Increased Prevalence of Rare Copy Number Variants in Treatment-Resistant Psychosis

Supplemental Material

Supplemental Methods

1. Definition of Treatment Resistant Psychotic Symptoms

For this study, "*Treatment Resistant Psychotic Symptoms*" (TRS) is defined as a lack of clinical improvement to at least three adequate trials of antipsychotic drugs (APDs) over at least five years of continuous hospitalization. Commonly defined as "*treatment resistant schizophrenia*", here "*TRS*" indicates Treatment Resistant Symptoms of psychosis in schizophrenia as well as the related psychotic disorders (schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder with psychotic features, major depressive disorder with psychotic features, and psychosis NOS).

"Lack of clinical improvement" was determined from Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) scores if available (consistent scores of \leq 40 indicated an insufficient clinical response) ¹. If GAF scores were not available, the principal investigator (R.C.J.) discussed the case with the treating psychiatrist to confirm a lack of clinical improvement.

"Continuous hospitalization" was defined as uninterrupted inpatient treatment in a Pennsylvania State Hospital (PASH), and/or an affiliated Long Term Structured Residence (LTSR) facility. Many patients were transferred during their course of hospitalization (between PASHs, LTSRs, medical hospitals, and jail/forensic units). When transferred with uninterrupted treatment and supervision, these events were considered part of a continuous hospitalization. Any discharge into the community lasting more than 30 days was considered an interruption in continuous care, and subsequent re-admission would be considered the start of a new period of continuous hospitalization. In this way, risk of medication non-compliance and illicit drug use could be minimized.

An "*adequate trial*" was defined as exposure to an APD over a period of at least six weeks with daily doses equal to or greater than the defined daily dose (DDD) published by the World Health Organization (<u>www.whocc.no</u>). See **Supplemental Table S1** below for a complete list of APDs and their associated DDDs. Chlorpromazine equivalence is given as published in Woods, et al. ², except where otherwise indicated.

2. Determining Treatment Resistant Status

Participants who signed an informed consent form were screened using the inclusion/exclusion criteria to determine TRS status. Often, criteria could be confirmed using hospital unit charts. In some cases, additional records were necessary for determining eligibility. For participants recruited from PASH, as well as those recruited from LTSRs who had previous hospitalizations in PASH, electronic pharmacy records were acquired which documented all medications administered from the beginning of the electronic record system in 1991 through the time of enrollment. These data included start/stop dates for each drug, as well as daily doses and mode of administration. For participants recruited from LTSRs without previous state hospital admissions,

or for participants who resided in the PASH before 1991, paper records were acquired whenever available. This included historical records from PASH hospitalizations, records from the LTSR where the participant was recruited, as well as other community or out of state hospitalizations. From paper records, start/stop dates and daily doses were extracted and used to confirm TRS status. Only drug trials during inpatient hospitalization (or stays in LTSRs) were considered in determining TRS status to ensure medication compliance.

Chemical Name	Defined Daily Dose (mg)	CPZ Equivalence(mg)		
aripiprazole	15	7.5		
asenapine**	20	5		
chlorpromazine	300	100		
clozapine	300	50		
fluphenazine	10	2		
haloperidol	8	2		
Iloperidone**	18	6		
loxapine	100	10		
lurasidone**	60	20		
mesoridazine	200	50		
molindone	50	10		
olanzapine	10	5		
paliperidone**	6	1.5		
perphenazine	30	8		
pimozide*	4	2		
prochlorperazine	100	15		
quetiapine	400	75		
risperidone	5	2		
thioridazine	300	100		
thiothixene	30	4		
trifluoperazine	20	2		
ziprasidone	80	60		
haloperidol (depot)	3.3 mg daily (92.4 mg q 4 weeks)	30 mg q 4 weeks		
fluphenazine (depot)	1 mg daily (21 mg q 3 weeks)	2.5 mg q 3 weeks		
risperidone (depot)	2.7 mg daily (37.8 mg q 2 weeks)	25 mg q 2 weeks		

Supplemental Table S1: Defined Daily Dose and Chlorpromazine (CPZ) Equivalence.

*https://cpnp.org/guideline/essentials/antipsychotic-dose-equivalents

**http://scottwilliamwoods.com/equivalencesupdate.php

Due to variations in record keeping between institutions, some patient records were unobtainable. These cases were reviewed carefully by the research team to determine final inclusion or exclusion. After discussions with the participant's treating physician, family member interviews, and review of all available records, the research team chose to include five participants in the final sample with only two *documented* adequate trials. These five participants each had long histories of psychotic illness with no indication of treatment response. All five cases had **three or more** APD trials, but only **two** were documented <u>with exact doses and durations</u> in each case. Therefore, these patients would be considered TRS based on commonly accepted definitions for TRS ³ which require two adequate trials.

3. CNV Calling Pipeline

Supplemental Figure S1 visualizes the steps taken to identify CNVs within the sample. Preliminary chromosomal microarray (CMA) calls were filtered through Penn CNV to identify "likely pathogenic" CNVs which were then sent for independent verification in a clinical laboratory improvement amendment (CLIA)-certified lab. In two cases, including one with a mosaic copy loss of the Y chromosome (considered an incidental finding in this report) and a 16p13.11 duplication, subsequent analysis of CMA and whole exome sequencing (WES) data did not identify the calls (because of more stringent and/or requirements calling OC measures). However, both preliminary calls were confirmed by the CLIA lab, and thus are likely to represent real findings. This highlights the risk for type two error when using only one mode of CNV analysis. Likewise, CN-Learn identified six CNVs which were not called by the primary CMA pipeline. considered Again, those "likelypathogenic" (n = 4; 16p11.2 dups) were sent for verification in an independent CLIA-certified clinical laboratory. Importantly, all CNVs identified with research-grade CMA and/or WES data which for clinical were sent confirmation (n=30) were also found by the CLIA laboratory, indicating a low risk for type one error in our methods.

Supplemental Figure S1: CNV Analysis Work Flow.

CNV Analysis Work Flow Genotyping Data -Chromosomal Microarray (CMA): n = 509 -Whole Exome Sequencing (WES): n = 478 Preliminary CNV Calling using PennCNV identified "likely pathogenic" CNVs which were sent for independant verification in a CLIA-certified lab. (Two preliminary CNV calls were not identified by subsequent CMA/WES analysis, but were confirmed by CLIA-lab and included in results) Primary CNV Calling Chromosomal Microarray: n = 492 (17 samples removed during QC) CNVs called from PennCNV, QuantiSNP, and iPattern. CLIA-confirmed results (n=21) and 10 other high-confidence CNVs were used to train CN-Learn for exome sequencing analysis. Whole Exome Sequencing: n = 447 (31 training samples removed) CNVs called using CN-Learn. 16p11.2 duplications identified from WES were sent for independant verification in a CLIA-certified lab. CNV calls were cross-referenced with a list of 74 CNVs implicated in NDD/SCZ phenotypes. Large CNVs (>1 Mb) were also considered potentially relevant to the clinical phenotype. **CNV** Findings -CMA: 45 CNVs in 42 carriers -WES: 15 CNVs in 15 carriers (6 not identified by CMA) -CLIA-lab: 30 CNVs in 28 carriers Combined 51 CNVs in 48 carriers

4. Grouping Copy Number Variants of Interest

CNVs most likely to have clinical significance were prioritized for investigation. To identify clinically relevant CNVs, we cross-referenced CNV calls from the TRS cohort with a curated list of 74 CNVs previously associated with neurodevelopmental or psychiatric disease (see **Supplemental Table S2**, below). This list of NDD variants was curated by Martin et al. ⁴. Twelve schizophrenia-associated CNVs are also denoted, which were previously implicated by large case-control studies ^{5, 6}.

CNVs were then categorized into one of three groups:

1) Neurodevelopmental CNVs (NDD CNVs): Called CNVs overlapping known NDD CNV loci by more than 50% (see supplemental table 2 for canonical breakpoint coordinates).

2) Large CNVs not associated with neurodevelopmental phenotypes: CNVs greater than 1 MB (one million base pairs) are considered potentially relevant to pathology when identified in an individual with neurodevelopmental or psychiatric phenotypes. While case reports may describe individuals with CNVs in similar regions, no case-control studies have associated these CNVs with NDD or psychiatric phenotypes. Supplemental Table S3 provides references for previously published reports of cases with CNVs of the same type, comparable size, and overlapping position as the cases identified in this report. References were identified using http://cnvxplorer.com/, and are not intended to be an exhaustive or all-encompassing search.

3) Variants of Uncertain Significance in genomic areas of interest: This category encompasses two distinct subcategories of variants: 1) Called CNVs which partially overlap known NDD CNV risk loci, but with less than 50% overlap; 2) duplications at loci where deletions are known to be associated with NDD or psychiatric phenotypes, but where duplications have yet to be associated with increased disease risk.

Locus/syndrome	Genomic Coordinates (hg19)	Size (bps)	CN type	SCZ-associate
1p36	chr1:telomere - 2,500,000	2,500,000	deletion	
			duplication	
1q21.1; TAR-syndrome region	chr1:145,394,955 - 145,807,817	412,862	deletion	
			duplication	
1q21.1	chr1:146,527,987 - 147,394,444	866,457	deletion	X
			duplication	X
1q24 (FMO and DNM3)	chr1:169,680,333 - 173,303,337	3,623,004	deletion	
2p16.3 (NRXN1)	chr2:50,145,643 - 51,259,674	1,114,031	deletion	X
proximal 2p15-16.1 (PEX13 to AHSA2)	chr2:61,245,288 - 61,414,572	169,284	duplication	
2q11.2	chr2:96,742,409 - 97,677,516	935,107	deletion	
2q13	chr2:111,394,040 - 112,012,649	618,609	deletion	
			duplication	
2q33.1 (SATB2)	chr2:200,134,224 - 200,325,255	191,031	deletion	
2q37 (HDAC4)	chr2:239,716,679 - 243,199,373	3,482,694	deletion	
3p25.3 (JAGN1 to TATDN2)	chr3:9,932,271 - 10,322,902	390,631	duplication	
3p11.2 (CHMP2B to POU1F1)	chr3:87,267,612 - 87,531,631	264,019	deletion	
3q13 (GAP43)	chr3:115,332,334 - 115,504,038	171,704	deletion	
3q28-29 (FGF12)	chr3:191,859,728 - 192,126,012	266,284	deletion	
3q29	chr3:195,720,167 - 197,354,826	1,634,659	deletion	X
p16.3; Wolf-Hirschorn region	chr4:1,552,030 - 2,091,303	539,273	deletion	
			duplication	
4q21 (BMP3)	chr4:81,945,477 - 81,985,327	39,850	deletion	
5q14 (MEF2C)	chr5:88,011,654 - 88,200,703	189,049	deletion	
5q35.3; Sotos syndrome	chr5:175,720,924 - 177,052,594	1,331,670	deletion	
7q11.23; William-Beuren syndrome region	chr7:72,744,915 - 74,142,892	1,397,977	deletion	
			duplication	X
7q11.23	chr7:72,773,570 - 73,158,061	384,491	deletion	
			duplication	
7q11.23	chr7:73,978,801 - 74,144,177	165,376	deletion	
			duplication	
7p36.3 (VIPR2, WDR60)	chr7:158,453,198 - 158,972,237	519,039	deletion	suggestive risl
			duplication	
8p23.1	chr8:8,098,990 - 11,872,558	3,773,568	deletion	
			duplication	
8q22.2 (VPS13B)	chr8:100,025,494 - 100,889,808	864,314	deletion	suggestive risk
9p24.3 (DMRT1)	chr9:841,690 - 969,090	127,400	deletion	suggestive risk
			duplication	suggestive risk

Supplemental Table S2: Known Neurodevelopmental CNV Loci from Previous Case-Control Studies.

Supplemental Table S2 Continued.

1 1				
9p13	chr9:32,648,800 - 38,808,255	6,159,455	duplication	
9q34	chr9:138,460,697 - 141,036,426	2,575,729	duplication	
10q11.21q11.23	chr10:49,390,199 - 51,058,796	1,668,597	duplication	
10q23	chr10:82,045,472 - 88,931,651	6,886,179	deletion	
11p11.2; Potocki-Shaffer syndrome	chr11:43,940,000 - 46,020,000	2,080,000	deletion	
12p13 (SCNN1A to PIANP)	chr12:6,471,959 - 6,825,955	353,996	duplication	
15q11.2q13.1; Prader-Willi syndrome/ Angelman syndrome	1 15 22 995 212 29 200 220	5 595 026	11.	
region	chr15:22,805,313 - 28,390,339	5,585,026	deletion	
15,11.0	1. 15.22 905 212	200 217	duplication	X
15q11.2	chr15:22,805,313 - 23,094,530	289,217	deletion	X
15q12	chr15:26,971,834 - 27,548,820	576,986	deletion	_
15q13.3 (CHRNA7)	chr15:31,080,645 - 32,462,776	1,382,131	deletion	X
15q13.3	chr15:30943512-32515849	1,572,337	duplication	
15q24	chr15:72,900,171 - 78,151,253	5,251,082	deletion	
15-25	abr 15.05 120 015 05 716 624	576,809	duplication deletion	
15q25	chr15:85,139,815 - 85,716,624		deletion	
16p13.11	chr16:15,511,655 - 16,293,689	782,034		¥
16p12.1	chr16:21,950,135 - 22,431,889	481,754	duplication deletion	X
distal 16p11.2	chr16:28,823,196 - 29,046,783	223,587	deletion	X
uistai 10p11.2	Ciii 10.26,625,170 - 27,040,785	223,307	duplication	Α
16p11.2	chr16:29,650,840 - 30,200,773	549,933	deletion	
10011.2	cm 10.29,030,840 - 30,200,773	549,955	duplication	X
17p13.3 (YWHAE and PAFAH1B1)	chr17:1,247,834 - 2,588,909	1,341,075	deletion	Α
	cm17.1,247,034 - 2,300,909	1,541,075	duplication	
17p11.2; Smith-Magenis syndrome/ Potocki-Lupski			duplication	
syndrome region	chr17:16,812,771 - 20,211,017	3,398,246	deletion	
			duplication	
17q11.2	chr17:29,107,491 - 30,265,075	1,157,584	deletion	
			duplication	
17q12	chr17:34,815,904 - 36,217,432	1,401,528	deletion	
			duplication	
17q21.31; Koolen-de Vries syndrome	chr17:43,705,356 - 44,164,691	459,335	deletion	
22q11.2; DiGeorge/VCFS syndrome region	chr22:19,037,332 - 21,466,726	2,429,394	deletion	X
			duplication	
distal 22q11.2	chr22:21,920,127 - 23,653,646	1,733,519	deletion	
			duplication	
22q13.33; Phelan-McDermid syndrome region	chr22:51,113,070 - 51,171,640	58,570	deletion	
			duplication	

CNV	Cases (N)	Genomic coordinates (size)	CNS genes ^a	Source	CLIA lab annotation ^b	Previously reported phenotypes (references) ^c
2p12-p11.2 Del	1	chr2:75.99-84.39 (8.4 Mb)	CTNNA2	CMA	-	CHD, DD, ID, DF, gait abnormalities; ⁷
4q28.3 Dup	1	chr4:131.71-133.77 (2.1 Mb)	-	CMA	-	Clonal B-cell lymphocytosis, essential thrombocythemia; ⁸
4q33 Dup	1	chr4:171.04-172.04 (1.0 Mb)	-	CMA	-	-
5p14.3 Del	1	chr5:20.26-23.03 (2.8 Mb)	-	CMA	-	DD, LD, behavioral problems; 9
5q23.2 Del	1	chr5:125.14-126.33 (1.2 Mb)	ALDH7A1, LMNB1	CMA, CLIA	Unclear Clinical Significance	Adult-onset leukoencephalopathy, cognitive impairment, pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy; ^{10, 11}
6q22.31 Dup	1	chr6:117.99-120.65 (2.7 Mb)	CEP85L, NUS1	CMA, WES	_	DD, cardiomyopathy; ¹²
7q21.13 Dup	2 ^d	chr7:88.17-90.17 (2.0 Mb)	-	CMA	-	Cerebral palsy, CA; ^{13, 14}
9q33.1 Dup	1	chr9:119.92-122.03 (2.1 Mb)	-	CMA	-	NDD (NOS), ASD; ^{15, 16}
13q33.1-q34 Dup	1	chr13:104.45-115.11 (10.7 Mb)	LIG4, COL4A1, COL4A2, NAXD, GRK1, CHAMP1	CMA, WES	_	CA, ID, DF, tall stature, psychosis, dystonia, breast cancer; ^{17, 18}
17q25.3 Dup	1	chr17:76.41-78.94 (2.5 Mb)	CANT1, CCDC40, GAA, EIF4A3, SGSH, RNF213	WES	-	CA, DF, neurological problems (infantile seizures, cerebral palsy), DD/ID, ADHD, anxiety; ^{8, 19-21}
18p11.31-p11.23 Dup	1	chr18:6.84-8.05 (1.2 Mb)	LAMA1	CMA, WES		Brain and ophthalmological malformations, DF, DD, ID, auditory impairment, short stature, GH deficiency; ²²

Supplemental Table S3: Large (>1Mb) CNVs not implicated in neurodevelopmental phenotypes in case-control studies.

Total case count (% of
TRS sample, n = 509)12
(2.4%)

Genomic coordinates aligned to hg19 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/grc); CNS, central nervous system; Mb, megabases; CHD, congenital heart disease; DD, developmental delay; ID, intellectual disability; DF, dysmorphic features; LD, learning disability; NDD, neurodevelopmental disorder; NOS, not otherwise specified; CA, congenital anomalies; ADHD, attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder; TRS, treatment resistant psychotic symptoms; GH deficiency, growth hormone deficiency. One case with a CNV >1Mb at the 16p centromere was not included here as this region has been documented as unlikely to be sensitive to copy number dosage changes (chr16:34,197,143-35,257,261; https://dosage.clinicalgenome.org/). Another case with a recurrent 17p12 deletion (pathogenic for Hereditary Neuropathy with Liability to Pressure Palsies) was considered an incidental finding and not reported here.

^a Genes in CNV region implicated in CNS or developmentally related disease based on OMIM and ClinVar data.

^b Interpretation of the clinical significance of CNVs provided by allele diagnostics based on review of available literature at the time of CNV call.

^c Published reports of cases with CNVs of the same type, comparable size, and overlapping position as identified in this report, identified using http://cnvxplorer.com/, not intended to be an exhaustive or all-encompassing search.

^d One carrier of the 7q21.13 duplications is also the carrier of the 2p12p11.2 deletion.

References

- 1. American Psychiatric Association., American Psychiatric Association. Work Group to Revise DSM-III. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders : DSM-III-R*. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1987.
- 2. Woods SW. Chlorpromazine equivalent doses for the newer atypical antipsychotics. *J Clin Psychiatry* Jun 2003;64(6):663-667.
- **3.** Howes OD, McCutcheon R, Agid O, et al. Treatment-Resistant Schizophrenia: Treatment Response and Resistance in Psychosis (TRRIP) Working Group Consensus Guidelines on Diagnosis and Terminology. *Am J Psychiatry* Mar 1 2017;174(3):216-229.
- 4. Martin J, Tammimies K, Karlsson R, et al. Copy number variation and neuropsychiatric problems in females and males in the general population. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* Sep 2019;180(6):341-350.
- 5. Rees E, Walters JT, Georgieva L, et al. Analysis of copy number variations at 15 schizophreniaassociated loci. *Br J Psychiatry* Feb 2014;204(2):108-114.
- 6. Marshall CR, Howrigan DP, Merico D, et al. Contribution of copy number variants to schizophrenia from a genome-wide study of 41,321 subjects. *Nat Genet* Jan 2017;49(1):27-35.
- 7. