Changes in Children's Fitness and Health Status Over the Course of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A 34-month Longitudinal Study of 331 Primary School Children (September 2019 to June 2022)

Gerald Jarnig ^{1,*}, Reinhold Kerbl ², Mireille N.M. van Poppel ¹

¹ Institute of Human Movement Science, Sport and Health, University of Graz, 8010 Graz, Austria

² Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, LKH Hochsteiermark, 8700 Leoben, Austria;

*Corresponding author:

Gerald Jarnig Institute of Human Movement Science, Sport and Health, University of Graz, Mozartgasse 14 8010 Graz, Österreich gerald.jarnig@gmx.at; gerald.jarnig@uni-graz.at +43 (0) 650 7007 999

ABSTRACT

Background: Previous studies have shown that coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) mitigation measures lead to reductions in health status and health-related fitness in children, whereas no data are currently available on the development of children after the removal of these mitigation measures. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the influence of different stringent measures, during and after COVID-19, on the longitudinal development of fitness and health status of primary school children.

METHODS: A longitudinal cohort study of 331 primary school children in Austria aged 7.7 \pm 0.4 years at the start of the observation period was conducted. Before (Sept 2019), and during (June 2020, Sept 2020) and after (March 2021, June 2021, Sept 2021, and June 2022) the COVID-19 mitigation measures, fitness tests were carried out and anthropometric data of the children were collected. Age- and sex-specific standard deviation scores (SDSs) were calculated for seven individual fitness tests of the AUT FIT [1] test battery, body mass index, and waist-to-height ratio. In addition, children were classified into different fitness categories based on the AUT FIT summary score.

Results: After the implementation of COVID-19 mitigation measures, cardiorespiratory fitness and action speed decreased dramatically, and the number of children with overweight or obesity increased. At the last measurement after the COVID-19 mitigation measures (June 2022), significant improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness and weight status were observed . However, pre-COVID fitness levels were not achieved and BMI was still higher compared to Sept 2019.

Interpretation: Our study showed a trend reversal, in that the negative indirect effects of the COVID-19 measures on fitness and health parameters of children were followed by significant improvements in these parameters after the removal of those mitigation measures. At the end of the observation period, a positive trend in the development of fitness and health status was observed, although pre-COVID levels were not reached. Collaborative efforts are needed to reinforce the observed positive trend, and to continue to improve the level of fitness and health status of primary school children, and to mitigate the long-term negative health consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Funding: Austrian Federal Ministry of Arts, Culture, Public Service and Sports (GZ2021-0.361.671).

INTRODUCTION

In late 2019, when the COVID-19 pandemic began spreading globally from Wuhan, China, heavy restrictions were enforced on public places around the world to best mitigate the spread of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus [2].

Children and adolescents were particularly affected by the restrictions due to COVID-19related school closures and severely limited sports and leisure opportunities [3,4].

This, in combination with greatly reduced of physical activity [3,5–11], increased screen [5,6,8–10] and sedentary time during leisure time [4,7], changes in eating habits [8–10,12], and shifted sleep times [8–10,13] led to lifestyle changes in children and adolescents worldwide.

These lifestyle changes were directly related to a decrease in cardiorespiratory fitness [14–17] and a significant increase in the number of children suffering overweight or obesity [18–22].

During the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, dramatic declines in cardiorespiratory fitness and a dramatic increase in body mass index in children and adolescents have been reported [18,23,24].

Cardiorespiratory fitness in childhood is an important health marker [25], and higher CRF levels are associated with lower BMI, waist circumference, and body fat measurements, as well as a lower prevalence of metabolic syndrome later in life [26]. Additionally, childhood obesity is associated with increased cardiovascular risk factors and coronary heart diseases [27,28].

In Austria, a research project started in September 2019, before the COVID-19-related restrictions in school (13 March 2020), in which data on the fitness and health status of primary school children were collected at seven testing time points (September 2019 to June 2022).

To the best of our knowledge, there are currently no studies reporting changes in cardiorespiratory fitness, BMI, abdominal girth-to-height ratio, and other fitness parameters over the first 34 months of the COVID-19 pandemic using objectively measured data.

The aim of our study was to investigate how children's fitness and health status changed over the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, specifically children's development after relaxation of the stringent COVID-19 mitigation measures beginning in June 2021.

METHODS

This study was originally designed as a randomized controlled trial to investigate the effects of a physical education intervention on the fitness and health status of primary school children in Klagenfurt, Austria. Due to the COVID-19 regulations, the intervention had to be discontinued in March 2020. However, the planned follow-up measurements in June 2020, September 2020, March 2021, June 2021, September 2021, and June 2022 were carried out under the COVID-19-related hygiene regulations valid at the time of testing. Because of the discontinued intervention study, we analyzed the data as that of a longitudinal study and assessed the effects of COVID-19 mitigation measures on the fitness and health status in children aged 7–10 years. The study was registered in the German Clinical Trials Registry (ID DRKS00023824) and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Graz, Styria, Austria (GZ. 39/23/63 ex 2018/19).

Selection of Schools and Participants

A list of all 39 primary schools in the greater Klagenfurt area, Austria, was used to select the schools. Using a random number generator, 12 schools were selected and randomly divided into intervention and control groups. All schools agreed to participate in the study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: aged between 7 and 10 years at study baseline and physical ability to perform all of the motor skills tests in the test battery. In spring 2019, all children who were attending first or second grade in any of the 12 schools at that moment were invited to participate in the study. Because those children in the second grade left school in 2021 and thus were not available for follow-up measurements in September 2021 and June 2022, we limited the analysis in this paper to the 516 children who attended first grade at baseline. A total of 433 (83.9%) legal guardians of these children gave their written consent to participate (Figure 1) and reported in writing whether or not their child was a member of a sports club. Meanwhile, 412 children attending first grade in their primary school in school year (SY) 2018/2019 participated in baseline testing (T1) prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (September 2019). Over the course of the different testing phases, children dropped out of the longitudinal study for various reasons (change of school or class, illness, injury, COVID-19, etc.) during the follow-up assessments (September 2019 (T1), June 2020 (T2), September 2020 (T3), March 2021 (T4), June 2021 (T5), September 2021 (T6), and June 2022 (T7)). In total, anthropometric data were collected from 331 children at all test time points and the same number of children completed the six-minute run (6MR) at all possible test time points. For the remaining fitness tests, only those children who completed all of the tests in the remaining

test battery at all possible time points were analyzed. Since the tests were carried out, in part, on different test days, the number of study participants varied between the anthropometric data, cardiorespiratory fitness, and the remaining fitness tests, for which a total of 276 children were finally available for the overall assessment. For the medicine ball throw, there were also 276 children present at all testing time points, but due to a lack of reference values for children over the age of 10, only 255 children could be included in the overall analysis (Figure 1).

Measurements

All measurements were standardized and piloted with trained personnel (sports scientists) in June 2019 on non-participating children (n = 98) of the same age group. Baseline measurements (T1) were carried out in September 2019. When the lockdown was slowly relaxed in spring 2020 (Tables M1 and M2 and Figures M1 and M2), the legal department of the Carinthian Directorate of Education allowed a second test phase (T2) in June 2020, which was performed under strict hygiene measures and the nationwide COVID-19 regulations in place at the time. The cardio running test (6MR) was not allowed at that time because the minimum distance between participants could not be guaranteed. The third test phase (T3) took place in September 2020, during which the complete test battery was carried out. The fourth test phase. Due to a very short time window, the complete test battery was not performed at T3. Only anthropometric and health-related fitness data (cardiorespiratory fitness, action speed, and strength) were collected. The fifth, sixth, and seventh test phases were carried out in June 2021 (T5), September 2021 (T6), and June 2022 (T7), respectively, and all fitness tests could be carried out as planned at these time points.

The intervention began after the baseline measurement in September 2019. In the intervention group, all physical education lessons were planned and implemented by external coaches and sports scientists according to the Austrian school curriculum. The control group received their usual physical education classes at school. The intervention and regular school classes were canceled due to the COVID-19 pandemic on 16 March 2020.




Figure 1. Flow diagram.

To visualize government-ordered restrictions over the time period considered, the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT) [29] provides an internationally comparable level (Figure M1). Based on the OxCGRT classification, a more detailed, self-developed restriction assessment was developed to visualize the impact of the pandemic mitigation measures on children over time (Figure M2). The detailed description of this

classification method is based on the Austrian legal decrees published in the Austrian Federal Law Gazette [30].

During the observation period, a sports intervention was designed and implemented from September 2021 to June 2022. For this purpose, the children were again randomly divided into intervention and control groups. The physical activity classes of the children in the intervention groups were planned by external experts according to the Austrian school curriculum and instructed on site by external coaches in the schools. In addition, on days when there were no physical classes, the children were instructed to learn cognitive content with specific movement activities twice a week, while movement activity was given as homework once a week. The planning of these additional specific movement-supporting work materials was also carried out by external experts, provided to all class teachers and implemented by them on site in the school.

Table M1. Levels of COVID-19-related restrictions for children in Austria in schools andsports classes from 31 January 2020 to 31 May 2022 regarding the OxCGRT StringencyIndex.

Period	Index a	School Structure	Physical Education in School	Sports and Leisure Facilities	Sports at the Club	Stay at Home Requirements	Sum of Restrictions in the School
31.01.2020-23.02.2020	0.00	0	0	0	0 0		0
24.02.2020-08.03.2020	11.11	0	0	0	0	0	0
09.03.2020-10.03.2020	19.44	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.03.2020	34.26	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.03.2020-15.03.2020	48.15	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.03.2020-13.04.2020	81.48	3	3	3	3	3	15
14.04.2020-22.04.2020	77.78	3	3	3	3	3	15
23.04.2020-30.04.2020	75.00	3	3	3	3	3	15
01.05.2020	67.59	3	3	2	3	2	13
02.05.2020-10.05.2020	64.81	3	3	2	3	2	13
11.05.2020-17.05.2020	59.26	3	3	2	3	2	13
18.05.2020-28.05.2020	59.26	2	3	2	3	2	12
29.05.2020-02.06.2020	53.70	2	3	2	2	2	11
03.06.2020	50.00	2	3	2	2	2	11
04.06.2020-1.07.2020	47.22	2	3	2	2	2	11
02.07.2020-09.07.2020	47.22	2	3	1	1	0	7
10.07.2020-05.09.2020	35.19	0	0	1	1	0	2
06.09.2020-13.09.2020	36.11	0	0	1	1	0	2
14.09.2020-16.09.2020	36.11	1	0	1	1	1	4
17.09.2020-28.09.2020	37.04	1	0	1	1	1	4
29.09.2020-12.10.2020	40.74	1	0	1	1	1	4
13.10.2020-16.10.2020	44.91	1	0	1	1	2	5
17.10.2020-22.10.2020	58.80	1	0	1	1	2	5
23.10.2021	60.19	1	0	1	1	2	5
24.10.2020-26.10.2020	60.19	0	0	1	3	2	6
27.10.2020-01.11.2020	64.81	0	0	1	3	2	6
02.11.2020	75.00	0	0	1	3	2	6
03.11.2020-16.11.2020	75.00	0	2	1	3	2	8
17.11.2020-06.12.2020	82.41	3	3	1	3	2	12
07.12.2020-23.12.2020	71.30	1	2	1	3	1	8
24.12.2020-25.12.2020	82.41	3	3	1	3	2	12
26.12.2020-06.01.2021	82.41	3	3	1	3	2	12

07.01.2021-18.01.2021	82.41	3	3	1	3	2	12
19.01.2021-05.02.2021	82.41	2	2	1	3	1	9
06.02.2021-07.02.2021	82.41	3	3	1	3	1	11
08.02.2021-11.02.2021	74.07	3	3	1	3	1	11
12.02.2021-14.02.2021	79.63	3	3	1	3	1	11
15.02.2021-14.03.2021	75.93	1	2	1	3	1	8
15.03.2021-31.03.2021	73.15	1	2	1	2	1	7
01.04.2021-05.04.2021	75.00	1	2	1	2	1	7
06.04.2021-25.04.2021	78.70	1	1	1	2	1	6
26.04.2021-02.05.2021	76.85	1	1	1	2	1	6
03.05.2021-10.05.2021	75.00	1	1	1	2	1	6
11.05.2021-15.05.2021	73.15	1	1	1	2	1	6
16.05.2021-18.05.2021	65.74	1	1	1	2	1	6
19.05.2021-09.06.2021	68.52	1	0	0	1	0	2
10.06.2021-30.06.2021	60.19	1	0	0	1	0	2
01.07.2021-10.08.2021	49.07	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.08.2021-24.08.2021	56.02	0	0	0	0	0	0
25.08.2021-08.09.2021	58.80	0	0	0	0	0	0
09.09.2021-12.09.2021	49.07	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.09.2021-14.09.2021	49.07	0	1	0	0	0	1
15.09.2021-17.09.2021	51.85	0	1	0	1	0	2
18.09.2021-28.09.2021	60.19	0	1	0	1	0	2
29.09.2021-30.09.2021	51.85	0	1	0	1	0	2
01.10.2021–21.11.2021	49.31 b	0	1	0	1	0	2
22.11.2021-28.02.2022	50.77 b	1	1	0	1	0	3
01.03.2022–19.04.2022	39.28 ь	1	0	0	0	0	1
20.04.2022-31.05.2022	37.96 b	0	0	0	0	0	0
^a COVID-19: Govern	ment Str	ingency Index; b a	average value.				
0 = no restrictions, 1	= low re	strictions, $2 = mec$	lium restrictions,	3 = high restrictions			


Table M2. Detailed description of COVID-19 restriction levels for children in Austria inschools and sports classes from 31 January 2020 to 31 May 2022 in relation to the OxCGRTStringency Index.

Period	Index ^a	School sSructure	Physical Education in School	Sports and Leisure Facilities	Sports at the Club	Stay at Home Requirements	
31.01.2020- 23.02.2020	0.00						
24.02.2020- 08.03.2020	11.11		No restrictions	No restrictions			
09.03.2020- 10.03.2020	19.44	No restrictions			No restrictions	No restrictions	
12.03.2020	34.26						
13.03.2020- 15.03.2020	48.15						
16.03.2020- 13.04.2020	81.48			Closure of all			
14.04.2020- 22.04.2020	77.78		in primary school/no physical	public playgrounds and		Required with exceptions	
23.04.2020- 30.04.2020	75.00	Distance learning		sports facilities			
01.05.2020	67.59	in primary school			Club sports are forbidden		
02.05.2020- 10.05.2020	64.81		education in school	Public			
11.05.2020- 17.05.2020	59.26			playgrounds and sports grounds		Restricted freedom	
18.05.2020- 28.05.2020	59.26	Lessons in		are reopened,		movement/keeping	
29.05.2020- 02.06.2020	53.70	primary school in shifts/home	No should be	minimum distance must be	Club sports are possible	a minimum distance	
03.06.2020	50.00	schooling are	No physical education in school	maintained	under severe restrictions		
04.06.2020- 1.07.2020	47.22	possible and	education in school		and conditions		
02.07.2020- 09.07.2020	47.22	recommended					
10.07.2020- 05.09.2020	35.19	Commence half d	C	N	Practice of club sports is	No restrictions	
06.09.2020- 13.09.2020	36.11	Summer holidays	Summer holidays	No restrictions	allowed under submission of prevention concepts		
14.09.2020- 16.09.2020	36.11						

17.09.2020– 28.09.2020	37.04					Restricted freedom	
29.09.2020– 12.10.2020	40.74	Ordinary lessons in elementary school/home	Ordinary physical			movement/keeping a minimum distance	
13.10.2020– 16.10.2020	44.91	schooling	education in school			uistance	
17.10.2020-	58.80	possible					
22.10.2020 23.10.2021	60.19						
24.10.2020- 26.10.2020	60.19						
27.10.2020-	64.81	Autumn vacation	Autumn vacation				
01.11.2020 02.11.2020	75.00					Recommended to	
03.11.2020– 16.11.2020	75.00	Ordinary lessons in elementary school/home schooling possible	Limited sports activities in primary school			stay at home	
17.11.2020– 06.12.2020	82.41	distance learning in primary school	distance learning in primary school / no sports classes				
07.12.2020– 23.12.2020	71.30	Ordinary lessons in elementary school/home schooling possible	Limited sports activities in primary school			Restricted freedom of movement/keeping a minimum distance	
24.12.2020- 25.12.2020	82.41	Christmas	Christmas vesstion		Club sports are forbidden		
26.12.2020- 06.01.2021	82.41	vacation	Christmas vacation			Recommended to	
07.01.2021– 18.01.2021	82.41	Distance learning in primary school	Distance learning in primary school/no sports classes	Public playgrounds and sports grounds are reopened, minimum		stay at home	
19.01.2021– 05.02.2021	82.41	Lessons in primary school in shifts/home schooling are possible and recommended	Limited sports activities in primary school	minimum distance must be maintained			
06.02.2021- 07.02.2021	82.41						
08.02.2021- 11.02.2021	74.07	Semester break	Semester break				
12.02.2021-	79.63					Restricted freedom	
14.02.2021 15.02.2021-	75.93					of movement/keeping	
14.03.2021 15.03.2021-						movement/keeping a minimum	
31.03.2021 01.04.2021-	73.15					distance	
05.04.2021 06.04.2021-	75.00	-					
25.04.2021	78.70	Ordinary lessons			Club sports are possible		
26.04.2021- 02.05.2021	76.85	in elementary school/home	Limited sports activities in		under severe restrictions		
03.05.2021- 10.05.2021	75.00	schooling	primary school		and conditions		
11.05.2021- 15.05.2021	73.15	possible					
16.05.2021- 18.05.2021	65.74						
19.05.2021 19.05.2021- 09.06.2021	68.52						
10.06.2021-	60.19			No restrictions			
<u>30.06.2021</u> 01.07.2021–	49.07						
10.08.2021 11.08.2021-	56.02	4			Practice of club sports is allowed under submitting		
24.08.2021 25.08.2021-		Summer holidays	Summer holidays		prevention concepts		
08.09.2021 09.09.2021-	58.80	4					
12.09.2021 13.09.2021	49.07					No restrictions	
14.09.2021	49.07	4		No restrictions			
15.09.2021- 17.09.2021	51.85	No restrictions	Restrictions		Practice of club sports is		
18.09.2021- 28.09.2021	60.19	1.5 restretions	possible in physical		possible with presentation of proof of vaccination,		
29.09.2021- 30.09.2021	51.85		education classes at		testing and		
01.10.2021- 21.11.2021	49.31 ^b	Mandatory	school		recovery/COVID-19 prevention concept		
22.11.2021-	50.77 ^b	masking and testing at school			required		
28.02.2022	23.11	testing at school		1	1	I I	

01.03.2022– 19.04.2022	39.28 ^b	Mandatory testing at school	No restrictions		No restrictions				
20.04.2022– 31.05.2022	37.96 ^b	No restrictions							
^a COVID-19: G	^a COVID-19: Government Stringency Index; ^b average value.								

Figure M1. OxCGRT Stringency Index for Austria from 31 January 2020 to 31 May 2022.






Figure M2. Self-developed levels for COVID-19-related restrictions for children in Austrian schools and sports classes from 31 January 2020 to 31 May 2022.

Procedure

For this study, the primary outcomes were the effects of the COVID-19 mitigation measures on children's fitness and health status, specifically children's follow-up development after relaxation of the stringent COVID-19 mitigation measures.

Anthropometrics

Anthropometric data included height (cm), weight (kg), and abdominal circumference (cm). The children's height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (SECA 213, Hamburg, Germany). Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using an electronic scale (BOSCH PPW4202/01, Nuremberg, Germany). Abdominal circumference was measured to the nearest 1 cm using a commercial tape measure. BMI was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in meters squared. To calculate the waist-to-height ratio (WtHR), abdominal circumference (cm) was divided by height (cm).

Fitness

Different fitness tests were used to assess the fitness of the children (a detailed description can also be found in the work of Jarnig et al. [1]).

Endurance

The six-minute run (6MR) was used to analyze cardiorespiratory fitness. The children were instructed to run as far as possible within 6 min. The test was performed in the schoolyards, athletic halls, and sports fields of the schools. A square (6×18 m) was marked out with sports poles, then the four corner poles were moved 0.5 m inward. The children had to run around the marked square. A group of six to seven children performed the test simultaneously, and the completed running distance was measured to the nearest meter.

Muscular Endurance and Whole-body Coordination

To assess muscular endurance and whole-body coordination, jumping sideways (JS) ability was tested. The instructor marked an area $(100 \times 50 \text{ cm})$ on the floor, which was divided into two squares $(50 \times 50 \text{ cm})$ with marking tape. The children stood with both legs in the middle of one square and jumped between the squares with both legs for 15 s after the start command. The aim was to complete as many jumps as possible without touching the marker. If the child touched the marker, that jump was not counted. The instructor counted the number of valid jumps, and each jump across the center line was counted as one jump attempt. Each child had two scoring attempts, and the average of the number of valid jumps from both attempts was used.

Lower Body Strength

The standing long jump (SLJ), used to assess lower body muscular strength, is considered as an index for general assessment of muscular fitness in children. The children were instructed to jump as far as they could with both legs from a starting line, and the shortest distance between the starting line and the contact of the child's heel with the ground was measured with a tape measure to the nearest centimeter. Three scoring attempts were carried out, and the widest of the three jumps was scored.

Upper Body Strength

The 1 kg medicine ball throw (MB1kg) was used to measure upper body muscle strength. Each child stood on a starting line, holding a 1 kg medicine ball with both hands while the ball was touching their chest, and threw the ball forward as far as possible with both hands. The shortest distance between the starting line and the ball's contact with the ground was measured with a tape measure to the nearest centimeter. Each child had two attempts to throw the ball, and the widest throw was scored.

Flexibility

Flexibility was measured with the sit and stretch test. An expensive test box is required to perform the classic sit-and-reach test; therefore, the V-Sit and Reach (VSR) test was chosen as an alternative method, which can be performed with a tape measure and a marking tape. The tape measure was attached to the floor and a heel line was marked with tape. The children sat on the floor with their feet 30 cm apart and their heels on the heel line, placed one hand on top of the other, and slowly stretched forward as far as they could. The distance between the heel line and the maximum position reached that could be held with the fingertips for 2 s was noted. Each child had two scoring attempts, with the longest reach included in the overall score. The standard values of the classic sit and reach test were used as reference values.

Action Speed

To assess the children's action speed, a shuttle run test $(4 \times 10 \text{ SHR})$ was performed. Two lines (starting and turning line) were marked on the floor at 10 m intervals. Two objects (O1 and O3) were placed behind the turning line and one easy-to-grasp object (O2) was placed in front of the starting line. The children had to run from the starting line across the turning line, pick up O1, run back across the starting line, and set O1 down. Then, they had to pick up O2 and run across the turn line again, put down O2, pick up O3, and run across the starting line with it. The children were instructed to complete this test as fast as possible. Two scoring attempts were made, and the time was measured with a stopwatch to the nearest 0.01 s. Each child had two attempts, and the fastest run was scored.

Reaction Speed

To test the reaction speed, a ruler drop test (RD) was performed. A ruler drop stick was constructed (see Jarnig et al. [1]) and held by the instructor. Each child formed a 45° angle with their thumb and outstretched fingers, and the instructor held the ruler drop stick centered in this area. The zero point was held at the level of the bottom of the thumb, and the instructor dropped the rod within 3 s after the command "Ready." The distance in centimeters that the stick fell was recorded. Each child had one test trial and five scoring attempts. The best and worst attempts were removed from the scoring, and the average score was calculated from the remaining three attempts and included in the overall score.

Balance

Existing balance tests are very time-intensive, so the standard single-leg stand (SLS) test was used, in which each leg was assessed for 1 min. The children were instructed to stand with one leg on a thin wooden board, place hands on hips, and hold this position for as long as possible. The test was performed with each leg for a maximum of 45 s (left, SLS-L, and right, SLS-R), and the best result (in seconds) from two attempts for each leg was used. If the child achieved the maximum score (45 s) on the first attempt, a second attempt with the same leg was not performed. Detailed information on the construction of the test apparatus was described by Jarnig et al. [1].

The validity and reliability of these four fitness tests have been described in detail before and have been reported to be suitable for use in field tests [1].

Although Jarnig et al. [1] described, in their reported paper, that the RD is not suitable for widespread use and that the JS test manual needs to be adapted, both tests continued to be performed as described because of the extraordinary attention given to the data due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the fact that there are few direct metric measures that allow direct conclusions to be made about fitness levels before and during the course of the COVID-19 pandemic.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all time points (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). Continuous variables are presented as the mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables as absolute values (No.) and percentages (%). The analyzed data contain only complete data for all measurement time points of each fitness test, and no imputation of the data was performed.

Standardization of Anthropometrics

Weight standardization and classification and health risk estimation were performed according to the methodology described in the pilot study for AUT FIT [1].

In short, national reference values were used for BMI standardization and weight classification. Absolute BMI values were converted to EQUI-BMI values (referred to as EQUI BMI_{AUT} in this paper) using the procedure described by Mayer et al. [31] (based on Cole et al. [32]). EQUI-BMI curves can be used to project actual BMI to cutoff values at an age of 18 years relevant to classifying children's weight into five categories (underweight

EQUI BMI_{AUT} <18.5 kg/m², normal weight EQUI BMI_{AUT} 18.5–25.0 kg/m², overweight EQUI BMI_{AUT} \geq 25.0 kg/m², obese EQUI BMI_{AUT} \geq 30.0 kg/m², morbidly obese EQUI BMI_{AUT} \geq 35.0 kg/m²) [31].

The cutoff values of 0.5 and 0.6 were used to classify the WtHR and to assess health risk more comprehensively, and the WtHR was divided into no (<0.5), increased (0.5–0.6), and high (\geq 0.6) health risk [33,34].

Standardization of Fitness

To compare the results of the fitness tests (raw scores) with different existing reference values, *z*-scores were formed based on the most current age- and gender-specific reference values. Since no reference values are available for Austrian children of this age, international reference values were used. Current international percentile tables were used for the application of the LMS method to calculate SD scores (6MR (Ref.= German standard values—Düsseldorfer Modell; DüMo) [35], SLJ (Ref. = German standard values—Düsseldorfer Modell; DüMo) [35], 4×10SHR (Ref. = Portuguese standard values; MCA) [36], and VSR (Ref. = Macedonian standard values; MAKFIT) [37]). International reference values were also used for traditional *z*-score standardization (RD (Ref. = German norm values; Fetz) [38], JS (Ref. German norm values—German Motor Test; GMT) [39], and MB1kg (Ref. German norm values—Karlsruhe Testing System; KATS-S) [40]).

Balance was assessed using the point score calculation described by Jarnig et al. [1].

Based on the methodology described in AUT FIT (Jarnig et al. [1]), the SDS or *z*-scores of each fitness test were converted into a nine-point score (STA9). For the classification of each test, the worst performance was represented by 1 point and the best performance by 9 points.

To simplify the presentation of the data for the viewer, the nine categories of assessment were combined into three collective categories. The original categories of "poor," "very poor," and "poor" athletic performance were described as "poor" athletic performance. The original categories of "below average," "average," and "above average" athletic performance were described as "average" athletic performance. The original categories of "very good," "excellent," and "exceptional" athletic performance were described as "good" athletic performance.

Changes Over Time

Changes in EQUI BMI_{AUT}, WtHR, 6MR SDS (DüMo), SLJ SDS (DüMo), MB1kg *z*-score (KATS-K), 4×10SHR SDS (MCA), VSR SDS (MAKFIT), JS *z*-score (GMT), RD *z*-score (Fetz), and SLS (SLS-L and SLS-R) were analyzed over the observation period using mixed analyses of variance (ANOVAs) for sports club membership, group membership in the last SY (intervention: yes or no), and test time points (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7) were analyzed. In the case of nonsphericity, Greenhouse–Geisser correction was performed. Homogeneity was tested with the Hartley Fmax test. For ANOVAs, partial eta squared (η_p^2) was used to determine the effect size ($\geq 0.01 = \text{small}$, $\geq 0.06 = \text{medium}$, $\geq 0.14 = \text{large}$) [41], and only an effect that was at least small was considered relevant.

Changes in the distribution of BMI, WtHR, and fitness classification categories over time were tested with the Friedman test. Post hoc tests were performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

For the different performance trends in the intervention and control groups in the last SY (September 2021 (T6) to June 2022 (T7)), Δ SDS and Δ *z*-values were calculated and analyzed using an unpaired *t*-test.

All tests were two-sided, and a *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Bonferroni correction was used for the post hoc tests.

All statistical calculations were performed with SPSS version 28 (IBM Corp. Released 2021. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

RESULTS

Table R1. Number of study participants in the individual tests.

Variable	All	Intervention Group SY21–22	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club	No Sports Club
BMI	331	179	152	146	185
WtHR	331	179	152	146	185
6MR	331	179	152	146	185
4×10SHR	276	140	136	124	152
SLJ	276	140	136	124	152
MB1kg	255	128	127	117	138
JS	276	140	136	124	152
VSR	276	140	136	124	152
SLS-L	276	140	136	124	152
SLS-R	276	140	136	124	152
RD	276	140	136	124	152

SY21-22 = school year 2021–2022; BMI = body mass index; WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; 6MR = six-minute run; 4×10 Shuttle run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stands left; SLS-R = single leg stands right.

The included study population that participated at all measurement time points (n = 331) and the group (n = 86) that could not be followed up were compared on the variables of age, sex, sports club affiliation, BMI, and abdominal circumference. Children who could not be followed up had poorer results in the 6-minute run and the 4 x 10 m shuttle run, but no differences were found in the anthropometric data, muscle strength, flexibility, coordination, reaction speed, or balance (Table R2).

In the sample included in these analyses, the mean age at baseline was 7.7 ± 0.4 years (range = 7–9 years), 179 (54.1%) participated in a physical education (PE) intervention at school between test phases T6 and T7, and 146 (44.1%) children had a sports club membership (Table R3).

Variable	Study Population N=331	Loss to Follow-up N=86	χ^2	t	p-lvl p-Value
Age (years)	7.7 (0.4)	7.8 (0.5)		-1.828	0.07

Table R2. Characteristics of the total sample study population vs. loss to follow-up.

Female gender	159 (48.0%)	44 (53.5%)	0.812		0.37
Sports club	146 (44.1%)	33 (38.4%)	0.917		0.34
EQUI BMIAUT, mean (SD)	22.18 (3.27)	22.17 (3.93)		0.046	0.96
WtHR, mean (SD)	0.458 (0.046)	0.462 (0.051)		-0.572	0.57
6MR SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.46 (1.10)	0.12 (1.07)		2.578 *	0.010
SLJ SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.08 (1.00)	-0.12 (1.05)		1.653	0.10
MB1kg z-value (KATS-K), mean (SD)	0.09 (0.97)	0.02 (1.14)		0.565	0.57
4×10SHR SDS (MCA), mean (SD)	-0.36 (0.87)	-0.68 (0.93)		3.015 **	0.003
RD z-value (Fetz), mean (SD)	1.22 (1.17)	1.07 (1.28)		0.995	0.32
JS z-value (GMT), mean (SD)	2.28 (1.38)	2.36 (1.67)		-0.452	0.65
VSR SDS (MAKFIT), mean (SD)	0.32 (1.40)	0.39 (1.50)		-0.444	0.66
SLS_L_points, mean (SD)	3.99 (3.14)	4.05 (3.32)		-0.137	0.89
SLS_R_points, mean (SD)	4.63 (3.11)	4.20 (3.10)		1.153	0.25

Data are the *n* (%) or mean (SD). Sample size for study population, n = 331; for loss to follow-up, n = 86. $\chi^2 =$ chi-square test value; t = t-test; *p*-lvl (*p*-value level), * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001; BMI = body mass index., EQUI BMI_{AUT} = equivalent BMI based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; SD = standard deviation; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 x 10 m shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; SD = standard deviation; SDS = standard deviation score; *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values.

