Epidemiological Interactions of Influenza and SARS-CoV-2 within a University Population During Omicron B.1.1.529 Outbreak

Interactions of Influenza and SARS-CoV-2 within a University Population

Rachel Christine Malampy, MS¹, r.malampy@northeastern.edu, 617-645-1066, Alternate Author

Troy James Ganz, MS¹

Gabriel M DeOliveira, BS1

Catherine T Le, BS1

Kurvin M Li, MS1

Dr. Jared Auclair, PhD¹, j.auclair@northeastern.edu, 781-238-8402, Corresponding Author

Keywords: Influenza, SARS-CoV-2, Diagnostic, Clinical,

¹Northeastern University, Life Sciences Testing Center

Epidemiological Interactions of Influenza and SARS-CoV-2 within a University Population During Omicron B.1.1.529 Outbreak

<u>Abstract</u>

The COVID-19 Pandemic has prompted innovation and research to further understand not only SARS-CoV-2, but other respiratory viruses as well. Since the start of the pandemic there has been a lack in influenza collection and surveillance. In October 2021 the Life Sciences Testing Center at Northeastern University implemented the TaqPathTM COVID-19, Flu A, Flu B combo kit to test for multiple respiratory diseases among the University's population. During this time the SARS- CoV-2 variant of concern, Omicron B.1.1.529, became the dominant strain in the greater Boston area. During this time an inverse correlation in the detection of positive SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza A was observed. More data is needed to determine if this observed inverse correlation on positivity rate is linked to public health measures or biological mechanism within the immune system.

Introduction

Infectious disease epidemiology has recently suffered operational burdens as the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has inexorably diverted resources from routine monitoring [1]. Continuous surveillance of influenza virus has been vital for vaccine production. As enveloped RNA viruses that target, and transmit via, the respiratory system, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) and influenza infection present in similar symptoms of fever, headache, pharyngitis and tracheobronchitis. They distinguish themselves on a mechanistic basis as SARS-CoV-2 interacts with angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on epithelial and endothelial tissue for cell entry, while influenza associates with sialic acid groups on surface glycoproteins of epithelial cells [2].

<u>Results</u>

From November 1st, 2021, to February 28th, 2022, 3065 individuals were tested, with the first influenza A detected on November 4, 2021, reaching an average weekly prevalence rate of 13.62%, 95% CI [10.88,16.35] (Fig. 1A). A sharp decrease of Influenza A cases at a rate of 12.5% was observed

between December 27, 2021, and January 7, 2022, while SARS-CoV-2 cases increased at a rate of 3.75% during the same period (Fig. 1B-C). With news of the rapidly spreading Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant, samples reactive for SARS-CoV-2 were crossed referenced on the TaqPathTM COVID-19 Combo Kit, whose RT-qPCR targets permit proxy identification via S-gene target failure (SGTF) [3]. Retrospective analysis indicated a demonstrable suppression of influenza cases with the surge in Omicron B.1.1.529 (Fig. 1D).

Discussion

Our observation supports possible SARS-CoV-2 dominance via unique host interactions as well as the potential occurrence of priming the immune system to limit co-infection [4]. Symptomatic testing demand was higher during the first year of the pandemic with weekly averages of 38.5, 95% CI [35.8, 41.2], daily orders, yet the weekly average SARS-CoV-2 positive cases of 1.6, 95%CI [1.4, 1.7] may further indicate the activity of another pathogen during that time. To date, we have reported a single co-infection of COVID-19 (Ct:19.8) with Flu B (Ct:38.9) and admit a scarcity in the literature regarding observations of viral interactions in human populations.

Selective pressures derived from competitive relationships may impact virulence or seasonality and, during phases of impeded surveillance, other factors such as zoonotic transmission and Long-COVID may warrant consideration [5,6]. The inverse relationship SARS-CoV-2 and influenza demonstrated in this analysis advocates for health care providers to employ more complete respiratory panels for suspected viral infections to aid in monitoring of strain dominance and co-infection.

Materials and Methods

Our lab, the Life Sciences Testing Center (Burlington, MA), has performed SARS-CoV-2 molecular diagnostics for the Northeastern University population since August 2020 using the TaqPath[™] COVID-19 Combo kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CAT#: A47814). As previously reported, collection of anterior nare swabs was conducted at facilities designated for either symptomatic or non-symptomatic individuals [3]. Individuals were directed to submit a sample at the symptomatic site based on whether they were experiencing COVID-19-related symptoms or were close contacts of a COVID-19 case. On October 25th,

2021, we implemented the TaqPath[™] COVID-19, FluA, FluB Combo Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#: A49868), a multiplex RT-qPCR assay, to monitor COVID-19, Influenza A, and Influenza B among the symptomatic cohort. Individuals who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 were required not to test for 90 days post infection, which prevented data collection bias.

References

- Chotpitayasunondh, T., Fischer, T. K., Heraud, J., et al. Influenza and COVID-19: What does co-existence mean?. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses, (2021). 15(3), 407–412. https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12824
- Flerlage T, Boyd DF, Meliopoulos V, Thomas PG, Schultz-Cherry S. Influenza virus and SARS-CoV-2: pathogenesis and host responses in the respiratory tract. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021 Jul;19(7):425-441. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00542-7.
- Ganz TJ, Donner R, Hines KM, et al. Two-Stage Hierarchical Group Testing Strategy to Increase SARS-CoV-2 Testing Capacity at an Institution of Higher Education: A Retrospective Analysis. J Mol Diagn. 2021 Dec;23(12):1691-1698. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2021.09.001.
- Fage C, Hénaut M, Carbonneau J, Piret J, Boivin G. Influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 Virus but Not Respiratory Syncytial Virus Interferes with SARS-CoV-2 Replication during Sequential Infections in Human Nasal Epithelial Cells. Viruses. 2022; 14(2):395. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14020395E
- Holmes EC. COVID-19-lessons for zoonotic disease. Science. 2022 Mar 11;375(6585):1114-1115. doi: 10.1126/science.abn2222
- O'Donnell JS and Chappell KJ (2021) Chronic SARS-CoV-2, a Cause of Post-acute COVID-19 Sequelae (Long-COVID)? Front. Microbiol. 12:724654. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.7




Figure 1: A) Seven-day averages for RT-qPCR testing collected from symptomatic individuals; tests collected, grey; reactive for SARS-CoV-2, blue; reactive for Flu A, red. B) Positivity rate for symptomatic cohort from November 1st, 2021, to February 28th, 2022; SARS-CoV-2, blue; Flu A, red. C) Cumulative growth rates for seven-day averages of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza incidence in symptomatic cohort from November 1st, 2021, to February 28th, 2022; SARS-CoV-2, blue; Flu A, red. D) Totals of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant determination via S-gene target failure (SGTF) for symptomatic population from September 14th, 2021, to February 28th, 2022; SARS-CoV-2, blue; SGTF, orange; n=172.

