It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Evolving SARS-CoV-2 virulence among hospital and university affiliates in Spain and Greater Boston

Fares Amer^{1,2}, Fan-Yun Lan^{3,4,5}, Mario Gil-Conesa¹, Amalia Sidossis^{3,4}, Daniel Bruque¹, Eirini Iliaki^{3,6}, Jane Buley³, Neetha Nathan³, Lou Ann Bruno-Murtha⁶, Silvia Carlos^{1,7}, Stefanos N. Kales^{3,4}, Alejandro Fernandez-Montero.^{7,8}

¹ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Navarra, Spain.

² PhD Programme in Applied Medicine and Biomedicine, University of Navarra, Spain

3 Occupational Medicine, Cambridge Health Alliance, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge MA, USA

4 Department of Environmental Health, Harvard University T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

5 Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

6 Infection Prevention and Infectious Diseases, Cambridge Health Alliance, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge MA, USA

⁷Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Spain.

⁸ Department of Occupational Medicine, University of Navarra, Navarra, Spain

Corresponding author:

Alejandro Fernandez-Montero, MD, PhD Alejandro Fernandez-Montero (E-mail: afmontero@unav.es), Department of Occupational Medicine, University of Navarra Clinic. Av. Pio XII, 36. 31008. Pamplona, Navarra, Spain. Tel: +34 948 255400 Fax: +34 948 296500 afmontero@unav.es

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus greatly affected healthcare workers and healthcare systems. It also challenged schools and universities worldwide negatively affecting in-person education. We conducted this study is to assess the evolution of SARs-CoV-2 virulence over the course of the pandemic.

Methods

A combined cohort of affiliates from the University of Navarra, two hospitals in Spain, and one healthcare system in the Greater Boston area was followed prospectively from March 8th, 2020, to January 31st, 2022 for diagnosis with COVID-19 by PCR testing and related sequelae. Follow-up time was divided into four periods according to distinct waves of infection during the pandemic. Severity of COVID-19 was measured by case-hospitalization rate. Descriptive statistics and multivariable-adjusted statistics using the Poisson mixed-effects regression model were applied.

Results

For the last two periods of the study (January 1st to December 15th, 2021 and December 16th, 2021 to January 31st, 2022) and relative to the first period (March 8th to May 31st, 2020), the incidence rate ratios (IRRs) of hospitalization were 0.08 (95% CI, 0.03-0.17) and 0.03 (95% CI, 0.01-0.15), respectively.

Interpretation

The virulence of COVID-19 and immunity of our populations evolved over time, resulting in a decrease in case severity. We found the case-hospitalization rate decreased more than 90% in our cohort despite an increase in incidence.

Keywords

COVID-19; virulence; SARS-CoV-2; Health care worker; University population

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Year to date (July 2022), the World Health Organization has confirmed more than 515 million cases of COVID-19 over the world, more than 6.25 million deaths and more than 11,500 million vaccine doses administered. The first wave of the pandemic in the winter/spring of 2020 brought an oversaturation of hospitals and ICUs, extreme fatigue to healthcare workers (HCWs) and a lack of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) due to the huge increase of the demand. This situation left HCWs more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 (the virus causing COVID-19) and led to more than 115,000 deaths between March 2020 and May 2021¹.

Since the first outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 in December 2019 with the Alpha variant, the virus has mutated into more than 10 variants, reaching the current Omicron variant predominance since December 2021. These variants differ in their speed of emergence, transmissibility and virulence², which along with population immunity they determine the impact on the population in terms of COVID-19 severity. In fact, previous literature has shown decreasing COVID-19 complications throughout the first few months after the initial outbreak³. On the other hand, the pharmaceutical industry urgently began to develop treatments⁴ and vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 using different mechanisms⁵ while at the hospitals some existing medication was used, such as azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine or dexamethasone⁶, trying to mitigate the disease severity. COVID-19 vaccines were designed to protect against SARS-CoV-2, while evidence has shown the effectiveness against different variants varies. In general, the primary vaccination series and natural immunity have reduced the severity and death rates of the disease². The evolution of the virus, an accumulation of knowledge, population immunity and the development of treatments have altogether led to a better prognosis of COVID-19 over time.