Table R3. Characteristics of the study participants (anthropometric data).

Variable	September 2019	June 2020	September 2020	March 2021	June 2021	September 2021	June 2022	
Age (years), mean (SD)	7.7 (0.4)	8.4 (0.4)	8.7 (0.4)	9.2 (0.4)	9.4 (0.4)	9.7 (0.4)	10.4 (0.4)	
Intervention group SY21–22, No. (%)		179 (54.1%)						
Sports club, No. (%)			1	46 (44.1%))			

Study population, N = 331. Data are the No. (%) or mean (SD).

The PE intervention in the last school year showed significant results in the studied population. When comparing the data from the last two test times (T6 and T7), highly significant differences (p < 0.001) were observed between the intervention and control groups in the assessment of health risk, with a better performance in the intervention group (Table X1). Significant differences (p = 0.038) were additionally found in reaction speed, with the control group showing better development of eye–hand reaction (Table X1).

Among the children not attending sports clubs, those in the intervention group showed a better and healthier trend in all health-related parameters (weight classification, health risk assessment, cardiorespiratory endurance, strength, power endurance, and action speed) compared to the children in the control group. However, this trend was significant only for health risk assessment (Table X2).

Similarly, for children with sports club membership, significant differences were found only in health risk assessment, but no overall trend was observed in the other health-related parameters, representing better or healthier outcomes in either group (intervention or control group) (Table X2).

Detailed descriptive statistics on the raw scores collected, standard deviation scores (SDS), traditional *z*-scores, and point value calculations are presented in Tables R4, R5, and R6.

Table R4. Descriptive statistics of the anthro	popertrics at all seven measurement time po	oints.

	Variab	le	September 2019	June 2020	September 2020	March 2021	June 2021	September 2021	June 2022
	All (<i>N</i> = 331)		22.19 (3.27)	22.44 (3.51)	22.63 (3.49)	22.77 (3.58)	22.82 (3.50)	22.58 (3.47)	22.56 (3.55)
	Girls (<i>n</i> = 159)		22.34 (3.67)	22.48 (3.82)	22.56 (3.92)	22.51 (3.99)	22.57 (3.82)	22.46 (3.80)	22.50 (3.90)
	Boys (<i>n</i> = 172)		22.05 (2.85)	22.40 (3.20)	22.70 (3.06)	23.00 (3.14)	23.04 (3.17)	22.69 (3.13)	22.61 (3.20)
	Sports club ($n =$	146)	21.94 (2.52)	22.01 (2.93)	22.30 (2.78)	22.50 (2.90)	22.49 (2.76)	22.12 (2.77)	22.08 (2.73)
	No sports club (n = 185)	22.38 (3.75)	22.78 (3.88)	22.89 (3.96)	22.97 (4.03)	23.07 (3.97)	22.94 (3.90)	22.94 (4.05)
		All (<i>N</i> = 179)	22.10 (3.16)	22.53 (3.36)	22.51 (3.29)	22.73 (3.40)	22.67 (3.29)	22.44 (3.30)	22.38 (3.25)
EQUI	Intervention	Girls (<i>n</i> = 80)	22.17 (3.51)	22.47 (3.63)	22.34 (3.59)	22.34 (3.71)	22.26 (3.47)	22.18 (3.47)	22.13 (3.42)
BMI _{AUT} ,	Group SY21-	Boys (<i>n</i> = 99)	22.03 (2.87)	22.57 (3.15)	22.66 (3.04)	23.05 (22.34)	23.01 (3.12)	22.66 (3.16)	22.58 (3.11)
mean (SD)	22	Sports club ($n = 83$)	22.02 (2.61)	22.40 (2.79)	22.44 (2.73)	22.68 (2.89)	22.63 (2.83)	22.27 (2.79)	22.20 (2.76)
		No sports club ($n = 96$)	22.16 (3.58)	22.63 (3.81)	22.58 (3.72)	22.78 (3.79)	22.71 (3.66)	22.59 (3.70)	22.53 (3.63)
	Control Group SY21–22	All (<i>N</i> = 152)	22.29 (3.40)	22.34 (3.68)	22.77 (3.72)	22.81 (3.79)	22.99 (3.73)	22.74 (3.65)	22.77 (3.88)
		Girls $(n = 79)$	22.50 (3.84)	22.50 (4.03)	22.78 (4.23)	22.69 (4.28)	22.89 (4.14)	22.73 (4.11)	22.88 (4.32)
		Boys (<i>n</i> = 73)	22.07 (2.85)	22.17 (3.27)	22.75 (3.11)	22.93 (3.21)	23.09 (3.25)	22.74 (3.12)	22.66 (3.35)
	5121-22	Sports club ($n = 63$)	21.84 (2.42)	21.49 (3.06)	22.13 (2.85)	22.27 (2.92)	22.31 (2.69)	21.92 (2.75)	21.91 (2.71)
		No sports club ($n = 89$)	22.61 (3.93)	22.94 (3.97)	23.22 (4.19)	23.18 (4.28)	23.47 (4.27)	23.32 (4.09)	23.39 (4.44)
	All (<i>N</i> = 331)		0.458 (0.046)	0.477 (0.052)	0.447 (0.052)	0.472 (0.055)	0.433 (0.051)	0.444 (0.059)	0.440 (0.060)
	Girls (<i>n</i> = 159)		0.465 (0.053)	0.482 (0.059)	0.451 (0.059)	0.474 (0.062)	0.432 (0.057)	0.442 (0.062)	0.436 (0.066)
	Boys (<i>n</i> = 172)		0.452 (0.037)	0.472 (0.044)	0.444 (0.044)	0.470 (0.048)	0.435 (0.045)	0.445 (0.056)	0.444 (0.055)
	Sports club ($n = 146$)		0.449 (0.036)	0.467 (0.042)	0.439 (0.039)	0.462 (0.043)	0.424 (0.037)	0.433 (0.047)	0.434 (0.047)
	No sports club ((n = 185)	0.465 (0.052)	0.485 (0.058)	0.454 (0.059)	0.479 (0.063)	0.441 (0.059)	0.452 (0.066)	0.445 (0.069)
		All (<i>N</i> = 179)	0.453 (0.044)	0.474 (0.049)	0.443 (0.046)	0.473 (0.051)	0.430 (0.049)	0.449 (0.060)	0.437 (0.054)
WAID mean	Intervention	Girls (<i>n</i> = 80)	0.460 (0.050)	0.478 (0.052)	0.446 (0.051)	0.473 (0.056)	0.425 (0.053)	0.444 (0.059)	0.429 (0.055)
WtHR, mean	Group SY21-	Boys (<i>n</i> = 99)	0.448 (0.038)	0.470 (0.045)	0.441 (0.042)	0.474 (0.047)	0.434 (0.046)	0.452 (0.061)	0.444 (0.053)
(SD)	22	Sports club ($n = 83$)	0.446 (0.036)	0.465 (0.042)	0.437 (0.036)	0.468 (0.045)	0.424 (0.037)	0.439 (0.050)	0.433 (0.044)
		No sports club ($n = 96$)	0.459 (0.049)	0.481 (0.053)	0.448 (0.053)	0.478 (0.056)	0.435 (0.057)	0.457 (0.066)	0.441 (0.061)
		All (<i>N</i> = 152)	0.464 (0.047)	0.480 (0.056)	0.452 (0.057)	0.470 (0.060)	0.438 (0.053)	0.438 (0.058)	0.443 (0.067)
	0	Girls (<i>n</i> = 79)	0.470 (0.056)	0.486 (0.066)	0.455 (0.067)	0.474 (0.068)	0.439 (0.061)	0.439 (0.066)	0.442 (0.074)
	Control Group SY21–22	Boys (<i>n</i> = 73)	0.458 (0.036)	0.474 (0.043)	0.449 (0.046)	0.465 (0.049)	0.436 (0.044)	0.436 (0.047)	0.445 (0.059)
	5121-22	Sports club ($n = 63$)	0.453 (0.035)	0.468 (0.042)	0.442 (0.043)	0.455 (0.039)	0.424 (0.037)	0.425 (0.040)	0.435 (0.051)
		No sports club ($n = 89$)	0.472 (0.054)	0.488 (0.063)	0.460 (0.065)	0.480 (0.069)	0.447 (0.060)	0.447 (0.066)	0.450 (0.076)
AUT Weight C	Classification, No	. and %	September 2019	June 2020	September 2020	March 2021	June 2021	September 2021	June 2022
All (<i>N</i> = 331)		Underweight (No.)	18	16	12	13	12	12	18

	Normal weight (No.)	266	259	255	248	250	256	252
	Overweight (No.)	35	43	48	54	52	48	46
	Obesity (No.)	11	10	13	13	12	13	11
	Morbid obesity (No.)	1	3	3	3	5	2	4
	Underweight (%)	5.4	4.8	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.6	5.4
	Normal weight (%)	80.4	78.2	77.0	74.9	75.5	77.3	76.1
	Overweight (%)	10.6	13.0	14.5	16.3	15.7	14.5	13.9
	Obesity (%)	3.3	3.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.3
	Morbid obesity (%)	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.5	0.6	1.2
	Underweight (No.)	11	8	8	10	9	9	13
	Normal weight (No.)	142	141	136	133	135	139	135
	Overweight (No.)	21	24	28	28	26	24	25
	Obesity (No.)	4	5	6	7	7	7	5
Intervention Group SY21–22	Morbid obesity (No.)	1	1	1	1	2	179	1
(<i>N</i> = 179)	Underweight (%)	6.1	4.5	4.5	5.6	5.0	5.0	7.3
	Normal weight (%)	79.3	78.8	76.0	74.3	75.4	77.7	75.4
	Overweight (%)	11.7	13.4	15.6	15.6	14.5	13.4	14.0
	Obesity (%)	2.2	2.8	3.4	3.9	3.9	3.9	2.8
	Morbid obesity (%)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.1	0.0	0.6
	Underweight (No.)	7	8	4	3	3	3	5
	Normal weight (No.)	124	118	119	115	115	117	117
	Overweight (No.)	14	19	20	26	26	24	21
	Obesity (No.)	7	5	7	6	5	6	6
Control Group SY21–22 ($N =$	Morbid obesity (No.)	0	2	2	2	3	2	3
152)	Underweight (%)	4.6	5.3	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.3
	Normal weight (%)	81.6	77.6	78.3	75.7	75.7	77.0	77.0
	Overweight (%)	9.2	12.5	13.2	17.1	17.1	15.8	13.8
	Obesity (%)	4.6	3.3	4.6	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.9
	Morbid obesity (%)	0.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	2.0	1.3	2.0
	Underweight (No.)	6	7	5	3	4	5	8
	Normal weight (No.)	125	121	117	116	116	120	119
	Overweight (No.)	13	15	21	24	23	19	17
Sports Club ($N = 146$)	Obesity (No.)	2	3	3	3	3	2	2
sports Club (n = 140)	Morbid obesity (No.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Underweight (%)	4.1	4.8	3.4	2.1	2.7	3.4	5.5
	Normal weight (%)	85.6	82.9	80.1	79.5	79.5	82.2	81.5
	Overweight (%)	8.9	10.3	14.4	16.4	15.8	13.0	11.6

		Obesity (%)	1.4	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.4
		Morbid obesity (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Underweight (No.)	12	9	7	10	8	7	10
		Normal weight (No.)	141	138	138	132	134	136	133
		Overweight (No.)	22	28	27	30	29	29	29
		Obesity (No.)	9	7	10	10	9	11	9
No Secondo Chal	No Sports Club ($N = 185$)	Morbid obesity (No.)	1	3	3	3	5	2	4
No Sports Clut		Underweight (%)	6.5	4.9	3.8	5.4	4.3	3.8	5.4
	Normal weight (%)	76.2	74.6	74.6	71.4	72.4	73.5	71.9	
	Overweight (%)	11.9	15.1	14.6	16.2	15.7	15.7	15.7	
		Obesity (%)	4.9	3.8	5.4	5.4	4.9	5.9	4.9
		Morbid obesity (%)	0.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.7	1.1	2.2
		Underweight (No.)	4	1	3	2	2	3	5
		Normal weight (No.)	68	70	65	63	65	66	65
		Overweight (No.)	10	10	13	16	14	13	12
		Obesity (No.)	1	2	2	2	2	1	1
Sports Club	Sports Club (n	Morbid obesity (No.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	= 83)	Underweight (%)	4.8	1.2	3.6	2.4	2.4	3.6	6.0
		Normal weight (%)	81.9	84.3	78.3	75.9	78.3	79.5	78.3
		Overweight (%)	12.0	12.0	15.7	19.3	16.9	15.7	14.5
Intervention		Obesity (%)	1.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.2	1.2
Group SY21–		Morbid obesity (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
22 (N = 179)		Underweight (No.)	7	7	5	8	7	6	8
22(11 - 179)		Normal weight (No.)	74	71	71	70	70	73	70
		Overweight (No.)	11	14	15	12	12	11	13
		Obesity (No.)	3	3	4	5	5	6	4
	No Sports	Morbid obesity (No.)	1	1	1	1	2	0	1
	Club (<i>n</i> = 96)	Underweight (%)	7.3	7.3	5.2	8.3	7.3	6.3	8.3
		Normal weight (%)	77.1	74.0	74.0	72.9	72.9	76.0	72.9
		Overweight (%)	11.5	14.6	15.6	12.5	12.5	11.5	13.5
		Obesity (%)	3.1	3.1	4.2	5.2	5.2	6.3	4.2
		Morbid obesity (%)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.1	0.0	1.0
	Broup Sunda Cl. 1. (Underweight (No.)	2	6	2	1	2	2	3
Control Group		Normal weight (No.)	57	51	52	53	51	54	54
SY21-22 ($N =$	Sports Club ($n = 63$)	Overweight (No.)	3	5	8	8	9	6	5
152)	- 03)	Obesity (No.)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Morbid obesity (No.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Underweight (%)	3.2	9.5	3.2	1.6	3.2	3.2	4.8
		Normal weight (%)	90.5	81.0	82.5	84.1	81.0	85.7	85.7
		Overweight (%)	4.8	7.9	12.7	12.7	14.3	9.5	7.9
		Obesity (%)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
		Morbid obesity (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Underweight (No.)	5	2	2	2	1	1	2
		Normal weight (No.)	67	67	67	62	64	63	63
		Overweight (No.)	11	14	12	18	17	18	16
		Obesity (No.)	6	4	6	5	4	5	5
No	o Sports	Morbid obesity (No.)	0	2	2	2	3	2	3
Cl	lub(n = 89)	Underweight (%)	5.6	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.1	1.1	2.2
		Normal weight (%)	75.3	75.3	75.3	69.7	71.9	70.8	70.8
		Overweight (%)	12.4	15.7	13.5	20.2	19.1	20.2	18.0
		Obesity (%)	6.7	4.5	6.7	5.6	4.5	5.6	5.6
		Morbid obesity (%)	0.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	3.4	2.2	3.4
WtHR, Heath Est	timation, No.	and %	September 2019	June 2020	September 2020	March 2021	June 2021	September 2021	June 2022
		No h.r. (No.)	283	245	286	243	295	273	283
		Increased h.r. (No.)	45	76	40	76	33	53	41
		High h.r. (No.)	3	10	5	12	3	5	7
All (<i>N</i> = 331)		No h.r. (%)	85.5	74.0	86.4	73.4	89.1	82.5	85.5
		Increased h.r. (%)	13.6	23.0	12.1	23.0	10.0	16.0	12.4
		High h.r. (%)	0.9	3.0	1.5	3.6	0.9	1.5	2.1
		No h.r. (No.)	158	138	157	133	160	143	154
		Increased h.r. (No.)	20	37	20	41	18	33	23
Intervention Group	o SY21–22	High h.r. (No.)	1	4	2	5	1	3	2
(<i>N</i> = 179)		No h.r. (%)	88.3	77.1	87.7	74.3	89.4	79.9	86.0
		Increased h.r. (%)	11.2	20.7	11.2	22.9	10.1	18.4	12.8
		High h.r. (%)	0.6	2.2	1.1	2.8	0.6	1.7	1.1
		No h.r. (No.)	125	107	129	110	135	130	129
Control Group SY21–22 (<i>N</i> = 152)		Increased h.r. (No.)	25	39	20	35	15	20	18
		High h.r. (No.)	2	6	3	7	2	2	5
		No h.r. (%)	82.2	70.4	84.9	72.4	88.8	85.5	84.9
		Increased h.r. (%)	16.4	25.7	13.2	23.0	9.9	13.2	11.8
		High h.r. (%)	1.3	3.9	2.0	4.6	1.3	1.3	3.3
Sports Club $(N-1)$	46)	No h.r. (No.)	134	118	134	117	139	132	130
Sports Club ($N = 146$)	Increased h.r. (No.)	12	27	12	27	7	14	16	

		High h.r. (No.)	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
		No h.r. (%)	91.8	80.8	91.8	80.1	95.2	90.4	89.0
		Increased h.r. (%)	8.2	18.5	8.2	18.5	4.8	9.6	11.0
		High h.r. (%)	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		No h.r. (No.)	149	127	152	126	156	141	153
		Increased h.r. (No.)	33	49	28	49	26	39	25
N. C. C. I	(M_{105})	High h.r. (No.)	3	9	5	10	3	5	7
No Sports Club	(N = 185)	No h.r. (%)	80.5	68.6	82.2	68.1	84.3	76.2	82.7
		Increased h.r. (%)	17.8	26.5	15.1	26.5	14.1	21.1	13.5
		High h.r. (%)	1.6	4.9	2.7	5.4	1.6	2.7	3.8
		No h.r. (No.)	78	69	76	64	78	72	75
		Increased h.r. (No.)	5	13	7	18	5	11	8
	Sports Club (<i>n</i> = 83)	High h.r. (No.)	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
		No h.r. (%)	94.0	83.1	91.6	77.1	94.0	86.7	90.4
T / /		Increased h.r. (%)	6.0	15.7	8.4	21.7	6.0	13.3	9.6
Intervention		High h.r. (%)	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Group SY21– $22(N-170)$		No h.r. (No.)	80	69	81	69	82	71	79
22 (<i>N</i> = 179)		Increased h.r. (No.)	15	24	13	23	13	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15
	No Sports	High h.r. (No.)	1	3	2	4	1		2
	Club $(n = 96)$	No h.r. (%)	83.3	71.9	84.4	71.9	85.4	74.0	82.3
		Increased h.r. (%)	15.6	25.0	13.5	24.0	13.5	22.9	15.6
		High h.r. (%)	1.0	3.1	2.1	4.2	1.0	3.1	2.1
		No h.r. (No.)	56	49	58	53	61	60	55
		Increased h.r. (No.)	7	14	5	9	2	3	8
	Sports Club (n	High h.r. (No.)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	= 63)	No h.r. (%)	88.9	77.8	92.1	84.1	96.8	95.2	87.3
		Increased h.r. (%)	11.1	22.2	7.9	14.3	3.2	4.8	12.7
Control Group SY21–22 ($N = 152$)		High h.r. (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		No h.r. (No.)	69	58	71	57	74	70	74
152)		Increased h.r. (No.)	18	25	15	26	13	17	10
	No Sports	High h.r. (No.)	2	6	3	6	2	2	5
	Club $(n = 89)$	No h.r. (%)	77.5	65.2	79.8	64.0	83.1	78.7	83.1
		Increased h.r. (%)	20.2	28.1	16.9	29.2	14.6	19.1	11.2
		High h.r. (%)	2.2	6.7	3.4	6.7	2.2	2.2	5.6

Data are the No. (%) or mean (SD). SY21-22 = school year 2021-2022; EQUI BMI_{AUT} = equivalent BMI based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; AUT weight classification = based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; weight classification: underweight = equivalent BMI <18.5, normal weight = equivalent BMI 18.5-25, overweight = equivalent BMI 25.0-30.0, obesity = equivalent BMI 30-35, morbid obesity = equivalent BMI >35; WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; h.r.= health risk; estimation of health risk = WtHR <0.5, increased health risk = WtHR 0.5 to <0.6, high health risk = WtHR ≥ 0.6 .

Table R5. Descriptive statistics of the raw fitness data at all seven measurement time points.

	Varial	ble	September 2019	June 2020	September 2020	March 2021	June 2021	September 2021	June 2022
	All (<i>N</i> = 331)		903 (135)	No testing	804 (126)	824 (145)	851 (153)	850 (169)	935 (171)
	Sports Club (n =	= 146)	954 (128)	No testing	842 (133)	873 (146)	913 (144)	907 (176)	993 (169)
	No Sports Club	(n = 185)	863 (126)	No testing	774 (113)	785 (132)	803 (143)	805 (148)	890 (158)
6MR, mean	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 179)	908 (128)	No testing	815 (116)	834 (147)	872 (148)	864 (180)	959 (169)
(SD) in m	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 83$)	940 (127)	No testing	834 (116)	865 (148)	912 (147)	910 (187)	996 (178)
(5D) III III	22	No Sports Club ($n = 96$)	881 (123)	No testing	799 (113)	808 (141)	838 (140)	825 (164)	927 (156)
	Control Crown	All (<i>N</i> = 152)	897 (142)	No testing	790 (137)	811 (142)	826 (156)	834 (153)	908 (169)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 63$)	974 (128)	No testing	851 (152)	883 (143)	914 (141)	904 (161)	990 (158)
	5121-22	No Sports Club ($n = 89$)	843 (127)	No testing	747 (106)	760 (117)	765 (137)	784 (126)	850 (152)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		15.16 (1.37)	15.10 (1.39)	14.97 (1.50)	14.13 (1.28)	13.66 (1.17)	13.43 (1.14)	12.84 (1.00)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	14.79 (1.11)	14.56 (1.23)	14.56 (1.43)	13.66 (1.03)	13.29 1.02()	13.01 (0.98)	12.50 (0.80)
	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	15.46 (1.49)	15.54 (1.36)	15.30 (1.48)	14.52 (1.34)	13.97 (1.20)	13.78 (1.16)	13.13 (1.06)
4×10SHR,	(SD) in Group SY21–	All (<i>N</i> = 140)	15.05 (1.41)	15.10 (1.31)	14.92 (1.60)	13.90 (1.19)	13.65 (1.15)	13.38 (1.04)	12.78 (0.91)
mean (SD) in		Sports Club ($n = 67$)	14.66 (0.93)	14.79 (1.08)	14.60 (1.60)	13.58 (0.93)	13.33 (0.97)	13.08 (0.88)	12.57 (0.71)
s	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	15.41 (1.67)	15.39 (1.44)	15.21 (1.55)	14.19 (1.33)	13.94 (1.24)	13.65 (1.10)	12.96 (1.04)
	Control Control	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	15.27 (1.32)	15.10 (1.47)	15.01 (1.40)	14.37 (1.34)	13.68 (1.20)	13.48 (1.25)	12.92 (1.09)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club $(n = 57)$	14.94 (1.29)	14.28 (1.34)	14.51 (1.21)	13.74 (1.13)	13.24 (1.09)	12.92 (1.09)	12.41 (0.91)
	5121-22	No Sports Club $(n = 79)$	15.51 (1.31)	15.69 (1.27)	15.37 (1.42)	14.82 (1.30)	13.99 (1.18)	13.89 (1.20)	13.28 (1.07)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		121 (18)	135 (18)	132 (20)	136 (20)	137 (20)	139 (20)	146 (21)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	125 (17)	141 (17)	137 (19)	143 (19)	143 (19)	144 (20)	152 (19)
	No Sports Club	(<i>n</i> = 152)	117 (18)	131 (18)	129 (19)	130 (19)	133 (19)	134 (19)	142 (21)
CT T	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 140)	121 (18)	136 (18)	132 (19)	136 (20)	138 (20)	138 (20)	146 (20)
SLJ, mean (SD) in cm	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	124 (16)	141 (16)	135 (18)	143 (18)	142 (18)	142 (19)	150 (18)
(SD) in cin	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	119 (19)	132 (18)	129 (19)	131 (20)	134 (20)	134 (21)	143 (22)
	G (10	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	120 (19)	134 (19)	133 (21)	135 (21)	137 (20)	140 (19)	147 (21)
	Control Group	Sports Club $(n = 57)$	127 (19)	141 (18)	139 (21)	144 (20)	144 (20)	147 (20)	155 (20)
	SY21–22	No Sports Club $(n = 79)$	115 (17)	130 (17)	129 (20)	129 (19)	132 (18)	134 (17)	141 (20)
	All (<i>N</i> = 255)	·	315 (66)	359 (70)	373 (68)	400 (76)	410 (74)	418 (75)	465 (80)
MD1L.	Sports Club (n =	= 117)	338 (68)	380 (72)	391 (73)	416 (79)	429 (80)	440 (74)	477 (81)
MB1kg,	kg, No Sports Club	(<i>n</i> = 138)	295 (59)	340 (63)	359 (60)	386 (70)	394 (66)	400 (71)	455 (79)
mean (SD) in	Intervention	All $(N = 128)$	312 (66)	362 (71)	374 (70)	396 (77)	408 (77)	417 (78)	467 (80)
	Group SY21-	Sports Club $(n = 62)$	332 (63)	384 (69)	391 (72)	413 (75)	426 (77)	439 (73)	473 (77)
	22	No Sports Club $(n = 66)$	293 (64)	342 (66)	358 (65)	380 (76)	391 (74)	396 (77)	461 (82)

	Control Control	All (<i>N</i> = 127)	317 (67)	355 (69)	373 (67)	404 (75)	413 (72)	419 (72)	464 (81)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 55$)	345 (72)	376 (75)	391 (75)	420 (85)	433 (83)	441 (77)	482 (85)
	5121-22	No Sports Club ($n = 72$)	297 (54)	339 (60)	359 (57)	392 (64)	397 (57)	403 (65)	450 (75)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		29.5 (6.5)	32.0 (6.2)	35.2 (6.9)	No testing	37.7 (6.8)	38.4 (6.4)	41.4 (6.6)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	30.8 (6.5)	33.3 (6.5)	37.3 (6.9)	No testing	39.5 (7.4)	40.0 (6.6)	43.6 (6.5)
	No Sports Club		28.6 (6.4)	31.0 (5.8)	33.5 (6.4)	No testing	36.3 (5.9)	37.1 (6.0)	39.6 (6.2)
JS, mean	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	29.0 (6.6)	32.6 (6.2)	36.6 (6.5)	No testing	38.9 (6.6)	39.5 (6.5)	42.2 (6.6)
(SD) in No.	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	30.0 (6.3)	33.8 (6.2)	38.3 (6.9)	No testing	39.8 (7.5)	40.4 (6.4)	43.3 (6.6)
(SD) III 140.	22	No Sports Club ($n = 73$)	28.0 (6.7)	31.5 (6.0)	35.1 (5.6)	No testing	38.0 (5.6)	38.7 (6.6)	41.2 (6.5)
	Control Crosse	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	30.2 (6.4)	31.5 (6.3)	33.8 (7.0)	No testing	36.6 (6.8)	.6) 38.7 (6.6) 41.2 (6.4).8) 37.2 (6.1) 40.6 (6.4).4) 39.5 (6.8) 43.9 (6.4).7) 35.6 (5.0) 38.2 (5.6)3) 1.2 (8.7) 0.7 (9.5)5) 1.0 (8.7) 0.3 (9.6).2) 1.3 (8.6) 1.1 (9.4).3) 0.5 (8.5) 0.5 (9.7) 0.2) -0.6 (8.9) -1.4 (103) 1.5 (8.1) 2.2 (8.9)4) 1.8 (8.8) 1.0 (9.3)1) 2.8 (8.2) 2.4 (8.5) 0.0) 1.1 (9.2) 0.0 (9.8) 4.8) 34.5 (13.7) 36.6 (12.	40.6 (6.5)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	31.6 (6.7)	32.8 (6.8)	36.2 (6.8)	No testing	39.1 (7.4)	39.5 (6.8)	43.9 (6.4)
	5121-22	No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	29.1 (6.0)	30.5 (5.7)	32.1 (6.8)	No testing	34.8 (5.7)	35.6 (5.0)	38.2 (5.6)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		2.7 (8.3)	2.0 (8.9)	2.3 (8.7)	No testing	0.1 (9.3)	1.2 (8.7)	0.7 (9.5)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	2.5 (8.1)	1.8 (8.9)	2.1 (8.7)	No testing	0.2 (9.5)	1.0 (8.7)	0.3 (9.6)
	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	2.8 (8.5)	2.2 (9.0)	2.4 (8.7)	No testing	-0.4 (9.2)	1.3 (8.6)	1.1 (9.4)
VSR, mean	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 140)	2.3 (8.0)	1.5 (8.5)	1.7 (8.4)	No testing	-1.0 (9.3)	0.5 (8.5)	0.5 (9.7)
(SD) in cm	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	1.4 (7.9)	0.1 (8.7)	0.5 (8.9)	No testing	-1.8 (10.2)	-0.6 (8.9)	-1.4 (10.2)
(3D) in chi 22	22	No Sports Club ($n = 73$)	3.1 (8.0)	2.7 (8.3)	2.9 (7.8)	No testing	-0.2 (8.3)	1.5 (8.1)	2.2 (8.9)
	Control Group	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	3.1 (8.7)	2.5 (9.3)	2.9 (9.0)	No testing	0.7 (9.4)		1.0 (9.3)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	3.8 (8.2)	3.7 (8.9)	4.1 (8.1)	No testing	2.6 (8.1)	2.8 (8.2)	2.4 (8.5)
		No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	2.5 (9.0)	1.7 (9.6)	1.9 (9.5)	No testing	-0.6 (10.0)		0.0 (9.8)
	All $(N = 276)$		20.9 (15.9)	29.7 (14.3)	30.2 (14.3)	No testing	31.3 (14.8)	34.5 (13.7)	36.6 (12.4)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	21.1 (16.1)	31.1 (13.8)	32.7 (13.8)	No testing	33.1 (14.1)	35.9 (13.4)	37.8 (11.8)
	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	20.7 (15.7)	28.5 (14.6)	28.2 (14.4)	No testing	29.8 (15.3)	33.4 (13.9)	35.7 (12.9)
SLS-L, mean	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	21.2 (15.9)	32.1 (14.2)	31.1 (13.8)	No testing	31.3 (15.0)	35.4 (13.3)	37.7 (11.4)
(SD) in s	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	18.9 (15.4)	31.8 (14.5)	33.5(13.4)	No testing	32.4 (14.2)	34.4 (14.3)	37.5 (11.1)
(SD) III S	22	No Sports Club ($n = 73$)	23.4 (16.2)	32.4 (14.0)	29.0 (14.0)	No testing	30.4 (15.8)	36.2 (12.4)	37.9 (11.7)
	Control Group	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	20.5 (15.9)	27.2 (14.0)	29.3 (14.8)	No testing	31.2 (14.6)	33.7 (14.1)	35.5 (13.4)
	SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	23.7 (16.6)	30.4 (13.0)	31.9 (14.4)	No testing	33.9 (14.0)	37.7 (12.3)	38.1 (12.7)
	5121-22	No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	18.2 (15.0)	24.9 (14.4)	27.4 (14.8)	No testing	29.3 (14.8)	30.8 (14.7)	33.6 (13.6)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		24.6 (15.9)	28.8 (14.2)	31.7 (14.4)	No testing	32.1 (13.9)	34.5 (13.9)	36.6 (12.4)
	Sports Club (n	,	26.5 (15.5)	30.6 (14.4)	32.5 (14.2)	No testing	33.0 (13.5)	35.7 (13.8)	38.8 (11.1)
SIS D moon	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	23.0 (16.0)	27.4 (13.9)	31.0 (14.6)	No testing	31.4 (14.2)	33.6 (13.9)	34.8 (13.2)
SLS-R, mean	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	26.2 (15.8)	30.0 (14.4)	32.1 (14.7)	No testing	32.1 (13.5)	35.1 (14.0)	38.0 (11.3)
	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	25.6 (15.4)	29.5 (14.7)	32.1 (14.2)	No testing	31.9 (13.3)	35.6 (14.2)	39.0 (10.7)
		No Sports Club ($n = 73$)	26.8 (16.3)	30.6 (14.2)	32.1 (15.2)	No testing	32.4 (13.7)	34.6 (13.9)	37.1 (11.8)
		All (<i>N</i> = 136)	22.8 (15.8)	27.6 (13.9)	31.3 (14.2)	No testing	32.1 (14.4)	33.9 (13.8)	35.1 (13.4)

	Control Group	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	27.5 (15.8)	31.8 (14.2)	33.0 (14.4)	No testing	34.3 (13.8)	35.7 (13.5)	38.6 (11.7)
	SY21-22	No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	19.4 (15.0)	24.5 (13.0)	30.1 (14.1)	No testing	30.6 (14.7)	32.6 (14.0)	32.6 (14.0)
	All (N = 276)		18.9 (8.9)	15.5 (6.6)	19.2 (7.2)	No testing	14.1 (4.2)	16.5 (6.1)	19.2 (6.5)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	18.8 (9.3)	15.2 (6.6)	17.9 (5.9)	No testing	13.7 (3.7)	15.7 (6.1)	19.4 (7.4)
	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	18.9 (8.7)	15.7 (6.6)	20.3 (7.9)	No testing	14.4 (4.5)	17.2 (6.1)	19.0 (5.7)
DD meen	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 140)	20.1 (9.1)	15.1 (6.8)	18.8 (7.4)	No testing	14.2 (4.1)	15.1 (5.4)	19.0 (6.4)
RD, mean (SD) in cm	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	20.2 (9.7)	15.3 (6.6)	17.8 (5.9)	No testing	14.1 (3.9)	14.0 (4.9)	19.4 (7.7)
(SD) in chi	22	No Sports Club ($n = 73$)	19.9 (8.6)	14.8 (6.9)	19.8 (8.4)	No testing	14.3 (4.4)	16.2 (5.7)	18.6 (5.0)
	Control Crown	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	17.6 (8.6)	15.9 (6.4)	19.7 (7.0)	No testing	13.9 (4.3)	17.9 (6.5)	19.5 (6.6)
	$\mathbf{SY}_{21} = 22$	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	17.2 (8.6)	15.0 (6.7)	18.1 (6.0)	No testing	13.2 (3.5)	17.6 (6.9)	19.5 (7.2)
		No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	17.9 (8.6)	16.6 (6.1)	20.8 (7.4)	No testing	14.4 (4.7)	18.1 (6.3)	19.5 (6.3)

Data are the mean (SD). SD = standard deviation; SY21–22 = school year 2021–2022; 6MR = six-minute run; $4 \times 10SHR$ = 4 x 10 m shuttle run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; RD = ruler drop test; m = meter; cm = centimeter; s = seconds.

