (2018).
- 918 59. Bruce, N. G. *et al.* Control of household air pollution for child survival: estimates for intervention
919 impacts. *BMC Public Health* **13**, S8 (2013).
- 920 60. Woolley, K. E. *et al.* Effectiveness of interventions to reduce household air pollution from solid
921 biomass fuels and improve maternal and child health outcomes in low- and middle-income countries:
922 A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indoor Air* **32**, e12958 (2022).

- 923 61. Wong, H. J., Moy, F. M. & Nair, S. Risk factors of malnutrition among preschool children in
924 Terengganu, Malaysia: a case control study. *BMC Public Health* **14**, 785 (2014).
- 925 62. Aiga, H. *et al.* Risk factors for malnutrition among school-aged children: a cross-sectional study in
926 rural Madagascar. *BMC Public Health* **19**, 773 (2019).
- 927 63. Abatzoglou, J. T., Dobrowski, S. Z., Parks, S. A. & Hegewisch, K. C. TerraClimate, a high-resolution
928 global dataset of monthly climate and climatic water balance from 1958–2015. *Sci. Data* **5**, 170191
929 (2018).
- 930 64. Lieber, M., Chin-Hong, P., Kelly, K., Dandu, M. & Weiser, S. D. A systematic review and meta-
931 analysis assessing the impact of droughts, flooding, and climate variability on malnutrition. *Glob.*
932 *Public Health* **17**, 68–82 (2022).
- 933 65. Le, K. & Nguyen, M. In-utero Exposure to Rainfall Variability and Early Childhood Health. *World Dev.*
934 **144**, 105485 (2021).
- 935 66. Brown, M. E. *et al.* Empirical studies of factors associated with child malnutrition: highlighting the
936 evidence about climate and conflict shocks. *Food Secur.* **12**, 1241–1252 (2020).
- 937 67. Phalkey, R. K., Aranda-Jan, C., Marx, S., Höfle, B. & Sauerborn, R. Systematic review of current
938 efforts to quantify the impacts of climate change on undernutrition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**,
939 E4522–E4529 (2015).
- 940 68. Susianto, S. C., Suprobo, N. R. & Maharani, M. Early Breastfeeding Initiation Effect in Stunting: A
941 Systematic Review. *Asian J. Health Res.* **1**, 1–6 (2022).
- 942 69. Smith, E. R. *et al.* Delayed Breastfeeding Initiation Is Associated with Infant Morbidity. *J. Pediatr.* **191**,
943 57-62.e2 (2017).
- 944 70. Mardani, R. A. D., Wu, W.-R., Nhi, V. T. & Huang, H.-C. Association of breastfeeding with
945 undernutrition among children under 5 years of age in developing countries: A systematic review and
946 meta-analysis. *J. Nurs. Scholarsh.*
- 947 71. Giugliani, E. R. J., Horta, B. L., Mola, C. L. de, Lisboa, B. O. & Victora, C. G. Effect of breastfeeding
948 promotion interventions on child growth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatr.* **104**,
949 20–29 (2015).
- 950 72. Checkley, W. *et al.* Multi-country analysis of the effects of diarrhoea on childhood stunting. *Int. J.*
951 *Epidemiol.* **37**, 816–830 (2008).
- 952 73. Richard, S. A. *et al.* Diarrhea in Early Childhood: Short-term Association With Weight and Long-term
953 Association With Length. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **178**, 1129–1138 (2013).

954

955 **Materials and Methods**

956 **1. Study designs and inclusion criteria**

957 We included all longitudinal observational studies and randomized trials available through the *ki* project
958 on April 1, 2018 that met five inclusion criteria: 1) conducted in low- or middle-income countries; 2)
959 enrolled children between birth and age 24 months and measured their length and weight repeatedly
960 over time; 3) did not restrict enrollment to acutely ill children; 4) enrolled children with a median year of
961 birth after 1990; 5) collected anthropometry measurements at least quarterly. We included all children
962 under 24 months of age, assuming months were 30.4167 days, and we considered a child's first measure
963 recorded by age 7 days as their anthropometry at birth. Four additional studies with high-quality
964 mortality information that measured children at least every 6 months were included in the mortality
965 analyses (The Burkina Faso Zinc trial, The Vitamin-A trial in India, and the iLINS-DOSE and iLINS-DYAD-M
966 trials in Malawi).

