

1 **CO-DESIGNING A DIGITAL HEALTH APP TO MANAGE PAIN IN YOUNG**
2 **CHILDREN WITH CANCER: REPORT FROM THE GENERATIVE DESIGN PHASE**
3 **OF INTERVENTION DEVELOPMENT**
4

5 Lindsay A. Jibb RN PhD^{1,2*}, Surabhi Sivaratnam BHSc^{2,3*}, Elham Hashemi MA², Jennifer N.
6 Stinson RN-EC PhD^{1,2}, Paul C. Nathan MD MSc^{1,2}, Julie Chartrand RN PhD^{4,5}, Nicole M.
7 Alberts PhD⁶, Tatenda Masama BScN², Hannah G. PeaseBA⁷, Lessley B. Torres BA^{7,8,9}, Haydee
8 G. Cortes BA^{7,8,9}, Mallory Zworth BScN², Susan Kuczynski¹⁰, Michelle A. Fortier PhD^{7,8,9}.

9
10 ¹University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ²Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada; ³Faculty
11 of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada; ⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, University
12 of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada; ⁵Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Canada;
13 ⁶Concordia University, Montreal, Canada; ⁷University of California Irvine, Irvine, USA;
14 ⁸CHOC Children's Hospital, Orange, USA; ⁹UCI Center on Stress and Health, Orange, USA;
15 ¹⁰Ontario Parents Advocating for Children with Cancer, Toronto, Canada.
16

17 **Address correspondence to:**

18 Lindsay Jibb
19 Child Health Evaluative Sciences
20 Hospital for Sick Children
21 686 Bay Street, M5G 0A4
22 Toronto Canada
23 lindsay.jibb@sickkids.ca
24 416-813-7654 x309160
25

26 *, These authors contributed equally to this work.
27

28 **Author email addresses:**

Lindsay Jibb	lindsay.jibb@sickkids.ca
Surabhi Sivaratnam	surabhi.sivartnam@sickkids.ca
Elham Hashemi	elham.hashemi@sickkids.ca
Jennifer Stinson	jennifer.stinson@sickkids.ca
Paul Nathan	paul.nathan@sickkids.ca
Julie Chartrand	julie.chartrand@uottawa.ca
Nicole Alberts	nicole.alberts@concordia.ca
Tatenda Masama	tatenda.masama@sickkids.ca
Hannah Pease	peaseh@uci.edu
Lessley Torres	lessleyt@hs.uci.edu
Haydee Cortes	cortesh@hs.uci.edu
Susan Kuczynski	liasion@opacc.org
Mallory Zworth	mallory.zworth@sickkids.ca
Michelle Fortier	mfortier@hs.uci.edu

29 **Data availability statement:** Data from this study are available (in accordance with institutional
30 policies) from the corresponding author upon request.

31 **Funding statement:** We acknowledge the financial support of the Rally Foundation for
32 Childhood Cancer Research, [19YIN48].

33 **Conflict of interest disclosure:** None to disclose.

34 **Ethics approval statement:** This study received ethics approval from the institutional review
35 boards at the Hospital for Sick Children (#1000064500) and the University of California, Irvine
36 (#190666).

37 **Patient consent statement:** All parent participants provided informed consent to participate
38 before any involvement in this study.

39 **Abbreviations:**

40 CHOC, Children's Hospital of Orange County

41 PPEP, Parental Pain Expression Perceptions

42 SickKids, The Hospital for Sick Children

43

44 **Short title:** Co-design of a parent-led childhood cancer pain app

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

ABSTRACT

60

61 Pain is one of the most prevalent and burdensome pediatric cancer symptoms for young children
62 and their families. A significant proportion of pain episodes are experienced in environments
63 where management options are limited, including at home, and digital innovations such as apps
64 may have positive impacts on pain outcomes for young children in these environments. Our
65 overall aim is to co-design such an app and the objective of this study was to explore the
66 perceptions of children’s parents about app utility, needed system features, and challenges. We
67 recruited parents of young children with cancer and multidisciplinary pediatric oncology
68 clinicians from two pediatric cancer care centers to participate in audio-recorded, semi-structured
69 co-design interviews. We conducted interviews until data saturation was reached. Audio-
70 recordings were then transcribed, coded, and analyzed using thematic analysis. Forty-two
71 participants took part in the process. Participants endorsed the concept of an app as a useful, safe,
72 and convenient way to engage caregivers in managing their young child’s pain. The value of the
73 app related to its capacity to provide real-time, multimodal informational and procedural pain
74 support to parents, while also reducing the emotional burden of pain care. Recommendations for
75 intervention design included accessibility-focused features, comprehensive symptom tracking,
76 and embedded scientific- and clinically-sound symptom assessments and management advice.
77 Predicted challenges associated with digital pain management related to potential burden of use
78 for parents and clinicians. The insights gathered will inform the design principles of our future
79 childhood cancer pain digital research.