Table R6. Descriptive statistics of the standard deviation scores (SDSs) and traditional z-value calculations for the fitness data at all seven

measurement time points.

	Variat	ble	September 2019	June 2020	September 2020	March 2021	June 2021	September 2021	June 2022
	All (<i>N</i> = 331)		0.46 (1.10)	No testing	-0.51 (0.92)	-0.47 (1.04)	-0.30 (1.11)	-0.46 (1.19)	-0.07 (1.19)
	Sports Club (n =	= 146)	0.78 (1.05)	No testing	-0.29 (0.96)	-0.18 (1.04)	0.07 (1.03)	-0.12 (1.23)	0.25 (1.17)
	No Sports Club	(n = 185)	0.21 (1.07)	No testing	-0.68(0.86)	-0.69 (0.99)	-0.59 (1.08)	-0.72 (1.09)	-0.32 (1.15)
6MR SDS	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 179)	0.48 (1.02)	No testing	-0.44 (0.86)	-0.40 (1.05)	-0.15 (1.08)	-0.36 (1.27)	0.10 (1.21)
(DüMo),	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 83$)	0.63 (1.01)	No testing	-0.37 (0.86)	-0.26 (1.05)	0.04 (1.05)	-0.13 (1.31)	0.26 (1.25)
mean (SD)	22	No Sports Club ($n = 96$)	0.36 (1.02)	No testing	-0.51 (0.86)	-0.52 (1.04)	-0.32 (1.08)	-0.55 (1.21)	-0.04 (1.17)
	Control Crown	All (<i>N</i> = 152)	0.44 (1.19)	No testing	-0.59 (0.98)	-0.54 (1.03)	-0.48 (1.12)	-0.57 (1.08)	-0.27 (1.14)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 63$)	0.99 (1.07)	No testing	-0.20 (1.07)	-0.07 (1.02)	0.11 (1.02)	-0.11 (1.13)	0.23 (1.07)
	5121-22	No Sports Club ($n = 89$)	0.04 (1.11)	No testing	-0.87 (0.82)	-0.88 (0.91)	-0.89 (1.01)	-0.90 (0.92)	-0.62 (1.06)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		-0.30 (0.85)	-0.60 (0.91)	-0.64 (0.96)	-0.28 (0.94)	0.02 (0.96)	0.04 (0.92)	0.27 (0.91)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	-0.13 (0.75)	-0.29 (0.90)	-0.41 (0.91)	0.01 (0.80)	0.25 (0.93)	0.33 (0.87)	0.49 (0.82)
4×10SHR	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	-0.44 (0.90)	-0.85 (0.84)	-0.82 (0.96)	-0.52 (0.98)	-0.17 (0.95)	-0.20 (0.89)	0.10 (0.95)
SDS (MCA),	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 140)	-0.21 (0.86)	-0.60 (0.81)	-0.58 (0.99)	-0.12 (0.88)	0.02 (0.94)	0.08 (0.85)	0.32 (0.85)
mean (SD)	$(\text{troup SY})_{-}$	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	-0.06 (0.69)	-0.49 (0.69)	-0.42 (0.98)	0.05 (0.73)	0.19 (0.88)	0.25 (0.81)	0.38 (0.72)
inean (SD)		No Sports Club ($n = 73$)	-0.34 (0.98)	-0.71 (0.90)	-0.73 (0.97)	-0.27 (0.98)	-0.13 (0.98)	-0.08 (0.87)	0.27 (0.95)
	Control Group	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	-0.40 (0.83)	-0.59 (1.00)	-0.69 (0.93)	-0.45 (0.97)	0.01 (0.99)	0.00 (0.99)	0.22 (0.98)
	SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	-0.22 (0.83)	-0.06 (1.05)	-0.41 (0.81)	-0.03 (0.88)	0.31 (0.99)	0.42 (0.94)	0.62 (0.91)

1		No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	-0.53 (0.81)	-0.98 (0.76)	-0.90 (0.96)	-0.76 (0.93)	-0.21 (0.94)	-0.30 (0.91)	-0.06 (0.93)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		0.11 (1.01)	0.74 (1.00)	0.48 (1.06)	0.42 (1.03)	0.45 (1.06)	0.30 (1.00)	0.35 (0.97)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	0.30 (0.98)	0.96 (0.96)	0.66 (1.05)	0.74 (0.99)	0.66 (1.03)	0.48 (1.01)	0.54 (0.92)
	No Sports Club		-0.04 (1.01)	0.56 (0.99)	0.34 (1.06)	0.17 (1.00)	0.29 (1.06)	0.15 (0.98)	0.19 (0.99)
SLJ SDS	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	0.14 (0.97)	0.78 (0.98)	0.43 (1.03)	0.45 (1.02)	0.46 (1.06)	0.25 (1.03)	0.34 (0.97)
(DüMo),	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	0.20 (0.88)	0.95 (0.95)	0.54 (1.00)	0.69 (0.97)	0.59 (1.01)	0.35 (1.01)	0.43 (0.89)
mean (SD)	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	0.10 (1.04)	0.63 (0.99)	0.33 (1.06)	0.24 (1.03)	0.34 (1.09)	0.16 (1.05)	0.25 (1.04)
	Control Group	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	0.08 (1.06)	0.70 (1.01)	0.54 (1.09)	0.39 (1.05)	0.45 (1.07)	0.35 (0.97)	0.36 (0.98)
	SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	0.43 (1.08)	0.99 (0.98)	0.80 (1.09)	0.79 (1.02)	0.73 (1.04)	0.64 (0.98)	0.68 (0.95)
	5121-22	No Sports Club ($n = 79$)	-0.16 (0.98)	0.50 (0.99)	0.35 (1.06)	0.10 (0.98)	0.24 (1.05)	0.14 (0.91)	0.13 (0.95)
	All (<i>N</i> = 255)		0.11 (0.99)	0.26 (0.94)	0.13 (0.92)	0.13 (0.97)	0.13 (0.97)	-0.11 (0.92)	0.19 (1.02)
	Sports Club ($n = 117$)		0.31 (0.95)	0.34 (0.91)	0.18 (0.92)	0.11 (0.98)	0.11 (0.96)	-0.01 (0.90)	0.15 (1.01)
MB1kg z-	No Sports Club		-0.06 (0.99)	0.20 (0.96)	0.08 (0.93)	0.15 (0.96)	0.15 (0.99)	-0.20 (0.94)	0.22 (1.03)
value (KATS-	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 128)	0.05 (0.96)	0.30 (0.96)	0.12 (0.91)	0.07 (0.97)	0.10 (1.00)	-0.15 (0.97)	0.20 (1.02)
K), mean	Group SY21–	Sports Club ($n = 62$)	0.23 (0.84)	0.40 (0.97)	0.20 (0.89)	0.06 (0.94)	0.07 (0.96)	-0.01 (0.91)	0.08 (1.00)
(SD)	22	No Sports Club ($n = 66$)	-0.13 (1.03)	0.20 (0.96)	0.04 (0.93)	0.08 (1.00)	0.13 (1.04)	-0.29 (1.01)	0.31 (1.04)
(52)	Control Group	All (<i>N</i> = 127)	0.17 (1.02)	0.23 (0.91)	0.13 (0.93)	0.20 (0.96)	0.16 (0.95)	-0.07 (0.88)	0.18 (1.01)
	SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 55$)	0.39 (1.07)	0.28 (0.84)	0.15 (0.95)	0.17 (1.03)	0.16 (0.96)	-0.02 (0.90)	0.22 (1.02)
		No Sports Club ($n = 72$)	0.00 (0.95)	0.19 (0.97)	0.12 (0.93)	0.22 (0.92)	0.17 (0.95)	-0.10 (0.87)	0.14 (1.02)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		2.22 (1.39)	2.12 (1.22)	2.38 (1.29)	No testing	2.35 (1.17)	2.17 (1.07)	2.39 (1.12)
	Sports Club (n =	,	2.50 (1.37)	2.34 (1.23)	2.77 (1.25)	No testing	2.60 (1.28)	2.44 (1.07)	2.73 (1.08)
	No Sports Club		2.00 (1.36)	1.94 (1.18)	2.07 (1.24)	No testing	2.14 (1.02)	1.95 (1.01)	2.11 (1.09)
JS z-value	Intervention	All (<i>n</i> = 140)	2.12 (1.37)	2.23 (1.13)	2.64 (1.15)	No testing	2.57 (1.10)	2.36 (1.07)	2.54 (1.10)
(GMT), mean	Group SY21–	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	2.36 (1.30)	2.40 (1.13)	2.94 (1.19)	No testing	2.66 (1.33)	2.52 (1.02)	2.68 (1.08)
(SD)	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	1.91 (1.42)	2.07 (1.12)	2.36 (1.06)	No testing	2.48 (0.84)	2.22 (1.10)	2.40 (1.10)
	Control Group	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	2.33 (1.40)	2.01 (1.29)	2.12 (1.36)	No testing	2.12 (1.19)	1.97 (1.03)	2.23 (1.14)
	SY21-22	Sports Club $(n = 57)$	2.67 (1.45)	2.27 (1.34)	2.57 (1.30)	No testing	2.54 (1.30)	2.35 (1.13)	2.78 (1.08)
		No Sports Club $(n = 79)$	2.08 (1.31)	1.82 (1.23)	1.80 (1.33)	No testing	1.82 (1.08)	1.70 (0.86)	1.84 (1.01)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		0.32 (1.43)	0.25 (1.51)	0.32 (1.46)	No testing	-0.06 (1.54)	0.17 (1.42)	0.11 (1.48)
	Sports Club (n =		0.33 (1.40)	0.25 (1.51)	0.34 (1.47)	No testing	0.07 (1.53)	0.20 (1.44)	0.13 (1.48)
	No Sports Club		0.32 (1.46)	0.24 (1.52)	0.32 (1.45)	No testing	-0.17 (1.55)	0.15 (1.42)	0.10 (1.48)
VSR SDS	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	0.26 (1.36)	0.17 (1.44)	0.24 (1.42)	No testing	-0.18 (1.49)	0.07 (1.40)	0.10 (1.48)
(MAKFIT),	Group SY21–	Sports Club $(n = 67)$	0.14 (1.36)	-0.03 (1.47)	0.04 (1.52)	No testing	-0.25 (1.62)	-0.07 (1.47)	-0.13 (1.54)
mean (SD)	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	0.37 (1.36)	0.34 (1.39)	0.41 (1.31)	No testing	-0.11 (1.38)	0.19 (1.33)	0.30 (1.40)
	Control Group	All $(N = 136)$	0.39 (1.50)	0.33 (1.59)	0.42 (1.49)	No testing	0.06 (1.59)	0.27 (1.45)	0.13 (1.48)
		Sports Club ($n = 57$)	0.56 (1.42)	0.57 (1.49)	0.68 (1.35)	No testing	0.44 (1.35)	0.50 (1.35)	0.43 (1.35)
	SY21_22	No Sports Club $(n = 79)$	0.26 (1.55)	0.15 (1.64)	0.23 (1.57)	No testing	-0.21 (1.70)	0.11 (1.50)	-0.09 (1.53)

1	A11 (M 07C)		10(2.2)	57(20)	59(20)	No tooting	(0)(2,1)	(7(2))	71(27)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)	101	4.0 (3.2)	5.7 (3.0)	5.8 (3.0)	No testing	6.0 (3.1)	6.7 (2.9)	7.1 (2.7)
	Sports Club (n =		4.1 (3.2)	6.0 (2.9)	6.3 (2.9)	No testing	6.4 (3.0)	7.0 (2.9)	7.4 (2.6)
	No Sports Club		4.0 (3.1)	5.5 (3.1)	5.4 (3.0)	No testing	5.7 (3.2)	6.5 (3.0)	6.9 (2.8)
SLS-L	Intervention	All (<i>N</i> = 140)	4.1 (3.2)	6.2 (2.9)	6.0 (2.9)	No testing	6.0 (3.1)	6.9 (2.8)	7.4 (2.5)
(points),	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	3.6 (3.1)	6.1 (3.0)	6.4 (2.9)	No testing	6.2 (3.0)	6.7 (3.0)	7.3 (2.4)
mean (SD)	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	4.5 (3.2)	6.3 (2.9)	5.5 (3.0)	No testing	5.8 (3.3)	7.1 (2.7)	7.4 (2.5)
	Control Corres	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	4.0 (3.1)	5.2 (2.9)	5.6 (2.9)	No testing	6.0 (3.0)	6.5 (3.0)	6.9 (2.9)
	Control Group SY21–22	Sports Club ($n = 57$)	4.6 (3.3)	5.8 (2.8)	6.2 (2.8)	No testing	6.6 (2.9)	7.4 (2.6)	7.5 (2.7)
	5121-22	No Sports Club $(n = 79)$	3.5 (3.0)	4.7 (3.0)	5.2 (3.1)	No testing	5.6 (3.1)	5.9 (3.1)	6.5 (2.9)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)		4.7 (3.2)	5.5 (3.0)	6.1 (3.1)	No testing	6.2 (2.9)	6.7 (3.0)	7.2 (2.6)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	5.1 (3.1)	5.9 (3.0)	6.3 (3.0)	No testing	6.3 (2.9)	6.9 (3.0)	7.7 (2.3)
	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	4.4 (3.2)	5.2 (2.9)	5.9 (3.1)	No testing	6.1 (3.0)	6.5 (2.9)	6.8 (2.8)
SLS-R	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	5.1 (3.2)	5.8 (3.0)	6.2 (3.1)	No testing	6.2 (2.8)	6.8 (3.0)	7.4 (2.4)
(points),	Group SY21-	Sports Club ($n = 67$)	4.9 (3.1)	5.7 (3.1)	6.2 (3.0)	No testing	6.1 (2.9)	6.9 (3.1)	7.7 (2.3)
mean (SD)	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	5.2 (3.2)	5.9 (3.0)	6.2 (3.2)	No testing	6.3 (2.9)	6.8 (2.9)	7.2 (2.6)
	G (10	All (<i>N</i> = 136)	4.4 (3.2)	5.3 (2.9)	6.0 (3.0)	No testing	6.2 (3.1)	6.5 (2.9)	6.9 (2.8)
	Control Group	Sports Club $(n = 57)$	5.4 (3.2)	6.2 (3.0)	6.4 (3.1)	No testing	6.6 (3.0)	6.9 (2.9)	7.6 (2.4)
	SY21–22	No Sports Club $(n = 79)$	3.7 (3.0)	4.6 (2.7)	5.7 (3.0)	No testing	5.9 (3.1)	6.3 (3.0)	6.3 (2.9)
	All (<i>N</i> = 276)	• • • • • •	1.14 (1.22)	1.46 (1.02)	0.80 (1.13)	No testing	1.45 (0.66)	1.05 (1.09)	0.39 (1.53)
	Sports Club (n =	= 124)	1.16 (1.25)	1.50 (1.05)	1.00 (0.96)	No testing	1.48 (0.58)	1.21 (1.16)	0.34 (1.88)
	No Sports Club	(n = 152)	1.13 (1.20)	1.44 (1.00)	0.63 (1.24)	No testing	1.42 (0.73)	0.91 (1.00)	0.43 (1.18)
RD z-value	Intervention	All $(N = 140)$	0.97 (1.23)	1.53 (1.05)	0.85 (1.17)	No testing	1.44 (0.65)	1.32 (0.93)	0.46 (1.55)
(Fetz), mean	Group SY21–	Sports Club $(n = 67)$	0.95 (1.30)	1.48 (1.03)	1.01 (0.95)	No testing	1.43 (0.60)	1.54 (0.94)	0.36 (1.97)
(SD)	22	No Sports Club $(n = 73)$	0.99 (1.18)	1.57 (1.07)	0.71 (1.33)	No testing	1.45 (0.69)	1.12 (0.88)	0.56 (1.04)
		A11(N - 136)			. ,		· · · ·	· · · ·	0.31 (1.51)
				· · · ·					· /
	SY21-22		· · · · · ·	· · · ·				· · · ·	0.30 (1.29)
	Control Group SY21–22	A11(N - 136)	0.39 (1.18) 1.32 (1.18) 1.39 (1.14) 1.27 (1.21)	1.57 (1.07) 1.40 (0.98) 1.51 (1.08) 1.32 (0.91)	0.74 (1.10) 0.98 (0.97) 0.56 (1.16)	No testing No testing No testing	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.45 (0.69) \\ 1.45 (0.68) \\ 1.54 (0.54) \\ 1.39 (0.76) \end{array} $	0.77 (1.16) 0.83 (1.28) 0.72 (1.07)	0.31 (1.51) 0.32 (1.79)

Data are the mean (SD). SD = standard deviation; SY21–22 = school year 2021–2022; 6MR = six-minute run; 4×10 SHR = 4×10 m shuttle run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; RD = ruler drop test; SDS = standard deviation score; z-value = traditional z-score standardization; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values.

Change of Anthropometrics

Variable	e	Effects	df	F	<i>p-</i> Value	η_p^2	Power ^a
		Sports Club	1	3.331	0.069	0.010	0.444
	Between-	School Group (I/C)	1	0.067	0.796	0.000	0.058
	Subjects Effects	Sports Club*School Group (I/C)	1	1.720	0.191	0.005	0.258
	Error	327					
EQUI BMIaut		Time (T1-T2-T3-T4-T5-T6-T7)	3.530	19.891	0.000	0.057	1.000
DIVITAUI	Within- Subjects	Time*Sports Club	3.530	3.641	0.008	0.011	0.846
		Time*School Group (I/C)	3.530	4.239	0.003	0.013	0.901
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group (I/C)	3.530	1.234	0.296	0.004	0.363
		Error (Time)	1154.277				
		Sports Club	1	9.490	0.002	0.028	0.867
	Between-	School Group (I/C)	1	0.191	0.662	0.001	0.072
	Subjects Effects	Sports Club*School Group (I/C)	1	0.545	0.461	0.002	0.114
	2110003	Error	327				
WtHR	Within- Subjects Effects	Time (T1-T2-T3-T4-T5-T6-T7)	4.888	131.988	0.000	0.288	1.000
		Time*Sports Club	4.888	0.893	0.483	0.003	0.320
				8.054	0.000	0.024	1.000
		Time*Sports Club*School Group (I/C)	4.888	0.575	0.715	0.002	0.211
		Error (Time)	1598.307				

Table R7. Mixed ANOVAS for the anthropometric data over all measurement time points.

^a Observed power computed using alpha = 0.05. ANOVA = analysis of variance; BMI = body mass index; df = degrees of freedom; F = test statistic; η_p^2 = partial eta square; EQUI BMI_{AUT} = equivalent BMI based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; I/C= intervention or control group.

Changes in BMI

Between September 2019 and June 2022, the EQUI BMI_{AUT} score increased from 22.19 to 22.56 (main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.057$; p < 0.001). Those children who attended a sports club had a smaller increase in BMI than children without sports club memberships (+0.14 (95% CI, 0.56 to -0.31) versus +0.56 (95% CI, 0.19–0.96)) with small interaction effects over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.011$; p = 0.008) (Tables R7 and R8 and Figure R1).

The highest value compared to the baseline level in September 2019 was observed in the EQUI BMI_{AUT} in June 2021 with 22.82; at this point, an increase of +0.63 (95% CI, 0.37– 0.86) was found. Between June 2021 and June 2022, the EQUI BMI_{AUT} showed a significant improvement of -0.26 (95% CI, -0.10 to -0.45), and this trend was observed in all subgroups (Table R8 and Figure R1).




Figure R1. Changes in body mass index from September 2019 to June 2022.

EQUI BMI_{AUT} ratio over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Table R8. Post hoc tests of EQUI BMI_{AUT} for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school group and time*sports club based on estimated marginal means.


		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI)	SE	<i>p</i> -Value	<i>p</i> - lvl
		T1 to T2	-0.208 (-0.420 to 0.003)	0.069	0.058	
		T1 to T3	-0.432 (-0.637 to -0.226)	0.067	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T4	-0.569 (-0.812 to -0.326)	0.079	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T5	-0.619 (-0.864 to -0.374)	0.080	< 0.001	***
FOIL		T1 to T6	-0.366 (-0.608 to -0.123)	0.079	< 0.001	***
EQUI BMIAUT	Time	T1 to T7	-0.348 (-0.639 to -0.058)	0.095	0.006	**
DIVITAUT		T2 to T3	-0.223 (-0.391 to -0.056)	0.055	0.001	**
		T2 to T4	-0.361 (-0.574 to -0.148)	0.070	< 0.001	***
		T2 to T5	-0.411 (-0.634 to -0.188)	0.073	< 0.001	***
		-	T2 to T6	-0.157 (-0.386 to 0.071)	0.075	0.751
		T2 to T7	-0.140 (-0.408 to 0.128)	0.088	>0.99	

I	$T_{2} \leftarrow T_{4}$	
	T3 to T4	-0.137 (-0.291 to 0.017) 0.050 0.140
	T3 to T5	-0.188 (-0.361 to -0.014) 0.057 0.022
	T3 to T6	0.066 (-0.116 to 0.248) 0.059 >0.99
	T3 to T7	0.083 (-0.154 to 0.320) 0.077 >0.99 -0.050 (-0.176 to 0.075) 0.041 >0.99
	T4 to T5	
	T4 to T6	
	T4 to T7	0.220 (0.022 to 0.419) 0.065 0.016 0.253 (0.137 to 0.370) 0.038 <0.001 *
	T5 to T6 T5 to T7	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	T6 to T7	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	T1 to T2	-0.426 (-0.711 to -0.141) 0.093 < 0.001 *
	T1 to T2	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	T1 to T4	-0.637 (-0.964 to -0.310) 0.107 < 0.001 *
	T1 to T5	-0.578 (-0.908 to -0.248) 0.107 (0.001 *
	T1 to T6	-0.341 (-0.667 to -0.015) 0.107 0.032
	T1 to T7	-0.276 (-0.667 to 0.114) 0.128 0.652
	T2 to T3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	T2 to T4	-0.211 (-0.498 to 0.076) 0.094 0.522
	T2 to T5	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Time*School	T2 to T6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Group	T2 to T7	0.150 (-0.211 to 0.511) 0.118 >0.99
(Intervention	T3 to T4	-0.220 (-0.428 to -0.013) 0.068 0.026
Group)	T3 to T5	-0.161 (-0.395 to 0.073) 0.076 0.743
	T3 to T6	0.076 (-0.169 to 0.321) 0.080 >0.99
	T3 to T7	0.140 (-0.178 to 0.459) 0.104 >0.99
	T4 to T5	0.059 (-0.110 to 0.228) 0.055 >0.99
	T4 to T6	0.296 (0.094 to 0.498) 0.066 <0.001 *
	T4 to T7	0.361 (0.093 to 0.628) 0.087 <0.001 *
F	T5 to T6	0.237 (0.080 to 0.395) 0.051 <0.001 *
	T5 to T7	0.302 (0.068 to 0.536) 0.077 0.002 *
	T6 to T7	0.065 (-0.152 to 0.281) 0.071 >0.99
	T1 to T2	0.010 (-0.303 to 0.322) 0.102 >0.99
	T1 to T3	-0.446 (-0.751 to -0.142) 0.099 <0.001 *
	T1 to T4	-0.501 (-0.860 to -0.142) 0.117 <0.001 *
	T1 to T5	-0.660 (-1.022 to -0.298) 0.118 <0.001 *
	T1 to T6	-0.391 (-0.749 to -0.032) 0.117 0.020
	T1 to T7	-0.421 (-0.850 to 0.008) 0.140 0.061
	T2 to T3	-0.456 (-0.704 to -0.208) 0.081 <0.001 *
	T2 to T4	-0.510 (-0.825 to -0.195) 0.103 <0.001 *
	T2 to T5	-0.670 (-0.999 to -0.340) 0.108 <0.001 *
Time*School	T2 to T6	-0.400 (-0.738 to -0.062) 0.110 0.007 *
Group	T2 to T7	-0.430 (-0.827 to -0.034) 0.129 0.021
(Control	T3 to T4	-0.054 (-0.282 to 0.173) 0.074 >0.99
Group)	T3 to T5	-0.214 (-0.471 to 0.043) 0.084 0.238
	T3 to T6	0.056 (-0.213 to 0.324) 0.088 >0.99
	T3 to T7	0.026 (-0.324 to 0.376) 0.114 >0.99
	T4 to T5	-0.159 (-0.345 to 0.026) 0.061 0.190
	T4 to T6	0.110 (-0.112 to 0.332) 0.072 >0.99
	T4 to T7	0.080 (-0.214 to 0.374) 0.096 >0.99
	T5 to T6	0.270 (0.097 to 0.442) 0.056 <0.001 *
	T5 to T7	0.240 (-0.018 to 0.497) 0.084 0.098
	T6 to T7	-0.030 (-0.268 to 0.208) 0.078 >0.99
	T1 to T2	-0.016 (-0.333 to 0.301) 0.104 >0.99
	T1 to T3	-0.350 (-0.659 to -0.042) 0.101 0.012
T' * 0	T1 to T4	-0.544 (-0.909 to -0.179) 0.119 <0.001 *
Time*Sports	T1 to T5	-0.538 (-0.906 to -0.170) 0.120 <0.001 *
Club (Yes)	T1 to T6	-0.163 (-0.527 to 0.200) 0.119 >0.99
	T1 to T7	-0.124 (-0.560 to 0.311) 0.142 >0.99

		T2 to T4	-0.528 (-0.848 to -0.208) 0.104 <0.001 **	<*
		T2 to T5	-0.522 (-0.856 to -0.188) 0.109 <0.001 **	**
		T2 to T6	-0.147 (-0.491 to 0.196) 0.112 >0.99	
		T2 to T7	-0.108 (-0.510 to 0.294) 0.131 >0.99	
		T3 to T4	-0.194 (-0.425 to 0.038) 0.076 0.226	
		T3 to T5	-0.187 (-0.448 to 0.073) 0.085 0.598	
		T3 to T6	0.187 (-0.086 to 0.460) 0.089 0.765	
		T3 to T7	0.226 (-0.129 to 0.581) 0.116 >0.99	
		T4 to T5	0.006 (-0.182 to 0.195) 0.062 >0.99	
		T4 to T6	0.381 (0.156 to 0.606) 0.073 <0.001 **	**
		T4 to T7	0.420 (0.122 to 0.718) 0.097 <0.001 **	**
		T5 to T6	0.375 (0.199 to 0.550) 0.057 <0.001 **	**
		T5 to T7	0.414 (0.152 to 0.675) 0.085 <0.001 **	**
		T6 to T7	0.039 (-0.202 to 0.281) 0.079 1.000	
		T1 to T2	-0.400 (-0.680 to -0.121) 0.091 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T3	-0.513 (-0.785 to -0.241) 0.089 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T4	-0.594 (-0.915 to -0.273) 0.105 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T5	-0.701 (-1.024 to -0.377) 0.106 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T6	-0.568 (-0.888 to -0.248) 0.105 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T7	-0.573 (-0.956 to -0.189) 0.125 <0.001 **	**
	Time*Sports Club (No)	T2 to T3	-0.112 (-0.334 to 0.109) 0.072 1.000	
		T2 to T4	-0.193 (-0.475 to 0.088) 0.092 0.762	
		T2 to T5	-0.300 (-0.594 to -0.006) 0.096 0.041 *	ķ
		T2 to T6	-0.168 (-0.470 to 0.135) 0.099 >0.99	
		T2 to T7	-0.172 (-0.526 to 0.182) 0.116 >0.99	
		T3 to T4	-0.081 (-0.285 to 0.123) 0.066 >0.99	
		T3 to T5	-0.188 (-0.417 to 0.042) 0.075 0.269	
		T3 to T6	-0.055 (-0.296 to 0.185) 0.078 >0.99	
		T3 to T7	-0.060 (-0.373 to 0.253) 0.102 >0.99	
		T4 to T5	-0.107 (-0.273 to 0.059) 0.054 >0.99	
		T4 to T6	0.026 (-0.173 to 0.224) 0.065 >0.99	
		T4 to T7	0.021 (-0.242 to 0.284) 0.086 >0.99	
		T5 to T6	0.132 (-0.022 to 0.287) 0.050 0.192	
		T5 to T7	0.128 (-0.102 to 0.358) 0.075 >0.99	
		T6 to T7	-0.005 (-0.217 to 0.208) 0.069 >0.99	
L	1			

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001. BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; EQUI BMI_{AUT} = equivalent BMI based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; mean difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2022.

Figure R2. Changes in BMI from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



EQUI BMI_{AUT} ratio over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^{-2} : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Changes in BMI in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control group SY21–22) Small interaction effects over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.013$; p = 0.003) were found, which were mainly related to the time before the sports intervention (September 2019 to September 2021). No interaction effects over time (time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 =$ 0.004; p = 0.30) and no significant changes were found in the intervention or control groups during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 (I-A = -0.06 (95% CI, -0.28 to 0.15); p > 0.99; C-A = 0.07 (95% CI, -0.21 to 0.27); p > 0.99) (Table R7 and R8 and Figure R2).

Changes in Waist-to-Height Ratio (WtHR)

Between September 2019 and June 2022, the WtHR scores changed significantly with large effects between test phases (main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.288$; p < 0.001). No differences were

found between those children with a sports club membership and those without (Tables R7 and Table R9 and Figure R3).

The WtHR increased significantly in each of the lockdown phases (between T1 and T2 or T3 and T4) and then decreased significantly in the phases following the stringent COVID-19 mitigation measures (between T2 and T3 or after T4) (Table R9 and Figure R3).

During the last observation period (September 2021 to June 2022), there was no significant change in the WtHR (p > 0.99) (Table R9 and Figure R3).




Figure R3. Changes in the waist-to-height ratio from September 2019 to June 2022.

Waist-to-height ratio over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Table R9. Post hoc tests of the waist-to-height ratio (WtHR) for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

			<i>p</i> -	
		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI) SE Value	<i>p-</i> lvl
		T1 to T2	-0.018 (-0.024 to -0.012) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T3		***
		T1 to T4	-0.013 (-0.019 to -0.006) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T5	0.025 (0.019 to 0.031) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T6	0.016 (0.008 to 0.023) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T7	0.018 (0.010 to 0.026) 0.003 <0.001 *	***
	Time	T2 to T3	0.027 (0.023 10 0.035) 0.002 <0.001	***
		T2 to T4	0.005 (0.000 to 0.011) 0.002 0.082	
		T2 to T5	0.045 (0.038 to 0.049) 0.002 <0.001	***
		T2 to T6	0.034 (0.027 to 0.041) 0.002 <0.001	***
		T2 to T7	0.030 (0.029 to 0.043) 0.002 < 0.001	***
		T3 to T4	-0.024 (-0.029 to -0.018) 0.002 < 0.001	***
		T3 to T5	0.014 (0.009 to 0.020) 0.002 <0.001	***
		T3 to T6	0.005 (-0.002 to 0.012) 0.002 0.642	
		T3 to T7	0.007 (0.000 to 0.014) 0.002 0.026	*
		T4 to T5	0.038 (0.033 to 0.043) 0.002 <0.001	***
		T4 to T6	0.028 (0.023 to 0.034) 0.002 <0.001	***
		T4 to T7	0.051 (0.025 10 0.057) 0.002 (0.001)	***
		T5 to T6	-0.007 (-0.014 to -0.004) 0.002 (0.001	***
		T5 to T7	-0.007 (-0.013 to -0.001) 0.002 0.004	~~
		T6 to T7		***
		T1 to T2 T1 to T3	-0.021 (-0.028 to -0.013) 0.003 < 0.001	**
	Time*School Group (Intervention Group)	T1 to T3		***
		T1 to T4		***
		T1 to T5	0.025 (0.015 to 0.051) 0.003 < 0.001 (0.003) 0.003 = 0.001	
		T1 to T7	```´´	***
		T2 to T3		***
WtHR		T2 to T3	0.000 (-0.008 to 0.008) 0.003 < 0.001	
		T2 to T5	```´´	***
		T2 to T6	```´´	***
		T2 to T7	```´´	***
		T3 to T4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***
		T3 to T5		***
		T3 to T6	-0.005 (-0.014 to 0.004) 0.003 >0.99	
		T3 to T7	0.006 (-0.003 to 0.014) 0.003 >0.99	
		T4 to T5	0.044 (0.037 to 0.050) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T4 to T6	0.025 (0.018 to 0.033) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T4 to T7	0.036 (0.028 to 0.044) 0.003 <0.001 *	***
		T5 to T6	-0.018 (-0.025 to -0.012) 0.002 <0.001 *	***
		T5 to T7	-0.008 (-0.015 to 0.000) 0.003 0.063	
		T6 to T7	0.011 (0.003 10 0.019) 0.003 0.001	**
		T1 to T2	-0.010 (-0.023 10 -0.007) 0.003 <0.001	***
		T1 to T3	0.012 (0.004 to 0.020) 0.003 (0.001	***
	Time*School Group (Control Group)	T1 to T4	-0.005 (-0.015 to 0.004) 0.003 >0.99	
		T1 to T5	0.027 (0.018 to 0.030) 0.003 < 0.001	***
		T1 to T6	0.027 (0.010 to 0.030) 0.004 <0.001	***
		T1 to T7	0.020 (0.007 to 0.032) 0.004 (0.001	***
		T2 to T3	0.020 (0.01) 10 0.030) 0.003 (0.001	***
		T2 to T4	0.011 (0.002 (0 0.01)) 0.003 0.003	**
		T2 to T5	0.045 (0.054 10 0.051) 0.005 \0.001	***
		T2 to T6	0.045 (0.055 to 0.052) 0.005 (0.001	***
		T2 to T7	0.050 (0.020 10 0.047) 0.005 (0.001	***
		T3 to T4	-0.017 (-0.023 to -0.000) 0.003 (0.001	***
		T3 to T5	0.015 (0.007 10 0.025) 0.005 (0.001	***
		T3 to T6	0.015 (0.005 to 0.025) 0.003 <0.001 *	ጥጥጥ


<u>г</u>		T 2 T 7	
		T3 to T7	0.009 (-0.001 to 0.018) 0.003 0.165
		T4 to T5	0.032 (0.025 to 0.039) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T4 to T6	0.032 (0.024 to 0.040) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T4 to T7	0.026 (0.017 to 0.034) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T5 to T6	0.000 (-0.008 to 0.007) 0.002 >0.99
		T5 to T7	-0.007 (-0.015 to 0.002) 0.003 0.409
-		T6 to T7	-0.006 (-0.015 to 0.003) 0.003 0.628
		T1 to T2	-0.017 (-0.026 to -0.008) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T3	0.010 (0.002 to 0.019) 0.003 0.003 **
		T1 to T4	-0.012 (-0.021 to -0.002) 0.003 0.006 **
		T1 to T5	0.026 (0.017 to 0.035) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T6	0.018 (0.007 to 0.029) 0.004 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T7	0.016 (0.004 to 0.028) 0.004 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T3	0.027 (0.018 to 0.036) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T4	0.005 (-0.003 to 0.014) 0.003 >0.99
		T2 to T5	0.043 (0.034 to 0.051) 0.003 <0.001 ***
	Time*Sports	T2 to T6	0.035 (0.025 to 0.045) 0.003 <0.001 ***
	Club (Yes)	T2 to T7	0.033 (0.022 to 0.044) 0.004 <0.001 ***
	Club (Tes)	T3 to T4	-0.022 (-0.031 to -0.013) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T5	0.015 (0.007 to 0.024) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T6	0.008 (-0.003 to 0.018) 0.003 0.474
		T3 to T7	0.006 (-0.004 to 0.016) 0.003 >0.99
		T4 to T5	0.037 (0.030 to 0.045) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T4 to T6	0.030 (0.021 to 0.038) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T4 to T7	0.028 (0.019 to 0.037) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T5 to T6	-0.008 (-0.015 to 0.000) 0.003 0.043 *
		T5 to T7	-0.010 (-0.018 to -0.001) 0.003 0.015 *
		T6 to T7	-0.002 (-0.011 to 0.007) 0.003 >0.99
		T1 to T2	-0.019 (-0.027 to -0.012) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T3	0.011 (0.004 to 0.019) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T4	-0.014 (-0.022 to -0.005) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T5	0.024 (0.016 to 0.032) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T6	0.013 (0.004 to 0.023) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T7	0.020 (0.010 to 0.030) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T3	0.031 (0.023 to 0.039) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T4	0.005 (-0.002 to 0.013) 0.002 0.580
		T2 to T5	0.044 (0.036 to 0.051) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T6	0.033 (0.024 to 0.042) 0.003 <0.001 ***
	Time*Sports	T2 to T7	0.039 (0.030 to 0.049) 0.003 <0.001 ***
	Club (No)	T3 to T4	-0.025 (-0.033 to -0.018) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T5	0.013 (0.006 to 0.020) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T6	0.002 (-0.007 to 0.011) 0.003 >0.99
		T3 to T7	0.008 (0.000 to 0.017) 0.003 0.080
		T4 to T5	0.038 (0.032 to 0.045) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T4 to T6	0.027 (0.020 to 0.035) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T4 to T7	0.034 (0.026 to 0.042) 0.003 <0.001 ***
		T5 to T6	-0.011 (-0.018 to -0.004) 0.002 <0.001 ***
		T5 to T7	-0.004 (-0.012 to 0.003) 0.002 >0.99
		T6 to T7	0.006 (-0.002 to 0.014) 0.003 0.310
			(-0.002 + 0.014) = 0.005 + 0.010 = 0.001 evel) = $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$. WtHR = waist-to-height

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in the WtHR in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control group SY21-

Small interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.024$; p < 0.001), which were mainly related to the time before the sports intervention (September 2019 to September 2021). No interaction effects were found over time (time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.002$; p = 0.72). During the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022, significant changes were found in the intervention group (I-A = -0.012 (95% CI, -0.019 to -0.003); p = 0.003), while the control group showed no significant changes in this time period (C-A = 0.005 (95% CI, -0.003 to 0.015); p = 0.63) (Table R9 and Figure R4). These changes were also found in all subgroups of the intervention and control groups (Table R9 and Figure R4).

Figure R4. Changes in the waist-to-height ratio from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



Waist-to-height ratio over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^{-2} : S = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.01$, L = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^{-2} =$ effect size.


Changes in Fitness

Over the overall observation period, significant changes, with different levels of effects (related to the main effect—time) were found in the area of health- and performance-related parameters (Table R10 and Figure R5).

Large effects were observed in cardiorespiratory fitness (6MR), action speed (4×10SHR), and eye–hand reaction speed (RD) (Table R10 and Figure R5).

Medium effects were observed in the standing long jump, the parameter correlated with total body strength, while small effects were found in the remaining fitness tests (MB1kg, VSR, and SJ) (Table R10 and Figure R5).

Figure R5. Changes in standard deviation scores (SDS) and *z*-values (*z*-value) of fitness tests 6MR, 4×10SHR, SLJ, MB1kg, JS, VSR, and RD for the main effect of time.



SD scores and z-values of the fitness tests over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 x 10 m shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SDS = standard deviation score; z-value = traditional z-score standardization; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^2 =$ effect size.

Table R10. Mixed ANOVAS for the individual fitness tests over all measurement time

points.

		Effects	df	F	<i>p-</i> Value	η_p^2	Power ^a
AMD SDS	Between-	Sports Club	1	37.246	0.000	0.102	1.000
6MR SDS	Subjects	School Group	1	2.443	0.119	0.007	0.344
(DüMo)	Effects	Sports Club*School Group	1	8.847	0.003	0.026	0.843

		Error	327				
		Time (T1–T3–T4–T5–T6–T7)	4.568	94.117	0.000	0.223	1.000
	Within-	Time*Sports Club	4.568	1.386	0.231	0.004	0.469
	Subjects	Time*School Group	4.568	2.354	0.044	0.007	0.728
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	4.568	0.535	0.734	0.002	0.192
		Error (Time)	1493.727				
	_	Sports Club	1	26.221	0.000	0.088	0.999
	Between-	School Group	1	0.691	0.407		0.132
	Subjects	Sports Club*School Group	1	4.052	0.045		0.518
	Effects	Error	272		0.0.0		
4×10SHR SDS		Time (T1–T2–T3–T4–T5–T6–T7)	5.334	99.220	0.000	0.267	1.000
(MCA)	Within- Subjects	Time*Sports Club	5.334	1.924	0.083		0.677
		Time*School Group	5.334	3.277	0.005		0.911
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	5.334	2.932	0.010	0.012	0.873
	Lineeus	Error (Time)	1450.937	2.752	0.010	0.011	0.075
		Sports Club	1450.557	13.595	0.000	0.048	0.957
	Between-	School Group	1	0.145	0.704		0.067
	Subjects	Sports Club*School Group	1	1.969	0.162	0.001	0.007
	Effects	Error	272	1.909	0.102	0.007	0.200
SLJ SDS		Time (T1–T2–T3–T4–T5–T6–T7)	4.970	34.037	0.000	0.111	1.000
(DüMo)	Within	Time (11–12–13–14–15–16–17) Time*Sports Club	4.970	1.607	0.000		0.563
	Within- Subjects	Time*School Group	4.970	1.307	0.155		0.363
	Effects	Time*School Group	4.970	0.694	0.258	0.003	0.466
	Effects	·	4.970	0.094	0.627	0.005	0.235
		Error (Time)		0.007	0.242	0.004	0.150
	Between-	Sports Club	1	0.907	0.342	0.004	0.158
	Subjects	School Group	1	0.263	0.609		0.080
	Effects	Sports Club*School Group	1	0.002	0.966	0.000	0.050
MB1kg z-		Error	251	0.000	0.000	0.007	1.000
value (KATS-		Time (T1–T2–T3–T4–T5–T6–T7)	5.237	8.990		0.035	1.000
K)	Within-	Time*Sports Club	5.237	4.428		0.017	0.975
	Subjects	Time*School Group	5.237	1.002	0.417		0.372
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	5.237	1.119	0.348	0.004	0.414
		Error (Time)	1314.388				
	Between-	Sports Club	1	20.572		0.070	0.995
	Subjects	School Group	1	4.053	0.045		0.518
	Effects	Sports Club*School Group	1	2.153	0.143	0.008	0.310
JS z-value	2	Error	272				
(GMT)		Time (T1–T2–T3–T5–T6–T7)	4.403	6.982		0.025	0.997
(GMI)	Within-	Time*Sports Club	4.403	1.326	0.255	0.005	0.441
	Subjects	Time*School Group	4.403	7.598	0.000		0.999
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	4.403	1.549	0.180	0.006	0.509
		Error (Time)	1197.731				
	Botwoor	Sports Club	1	0.240	0.624	0.001	0.078
	Between- Subjects	School Group	1	1.529	0.217	0.006	0.234
	Effects	Sports Club*School Group	1	5.394	0.021	0.019	0.638
VCD CDC	Effects	Error	272				
VSR SDS		Time (T1–T2–T3–T5–T6–T7)	4.461	12.675	0.000	0.045	1.000
(MAKFIT)	Within-	Time*Sports Club	4.461	1.259	0.282	0.005	0.423
	Subjects	Time*School Group	4.461	0.891	0.477	0.003	0.303
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	4.461	0.759	0.565		0.260
		Error (Time)	1213.469				
		Sports Club	1	4.510	0.035	0.016	0.562
	Between-	School Group	1	1.452	0.229		0.225
	Subjects	Sports Club*School Group	1	5.437		0.020	0.642
SLS-L	Effects	Error	272	2	2.020	0.020	5.512
(points)		Time (T1–T2–T3–T5–T6–T7)		57.904	0.000	0.176	1.000
(F. 0	Within-	Time (11 12 15 15 16 17)	4.776	0.870	0.497	0.003	0.307
	Subjects	Time*School Group	4.776	1.601		0.005	0.549
	Effects						0.601
	2110015	Time*Sports Club*School Group	4.776	1.778	0.118	0.006	0.

		Error (Time)	1299.137				
	Detrocor	Sports Club	1	4.551	0.034	0.016	0.566
	Between- Subjects	School Group	1	1.183	0.278	0.004	0.192
	Effects	Sports Club*School Group	1	4.677	0.031	0.017	0.577
SLS-R	Effects	Error	272				
(points)		Time (T1–T2–T3–T5–T6–T7)	5	39.302	0.000	0.126	1.000
(points)	Within-	Time*Sports Club	5	0.780	0.564	0.003	0.284
	Subjects	Time*School Group	5	0.761	0.578	0.003	0.277
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	5	1.238	0.289	0.005	0.444
		Error (Time)	1360				
	Detrucen	Sports Club	1	2.122	0.146	0.008	0.306
	Between- Subjects	School Group	1	1.170	0.280	0.004	0.190
	Effects	Sports Club*School Group	1	0.440	0.508	0.002	0.101
RD z-value	Lifects	Error	272				
(Fetz)		Time (T1–T2–T3–T5–T6–T7)	3.962	45.390	0.000	0.143	1.000
(reiz)	Within-	Time*Sports Club	3.962	1.883	0.112	0.007	0.570
	Subjects	Time*School Group	3.962	5.776	0.000	0.021	0.982
	Effects	Time*Sports Club*School Group	3.962	0.769	0.545	0.003	0.248
		Error (Time)	1077.754				

^a Observed power computed using alpha = 0.05; ANOVA = analysis of variance; BMI = body mass index; df = degrees of freedom; F = test statistic; η_p^2 = partial eta square; SD = standard deviation; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 x 10 m shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; SDS = standard deviation score; z-value = traditional z-score standardization; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2022.

Six-Minute Run (6MR)

Cardiorespiratory fitness (6MR) showed significant changes, with a large effect over the observation period (T1 to T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.223$; p < 0.001). An extreme decrease was observed between September 2019 and September 2020 (T1 = 0.46 to T3 = -0.51 (-0.97 (95% CI, -1.15 to -0.84); p < 0.001). Children who reported sports club membership subsequently showed a trend of a slight increase by September 2021 (+0.17 (95% CI, -0.11 to 0.43); p > 0.99), but without scientific significance (Tables R10 and R11 and Figures R5 and R6). Children without sports club membership showed a continuous worsening trend between September 2020 and September 2021 (-0.04 (95% CI, -0.27 to 0.20); p > 0.99), but without scientific significance (Table R11 and Figure R6). A significant increase in SDS scores was demonstrated between March 2021 and June 2021 (+0.17 (95% CI, -0.16 (95% CI, -0.01 to -0.31); p = 0.036) between June 2021 and September 2021 (Table R11 and Figure R6).

No interaction effects were found over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.004$; p = 0.23) (Table R10 and Figures R5 and R6).




Figure R6. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness from September 2019 to June 2022.

SD scores over six test phases (T1, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; 6MR = six-minute run; SDS = standard deviation score; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI)	SE	<i>p</i> - Value a	p- lvl
		T1 to T3	0.990 (0.836 to 1.145)	0.052	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T4	0.937 (0.780 to 1.094)	0.053	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T5	0.771 (0.598 to 0.944)	0.059	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T6	0.929 (0.745 to 1.113)	0.062	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T7	0.548 (0.372 to 0.723)	0.059	< 0.001	***
6MR SDS	Time	T3 to T4	-0.053 (-0.192 to 0.085)	0.047	>0.99	
(DüMo)	Time	T3 to T5	-0.220 (-0.364 to -0.075)	0.049	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T6	-0.062 (-0.240 to 0.116)	0.060	>0.99	
		T3 to T7	-0.443 (-0.610 to -0.276)	0.056	< 0.001	***
		T4 to T5	-0.166 (-0.295 to -0.037)	0.044	0.002	**
		T4 to T6	-0.009 (-0.175 to 0.158)	0.056	>0.99	
		T4 to T7	-0.390 (-0.554 to -0.225)	0.056	< 0.001	***

Table R11. Post hoc tests of 6MR for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school

 group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

	T5 to T6	0.158 (0.005 to 0.310) 0.052 0.03	6 3
	T5 to T7	-0.223 (-0.391 to -0.056) 0.057 0.00	1 *
	T6 to T7	-0.381 (-0.557 to -0.205) 0.060 <0.00)1 **
	T1 to T3	0.930 (0.722 to 1.138) 0.070 <0.00)1 **
	T1 to T4	0.885 (0.674 to 1.097) 0.072 <0.00)1 **
	T1 to T5	0.633 (0.399 to 0.866) 0.079 <0.00)1 **
-	T1 to T6	0.835 (0.587 to 1.083) 0.084 <0.00)1 **
	T1 to T7	0.385 (0.149 to 0.622) 0.080 <0.00)1 **
Time*School -	T3 to T4	-0.045 (-0.231 to 0.142) 0.063 >0.9	9
Time*School Group	T3 to T5	-0.297 (-0.491 to -0.103) 0.066 <0.00)1 **
(Intervention	T3 to T6	-0.095 (-0.334 to 0.144) 0.081 >0.9	9
Group)	T3 to T7	-0.545 (-0.770 to -0.320) 0.076 <0.00)1 **
Group	T4 to T5	-0.252 (-0.426 to -0.079) 0.059 <0.00)1 **
	T4 to T6	-0.050 (-0.274 to 0.174) 0.076 >0.9	9
	T4 to T7	-0.500 (-0.722 to -0.278) 0.075 <0.00)1 *
	T5 to T6	0.202 (-0.003 to 0.407) 0.069 0.05	7
	T5 to T7	-0.248 (-0.473 to -0.022) 0.076 0.01	9
	T6 to T7	-0.450 (-0.687 to -0.213) 0.080 <0.00)1 *
	T1 to T3	1.051 (0.822 to 1.279) 0.077 <0.00)1 **
	T1 to T4	0.989 (0.757 to 1.221) 0.079 <0.00)1 *
	T1 to T5	0.909 (0.653 to 1.165) 0.087 <0.00)1 *
	T1 to T6	1.022 (0.750 to 1.294) 0.092 <0.00)1 *
	T1 to T7	0.710 (0.450 to 0.970) 0.088 <0.00)1 *
_	T3 to T4	-0.062 (-0.267 to 0.143) 0.069 >0.9	
Time*School	T3 to T5	-0.142 (-0.355 to 0.071) 0.072 0.74	6
Group (Control	T3 to T6	-0.029 (-0.292 to 0.234) 0.089 >0.9	9
Group)	T3 to T7	-0.341 (-0.588 to -0.094) 0.084 <0.00)1 *
	T4 to T5	-0.080 (-0.271 to 0.110) 0.064 >0.9	9
	T4 to T6	0.033 (-0.213 to 0.279) 0.083 >0.9	
	T4 to T7	-0.279 (-0.523 to -0.036) 0.082 0.01	2
_	T5 to T6	0.113 (-0.112 to 0.339) 0.076 >0.9	
_	T5 to T7	-0.199 (-0.446 to 0.049) 0.084 0.27	1
	T6 to T7	-0.312 (-0.573 to -0.052) 0.088 0.00	7 '
_	T1 to T3	1.092 (0.860 to 1.323) 0.078 <0.00)1 *
	T1 to T4	0.972 (0.737 to 1.208) 0.080 <0.00	
	T1 to T5	0.736 (0.476 to 0.997) 0.088 <0.00	
_	T1 to T6	0.932 (0.656 to 1.208) 0.093 <0.00	
_	T1 to T7	0.565 (0.302 to 0.829) 0.089 <0.00)1 *
	T3 to T4	-0.119 (-0.327 to 0.089) 0.070 >0.9	
Time*Sports	T3 to T5	-0.355 (-0.572 to -0.139) 0.073 <0.00	
Club (Yes)	T3 to T6	-0.160 (-0.427 to 0.107) 0.090 >0.9	
	T3 to T7	-0.526 (-0.777 to -0.276) 0.085 <0.00	
Ļ	T4 to T5	-0.236 (-0.430 to -0.043) 0.065 0.00	
Ļ	T4 to T6	-0.041 (-0.290 to 0.209) 0.084 >0.9	
_	T4 to T7	-0.407 (-0.654 to -0.160) 0.084 <0.00)1 *
_	T5 to T6	0.195 (-0.033 to 0.424) 0.077 0.18	
	T5 to T7	-0.171 (-0.422 to 0.080) 0.085 0.67	
	T6 to T7	-0.367 (-0.631 to -0.102) 0.089 0.00	
Time*Sports	T1 to T3	0.889 (0.685 to 1.093) 0.069 <0.00	
	T1 to T4	0.902 (0.694 to 1.109) 0.070 <0.00	
	T1 to T5	0.805 (0.576 to 1.034) 0.077 <0.00	
	T1 to T6	0.925 (0.682 to 1.168) 0.082 <0.00	
	T1 to T7	0.530 (0.298 to 0.762) 0.078 <0.00)1 *
Club (No)	T3 to T4	0.013 (-0.171 to 0.196) 0.062 >0.9	
	T3 to T5	-0.084 (-0.275 to 0.107) 0.064 >0.9	
	T3 to T6	0.036 (-0.199 to 0.271) 0.079 >0.9	9
Γ	T3 to T7	-0.359 (-0.580 to -0.139) 0.075 <0.00)1 *
F	T4 to T5	-0.097 (-0.267 to 0.074) 0.058 >0.9	9
		0.024 (-0.196 to 0.243) 0.074 >0.9	

T4 to T7	-0.372 (-0.590 to -0.154) 0.074 <0.001 *	***
T5 to T6	0.120 (-0.081 to 0.322) 0.068 >0.99	
T5 to T7	-0.275 (-0.497 to -0.054) 0.075 0.004	**
T6 to T7	-0.396 (-0.628 to -0.163) 0.079 <0.001 *	***

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; 6MR = six-minute run; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in 6MR in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control group SY21-22)


No interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.007$; p = 0.044)

(Table R10 and Figure R7).

During the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022, significant improvements were found in the sports intervention and control groups (I-A = +0.46 (95% CI, 0.21–0.69), p < 0.001; C-A = +0.30 (95% CI, 0.05–0.57), p = 0.007) (Table R11 and Figure R7).

This trend toward improvement was observed in all subgroups (sports club membership vs. no sports club membership) of the intervention and control groups (Table R11 and Figure R7).

Figure R7. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



SD scores over six test phases (T1, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; 6MR = six-minute run; SDS = standard deviation score, DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Action Speed (4×10SHR)

Action speed (4×10SHR) showed significant changes with a large effect over the observation period (T1 to T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.267$; *p* < 0.001) (Table R10 and Figure R8).

A dramatic decline was observed between September 2019 and September 2020 (-0.34 (95% CI, -0.49 to -0.17); p < 0.001), followed by a strong improvement in action speed by June 2021 (+0.66 (95% CI, 0.49-0.82); *p* < 0.001). Between June 2021 and September 2021, there was a slight improvement without scientific significance (+0.02 (95% CI, -0.08 to 0.15); *p* > 0.99). Finally, between September 2021 and June 2022, a strong improvement was demonstrated (+0.23 (95% CI, 0.11-0.35); *p* < 0.001) (Table R12 and Figure R8).

No interaction effects were found over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.007$; p = 0.08) (Table R10 and Figure R8).




Figure R8. Changes in action speed from September 2019 to June 2022.

SD scores over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; 4×10SHR = 4 x 10 m shuttle run; SDS = standard deviation score; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^2 =$ effect size.

Table R12. Post hoc tests of 4×10 SHR for the main effect of time and interactions fortime*school group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

						р-	
		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean	Difference (95% CI)	SE	Value a	<i>p</i> - lvl
		T1 to T2	0.270	(0.100 to 0.441)	0.056	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T3	0.328	(0.165 to 0.490)	0.053	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T4	-0.035	(-0.201 to 0.131)	0.054	>0.99	
		T1 to T5	-0.328	(-0.488 to -0.168)	0.052	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T6	-0.358	(-0.508 to -0.208)	0.049	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T7	-0.588	(-0.747 to -0.429)	0.052	< 0.001	***
		T2 to T3	0.057	(-0.093 to 0.208)	0.049	>0.99	
		T2 to T4	-0.305	(-0.451 to -0.159)	0.048	< 0.001	*** ***
		T2 to T5	-0.598	(-0.748 to -0.447)	0.049	< 0.001	***
	Time	T2 to T6 T2 to T7	-0.628 -0.859	(-0.775 to -0.481) (-1.004 to -0.713)	0.048	<0.001 <0.001	***
	Time	T2 to T7 T3 to T4	-0.839 -0.362	(-1.004 to -0.713) (-0.503 to -0.222)	0.047	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T5	-0.302 -0.655	(-0.818 to -0.493)	0.040	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T6	-0.686	(-0.843 to -0.529)	0.055	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T7	-0.916	(-1.070 to -0.762)	0.051	<0.001	***
		T4 to T5	-0.293	(-0.436 to -0.150)	0.047	< 0.001	***
		T4 to T6	-0.323	(-0.458 to -0.189)	0.044	< 0.001	***
		T4 to T7	-0.554	(-0.683 to -0.424)	0.042	< 0.001	***
		T5 to T6	-0.031	(-0.145 to 0.084)	0.037	>0.99	
		T5 to T7	-0.261	(-0.383 to -0.139)	0.040	< 0.001	***
		T6 to T7	-0.230	(-0.354 to -0.106)	0.040	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T2	0.399	(0.162 to 0.637)	0.077	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T3	0.377	(0.150 to 0.603)	0.074	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T4	-0.089	(-0.321 to 0.143)	0.076	>0.99	
		T1 to T5	-0.230	(-0.453 to -0.007)	0.073	0.037	*
		T1 to T6	-0.282	(-0.491 to -0.073)	0.068	0.001	**
		T1 to T7	-0.523	(-0.745 to -0.301)	0.072	< 0.001	***
4×10SHR SDS		T2 to T3	-0.022	(-0.232 to 0.187)	0.068	>0.99	***
(MCA)		T2 to T4	-0.488	(-0.692 to -0.284)	0.066	< 0.001	***
	Time*School	T2 to T5 T2 to T6	-0.629 -0.681	(-0.839 to -0.419) (-0.886 to -0.476)	0.068	<0.001 <0.001	***
	Group (Intervention	T2 to T0 T2 to T7	-0.081 -0.922	(-0.886 to -0.476) (-1.125 to -0.719)	0.067	< 0.001	***
	Group)	T3 to T4	-0.922	(-0.662 to -0.270)	0.064	< 0.001	***
	Group)	T3 to T5	-0.607		0.074		***
		T3 to T6	-0.659	(-0.878 to -0.439)	0.071	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T7	-0.899	(-1.114 to -0.685)	0.070	< 0.001	***
		T4 to T5	-0.141	(-0.340 to 0.058)	0.065	0.652	
		T4 to T6	-0.193	(-0.380 to -0.005)	0.061	0.038	*
		T4 to T7	-0.434	(-0.614 to -0.253)	0.059	< 0.001	***
		T5 to T6	-0.052	(-0.212 to 0.108)	0.052	>0.99	
		T5 to T7	-0.293	(-0.463 to -0.123)	0.055	< 0.001	***
		T6 to T7	-0.241	(-0.414 to -0.068)	0.056	< 0.001	***
		T1 to T2	0.141	(-0.103 to 0.385)	0.080	>0.99	
		T1 to T3	0.279	(0.046 to 0.511)	0.076	0.006	**
		T1 to T4	0.020	(-0.218 to 0.258)	0.078	>0.99	de de de
		T1 to T5	-0.425	(-0.655 to -0.196)	0.075	< 0.001	*** ***
		T1 to T6	-0.434	(-0.649 to -0.219)	0.070	<0.001	***
	Time*School	T1 to T7 T2 to T3	-0.654 0.137	(-0.882 to -0.426) (-0.078 to 0.352)	0.074	<0.001	
	Group (Control	T2 to T3	-0.121	(-0.078 to 0.352) (-0.331 to 0.088)	0.070	>0.99	
	Group)	T2 to T4	-0.121 -0.566	(-0.782 to -0.351)	0.008	<0.001	***
		T2 to T5	-0.500 -0.576	(-0.782 to -0.331)	0.070	< 0.001	***
		T2 to T0 T2 to T7	-0.795	(-1.004 to -0.587)	0.069	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T4	-0.793	(-0.461 to -0.057)	0.008	0.002	**
		T3 to T5	-0.704	(-0.937 to -0.471)	0.076	< 0.001	***
		T3 to T6	-0.713	(-0.938 to -0.488)	0.073	< 0.001	***
	1			(0.070		

		T3 to T7	-0.933 (-1.153 to -0.712) 0.072 <0.001 **	**
		T4 to T5	-0.445 (-0.650 to -0.240) 0.067 <0.001 **	**
		T4 to T6	-0.454 (-0.647 to -0.261) 0.063 <0.001 **	**
		T4 to T7	-0.674 (-0.859 to -0.488) $0.060 < 0.001$ **	**
		T5 to T6	-0.009 (-0.173 to 0.155) 0.053 >0.99	
		T5 to T7	-0.229 (-0.403 to -0.054) 0.057 0.002 *	**
		T6 to T7	-0.220 (-0.397 to -0.042) 0.058 0.004 *	**
		T1 to T2	0.135 (-0.118 to 0.388) 0.083 >0.99	
		T1 to T3	0.277 (0.036 to 0.519) 0.079 0.011	*
		T1 to T4	-0.146 (-0.393 to 0.100) 0.080 >0.99	
		T1 to T5	-0.389 (-0.627 to -0.152) 0.078 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T6	-0.471 (-0.694 to -0.249) 0.073 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T7	-0.640 (-0.876 to -0.403) 0.077 <0.001 **	**
		T2 to T3	0.142 (-0.081 to 0.365) 0.073 >0.99	
		T2 to T4	-0.281 (-0.498 to -0.064) 0.071 0.002 *	**
		T2 to T5	-0.524 (-0.748 to -0.301) 0.073 <0.001 **	**
	Times*Case to Clark	T2 to T6	-0.606 (-0.825 to -0.388) 0.071 <0.001 **	**
	Time*Sports Club	T2 to T7	-0.775 (-0.991 to -0.558) 0.070 <0.001 **	**
	(Yes)	T3 to T4	-0.423 (-0.633 to -0.214) 0.068 < 0.001 **	**
		T3 to T5	-0.667 (-0.908 to -0.425) 0.079 <0.001 **	**
		T3 to T6	-0.749 (-0.982 to -0.515) 0.076 <0.001 **	**
		T3 to T7	-0.917 (-1.145 to -0.688) 0.074 <0.001 **	**
		T4 to T5	-0.243 (-0.455 to -0.031) 0.069 0.011	*
		T4 to T6	-0.325 (-0.525 to -0.125) 0.065 <0.001 **	**
		T4 to T7	-0.493 (-0.686 to -0.301) 0.063 <0.001 **	**
		T5 to T6	-0.082 (-0.252 to 0.088) 0.055 >0.99	
		T5 to T7		**
		T6 to T7	-0.168 (-0.352 to 0.016) 0.060 0.114	
		T1 to T2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**
		T1 to T3		**
		T1 to T4	0.077 (-0.145 to 0.299) 0.072 >0.99	
		T1 to T5		**
		T1 to T6		**
		T1 to T7		**
		T2 to T3	-0.027 (-0.228 to 0.174) 0.065 >0.99	
		T2 to T4	`````	**
		T2 to T5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**
		T2 to T6		**
	Time*Sports Club	T2 to T7		**
	(No)	T3 to T4		**
		T3 to T5		**
		T3 to T6		**
		T3 to T7		**
		T4 to T5		**
		T4 to T6		**
		T4 to T7		**
		T5 to T6	0.021 (-0.132 to 0.174) 0.050 < 0.09	
		T5 to T7		**
		T6 to T7		**
f	Df		(p-value level) = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001; CI =	

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. p-lvl (p-value level) = *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; 4x10SHR = 4x10 m shuttle run; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; p-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in 4x10SHR in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control group SY21-

Small interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.012$, p = 0.005; time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.011$, p = 0.010), which were mainly related to the time before the sports intervention (September 2019 to September 2021). Significant changes were found during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 in the intervention and control groups (I-A = +0.24 (95% CI, 0.07–0.41), p < 0.001; C-A = +0.22 (95% CI, 0.04–0.40), p < 0.001). This trend of improvement was observed in all subgroups of the intervention and control groups (Tables R10 and R12 and Figure R9).




Figure R9. Changes in action speed from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.

Standing Long Jump (SLJ)

The standing long jump (SLJ), the leg strength parameter, showed significant changes, with a medium effect over the observation period (T1 to T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.111$; *p* < 0.001) (Table R10 and Figure R10).

SD scores over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; 4x10SHR = 4 x 10 m shuttle run; SDS = standard deviation score; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^2 =$ effect size.

A strong improvement in SDS was observed between September 2019 and June 2020 (+0.63 (95% CI, 0.47–0.78); p < 0.001). Between June 2020 and September 2021, the SDS showed a decline of –0.44 (95% CI, –0.30 to –0.58); p < 0.001) (Table R13 and Figure R10). During the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022, standing long jump performance stabilized and showed a slight trend of improvement, which, however, did not represent scientific significance (+0.05 (95% CI, –0.05 to 0.15); p > 0.99) (Table R13 and Figure R10). No interaction effects were found over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.006$, p = 0.16) (Table R10 and Figure R10).




Figure R10. Changes in muscle strength from September 2019 to June 2022.

SD scores over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; SLJ = standing long jump; SDS = standard deviation score; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Table R13. Post hoc tests of SLJ for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school

 group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

		Pairwise Comparisons	Viean Difference (35% CT) Sr/ Value	p- vl
		T1 to T2	-0.624 (-0.779 to -0.470) 0.050 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T3	-0.366 (-0.533 to -0.199) 0.055 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T4	-0.316 (-0.474 to -0.159) 0.051 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T5	-0.337 (-0.505 to -0.169) 0.055 <0.001 **	**
		T1 to T6	-0.183 (-0.344 to -0.023) 0.052 0.011	*
		T1 to T7	-0.232 (-0.392 to -0.073) 0.052 <0.001 **	**
		T2 to T3	0.258 (0.111 to 0.405) 0.048 <0.001 **	**
		T2 to T4	0.308 (0.167 to 0.448) 0.046 <0.001 **	**
		T2 to T5	0.287 (0.142 to 0.432) 0.047 <0.001 **	**
		T2 to T6	0.441 (0.299 to 0.583) 0.046 <0.001 **	**
	Time	T2 to T7	0.392 (0.244 to 0.539) 0.048 <0.001 **	**
		T3 to T4	0.050 (-0.098 to 0.197) 0.048 >0.99	
		T3 to T5	0.029 (-0.124 to 0.182) 0.050 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	0.185 (0.045 10 0.322) 0.045 0.002	**
		T3 to T7	0.134 (-0.017 to 0.284) 0.049 0.147	
		T4 to T5	-0.021 (-0.133 to 0.092) 0.037 >0.99	
		T4 to T6	0.133 (0.013 10 0.231) 0.039 0.014	*
		T4 to T7	0.084 (-0.034 to 0.202) 0.039 0.631	
		T5 to T6	0.134 (0.048 to 0.237) 0.034 < 0.001	**
		T5 to T7	0.105 (-0.014 to 0.223) 0.039 0.153	
		T6 to T7	-0.049 (-0.149 to 0.051) 0.033 >0.99	
		T1 to T2		**
		T1 to T3	-0.207 (-0.521 10 -0.054) 0.070 0.004	**
		T1 to T4		**
		T1 to T5		**
		T1 to T6	-0.109 (-0.333 to 0.114) 0.073 >0.99	
		T1 to T7	-0.195 (-0.417 to 0.028) 0.072 0.163	
SLJ SDS		T2 to T3	0.352 (0.147 to 0.557) 0.007 <0.001	**
(DüMo)		T2 to T4	0.322 (0.120 to 0.518) 0.004 <0.001	**
	Time*School	T2 to T5	0.516 (0.110 to 0.521) 0.000 <0.001	**
	Group	T2 to T6	0.550 (0.552 to 0.728) 0.005 <0.001	**
	(Intervention	T2 to T7	0.445 (0.257 to 0.051) 0.007 <0.001	**
	Group)	T3 to T4	-0.030 (-0.236 to 0.176) 0.067 >0.99	
	oroup)	T3 to T5	-0.034 (-0.248 to 0.180) 0.070 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	0.178 (-0.016 to 0.372) 0.063 0.111	
		T3 to T7	0.093 (-0.117 to 0.303) 0.069 >0.99	
		T4 to T5	-0.004 (-0.161 to 0.154) 0.051 >0.99	
		T4 to T6	0.208 (0.043 10 0.375) 0.034 0.003	**
		T4 to T7	0.123 (-0.042 to 0.288) 0.054 0.481	
		T5 to T6		**
		T5 to T7	0.127 (-0.039 to 0.292) 0.054 0.414	
		T6 to T7	-0.085 (-0.225 to 0.055) 0.046 >0.99	
		T1 to T2	-0.007 (-0.007 (-0.007) 0.072 < 0.001	**
		T1 to T3	0.443 (0.004 to 0.203) 0.076 <0.001	**
		T1 to T4		**
		T1 to T5	-0.555 (-0.554 to -0.112) 0.078 <0.001	**
		T1 to T6	-0.237 (-0.487 to -0.027) 0.073 0.013	*
	Time*School	T1 to T7		**
	Group (Control	T2 to T3	0.164 (-0.047 to 0.375) 0.069 0.368	
	Group)	T2 to T4	0.273 (0.072 10 0.475) 0.000 (0.001	**
	Group	T2 to T5	0.250 (0.048 10 0.404) 0.008 0.004	**
		T2 to T6		**
		T2 to T7	0.556 (0.127 10 0.550) 0.007 <0.001	**
		T3 to T4	0.129 (-0.082 to 0.341) 0.069 >0.99	
		T3 to T5	0.092 (-0.128 to 0.311) 0.072 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	0.187 (-0.012 to 0.387) 0.065 0.091	-


$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1	T3 to T7	0.174 ((-0.042	to	0.390)	0.070	0.294	
$ Time*Sports Club \\ (No) \\ Time*Sports Club \\ (No) \\ Time*Sports Club \\ (No) \\ (No) \\ Time*Sports Club \\ (No) \\ (No) \\ (No) \\ Time*Sports Club \\ (No) \\ (No) \\ Time Time Time Time Time Time Time Time$			-0.038 (<u>`</u>		to)			
Time*Sports Club (No) T1 to T2 0.045 (-0.124 to 0.214) 0.055 (-0.99) T5 to T7 0.083 (-0.087 to 0.246) 0.049) 0.99) T6 to T7 -0.013 (-0.156 to 0.130) 0.047 (-0.99) T1 to T2 -0.655 (-0.884 to -0.425) 0.075 (-0.001 (***)) T1 to T3 -0.359 (-0.608 to -0.111) 0.081 (-0.001 (***)) T1 to T5 -0.351 (-0.601 to -0.102) 0.081 (-0.001 (***)) T1 to T5 -0.351 (-0.601 to -0.102) 0.081 (-0.001 (***)) T1 to T5 -0.351 (-0.601 to -0.102) 0.081 (-0.001 (***)) T1 to T5 -0.302 (-0.077 to -0.514) 0.071 (-0.001 (***)) T2 to T3 0.226 (-0.077 to -0.514) 0.071 (-0.001 (***)) T2 to T6 0.471 (-0.260 to -0.634) 0.072 (-0.09 (***)) T2 to T7 0.414 (-0.195 to -0.634) 0.072 (-0.99 (***)) T3 to T4 -0.70 (-0.023 to -0.342) 0.057 (-0.023 (***)) T3 to T6 0.175 (-0.032 to -0.342) 0.057 (-0.023 (***)) T3 to T6 0.167 (-0.011 to -0.342) 0.057 (-0.023 (***)) T3 to T6 0.167 (-0.011 to -0.347) 0.067 (T4 to T6		<u>`</u>		to)			
Time*Sports Club (No) To T 0.083 (-0.055 to 0.246 0.049 >0.99 T5 to T7 0.083 (-0.087 to 0.253) 0.055 >0.099 T6 to T7 -0.013 (-0.071 to 0.253) 0.0075 <0.001				<u>`</u>		to)			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				<u>`</u>)			
Time*Sports Club (Yes) T6 to T7 T1 to T2 T1 to T3 T1 to T4 T1 to T5 T1 to T4 T1 to T5 T1 to T5 T1 to T5 T1 to T6 T1 to T6 T1 to T6 T1 to T6 T1 to T6 T1 to T6 T1 to T7 T1 to T7 T1 to T7 T2 to T6 T1 to T5 T2 to T6 T1 to T5 T1 to T7 T1 to T5 T1 to T7 T1 to T5 T1 to T7 T1				<u>`</u>		to)			
Tine*Sport Club T1 to T2 -0.655 (-0.884 to -0.425) 0.075 <0.001				<u>`</u>)			
Ti to T3 -0.359 (-0.608 to -0.111 0.081 <0.001				<u>`</u>)			***
Ti to T4 -0.429 (-0.663 to -0.196) 0.076 <0.001				<u>`</u>)			***
Ti to T5 -0.351 (-0.001 to -0.002) 0.008 <0.001				<u>`</u>)			***
Ti to T6 -0.184 (-0.423 to 0.054) 0.078 0.390 Ti to T7 -0.241 (-0.477 to -0.004) 0.077 0.043 * T2 to T4 0.225 (0.016 to 0.435) 0.008 0.002 * T2 to T4 0.225 (0.016 to 0.435) 0.007 <0.001				<u> </u>)			***
Time*Sports Club (Yes) T1 to T7 -0.241 (-0.477 to -0.004) 0.077 0.043 * T2 to T3 0.296 (0.077 to 0.514) 0.071 <0.0011				< <u> </u>)			
$ Time*Sports Club \\ (Yes) \\ Time*Sports Club \\$				<u>`</u>)			*
Time*Sports Club (Yes) T2 to T4 0.225 (0.016 to 0.435) 0.068 0.022 * T2 to T5 0.303 (0.088 to 0.519) 0.070 -0.001 *** T2 to T6 0.414 (0.195 to 0.681) 0.069 -0.001 *** T3 to T4 -0.070 (-0.290 to 0.149) 0.072 >0.999 - T3 to T5 0.008 (-0.200 to 0.332) 0.067 0.210 - T3 to T5 0.078 (-0.032 to 0.324) 0.073 >0.99 - T4 to T5 0.078 (-0.090 to 0.421) 0.057 >0.091 * T4 to T7 0.189 (0.011 to 0.324) 0.051 0.025 * T5 to T6 0.167 (0.011 to 0.324) 0.051 0.024 *				()			***
Time*Sports Club (Yes) T2 to T5 0.303 (0.088 to 0.519 0.070 <0.001				()			*
Time*Sports Club (Yes) T2 to T6 0.471 (0.260 to 0.681) 0.069 <0.001 *** T3 to T7 0.414 (0.195 to 0.634) 0.072 <0.001				()			***
Time*Sports Club (Yes) T2 to T7 0.414 (0.195 to 0.634) 0.072 <0.001 **** T3 to T4 -0.070 (-0.290 to 0.149) 0.072 >0.99 **** T3 to T5 0.008 (-0.220 to 0.236) 0.074 >0.99 **** T3 to T5 0.008 (-0.200 to 0.382) 0.067 >0.199 **** T3 to T6 0.175 (-0.090 to 0.342) 0.055 >0.99 **** T4 to T6 0.245 (0.069 to 0.421) 0.057 **** T4 to T6 0.167 (0.011 to 0.324) 0.051 0.023 * T5 to T6 0.167 (0.011 to 0.324) 0.051 0.023 * T4 to T7 -0.173 (-0.205 to 0.032 0.001 **** T6 to T7 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>***</td>				()			***
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-			()			***
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(Yes)			($\frac{1}{2}$			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			
Time*Sports Club Time*Sports Club<				<u>`</u>)			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>)			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>)			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				(/			***
T5 to T6 0.167 (0.011 to 0.324) 0.051 0.025 * T5 to T7 0.111 (-0.066 to 0.287) 0.058 >0.99 T6 to T7 -0.056 (-0.205 to 0.092) 0.048 >0.99 T1 to T2 -0.593 (-0.800 to -0.387) 0.067 <0.001				(*
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				(*
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				($\frac{1}{2}$			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			***
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			***
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·			<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			***
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·			<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·							$\frac{1}{2}$			*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·			(<u> </u>			***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				(<i>.</i>			***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				(***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Time*Sports Club			($\frac{1}{2}$			***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(No)							$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								$\frac{1}{2}$			*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				<u>`</u>				$\frac{1}{2}$			
T5 to T60.140(-0.001to0.281)0.0460.053T5 to T70.098(-0.060to0.257)0.052>0.99				-				$\frac{1}{2}$			
T5 to T7 0.098 (-0.060 to 0.257) 0.052 >0.99				· ·				$\frac{1}{2}$			├──
)			
				· ·)			

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; SLJ = standing long jump; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in SLJ in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control groups SY21–22)

No interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.005$, p = 0.026; time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.003$, p = 0.63) (Tables R10 and R13 and Figure R11). No significant changes were found in the intervention or control groups during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 (I-A = +0.09 (95% CI, -0.06 to 0.23), p > 0.99; C-A = +0.01 (95% CI, -0.13 to 0.16), p > 0.99). Only in the control group without sports club membership was a "minimal" tendential decline detected between September 2021 and June 2022 (-0.01 SDS) (Table R13 and Figure R11).


Figure R11. Changes in muscle strength from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



SD scores over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; SLJ = standing long jump; SDS = standard deviation score; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 \le 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^2 = effect$ size.

Upper Body Muscle Strength (MB1kg)

The medicine ball throw (MB1kg), the upper body strength parameter, showed significant changes with a small effect over the observation period (T1 to T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.035$; p < 0.001) (Table R10 and Figures R5 and R12).



Z-values over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; A = all; SC = sports club membership; SIC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^{-2} : S = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^{-2} < 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^{-2} = effect$ size.

An improvement in performance without scientific significance was observed in the medicine ball throw between September 2019 and June 2020 (+0.15 (95% CI, -0.05 to 0.33); p = 0.47). Declines in performance were observed during the school vacations of summer 2020 (-0.13 (95% CI, -0.31 to 0.03); p = 0.22) and summer 2021 (-0.24 (95% CI, -0.38 to -0.09); p < 0.001) (Tables R10 and R14 and Figure R12).

The observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 showed a significant improvement in performance (+0.30 (95% CI, 0.15–0.43); p < 0.001) (Table R14 and Figure R12).

Small interaction effects over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.017$, p < 0.001) were found (Tables R10 and R14 and Figures R5 and R12).

Table R14. Post hoc tests of MB1kg for the main effect of time and interactions fortime*school group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI) SE Value a	<i>p-</i> lvl
		T1 to T2	-0.143 (-0.334 to 0.048) 0.062 0.470	
		T1 to T3	-0.003 (-0.170 to 0.165) 0.055 >0.99	
		T1 to T4	-0.007 (-0.199 to 0.186) 0.063 >0.99	
		T1 to T5	-0.007 (-0.194 to 0.180) 0.061 >0.99	
		T1 to T6		***
		T1 to T7	-0.063 (-0.259 to 0.133) 0.064 >0.99	
		T2 to T3	0.140 (-0.027 to 0.307) 0.054 0.223	
		T2 to T4	0.136 (-0.024 to 0.297) 0.052 0.203	
		T2 to T5	0.136 (-0.016 to 0.288) 0.049 0.135	
		T2 to T6	0.372 (0.200 to 0.338) 0.034 <0.001	***
	Time	T2 to T7	0.080 (-0.077 to 0.237) 0.051 >0.99	
		T3 to T4	-0.004 (-0.166 to 0.159) 0.053 >0.99	
		T3 to T5	-0.004 (-0.168 to 0.160) 0.053 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	0.232 (0.070 to 0.300) 0.044 (0.001	***
		T3 to T7	-0.060 (-0.222 to 0.102) 0.053 >0.99	
		T4 to T5	0.000 (-0.144 to 0.143) 0.047 >0.99	
		T4 to T6	0.230 (0.070 to 0.402) 0.034 (0.001	***
		T4 to T7	-0.056 (-0.214 to 0.101) 0.051 >0.99	
		T5 to T6	0.230 (0.007 to 0.303) 0.040 (0.001	***
MB1kg <i>z</i> -value		T5 to T7	-0.056 (-0.206 to 0.094) 0.049 >0.99	
(KATS-K)		T6 to T7	-0.272 (-0.431 to -0.133) 0.043 (0.001	***
(IMIIS II)		T1 to T2	-0.248 (-0.517 to 0.020) 0.087 0.102	
		T1 to T3	-0.068 (-0.304 to 0.167) 0.077 >0.99	
		T1 to T4	-0.019 (-0.289 to 0.252) 0.088 >0.99	
		T1 to T5	-0.049 (-0.312 to 0.214) 0.086 >0.99	
		T1 to T6	0.199 (-0.031 to 0.428) 0.075 0.178	
		T1 to T7	-0.143 (-0.419 to 0.132) 0.090 >0.99	
		T2 to T3	0.180 (-0.055 to 0.415) 0.076 0.404	
		T2 to T4	0.230 (0.004 to 0.455) 0.073 0.042	*
	Time*School	T2 to T5	0.199 (-0.014 to 0.413) 0.070 0.095	
	Group	T2 to T6	0.447 (0.214 to 0.000) 0.070 <0.001	***
	(Intervention	T2 to T7	0.105 (-0.115 to 0.325) 0.072 >0.99	
	Group)	T3 to T4	0.049 (-0.179 to 0.278) 0.074 >0.99	
		T3 to T5	0.019 (-0.211 to 0.249) 0.075 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	0.207 (0.070 to 0.430) 0.001 < 0.001	***
		T3 to T7	-0.075 (-0.302 to 0.152) 0.074 >0.99	
		T4 to T5	-0.030 (-0.232 to 0.172) 0.066 >0.99	
		T4 to T6	0.217 (-0.016 to 0.451) 0.076 0.096	
		T4 to T7	-0.124 (-0.346 to 0.097) 0.072 >0.99	
		T5 to T6	0.248 (0.042 to 0.454) 0.067 0.006	**
		T5 to T7	-0.094 (-0.305 to 0.117) 0.069 >0.99	

	T6 to T7	-0.342 (-0.538 to -0.146) 0.064 <0.001 *
	T1 to T2	-0.037 (-0.309 to 0.234) 0.088 >0.99
	T1 to T3	0.063 (-0.176 to 0.301) 0.078 >0.99
	T1 to T4	0.006 (-0.268 to 0.280) 0.089 >0.99
	T1 to T5	0.035 (-0.231 to 0.301) 0.087 >0.99
	T1 to T6	0.259 (0.027 to 0.492) 0.076 0.015
	T1 to T7	0.017 (-0.262 to 0.296) 0.091 >0.99
	T2 to T3	0.100 (-0.138 to 0.337) 0.077 >0.99
	T2 to T4	0.043 (-0.185 to 0.272) 0.074 >0.99
	T2 to T5	0.073 (-0.143 to 0.289) 0.070 >0.99
Time*School	T2 to T6	0.297 (0.061 to 0.533) 0.077 0.003
Group (Control	T2 to T7	0.055 (-0.168 to 0.278) 0.073 >0.99
Group)	T3 to T4	-0.057 (-0.288 to 0.175) 0.075 >0.99
1,	T3 to T5	-0.027 (-0.260 to 0.206) 0.076 >0.99
	T3 to T6	0.197 (0.006 to 0.388) 0.062 0.037
	T3 to T7	-0.045 (-0.275 to 0.185) 0.075 >0.99
	T4 to T5	0.030 (-0.175 to 0.234) 0.067 >0.99
	T4 to T6	0.254 (0.017 to 0.490) 0.077 0.024
	T4 to T7	0.221 (0.017 to 0.790) 0.077 0.021
	T5 to T6	0.012 (-0.213 to 0.230) 0.073 >0.93
	T5 to T7	-0.018 (-0.231 to 0.195) 0.008 0.024
	T6 to T7	-0.242 (-0.441 to -0.044) 0.065 0.005
	T1 to T2	-0.242 (-0.306 to 0.256) 0.092 >0.99
	T1 to T2	
	T1 to T4	0.200 (-0.084 to 0.483) 0.092 0.662
	T1 to T5	0.199 (-0.076 to 0.475) 0.090 0.568
	T1 to T6	0.520 (0.000 10 0.507) 0.070 (0.001
	T1 to T7	0.163 (-0.126 to 0.452) 0.094 >0.99
	T2 to T3	0.164 (-0.081 to 0.410) 0.080 0.863
	T2 to T4	0.225 (-0.011 to 0.461) 0.077 0.080
	T2 to T5	0.225 (0.001 to 0.448) 0.073 0.047
Time*Sports Club	T2 to T6	0.353 (0.109 to 0.597) 0.080 <0.001 *
(Yes)	T2 to T7	0.188 (-0.042 to 0.419) 0.075 0.271
(100)	T3 to T4	0.060 (-0.179 to 0.300) 0.078 >0.99
	T3 to T5	0.060 (-0.181 to 0.301) 0.079 >0.99
	T3 to T6	0.189 (-0.008 to 0.387) 0.064 0.076
	T3 to T7	0.024 (-0.214 to 0.262) 0.078 >0.99
	T4 to T5	0.000 (-0.212 to 0.211) 0.069 >0.99
	T4 to T6	0.129 (-0.116 to 0.373) 0.080 >0.99
	T4 to T7	-0.037 (-0.269 to 0.195) 0.076 >0.99
	T5 to T6	0.129 (-0.087 to 0.345) 0.070 >0.99
	T5 to T7	-0.036 (-0.257 to 0.184) 0.072 >0.99
	T6 to T7	-0.165 (-0.370 to 0.040) 0.067 0.297
	T1 to T2	-0.261 (-0.519 to -0.002) 0.084 0.046
	T1 to T3	-0.145 (-0.372 to 0.082) 0.074 >0.99
	T1 to T4	-0.213 (-0.473 to 0.048) 0.085 0.270
	T1 to T5	-0.213 (-0.466 to 0.040) 0.082 0.216
	T1 to T6	0.130 (-0.092 to 0.351) 0.072 >0.99
	T1 to T7	-0.289 (-0.554 to -0.023) 0.087 0.020
	T2 to T3	0.116 (-0.110 to 0.342) 0.074 >0.99
Time*Sports Club	T2 to T4	0.048 (-0.170 to 0.265) 0.071 >0.99
(No)	T2 to T5	0.047 (-0.158 to 0.253) 0.067 >0.99
	T2 to T6	0.390 (0.166 to 0.615) 0.073 <0.001
	T2 to T7	-0.028 (-0.241 to 0.184) 0.069 >0.99
	T3 to T4	-0.028 (-0.241 to 0.164) 0.000 >0.09
		-0.068 (-0.290 to 0.152) 0.072 >0.99
	1 "4 to 115	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
	T3 to T5	
	<u>T3 to T5</u> <u>T3 to T6</u> T3 to T7	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

T4 to T6	0.343 (0.118 to 0.567) 0.073 <0.001 ***
T4 to T7	-0.076 (-0.290 to 0.137) 0.070 >0.99
T5 to T6	0.343 (0.145 to 0.542) 0.065 <0.001 ***
T5 to T7	-0.076 (-0.279 to 0.127) 0.066 >0.99
T6 to T7	-0.419 (-0.608 to -0.230) 0.062 <0.001 ***

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001. CI = confidence interval; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; Mean Different = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in medicine ball throwing in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control groups SY21–22)


No interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.004$, p = 0.42;

time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.004$, p = 0.35) (Table R10 and Figure R13).

Significant changes were observed between September 2021 and June 2022 in the intervention and control groups (I-A = +0.35 (95% CI, 0.15–0.54), p < 0.001; C-A = +0.25 (95% CI, 0.05–0.44), p = 0.005) (Table R14 and Figure R13).

The highest increase was observed between September 2021 and June 2022 in the intervention group without sports club membership (+0.60 SDS) (Table R14 and Figure R13).

Figure R13. Changes in upper body muscle strength from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



Z-values over seven test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 \le 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Jumping Sideways (JS)

Significant changes were seen in jumping sideways (JS), the parameter for whole-body coordination and muscle endurance, with a small effect over the observation period (T1–T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.002$; p < 0.001) (Table R10 and Figure R5).


At the end of the observation period (June 2022), no significant change from baseline (September 2019) was shown (+0.17 (95% CI, -0.04 to 0.39); p = 0.28) (Table R15 and Figure R14).


No significant change was observed between September 2019 and June 2020 (-0.10 (95% CI, -0.35 to 0.12); p > 0.99). In the following observation period (June 2020 to September 2020), a significant improvement in performance was observed (+0.26 (95% CI, 0.09–0.47); p < 0.001). No changes in performance were shown in SY 2020/2021 (September 2020 to June

2021) (-0.03 (95% CI, -0.21 to 0.13); p > 0.99). From June 2021 to September 2021, a significant reduction was found (-0.03 (95% CI, -0.21 to 0.13); p > 0.99) (Table R15 and Figure R14).

Finally, between September 2021 and June 2022, a strong improvement in jumping sideways was detected (+0.22 (95% CI, 0.08–0.38); p < 0.001) (Table R15 and Figure R14).

No interaction effects were found over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.005$; p = 0.26) (Tables R10 and R11 and Figures R5 and R14).