967

968 **2. Statistical analysis**

969 Analyses were conducted in R version 4.0.5. All pooled, regional, and cohort-specific results, results for
970 secondary outcomes, and sensitivity analyses are available online at ([https://child-
971 growth.github.io/causes](https://child-growth.github.io/causes)).

972

973 **3. Outcome definitions**

974 We calculated length-for-age Z-scores (LAZ), weight-for-age Z-scores (WAZ), and weight-for-length Z-
975 scores (WLZ) using WHO 2006 growth standards.¹ We used the medians of triplicate measurements of
976 heights and weights of children from pre-2006 cohorts to re-calculate Z-scores to the 2006 standard. We
977 dropped 1,190 (0.2%) unrealistic measurements of LAZ (>+6 or <-6 Z), 1,330 (0.2%) measurements of
978 WAZ (> 5 or <-6 Z), and 1,670 (0.3%) measurements of WLZ (>+5 or -5 Z), consistent with WHO
979 recommendations.² See Benjamin-Chung (2020) for more details on cohort inclusion and assessment of
980 anthropometry measurement quality.³ We also calculated the difference in linear and ponderal growth
981 velocities over three-month periods. We calculated the change in LAZ, WAZ, length in centimeters, and
982 weight in kilograms within 3-month age intervals, including measurements within a two-week window
983 around each age in months to account for variation in the age at each length measurement.

984 We defined stunting as LAZ < -2, severe stunting as LAZ < -3, underweight as WAZ < -2, severe
985 underweight as WAZ < -3, wasting as WLZ < -2, severe wasting as WLZ < -3, concurrent stunting and
986 wasting as LAZ < -2 and WLZ < -2. Children with $\geq 50\%$ of WLZ measurements < -2 and at least 4
987 measurements over a defined age range were classified as persistently wasted (e.g., birth to 24 months,
988 median interval between measurements: 80 days, IQR: 62-93). Children were assumed to never recover
989 from stunting episodes, but children were classified as recovered from wasting episodes (and at risk for
990 a new episode of wasting) if their measured WLZ was ≥ -2 for at least 60 days (details in Mertens et. al
991 (2020)).⁴ Stunting reversal was defined as children stunted under 3 months whose final two
992 measurements before 24 months were non-stunted. Child mortality was all-cause and was restricted to
993 children who died after birth and before age 24 months. For child morbidity outcomes (Figure 4c),
994 concurrent wasting and stunting prevalences at age 18 months were estimated using the
995 anthropometry measurement taken closest to age 18 months, and within 17-19 months of age, while
996 persistent wasting was estimated from child measurements between 6 and 24 months of age. We chose
997 18 months to calculate concurrent wasting and stunting because it maximized the number of child
998 observations at later ages when concurrent wasting and stunting was most prevalent, and used ages 6-
999 24 months to define persistent wasting to maximize the number of anthropometry measurements taken
1000 after the early growth faltering exposure measurements.⁴

1001

1002 **4. Estimating relationships between child, parental, and household exposures and measures of 1003 growth faltering**

1004

1005 **4.1 Exposure definitions**

1006 We selected the exposures of interest based on variables present in multiple cohorts that
1007 met our inclusion criteria, were found to be important predictors of stunting and wasting in

1008 prior literature and could be harmonized across cohorts for pooled analyses. Extended Data
1009 Table 2 lists all exposures included in the analysis, as well as exposure categories used across
1010 cohorts, and the total number of children in each category. For parental education and asset-
1011 based household wealth, we categorized to levels relative to the distribution of educational
1012 attainment within each cohort. Continuous biological characteristics (gestational age, birth
1013 weight, birth height, parental weight, parental height, parental age) were classified based on a
1014 common distribution, pooling data across cohorts. Our rationale was that the meaning of socio-
1015 economic variables is culturally context-dependent, whereas biological variables should have a
1016 more universal meaning.

1017

1018 **4.2 Risk set definition**

1019 For exposures that occur or exist before birth, we considered the child at risk of incident
1020 outcomes at birth. Therefore, we classified children who were born stunted (or wasted) as
1021 incident episodes of stunting (or wasting) when estimating the relationship between household
1022 characteristics, paternal characteristics, and child characteristics like gestational age, sex, birth
1023 order, and birth location.

1024 For postnatal exposures (e.g., breastfeeding practices, WASH characteristics, birth weight),
1025 we excluded episodes of stunting or wasting that occurred at birth. Children who were born
1026 wasted could enter the risk set for postnatal exposures if they recovered from wasting during
1027 the study period (see Mertens et al. 2020 for details).⁴ This restriction ensured that for postnatal
1028 exposures, the analysis only included postnatal, incident episodes. Children born or enrolled
1029 wasted were included in the risk set for the outcome of recovery from wasting within 90 days
1030 for all exposures (prenatal and postnatal).

1031

1032

1033 **4.3 Estimating differences in outcomes across categories of exposures**

1034 We estimated measures of association between exposures and growth faltering outcomes by
1035 comparing outcomes across categories of exposures in four ways:

1036 Mean difference of the comparison levels of the exposure on LAZ, WLZ at birth, 6 months, and
1037 24 months. The Z-scores used were the measures taken closest to the age of interest and within
1038 one month of the age of interest, except for Z-scores at birth which only included a child's first
1039 measure recorded by age 7 days. We also calculated mean differences in LAZ, WAZ, weight, and
1040 length velocities.

1041 Prevalence ratios (PR) between comparison levels of the exposure, compared to the reference
1042 level at birth, 6 months, and 24 months. Prevalence was estimated using anthropometry
1043 measurements closest to the age of interest and within one month of the age of interest, except
1044 for prevalence at birth which only included measures taken on the day of birth.

1045 Cumulative incidence ratios (CIR) between comparison levels of the exposure, compared to the
1046 reference level, for the incident onset of outcomes between birth and 24 months, 6-24 months,

1047 and birth-6 months.
1048 Mean Z-scores by continuous age, stratified by levels of exposures, from birth to 24 months
1049 were fit within individual cohorts using cubic splines with the bandwidth chosen to minimize the
1050 median Akaike information criterion across cohorts.⁵ We estimated splines separately for each
1051 exposure category. We pooled spline curves across cohorts into a single prediction, offset by
1052 mean Z-scores at one year, using random effects models.⁶

1053

1054 **4.4 Estimating population attributable parameters**

1055 We estimated three measures of the population-level effect of exposures on growth faltering
1056 outcomes:

1057 Population intervention impact (PIE), a generalization of population attributable risk, was
1058 defined as the change in population mean Z-score if the entire population's exposure was set to
1059 an ideal reference level. For each exposure, we chose reference levels based on prior literature
1060 or as the category with the highest mean LAZ or WLZ across cohorts.

1061 Population attributable fraction (PAF) was defined as the proportional reduction in cumulative
1062 incidence if the entire population's exposure was set to an ideal low risk reference level. We
1063 estimated the PAF for the prevalence of stunting and wasting at birth, 6, and 24 months and
1064 cumulative incidence of stunting and wasting from birth to 24 months, 6-24 months, and from
1065 birth to 6 months. For each exposure, we chose the reference level as the category with the
1066 lowest risk of stunting or wasting.

1067 Optimal individualized intervention impact We employed a variable importance measure (VIM)
1068 methodology to estimate the impact of an optimal individualized intervention on an exposure.⁷
1069 The optimal intervention on an exposure was determined through estimating individualized
1070 treatment regimes, which give an individual-specific rule for the lowest-risk level of exposure
1071 based on individuals' measured covariates. The covariates used to estimate the low-risk level
1072 are the same as those used for the adjustment documented in section 6 below. The impact of
1073 the optimal individualized intervention is derived from the VIM, which is the predicted change in
1074 the population-mean outcome from the observed outcome if every child's exposure was shifted
1075 to the optimal level. This differs from the PIE and PAF parameters in that we did not specify the
1076 reference level; moreover, the reference level could vary across participants.

1077 PIE and PAF parameters assume a causal relationship between exposure and outcome. For some
1078 exposures, we considered attributable effects to have a pragmatic interpretation — they
1079 represent a summary estimate of relative importance that combines the exposure's strength of
1080 association and its prevalence in the population.⁸ Comparisons between optimal intervention
1081 estimates and PIE estimates are shown in Extended Data Fig 11.

1082

1083 **5. Estimation approach**

1084 Estimation of cohort-specific effects

1085 For each exposure, we used the directed acyclic graph (DAG) framework to identify potential

1086 confounders from the broader set of exposures used in the analysis.⁹ We did not adjust for
1087 characteristics that were assumed to be intermediate on the causal path between any exposure and the
1088 outcome, because while controlling for mediators may help adjust for unmeasured confounders in some
1089 conditions, it can also lead to collider bias.^{10,11} Detailed lists of adjustment covariates used for each
1090 analysis are available online (<https://child-growth.github.io/causes/dags.html>). Confounders were not
1091 measured in every cohort, so there could be residual confounding in cohort-specific estimates.

1092 Analyses used a complete case approach that only included children with non-missing exposure
1093 and outcome measurements. For additional covariates in adjusted analyses, we used the following
1094 approach to impute missing covariate values.¹² Within each cohort, if there was <50% missingness in a
1095 covariate, we imputed missing measurements as the median (continuous variables) or mode (categorical
1096 variables) among all children, and analyses included an indicator variable for missingness in the
1097 adjustment set. Covariates with >50% missingness were excluded from the potential adjustment set.
1098 When calculating the median for imputation, we used children as independent units rather than
1099 measurements so that children with more frequent measurements were not over-represented.

1100 Unadjusted PRs and CIRs between the reference level of each exposure and comparison levels
1101 were estimated using logistic regressions.¹³ Unadjusted mean differences for continuous outcomes were
1102 estimated using linear regressions.

1103 To flexibly adjust for potential confounders and reduce the risk of model misspecification, we
1104 estimated adjusted PRs, CIRs, and mean differences using targeted maximum likelihood estimation
1105 (TMLE), a two-stage estimation strategy that incorporates state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms
1106 (super learner) while still providing valid statistical inference.^{14,15} The effects of covariate adjustment on
1107 estimates compared to unadjusted estimates is shown in Extended Data Fig 12, and E-values, summary
1108 measures of the strength of unmeasured confounding needed to explain away observed significant
1109 associations, are plotted in Extended Data Fig 13.¹⁶ The super learner is an ensemble machine learning
1110 method that uses cross-validation to select a weighted combination of predictions from a library of
1111 algorithms.¹⁷ We included in the library simple means, generalized linear models, LASSO penalized
1112 regressions,¹⁸ generalized additive models,¹⁹ and gradient boosting machines.²⁰ The super learner was fit
1113 to maximize the 10-fold cross-validated area under the receiver operator curve (AUC) for binomial
1114 outcomes, and minimize the 10-fold cross-validated mean-squared error (MSE) for continuous
1115 outcomes. That is, the super learner was fit using 9/10 of the data, while the AUC/MSE was calculated
1116 on the remaining 1/10 of the data. Each fold of the data was held out in turn and the cross-validated
1117 performance measure was calculated as the average of the performance measures across the ten folds.
1118 This approach is practically appealing and robust in finite samples, since this cross-validation procedure
1119 utilizes unseen sample data to measure the estimator's performance. Also, the super learner is
1120 asymptotically optimal in the sense that it is guaranteed to outperform the best possible algorithm
1121 included in the library as sample size grows. The initial estimator obtained via super learner is
1122 subsequently updated to yield an efficient double-robust semi-parametric substitution estimator of the
1123 parameter of interest.¹⁴ To estimate the R^2 of models including multiple exposures, we fit super learner
1124 models, without the targeted learning step, and within each cohort measuring the exposures. We then
1125 pooled cohort-specific R^2 estimates using fixed effects models.