80

81

AUTHOR SUMMARY

82 The lack of meaningful involvement of end-users in intervention development has been a key
83 contributor to difficulties in effectively translating research findings into cancer practice and
84 policy. There is a risk that without the active engagement of children with cancer and their
85 families in designing digital health innovations, researchers and clinicians will fall victim to an
86 unfortunate cycle of producing underutilized evidence—resulting in a limited impact on patient
87 outcomes. Pain is a particular problem for young children with cancer and real-time digital
88 health interventions may be solutions for accessible, effective, and scalable cancer pain
89 management. We are using an established end user-centered co-design process to engage parents
90 and pediatric oncology clinicians in the development of a cancer pain management app. Our
91 work here summarizes the generative co-design phase of this process and the perceptions of
92 parents and clinicians related to app usefulness and needed system features.

93

94

95

96

97

98 **INTRODUCTION**

99

100 Pain is one of the most prevalent and burdensome pediatric cancer symptoms for children
101 and their families despite the existence of evidence-based treatment guidelines (1,2). The
102 negative consequences of childhood cancer pain are many and include reduced child health-
103 related quality of life, increased child and family distress, chronic pain in survivorship and the
104 potential for significant financial costs to healthcare systems and families (3–6). Further, shifts to
105 increasingly outpatient-based cancer care mean that children with cancer are experiencing pain in
106 environments, such as home or school, where treatment options are limited (7).

107 Young children with cancer, including toddlers, preschoolers, and school-aged children,
108 are particularly vulnerable to undermanaged pain due to their limited ability for pain self-report
109 and their reliance on caregivers for pain management and treatment. Current research shows that
110 digital innovations such as real-time smartphone-based pain management support apps may have
111 positive impacts on pain outcomes in adolescents with cancer (5,8–10), but no investigations
112 have been conducted into such tools for managing pain in young children with cancer, especially
113 outside the hospital setting.

114 To increase the likelihood of successful implementation of digital health interventions
115 within pediatric cancer care, it is vital that the key stakeholders, including parents, other family
116 caregivers and clinicians, are meaningfully integrated in the processes of intervention design,
117 development, and evaluation. Evidence shows that eliciting stakeholder perspectives, including
118 that of parents, on intervention development supports the identification of barriers to use, results

119 in interventions with enhanced perceived effectiveness, and facilitates the successful delivery of
120 digital health interventions (11–13).

121 The current study is part of a phased approach to the development and evaluation of a
122 digital health app for the parent-led management of young children’s cancer pain. Our process
123 employed a co-design framework, which has been successfully used to develop pediatric health
124 innovations (14). This study describes the generative design phase of innovation development
125 wherein the healthcare needs of participants, including latent needs that participants may not be
126 aware of, are explored and revealed. Our future co-design efforts will build on these results
127 during the software development and co-evaluation of a high-fidelity app prototype with family
128 caregivers.

129 *Objective*

130 Given the issue of undermanaged pain in young children with cancer and the critical need
131 to elicit stakeholders input early in the process of successful digital health intervention design,
132 the objective of this study was to explore the perceptions of children’s parents and clinicians as
133 they pertain to a the co-design of a parent-led real-time cancer pain management app, including
134 possible utility, recommendations for needed system features, and potential challenges to
135 implementation.

136

137 **RESULTS**

138

139 *Study sample*

140 A total of 42 participants—21 parents and 21 clinicians—were interviewed between December
141 2019 and September 2020. All eligible participants who were approached agreed to participate.

142 The mean (range) total interview times were 33 (14-67) minutes and 33 (22-45) minutes for
 143 parents and clinicians, respectively. Participant characteristics are shown in **Table 1**. Most
 144 parents were within the 30-39 years of age category (n=13, 62%) and mothers (n=17, 81%). The
 145 mean parent-reported PPEP scores were 33 (SD=10), indicating moderately low child pain
 146 misconceptions. Clinicians were most often registered nurses (n=9, 43%) and had a mean
 147 average 10 years of clinical experience.

148 **Table 1.** Participant characteristics
 149

Characteristic	n	M(SD)
Pain perception knowledge and attitudes (total PPEP score)		33 (10)
Caregiver type		
<i>Mother</i>	17 (81)	
<i>Father</i>	3 (14)	
<i>Stepmother</i>	1 (5)	
Marital status		
<i>Married</i>	19 (90)	
<i>Divorced</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Single</i>	1 (5)	
Sex		
<i>Female</i>	18 (86)	
<i>Male</i>	3 (14)	
Age range (years)		
20-29	3 (14)	
30-39	13 (62)	
40-49	5 (24)	
Parent-identified race or ethnicity		
<i>White</i>	8 (38)	
<i>Hispanic/Latinx</i>	5 (24)	
<i>Chinese</i>	2 (10)	
<i>South Asian</i>	2 (10)	
<i>Arab</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Armenian</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Black</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Korean</i>	1 (5)	
Highest level of education		
<i>College/University</i>	9 (43)	
<i>Professional School/Graduate Degree</i>	7 (33)	
<i>High School</i>	4 (19)	
<i>Teaching Credential</i>	1 (5)	
Primary language		
<i>English</i>	16 (76)	
<i>Arabic</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Cantonese</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Korean</i>	1 (5)	

<i>Tamil</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Urdu</i>	1 (5)	
Child age (years)		5.3 (2.7)
Child cancer diagnosis		
<i>ALL</i>	9 (43)	
<i>AML</i>	4 (19)	
<i>LCH</i>	2 (10)	
<i>Neuroblastoma</i>	2 (10)	
<i>APML</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Lymphoma</i>	1 (5)	
<i>MYH9 disorder</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Osteosarcoma</i>	1 (5)	
Time since child diagnosis (years)		0.9 (1.4)
Clinicians		
Profession		
<i>Advanced Practice Nurse</i>	5 (24)	
<i>Oncologist</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Physical Therapist</i>	3 (14)	
<i>Psychologist</i>	1 (5)	
<i>Registered Nurse</i>	9 (43)	
<i>Social Worker</i>	2 (10)	
Sex		
<i>Female</i>	21 (100)	
<i>Male</i>	0 (0)	
Age range (years)		
<i>20-29</i>	7 (33)	
<i>30-39</i>	8 (38)	
<i>40-49</i>	5 (24)	
<i>50-59</i>	1 (5)	
Highest level of education		
<i>Bachelor's Degree</i>	7 (33)	
<i>Master's Degree</i>	10 (48)	
<i>Doctoral Degree</i>	3 (14)	
<i>Medical Degree</i>	1 (5)	
Clinical work experience (years)		10(9)
Pediatric oncology clinical work experience (years)		8(8)

150

151 *Thematic analysis*

152 We organized our data into four major themes to describe parent and clinician perceptions of the
153 utility of digital cancer pain intervention, recommendations for intervention components, and
154 potential implementation challenges. **Table 2** shows themes, subthemes, and example narrative
155 quotes.

156 **Table 2.** Thematic analysis framework

	Theme	Sub-theme	Parent representative quotes	Clinician representative quotes
1	The need for and value of a digital cancer pain management intervention.	Informational and procedural support for parents needed	<p>“...If there’s a way of... teach[ing strategies and timing] to the parents and tell[ing] them that this is something that you could also do to help your son whenever he’s home or whenever he’s experiencing pain, that would be helpful.” (Mother of a 6-year-old)</p>	<p>“...I think sometimes when they go home, a think they might struggle with is [deciding] when do I give this morphine? Am I giving too much? Am I giving too little?...” (Nurse)</p> <p>“...Many times there are pain complaints that can be managed at home before you have to get to that threshold of coming in ...” (Nurse)</p>
Real-time interdisciplinary pain care needed		<p>“It’s nice to feel connected [to the team] when you’re at home.” (Mother of a 4-year-old)</p>	<p>“[The app should] offer “real time” chat with a medical provider in case [parents] were not sure what to do specifically.” (Advanced practice nurse)</p>	
Means to negotiate the emotional burden of pain care on parents needed		<p>“Yeah, and I can't apply that to her sometimes... like, I just can't do it. You know she's in pain or she's really sick or she's not in a good mood because of what's, what's happening and I just want something to help me get through this, you know?” (Mother, child age unknown)</p>	<p>“I think that it would be helpful because the parents are so overwhelmed and there’s so many things that they try and keep track of. There’s meds and chemotherapy and all that, so to have something dedicated to pain where it would also be easy to [track]... would be helpful to them” (Nurse)</p>	
2	Recommendations pertaining to pediatric digital health interventions in general	Usability-related recommendations: (1) accessibility, (2) tracking capacity, and (3) gamification	<p>“Yeah, [gamified] challenges. I love them *laughs* and it makes you, you know, want to [engage] more...” (Mother, child age unknown)</p>	<p>“...We have a lot of patients that have come from different countries, and I guess it would be difficult for some parents to use an app, especially if it’s only provided in English...” (Nurse)</p> <p>“...We have a lot of patients that for the parents English is a second language. Even some patients, English is a second language for them so I think that’s a huge one...” (Nurse)</p>
Credibility-related recommendations: (1)		<p>“I like the idea that...the nurse can contact [you]</p>	<p>“Pain is subjective so it's hard to know what they're</p>	

		<i>clinician integration, (2) quality evidence base, (3) personalization, and (4) clinical disclaimer</i>	<i>when it's for the extreme pain. It's a nice feature." (Mother of a 4-year-old)</i>	<i>going to do with that but I think there should always be a disclaimer: "If you feel that you know you could try this, but if you feel the pain is escalating please call 9-1-1 or please take your child to the nearest emergency room" (Social worker)</i>
3	<i>Recommendations pertaining to parent-led digital cancer pain management specifically</i>	<i>Detailed patient history</i>	<i>"Weight, height...I would get that down...and then the feeding and pain management or the pain history." (Mother of a 2-year-old)</i>	<i>"...it would be helpful to know the symptoms. Like, a lot of times when a patient comes in, they don't have symptoms recorded ... Sometimes they'll say "Yeah they have pain"—sometimes we don't know. It's kind of vague when they tell us when they're feeling the pain, describing the pain, like, what it feels like, is it achy, is it sharp, is it shooting..." (Nurse)</i> <i>"things that would cause pain, like their diagnosis, recent surgery or something or chronic history of pain or something that's a little bit" (Nurse)</i>
<i>Comprehensive pain assessments</i>		<i>"I think it would be helpful to track...when [the child] had treatment and associated pain. That could be helpful for collecting data for when these children are experiencing pain. That may be helpful also for researchers to link the pain management and help people better understand the real side effects of [cancer treatment]." (Mother of a 5-year-old)</i>	<i>"It's reall, really hard for young kids, but I think giving more adjectives that parents could use or other ways to describe different types of pain" (Physical therapist)</i>	
<i>Multimodal integrative pain management advice</i>		<i>"Distraction things first. Like massage, hot packs, cold packs, and stuff like that." (Mother, child age unknown)</i>	<i>"...there's other pain management strategies that they can use at home that we don't use that much in the hospital, like relaxation and distraction and all that kind of stuff..." (Nurse)</i>	

