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Abstract

Background: Apolipoprotein E-ε4 (APOE ε4) genotype may be associated with the development of cognitive decline in idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (iPD), however its effect in genetic PD is understudied.

Objectives: In the current work we aimed to assess the impact of APOE genotype on cognition in iPD as well as in genetic PD with mutations in the Alpha-synuclein (SNCA) and Glycocerebrosidase (GBA1) genes.

Methods: Two independent PD cohorts were analyzed: The first cohort (Athens) included 50 iPD patients, 35 patients with the p.A53T SNCA mutation and 59 patients with GBA1 mutations (13 mild /46 severe). The second cohort (Tübingen) included 292 patients with GBA1 mutations (170 risk/ 52 mild/ 70 severe). All patients underwent cognitive testing and were genotyped for APOE.

Results: In the iPD subgroup, carriers of at least one APOE ε4 exhibited lower Montreal Cognitive Assessment test (MoCA) score as compared to non-carriers (p=0.044). Notably, in the p.A53T SNCA subgroup, APOE ε4 carriers also had lower MoCA scores compared to non-carriers (p=0.039). There were no APOE ε4-related differences in the two GBA1 subgroups (Athens, p=0.729; Tübingen p=0.585).

Conclusions: We confirm the impact of APOE ε4 on cognitive decline in iPD and for the first time report a similar effect in p.A53T SNCA mutation carriers, who represent the prototypical genetic synucleinopathy. Contrary, the lack of such an effect in two independent cohorts of GBA1 mutation carriers, who are thought to also manifest a predominant alpha-synuclein-driven cognitive decline, suggests differences in factors associated with cognitive dysfunction between different genetic forms of synucleinopathies.
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1. Introduction

The ε4 allele of the apolipoprotein (APOE) gene is the most common genetic risk factor for late onset sporadic Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The apolipoprotein E (APOE) protein, coded by the APOE gene, is involved in lipid transport, metabolism, and inflammatory modulation.\(^1\) The frequencies of the APOE alleles (APOE ε2, APOE ε3 and APOE ε4) in the general population vary slightly between different populations, however the APOE ε3 is the most abundant and the APOE ε2 is the least common.\(^2\) In Europe, there is a gradient of APOE ε4 allele distribution among populations, with high ε4 allele prevalence in northern Europe (approximately 25%) and low allele prevalence in the Mediterranean area (less than 10%).\(^3\)

While the APOE ε4 allele is a major risk factor for AD, the APOE ε2 allele appears to have a protective role. The AD risk is dose dependent. From a molecular perspective, APOE is implicated in mechanisms related to amyloid beta (Aβ) aggregation and impaired clearance in the brain. Literature evidence supports an association between APOE ε4 and brain Aβ burden. In particular, APOE ε4 was reported to directly promote Aβ fibrillation, but it may also contribute to AD pathogenesis via Aβ-independent mechanisms.\(^2\) APOE ε4 interacts with tau pathology in AD as homozygous APOE ε4 carriers have higher tau pathology compared to heterozygotes or non-carriers. APOE fragments which originate from APOE ε4 specifically can directly affect mitochondrial function.\(^1\) Finally, there is also evidence that neuroinflammation, having a key role in the pathogenesis of many neurodegenerative diseases, may influence the crosstalk between APOE ε4 and amyloid beta or tau protein.

More recently, APOE is also increasingly associated with the development of cognitive decline in idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD). It has been proposed that APOE ε4 increases the risk and lowers the age of onset for motor manifestation of PD as well as for PD dementia.\(^4-7\) but its role in PD is still debated as other studies found no firm associations between APOE and PD features.\(^8,9\) Moreover, the APOE locus is strongly associated with risk
for developing other synucleinopathies such as dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) [10]. In a study assessing the impact of APOE polymorphisms and mutations in the Glucocerebrosidase gene (GBA1) on DLB in an Ashkenazi Jewish population, it appeared that both factors had distinct effects on the clinical disease phenotype, separately and in combination [11].