1126 We estimated influence curve-based, clustered standard errors to account for repeated
1127 measures in the analyses of recovery from wasting or progression to severe wasting. We assumed that
1128 the children were the independent units of analysis unless the original study had a clustered design, in
1129 which case the unit of independence in the original study were used as the unit of clustering. We used
1130 clusters as the unit of independence for the iLiNS-Zinc, Jivita-3, Jivita-4, Probit, and SAS Complementary
1131 Feeding trials. We estimated 95% confidence intervals for incidence using the normal approximation.

1132 Mortality analyses estimated hazard ratios using Cox proportional hazards models with a child's
1133 age in days as the timescale, adjusting for potential confounders, with the growth faltering exposure
1134 status updated at each follow-up that preceded death or censoring by age 24 months. Growth faltering
1135 exposures included moderate (between $-2 Z$ and $-3 Z$) wasting, stunting, and underweight, severe
1136 (below $-3 Z$) wasting, stunting, and underweight, and combinations of concurrent wasting, stunting, and
1137 underweight. Growth faltering categories were mutually exclusive within moderate or severe
1138 classifications, so children were classified as only wasted, only stunted, or only underweight, or some
1139 combination of these categories. We estimated the hazard ratio associated with different
1140 anthropometric measures of CGF in separate analyses, considering each as an exposure in turn with the
1141 reference group defined as children without the deficit. For children who did not die, we defined their
1142 censoring date as the administrative end of follow-up in their cohort, or age 24 months (730 days),
1143 whichever occurred first. Because mortality was a rare outcome, estimates are adjusted only for child
1144 sex and trial treatment arm. To avoid reverse causality, we did not include child growth measures
1145 occurring within 7 days of death. Extended Data Table 3 lists the cohorts used in the mortality analysis,
1146 the number of deaths in each cohort, and a comparison to country-level infant mortality rates.

1147
1148 Data sparsity

1149 We did not estimate relative risks between a higher level of exposure and the reference group if there
1150 were 5 or fewer cases in either stratum. In such cases, we still estimated relative risks between other
1151 exposure strata and the reference strata if those strata were not sparse. For rare outcomes, we only
1152 included one covariate for every 10 observations in the sparsest combination of the exposure and
1153 outcome, choosing covariates based on ranked deviance ratios.

1154 1155 **6. Pooling parameters**

1156 We pooled adjusted estimates from individual cohorts using random effects models, fit using restricted
1157 maximum likelihood estimation. The pooling methods are detailed in Benjamin-Chung (2020).¹ All
1158 parameters were pooled directly using the cohort-specific estimates of the same parameter, except for
1159 population attributable fractions. Pooled PAFs were calculated from random-effects pooled population
1160 intervention impacts (PIEs), and pooled outcome prevalence in the population using the following
1161 formulas:²¹

$$1162 \quad PAF = \frac{PIE}{Outcome\ prevalence} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$1164 \quad PAF \ 95\%CI = \frac{PIE \ 95\% \ CI}{Outcome \ prevalence} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

1165

1166 For PAFs of exposures on the cumulative incidence of wasting and stunting, the pooled cumulative
1167 incidence was substituted for the outcome prevalence in the above equations. We used this method
1168 instead of direct pooling of PAFs because, unlike PAFs, PIEs are unbounded with symmetrical confidence
1169 intervals.

1170 For figures 3a-c, mean trajectories estimated using cubic splines in individual studies and then
1171 curves were pooled using random effects.⁶ Curves estimated from all anthropometry measurements of
1172 children taken from birth to 24 months of age within studies that measured the measure of maternal
1173 anthropometry.