While school and university closures were intended to mitigate the transmission of SARS-CoV- 2^7 , many negative social and educational impacts have been reported. These include the disruption of learning continuity, a lack of social interaction with teachers and peers, aggravation of disparities, worse academic performance⁸ and burnout⁹. Therefore, evidence supports keeping schools and universities open.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the evolution in virulence of SARS-CoV-2 infection over the course the pandemic as measured by case-hospitalization rates, among HCW and a Spanish university that remained open, with face-to-face teaching.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Materials and methods

Between March 8th, 2020 and January 31st, 2022, a prospective cohort study was conducted on members of a study base who were diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection by PCR testing. Cases were drawn from a total of 22,405 individuals affiliated with the University of Navarra in Spain (Students and employees) and healthcare professionals from two different healthcare systems in three cities: Clínica Universidad de Navarra (CUN) in Pamplona and Madrid and Cambridge Health Alliance (CHA) in the Greater Boston area.

Variables

We categorized the main exposure variable of interest, timing throughout the pandemic, into four periods. The first period corresponds to COVID-19 pandemic's first wave from March 8th to May 30th, 2020; the second period corresponds to the time prior to primary vaccination from June 1st to December 31st, 2020; the third period covers primary vaccination campaigns and delta variant predominance from January 1st to December 15th, 2021; and the fourth period corresponds to the delivery of booster doses and the emergence of Omicron variant from December 16th, 2021 to January 31st, 2022. The main outcome measure of COVID-19 severity was case-hospitalization rate. Other outcomes included the rates of intubations and deaths. In addition, we collected the cases' sociodemographic variables such as age, sex and workplace, as well as reinfection events. On the other hand, we collected SARS-CoV-2 RNA information in Boston-area wastewater provided by Biobot Analytics (https://www.mwra.com/biobot/biobotdata.htm), and information on COVID-19 deaths in the same area and in the same period (https://www.mass.gov/info-details/covid-19-response-reporting).

Statistical analysis

For descriptive analyses of continuous variables, means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals were used, and t-tests were performed. The Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate, was used to compare the categorical variables across the four periods of the study. The mixed-effects Poisson regression model adjusting for sex, age, work-center, healthcare worker status and reinfection was conducted to evaluate the hospitalization risk for each period of time. COVID-19 incidence was calculated as cumulative incidence in 14 days (CI14 = number of new COVID-19 cases during a 14-day period prior to and including the given date). We accounted for correlated data since reinfected cases were included in the analysis and contributed to more than one data entries.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Finally, crude relative risks of death from COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 RNA data in Boston-area wastewater were calculated as a sensitivity analysis, using the first wave as the reference category. A two-tailed p values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. The STATA 15 and R software 3.6.3 were used for statistical analysis.

The study was conducted following the STROBE guidelines for cohort studies and in compliance with the study protocol, the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the local, legal and regulatory requirements (Approved by the University of Navarra Ethics committee: 2020.190 on October 30th, 2020 and the Cambridge Health Alliance Institutional Review Board (4/29/202-003)).

Results

The total incidence of COVID-19 throughout the four periods was 5,710 PCR-confirmed cases, where 156 cases were reinfections. The mean age of the study population was 31.7 years old (95% CI: 18.5-44.9), with mostly women, 67%. The cases' characteristics and outcomes are described in Table 1, stratified by the four time periods.

In the first period, all the positive cases were HCWs because all university students and employees stayed at home due to the mandatory lockdown implemented in Spain starting on March 14th. In the following periods, CHA center HCWs represented between 20% and 30% of positive cases, and all infected HCWs represented between 30% and 40% of the total number of cases. The greatest number of positive COVID-19 cases, more than 60%, corresponded to the University of Navarra students and employees.

The observed crude Incidence Rate Ratio (IRR) of hospitalization among COVID-19 cases, or casehospitalization rate ratio, in the second, third and fourth periods compared with the first period was 0.2 (95% CI: 0.11-0.37), 0.03 (95% CI: 0.01-0.05) and 0.01 (95% CI: 0.003-0.06), respectively (Table 2). After adjusting for several factors (i.e., sex, age, work center, healthcare worker status and reinfection), the decrement of hospitalization risk for the second period became non-significant, while the third and fourth period presented a significant decrease in hospitalizations, with an IRR of 0.08 (95% CI: 0.03-0.17) and 0.03 (95% CI: 0.01-0.15), respectively.

Discussion

In this prospective cohort study, we followed HCWs of two different healthcare systems in the USA and Spain as well as affiliates with the University of Navarra in Spain between March 8th 2020 and January 31st 2022. We found a 97% reduction in hospitalization risks comparing the last period to initial outbreak, while the number of cases increased almost 10 times throughout the study period. Our novel findings provide real-world evidence of evolving SARS-CoV-2 virulence, which has

decreased dramatically over time as the population gained widespread immunity and the virus became an endemic infection.

In the first period starting from March 14th 2020, despite mandatory lockdowns we observed the highest rate of case-hospitalization rate, 5.8%. Likely explanations include: a largely immunologically naïve population, a more virulent strain of virus, and less initial knowledge regarding transmission, protective measures and effective treatments.

Since the onset of the second period, research and practice identified various SARS-CoV-2 treatments such as corticosteroids, hydroxychloroquine, remdesivir, monoclonal antibodies, vaccines and lopinavir/ritonavir to mitigate severe COVID-19 complications^{10,11}. During this period, in October 2020, Delta variant was first identified and subsequently became globally dominant in June 2021. Compared to the original strain, the Delta variant was more contagious with a 97% higher transmission rate¹². Accordingly, we found an increase of COVID-19 cases in our population, while observed a less severe health impact (almost 50% less of hospitalizations in comparison with the first period) and a softer criteria for hospital admissions.

The first COVID-19 vaccine that received the emergency use authorization (EUA) was Pfizer's, in November 2020 in both the USA and Europe, and the vaccination campaigns started soon in December 2020. Therefore, the populational immunity had been rapidly established during the third period, especially among HCWs who were prioritized in the campaigns. In fact, most people in the study got their first dose of vaccine between December 2020 and June 2021. Even though the Delta variant became predominant in the summer of 2021, with its greater transmissibility and ability to escape immunity, we still observed a continued decreasing trend in complications.

The fourth period was characterized by the spread of the Omicron variant, which is from 3 to 5 times less virulent than the Delta variant, but more contagious13. Nonetheless, we continued to observe decreased hospitalizations. Overall, throughout the study period, the incidence of COVID-19 and its CI14 increased over time, especially in certain periods such as the first wave in March 2020, the beginning of the academic year in 2020, Christmas Eve in 2020, Easter in 2021 and Christmas Eve in 2021. However, we observed that hospitalizations decreased, despite an increasing disease incidence and its CI14 (Figure 1A and 1B). To provide robustness to these claims, we calculated the relative risk of deaths from COVID-19 in relation to the amount of SARS-CoV-2 RNA measured in wastewater and observed that the risks of deaths decreased from the first pandemic period to a RR= 0.009 CI95% (0.009-0.010) in the fourth period. (Figure 2A and 2B) Our study has some limitations. First, our cohort was subject to a healthy worker/student effect relative to the general population, and thus, we had few cases of hospitalization, death and ICU admission. The small number of the outcomes limited our statistical power to analyze the risk of

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

death and ICU admission. In addition, we did not collect information on symptoms at the time of hospital admission, and it is likely that at the later period, when more contagious variants emerged, COVID-19 hospitalization could be misclassified due to incidental findings from regular admission screening programs. The differential information bias could make our findings an underestimation. Finally, we cannot tell from the results whether the decreased disease severity was due to viral evolution, vaccination rate, healthcare improvement, or other factors. However, the observation of more mild cases and less severe complications over time is a result of all these mechanisms combined. Nonetheless, the study does have several strengths. First, all COVID-19 cases were diagnosed by PCR and were not self-referred, freeing the study population from misclassification. In addition, there is a large sample of 5,710 incident cases across USA and Spain, which allowed us to analyze the risk of hospitalization in the different periods and enhance generalizability. Finally, all cases' information was provided directly by the human resources department or extracted from the official diagnostic database of each institution, minimizing information bias. In conclusion, our present study on an international cohort of HCWs and university affiliates demonstrates that SARS-CoV-2 virulence measured in number of hospitalizations and deaths has reduced by 98% and 100%, respectively, during the later periods of the pandemic.

Disclosure statement.

The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

Data availability statement.

The data set is available to any interested reader. Please contact the corresponding author at afmontero@unav.es

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Bibliography

 The impact of COVID-19 on health and care workers: a closer look at deaths. Health Workforce Department – Working Paper 1. Geneva: World Health Organization; September 2021 (WHO/HWF/WorkingPaper/2021.1). Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

 Sharif N, Alzahrani KJ, Ahmed SN, Dey SK. Efficacy, Immunogenicity and Safety of COVID-19 Vaccines: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Immunol. 2021 Oct 11;12:714170. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.714170. PMID: 34707602; PMCID: PMC8542872.

3. Lan FY, Filler R, Mathew S, Iliaki E, Osgood R, Bruno-Murtha LA, Kales SN. Evolving virulence? Decreasing COVID-19 complications among Massachusetts healthcare workers: a cohort study. Pathog Glob Health. 2021 Feb;115(1):4-6. doi: 10.1080/20477724.2020.1847778.

4. Drożdżal S, Rosik J, Lechowicz K, Machaj F, Szostak B, Przybyciński J, Lorzadeh S, Kotfis K, Ghavami S, Łos MJ. An update on drugs with therapeutic potential for SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) treatment. Drug Resist Updat. 2021 Dec;59:100794. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2021.100794. Epub 2021 Dec 9. PMID: 34991982; PMCID: PMC8654464.

5. Francis AI, Ghany S, Gilkes T, Umakanthan S. Review of COVID-19 vaccine subtypes, efficacy and geographical distributions. Postgrad Med J. 2022 May;98(1159):389-394. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2021-140654. Epub 2021 Aug 6. PMID: 34362856.

6. Trivedi N, Verma A, Kumar D. Possible treatment and strategies for COVID-19: review and assessment. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2020 Dec;24(23):12593-12608. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202012_24057. PMID: 33336780.

7. Gurdasani D, Alwan NA, Greenhalgh T, Hyde Z, Johnson L, McKee M, Michie S, Prather KA, Rasmussen SD, Reicher S, Roderick P, Ziauddeen H. School reopening without robust COVID-19 mitigation risks accelerating the pandemic. Lancet. 2021 Mar 27;397(10280):1177-1178. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00622-X. Epub 2021 Mar 10. PMID: 33713595.

8. Ventura-León J, Caycho-Rodríguez T, Talledo-Sánchez K, Casiano-Valdivieso K. Depression, COVID-19 Anxiety, Subjective Well-being, and Academic Performance in University Students

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

With COVID-19-Infected Relatives: A Network Analysis. Front Psychol. 2022 Feb 10;13:837606. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.837606. PMID: 35222215; PMCID: PMC8867004.

 Moreno-Fernandez J, Ochoa JJ, Lopez-Aliaga I, Alferez MJM, Gomez-Guzman M, Lopez-Ortega S, Diaz-Castro J. Lockdown, Emotional Intelligence, Academic Engagement and Burnout in Pharmacy Students during the Quarantine. Pharmacy (Basel). 2020 Oct 22;8(4):194. doi: 10.3390/pharmacy8040194. PMID: 33105864; PMCID: PMC7711796.

10. Ortolani C, Pastorello EA. Hydroxychloroquine and dexamethasone in COVID-19: who won and who lost? Clin Mol Allergy. 2020 Sep 9;18:17. doi: 10.1186/s12948-020-00132-7. PMID: 32922210; PMCID: PMC7480203.

11. Dobesh PP, Trujillo TC. Coagulopathy, Venous Thromboembolism, and Anticoagulation in Patients with COVID-19. Pharmacotherapy. 2020 Nov;40(11):1130-1151. doi: 10.1002/phar.2465. Epub 2020 Nov 3. PMID: 33006163; PMCID: PMC7537066.

12. COVID-19 variants: <u>https://www.who.int/es/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants</u> [July 15th, 22:01 GMT+2]

13. Araf Y, Akter F, Tang YD, Fatemi R, Parvez MSA, Zheng C, Hossain MG. Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2: Genomics, transmissibility, and responses to current COVID-19 vaccines. J Med Virol. 2022 May;94(5):1825-1832. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27588. Epub 2022 Jan 23. PMID: 35023191; PMCID: PMC9015557.

14. Vo V, Tillett RL, Papp K, Shen S, Gu R, Gorzalski A, Siao D, Markland R, Chang CL, Baker H, Chen J, Schiller M, Betancourt WQ, Buttery E, Pandori M, Picker MA, Gerrity D, Oh EC. Use of wastewater surveillance for early detection of Alpha and Epsilon SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and estimation of overall COVID-19 infection burden. Sci Total Environ. 2022 Aug 20;835:155410. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155410. Epub 2022 Apr 22. PMID: 35469875; PMCID: PMC9026949.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Time periods		8 th March 2020	1 st June 2020 to	1 st January 2021	16 th December	р
		to 31 st May 2020	31 st December	to 15 th December	2021 to 31 st	
			2020	2021	January 2022	
Positive cases, n		309	918	1438	3045	
Age, years (mean-	±SD)	42.7 ±12.3	32±13.7	29.9±12.2	31.5 ±13.1	< 0.001
Sex, male (%)		68 (22.0)	332 (36.2)	498 (34.6)	951 (31.2)	< 0.001
Workplace (%)	UNAV	0 (0)	556 (60.6)	1003 (69.7)	1870 (61.4)	< 0.001
-	CUN Pamplona	97 (31.4)	37 (4.0)	99 (6.9)	367 (12.1)	
	CUN Madrid	54 (17.5)	46 (5.0)	59 (4.1)	29 (1.0)	
	CHA	158 (51.1)	279 (30.4)	277 (19.3)	779 (25.6)	
Healthcare workers (%)		309 (100)	362 (39.4)	435 (30.3)	1175 (38.6)	< 0.001
Reinfection (%)		0 (0)	2 (0.2)	12 (0.8)	142 (4.7)	<0.001 ^a
Hospitalization (%)		18 (5.8)	24 (2.6)	14(1)	2 (0.1)	<0.001 ^a
Intubation (%)		1 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.020 ^a
Death (%)		1 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.020 ^a

Table 1.	Baseline	characteristics o	f COVID-19	positive	cases	across	the t	time	periods	8^{th}	March	2020	– 31 st	January
2022				^					-					

Mean ±SD for age. Count (%) for other variables.

UNAV; University of Navarra

CUN Pamplona; University of Navarra Clinic, located in Pamplona

CUN Madrid; University of Navarra Clinic, located in Madrid

CHA; Cambridge Health Alliance

^a Derived from Fisher's exact test

Table 2. I	Hospitalization	risk according to	time periods 8t	h March 2020 -	- 31st January 2022.
------------	-----------------	-------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------

Hospitalization	8 th March 2020 to 31 st May 2020	1 st June 2020 to 31 th December 2020	1 st January 2021 to 15 th December 2021	16 th December 2021 to 31 st January 2022
Events/ Person-days at risk ^a	18/17309	24/116224	14/498729	2/137287
Crude IRR ^b (95% CI)	1 (ref.)	0.20 (0.11-0.37)	0.03 (0.01-0.05)	0.01 (0.003-0.06)
Sex and age adjusted IRR $^{\rm b}$ (95% CI)	1 (ref.)	0.42 (0.22-0.82)	0.07 (0.03-0.14)	0.03 (0.01-0.13)
Multivariable-adjusted IRR ^{bc} (95% CI)	1 (ref.)	0.57 (0.27-1.21)	0.08 (0.03-0.17)	0.03 (0.01-0.15)

^a Each case was followed from the date of diagnosis until the end of the period or death, whichever came first.

^b IRR: Incidence rate ratio; derived from the mixed-effects Poisson regression model accounting for correlated data and person-days.

^c Adjusted for sex, age, work-center, healthcare worker status and reinfection.

Figure 1. Cumulative incidence in 14 days (CI14) between March 2020 and January 2022. A.) COVID-19 cases. B.) Hospitalization COVID-19 cases.

Figure 2. Cumulative incidence in 14 days (CI14) between March 2020 and January 2022. A.) SARS-CoV-2 RNA Copies/mL in Boston-area wastewater. B.) Death of COVID-19 cases in Boston-area. Relative Risk and 95%CI between the two measures.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Cumulative incidence in 14 days (CI14) between March 2020 and January 2022. A.) COVID-19 cases. B.) Hospitalization COVID-19 cases.