Z-values over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; JS = jumping sideways; *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; GMT = German Motor Test; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, d. = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Table R15. Post hoc tests of JS for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school
 group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

			<i>p-</i>	
		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI) SE Value	<i>p-</i> lvl
		T1 to T2	0.115 (-0.118 to 0.348) 0.079 >0.99	
		T1 to T3	-0.164 (-0.383 to 0.056) 0.074 0.422	
		T1 to T5	-0.121 (-0.345 to 0.103) 0.076 >0.99	
		T1 to T6	0.058 (-0.153 to 0.268) 0.071 >0.99	
		T1 to T7	-0.173 (-0.389 to 0.043) 0.073 0.278	
		T2 to T3		***
	T .	T2 to T5	-0.230(-0.423(0-0.040))0.004(0.004)	**
	Time	T2 to T6 T2 to T7	-0.057 (-0.247 to 0.134) 0.064 >0.99 -0.288 (-0.471 to -0.104) 0.062 <0.001 *	***
		T3 to T5	-0.288 (-0.471 to -0.104) 0.062 < 0.001 * 0.043 (-0.125 to 0.210) 0.057 >0.99	
		T3 to T6		**
		T3 to T7	-0.009 (-0.200 to 0.182) 0.065 >0.99	
		T5 to T6		*
		T5 to T7	-0.052 (-0.224 to 0.120) 0.058 >0.99	
		T6 to T7		***
		T1 to T2	-0.102 (-0.427 to 0.223) 0.110 >0.99	
		T1 to T3	-0.520 (-0.826 to -0.214) 0.103 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T5	-0.438 (-0.751 to -0.126) 0.106 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T6	-0.238 (-0.532 to 0.055) 0.099 0.255	
		T1 to T7		**
	Time*School	T2 to T3	-0.418 (-0.085 to -0.151) 0.090 < 0.001	***
	Group	T2 to T5	$-0.330(-0.000\ 10\ -0.071\)\ 0.009\ 0.003$	**
	(Intervention	T2 to T6	-0.136 (-0.401 to 0.130) 0.090 >0.99	**
	Group)	T2 to T7	-0.308 (-0.304 10 -0.032) 0.080 0.007	**
		T3 to T5 T3 to T6	0.082 (-0.152 to 0.316) 0.079 >0.99 0.282 (0.039 to 0.525) 0.082 0.010	*
		T3 to T7	0.282 (0.039 to 0.525) 0.082 0.010 0.110 (-0.157 to 0.376) 0.090 >0.99	·
JS z-value		T5 to T6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
(GMT)		T5 to T7	0.028 (-0.212 to 0.268) 0.081 >0.99	
(01122)		T6 to T7	-0.172 (-0.386 to 0.041) 0.072 0.262	
		T1 to T2	0.332 (-0.002 to 0.666) 0.113 0.053	
		T1 to T3	0.193 (-0.121 to 0.507) 0.106 >0.99	
		T1 to T5	0.196 (-0.125 to 0.518) 0.108 >0.99	
		T1 to T6	0.354 (0.052 to 0.655) 0.102 0.009 *	**
		T1 to T7	0.065 (-0.245 to 0.374) 0.105 >0.99	
		T2 to T3	-0.139 (-0.413 to 0.135) 0.093 >0.99	
	Time*School	T2 to T5	-0.135 (-0.407 to 0.136) 0.092 >0.99	
	Group (Control	T2 to T6	0.022 (-0.251 to 0.295) 0.092 >0.99	
	Group)	T2 to T7	-0.207 (-0.330 to -0.004) 0.007 0.043	*
		T3 to T5	0.003 (-0.237 to 0.244) 0.081 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	0.161 (-0.089 to 0.410) 0.084 0.865	
		T3 to T7 T5 to T6	-0.128 (-0.402 to 0.146) 0.092 >0.99 0.157 (-0.071 to 0.385) 0.077 0.627	
		T5 to T7	0.157 (-0.071 to 0.385) 0.077 0.627 -0.132 (-0.378 to 0.115) 0.083 >0.99	
		T6 to T7		**
		T1 to T2	0.182 (-0.164 to 0.528) 0.117 >0.99	
		T1 to T3	-0.239 (-0.565 to 0.087) 0.110 0.464	
		T1 to T5	-0.084 (-0.417 to 0.250) 0.112 >0.99	
		T1 to T6	0.082 (-0.230 to 0.395) 0.106 >0.99	
	Time*Great Cl. 1	T1 to T7	-0.217 (-0.538 to 0.104) 0.108 0.693	
	Time*Sports Club	T2 to T3		***
	(Yes)	T2 to T5	-0.265 (-0.547 to 0.016) 0.095 0.085	
		T2 to T6	-0.100 (-0.383 to 0.183) 0.096 >0.99	
		T2 to T7		***
		T3 to T5	0.155 (-0.094 to 0.404) 0.084 0.987	
		T3 to T6	0.321 (0.062 to 0.580) 0.087 0.004 *	**


1 1	T 2 · T 7	
	T3 to T7	0.022 (-0.262 to 0.306) 0.096 >0.99
	T5 to T6	0.166 (-0.071 to 0.402) 0.080 0.582
	T5 to T7	-0.134 (-0.389 to 0.122) 0.086 >0.99
	T6 to T7	-0.299 (-0.526 to -0.072) 0.077 0.002 **
	T1 to T2	0.048 (-0.264 to 0.360) 0.105 >0.99
	T1 to T3	-0.088 (-0.382 to 0.206) 0.099 >0.99
	T1 to T5	-0.158 (-0.458 to 0.142) 0.101 >0.99
	T1 to T6	0.034 (-0.248 to 0.315) 0.095 >0.99
	T1 to T7	-0.129 (-0.418 to 0.161) 0.098 >0.99
	T2 to T3	-0.136 (-0.392 to 0.120) 0.087 >0.99
Time * Sec	T2 to T5	-0.206 (-0.460 to 0.048) 0.086 0.253
Time*Spo		-0.014 (-0.269 to 0.241) 0.086 >0.99
(N	T2 to T7	-0.176 (-0.422 to 0.069) 0.083 0.517
	T3 to T5	-0.070 (-0.294 to 0.154) 0.076 >0.99
	T3 to T6	0.122 (-0.112 to 0.355) 0.079 >0.99
	T3 to T7	-0.040 (-0.296 to 0.216) 0.086 >0.99
	T5 to T6	0.192 (-0.021 to 0.405) 0.072 0.121
	T5 to T7	0.030 (-0.201 to 0.260) 0.078 >0.99
	T6 to T7	-0.162 (-0.367 to 0.043) 0.069 0.296

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; JS = jumping sideways; GMT = German Motor Test; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in JS in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control groups SY21–22) Small interaction effects over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.027$, p < 0.001) were found, which were mainly related to the time before the sports intervention (September 2019 to September 2021) (Table R10 and Figure R5).

Significant changes were found in the control group during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 (C-A = +0.26 (95% CI, 0.07–0.51), p = 0.002). These improvements were more than threefold higher in those children with sports club membership (+0.43 SDS) than in those without (+0.14). No changes in performance were demonstrated at this time point in the intervention group (I-A = +0.18 (95% CI, -0.04 to 0.39), p = 0.26) (Table R15 and Figure R15).

Figure R15. Changes in muscle strength endurance and coordination from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



Z-values over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; JS = jumping sideways; *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; GMT = German Motor Test; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Flexibility (VSR)

Flexibility (VSR) showed significant changes, with a medium effect over the observation period (T1–T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.045$; p < 0.001) (Tables R10 and R16 and Figures R5 and R16).

At the end of the observation period (June 2022), a marginally significant worsening from baseline (September 2019) was shown (-0.21 (95% CI, -0.00 to -0.39); p = 0.041) (Table R16 and Figure R16).

At T5 (June 2021), a significantly significant change (p < 0.001) was observed compared to other test time points (Table R16 and Figure R16).

No significant change in flexibility was found between September 2021 and June 2022 (-0.06 (95% CI, -0.21 to 0.11); p > 0.99). (Table R16 and Figure R16).

No interaction effects were detected over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.005$, p = 0.28) (Tables R10 and R16 and Figures R5 and R16).




Figure R16. Changes in flexibility from September 2019 to June 2022.

SD scores over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SDS = standard deviation score; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^{-2} : S = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.01$, M $=\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, $L = \eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. $=\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^2 =$ effect size.

		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% (CI)	SE	<i>p</i> -Value ^a	<i>p</i> -lvl
		T1 to T2	074 (-0.082 to 0.22	29)	0.053	>0.99	
VCD CDC	Time	T1 to T3	.006 (-0.160 to 0.14	48)	0.052	>0.99	
VSR SDS		T1 to T5	369 (0.186 to 0.55	52)	0.062	< 0.001	***
(MAKFIT)		T1 to T6	150 (-0.009 to 0.30)8)	0.054	0.083	
		T1 to T7	197 (0.004 to 0.39	90)	0.065	0.040	*

Table R16. Post hoc tests of VSR for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

1	T2 to T3	-0.080	Ι <u>(</u> .	-0.221	to	0.062)	0.048	>0.99	٦
-	T2 to T5	0.295	`	0.111	to	0.002	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.040	<0.001	*:
-	T2 to T6	0.076	<u>``</u>	-0.087	to	0.239)	0.055	>0.99	
-	T2 to T7	0.124	<u> </u>	-0.064	to	0.311)	0.063	0.785	
	T3 to T5	0.375	<u> </u>	0.219	to	0.530	${}$	0.053	< 0.001	*:
_	T3 to T6	0.156	(0.002	to	0.309	${}$	0.053	0.044	:
_	T3 to T7	0.203	(0.031	to	0.375	${}$	0.052	0.008	*
-	T5 to T6	-0.219	(.	-0.364	to	-0.075)	0.049	< 0.001	*
-	T5 to T7	-0.172	<u> </u>	-0.355	to	0.012)	0.062	0.090	
-	T6 to T7	0.048	· ·	-0.113	to	0.209)	0.054	>0.99	
	T1 to T2	0.097	· ·	-0.120	to	0.314	${}$	0.073	>0.99	
	T1 to T3	0.028	<u>``</u>	-0.186	to	0.243)	0.073	>0.99	1
-	T1 to T5	0.438	<u> </u>	0.183	to	0.693)	0.086	< 0.001	*
	T1 to T6	0.191	<u> </u>	-0.030	to	0.413)	0.075	0.164	1
-	T1 to T7	0.158	· ·	-0.112	to	0.427	${}$	0.091	>0.99	1
-	T2 to T3	-0.069		-0.266	to	0.129	${}$	0.067	>0.99	
Time*School	T2 to T5	0.341	<u> </u>	0.084	to	0.598	${}$	0.087	0.001	:
Group	T2 to T6	0.094	<u> </u>	-0.133	to	0.321	${}$	0.077	>0.99	1
(Intervention	T2 to T7	0.061	<u> </u>	-0.202	to	0.323	${}$	0.088	>0.99	1
Group)	T3 to T5	0.410	· ·	0.193	to	0.627	${}$	0.073	< 0.001	*
_	T3 to T6	0.163	<u> </u>	-0.051	to	0.377	${}$	0.072	0.374	
_	T3 to T7	0.109	<u> </u>	-0.111	to	0.369	${}$	0.072	>0.99	
_	T5 to T6	-0.247		-0.448	to	-0.045	${}$	0.068	0.005	;
_	T5 to T7	-0.280	<u> </u>	-0.536	to	-0.024	${}$	0.086	0.020	
_	T6 to T7	-0.034	<u> </u>	-0.259	to	0.191	${}$	0.000	>0.99	
	T1 to T2	0.050	<u> </u>	-0.173	to	0.171	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.075	>0.99	+
-	T1 to T2	-0.041	<u> </u>	-0.261	to	0.180	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.075	>0.99	
-	T1 to T5	0.300	<u> </u>	0.037	to	0.562	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.075	0.012	
-	T1 to T6	0.108	<u> </u>	-0.120	to	0.335	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.077	>0.99	
-	T1 to T0	0.103		-0.040	to	0.535	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.093	0.176	
-	T2 to T3	-0.091	<u> </u>	-0.293	to	0.112	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.075	>0.170	
Time*School	T2 to T5	0.249	<u> </u>	-0.273	to	0.513	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.000	0.082	
Group (Control	T2 to T5	0.058	<u> </u>	-0.014 -0.176	to	0.291	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.079	>0.082	
Group)	T2 to T0	0.038	<u> </u>	-0.082	to	0.251	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.075	0.613	
Group)	T3 to T5	0.340	<u> </u>	0.117	to	0.563	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.071	< 0.013	×
-	T3 to T6	0.148	· ·	-0.072	to	0.368	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.073	0.701	
-	T3 to T7	0.148		0.031	to	0.524)		0.015	
-	T5 to T6	-0.192	`	-0.399	to	0.015	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.000	0.097	
-	T5 to T7	-0.063	<u> </u>	-0.325	to	0.200	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.070	>0.99	+
F	T6 to T7	0.129	<u>`</u>	-0.323 -0.102	to	0.200	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.089	>0.99	+
	T1 to T2	0.129	<u>`</u>	-0.102 -0.154	to	0.308	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.078	>0.99	+
F	T1 to T2	-0.012	<u> </u>	-0.241	to	0.308	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.078	>0.99	+
F	T1 to T5	0.255		-0.241 -0.017	to	0.527	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.077	0.089	+
F	T1 to T6	0.131		-0.104	to	0.367	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.092	>0.089	+
F	T1 to T0	0.131	<u> </u>	-0.104 -0.100	to	0.307	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.080	0.819	+
F	T2 to T3	-0.089	<u> </u>	-0.299	to	0.121	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.071	>0.99	+
	T2 to T5	0.178	<u> </u>	-0.299	to	0.121	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.071	0.828	+
ŀ				-0.090	to	0.296	$\frac{1}{1}$	0.092	>0.828	+
Time*Sports Club		0 054		0.107	.0	0.270)			+
Time*Sports Club (Yes)	T2 to T6	0.054			to	0.389)	0.094	>0.99	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7	0.110		-0.169	to to	0.389)	0.094	>0.99	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5	0.110 0.267	(·	-0.169 0.035	to	0.498))	0.078	0.011	T
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5 T3 to T6	0.110 0.267 0.143	(· (·	-0.169 0.035 -0.085	to to	0.498 0.371))))	0.078 0.077	0.011 0.963	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5 T3 to T6 T3 to T7	0.110 0.267 0.143 0.199	(·) (·) (·)	-0.169 0.035 -0.085 -0.057	to to to	0.498 0.371 0.455))))	0.078 0.077 0.086	0.011 0.963 0.331	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5 T3 to T6 T3 to T7 T5 to T6	0.110 0.267 0.143 0.199 -0.123	·) (· ·) (· ·) (· ·	-0.169 0.035 -0.085 -0.057 -0.338	to to to to	0.498 0.371 0.455 0.091)))))	0.078 0.077 0.086 0.073	0.011 0.963 0.331 >0.99	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5 T3 to T6 T3 to T6 T5 to T6 T5 to T7	0.110 0.267 0.143 0.199 -0.123 -0.068		-0.169 0.035 -0.085 -0.057 -0.338 -0.340	to to to to	0.498 0.371 0.455 0.091 0.205)))))	0.078 0.077 0.086 0.073 0.092	0.011 0.963 0.331 >0.99 >0.99	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5 T3 to T6 T3 to T7 T5 to T6 T5 to T7 T6 to T7	0.110 0.267 0.143 0.199 -0.123 -0.068 0.056		-0.169 0.035 -0.085 -0.057 -0.338 -0.340 -0.184	to to to to to to	0.498 0.371 0.455 0.091 0.205 0.295))))))	0.078 0.077 0.086 0.073 0.092 0.081	0.011 0.963 0.331 >0.99 >0.99 >0.99	
(Ŷes)	$\begin{array}{c} T2 \text{ to } T6 \\ T2 \text{ to } T7 \\ T3 \text{ to } T5 \\ T3 \text{ to } T6 \\ T3 \text{ to } T7 \\ T5 \text{ to } T6 \\ T5 \text{ to } T7 \\ T6 \text{ to } T7 \\ T1 \text{ to } T2 \end{array}$	0.110 0.267 0.143 0.199 -0.123 -0.068 0.056 0.070		-0.169 0.035 -0.085 -0.057 -0.338 -0.340 -0.184 -0.138	to to to to to to	0.498 0.371 0.455 0.091 0.205 0.295 0.279)))))))	0.078 0.077 0.086 0.073 0.092 0.081 0.070	0.011 0.963 0.331 >0.99 >0.99 >0.99 >0.99	
	T2 to T6 T2 to T7 T3 to T5 T3 to T6 T3 to T7 T5 to T6 T5 to T7 T6 to T7	0.110 0.267 0.143 0.199 -0.123 -0.068 0.056		-0.169 0.035 -0.085 -0.057 -0.338 -0.340 -0.184	to to to to to to	0.498 0.371 0.455 0.091 0.205 0.295))))))))	0.078 0.077 0.086 0.073 0.092 0.081	0.011 0.963 0.331 >0.99 >0.99 >0.99	*

	T1 to T7	0.208	(-0.051	to	0.466)	0.087	0.271	
	T2 to T3	-0.071	(-0.260	to	0.119)	0.064	>0.99	
	T2 to T5	0.412	(0.166	to	0.659)	0.083	< 0.001	***
	T2 to T6	0.097	(-0.120	to	0.315)	0.074	>0.99	
	T2 to T7	0.137	(-0.114	to	0.389)	0.085	>0.99	
	T3 to T5	0.483	(0.275	to	0.691)	0.070	< 0.001	***
	T3 to T6	0.168	(-0.037	to	0.374)	0.069	0.239	
	T3 to T7	0.208	(-0.023	to	0.438)	0.078	0.119953	
	T5 to T6	-0.315	(-0.508	to	-0.121)	0.065	< 0.001	***
	T5 to T7	-0.275	(-0.521	to	-0.030)	0.083	0.016	*
	T6 to T7	0.040	(-0.176	to	0.255)	0.073	>0.99	


^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in VSR in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control groups SY21–22) No interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.003$, p = 0.48; time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.003$, p = 0.57) and no significant changes were monitored during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 in the intervention and control groups (I-A = +0.03 (95% CI, -0.19 to 0.26); p > 0.99; C-A = -0.14 (95% CI, -0.36 to 0.10); p > 0.99) (Tables R10 and R16 and Figures R5 and R17).

Significant changes were found in the control group during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 (C-A = +0.26 (95% CI, 0.07–0.51), p = 0.002). These improvements were more than threefold higher in those children with sports club membership (+0.43 SDS) than those without (+0.14). No changes in performance were demonstrated at this time point in the intervention group (I-A = +0.18 (95% CI, -0.04 to 0.39), p = 0.26) (Table R16 and Figure R17).

There was a different trend for children who had no sports club membership (intervention group: VSR SDS = +0.11; control group: VSR SDS = -0.20) (Table R16 and Figure R17).

Figure R17. Changes in flexibility from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



SD scores over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SDS = standard deviation score; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Balance (SLS-L and SLS-R)


For the one-leg stand, both legs showed significant changes with large effects during the observation period from September 2019 to June 2022 (main effect of time: SLS-L: $\eta_p^2 = 0.176$; p < 0.001; SLS-R: $\eta_p^2 = 0.126$; p < 0.001) (Table R10 and Figure R18).

No interaction effects were found over time (time*school group: SLS-L: $\eta_p^2 = 0.003$; p =

0.50; SLS-R: $\eta_p^2 = 0.003$; p = 0.56) (Table R10 and Figure R18).

Throughout the whole observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022), a continuous increase in single leg standing performance was observed for both variants (left and right leg) of the single leg stand (Tables R10, R17, and R18 and Figure R18).

Figure R18. Changes in balance from September 2019 to June 2022.



STA9 scores over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). STA9 = standard scores with nine categories; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; RD = ruler drop test; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club

membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Table R17. Post hoc tests of SLS-L for the main effect of time and interactions for

		Pairwise	Mean Difference (95% CI) SE P-	<i>p</i> -
	[Comparisons		
		T1 to T2	<u>-1.684 (-2.270 to -1.097) 0.198 <0.00</u>	
		T1 to T3	-1.760 (-2.386 to -1.134) 0.211 < 0.00	
		T1 to T5	-1.991 (-2.651 to -1.332) 0.223 < 0.00	
		T1 to T6	-2.688 (-3.319 to -2.057) 0.213 < 0.00	
		T1 to T7	-3.107 (-3.731 to -2.484) 0.211 < 0.00	
		T2 to T3	-0.076 (-0.611 to 0.459) 0.181 >0.99 -0.307 (-0.873 to 0.259) 0.191 >0.99	
	Time	T2 to T5		
	Time	T2 to T6 T2 to T7	-1.004 (-1.556 to -0.453) 0.186 <0.00 -1.424 (-2.033 to -0.815) 0.206 <0.00	
		T3 to T5	-0.231 (-0.818 to 0.356) 0.198 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.928 (-1.513 to -0.343) 0.198 <0.00	
		T3 to T7	-1.348 (-1.948 to -0.747) 0.203 <0.00	
		T5 to T6	-0.697 (-1.262 to -0.132) 0.191 0.009	
		T5 to T7	-1.116 (-1.703 to -0.530) 0.198 <0.00	,
		T6 to T7	-0.419 (-0.952 to 0.113) 0.180 0.300	
		T1 to T2	-2.157 (-2.975 to -1.339) 0.276 <0.00	
		T1 to T2	-1.924 (-2.797 to -1.050) 0.295 <0.00	
		T1 to T5	-1.969 (-2.889 to -1.049) 0.311 <0.00	
		T1 to T6	-2.824 (-3.704 to -1.944) 0.297 <0.00	
		T1 to T7	-3.309 (-4.179 to -2.439) 0.294 <0.00	
		T2 to T3	0.234 (-0.513 to 0.981) 0.252 >0.99	
	Time*School	T2 to T5	0.188 (-0.601 to 0.978) 0.267 >0.99	
	Group	T2 to T6	-0.667 (-1.436 to 0.102) 0.260 0.16	
	(Intervention	T2 to T7	-1.152 (-2.002 to -0.302) 0.287 0.00	
SLS-L (points)	Group)	T3 to T5	-0.046 (-0.864 to 0.773) 0.277 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.901 (-1.717 to -0.085) 0.276 0.018	3 *
		T3 to T7	-1.386 (-2.224 to -0.547) 0.283 <0.00	1 ***
		T5 to T6	-0.855 (-1.644 to -0.067) 0.266 0.022	2 *
		T5 to T7	-1.340 (-2.158 to -0.522) 0.276 <0.00	1 ***
		T6 to T7	-0.485 (-1.227 to 0.258) 0.251 0.815	5
		T1 to T2	-1.210 (-2.051 to -0.370) 0.284 <0.00	1 ***
		T1 to T3	-1.596 (-2.493 to -0.699) 0.303 <0.00	1 ***
		T1 to T5	-2.013 (-2.958 to -1.068) 0.319 <0.00	1 ***
		T1 to T6	–2.552 (–3.456 to –1.648) 0.305 <0.00	1 ***
		T1 to T7	-2.906 (-3.800 to -2.012) 0.302 <0.00	
		T2 to T3	-0.386 (-1.153 to 0.382) 0.259 >0.99	
	Time*School	T2 to T5	-0.803 (-1.614 to 0.008) 0.274 0.05	
	Group (Control	T2 to T6	-1.342 (-2.131 to -0.552) 0.267 <0.00	
	Group)	T2 to T7	-1.696 (-2.568 to -0.823) 0.295 <0.00	
		T3 to T5	-0.417 (-1.258 to 0.424) 0.284 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.956 (-1.794 to -0.118) 0.283 0.013	
		T3 to T7	-1.310 (-2.171 to -0.449) 0.291 <0.00	
		T5 to T6	-0.539 (-1.349 to 0.271) 0.274 0.748	
		T5 to T7	-0.893 (-1.733 to -0.053) 0.284 0.027	
		T6 to T7	-0.354 (-1.117 to 0.409) 0.258 >0.99	
	Time*Sports	T1 to T2	-1.843 (-2.714 to -0.972) 0.294 <0.00	
	Club (Yes)	T1 to T3	-2.157 (-3.088 to -1.227) 0.314 <0.00	
		T1 to T5	-2.247 (-3.227 to -1.267) 0.331 <0.00	1 ***

time*school group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

		T1 to T6	-2.898 (-3.836 to -1.961) 0.317 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T7	-3.256 (-4.182 to -2.329) 0.313 <0.001 *	***
		T2 to T3	-0.315 (-1.110 to 0.481) 0.269 >0.99	
		T2 to T5	-0.404 (-1.245 to 0.436) 0.284 >0.99	
		T2 to T6	-1.056 (-1.875 to -0.236) 0.277 0.003 *	**
		T2 to T7	-1.413 (-2.318 to -0.508) 0.306 <0.001 *	***
		T3 to T5	-0.090 (-0.962 to 0.782) 0.295 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.741 (-1.610 to 0.128) 0.293 0.182	
		T3 to T7	-1.098 (-1.991 to -0.205) 0.301 0.005 *	**
		T5 to T6	-0.651 (-1.491 to 0.189) 0.284 0.337	
		T5 to T7	-1.008 (-1.879 to -0.137) 0.294 0.011	*
		T6 to T7	-0.357 (-1.148 to 0.434) 0.267 >0.99	
		T1 to T2	-1.525 (-2.310 to -0.740) 0.265 <0.001 *	**
		T1 to T3	-1.362 (-2.200 to -0.524) 0.283 <0.001 *	**
		T1 to T5	-1.735 (-2.618 to -0.852) 0.298 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T6	-2.478 (-3.323 to -1.634) 0.285 <0.001 *	***
		T1 to T7	-2.959 (-3.794 to -2.125) 0.282 <0.001 *	***
		T2 to T3	0.163 (-0.554 to 0.879) 0.242 >0.99	
	T' + + C	T2 to T5	-0.210 (-0.968 to 0.547) 0.256 >0.99	
	Time*Sports	T2 to T6	-0.953 (-1.691 to -0.215) 0.249 0.002 *	**
	Club (No)	T2 to T7	-1.435 (-2.250 to -0.619) 0.275 <0.001 *	***
		T3 to T5	-0.373 (-1.159 to 0.413) 0.265 >0.99	
		T3 to T6	-1.116 (-1.899 to -0.333) 0.264 < 0.001 *	***
		T3 to T7	-1.597 (-2.401 to -0.793) 0.272 <0.001 *	***
		T5 to T6	-0.743 (-1.500 to 0.014) 0.256 0.059	
		T5 to T7	-1.224 (-2.009 to -0.440) 0.265 <0.001 *	***
		T6 to T7	-0.481 (-1.194 to 0.231) 0.241 0.697	
Adjusted for multiple	comparisons using Bon	ferroni correction n h	(p-value evel) = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; CI =	

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01; CI = confidence interval; SLS-L = single leg stand left; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI)	SE	<i>p</i> - Value a	<i>p</i> -lvl
		T1 to T2	-0.799 (-1.381 to -0.217)	0.197	<0.001	***
		T1 to T3	-1.324 (-1.942 to -0.705)	0.209	<0.001	***
		T1 to T5	-1.420 (-2.005 to -0.835)	0.197	<0.001	***
		T1 to T6	-1.923 (-2.499 to -1.346)	0.195	<0.001	***
		T1 to T7	-2.419 (-3.016 to -1.821)	0.202	<0.001	***
		T2 to T3	-0.525 (-1.064 to 0.015)	0.182	0.064	
		T2 to T5	-0.621 (-1.201 to -0.041)	0.196	0.026	*
	Time	T2 to T6	-1.124 (-1.640 to -0.608)	0.174	<0.001	***
		T2 to T7	-1.620 (-2.175 to -1.064)	0.188	<0.001	***
SLS-R (points)		T3 to T5	-0.096 (-0.659 to 0.466)	0.190	>0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.599 (-1.188 to -0.010)	0.199	0.042	*
		T3 to T7	-1.095 (-1.651 to -0.540)	0.188	<0.001	***
		T5 to T6	-0.503 (-1.054 to 0.048)	0.186	0.110	
		T5 to T7	-0.999 (-1.528 to -0.470)	0.179	<0.001	***
		T6 to T7	-0.496 (-1.045 to 0.054)	0.185	0.120	
	Time*School	T1 to T2	-0.702 (-1.514 to 0.110)	0.274	0.165	
	Group	T1 to T3	-1.113 (-1.976 to -0.250)	0.291	0.002	**
	(Intervention	T1 to T5	-1.102 (-1.918 to -0.286)	0.275	0.001	**
	Group)	T1 to T6	-1.774 (-2.578 to -0.970)	0.272	<0.001	***

Table R18. Post hoc tests of SLS-R for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.


	1			,	/						1
		T1 to T7	-2.387	(-3.221		-1.553)	0.282		***
		T2 to T3	-0.411	(-1.164		0.341)	0.254	>0.99	
		T2 to T5	-0.400	(-1.210		0.410)	0.273	>0.99	. te de de
		T2 to T6	-1.072	(-1.792		-0.352)	0.243	<0.001	***
		T2 to T7	-1.685	(-2.460	to	-0.910)	0.262	<0.001	***
		T3 to T5	0.011	(-0.773	to	0.796)	0.265	>0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.661	(-1.482		0.161)	0.277	0.269	<u> </u>
		T3 to T7	-1.274	(-2.049	to	-0.499)	0.262	<0.001	***
		T5 to T6	-0.672	(-1.441	to	0.097)	0.260	0.153	
		T5 to T7	-1.285	(-2.022		-0.547)	0.249	<0.001	***
		T6 to T7	-0.613	(-1.379	to	0.153)	0.259	0.279	
		T1 to T2	-0.896	(-1.730	to	-0.062)	0.282	0.025	*
		T1 to T3	-1.534	(-2.420	to	-0.648)	0.299	<0.001	***
		T1 to T5	-1.738	(-2.576	to	-0.900)	0.283	<0.001	***
		T1 to T6	-2.072	(-2.898	to	-1.246)	0.279	<0.001	***
		T1 to T7	-2.450	(-3.307	to	-1.594)	0.289	<0.001	***
		T2 to T3	-0.638	(-1.411	to	0.135)	0.261	0.226	
	Time*School	T2 to T5	-0.842	(-1.674	to	-0.010)	0.281	0.045	*
	Group (Control	T2 to T6	-1.176	(-1.915	to	-0.437)	0.250	<0.001	***
	Group)	T2 to T7	-1.555	(-2.351	to	-0.758)	0.269	<0.001	***
		T3 to T5	-0.204	(-1.010	to	0.602)	0.272	>0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.538	(-1.382	to	0.306)	0.285	0.904	
		T3 to T7	-0.916	(-1.712	to	-0.120)	0.269	0.011	*
		T5 to T6	-0.334	(-1.124	to	0.456)	0.267	>0.99	
		T5 to T7	-0.713	(-1.470	to	0.045)	0.256	0.086	
		T6 to T7	-0.379	(-1.166	to	0.409)	0.266	>0.99	
		T1 to T2	-0.794	(-1.659	to	0.071)	0.292	0.104	
		T1 to T3	-1.136	(-2.055	to	-0.217)	0.310	0.005	**
		T1 to T5	-1.224	(-2.092	to	-0.355)	0.293	<0.001	***
		T1 to T6	-1.781	(-2.637	to	-0.924)	0.289	<0.001	***
		T1 to T7	-2.513	(-3.401	to	-1.626)	0.300	<0.001	***
		T2 to T3	-0.341	(-1.143	to	0.460)	0.271	>0.99	
	Time*Sports Club	T2 to T5	-0.430	(-1.292	to	0.433)	0.291	>0.99	
	(Yes)	T2 to T6	-0.987	(-1.753	to	-0.220)	0.259	0.003	**
	(103)	T2 to T7	-1.719	(-2.545	to	-0.894)	0.279	<0.001	***
		T3 to T5	-0.088	(-0.924	to	0.748)	0.282	>0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.645	(-1.520	to	0.230)	0.296	0.449	
		T3 to T7	-1.378	(-2.203	to	-0.552)	0.279	<0.001	***
		T5 to T6	-0.557	(-1.376	to	0.262)	0.277	0.675	
		T5 to T7	-1.290	(-2.075	to	-0.504)	0.265	<0.001	***
		T6 to T7	-0.733	(-1.549	to	0.083)	0.276	0.125	
		T1 to T2	-0.803	(-1.583	to	-0.024)	0.263	0.037	*
		T1 to T3	-1.512	(-2.340	to	-0.684)	0.280	<0.001	***
		T1 to T5	-1.616	(-2.399	to	-0.833)	0.264	<0.001	***
		T1 to T6	-2.065	(-2.837	to	-1.293)	0.261	<0.001	***
		T1 to T7	-2.324	(-3.124	to	-1.524)	0.270	<0.001	***
		T2 to T3	-0.708	(-1.430	to	0.014)	0.244	0.060	
	Time*Seconts Clark	T2 to T5	-0.813	(-1.590	to	-0.036)	0.262	0.032	*
	Time*Sports Club	T2 to T6	-1.262	(-1.952	to	-0.571)	0.233	<0.001	***
	(No)	T2 to T7	-1.520	(-2.264	to	-0.777)	0.251	<0.001	***
		T3 to T5	-0.104	(-0.857	to	0.648)	0.254	>0.99	
		T3 to T6	-0.553	(-1.342	to	0.235)	0.266	0.579	
		T3 to T7	-0.812	(-1.556	to	-0.069)	0.251	0.021	*
		T5 to T6	-0.449	(-1.187	to	0.289)	0.249	>0.99	
		T5 to T7	-0.708	(-1.416		0.001)	0.239	0.050	
		T6 to T7	-0.259	(-0.994	to	0.477)	0.248	>0.99	
for multiple	comparisons using Bonfe		(n voluo l	, 	1) - * - < 0	05	** n < 0.01		nd *** n	< 0.001 · CI	


^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; SLS-R = single leg stand right; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 =

follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

No interaction effects over time were observed (SLS-L: time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.006$, p = 0.16; time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.006$, p = 0.12; SLS-R: time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.003$, p = 0.58; time*sports club*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.005$, p = 0.29) and no significant changes were found in the intervention and control groups during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 (Tables R10, R17, and R18 and Figures R18 and R19).

Figure R19. Changes in balance from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.





Balance nine-point AUT FIT classification over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). STA9 = standard scores with nine categories; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; RD = ruler drop test; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^{-2} : S = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^{-2} \ge 0.14$; ns. = $\eta_p^{-2} < 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^{-2} = effect size.

Reaction Speed (RD)

Reaction speed (RD) showed significant changes, with a large effect over the observation period (T1–T7: main effect of time: $\eta_p^2 = 0.143$; p < 0.001) (Table R10 and Figures R5 and R20).

Over the whole observation period (September 2019 to June 2022), a decrease in performance on the reaction test was demonstrated (-0.75 (95% CI, -1.10 to -0.43), p < 0.001) (Tables R10 and R19 and Figures R5 and R20).

Significant increases in performance were found at the test time points of June 2020 and June 2021, respectively, after the school lockdowns in Austria (September 2019 to June 2020 = +0.32 (95% CI, 0.08–0.56), p = 0.002; September 2020 to June 2021 = +0.65 (95% CI, 0.43–0.84), p < 0.001) (Table R19 and Figure R20).

In contrast, significant decreases in RD performance were found in all other time periods (June 2020 to September 2020 (-0.66 (95% CI, -0.87 to -0.44), p < 0.001), June 2021 to

September 2021 (-0.40 (95% CI, -0.60 to -0.20), *p* < 0.001), and September 2021 to June 2022 (-0.66 (95% CI, -0.95 to -0.38), *p* < 0.001) (Table R19 and Figure R20).

No interaction effects were found over time (time*sports club: $\eta_p^2 = 0.007$; p = 0.11) (Tables R10 and R19 and Figures R5 and R20).




Figure R20. Changes in reaction speed from September 2019 to June 2022.

Z-values over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; RD = ruler drop test; *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; A = all; SC = sports club membership; SIC = no sports club membership; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns. = not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; $\eta_p^2 = \text{effect size}$.

Table R19. Post hoc tests of RD for the main effect of time and interactions for time*school

 group and time*sports club membership based on estimated marginal means.

			<i>p</i> -
		Pairwise Comparisons	Mean Difference (95% CI) SE Value a lvl
		T1 to T2	-0.319 (-0.562 to -0.076) 0.082 0.002 **
		T1 to T3	0.336 (0.077 to 0.595) 0.087 0.002 **
		T1 to T5	-0.301 (-0.533 to -0.069) 0.078 0.002 **
		T1 to T6	0.099 (-0.151 to 0.349) 0.084 >0.99
		T1 to T7	0.766 (0.432 to 1.100) 0.113 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T3	0.655 (0.437 to 0.873) 0.074 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T5	0.018 (-0.167 to 0.204) 0.063 >0.99
	Time	T2 to T6	0.418 (0.185 to 0.651) 0.079 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T7	1.085 (0.772 to 1.398) 0.106 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T5	-0.637 (-0.839 to -0.434) $0.069 < 0.001$ ***
		T3 to T6	-0.237 (-0.475 to -0.001) 0.080 0.048
		T3 to T7	0.450 (0.115 to 0.747) 0.107 0.001
		T5 to T6	0.400 (0.203 to 0.390) 0.000 <0.001
		T5 to T7 T6 to T7	
		T1 to T2	0.667 (0.383 to 0.952) 0.096 <0.001 *** -0.553 (-0.892 to -0.214) 0.114 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T2	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
		T1 to T5	-0.466 (-0.789 to -0.142) 0.109 < 0.001 ***
		T1 to T6	-0.355 (-0.704 to -0.007) 0.118 0.042 *
		T1 to T7	0.515 (0.049 to 0.980) 0.157 0.018 *
		T2 to T3	0.667 (0.364 to 0.971) 0.103 <0.001 ***
	Time*School	T2 to T5	0.087 (-0.171 to 0.346) 0.087 >0.99
	Group	T2 to T6	0.197 (-0.128 to 0.522) 0.110 >0.99
	(Intervention Group)	T2 to T7	1.067 (0.631 to 1.504) 0.148 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T5	-0.580 (-0.863 to -0.297) 0.096 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T6	-0.470 (-0.799 to -0.140) 0.111 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T7	0.400 (-0.042 to 0.843) 0.149 0.117
RD z-value		T5 to T6	0.110 (-0.165 to 0.385) 0.093 >0.99
(Fetz)		T5 to T7	0.980 (0.598 to 1.363) 0.129 <0.001 ***
		T6 to T7	0.870 (0.473 to 1.267) 0.134 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T2	-0.085 (-0.433 to 0.263) 0.118 >0.99
		T1 to T3	0.557 (0.187 to 0.928) 0.125 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T5	-0.136 (-0.468 to 0.197) 0.112 >0.99
		T1 to T6	0.553 (0.195 to 0.912) 0.121 <0.001 ***
		T1 to T7	1.018 (0.539 to 1.496) 0.161 $< 0.001 $ ***
	TT: +C 1 1	T2 to T3	0.043 (0.331 to 0.933) 0.103 <0.001
	Time*School Group (Control Group)	T2 to T5 T2 to T6	-0.050 (-0.316 to 0.215) 0.090 >0.99 0.639 (0.305 to 0.973) 0.113 <0.001 ***
		T2 to T7	0.639 (0.305 to 0.973) 0.113 <0.001 *** 1.103 (0.654 to 1.552) 0.152 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T5	-0.693 (-0.984 to -0.402) 0.098 < 0.001 ***
		T3 to T6	-0.004 (-0.342 to -0.334) 0.114 >0.99
		T3 to T7	0.460 (0.006 to 0.915) 0.153 0.044 *
		T5 to T6	0.689 (0.407 to 0.971) 0.095 <0.001 ***
		T5 to T7	1.153 (0.761 to 1.546) 0.133 <0.001 ***
		T6 to T7	0.464 (0.056 to 0.872) 0.138 0.013 *
		T1 to T2	-0.323 (-0.684 to 0.038) 0.122 0.129
		T1 to T3	0.176 (-0.209 to 0.560) 0.130 >0.99
		T1 to T5	-0.309 (-0.654 to 0.035) 0.116 0.125
		T1 to T6	-0.013 (-0.384 to 0.359) 0.125 >0.99
	Time*Seconts Class	T1 to T7	0.835 (0.339 to 1.331) 0.167 <0.001 ***
	Time*Sports Club (Yes)	T2 to T3	0.499 (0.175 to 0.822) 0.109 <0.001 ***
	(108)	T2 to T5	0.013 (-0.262 to 0.289) 0.093 >0.99
		T2 to T6	0.310 (-0.036 to 0.657) 0.117 0.126
		T2 to T7	1.158 (0.692 to 1.623) 0.157 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T5	-0.485 (-0.787 to -0.184) 0.102 <0.001 ***
		T3 to T6	-0.188 (-0.539 to 0.162) 0.118 >0.99


$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
The second seco
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(No) $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
T3 to T5 -0.788 (-1.059 to -0.516) 0.092 <0.001 ***
T3 to T6 -0.285 (-0.602 to 0.031) 0.107 0.119
T3 to T7 0.202 (-0.223 to 0.626) 0.143 >0.99
T5 to T6 0.502 (0.239 to 0.766) 0.089 <0.001 ***
T5 to T7 0.989 (0.623 to 1.356) 0.124 <0.001 ***
T6 to T7 0.487 (0.106 to 0.868) 0.129 0.003 **

^a Adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** P < 0.001; CI = confidence interval; RD = ruler drop test; Mean Difference = mean difference based on the estimated marginal means; *p*-lvl = significance level; SE = standard error; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Changes in JS in the school groups: (subgroup: intervention and control groups SY21–22) Small interaction effects over time (time*school group: $\eta_p^2 = 0.021$, p < 0.001) were found, which were mainly related to the time before the sports intervention (September 2019 to September 2021) (Table R10 and Figure R5).

Significant changes were found during the observation period from September 2021 to June 2022 in the intervention and control groups, with higher significance in the intervention group (I-A = -0.86 (95% CI, -1.27 to -0.47), p < 0.001; C-A = -0.46 (95% CI, -0.87 to -0.06), p = 0.013) (Table R19 and Figure R21).

Figure R21. Changes in reaction speed from September 2019 to June 2022 in the subgroups: Intervention and control groups divided by sports club membership.



Z-values over six test phases (T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, and T7). T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022; RD = ruler drop test; *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group; significance level η_p^2 : S = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$, M = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.07$, L = $\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$, ns. = $\eta_p^2 < 0.01$; ns.= not significant; S = small; M = medium; L = large; η_p^2 = effect size.

Changes in the Classification Groups

Over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022), highly significant changes (Friedman test: Chi-squared (5-6) = 49.36-387.99, p < 0.001) were observed in nine

of the 10 classification groups (EQUI BMI_{AUT}, WtHR, 6MR, SLJ, 4×10SHR4×10SHR, MB1kg, VSR, RD, and SLS) (Table R20).

Only jumping sideways showed "less" significant changes from September 2019 to June 2022 (Friedman test: Chi-square (5) = 19.52, p = 0.002) (Table R20).

Table R20. Friedman test for weight classification, health risk estimation, and individual fitness tests using the cutoff values described in AUT FIT for the baseline T1 and follow-up T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7 measurements (overall study population).

Variable	df	χ^2	<i>p</i> -lvl	<i>p</i> -Value
AUT weight classification, $n = 331$	6	49.355	***	< 0.001
WtHR estimation of health risk, $n = 331$	6	130.369	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, 6MR (DüMo), $n = 331$	5	318.368	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, SLJ (DüMo), $n = 276$	6	153.754	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, 4×10 SHR SDS (MAC), $n = 276$	6	387.994	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, MB1kg (KATS-K), $n = 255$	6	56.057	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, JS (GMT), $n = 276$	5	19.524	**	0.002
STA9 Classification, VSR (MAKFIT), $n = 276$	5	70.210	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, RD (Fetz), $n = 276$	5	179.789	***	< 0.001
STA9 Classification, SLS (Jarnig), $n = 276$	5	279.419	***	< 0.001

n = study population; df = degrees of freedom; χ^2 = chi-square test value; *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, and *** *p* < 0.001; *p*-lvl = significance level; AUT weight classification = based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; STA9 = standard scores with nine categories; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 × 10 m shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = followup measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Table R21. Post hoc analyses using the Wilcoxon test for weight classifications, health risk estimation, and individual fitness tests using the cutoff values described in AUT FIT for the baseline T1 and follow-up T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7 measurements (overall study population).

		Pairwise	Z ^{b.c}	<i>p</i> -lvl	<i>p</i> -Value ^d
		Comparisons T1 to T2	2 271b	1	•
		T1 to T2 T1 to T3		***	0.49 <0.001
		T1 to T3			<0.001
		T1 to T4			<0.001
		T1 to T5			0.001
		T1 to T7		-2.271^{b} -4.768^{b} -4.627^{b} $***$ -5.082^{b} $***$ -2.232^{b} -2.828^{b} -2.828^{b} -2.535^{b} -2.949^{b} -3.355^{b} -2.949^{b} -3.355^{b} -1.769^{b} -0.766^{b} -1.769^{b} -0.766^{b} -1.000^{b} -1.461^{b} -0.766^{b} -1.000^{b} -1.461^{c} -2.200^{c} -2.200^{c} -2.200^{c} -2.655^{c} -1.000^{c} -5.498^{b} -5.658^{b} -1.769^{b} -0.565^{c} -1.769^{b} -0.565^{c} -1.769^{b} -0.565^{c} -1.769^{b} -0.565^{c} -1.769^{b} -0.544^{b} -5.842^{c} -7.070^{c} $****$ -6.350^{b} <	0.10
		T2 to T3			0.24
		T2 to T3			0.07
		T2 to T4			0.017
		T2 to T6			>0.99
AUT Weight	All	T2 to T0			>0.99
Classification	1 111	T3 to T4			>0.99
		T3 to T5			>0.99
		T3 to T6			>0.99
		T3 to T7			>0.99
		T4 to T5			>0.99
		T4 to T6			>0.99
		T4 to T7			0.65
		T5 to T6			0.58
		T5 to T7			0.17
		T6 to T7			>0.99
		T1 to T2		***	< 0.001
		T1 to T3			>0.99
		T1 to T4		***	< 0.001
		T1 to T5			>0.99
		T1 to T6			>0.99
		T1 to T7			>0.99
		T2 to T3		***	< 0.001
		T2 to T4			>0.99
		T2 to T5		***	< 0.001
WtHR		T2 to T6		***	< 0.001
Estimation of	All	T2 to T7		***	< 0.001
Health Risk		T3 to T4		***	< 0.001
		T3 to T5			0.72
		T3 to T6			0.89
		T3 to T7			>0.99
		T4 to T5		***	< 0.001
		T4 to T6		***	< 0.001
		T4 to T7		***	< 0.001
		T5 to T6		***	< 0.001
		T5 to T7			0.07
		T6 to T7			>0.99
		T1 to T3		***	< 0.001
		T1 to T4		***	< 0.001
		T1 to T5		***	< 0.001
		T1 to T6		***	< 0.001
		T1 to T7		***	< 0.001
		T3 to T4			>0.99
STA9		T3 to T5		***	< 0.001
Classification.	All	T3 to T6			>0.99
MR (DüMo)		T3 to T7		***	< 0.001
. /		T4 to T5	-3.559°	**	0.006
		T4 to T6	-0.638°		>0.99
		T4 to T7	-6.939°	***	< 0.001
		T5 to T6	-2.399 ^b		0.25
		T5 to T7	-3.955°	**	0.001
		T6 to T7	-5.974°	***	< 0.001

1		$T1 \leftarrow T2$	0.040	***	-0.001
		T1 to T2	-9.842 ^b -6.050 ^b	***	<0.001
		T1 to T3	-6.050° -5.340 ^b	***	<0.001 <0.001
		T1 to T4 T1 to T5	-5.514 ^b	***	<0.001
		T1 to T5	-3.306 ^b	*	0.020
		T1 to T0	-3.300 ⁺ -4.428 ^b	***	<0.001
		T2 to T3	-4.428 -5.642°	***	<0.001
		T2 to T3	-5.042 -6.371°	***	<0.001
		T2 to T4	-6.162°	***	<0.001
STA9		T2 to T5	-8.073°	***	<0.001
Classification.	All	T2 to T7	-7.290°	***	<0.001
SLJ (DüMo)	All	T3 to T4	-0.850°		>0.99
		T3 to T5	-0.763°		>0.99
		T3 to T6	-3.767°	**	0.003
		T3 to T7	-2.357°		0.39
		T4 to T5	-0.486 ^b		>0.99
		T4 to T6	-2.461°		0.29
		T4 to T7	-1.409°		>0.99
		T5 to T6	-3.390°	*	0.015
		T5 to T7	-1.870°		>0.99
		T6 to T7	-1.419 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T2	-5.193 ^b	***	<0.001
		T1 to T2	-5.833 ^b	***	<0.001
		T1 to T4	-0.437°		>0.99
		T1 to T5	-5.336°	***	<0.001
		T1 to T6	-6.537°	***	<0.001
		T1 to T7	-8.976°	***	< 0.001
		T2 to T3	-0.767 ^b		>0.99
		T2 to T4	-5.609°	***	< 0.001
		T2 to T5	-9.709°	***	< 0.001
STA9		T2 to T6	-10.433°	***	< 0.001
Classification.	All	T2 to T7	-12.168°	***	< 0.001
4×10SHR (MCA)		T3 to T4	-6.566°	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T5	-9.454°	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T6	-10.283°	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T7	-12.055°	***	< 0.001
		T4 to T5	-5.560°	***	< 0.001
		T4 to T6	-6.814 ^c	***	< 0.001
		T4 to T7	-10.314 ^c	***	< 0.001
		T5 to T6	-1.261°		>0.99
		T5 to T7	-5.737°	***	< 0.001
		T6 to T7	-4.932°	***	< 0.001
		T1 to T2	-2.532 ^b		0.24
		T1 to T3	-0.101 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T4	-0.690 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T5	-0.559 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T6	-3.766 ^c	**	0.003
		T1 to T7	-1.645 ^b		>0.99
CTT & O		T2 to T3	-2.555°		0.22
STA9 Classification		T2 to T4	-2.193°		0.59
Classification.	All	T2 to T5	-2.649°		0.17
MB1kg (KATS- K)		T2 to T6	-6.229°	***	< 0.001
IX <i>j</i>		T2 to T7	-1.427°		>0.99
		T3 to T4	-0.542 ^b		>0.99
		T3 to T5	-0.355 ^b		>0.99
		T3 to T6	-4.734°	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T7	-1.667 ^b		>0.99
		T4 to T5	-0.342°		>0.99
		T4 to T6	-4.407°	***	< 0.001

1		T4 to T7	-1.185 ^b		>0.99
		T5 to T6	-4.588°	***	<0.001
		T5 to T7	-1.386 ^b		>0.99
		T6 to T7	-6.197 ^b	***	< 0.001
		T1 to T2	-0.940 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T3	-2.419 ^b		0.23
		T1 to T5	-2.759 ^b		0.09
		T1 to T6	-2.088 ^b		0.55
		T1 to T7	-4.038 ^b	***	<0.001
		T2 to T3	-1.942 ^b		0.78
STA9		T2 to T5	-2.255 ^b		0.36
Classification. JS	All	T2 to T6	-1.175 ^b		>0.99
(GMT)		T2 to T7	-3.206 ^b	*	0.020
(0)		T3 to T5	-0.823 ^b		>0.99
		T3 to T6	-0.837°		>0.99
		T3 to T7	-1.818 ^b		>0.99
		T5 to T6	-1.545°		>0.99
		T5 to T7	-1.320 ^b		>0.99
		T6 to T7	-2.857 ^b		0.06
		T1 to T2	-1.284 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T3	-0.807°		>0.99
		T1 to T5	-5.544 ^b	***	<0.001
		T1 to T6	-2.302 ^b		0.32
		T1 to T7	-3.361 ^b	*	0.012
		T2 to T3	-2.293°		0.33
STA9		T2 to T5	-4.601 ^b	***	< 0.001
Classification.	All	T2 to T6	-1.328 ^b		>0.99
VSR (MAKFIT)		T2 to T7	-2.224 ^b		0.39
		T3 to T5	-6.972 ^b	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T6	-3.279 ^b	*	0.016
		T3 to T7	-4.370 ^b	***	< 0.001
		T5 to T6	-4.441°	***	< 0.001
		T5 to T7	-3.112 ^c	*	0.028
		T6 to T7	-1.319 ^b		>0.99
		T1 to T2	-3.125 ^b	*	0.027
		T1 to T3	-4.359°	***	< 0.001
		T1 to T5	-3.866 ^b	**	0.002
		T1 to T6	-1.706 ^c		>0.99
		T1 to T7	-6.614 ^c	***	< 0.001
		T2 to T3	-7.884 ^c	***	< 0.001
STA9		T2 to T5	-0.373 ^b		>0.99
Classification.	All	T2 to T6	-5.186 ^c	***	< 0.001
RD (Fetz)		T2 to T7	-9.010 ^c	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T5	-8.865 ^b	***	< 0.001
		T3 to T6	-3.082 ^b	*	0.031
		T3 to T7	-3.704°	**	0.003
		T5 to T6	-6.214 ^c	***	< 0.001
		T5 to T7	-10.345°	***	< 0.001
		T6 to T7	-6.441°	***	< 0.001
		T1 to T2	-7.670 ^b	***	< 0.001
		T1 to T3	-8.335 ^b	***	<0.001
		T1 to T5	-9.060 ^b	***	< 0.001
STA9		T1 to T6	-10.706 ^b	***	<0.001
Classification.	All	T1 to T7	-11.677 ^b	***	<0.001
BA (Jarnig)		T2 to T3	-2.015 ^b		0.66
× 0/		T2 to T5	-3.192 ^b	*	0.021
		T2 to T6	-6.947 ^b	***	<0.001
		T2 to T7	-8.397 ^b	***	<0.001
		T3 to T5	-1.335 ^b		>0.99

T3 to T6	-4.366 ^b	***	< 0.001
T3 to T7	-7.236 ^b	***	< 0.001
T5 to T6	-4.114 ^b	***	< 0.001
T5 to T7	-6.581 ^b	***	< 0.001
T6 to T7	-2.999 ^b	*	0.041

^a Wilcoxon signed-rank test; ^b based on positive ranks; ^c based on negative ranks; ^d adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Z = test statistic; p-lvl (p-value level) = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001; p-lvl = significance level; AUT weight classification = based on Austrian reference centile curves passing through adult BMI values; WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; STA9 = standard scores with nine categories; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 × 10 m shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2022.

Change of Classification in Anthropometrics


Changes in Weight Classification

Highly significant changes in the weight classification groups (Friedman test: Chi-square (6) = 49.36, p < 0.001) were monitored over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R22).

From September 2019 to March 2021, the number of children suffering from overweight, obesity, or extreme obesity increased continuously (September 2019: n = 47; March 2021: n = 70; p < 0.001). Between March 2021 and June 2021, the number became stagnant (March 2021: n = 70; June 2021: n = 69; p > 0.99) and between June 2021 and June 2022 (June 2021: n = 69; September 2021: n = 61; p = 0.17) showed a non-significant trend of health improvement due to decreasing numbers in the overweight, obese, and extreme obesity weight classification groups (Table R21 and Figure R22).

Comparing the number of children in the weight classification groups from the baseline level (September 2019) before COVID-19 and the final test time point (June 2022), a nonsignificant increase (based on positive ranks) in the children in the higher weight groups (overweight, obese, and extremely obese) was observed (z = -2.83, p = 0.10) (Table R21 and Figure R22).

Figure R22. Change in weight classifications between September 2019 and June 2022.




MO = morbid obesity; Ob = obesity; Ov = overweight; Nw = normal weight; Uw = underweight; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in September June 2022.

Changes in Health Risk Estimation

Highly significant changes in the estimation of health risk (Friedman test: Chi-square (6) = 130.37, p < 0.001) were observed over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R23).

The number of children with increased or high health risk increased dramatically after the first (September 2019 (n = 48), June 2020 (n = 86), p < 0.001) and second (September 2020 (n = 45), March 2021 (n = 88), p < 0.001) lockdown periods. In contrast, values during the first open phase (June 2020 (n = 86) to September 2020 (n = 45), p < 0.001) and the second open phase (March 2021 (n = 88) to June 2021 (n = 36), p < 0.001) showed a significant improvement, i.e., a decrease in the children's mean abdominal circumference (Table R21 and Figure R23).

Comparing the number of children in all three classification groups (no, increased, and high health risk) from baseline (September 2019) before COVID-19 and the last test time point (June 2022), there were no significant changes over the overall observation time period (z = -0.54, p > 0.99) (Table R21 and Figure R23).





WtHR = waist-to-height ratio; HHR = high estimation of health risk; IHR = increased estimation of health risk; NHR = no estimation of health risk; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.

Changes in the Classification of Performance in Fitness


Six-Minute Run (6MR)

Highly significant changes (Friedman test: Chi-square (5) = 318.37, p < 0.001) were observed in cardiorespiratory fitness over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R24).

Between the baseline measurement in September 2019 and the first measurement time point of cardiorespiratory fitness (September 2020) after the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak, there was a dramatic increase in children in the low performance groups (September 2019 (n = 70), September 2020 (n = 171), p < 0.001). This worrisome decline in cardiorespiratory endurance stagnated at this low level (September 2020 (n = 171), September 2021 (n = 174), p > 0.99), with little variation between testing phases. In the last observation period between September 2021 and June 2022, the number of children in the weak classification group decreased significantly (September 2021 (n = 174), June 2022 (n = 125), p < 0.001), but stayed significantly above the pre-COVID-19 baseline level in September 2019 (n = 70, p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R24).

Comparing the number of children in all three classification groups (weak, average, and good performance) from baseline (September 2019) before COVID-19 against the last test time point (June 2022), highly significant changes were seen over the overall observation time period (z = -8.17, p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R24).

Figure R24. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.



GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.

Standing Long Jump (SLJ)

Highly significant changes (Friedman test: Chi-square (6) = 153.75, p < 0.001) were monitored for the standing long jump over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R25).


Between the baseline measurement in September 2019 and the first measurement point (June 2020) after the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak, an increase was observed in the number of children in the good performance groups (September 2019 (n = 51), June 2020 (n = 103), p < 0.001); in contrast, the number of children in the poor performance groups decreased (September 2019 (n = 81), June 2020 (n = 33), p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R25).

From June 2020 to September 2020, the number of children in the good performance groups decreased significantly (z = -5.64, p < 0.001). At the subsequent test time points in March 2021 (z = -0.85, p > 0.99) and June 2021 (z = -0.49, p > 0.99), no significant changes in the performance classification groups were observed compared to the previous testing time point. Between June 2021 and September 2021, a significant improvement was observed (z = -3.39,

p = 0.015). In the last observation period between September 2021 and June 2022, no significant changes in performance classification groups were observed (z = -1.42, p > 0.99) (Table R21 and Figure R25).

Comparing the number of children in all three classification groups (weak, average, and good performance) from baseline (September 2019) before COVID-19 against the last test time point (June 2022), highly significant changes were seen over the entire observation period (z = -4.43, p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R25).

Figure R25. Changes in standing long jump performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.



GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.

Medicine Ball Throw (MB1kg)


Highly significant changes (Friedman test: Chi-square (6) = 56.06, p < 0.001) were observed in the medicine ball throw (1kg) over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R26).

No significant changes were found between the baseline measurement in September 2019 (T1) and the follow-up measurement time points in June 2020 (T2), September 2020 (T3), March 2021 (T4), and June 2021 (T5) (T1–T2: z = -2.53, p = 0.24; T2–T3: z = -2.56, p = 0.22; T3–T4: z = -0.54, p > 0.99; T4–T5: z = -0.34, p > 0.99) (Table R21 and Figure R26).

A significant decline was observed between June 2021 and September 2021 (T6) (z = -4.59, p < 0.001). This was followed by a period of significant improvement in performance between September 2021 and June 2022 (z = -6.20; p < 0.001). This improvement reached, in June 2022, the level of measurement in June 2021 (z = -1.39, p > 0.99) (Table R21 and Figure R26).

Comparing the number of children in all three classification groups (weak, average, and good performance) from baseline (September 2019) before COVID-19 against the last test time point (June 2022), there were no significant changes over the overall observation time period (z = -1.65, p > 0.99) (Table R21 and Figure R26).

Figure R26. Changes in medicine ball throw (1kg) performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.




GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.

4×10 m Shuttle Run (4×10 SHR)

Highly significant changes (Friedman test: Chi-square (6) = 387.99, p < 0.001) were observed in action speed (4 × 10 m run) over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R27).

A significant decline in performance (z = -5.19, p < 0.001) was found between the baseline measurement in September 2019 and the first measurement time point (June 2020) after the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, with a dramatic increase of children in the weak performance groups (September 2019 (n = 105), June 2020 (n = 153), p < 0.001). In contrast, the number of children in the good performance groups decreased sharply (September 2019 (n = 18), June 2020 (n = 12), p < 0.001). As the COVID-19 pandemic continued, continuous improvement was observed, reaching baseline levels at time T4 (March 2021) (T1–T4: z = -0.44, p > 0.99). The continuous increase in performance was ongoing and at the last measurement time point in June 2022, a significant improvement in action speed was shown compared to the baseline measurement in September 2019 (z = -8.98, p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R27).

Figure R27. Changes in action speed performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.



GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T4 = follow-up measurements in March 2021; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.


Jumping Sideways (JS)

Significant changes (Friedman test: Chi-square (5) = 19.52, p = 0.002) were observed in jumping sideways over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R28).

In the last observation time period between September 2021 and June 2022, no significant changes were found in the performance classification groups (T6–T7: z = -2.86, p = 0.06). (Table R21 and Figure R28).

Whole-body coordination showed significant changes in the different performance groups during the observation period (T1–T7: z = -4.04, p < 0.001). The number of children in the good performance groups increased continuously, these results have to be considered with caution as reported by Jarnig et al. [1] and (Table R21 and Figure R28).

Figure R28. Changes in whole-body coordination performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.



GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.


Highly significant changes in flexibility (Friedman test: Chi-squared (5) = 70.21, p < 0.001) were observed over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R29).

A slight increase in the number of children in the weak performance groups was found after the first lockdown period in June 2020, but this did not represent a significant change (September 2019 (n = 68), June 2020 (n = 82), p > 0.99) from the baseline measurement. No significant changes were found in the performance classification groups from June 2020 to September 2020 (z = -2.29, p = 0.33) (Table R21 and Figure R29).

At the measurement time point after the second lockdown period in June 2021, there was a significant decline in flexibility with an increase in the number of children in the low-performing groups (WP: September 2020 (n = 75), June 2021 (n = 107); z = -6.97, p < 0.001). This negative trend ended in the period June 2021 to September 2021 and there was a significant improvement in flexibility in September 2021 compared to June 2021(z = -4.44, p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R29).

In the last observation time period between September 2021 and June 2022, no significant changes were found in the performance classification groups (T6–T7: z = -1.32, p > 0.99). (Table R21 and Figure R29).

Figure R29. Changes in flexibility performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.




GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.

Reaction Speed (RD)

Overall, the reaction time showed highly significant increases and decreases in the number of children in each performance group during the observation period between the individual time spans of the measurement time points (Friedman test: Chi-square (5) = 179.79, p < 0.001), these results must be viewed with caution as reported in Jarnig et al. [1] (Table R20 and Figure R30).

In the last observation period between September 2021 and June 2022, a highly significant decline (T6–T7: z = -6.44, p < 0.001) in reaction speed was observed (Table R21 and Figure R30).

Figure R30. Changes in reaction time performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.



GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.


Balance (SLS)

Highly significant changes in balance (Friedman test: Chi-squared (5) = 279.42, p < 0.001) were observed over the overall observation time period (September 2019 to June 2022) (Table R20 and Figure R31).

Small significant changes in the performance classification groups (T6–T7: z = -3.00, p = 0.041) were observed in the last observation time period between September 2021 and June 2022 (Table R21 and Figure R31).

The number of children in the good performance groups increased continuously throughout the observation period from September 2019 to June 2022 (September 2019 (n = 73), June 2022 (n = 186), p < 0.001) (Table R21 and Figure R31).

Figure R31. Changes in the balance performance groups between September 2019 and June 2022.