		Capacity to track pain overtime	“Yeah, to be able to track daily and not have ... have a place that we can consolidate that data ultimately.” (Father of 6-year-old)	“I think it would be useful as a tracking method because... there’s so many meds, so many chemos, so many appointments, that you kind of get lost in all of it. If there was ever a time that they need to come to [emergency] it would just be a really easy way to be like, “This is when my pain started...This is what they did.” (Nurse)
4	Predicted challenges associated with implementing a digital pain management intervention	Threshold-setting around when clinician-based support should be initiated	“[When should doctors intervene in parent pain management at home?] Sometimes, but it just depends. Depends on [the] situation, okay?” (Mother, child age unknown)	“I think that’s what’s hard, because pain is very subjective, and...so I think that’s where it’s hard to pinpoint [when the healthcare team should be alerted].” (Advanced practice nurse)
		Introduction of a new burden on parents	No relevant quotes	“But if they never heard of that technique before...are they going to be wasting time thinking, “...What’s that? What does that mean? How do you do that breathing? What does relaxation mean?” Like, right? Is that going to add more stress?” (Social worker)
		Difficulty in integrating into clinician workflows	“And I can’t imagine anyone here has an easy or laid-back position. I imagine everybody’s role here is pretty impactful and a lot of you are busy most of the time so...” (Mother of a 2-year-old)	“I think in an ideal that’d be like a great idea and stuff like that I just don’t know who would take on that responsibility, like the best person I can think of would be like [the family’s primary] nurse but I also know that they’re so busy with other things.” (Nurse)
		Potential to results in family hyperawareness of and sensitization to symptoms	No relevant quotes	“I’ve experienced some parents being hyper vigilant.” (Social worker)

157

158 *The need for and value of a digital cancer pain management intervention.*

159 Both parents and caregivers considered a pain management app as a useful, safe, and

160 convenient way to empower caregivers to effectively manage their young child’s pain in the

161 home environment, especially for parents of children newly diagnosed with cancer. Several
162 current deficiencies with at-home pain management for young children were also discussed.
163 Informational and procedural support for parents was needed and valued. Both groups noted that
164 parents already possessed a strong suite of child pain management skills, but a means to support
165 the implementation of this knowledge “would be helpful” (Mother of a 6-year-old). Clinicians
166 described current clinical practices of learning about young children’s cancer pain as not
167 comprehensive and often reliant on reviews of at-home medication use rather than lived
168 experiences of pain. Procedural support to allow parents “to track when [their child is] having the
169 pain and how long it’s been” (Nurse) would provide in-depth information to healthcare teams
170 and facilitate communication between parents and clinicians. Parents and clinicians also
171 perceived pain to be better managed in hospital and described a need for connection to the
172 interdisciplinary team and associated diverse treatment strategies at home. Parents also alluded to
173 the often overwhelming task of managing pain at home stating, “You panic sometimes when
174 your child is sick. You’re like, “What's going on? How much [pain medication] do I give? What
175 do I do?” (Mother of a 4-year-old). The numerous other direct and indirect cancer care tasks for
176 which parents assumed responsibility—such as medication administration and appointment
177 tracking—amplified this strain and a tool that could offer emotional support to parents was
178 considered advantageous.

179 *Recommendations pertaining to pediatric digital health interventions in general*

180 Parents and clinicians made recommendations that might be applied broadly to most
181 parent-led digital health interventions, beyond just apps targeting pediatric cancer pain. These
182 features were suggested to enhance intervention usability, credibility, and resultant effectiveness.
183 Participants particularly noted the need to embed accessibility features in intervention design,

184 emphasizing that these “app[s] should be made as easy as possible so that anybody can use”
185 (Mother of a 4-year-old). To improve intervention access for parents, participants recommended
186 that health apps be available in multiple languages, primarily use lay terms for caregivers “who
187 [are] not in the medical field to understand” (Father of a 6-year-old) and include a text-to-audio
188 feature and supplemental information that users could review as needed. Participants also
189 recommended integrating a calendar feature to act as a centralized hub with scheduled
190 medication and medical appointment reminders, and allow for child symptom tracking. Many
191 participants also suggested adding gamified elements such as avatars and pictorial
192 representations. Such gamification was considered to encourage family-oriented digital care by
193 “making it kid friendly” (Stepmother of a 10-year-old) and would encourage child engagement
194 in app-based care alongside their caregiver. Specific recommendations related to the use of
195 avatars were to include features reflective of the parent and child (e.g., diverse skin tones, range
196 of heights and weights, accessibility features such as glasses and prosthetics).