Cognitive dysfunction in genetic forms of PD is variable, depending on the affected gene, the particular mutation, but also on other factors such as additional genetic modifiers, lifestyle, co-morbidities and environmental components. There is marked heterogeneity in the expressivity of the dementia phenotype between different genetic forms of PD and even among members of the same family [12]. Patients harboring mutations in the genes Alpha-synuclein (SNCA) and GBA1 often have a more pronounced cognitive burden than those with mutations in Parkin (PRKN) or Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) [12,13]. The putative role of APOE as a genetic modifier of cognitive trajectories in genetic forms of PD is largely unexplored. The aim of our current study was to assess the impact of APOE genotype on cognitive function in iPD patients and in patients with pathogenic mutations in the genes SNCA and GBA1 as these two PD subgroups show a prominent and early cognitive decline during the disease course [14,15].

2. Materials and Methods

We have analyzed two independent PD cohorts. The first cohort included patients followed in the Movement Disorders Outpatient Clinic of Eginition Hospital, University of Athens (Greece). We assessed 50 idiopathic PD patients, 35 patients with the p.A53T SNCA mutation and 59 patients with GBA1 mutations (13 mild /46 severe according to mutation severity as classified for risk of PD). The second cohort included 292 patients with GBA1 mutations (170 risk/ 52 mild/ 70 severe) followed in the Outpatient Clinic for Parkinson's Disease at the University of Tübingen (Germany). The present study was conducted in agreement with the
principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Raw data used in the current study are available upon request.

Epidemiological data including PD age at onset (defined as manifestation of motor onset) were collected (Table 1). All patients were genotyped for APOE at the University of Tübingen. Moreover, patients underwent cognitive testing using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment test (MoCA) [a subset had undergone testing with the Mini Mental State Examination Test (MMSE) which was then converted to MoCA according to published algorithms].

Additionally, patients from the Tübingen cohort have also undergone analysis of CSF biomarkers [including amyloid beta (Abeta1-42), total Tau protein (t-Tau), phosphorylated Tau protein (p181-Tau), neurofilament light chain (NFL) and α-synuclein].

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANCOVA for continuous variables (with age, sex, disease duration, education as covariates) and Pearson chi-square for categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

3. Results

The frequency of presence of at least one APOEε4 allele (either in heterozygous or in homozygous form) was 6/50 (12%) in the idiopathic PD subgroup and 6/35 (17.14%) in the p.A53T SNCA PD subgroup. The frequency of APOEε4 allele in the Athens GBA1 PD cohort was 10/59 (16.95%) and in the Tübingen GBA1 PD cohort 72/292 (24.66%) This difference is consistent to the latitude gradient effect of APOE ε4 frequency, with lower frequencies observed in Mediterranean countries as opposed to Northern Europe countries [3] (Figure 1).
The cognitive status of PD patients as exemplified by their MoCA score presented a marked variability in each subgroup: Demographic data and MoCA scores are provided in Table 1 and Table 2.

In the iPD subgroup of the Athens cohort, carriers of at least one APOE ε4 exhibited lower MoCA score as compared to non-carriers when using age, sex, disease duration and education as covariates (22.2 vs 24.7/30) (p=0.044). Moreover, no difference was noticed regarding the age at PD onset [APOEε4+ (59.33) vs APOEε4- (59.25) p= 0.501 with age and sex as covariates] (Figure 2A).

Notably, in the p.A53T SNCA subgroup, APOE ε4 carriers also had lower MoCA scores compared to non-carriers (16.2 vs 24.4/30) (p=0.039). Furthermore, no difference was noticed regarding the age at PD onset [APOE ε4+ (50) vs APOE ε4- (44.7) p= 0.736 with age and sex as covariates] (Figure 2B).

When assessing cognitive status as a categorical variable (cognitive decline corresponding to MoCA cut off <26/30), the difference was significant only for the p.A53T SNCA (p=0.014) but not for iPD (p=0.443).

In the GBA1 subgroup from the Athens cohort, no difference in MoCA score related to APOE ε4 status was detected (p=0.647). This was also true when the severity of GBA1 mutations was used as covariate (p=0.729). No difference was noticed regarding the age at PD onset [APOE ε4+ (50) vs APOE ε4- (51.2) p=0.227 with age, sex and mutation severity as covariates] (Figure 3A).