GP = good performance; AP = average performance; WP = weak performance; T1 = baseline measurements in September 2019; T2 = follow-up measurements in June 2020; T3 = follow-up measurements in September 2020; T5 = follow-up measurements in June 2021; T6 = follow-up measurements in September 2021; T7 = follow-up measurements in June 2021.

DISCUSSION

In line with our findings at the beginning of the pandemic, there are already a number of studies reporting alarming increases in BMI associated with the COVID-19 pandemic [18,20,21,23]. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first worldwide to provide data on children's anthropometric and athletic development after relaxation of the stringent COVID-19 mitigation measures (starting June 2021).

We found an increase in BMI during the COVID-19 pandemic until March 2021, and as a result, the number of children with overweight or obesity increased steadily from 14.2% to 21.1% during the period from September 2019 to March 2021. The significant BMI increase at the beginning of the pandemic was followed by a marked improvement in weight classification toward (June 2022. In June 2022, a small increase in the number of children with overweight or obesity was noted compared to prior to COVID-19—September 2019, but these changes were not statistically significant (using a Bonferroni correction for all pairwise comparison). The results suggest that the negative health effects of the pandemic are reparable, at least in "very" young populations.

Changes in waist circumference are reported to be more useful than BMI changes in detecting behavioral changes associated with changes in energy balance. However, there are weaknesses in measuring waist circumference, because waist circumference tends to vary with the time of day and with eating and drinking patterns, and is subject to seasonal variation. Therefore, the waist-to-height ratio has been referred to in scientific reports as a better screening tool for cardiometabolic risk factors than BMI or waist circumference alone. Different trends in the WtHR were observed during the pandemic. The WtHR increased during the lockdown periods (March 2020 to May 2020 and November 2020 to February 2021) and decreased after relaxation of the COVID-19 mitigation measures. It is important to note that the number of children suffering from increased and high health risk also increased during the period from June 2021 to September 2021, suggesting that the increase during the lockdown periods was not exclusively due to the COVID-19 mitigation measures. It appeared, in the context of the waist-to-height ratio (WtHR), that the negative effects, which may have also been predominantly due to by the stringent COVID-19 mitigation measures, seem reparable in primary school children.

Similar results can be observed for the health-related fitness parameter cardiorespiratory endurance (six-minute run) and for the performance-related parameter action speed. A dramatic loss in cardiorespiratory fitness between September 2019 and September 2020 was followed by a steady improvement toward exit testing (June 2022). However, for

cardiorespiratory fitness performance, even in June 2022, a significantly lower level than before COVID-19 was found. For action speed, at the beginning of the pandemic, a worrying decrease in performance was observed; at the end of the observation period (June 2022) the children had compensated for this drastic loss in performance and fortunately showed a significant improvement in performance compared to the baseline level before COVID-19 (September 2019). However, the action speed results must be interpreted cautiously, as it is possible that repeated performance and associated technique improvement in the 4×10 m run had positive effects on the overall results.

For the standing long jump at the beginning of the observation period, taking into account the results of weight classification, health risk estimation, cardiorespiratory fitness, and action speed, there was a surprisingly strong improvement in performance, which was probably due to an improvement in the technique of jumping execution. Minor changes in standing long jump performance were observed later in the pandemic, most of which were not significant.

A significant improvement in performance was observed in the medicine ball throw at the beginning of the pandemic. In scientific studies, it has been proven that children who are overweight or obese perform better in completing the medicine ball throw than those who are underweight or of normal weight [42]. This may be an explanation for the tremendous improvement in performance. Additionally, improved technique in the execution of the throw may be a factor in the positive performance in the first phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. Between June 2020 and September 2020, a decline in performance in medicine ball throwing was observed, and no significant changes were found at four of the five subsequent testing time points compared to the entry testing prior to COVID-19.

The results in the standing long jump and medicine ball throw might be related to the fact that strength gains in this age group are usually not trained by specific strength training, but are rather achieved by natural growth. Therefore, the COVID-19-related mitigation measures do not seem to have had long-term negative effects on the children's muscle strength.

When investigating flexibility, slightly significant variations were observed over the course of the pandemic, with a slight decline in performance, related to baseline and exit testing. This is due to a natural evolution, as previous studies have proven that flexibility at a young age decreases with growth without specific training of this [37]. The remarkable decline in flexibility at time T5 (June 2021) compared to time T3 (September 2020) can be explained by a change in the testing procedure at time T5 occurred. In June 2021, due to organizational time restrictions, the testing of flexibility and reaction speed had to be conducted in parallel to

regular school lessons. The flexibility results at time T5 (June 2021) can therefore be neglected when summarizing the overall fitness results.

The results of jumping sideways showed no significant changes compared to the performance before the COVID-19 pandemic.

Exciting results were observed in the test of reaction speed, which was performed using the ruler drop test and provided an indication of eye-hand coordination speed. After the lockdown phases (June 2022 and March 2021), highly significant performance gains in eye–hand reaction speed were shown. Studies have reported that during lockdown phases, increased screen time was observed in children and adolescents worldwide [5,6,8–10]. This could explain the strong gains in the ruler drop test, as eye–hand reaction time is permanently trained during computer activities [43]. In contrast, during the phases of relaxation of the COVID-19 mitigation measures, significant performance declines were observed, and at the end of the observation period, a significant performance decline occurred compared to the baseline measurement before COVID-19 in September 2019.

For balance, as described by our group previously, the ceiling effect was reinforced further in the last observation period (September 2021 to June 2022) and a continuous increase in balance ability was monitored, which is not a unique characteristic at this age group but can be explained by developmental steps in growth [39]. There were no abnormalities suggesting a worrisome influence of the COVID-19 conditional mitigation measures on the children's balance ability.

A major strength of our study is that all data were collected by a team of six trained sports scientists and physical educators and that the individual measurements in the different test phases were conducted by the same test leader. Another strength is that all of the collected data were objectively measured directly on the participants and that there was a randomized and large sample size that allowed a general statement about the development of fitness and health status of primary school children in Austria over the course of the COVID-19 pandemic. The presence of current national reference values (EQUI BMI) can also be mentioned as an additional strength.

On the other side, one limitation is the lack of national reference values for fitness. Another weakness related to the fitness reference values used is the fact that for some fitness tests, only reference values in annual steps are available, which might have an impact on the SDS values and standardized *z*-scores.

Regarding the results of the standing long jump, it would make sense to discuss and pre-test the technique of the standing long jump in future observation regions before testing it for the first time and to let the children practice it thereafter in order to be able to exclude performance increases through technique improvements. For such purposes, it would make sense to have basic athletic elements worked out in a fixed manner in connection with the curriculum as early as kindergarten age.

CONCLUSION

Our study showed that the COVID-19-related mitigation measures had worrisome negative effects on the health of primary school-aged children in Austria. Fortunately, these seem to be reparable in primary school children. Our findings, in addition to other studies of the effects of specific COVID-19 mitigation measures [44–47], provide a basis for policymakers to target and mandate future virus-related mitigation measures in an evidence-based manner. Their advantage in terms of virus transmission must be carefully weighed against the long-term disadvantage in terms of BMI and fitness and thus physical health in developing individuals, i.e. children and adolescents. Continuous physical activities should be considered as important as infection prevention, also in schools.

It is satisfying to observe that the worrisome trends in terms of BMI, WtHR and health-related fitness at the beginning of the pandemic, halted and a reversal was initiated in the further course of the pandemic, reducing the health damage caused.

In the future, national and international activities, considerations, and interventions in all age groups will be required in order to promote positive effects on the health development of the whole population and to be able to achieve and guarantee the best possible conditions especially for growing and developing individuals.

Supplementary Materials: The tables attached below present the impact of the sports intervention implemented during the last observation period, from September 2021 to June 2022, on the evolution of the children's fitness and health status.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.J. and M.N.M.v.P.; methodology, G.J.; formal analysis, G.J.; investigation, G.J.; resources, G.J.; data curation, G.J.; writing—original draft preparation, G.J.; writing—review and editing, G.J., R.K., and M.N.M.v.P.; visualization, G.J.; supervision, M.N.M.v.P.; project administration, G.J.; funding acquisition, G.J. All authors read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Austrian Federal Ministry for Arts, Culture, Civil Service, and Sport, grant number GZ2021-0.361.671. The open access was funded by the University of Graz.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Graz, Styria, Austria (GZ. 39/23/63 ex 2018/19).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy/ethical restriction.

Acknowledgments: This study was organized by the non-profit association NAMOA— Nachwuchsmodell Austria. The authors would like to thank all participants and their guardians; the trainers and staff of this study; Wolfgang Modritz for the initiation of this study; Peter Hofmann (University of Graz) for the support in the conception phase; Robert Klinglmair (Education Directorate of Carinthia, Austria) for his authorized assessments in schools; Christian Günter (Austrian Federal Ministry of Sport) and Hannes Wolf (Education Directorate of Carinthia, Austria) for helping to continue the assessments after the COVID-19 lockdown. None of the individuals listed were financially compensated.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Supplementary Materials

Tables X1 and X2 provide an overview of the analysis of the effects already described in the Results section of the sports intervention that took place between T6 and T7.

	Mean Differences of the Changes from September 2021 to June 2022									
	\triangle SDS / \triangle z-Values		t	<i>p</i> -Value ^{a, b} <i>p</i> -l	<i>p</i> -lvl	Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference			
	I-A (<i>n</i> = 179)	C-A $(n = 152)$]	_		Difference	(Lower	to	Upper)
BMI IOTF SDS, mean (SD)	-0.03 (0.32)	-0.02 (0.32)	-0.301	0.76 ^a		-0.011	(-0.080	to	0.059)
EQUI BMIAUT, mean (SD)	-0.06 (0.85)	0.04 (1.04)	-0.980	0.33 ^a		-0.102	(-0.306	to	0.102)
WtHR, mean (SD)	-0.01 (0.04)	0.01 (0.03)	-4.333	<0.001 ^a	***	-0.017	(-0.025	to	-0.009)
6MR SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.45 (1.16)	0.31 (0.94)	1.275	0.20 ^b		0.148	(-0.080	to	0.375)
	I-A $(n = 140)$	C-A (<i>n</i> = 136)								
4×10SHR SDS (MCA), mean (SD)	0.25 (0.53)	0.20 (0.57)	0.696	0.49 ^a		0.046	(-0.085	to	0.178)
SLJ SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.09 (0.54)	0.01 (0.53)	1.170	0.24 ^a		0.076	(-0.052	to	0.203)
JS z-value (GMT), mean (SD)	0.17 (0.96)	0.27 (0.73)	-0.905	0.37 ^b		-0.093	(-0.295	to	0.109)
VSR SDS (MAKFIT), mean (SD)	0.04 (1.00)	-0.14 (0.78)	1.632	0.10 ^a		0.176	(-0.036	to	0.389)
SLS-L (points), mean (SD)	0.48 (2.86)	0.40 (3.06)	0.229	0.82 ^a		0.082	(-0.620	to	0.784)
SLS-R, (points), mean (SD)	0.61 (3.12)	0.32 (2.99)	0.770	0.44 ^a		0.284	(-0.441	to	1.009)
RD z-value (Fetz), mean (SD)	-0.86 (1.64)	-0.46 (1.54)	-2.082	0.038 ^a	*	-0.400	(-0.778	to	-0.022)
	I-A (<i>n</i> = 128)	C-A $(n = 127)$								
MB1kg z-value (KATS-K), mean (SD)	0.35 (0.79)	0.24 (0.69)	1.154	0.25 ^a		0.107	(-0.076	to	0.290)

Figure X1. Impact of the athletic intervention in SY 2021–2022 on BMI, WtHR, and health- and performance-related fitness parameters on the study population.

 Δ = changes in data between September 2021 and June 2022; *t* = test statistic; *p*-lvl = significance level; *p*-lvl (*p*-value level) = * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, and *** *p* < 0.001; ^a equal variances assumed, ^b equal variances not assumed; BMI = body mass index; SD = standard deviation; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 × 10-meter shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stand left; SLS-R = single leg stand right; SDS = standard deviation score; *z*-value = traditional *z*-score standardization; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group.

Figure X2. Effects of the sports intervention in SY 2021–2022 on the BMI, WtHR, and health- and performance-related fitness parameters in the subgroups of sports club membership (Yes or No).

	Mean Differences of the Changes from September 2021 to June 2022							
	Δ SDS / Δ z-Values		t p-Value ^{a, b}	<i>p</i> -lvl	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		
	I-NSC $(n = 96)$	C-NSC $(n = 89)$					(Lower to Upper)	
BMI _{IOTF} SDS, mean (SD)	-0.03 (0.33)	-0.02 (0.36)	-0.221	0.83 a		-0.011	(-0.111 to 0.089)	
EQUI BMI _{AUT} , mean (SD)	-0.06 (0.91)	0.07 (1.18)	-0.879	0.38 a		-0.135	(-0.439 to 0.168)	
WtHR, mean (SD)	-0.016 (0.039)	0.003 (0.034)	-3.395	<0.001 a	***	-0.018	(-0.029 to -0.008)	
6MR SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.51 (1.03)	0.28 (0.89)	1.642	0.10 a		0.233	(-0.047 to 0.513)	
	I-NSC ($n = 73$)	C-NSC ($n = 79$)						
4×10SHR SDS (MCA), mean (SD)	0.34 (0.54)	0.21 (0.61)	1.355	0.18 ^a		0.127	(-0.058 to 0.311)	
SLJ SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.09 (0.53)	-0.01 (0.54)	1.121	0.26 ^a		0.098	(-0.075 to 0.270)	
JS z-value (GMT), mean (SD)	0.18 (1.01)	0.14 (0.73)	0.270	0.79 ^b		0.039	(-0.245 to 0.323)	
VSR SDS (MAKFIT), mean (SD)	0.11 (1.16)	-0.19 (0.75)	1.899	0.06 ^a		0.300	(-0.012 to 0.612)	
SLS-L (points), mean (SD)	0.34 (2.85)	0.62 (3.35)	-0.548	0.58 ^a		-0.278	(-1.279 to 0.723)	
SLS-R, (points), mean (SD)	0.48 (3.16)	0.04 (3.31)	0.840	0.40 ^a		0.441	(-0.597 to 1.480)	
RD z-value (Fetz), mean (SD)	-0.56 (1.15)	-0.42 (1.49)	-0.641	0.52 ^b		-0.137	(-0.562 to 0.287)	
	I-NSC $(n = 66)$	C-NSC ($n = 72$)						
MB1kg z-value (KATS-K), mean (SD)	0.59 (0.66)	0.25 (0.73)	2.902	0.004 ^a	**	0.345	(0.110 to 0.581)	
	I-SC (<i>n</i> = 83)	C-SC $(n = 63)$						
BMI _{IOTF} SDS, mean (SD)	-0.02 (0.30)	-0.01 (0.26)	-0.223	0.82 ^a		-0.011	(-0.104 to 0.083)	
EQUI BMI _{AUT} , mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.79)	-0.01 (0.80)	-0.406	0.69 ^a		-0.054	(-0.316 to 0.208)	
WtHR, mean (SD)	-0.006 (0.035)	0.010 (0.031)	-2.879	0.005 ^a	**	-0.016	(-0.027 to -0.005)	
6MR SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.39 (1.31)	0.35 (1.01)	0.210	0.83 ^a		0.042	(-0.351 to 0.435)	
	I-SC $(n = 67)$	C-SC $(n = 57)$						
4×10SHR SDS (MCA), mean (SD)	0.15 (0.52)	0.19 (0.53)	-0.406	0.69 ^a		-0.038	(-0.223 to 0.147)	
SLJ SDS (DüMo), mean (SD)	0.08 (0.56)	0.03 (0.52)	0.477	0.63 ^a		0.046	(-0.146 to 0.238)	
JS z-value (GMT), mean (SD)	0.16 (0.92)	0.44 (0.69)	-1.837	0.07 ^a		-0.272	(-0.566 to 0.021)	
VSR SDS (MAKFIT), mean (SD)	-0.04 (0.77)	-0.07 (0.82)	0.183	0.86 ^a		0.026	(-0.257 to 0.309)	
SLS-L (points), mean (SD)	0.63 (2.90)	0.09 (2.59)	1.084	0.28 ^a		0.539	(-0.446 to 1.524)	
SLS-R, (points), mean (SD)	0.75 (3.10)	0.72 (2.45)	0.053	0.96 ^a		0.027	(-0.980 to 1.034)	
RD z-value (Fetz), mean (SD)	-1.18 (2.01)	-0.51 (1.63)	-2.027	0.045 ^a	*	-0.674	(-1.333 to -0.016)	
	I-SC (<i>n</i> = 62)	C-SC $(n = 55)$						
MB1kg z-value (KATS-K), mean (SD)	0.09 (0.84)	0.24 (0.63)	-1.070	0.29 ^b		-0.146	(-0.416 to 0.124)	

 Δ = changes in data between September 2021 and June 2022; t = test statistic; p-lvl = significance level; p-lvl (p-value level) = * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001; * equal variances assumed; b equal variances not assumed; BMI = body mass index; SD = standard deviation; 6MR = six-minute run; SLJ = standing long jump; MB1kg = medicine ball throw (1 kg); 4×10SHR = 4 × 10 m shuttle run; RD = ruler drop test; JS = jumping sideways; VSR = V sit-and-reach test; SLS-L = single leg stand left;

SLS-R = single leg stand right; SDS = standard deviation score; z-value = traditional z-score standardization; DüMo = Düsseldorfer Modell; KATS-K = Karlsruher test system; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment; GMT = German Motor Test; MAKFIT = Macedonia norm values; A = all; SC = sports club membership; NSC = no sports club membership; I = intervention group; C = control group.

References

- Jarnig, G.; Jaunig, J.; Kerbl, R.; Lima, R.A.; van Poppel, M.N.M. A Novel Monitoring System (AUT FIT) for Anthropometrics and Physical Fitness in Primary School Children in Austria: A Cross-Sectional Pilot Study. *Sports* 2022, *10*, 4, doi:10.3390/sports10010004.
- Shi, Y.; Wang, G.; Cai, X.; Deng, J.; Zheng, L.; Zhu, H.; Zheng, M.; Yang, B.; Chen, Z. An overview of COVID-19. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* 2020, *21*, 343–360, doi:10.1631/jzus.B2000083.
- Neville, R.D.; Lakes, K.D.; Hopkins, W.G.; Tarantino, G.; Draper, C.E.; Beck, R.; Madigan, S. Global Changes in Child and Adolescent Physical Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2022, *176*, 886–894, doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2022.2313.
- Runacres, A.; Mackintosh, K.A.; Knight, R.L.; Sheeran, L.; Thatcher, R.; Shelley, J.; McNarry, M.A. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Sedentary Time and Behaviour in Children and Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *IJERPH* 2021, *18*, 11286, doi:10.3390/ijerph182111286.
- Xiang, M.; Zhang, Z.; Kuwahara, K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' lifestyle behavior larger than expected. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* 2020, 63, 531–532, doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.013.
- Schmidt, S.C.E.; Anedda, B.; Burchartz, A.; Eichsteller, A.; Kolb, S.; Nigg, C.; Niessner, C.; Oriwol, D.; Worth, A.; Woll, A. Physical activity and screen time of children and adolescents before and during the COVID-19 lockdown in Germany: a natural experiment. *Sci Rep* 2020, *10*, 21780, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-78438-4.
- Greier, K.; Drenowatz, C.; Bischofer, T.; Petrasch, G.; Greier, C.; Cocca, A.; Ruedl, G. Physical activity and sitting time prior to and during COVID-19 lockdown in Austrian high-school students. *AIMS Public Health* 2021, *8*, 531–540, doi:10.3934/publichealth.2021043.
- Łuszczki, E.; Bartosiewicz, A.; Pezdan-Śliż, I.; Kuchciak, M.; Jagielski, P.; Oleksy, Ł.; Stolarczyk, A.; Dereń, K. Children's Eating Habits, Physical Activity, Sleep, and Media Usage before and during COVID-19 Pandemic in Poland. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, doi:10.3390/nu13072447.
- Burkart, S.; Parker, H.; Weaver, R.G.; Beets, M.W.; Jones, A.; Adams, E.L.; Chaput, J.-P.; Armstrong, B. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on elementary schoolers' physical

activity, sleep, screen time and diet: A quasi-experimental interrupted time series study. *Pediatr. Obes.* **2022**, *17*, e12846, doi:10.1111/ijpo.12846.

- Alfayez, R.F.; Albadr, N.A.; Abdelsamad, A.I.; Al-Masri, S.A.; Arzoo, S.; Alfayez, M.F. COVID -19 pandemic and lifestyle changes: Impact on school students. *Progress in Nutrition* 2022, 24, e2022048, doi:10.23751/pn.v24i3.12176.
- Kovacs, V.A.; Starc, G.; Brandes, M.; Kaj, M.; Blagus, R.; Leskošek, B.; Suesse, T.; Dinya, E.; Guinhouya, B.C.; Zito, V.; et al. Physical activity, screen time and the COVID-19 school closures in Europe - An observational study in 10 countries. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 2021, 1–10, doi:10.1080/17461391.2021.1897166.
- Ruiz-Roso, M.B.; Carvalho Padilha, P. de; Mantilla-Escalante, D.C.; Ulloa, N.; Brun, P.; Acevedo-Correa, D.; Arantes Ferreira Peres, W.; Martorell, M.; Aires, M.T.; Oliveira Cardoso, L. de; et al. Covid-19 Confinement and Changes of Adolescent's Dietary Trends in Italy, Spain, Chile, Colombia and Brazil. *Nutrients* 2020, *12*, 1807, doi:10.3390/nu12061807.
- Kaditis, A.G.; Ohler, A.; Gileles-Hillel, A.; Choshen-Hillel, S.; Gozal, D.; Bruni, O.; Aydinoz, S.; Cortese, R.; Kheirandish-Gozal, L. Effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on sleep duration in children and adolescents: A survey across different continents. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* 2021, *56*, 2265–2273, doi:10.1002/ppul.25367.
- Jarnig, G.; Jaunig, J.; van Poppel, M.N. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index due to COVID-19 mitigation measures in Austrian children aged 7 to 10 years [MedRxiv], 2021. Available online: DOI:10.1101/2021.04.09.21255185.
- 15. Jarnig, G.; Kerbl, R.; van Poppel, M.N.M. The Impact of COVID-19-Related Mitigation Measures on the Health and Fitness Status of Primary School Children in Austria: A Longitudinal Study with Data from 708 Children Measured before and during the Ongoing COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sports* 2022, *10*, 43, doi:10.3390/sports10030043.
- Dunton, G.F.; Do, B.; Wang, S.D. Early effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity and sedentary behavior in children living in the U.S. *BMC Public Health* 2020, 20, 1351, doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09429-3.
- Dayton, J.D.; Ford, K.; Carroll, S.J.; Flynn, P.A.; Kourtidou, S.; Holzer, R.J. The Deconditioning Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Unaffected Healthy Children. *Pediatr. Cardiol.* 2021, doi:10.1007/s00246-020-02513-w.
- Jarnig, G.; Jaunig, J.; Kerbl, R.; Strenger, V.; Haeusler, G.; van Poppel, M.N.M.
 Acceleration in BMI gain following COVID-19 restrictions. A longitudinal study with 7-

to 10-year-old primary school children. *Pediatr. Obes.* **2022**, e12890, doi:10.1111/ijpo.12890.

- Aubert, S.; Barnes, J.D.; Abdeta, C.; Abi Nader, P.; Adeniyi, A.F.; Aguilar-Farias, N.; Andrade Tenesaca, D.S.; Bhawra, J.; Brazo-Sayavera, J.; Cardon, G.; et al. Global Matrix 3.0 Physical Activity Report Card Grades for Children and Youth: Results and Analysis From 49 Countries. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **2018**, *15*, S251-S273, doi:10.1123/jpah.2018-0472.
- Kang, H.M.; Jeong, D.C.; Suh, B.K.; Ahn, M.B. The Impact of the Coronavirus Disease-2019 Pandemic on Childhood Obesity and Vitamin D Status. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 2021, 36, e21, doi:10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e21.
- Woolford, S.J.; Sidell, M.; Li, X.; Else, V.; Young, D.R.; Resnicow, K.; Koebnick, C. Changes in Body Mass Index Among Children and Adolescents During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA* 2021, doi:10.1001/jama.2021.15036.
- Stavridou, A.; Kapsali, E.; Panagouli, E.; Thirios, A.; Polychronis, K.; Bacopoulou, F.; Psaltopoulou, T.; Tsolia, M.; Sergentanis, T.N.; Tsitsika, A. Obesity in Children and Adolescents during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Children (Basel)* 2021, *8*, 135, doi:10.3390/children8020135.
- Jarnig, G.; Jaunig, J.; van Poppel, M.N.M. Association of COVID-19 Mitigation Measures with Changes in Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Body Mass Index Among Children Aged 7 to 10 Years in Austria. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021, *4*, e2121675, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.21675.
- Weaver, R.G.; Hunt, E.T.; Armstrong, B.; Beets, M.W.; Brazendale, K.; Turner-McGrievy, G.; Pate, R.R.; Youngstedt, S.D.; Dugger, R.; Parker, H.; et al. COVID-19 Leads to Accelerated Increases in Children's BMI z-Score Gain: An Interrupted Time-Series Study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2021, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2021.04.007.
- Ortega, F.B.; Ruiz, J.R.; Castillo, M.J.; Sjöström, M. Physical fitness in childhood and adolescence: a powerful marker of health. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond)* 2008, *32*, 1–11, doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803774.
- Mintjens, S.; Menting, M.D.; Daams, J.G.; van Poppel, M.N.M.; Roseboom, T.J.; Gemke, R.J.B.J. Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Childhood and Adolescence Affects Future Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Studies. *Sports Med.* 2018, 48, 2577–2605, doi:10.1007/s40279-018-0974-5.

- Umer, A.; Kelley, G.A.; Cottrell, L.E.; Giacobbi, P.; Innes, K.E.; Lilly, C.L. Childhood obesity and adult cardiovascular disease risk factors: a systematic review with metaanalysis. *BMC Public Health* 2017, *17*, 683, doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4691-z.
- Margaritis, I.; Houdart, S.; El Ouadrhiri, Y.; Bigard, X.; Vuillemin, A.; Duché, P. How to deal with COVID-19 epidemic-related lockdown physical inactivity and sedentary increase in youth? Adaptation of Anses' benchmarks. *Arch. Public Health* 2020, 78, 52, doi:10.1186/s13690-020-00432-z.
- Hale, T.; Webster, S.; Petherick, A.; Phillips, T.; Kira, B. COVID-19: Government Stringency Index: Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker. Available online: https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/coronavirus-government-responsetracker (accessed on 12 February 2021).
- Republik Österreich. RIS Rechtsinformationssytem des Bundes Österreich -Bundesgesetzblatt und Verordnungen. Bundesgesetzblatt. Available online: https://www.ris.bka.gv.at/Bgbl-Auth/ (accessed on 3 September 2021).
- Mayer, M.; Gleiss, A.; Häusler, G.; Borkenstein, M.; Kapelari, K.; Köstl, G.; Lassi, M.; Schemper, M.; Schmitt, K.; Blümel, P. Weight and body mass index (BMI): current data for Austrian boys and girls aged 4 to under 19 years. *Ann. Hum. Biol.* 2015, *42*, 45–55, doi:10.3109/03014460.2014.907444.
- Cole, T.J.; Bellizzi, M.C.; Flegal, K.M.; Dietz, W.H. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ* 2000, *320*, 1240– 1243, doi:10.1136/bmj.320.7244.1240.
- Campagnolo, P.D.B.; Hoffman, D.J.; Vitolo, M.R. Waist-to-height ratio as a screening tool for children with risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Ann. Hum. Biol.* 2011, *38*, 265–270, doi:10.3109/03014460.2010.526147.
- Mushtaq, M.U.; Gull, S.; Abdullah, H.M.; Shahid, U.; Shad, M.A.; Akram, J. Waist circumference, waist-hip ratio and waist-height ratio percentiles and central obesity among Pakistani children aged five to twelve years. *BMC Pediatr.* 2011, *11*, 105, doi:10.1186/1471-2431-11-105.
- Stemper, T.; Bachmann, C.; Diehlmann, K.; Kemper, B. DüMo Düsseldorfer Modell der Bewegungs-, Sport- und Talentförderung: 2003 - 2018: Konzept, Normwerte, Untersuchungsergebnisse; LIT: Berlin, 2020, ISBN 9783643147783.
- Rodrigues, L.P.; Luz, C.; Cordovil, R.; Bezerra, P.; Silva, B.; Camões, M.; Lima, R. Normative values of the motor competence assessment (MCA) from 3 to 23 years of age. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2019, 22, 1038–1043, doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2019.05.009.

- Gontarev, S.; Kalac, R.; Velickovska, L.A.; Zivkovic, V. Estándares de referencia de aptitud física en niños y adolescentes de Macedonia: el estudio MAKFIT. *NUTRICION HOSPITALARIA* 2018, 35, 1275–1286, doi:10.20960/nh.1881.
- Fetz, F.; Kornexl, E. Sportmotorische Tests: Praktische Anleitung zu sportmotorischen Tests in Schule und Verein, 2., überarb. u. erw. Aufl.; Österr. Bundesverl.: Wien, 1978, ISBN 387039028X.
- Bös, K. Deutscher Motorik-Test 6-18: (DMT 6-18): Manual und internetbasierte Auswertungssoftware, 2. Auflage; Feldhaus, Edition Czwalina: Hamburg, 2016, ISBN 9783880206434.
- 40. Bös, K.; Opper, E.; Woll, A.; Liebisch, R.; Breithecker, D.; Kremer, B. Das Karlsruher Testsystem für Kinder (KATS-K) - Testmanual. *Haltung und Bewegung* **2001**, *21*, 4.
- 41. Cohen, J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*, 2. ed.; Routledge: New York, USA, 1988, ISBN 0805802835.
- Morano, M.; Colella, D.; Robazza, C.; Bortoli, L.; Capranica, L. Physical self-perception and motor performance in normal-weight, overweight and obese children. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2011, *21*, 465–473, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01068.x.
- Steenbergen, L.; Sellaro, R.; Stock, A.-K.; Beste, C.; Colzato, L.S. Action Video Gaming and Cognitive Control: Playing First Person Shooter Games Is Associated with Improved Action Cascading but Not Inhibition. *PLoS One* 2015, *10*, e0144364, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144364.
- 44. Yi, Y.; Lagniton, P.N.P.; Ye, S.; Li, E.; Xu, R.-H. COVID-19: what has been learned and to be learned about the novel coronavirus disease. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **2020**, *16*, 1753–1766, doi:10.7150/ijbs.45134.
- 45. Giri, A.; Sapkota, B.; Shrestha, R.; Khatiwada, A.P.; Tiwari, R.; Aryal, M.; Timilsina, M.; Bhujel, B.; Adhikari, M.; Sah, R.; et al. A Narrative Review of Personal Protective Equipment Uses in Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Its Disposable Practices. *JMA J.* 2021, 4, 86–90, doi:10.31662/jmaj.2020-0120.
- Hemmer, C.J.; Hufert, F.; Siewert, S.; Reisinger, E. Protection From COVID-19–The Efficacy of Face Masks. *Dtsch. Arztebl. Int.* 2021, *118*, 59–65, doi:10.3238/arztebl.m2021.0119.
- Di Gilio, A.; Palmisani, J.; Pulimeno, M.; Cerino, F.; Cacace, M.; Miani, A.; Gennaro, G. de. CO2 concentration monitoring inside educational buildings as a strategic tool to reduce the risk of Sars-CoV-2 airborne transmission. *Environ. Res.* 2021, 202, 111560, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.111560.