197 Various features that would enhance the credibility of a digital interventions were also
198 highlighted. Clinician integration within digital health interventions was considered important.
199 Considering this integration, participants recommended a software-embedded “threshold for
200 [symptom] follow-up” (Nurse), that if surpassed, would result in notification to the healthcare
201 team. Such notifications were suggested to ensure child safety and to build technologies that
202 enhance, as opposed to replace, care delivered by clinicians. This sharing of app-generated health
203 reports with clinicians was also considered an important means to support symptom management
204 by “sort of opening a door to a conversation that maybe [parents and clinicians] wouldn’t have
205 had” (Oncologist). To enhance credibility, participants also strongly recommended that digital
206 interventions be based on sound and up-to-date scientific evidence-based and care

207 recommendations be personalized to users, including by implementing software algorithm-based
208 personalization. Finally, clear descriptions of the limits of clinical support that could be provided
209 by a digital health intervention should be embedded. Participants highlighted that such
210 disclaimers would build trust with the intervention by emphasizing when care outside of that
211 provided by the app should be sought.

212 *Recommendations pertaining to parent-led digital cancer pain management specifically*

213 Participants suggested four categories of priority features for effective caregiver-led
214 digital pediatric cancer pain management. First, participants suggested the inclusion of a feature
215 enabling the storage of a child’s pain history, medical history, current medications, allergies,
216 social history, and family history. This information could be updated by both parents and
217 clinicians and would support the personalization of pain management advice for a child.

218 Second, suggestions were made related to app-based pain assessments. Both parents and
219 clinicians strongly recommended multi-dimensional pain assessment tools embedded in the app
220 to measure pain as a sensory phenomenon (e.g., pain frequency, duration, severity, and cause)
221 and consider the impact of pain on a child’s affective state and a child’s activities of daily living,
222 including ability to take medications. App-embedded pain assessments should be valid and
223 implemented “in a moment when [pain is] actually happening” (Nurse) for the sake of accuracy.
224 Assessments should also be appropriate to the age, developmental stage, and abilities of the
225 younger child with cancer and should be repeated once a pain management intervention was
226 implemented. Therefore, parent proxy assessments should be embedded for children unable to
227 self-report their pain. Parents also suggested building in capacity to record other aspects of their
228 child's physical status that may be related to pain (e.g., bowel movement patterns, nausea and
229 vomiting). Caregivers also discussed the utility of an application that provides developmentally

230 appropriate management advice, specifically citing a need for “proper information for that age
231 group” (Father of a 5-year-old), whenever pain is reported. Parents felt confident in their abilities
232 to understand and implement app-based pain management instructions, noting familiarity with
233 many mobile apps, including health apps, they currently use.

234 Multi-modal physical, psychological, and pharmacological cancer pain management
235 advice was recommended to be provided to parents. App-integrated physical advice included
236 “gentle exercise” (Physical therapist) and stretching. Recommended psychological advice was
237 distraction including “playing” (Father of a 6-year-old), relaxation, and massage, and advice to
238 emotionally support parents in their role as pain caregivers was also encouraged. Parents
239 suggested that the app provide medication advice so long as the information presented was
240 evidenced-based and specific to the context of pediatric cancer. Embedding information on how
241 to manage medication side effects was also suggested.

242 Fourth, both parents and clinicians expressed a need for a digital intervention to support
243 the tracking of multidimensional child pain assessment data overtime and provide visual trends
244 of the data, with the intention of “pick[ing] up patterns” (Oncologist) and supporting family-
245 clinician conversations on pain. Within the app, parents and clinicians also recommended
246 tracking which pain management strategies were used, and the effectiveness of each strategy.

247 *Predicted challenges associated with implementing a digital pain management intervention*

248 Although participants cited digital health intervention aspects that were considered
249 beneficial, predicted challenges were also highlighted. Most prominently, participants discussed
250 potential difficulty in setting a software-embedded pain threshold beyond which healthcare
251 provider support would be initiated. Participants specifically discussed the subjective nature of
252 pain and a resultant need to individualize pain thresholds to each child and their family context.

253 Clinicians discussed the potential for the app to add burden for parents who are already strained
254 with caregiving tasks for their child; however, several parents considered use of the app,
255 including once or twice a day, to “be feasible” (Father of a 6-year-old). Clinicians further cited
256 challenges related to how to successfully integrate app-based data monitoring and pain support
257 into their daily workflows. In both cases, participants highlighted that the demonstrated positive
258 impact of the intervention on child pain outcomes must outweigh any burden of use. Participants
259 also worried that frequent pain monitoring facilitated by the app may lead parents and children to
260 become acutely aware and subsequently hypersensitized to pain.

261

262 **DISCUSSION**

263

264 This study investigated the perspectives of parents of young children with cancer and
265 pediatric cancer care clinicians as they pertain to the design and delivery of digital health
266 interventions targeting childrens’ pain. Woven throughout the recommendations were the need
267 for and value of such pain management interventions, especially in light of the limited at-home
268 family-led pain management strategies and the often overwhelming nature of managing pain
269 independently in care settings other than the hospital. Parents and clinicians recommended ways
270 to improve the usability and credibility of such interventions, including the integration of
271 accessibility features and ensuring high-quality pain management content is delivered.
272 Participants recommended needed features for a digital pediatric cancer pain management
273 intervention including embedded multidimensional assessments of pain, multi-modal pain
274 management support, and pain tracking over time. Critical to such assessments was the inclusion
275 of detailed child and family information to support the personalization of provided pain support.

276 Lastly, stakeholders noted perceived challenges associated with such interventions that should be
277 addressed to enhance utility.

278 Existing literature highlights the critical importance of involving users in the
279 development and evaluation of apps for specific health conditions, particularly noting the
280 positive impact on intervention use and effectiveness in achieving an intended health goal (22).
281 Meaningful stakeholder engagement also enables understanding of the key design features that
282 will facilitate successful digital interactions, and those features that may limit use (e.g., poor
283 integration with daily workflows) (22–24).

284 Our study participants reinforced the need for demonstrated usability and credibility
285 within digital health interventions for children, including those targeting young children’s cancer
286 pain. Recommendations for accessible language features, the use of lay terms and supplemental
287 health information, and the use of gamification to engage younger users are supported by the
288 existing literature (25,26). Research shows that language barriers in healthcare lead to
289 miscommunication between medical professionals and patients. The implementation of language
290 accessibility features improves the quality of healthcare delivery and patient safety (27). App
291 gamification has also been shown to improve the user experience, accessibility, app appeal, user
292 experience, while also having a positive impact on well-being and health outcomes (28).

293 Our results also show the critical importance of using evidence-based information and
294 clinician involvement in providing app-based health advice to users. These needed app features
295 were endorsed by parents and reflect the findings of a recent systematic review that identified
296 standards for mobile health applications, including that apps should name content authors and
297 their professional qualifications and utilize scientific evidence as the basis for quality content
298 (29). Further, participants in our study recommended the implementation of disclaimers in digital

299 health intervention, again aligning with current health app guidance to disclose possible risks to
300 users and include warnings that apps are not intended to replace health professional care (29).

301 The need to embed a comprehensive multi-dimensional pain assessment tool and multi-
302 modal integrative pain management advice in the app reflects current considerations for the
303 management of cancer-related pain. This recommendation reflects a clinical need to implement a
304 biopsychosocial approach to pain assessment and management, including treating childhood
305 cancer pain with evidence-based pharmacological, psychological, physical, and complementary
306 and alternative medicine techniques (1). Consideration of the time to complete comprehensive
307 assessments is also needed as previous research in pediatric cancer pain apps has shown lengthy
308 questionnaires to be a drawback to app use (13).

309 Predicted challenges associated with digital health interventions identified in our study
310 include the potential for the app to burden parents and clinicians. Digital burden has been
311 described previously and studies of high-burden apps often experience high participant attrition
312 rooted in the fundamental challenges of keeping participants engaged in intervention use (30).
313 Difficulties in setting app-based pain thresholds for the initiation of clinician-driven pain
314 management support require the application of a personalized approach to pain care (31) and a
315 need to further tailor co-designed digital interventions to the needs of unique users and contexts.
316 (32)

317 Strengths of our study include the integration of multiple stakeholders' perspectives and
318 our collection of data from two pediatric cancer care centers. Limitations include potential social
319 desirability response bias whereby parents and clinicians may have withheld negative reports
320 about the app concept during interviews with our team and we did obtain the necessary
321 purposive sample. However, participants were informed that all feedback would be valued

322 equally. Additionally, the mode by which we conducted interviews was not standardized due to
323 the COVID-19 pandemic and we instead held interviews via telephone, online, and in-person.
324 However, research shows good comparability between the content and depth of interviews
325 conducted in-person and otherwise (33,34). Finally, we did not conduct participant checking of
326 either the transcriptions or final thematic analysis.

327 This study provides recommendations from key stakeholders on the design and delivery
328 of digital pediatric cancer pain interventions, which can be readily used by parents, clinicians,
329 engineers, and researchers participating in intervention development. Further, several
330 recommendations gleaned from this process are directly applicable to the design of many
331 pediatric digital health interventions—including those beyond childhood cancer pain. We
332 recommend that future investigators engaged in developing health apps implement a co-design
333 approach within their work. Such participation can reveal critical requirements for intervention
334 design that result in relevance of app content and enhanced clinical effectiveness. The
335 recommendations gathered in the present study will become the design principles of our future
336 childhood cancer pain digital research.

337

338 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

339

340 Our reporting is in accordance with the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research
341 (COREQ) (15) and Guidance for Reporting Involvement of Patients and the Public—Short Form
342 (GRIPP2-SF) (16).

343 *Study Approach, Setting and Participants*

344 We used an inductive, qualitative descriptive approach for healthcare research (17),
345 consistent with our goal to understand stakeholder's pain app perceptions and requirements. We
346 recruited participants from the Hospital for Sick Children (SickKids) in Toronto, Ontario,
347 Canada and the Children's Hospital of Orange County (CHOC) in Orange, California, United
348 States. We enrolled English-speaking parents who were the primary caregiver of a child (2-11
349 years) receiving treatment for any cancer diagnosis and who spent at least 25% of their cancer-
350 care treatment time outside of the hospital and who had pain of any intensity in the preceding
351 week. Child pain was determined by caregiver proxy-report. English-speaking multidisciplinary
352 clinicians were included if they worked within the hematology/oncology program at either
353 hospital and provided direct pain-related care to children spending at least 25% of their time
354 during cancer treatment at home. We employed a purposive maximum variation sampling
355 strategy with the aim of including parents who varied in age, sex, ethnicity, and their child's
356 diagnosis, and clinicians who varied by healthcare profession.

357 *Data Collection*

358 Following ethics board approval at both sites, we obtained informed consent from
359 participants. Participants were asked to complete demographic questionnaires and parents
360 completed a Parental Pain Expression Perceptions (PPEP). The PPEP is a valid and reliable 9-
361 item Likert-type scale-based questionnaire that assesses parents' knowledge and attitudes about
362 pain expression in children, where higher scores represent greater misconceptions about pain
363 expression (18).

364 Individual in-hospital, telephone, or online face-to-face semi-structured interviews were
365 conducted by trained research team members (AC, HGP, LBT) with no previous relationship to
366 participants. Our interview guide was based on the currently developed Pain Squad+ pain

367 management app for adolescents with cancer (19) and a study of salient home-based pediatric
368 cancer care issues (20). We audio-recorded all interviews and handwritten field-notes were
369 taken. We conducted interviews concurrent with our analyses until themes relevant to the study's
370 aim reached saturation.

371 *Data Analysis*

372 Interview audio-recordings were transcribed into electronic documents and uploaded to
373 NVivo software version 11.4.0. Using an inductive approach, transcripts were coded with
374 reference to field-notes by four independent research assistants (SS, KH, TM, and MZ).
375 Following the method of Braun and Clarke (21), we read through the dataset multiple times and
376 discussed its features as a group before creating several coding categories. Coding proceeded
377 using a statement-by-statement approach and codes were organized into themes and subthemes.
378 The creation of themes was an iterative process where themes were continuously reviewed and
379 compared against the narrative exemplars until a final thematic framework was established. The
380 research team (SS, KH, TM, and LJ) met multiple times to ensure themes were accurately
381 reflective of participant narratives, and consensus building exercises were used to refine themes
382 and subthemes where needed.

383

384 **Acknowledgements**

385 We would like to thank our parent and clinician participants for kindly taking the time to
386 share their experiences and perceptions related to the design and development of a future app.
387 We would also like to thank Alyssandra Chee-a-tow for her invaluable assistance with
388 interviewing.

389

390 **References**

- 391 1. Loeffen EAH, Mulder RL, Font-Gonzalez A, Leroy PLJM, Dick BD, Taddio A, et al.
392 Reducing pain and distress related to needle procedures in children with cancer: A clinical
393 practice guideline. *European Journal of Cancer*. 2020 May 1;131:53–67.
- 394 2. Loeffen EAH, Kremer LCM, Wetering MD van de, Mulder RL, Font-Gonzalez A, Dupuis LL,
395 et al. Reducing pain in children with cancer: Methodology for the development of a clinical
396 practice guideline. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2019 Mar 7;46(3):e27698.
- 397 3. Schulte FSM, Patton M, Alberts NM, Kunin-Batson A, Olson-Bullis BA, Forbes C, et al. Pain
398 in long-term survivors of childhood cancer: A systematic review of the current state of
399 knowledge and a call to action from the Children’s Oncology Group. *Cancer*. 2021;127(1):35–
400 44.
- 401 4. Tutelman PR, Chambers CT, Urquhart R, Fernandez CV, Heathcote LC, Noel M, et al. When
402 “a headache is not just a headache”: A qualitative examination of parent and child experiences of
403 pain after childhood cancer. *Psycho Oncol*. 2019 Jul 5;28(9):1901–9.
- 404 5. Stinson JN, Jibb LA, Nguyen C, Nathan PC, Maloney AM, Dupuis LL, et al. Construct
405 validity and reliability of a real-time multidimensional smartphone app to assess pain in children
406 and adolescents with cancer. *Pain*. 2015 Dec;156(12):2607–15.
- 407 6. Barbera L, Taylor C, Dudgeon D. Why do patients with cancer visit the emergency
408 department near the end of life? *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2010 Apr 5;182(6):563–
409 8.
- 410 7. Fortier MA, Wahi A, Bruce C, Maurer EL, Stevenson R. Pain management at home in
411 children with cancer: a daily diary study. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2014 Jun;61(6):1029–33.
- 412 8. Jibb LA, Stevens BJ, Nathan PC, Seto E, Cafazzo JA, Johnston DL, et al. Implementation and
413 preliminary effectiveness of a real-time pain management smartphone app for adolescents with
414 cancer: a multicenter pilot clinical study. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2017 Oct;64(10):e26554.
- 415 9. Tiozzo E, Fondi S, Biagioli V, Piccinelli E, Alibrandi F, Gawronski O, et al. Electronic
416 Assessment and Tracking of Pain at Home: A Prospective Study in Children With Hematologic
417 or Solid Tumors. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs*. 2021;38(2):82–93.
- 418 10. Fortier MA, Chung WW, Martinez A, Gago-Masague S, Sender L. Pain buddy: A novel use
419 of m-health in the management of children’s cancer pain. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*.
420 2016 Sep 1;76:202–14.
- 421 11. Greenhalgh T, Hinton L, Finlay T, Macfarlane A, Fahy N, Clyde B, et al. Frameworks for
422 supporting patient and public involvement in research: Systematic review and co-design pilot.
423 *Health Expectations Int J Public Participation Health Care Health Policy*. 2019;22(4):785–801.

- 424 12. Teychenne M, Apostolopoulos M, Ball K, Olander EK, Opie RS, Rosenbaum S, et al. Key
425 stakeholder perspectives on the development and real-world implementation of a home-based
426 physical activity program for mothers at risk of postnatal depression: a qualitative study. *Bmc*
427 *Public Health*. 2021;21(1):361.
- 428 13. Jibb LA, Stevens BJ, Nathan PC, Seto E, Cafazzo JA, Johnston DL, et al. Perceptions of
429 adolescents with cancer related to a pain management app and its evaluation: qualitative study
430 nested within a multicenter pilot feasibility study. *JMIR mhealth and uhealth*. 2018 Apr
431 6;6(4):e80.
- 432 14. Bird M, McGillion M, Chambers EM, Dix J, Fajardo CJ, Gilmour M, et al. A generative co-
433 design framework for healthcare innovation: development and application of an end-user
434 engagement framework. *Res Involv Engagem*. 2021;7(1):12.
- 435 15. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research
436 (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality*
437 *in Health Care*. 2007 Dec;19(6):349–57.
- 438 16. Staniszewska S, Brett J, Simera I, Seers K, Mockford C, Goodlad S, et al. GRIPP2 reporting
439 checklists: tools to improve reporting of patient and public involvement in research. *British*
440 *Medical Journal*. 2017 Aug 2;358:j3453.
- 441 17. Bradshaw C, Atkinson S, Doody O. Employing a Qualitative Description Approach in Health
442 Care Research. *Global Qual Nurs Res*. 2017;4:2333393617742282.
- 443 18. Zisk RY, Grey M, MacLaren JE, Kain ZN. Exploring Sociodemographic and Personality
444 Characteristic Predictors of Parental Pain Perceptions. *Anesthesia and analgesia*. 2007 Apr
445 1;104(4):790–8.
- 446 19. Jibb LA, Stevens BJ, Nathan PC, Seto E, Cafazzo JA, Stinson JN. A smartphone-based pain
447 management app for adolescents with cancer: establishing system requirements and a pain care
448 algorithm based on literature review, interviews, and consensus. *JMIR Research Protocols*.
449 2014;3(1):e15.
- 450 20. Jibb LA, Chartrand J, Masama T, Johnston DL. Home-Based Pediatric Cancer Care:
451 Perspectives and Improvement Suggestions From Children, Family Caregivers, and Clinicians.
452 *Jco Oncol Pract*. 2021;17(6):e827–39.
- 453 21. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in*
454 *Psychology*. 2006 Jan;3(2):77–101.
- 455 22. Powell L, Joddrell P, Parker J. Involving Users in the Evaluation of Apps for Specific Health
456 Conditions. *Stud Health Technol*. 2017;242:646–53.

- 457 23. Floch J, Zettl A, Fricke L, Weisser T, Grut L, Vilarinho T, et al. User Needs in the
458 Development of a Health App Ecosystem for Self-Management of Cystic Fibrosis: User-
459 Centered Development Approach. *Jmir Mhealth Uhealth*. 2018;6(5):e113.
- 460 24. Rodriguez DV, Lawrence K, Luu S, Yu JL, Feldthouse DM, Gonzalez J, et al. Development
461 of a computer-aided text message platform for user engagement with a digital Diabetes
462 Prevention Program: a case study. *J Am Medical Informatics Assoc Jamia*. 2021;29(1):155–62.
- 463 25. Muñoz AO, Camacho E, Torous J. Marketplace and Literature Review of Spanish Language
464 Mental Health Apps. *Frontiers Digital Heal*. 2021;3:615366.
- 465 26. Fijačko N, Gosak L, Cilar L, Novšak A, Creber RM, Skok P, et al. The Effects of
466 Gamification and Oral Self-Care on Oral Hygiene in Children: Systematic Search in App Stores
467 and Evaluation of Apps. *Jmir Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020;8(7):e16365.
- 468 27. Gerchow L, Burka LR, Miner S, Squires A. Language Barriers Between Nurses and Patients:
469 A Scoping Review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2020;104(3):534–53.
- 470 28. Johnson D, Deterding S, Kuhn KA, Staneva A, Stoyanov S, Hides L. Gamification for health
471 and wellbeing: A systematic review of the literature. *Internet Interventions*. 2016;6:89–106.
- 472 29. Llorens-Vernet P, Miró J. Standards for Mobile Health-Related Apps: Systematic Review
473 and Development of a Guide. *Jmir Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020;8(3):e13057.
- 474 30. Amagai S, Pila S, Kaat AJ, Nowinski CJ, Gershon RC. Challenges in Participant
475 Engagement and Retention Using Mobile Health Apps: Literature Review. *J Med Internet Res*.
476 2022;24(4):e35120.
- 477 31. Cohen SP, Vase LV, Hooten W. Chronic pain: an update on burden, best practices, and new
478 advances. *Lancet*. 2021 May; 397(10289):2082-2097.
- 479 32. Arigo D, Suls J. Smartphone apps providing social comparison for health behavior change: a
480 need for better tailoring to person and context. *Mhealth*. 2018 Oct; 4: 46.
- 481 33. Woodyatt CR, Finneran CA, Stephenson R. In-Person Versus Online Focus Group
482 Discussions: A Comparative Analysis of Data Quality. *Qual Health Res*. 2016;26(6):741–9.
- 483 34. Sturges JE, Hanrahan KJ. Comparing Telephone and Face-to-Face Qualitative Interviewing:
484 a Research Note. *Qualitative Research*. 2004 Apr 1;4(1):107–18.
- 485