Accordingly, in the Tübingen GBA1 PD cohort there was no difference between APOEε4 carriers and non-carriers when assessing the three subgroups of GBA1 variant severity (risk, mild, severe p=0.585) (Figure 3B) (Table 2).
Moreover, there were no associations between APOE genotype and CSF measurement outcomes [total amyloid beta Abeta1-42 (p=0.505), t-Tau (p=0.829), p181-Tau (p=0.161), NFL (p=0.291), α-synuclein (p=0.546)] with age and age at onset as covariates (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3).

4. Discussion

In this cross-sectional study we found a negative effect of APOE ε4 allele on the global cognitive function of idiopathic PD patients as exemplified by the MoCA test scores. Epidemiological and educational factors have been taken into account in order to avoid any possible bias. Our results are in accordance with literature data regarding the already described impact of APOE ε4 on cognitive decline of iPD. Common genetic variation of the APOE and other genes including micro-tubule associated protein tau (MAPT) have been linked to cognitive decline and dementia in Parkinson’s disease, although former studies have yielded mixed results. A study evaluating 152 neuropathologically confirmed Parkinson’s disease donors with or without clinical dementia during life concluded that both the APOE ε4 allele and MAPT H1-haplotype were associated with earlier development of dementia. Male sex and APOE ε4, along with age and lower education level, were associated with poorer cognitive performance [using Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (DRS-2)] among a population of predominantly non-demented PD patients. A magnetic resonance imaging study showed that the Functional Connectivity (FC) values between the bilateral caudate and posterior cortical regions of APOE ε4 carriers with PD-MCI were much lower than APOE ε3 and ε2 allele carriers, and FC was positively correlated with the impairment of global and language function. A very recent study focused on DLB and might shed additional light on the APOE effect. Kaivola and co-authors performed a comprehensive evaluation in 2466 dementia with LB cases using an APOE-stratified genome-wide
association study approach. They concluded that APOE ε4 effect was associated with mixed dementia due to mixed LB/AD pathology but not with pure dementia with LB. The APOE ε4 effect was even more prominent in longitudinal studies. A 7-year observational study from Norway showed that PD patients carriers of the APOE ε4 allele had an increased risk of mild cognitive impairment and dementia within the study period. According to results from the Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) study, APOE status along with baseline age, University of Pennsylvania Smell Inventory Test (UPSIT) scores and CSF amyloid beta - (Aβ42) to t-tau ratio were associated with change in MoCA scores over time in de novo PD patients. Data from de novo PD patients indicate that CSF Aβ-42 and APOE ε4 promote early cognitive changes in PD patients as those with low CSF Aβ-42 and APOE ε4+ showed a higher rate of cognitive decline early in the disease course. Finally, in a sporadic PD cohort from Tuebingen, low levels of CSF amyloid beta were associated with a higher risk of developing cognitive impairment earlier in the disease course.

In our current report, the APOE ε4 effect on cognitive dysfunction was also present in the p.A53T SNCA subgroup, which represents the prototypical genetic synucleinopathy. APOE ε4 carriers in this genetic cohort exhibited significantly lower MoCA scores and were more often characterized as demented, based on MOCA cut-offs, compared to non-carriers. The degree of cognitive deterioration in PD patients with the p.A53T SNCA mutation ranges from intact cognition to severe Parkinson’s Disease Dementia (PDD). Regarding the pattern of cognitive decline, frontal-executive and visuospatial functions are more prominently affected. The idea that APOE could act as an additional genetic modifier of cognitive impairment in this genetic SNCA cohort is thus plausible. Despite literature evidence indicating that APOE ε4 directly exacerbates α-synuclein pathological deposition, beyond its established role in promoting AD co-pathology, we were unable to provide CSF biomarker
data (amyloid beta and alpha-synuclein) regarding our SNCA cohort. Previous data from our group, have shown low amyloid beta in a proportion of p.A53T SNCA PD carriers. The APOE ε4 genotype mechanism of action in cognitive impairment in PD is not clear, because patients often have a mixture of α-synuclein (αSyn), amyloid beta (Aβ), and tau pathologies. APOE ε4 exacerbates brain Aβ pathology, as well as tau pathology, but it is not clear whether APOE genotype independently regulates alpha-synuclein pathology. A recent study using a mouse model of alpha-synucleinopathy by means of adeno-associated virus gene delivery of α-synuclein in human APOE-targeted replacement mice expressing APOE ε2, APOE ε3, or APOE ε4 showed that only APOE ε4 increased α-synuclein pathology and impaired behavioral performances. The results revealed a pathogenic role of APOE ε4 in exacerbating α-synuclein pathology independent of amyloid beta. Furthermore, a second research group generated A53T SNCA transgenic mice on APOE knockout or human APOE knockin backgrounds and demonstrated that that APOE genotype directly regulates αSyn pathology independent of its established effects on Aβ and tau. Finally, another study has found indications of ApoE fragmentation in the human PD brain and documented the presence of APOE within Lewy bodies, the major PD pathological marker. From a clinical perspective, a study group assessed autopsy confirmed Lewy Body brains in order to decipher whether APOE ε4 is associated with severity of LB pathology, independently of AD pathology. They reached the conclusion that APOE ε4 is independently associated with a greater severity of LB pathology and that APOE ε4 may function as a modifier of processes that favor LB spread rather than acting directly to initiate LB pathology.

