

Supplemental figure 9: Forest plots for effects of SQ-LNS on severe stunting stratified by study-level effect modifiers

Contents

Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio	2
9A: Stratified by Geographic region	2
9B: Stratified by Stunting burden	3
9C: Stratified by Wasting burden	4
9D: Stratified by Malaria prevalence	5
9E: Stratified by Source water quality	6
9F: Stratified by Sanitation	7
9G: Stratified by Supplement duration	8
9H: Stratified by Frequency of contact	9
9I: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance	10

These figures are forest plots showing the study-level effect modification of intervention effects. Each figure shows the study-level estimates along with the corresponding pooled estimate grouped by study-level effect modifier category. Individual study estimates were generated from log-binomial regression; controlling for baseline measure when available and with clustered observations using robust standard errors for cluster-randomized trials. Pooled sub-group estimates were generated using inverse-variance weighting random effects. P-value for the difference was estimated using random effects meta-regression with the indicated effect modifier as the predictor of intervention effect size; stratified pooled estimates are presented for each strata.

The labels on the left y-axis correspond to trial level information. The values on the right indicate the study level effect estimate, confidence interval, and weighting for deriving the pooled estimates.

Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9A: Stratified by Geographic region

Geographic region

(p -diff = 0.970)

Geographic region – SEAR


Country	Trial	N	N	PR (95% CI)	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (42)	2838	1244	0.95 (0.78, 1.17)	0.37
Bangladesh	RDNS (43)	1663	815	0.89 (0.67, 1.20)	0.27
Bangladesh	WASH-B (44)	1158	3431	0.71 (0.58, 0.87)	0.37
		5659	5490	0.84 (0.70, 1.01)	

$I^2 = 0.52$, $Tau^2 = 0.01$

Geographic region – AFR

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (45)	1952	664	0.48 (0.38, 0.60)	0.13
Burkina Faso	PROMIS (46)	863	914	0.96 (0.63, 1.47)	0.06
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (46)	430	439	0.88 (0.42, 1.82)	0.03
Ghana	GHANA (47)	98	96	0.98 (0.06, 15.43)	0.00
Ghana	iLiNS-DYAD-G (48)	347	692	1.71 (0.58, 5.05)	0.01
Kenya	WASH-B (50)	1457	5137	0.82 (0.67, 1.01)	0.14
Madagascar	MAHAY (51)	1702	1682	1.01 (0.85, 1.21)	0.15
Malawi	iLiNS-DYAD-M (52)	220	444	0.90 (0.55, 1.47)	0.05
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (53)	696	241	0.97 (0.70, 1.35)	0.09
Mali	PROMIS (54)	506	506	0.97 (0.64, 1.47)	0.07
Mali	PROMIS CS (54)	952	969	0.73 (0.51, 1.06)	0.08
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (55)	1880	1794	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	0.13
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (56)	337	330	0.89 (0.60, 1.30)	0.07
		11440	13908	0.83 (0.73, 0.96)	

$I^2 = 0.61$, $Tau^2 = 0.03$




Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9B: Stratified by Stunting burden


Stunting burden

(p-diff = 0.309)

Stunting burden – Less than 35%



Stunting burden – More than 35%



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9C: Stratified by Wasting burden


Wasting burden

(p-diff = 0.123)

Wasting burden – Wasting < 10%



Wasting burden – Wasting >= 10%



Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9D: Stratified by Malaria prevalence

Malaria prevalence


(p-diff = 0.407)

Malaria prevalence – Less than 10%

Country	Trial	N	N	PR (95% CI)	W
Bangladesh	JiVitA-4 (42)	2838	1244	0.95 (0.78, 1.17)	0.15
Bangladesh	RDNS (43)	1663	815	0.89 (0.67, 1.20)	0.12
Bangladesh	WASH-B (44)	1158	3431	0.71 (0.58, 0.87)	0.16
Haiti	HAITI (49)	149	149	1.79 (0.73, 4.42)	0.02
Kenya	WASH-B (50)	1457	5137	0.82 (0.67, 1.01)	0.15
Madagascar	MAHAY (51)	1702	1682	1.01 (0.85, 1.21)	0.17
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV-) (55)	1880	1794	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	0.14
Zimbabwe	SHINE (HIV+) (56)	337	330	0.89 (0.60, 1.30)	0.09
I² = 0.33, Tau² = 0.01		11184	14582	0.88 (0.79, 0.98)	

Malaria prevalence – At least 10%

Burkina Faso	iLiNS-Zinc (45)	1952	664	0.48 (0.38, 0.60)	0.24
Burkina Faso	PROMIS (46)	863	914	0.96 (0.63, 1.47)	0.13
Burkina Faso	PROMIS CS (46)	430	439	0.88 (0.42, 1.82)	0.05
Ghana	GHANA (47)	98	96	0.98 (0.06, 15.43)	0.00
Ghana	iLiNS-DYAD-G (48)	347	692	1.71 (0.58, 5.05)	0.03
Malawi	iLiNS-DYAD-M (52)	220	444	0.90 (0.55, 1.47)	0.10
Malawi	iLiNS-DOSE (53)	696	241	0.97 (0.70, 1.35)	0.17
Mali	PROMIS (54)	506	506	0.97 (0.64, 1.47)	0.13
Mali	PROMIS CS (54)	952	969	0.73 (0.51, 1.06)	0.15
I² = 0.64, Tau² = 0.04		6064	4965	0.81 (0.65, 0.99)	




Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9E: Stratified by Source water quality


Source water quality

(p-diff = 0.369)

Source water quality – Improved



Source water quality – Unimproved




Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio


9F: Stratified by Sanitation

Sanitation
(p-diff = 0.533)

Sanitation – Improved



Sanitation – Unimproved



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9G: Stratified by Supplement duration


Supplement duration

(p-diff = 0.572)

Supplement duration – 12m or less



Supplement duration – > 12m




0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control

Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9H: Stratified by Frequency of contact

Frequency of contact
(p-diff = 0.220)

Frequency of contact – Monthly



Frequency of contact – Weekly



0.25 0.50 1.0 2.0 4.0
Ratio
Favors LNS Favors Control


Supplemental figure 9: Severe stunting prevalence ratio

9I: Stratified by Average SQ-LNS compliance

Average SQ-LNS compliance

(p-diff = 0.251)

Average SQ-LNS compliance – Low



Average SQ-LNS compliance – High