1174

1175 7. Sensitivity analyses

1176 We compared estimates pooled using random effects models, which are more conservative in the
1177 presence of heterogeneity across studies, with estimates pooled using fixed effects, and we compared
1178 adjusted estimates with estimates unadjusted for potential confounders. We estimated associations
1179 between growth faltering and mortality at different ages, after dropping the trials measuring children
1180 less frequently than quarterly, and using TMLE instead of Cox proportional hazard models, and we
1181 plotted Kaplan Meier curves of child mortality, stratified by measures of early growth faltering. We also
1182 conducted a sensitivity analysis on methods of pooling splines of child growth trajectories, stratified by
1183 maternal anthropometry. We re-estimated the attributable differences of exposures on WLZ and LAZ at
1184 24 months, dropping the PROBIT trial, the only European study. Results from secondary outcomes and
1185 sensitivity analyses are viewable online at <https://child-growth.github.io/causes>.

1186

1187 Data and code availability

1188 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
1189 Knowledge Integration project upon reasonable request. Replication scripts for this analysis are
1190 available here: <https://osf.io/9xyqv/>.

1191

1192

1193 Methods References

- 1194 1. WHO | The WHO Child Growth Standards. *WHO* <http://www.who.int/childgrowth/en/>.
- 1195 2. Organization, W. H. & Fund (UNICEF), U. N. C. *Recommendations for data collection, analysis and*
1196 *reporting on anthropometric indicators in children under 5 years old.* (World Health Organization,
1197 2019).
- 1198 3. Benjamin-Chung, J. et. al. (submitted). Early childhood linear growth faltering in low-and middle-
1199 income countries. (2020).
- 1200 4. Mertens, A. et al. (submitted). Child wasting and concurrent stunting in low- and middle-income
1201 countries. (2020).
- 1202 5. Wood, S. N., Pya, N. & Säfken, B. Smoothing Parameter and Model Selection for General Smooth
1203 Models. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **111**, 1548–1563 (2016).

- 1204 6. Gasparrini, A., Armstrong, B. & Kenward, M. G. Multivariate meta-analysis for non-linear and other
1205 multi-parameter associations. *Stat. Med.* **31**, 3821–3839 (2012).
- 1206 7. Luedtke, A. R. & van der Laan, M. J. Super-Learning of an Optimal Dynamic Treatment Rule. *Int. J.*
1207 *Biostat.* **12**, 305–332 (2016).
- 1208 8. Jewell, N. P. *Statistics for epidemiology*. (Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC., 2004).
- 1209 9. Greenland, S., Robins, J. M. & Pearl, J. Confounding and Collapsibility in Causal Inference. *Stat. Sci.*
1210 **14**, 29–46 (1999).
- 1211 10. VanderWeele, T. J. & Shpitser, I. A new criterion for confounder selection. *Biometrics* **67**, 1406–1413
1212 (2011).
- 1213 11. Groenwold, R. H. H., Palmer, T. M. & Tilling, K. To Adjust or Not to Adjust? When a “Confounder” Is
1214 Only Measured After Exposure. *Epidemiol. Camb. Mass* **32**, 194–201 (2021).
- 1215 12. Stuart, E. A. Matching methods for causal inference: A review and a look forward. *Stat. Sci. Rev. J.*
1216 *Inst. Math. Stat.* **25**, 1 (2010).
- 1217 13. McNutt, L.-A., Wu, C., Xue, X. & Hafner, J. P. Estimating the relative risk in cohort studies and clinical
1218 trials of common outcomes. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **157**, 940–943 (2003).
- 1219 14. Gruber, S. & Laan, M. van der. Targeted Maximum Likelihood Estimation: A Gentle Introduction. *UC*
1220 *Berkeley Div. Biostat. Work. Pap. Ser.* (2009).
- 1221 15. Laan, M. J. van der & Rose, S. *Targeted Learning: Causal Inference for Observational and*
1222 *Experimental Data*. (Springer-Verlag, 2011).
- 1223 16. VanderWeele, T. J. & Ding, P. Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Research: Introducing the E-
1224 Value. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **167**, 268 (2017).
- 1225 17. van der Laan, M., Polley, E. & Hubbard, A. Super Learner. *UC Berkeley Div. Biostat. Work. Pap. Ser.*
1226 (2007).
- 1227 18. Tibshirani, R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol.*
1228 **58**, 267–288 (1996).
- 1229 19. Hastie, T. & Tibshirani, R. Generalized Additive Models. *Stat. Sci.* **1**, 297–310 (1986).
- 1230 20. Chen, T. & Guestrin, C. XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System. *Proc. 22nd ACM SIGKDD Int.*
1231 *Conf. Knowl. Discov. Data Min. - KDD 16* 785–794 (2016) doi:10.1145/2939672.2939785.
- 1232 21. Population attributable risk (PAR). in *Encyclopedia of Public Health* (ed. Kirch, W.) 1117–1118
1233 (Springer Netherlands, 2008). doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-5614-7_2685.

1234

1235 Acknowledgments

1236 This research was financially supported by a global development grant (OPP1165144)
1237 from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to the University of California, Berkeley, CA,
1238 USA. We would also like to thank the following collaborators on the included cohorts
1239 and trials for their contributions to study planning, data collection, and analysis:
1240 Muhammad Sharif, Sajjad Kerio, Ms. Urosa, Ms. Alveen, Shahneel Hussain, Vikas
1241 Paudel (Mother and Infant Research Activities), Anthony Costello (University College
1242 London), Noel Rouamba, Jean-Bosco Ouédraogo, Leah Prince, Stephen A Vosti,
1243 Benjamin Torun, Lindsey M Locks, Christine M McDonald, Roland Kupka, Ronald J
1244 Bosch, Rodrick Kisenge, Said Aboud, Molin Wang, Azaduzzaman, Abu Ahmed
1245 Shamim, Rezaul Haque, Rolf Klemm, Sucheta Mehra, Maithilee Mitra, Kerry Schulze,
1246 Sunita Taneja, Brinda Nayyar, Vandana Suri, Poonam Khokhar, Brinda Nayyar,
1247 Poonam Khokhar, Jon E Rohde, Tivendra Kumar, Jose Martines, Maharaj K Bhan, and
1248 all other members of the study staffs and field teams. We would also like to thank all
1249 study participants and their families for their important contributions. We are grateful to
1250 the LCNI5 and iLiNS research teams, participants and people of Lungwena, Namwera,

1251 Mangochi and Malindi, our research assistants for their positive attitude, support, and
1252 help in all stages of the studies.

1253

1254 Author contributions

1255 Conceptualization: A.M., J.B., J.M.C., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A

1256 Funding Acquisition: J.M.C., A.E.H., M.J.V., B.F.A.

1257 Data curation: A.M., J.B., J.C., O.S., W.C., A.N., N.N.P., W.J., E.C, E.O.C., S.D., N.H.,
1258 I.M., H.L., R.H., V.S., J.H., T.N.

1259 Formal analyses: A.M., J.B., J.C., O.S., W.C., A.N., N.N.P., W.J., E.C, E.O.C., S.D.,
1260 N.H., I.M., H.L., V.S., B.F.A

1261 Methodology: A.M., J.B., J.M.C, J.C., O.S., N.H., I.M., A.E.H., M.J.V.,K.H.B., P.C.,
1262 B.F.A.

1263 Visualization: A.M.,J.B., A.N., N.N.P., S.D., A.S., E.C, J.C., R.H., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A.

1264 Writing – Original Draft Preparation: A.M., J.B., B.F.A.

1265 Writing – Review & Editing: A.M., J.B., J.M.C., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A., *ki* Child Growth
1266 Consortium members

1267

1268 Competing interest declaration

1269 Thea Norman is an employee of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF). Kenneth
1270 H Brown and Parul Christian are former employees of BMGF. Jeremy Coyle, Vishak
1271 Subramoney, Ryan Hafen, and Jonas Häggström work as research contractors funded
1272 by the BMGF.

1273

1274 Additional information

1275 Supplementary Information is available for this paper at [https://child-
1276 growth.github.io/causes](https://child-growth.github.io/causes).

1277

1278 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Andrew Mertens
1279 (amertens@berkeley.edu) and Benjamin F. Arnold (ben.arnold@ucsf.edu).

1280