Regarding the GBA1 subgroups, cognitive impairment is more frequent and severe in GBA1 PD as compared to iPd and the cognitive decline appears earlier in the disease course. However, a marked variability in cognitive impairment is also encountered among different GBA1 mutations. Interestingly, we did not find an effect of APOE genotype on cognitive
function in two independent cohorts of GBA1 mutation carriers, who are considered a model of alpha-synuclein-related cognitive decline. The absence of differences in CSF biomarkers Abeta1_42, t-Tau, p181-Tau and alpha-synuclein profiles between APOE ε4 carriers and non-carriers in the GBA1 cohort further supports that the APOE genotype is irrelevant in this genetic PD form.

The joint results from our current study and former research suggest differences in factors associated with cognitive decline (genetic, epigenetic or environmental) between different genetic forms of synucleinopathies, even those that would be expected to be closely related, such as is the case of GBA1 and SNCA mutations. In this respect, carriers of the p.A53T SNCA mutation resemble more closely iPD compared to GBA1 mutation carriers, given the presence of low CSF beta-amyloid levels in some cases and the association of cognitive decline with the APOEε4 genotype reported here.
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Table captions

Table 1. Demographic data and MoCA score of the 3 Athens cohort subgroups

Table 2. Demographic, clinical and CSF Biomarker in Tübingen GBA1 PD (first visit with MoCA)

Supplementary Table 3. Demographic, clinical and CSF Biomarker in Tübingen GBA1 PD (first visit with CSF collection and biomarker measurement)

Figure captions

Figure 1. The frequency of presence of at least one APOE ε4 allele (either in heterozygous or in homozygous form) in the idiopathic PD subgroup and in the genetic subgroups (p.A53T SNCA PD and GBA1 PD)
Figure 2. MoCA scores in APOE ε4 carriers and non-carriers of the Idiopathic PD subgroup (Fig 2A). MoCA scores in APOE ε4 carriers and non-carriers of the p.A53T SNCA PD subgroup (Fig 2B).

Figure 3. MoCA scores in APOE ε4 carriers and non-carriers of the Athens GBA1 PD subgroup (Fig 3A). MoCA scores in APOE ε4 carriers and non-carriers of the Tubingen GBA1 PD subgroup (Fig 3B).
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APOE GENOTYPE IN PD SUBGROUPS

- **APOE-ε4+**
  - Idiopathic PD: 6
  - P.A53T SNCA PD: 6
  - Athens GBA1 PD: 10
  - Tübingen GBA1 PD: 72

- **APOE-ε4-**
  - Idiopathic PD: 44
  - P.A53T SNCA PD: 29
  - Athens GBA1 PD: 39
  - Tübingen GBA1 PD: 148
Table 1. Demographic data and MoCA score of the 3 Athens cohort subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Idiopathic PD a4</th>
<th>Idiopathic PD a1 e4 n=6</th>
<th>p.A53T SNCA a4 n=29</th>
<th>p.A53T SNCA a1 e4 n=6</th>
<th>GBA1 a4 n=49</th>
<th>GBA1 a1 e4 n=10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, % (n)</td>
<td>66(29)</td>
<td>33(2)</td>
<td>45(13)</td>
<td>50(3)</td>
<td>53(26)</td>
<td>60(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>66.3±1.31</td>
<td>64.6±3.28</td>
<td>50.8±2.12</td>
<td>57±3.34</td>
<td>59.7±1.81</td>
<td>56.6±2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>59.2±1.45</td>
<td>59.3±3.57</td>
<td>44.6±2.2</td>
<td>50±3.2</td>
<td>51.1±1.59</td>
<td>50±2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration</td>
<td>7.4±0.85</td>
<td>5.3±0.85</td>
<td>6.1±0.8</td>
<td>7±1.87</td>
<td>8.9±0.81</td>
<td>6.6±1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>12.8±0.6</td>
<td>12.6±2.19</td>
<td>13.9±0.78</td>
<td>8.6±1.15</td>
<td>10.8±0.71</td>
<td>13±1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA score</td>
<td>24.7±0.55</td>
<td>22.1±1.94</td>
<td>24.4±0.95</td>
<td>16.1±3</td>
<td>23.1±0.93</td>
<td>23.2±1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment test, SNCA: α-synuclein gene, GBA1: Glucocerebrosidase gene
Table 2: Demographic, clinical and CSF Biomarker in Tübingen PD_{GBA} (first visit with MoCA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GBA_{risk_no} ε4 n=133</th>
<th>GBA_{risk_at least one ε4} n=37</th>
<th>GBA_{mild_no} ε4 n=36</th>
<th>GBA_{mild_at least one ε4} n=16</th>
<th>GBA_{severe_no ε4} n=51</th>
<th>GBA_{severe_at least one ε4} n=19</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, % (n)</td>
<td>63(84)</td>
<td>57(21)</td>
<td>58(21)</td>
<td>69(11)</td>
<td>51(26)</td>
<td>47(9)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>65 ± 10</td>
<td>65 ± 9</td>
<td>65 ± 12</td>
<td>63 ± 11</td>
<td>59 ± 10 ***§§</td>
<td>59 ± 11 ***§§</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>59 ± 11</td>
<td>61 ± 9</td>
<td>59 ± 11</td>
<td>57 ± 13</td>
<td>53 ± 10 ***§§</td>
<td>54 ± 11§§</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration</td>
<td>6 ± 5§</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>6 ± 5</td>
<td>6 ± 5</td>
<td>6 ± 5§</td>
<td>5 ± 4</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>25 ± 5</td>
<td>24 ± 6</td>
<td>25 ± 5</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>0.965/0.585^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 27</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
<td>N = 7</td>
<td>N = 6</td>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>N = 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abeta_{1-42} [pg/ml]</td>
<td>706 ± 283</td>
<td>587 ± 150</td>
<td>706 ± 206</td>
<td>693 ± 266</td>
<td>704 ± 277</td>
<td>567 ± 282</td>
<td>0.814/0.505^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-Tau [pg/ml]</td>
<td>275 ± 204</td>
<td>221 ± 31</td>
<td>263 ± 129</td>
<td>201 ± 63</td>
<td>200 ± 92</td>
<td>174 ± 93</td>
<td>0.548/0.829^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p181-Tau [pg/ml]</td>
<td>39 ± 11§§</td>
<td>36 ± 6§§</td>
<td>52 ± 27</td>
<td>36 ± 8</td>
<td>38 ± 19§§</td>
<td>23 ± 19§§</td>
<td>0.110/0.161^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFL [pg/ml]</td>
<td>804 ± 422</td>
<td>806 ± 474</td>
<td>1106 ± 565</td>
<td>1388 ± 1352</td>
<td>909 ± 788</td>
<td>462 ± 187</td>
<td>0.347/0.291^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-synuclein [pg/ml]</td>
<td>604 ± 239</td>
<td>602 ± 185</td>
<td>668 ± 339</td>
<td>367 ± 116</td>
<td>537 ± 348</td>
<td>536 ± 168</td>
<td>0.422/0.546^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a ANCOVA with age and age at onset as covariates
*compared to GBA_{risk_no} ε4; #compared to GBA_{risk_at least one ε4}; §compared to GBA_{mild_no} ε4 with posthoc LSD test