Population knowledge, attitudes and practice towards Yellow Fever among nomadic populations: A cross-sectional study in Yellow Fever outbreak Communities in Ghana.

Abdul-Wahab Inusah<sup>1</sup>, Gbeti Collins<sup>2</sup>, Peter Dzomeku<sup>3</sup>, Michael Head<sup>4\*</sup>, Shamsu-Deen Ziblim<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Global and International Health, School of Public Health, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana

<sup>2</sup>Department of Behavioural Sciences, School of Public Health, University for

Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana

<sup>3</sup> Directorate of Academic Planning & Quality Assurance (DAPQA) University for

Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana

<sup>4</sup> Clinical Informatics Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

<sup>5</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Tamale Teaching Hospital, Ghana

\*Correspondence author – Michael Head, <u>m.head@soton.ac.uk</u>

Short title – Population attitudes towards yellow fever in rural Ghana

#### Abstract.

Despite the government and Global health initiatives toward yellow fever epidemic control in Ghana, the country continues to witness sporadic outbreaks of yellow fever mostly among the unvaccinated population and suspected migrates(nomadic) who enter the country through the porous borders. Little is known about nomadic knowledge, attitudes and practice regarding this communicable disease in Ghana.

We conducted a community-based cross-sectional survey in 22 yellow fever outbreak communities to assess nomadic household heads' knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding yellow fever after the November, 2021 outbreak. Our study results were analyzed using descriptive statistics, and univariate and multivariate logistics regression with dichotomous outcomes.

About 90% of the nomadic had poor knowledge of the signs and symptoms of yellow with only 16% knowing the vector that transmits yellow fever. The most common source of information on yellow fever was the health campaign.

Over 80% of household heads surveyed had positive attitudes regarding yellow fever with about 84% worried about the disease outbreak in their community. In a multivariate analysis, Age group, gender ideology, occupation, source of health information, duration of in the community and Nationality were associated with positive attitudes towards yellow fever. Close to 74% have a positive practice, with 97.3% adopting a strategy to control mosquitoes in their household. Nationality, duration of stay in the community, and age group were associated with Positive practices.

Our findings show that yellow fever epidemic control can be improved in hard-to-reach communities through locally-tailored education and health promotion campaigns to improve knowledge and preventive practices against this infectious disease.

# Key words: yellow fever, epidemic, nomadic, migrate, outbreak, Ghana

#### Introduction

Yellow fever is identified by the WHO as a Neglected Tropical Disease(1). It is a viral vector-borne disease that affects 47 countries in tropical zones, especially in South America, Central America and 34 sub-Sahara African countries (2,3). Global annual morbidity and mortality are estimated at 200,000 and 29,000 to 60,000 deaths respectively(4). The virus is transmitted by the bite of *Aedes* or *Haemagogus* mosquito species (5). The most common symptoms include fever, jaundice body pain, abdominal pain, vomiting, and gum haemorrhage. Where yellow fever is endemic, countries often require proof of vaccination upon arrival from incoming travellers (2,6).

In 2020 the yellow fever burden on the continent has risen with Ghana recording the highest incidence of 1267 cases per 100,000, with 10,350 confirmed cases(7). The case fatality rate in Ghana is estimated at 10%-17%.

To date, there is no known treatment for yellow fever; therefore, prevention through vaccination is noted to be essential in avoiding the risk of associated morbidity and mortality (6,8).

Cases of yellow fever in Ghana are mostly reported among nomadic populations migrating into the country. The Upper West and Savannah regions contain forest reserves often serving as tourist sites where nomadic migrants find work(8).

There will be significant under-reporting, yellow fever infections may not be detected due to inadequate surveillance and reporting for vaccination and other interventions especially among this population(9). Inadequate knowledge, negative attitudes and poor practices of the different populations on travel health and more specifically yellow fever contribute largely to the incidence of yellow fever (8,10).

In Iran, a study found African participants to have more knowledge of yellow fever compared to those from the East Mediterranean and other countries, with males and older age groups having more knowledge about yellow fever (11). More than three-fourths of travellers were unaware of yellow fever infection and vaccination in India, with a majority (77%) of travellers not being aware of the infection before being advised to get vaccinated. (12). Other studies highlighted how knowledge was found to be associated with the geographical location of birth and fields of academic study (13), although a community study conducted in Southern Ethiopia found low population knowledge on transmission modes, cause and preventive strategies.

There is very little known about nomadic knowledge, attitude and practices regarding yellow fever in West Africa. This study seeks to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practices among nomadic populations in the Savannah region of Ghana.

## Methods

#### Study Area, Design and Period

A community-based cross-sectional survey was conducted between February to March 2022 among nomadic households in the 22 yellow fever outbreak communities in the West Gonja Municipal of the Savanna Region of Ghana (figure 1). The Municipal is one of the seven districts of the Savanna region which also serves as the administrative capital of the region. It is close to the borders of the Ivory Coast and Burkina Faso. The Municipal has a landmass of 4715.9sqkm, part of which is occupied by a protected forest reserve known as the Mole National Park(14).

Figure 1. Map identifying the study location, West Gonja Municipal in Savanna Region of Ghana, West Africa

## Study Population, Participants, and inclusion Criteria.

The study population was all nomadic households in yellow fever affected communities at the time of the data collection. Household heads or spouses who were present in their households and consented were the study participants. The term 'nomadic' here refers to a group of people who wander around in search of pasture to feed their livestock, fertile land or both.

Using the yellow fever line list *(a table containing detailed information on each case of a disease outbreak)* obtained from the Municipal Assembly Health Directorate, all communities with confirmed yellow fever cases were purposively selected for the study.

In each community, a community health volunteer (CHV), who previously supported the most recent yellow vaccination campaign, was used as a focal person to purposively identify all nomadic households for the research officers. Using snowballing approaches, consented household heads/spouses were also asked to identify other nomadic households for the research officers to approach.

## Sample size, Sampling Technique

A total population of 403 nomadic households were required to achieve the objective of the study at a 95% confidence level. We assumed the prevalence of Positive Knowledge, Attitudes, and practices toward yellow fever to be 50%, margin of error of 5% and inflated the sample size by 5% for non-response and incomplete data entry.

#### **Data collection Tool, and Procedure**

A structured questionnaire was adapted from similar studies(12,15–17). The study tool had four (4) distinct sections; **Section (1)** obtained demographic information including included gender, age (years), marital status, religious affiliation, household size, nationality, and educational level. **Section (2)** examine respondents' Knowledge of yellow fever (YF) including symptoms and transmission modes. **Section (3)** collected data on respondents' attitudes towards yellow fever. **Section (4)** covers the various preventative practices adopted by the households and Sources of information regarding yellow fever.

The questionnaire was uploaded onto the Android smartphone App. (ODK) and pretested in the North Gonja District among similar study subjects. A face-to-face interview technique was used by trained research officers. This approach was adopted because it is thought that most of the study population is unable to read. Therefore, the questions were read out in local dialects, predominantly Hausa, Dagbani, Fulani and Gonja.

#### Data analysis

The data collected was exported into Microsoft Excel 2019 for cleaning. The data analysis was carried out using Stata version 15. Data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Key dependent variables were; knowledge, attitudes and practices towards yellow fever.

To assess the outcome variables, a score of one (1) was given a correct response, whilst zero (0) was assigned to the incorrect/ Don't know response. This was based on the WHO yellow fever protocol (18).

Knowledge - To summarize overall knowledge of yellow fever, 24 variables were used and all those whose total scores were above 13 out of 24 were considered as having "Positive knowledge", whilst those with total scores less than 13 were considered "Poor Knowledge". This scoring system is adapted from previous similar studies. (13)

Attitudes - To assess attitudes towards yellow fever, 12 variables were used. To assess the overall attitudes, a 60% cut-off point was used. All those scores above the 60% were considered as having "Positive Attitudes" and all those with total scores less than 60% were considered "Negative Attitudes"(15)

Practice - Various practices adopted by households toward yellow fever were assessed using 9 variables. As previously studied (17), 55% was used as the cut-off point(5 out-off 9). Again, all those scoring 5 and above, were considered as having "Good Practice", and those with a total score less than 5 were considered "Poor Practice"

## Key independent variables.

The independent variables were all categorical, these included, the age group, gender, marital status, religion, family size, Nationality, Occupation, and duration of stay in current community.

Univariate and multivariate logistics regression was conducted to assess the impact of key independent variables on the dependent variables. The strength of association between the predicting and outcomes variables was determined using the adjusted odds ratio. To establish statistical evidence of the relationship, p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The model fitness was checked using Hosmer-Lemeshow Positiveness-of-fit test (p-value> 0.05 considered no evidence of poor fitness).

## **Ethical consideration**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University for Development Studies (UDS) Research and Ethics Review Board. Also, permission was sought from the Savanna Regional Health Directorate through an introductory letter. The purpose of the study was explained to the study subjects and participants gave their informed written consent. At the end of each interview, research officers spent further time educating the household on the signs and symptoms of yellow fever and various prevention strategies.

# Results

A total of 414 households participated in the survey. Among the study participants, 57.7% were males, with a mean age of  $38.5 \pm 13.1$ , and a full age range of 18 to 84 years. By relationship status, 91% of the participants were married, and the main occupation among participants was Herdsman (67.4%). From the results, 56% of the participants were foreign nomadic, and the majority migrated from the Benin republic. Table 1 describes the Socio-demographics of the participants.

**Table 1:**Sociodemographic characteristics of Nomadic in the West Gonja MunicipalGhana, 2022

Variable	Frequency N=414	Percentage%
Age group	Mean 38.5 ± 13.1	
18-34	187	45.2
35-51	152	36.7
52-68	64	15.5
69-85	11	2.7
HH Size	Mean 7.04 ± 3.7	
1/5	164	39.6
6/10	189	45.7
11+	61	14.7
Gender		
Female	175	42.3
Male	239	57.7
Marital status		
Never married	21	5.1

Married	375	90.6
Widowed	18	4.4
Main occupation		
Agro-pastoralist	114	27.5
Herdsman	279	67.4
Trader/Vendor	21	5.1
Religious affiliation		
Islam	414	100.00
Nationality		
Ghanaian	184	44.4
Foreigner	230	55.6
Foreign country		
Benin	119	51.7
Burkina Faso	36	15.7
Nigeria	55	23.9
Togo	20	8.7
Relocated in last one year		
No	368	88.9
Yes	46	11.1
Plan to relocate within six months		
No	365	88.2
Undecided	36	8.7
Yes	13	3.1

Knowledge of the signs and symptoms, mode of transmission and sources of information on yellow fever

In this study, only those who have heard of yellow fever were included the study. Out of the 414 participants, 73.7% received information on yellow fever through health campaigns, with only 0.7% receiving information on yellow fever information through the Media (figure 2). A majority (92.8%) of the participants do not know what causes yellow fever, with only 4.6% who rightly said yellow fever is caused by a virus. When participants were asked what transmits yellow fever, only 16.2% rightly said a bite of an infected mosquito. About 47% believed yellow can be transmitted from person to person. Also, only 38.4% believed infected monkeys can transmit yellow fever to a person. A majority of the participants (56.3%) don't know the highest-risk time the Aedes mosquitoes are likely to bite. When participants were asked about signs and symptoms, 27.5% mentioned fever, 15.7% said vomiting of blood, and 7.7% mentioned headache. However, 55% did not know the main sign and symptoms of yellow fever. Stagnant waters (27.5%), water containers (8.5%) and septic tanks (7.7%) respectively were cited as the main breeding sites for the yellow fever vector. The maximum knowledge score was 11 out of 24 questions, mean score of  $4.2 \pm 2.7$ , thus indicating participants have poor knowledge of yellow fever. Table 2 presents data on participants' knowledge of yellow fever.

Figure 2: Main Source of YF information

**Table 2:**Knowledge of Yellow Fever, among Nomadic in the West Gonja MunicipalGhana, 2022

N=414	Variable	Frequency	Percentage%
		N=414	

Cause of Yellow fever		
Don't know	384	92.8
Virus	19	4.6
Bacteria	8	1.9
Germs	3	0.7
YF transmission vector		
Don't know	318	76.8
Bite of an infected mosquito	67	16.2
Bite of an infested tsetse fly	18	4.4
Bite of an infested housefly	11	2.7
The same mosquito transmits malaria		
Don't know	16	23.9
Yes	48	71.6
No	3	4.5
Patient to person transmit YF		
Don't know	194	46.9
Yes	194	46.9
No	26	6.3
Monkey transmit YF to person		
Don't know	218	52.7
Yes	159	38.4
No	37	8.9
YF transmitted from food and water		
Don't know	219	52.9
Yes	149	36.0
No	16	11.1

Don't know	233	56.3
Day	34	8.2
Night	34	8.2
Both	113	27.3
YF can breed inside homes		
Don't know	191	46.1
Yes	192	46.4
No	31	7.5
Covering/removal of stagnant water		
prevents the breeding of YF vector		
Don't know	170	41.1
Yes	226	54.6
No	18	4.4
The pouring of chemicals into stagnant		
water kills the YF larvae		
Don't know	180	43.5
Yes	213	51.5
No	21	5.1
Signs and Symptoms of YF include*		
Don't know	225	54.4
Fever	114	27.5
Headache	32	7.7
Jaundice	33	8.5
Muscle/body pains	13	3.1
Skin rashes	1	0.2
Bleeding	1	0.2
Abdominal pain	5	1.2

Vomiting blood	65	15.7
Breeding Site for yellow fever vector		
includes*		
Don't know	312	54.4
Stagnant water	83	27.5
Septic tanks	2	7.7
Water containers	9	8.5
False bananas	19	3.1
Drains and garbage	32	0.2
Overall knowledge of YF	Mean 4.2 ± 2.7	

### Nomadic Attitudes towards Yellow Fever

Table 3 shows participants' attitudes towards yellow fever. From the study, a majority (65.5%) of the participants have heard of the yellow fever outbreak in their district. Closed to 77.2% of participants believed yellow fever was a serious illness, with 83.6% expressing worry about the yellow fever outbreak. A high proportion (71.5%) of the participants believed that the disease affects all age groups, and 87.2% believed that their families were at risk of yellow fever infection. More than 86% of the participants believed that unvaccinated people were at higher risk of yellow fever. About 91% believed the yellow fever vaccine is safe for their families, whilst 91.6% trusted the government's ability to respond to the yellow fever outbreak.

Variable	Frequency N=414	Percentage%
Heard of the YF outbreak in this district		
Yes	271	65.5
No	121	29.2
I am not sure	22	5.3
Yellow fever is a serious illness		
Yes	319	77.1
No	3	0.7
I am not sure	92	22.2
I am worried about the YF outbreak in and around		
your Municipal		
Yes	346	83.6
No	10	2.4
I am not sure	58	14.0
YF affects all age groups		
Yes	296	71.5
No	7	1.7
I am not sure	111	26.8
YF is a fatal/killer disease		
Yes	306	73.9
No	2	0.5
I am not sure	106	25.6
Fear that you /your family are at risk for YF		
Yes	361	87.2

**Table 3:** Attitudes of Nomadic in Yellow fever outbreak communities in the West GonjaMunicipal, Ghana, 2022

No	4	1.0
I am not sure	49	11.8
Unvaccinated persons are at risk of YF		
Yes	359	86.7
No	2	0.5
I am not sure	53	12.8
YF is an easily treatable disease		
Yes	129	31.2
No	130	31.4
I am not sure	155	37.4
A person who is working/living in a forest area is at		
high risk of getting YF		
Yes	284	68.6
No	4	1.0
I am not sure	126	30.4
Are you scared of being infected with YF?		
Yes	370	89.37
No	8	1.93
I am not sure	36	8.7
Do you think the YF vaccine is safe for you/family?		
Yes	374	90.6
No	2	0.5
I am not sure	37	9.0
Do you trust the Ghanaian government's response to		
the YF outbreak?		
Yes	379	91.6
No	4	1.0

I am not sure	31	7.5
<b>Overall Attitudes towards YF</b>		
Positive attitudes	82	19.8
Negative attitudes	332	80.2

#### **Overall Attitudes toward yellow fever**

Table 4. Shows the overall practices towards yellow fever. From the results, a majority (80.2%) of the participants had positive attitudes towards yellow fever. Participants aged 35-51 were 2.8 times more likely to have positive attitudes toward yellow fever compared to 18-34 years. (AOR=2.79; 95% CI: 1.31, 5.98, p=0.008). Being male participants was significantly associated with having positive attitudes towards yellow fever; the odds of having positive attitudes toward yellow fever were 2.27 higher in men compared to females. (AOR=2.27; 95% CI: 1.14 - 4.51, p=0.019). Similarly, being a herdsman, and trader/vendor was significantly associated with Positive attitudes towards fever compared to being Agro-pastoralist (AOR=15.65; 95% CI: 7.02, 34.87, p<0.001), (AOR=6.21; 95% CI: 1.54, 25.08, p=0.010) respectively. Foreign nomadic were 0.22 times less likely to have Positive attitudes toward yellow fever compared to native nomadic. (AOR=0.22; 95% CI:0.47, 0.47, p<0.001). Those who have relocated within the last year were 10.55 times more likely to have Positive attitudes than those who have not (AOR=10.55; 95% CI: 2.54, 43.89, p=0.001). Duration(months) of stay was significantly associated with having Positive attitudes toward yellow fever (AOR=1.11; 95% CI: 1.31, 5.98, p=0.008). Also, receiving of information on yellow fever from health campaigns and media were significantly associated with Positive attitudes (AOR=0.27; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.96, p=0.043), (AOR=0.01; 95% CI: 0.00, 0.003, p<0.001) respectively.

Independent Variable	OR	(95%Cl)	P-value	aOR	95%Cl	P-value
Age group						
18-34	1			1		
35-51	1.64	0.95 - 2.85	0.078	2.79	1.31- 5.98	0.008**
52-68	1.21	0.60 - 2.42	0.596	1.71	0.61 - 4.80	0.307
69-85	3.08	0.38 - 24.71	0.290	3.79	0.36 - 40.28	0.270
Gender						
Female	1			1		
Male	2.14	1.31 - 3.49	0.002	2.27	1.14 - 4.51	0.019**
Marital status						
Never married	1			1		
Married	0.42	0.11 - 1.85	0.252	0.47	0.09 - 2.36	0.359
Widowed	0.27	0.05 - 1.63	0.115	0.35	0.04 - 3.03	0.336
Main occupation						
Agro-pastoralist	1			1		
Herdsman	6.52	3.80 - 11.18	< 0.001	15.65	7.02 - 34.87	<0.001***
Trader/Vendor	1.82	0.66 - 5.03	0.249	6.21	1.54 - 25.08	0.010**
Nationality						
Ghanaian	1.00			1		
Foreigner	0.41	0.24 - 0.70	< 0.001	0.22	0.10 - 0 .47	<0.001***
Duration of stay	1			1		
	1.09	1.05 - 1.14	< 0.001	1.11	1.04 - 1.18	0.001**
Relocated in last one						

**Table 4:** Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses showing predictors ofattitudes towards yellow fever in the West Gonja Municipal, Ghana, 2022

year

No	1			1		
Yes	3.92	1.18 - 12.96	0.025	10.55	2.54 - 43.89	0.001**
Source of						
information						
From friends	1			1		
From health	0.16	0.05 - 0.52	0.002	0.27	0.07 - 0.96	0.043*
campaigns	0.12	0.01 -1.54	0.101	0.01	0.00 - 0 .03	<0.001***
From Media(Radio	2.20	0.22 21.89	0.502	3.91	0.30 - 51.51	0.299
T)						
From Religious						
Leader						
I saw people suffer						
from it						

Note:OR, odds ratio. aOR, adjusted odds ratio. CI, confidence intervals. P-value<0.05, Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(8) = 8.88. Prob > chi2 = 0.3524.

#### Nomadic practices toward yellow fever

Table 5 shows various practices adopted by the study participants. Almost all participants (97.3%) had some strategies used to reduce mosquitoes in their households. More than 81% of the participants prevent standing water around their homes to reduce the breeding of mosquitoes, 62% used insecticide-treated net, 44% uses smoke to drive away mosquitoes, whilst 85% cover their bodies properly with clothes to avoid mosquito bites. Again, 92% of the participant properly cover water-holding containers, and 66% turned empty containers upside down to avoid the breeding of mosquitoes.

Variable	Frequency N=414	Percentage%
Do you do anything to reduce mosquitoes?		
Yes	403	97.3
No	11	2.7
Do you prevent standing water around the house to		
reduce mosquitoes?		
Yes	328	81.4
No	75	18.6
Do you use insecticide-treated nets to protect against		
mosquitoes in the home?		
Yes	249	61.7
No	154	38.2
Do you use smoke to drive mosquitoes away?		
Yes	177	43.9
No	226	56.1
Do you cover your body with clothes to protect		
against mosquitoes?		
Yes	342	84.9
No	61	15.1
Has the government come to spray insecticide to		
reduce mosquitoes?		
Yes	13	3.1
No	401	96.9
Do you cover water containers in the home?		

**Table 5:** Nomadic Practices toward yellow fever in yellow fever outbreak communities inthe West Gonja Municipal, Ghana, 2022

Yes	380	91.8						
No	34	8.21						
Do you clean water filed containers and ditches								
around the house?								
Yes	357	86.2						
No	57	13.8						
Do you turn containers upside down to avoid water								
collection?								
Yes	273	65.9						
No	141	34.1						
Overall practice towards YF								
Poor Practice	113	27.3						
Positive Practice	301	72.7						

# **Overall Practices towards Yellow fever**

From the analysis, 72.7% of the participants had a Positive practice with yellow fever. Compared with younger ages, being within the age group of 35 to 51 and 52 to 68 were significantly associated with having poor practices on yellow fever (AOR=040; 95% CI: 0.22, 0.73, p=0.003) and (AOR=0.34; 95% CI: 0.15, 0.77, p=0.010) respectively. Foreign nomadic were significantly associated with having Positive practices on yellow fever (AOR=3.85; 95% CI: 2.26, 6.56, p<0.001). Also, the longer you stay in your current location, the more knowledgeable you were; an additional a month's stay was significantly associated with Positive practices on yellow fever. (AOR=1.06; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.10, p=0.001) as shown in the table

Independent Variable	OR	(95%Cl)	P-value	aOR	95%Cl	P-value
Age group						
18-34	1			1		
35-51	0.38	0.22 - 0 .62	< 0.001	0.40	0.22 - 0.73	0.003**
52-68	0.38	0.20 - 0.72	0.003	0.34	0.15 - 0.77	0.010*
69-85	0.96	0.21 - 4.67	0.964	0.71	0.12 - 3.99	0.683
Gender						
Female	1			1		
Mal	0.54	0.34 - 0.86	0.009	0.63	0.36 - 1.07	0.091
Marital status						
Never married	1			1		
Married	0.43	0.12 - 1.48	0.18	0.58	0.14 - 2.47	0.465
Widowed	0.43	0.09 - 2.12	0.31	0.49	0.07 - 3.35	0.467
Main occupation						
Agro-pastoralist	1			1		
Herdsman	2.15	1.34 - 3.43	0.001	1.34	0.75 - 2.40	0.319
Trader/Vendor	3.91	1.09 -14.06	0.037	1.49	0.35 6.25	0.587
Nationality						
Ghanaian	1				1	
Foreigner	2.81	1.79 - 4.40	< 0.001	3.85	2.26 - 6.56	<0.001***
Duration of stay	1.02	0.99 - 1.05	0.121	1.06	1.03 - 1.10	0.001**
Relocated in last one						
year						

**Table 6:** Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses showing predictors ofpractices towards yellow fever in the West Gonja Municipal, Ghana, 2022

No	1					
Yes	0.60	0.32 - 1.14	0.122	0.62	0.29 - 1.34	0.225
Source of information						
From friends	1					
From health campaigns	0.96	0.51 - 1.80	0.906	1.37	0.67 - 2.81	0.383
From Media(Radio T)	`1			1		
From Religious Leader	1.36	0.54 - 3.47	0.515	1.33	0.48 - 3.67	0.582
I saw people suffer from it	0.25	0.04 - 1.62	0.146	0.39	0.05 - 3.21	0.379

Note:OR, odds ratio. aOR, adjusted odds ratio. CI, confidence intervals. P-value<0.05, Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(8) = 7.47. Prob > chi2 = 0.4866.

## Discussion

The study investigated nomadic knowledge, attitude and practices regarding yellow fever in Ghana. The study involved only nomadic who were aware of yellow fever. We found overall knowledge to be low or poor. Our findings are similar to previous research, (13) in which only 9.6% of the participants were found to have adequate overall knowledge of yellow fever. These results give cause for concern, as the nomadic population are most likely not to have put in place adequate preventive measures. Findings here demonstrated low knowledge on the cause of yellow fever, main transmission vector, breeding point for the transmission vector, and hygienic management of breeding sites. The very low knowledge of these indicators in our study is similar to previous research (13,15). Other studies (15),(19) found very few participants knew that the mosquito is the transmission vector for yellow fever. When considering other areas of parasitology, previous research described how 41.5% did not know that the mosquito was the vector for malaria(20). There appear to be clear knowledge gaps around modes of transmission of vector-borne disease within the highest-risk populations. Locally-tailored health promotion campaigns may be useful in educating populations.

However, our study did reveal areas of widespread knowledge about yellow fever. Responses gathered in our study revealed that health campaigns were the major source of information on yellow fever. This was not surprising considering the high vaccination coverage Ghana has achieved which is usually accompanied by education and sensitization by health workers in these areas. Legesse et al., (2018) in a similar study also reported health workers as the main sources of information on yellow fever. Conversely, (16) and (21) reported the electronic media (TV) as the main source of information on dengue fever. To adequately combat yellow fever, everybody especially health workers, and print and electronic media must collaborate to give intensive education and sensitize the nomadic population on yellow fever and related arboviral diseases.

When considering attitudes, we found high (65.5%) awareness of the recent yellow fever outbreak among the nomadic population. This affirms the fact that yellow fever is endemic in Ghana (3). Our finding was somewhat similar to Legesse et al., (2018) and Endale et al., (2020) in Ethiopia who reported 83.0% and 86.0% awareness respectively. Contrary to our finding which was recounted by Tiwari & Ahlawat, (2017) in India where more than three-fourths of participants were unaware of yellow fever. The difference here could be attributed to the fact that Ghana is more endemic to yellow fever than India. It will therefore be good for health stakeholders to take advantage of the high awareness among the nomadic population to scale up interventions to help eradicate or reduce the incidence of YF.

Results from our study also show that the majority of participants mentioned yellow fever as a serious illness tending to affect anyone in any age bracket and that they were worried about the consequences of the outbreak in and around their municipality (the West Gonja). The majority of our participants agreed that those who are not vaccinated against the diseases were at higher risk of being infected. Yellow fever vaccination campaigns are typically well-received in Ghana, with high overall uptake, and reported 99% vaccine uptake in the Savannah region in a 2020 campaign(22). The majority of participants in this study believed the yellow fever vaccine was safe for them and their families, and equally trusted the government's response to the outbreak, especially in those forest areas.

The fear of being at risk for yellow fever and someone working or living in the forest zones being at higher risk was again mentioned by a majority of the participants. Our findings in these regards were in line with a similar study result reported by (13) in Jinka, Ethiopia and (15) among the general population in South Omo Zone. These positive attitudes of the nomadic population towards yellow fever put them in a position to most likely adopt preventive measures therefore they must be assisted by establishing a system of healthy practices to avoid and curb the spread of the disease sustainably.

The current study results also show that most of the participants (68.8%) did not perceive or were not sure yellow fever was an easily treatable disease, adding to their fears of being infected by the disease. In tandem with the current study's results is that of (15) who reported a majority of participants indicated that yellow fever was not easily treatable and therefore feared they could easily be infected. As noted in this study's background, there is no known cure or treatment for yellow fever (1), except for the management of its signs and symptoms, making vaccination the surest way to avoid being infected. It was therefore not surprising that a significant proportion of our sampled respondents affirmed vaccines as a safe means of protection against the disease. Trust for the government's responses to the outbreak only affirms the position of the state in achieving 100% coverage of vaccination, education and sensitization on the disease. It is probably on this backbone that Ghana as one of the most endemic countries for yellow fever is equally leading vaccination coverage and herd immunity in Africa(23).

The overall attitude of the nomadic population as established by this current study was (80.3%). Our finding nevertheless disagrees with Endale et al., (2020) who found overall attitude (51.2%) among Jinka University students. We found foreign nomadic to be less likely to have Positive attitudes toward yellow fever compared to native nomadic. This is probably because native nomads are more stable and easily adjust to a positive attitude as compared to the foreign nomadic, who are usually highly mobile making attitudinal adjustment very difficult.

Our study found the overall practices of study participants to be very good. This result shows that the nomadic population was adopting preventive practices aimed at curbing the vector's breeding and spread of yellow fever. Our study found a majority of the participants mentioned that they kept measures to prevent the breeding of the yellow fever vector (mosquitoes). This includes the use of insecticide-treated nets, cleaning/draining stagnant water, and covering or turning upside down containers that can breed the vector. Some components of Itrat et al., (2008) study results in Karachi on preventive practices of dengue fever were in agreement with the current research findings except for the use of insecticide-treated nets which recorded a very low usage while mosquito sprays and coils were the most preferred(21). However, mosquito nets, sprays or coils are well known effective preventive methods for the yellow fever vector

Several studies have reported these methods to be the most effective means of prevention of yellow fever and related arboviral diseases (16,24). Water stagnation preventive measures to avoid breeding sites were also popular techniques respondents mentioned they adopted. This corroborates Itrat et al., (2008) findings in Karachi and (25) study in Thailand in which dengue vectors and associated hemorrhagic fever cases reduced significantly in areas where clean-up campaigns were organized before and during rainy seasons (25).

The use of smoke to drive away mosquitoes appeared not to be a popular choice for most of our study participants. The inconveniences associated with smoking during breathing could account for this result in our study. Again, we found government intervention in terms of mass insecticide spraying to be rare as indicated by the majority of the population we studied. In an era of the outbreak, it will be critical on the part of the government to for ones embark on mass fumigation of severely endemic zones to complement the routine efforts of the local populations. The absence of this implies that the nomadic population must bear all responsibility for preventing breeding and bites of the vector. This situation may impede the eradication goal desired nationally by Ghana and international targets set by the WHO.

Our findings found that foreign nomadic were about four times more likely to have Positive practices on yellow fever. With this, they are likely to put in place measures to prevent themselves from being infected by the disease or any other arboviral disease to remain healthy while visiting or working in the forest areas especially.

## Limitation of the study

Some of the limitations of this study include that this was a cross-sectional study design. Whilst the findings are statistically significant, this does not equate to clinical significance and thus limits our inference around causal association. The study was only conducted in yellow fever outbreak communities in the West Gonja Municipal, and may not be generalizable to other nomadic communities, to the wider Ghana population, or to other populations outside of Ghana. Local context may differ elsewhere.

## Conclusion

There are mixed findings around knowledge, attitude and practice towards yellow fever within nomadic populations in the Savannah region of Ghana. It is important to ensure that there is good population knowledge, attitude and practice, along with the identification of unvaccinated populations and immunization campaigns, to support national and international progress towards elimination. Ghanaian approaches towards yellow fever control can be improved, including locally-tailored education and health promotion campaigns to improve awareness. Other endemic areas should review their local population knowledge and identify areas of knowledge strength and evidence gaps.

# **Supporting Information**

S1 File. Raw Dataset. (YF\_dataset\_stata)

S2 file. Study Questionnaire (DOCX)

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# **Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** AWI

Data curation: AWI, MH.

Formal analysis: AWI, GC, SDZ,MH.

Investigation: AWI.

Methodology: AWI, GC, SDZ, PJK.

# **Project administration:** GC, PJK

Supervision: GC, PJK

Writing – original draft: AWI

Writing – review and editing: AWI, GC, MH, SDZ,

## References

- WHO. Ending NTDs: together towards 2030 [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Mar 17]. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/control-of-neglected-tropicaldiseases/ending-ntds-together-towards-2030
- 2. WHO. World Health Organization Yellow Fever Fact Sheet. WHO website. 2019.
- Nwaiwu AU, Musekiwa A, Tamuzi JL, Sambala EZ, Nyasulu PS. The incidence and mortality of yellow fever in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1):1–19.
- Näslund J, Ahlm C, Islam K, Evander M, Bucht G, Lwande OW. Emerging Mosquito-Borne Viruses Linked to Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus: Global Status and Preventive Strategies. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2021;21(10):731–46.
- CDC. Center for Disease Control and Prevention Yellow Fever. CDC website.
  2019.
- Ángel M, Valdés S. Yellow fever: it worth a review in the current epidemiological context. MediSur. 2017 Mar;15(1):63–70.
- Nwaiwu AU, Musekiwa A, Tamuzi JL, Sambala EZ, Nyasulu PS. The incidence and mortality of yellow fever in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1):1–11.
- Marlow MA, Pambasange MAC de F, Francisco C, Receado ODCB, Soares MJ,
  Silva S, et al. Notes from the Field: Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding
  Yellow Fever Vaccination Among Men During an Outbreak Luanda, Angola,

2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017 Feb;66(4):117.

- 9. WHO. Yellow Fever West and Central Africa. World Health Organization. 2021.
- 10. WHO. World Health Organization Yellow Fever Ghana. WHO website. 2021.
- Muhammad FM, Basseri H, Majdzadeh R, Shahandeh K, Foroushani AR. Knowledge attitude and practice (KAP) study of yellow fever among international students of tehran university of medical sciences, Iran. Iran J Public Health. 2019;48(7):1384–6.
- Tiwari P, Ahlawat R, Gupta G. Knowledge and Attitude of Travellers Regarding Yellow Fever Vaccination. Indian J Pharm Pract. 2017;10(2):129–32.
- Endale A, Medhin G, Hilo AA, Abegaz WE, Legesse M. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of jinka university students about yellow fever, ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2020;13:1225–36.
- Assembly WGM. profile of West Gonja Municipal Assembly [Internet]. 2021.
  Available from: https://mofep.gov.gh/sites/default/files/compositebudget/2021/SR/West-Gonja.pdf
- Legesse M, Endale A, Erku W, Tilahun G, Medhin G. Community knowledge, attitudes and practices on Yellow fever in South Omo area, Southern Ethiopia. 2018;1–13.
- Jeelani S, Sabesan S, Subramanian S. Community knowledge, awareness and preventive practices regarding dengue fever in Puducherry South India. Public Health [Internet]. 2015;129(6):790–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.02.026

- Shabani SS, Ezekiel MJ, Mohamed M, Moshiro CS. Knowledge, attitudes and practices on Rift Valley fever among agro pastoral communities in Kongwa and Kilombero districts, Tanzania. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15(1):1–9.
- WHO. Yellow fever [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Mar 17]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/yellow-fever
- Tiwari P, Ahlawat R. Knowledge and Attitude of Travellers Regarding Yellow Fever Vaccination. 2017;(August).
- Mathania MM, Kimera SI, Silayo RS. Knowledge and awareness of malaria and mosquito biting behaviour in selected sites within Morogoro and Dodoma regions Tanzania. Malar J. 2016;15(1):1–9.
- Itrat A, Khan A, Javaid S, Kamal M, Khan H, Javed S, et al. Knowledge , Awareness and Practices Regarding Dengue Fever among the Adult Population of Dengue Hit Cosmopolitan. 2008;3(7):1–6.
- 22. Kasolo F. WCO GHANA Annual Report, 2020. 2020;1-66.
- 23. WHO. Global yellow fever update, 2020–Mise à jour sur la fièvre jaune dans le monde, 2020. Wkly Epidemiol Rec [Internet]. 2021;96(33):377–92. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/344323/WER9633-377-392-eng-fre.pdf?sequence=1
- Fradin MS, Day JF. Comparative Efficacy of Insect Repellents against Mosquito Bites. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(1):13–8.
- Van Benthem BHB, Khantikul N, Panart K, Kessels PJ, Somboon P, Oskam L.
  Knowledge and use of prevention measures related to dengue in northern Thailand.

Trop Med Int Heal. 2002;7(11):993–1000.



# Figure 1

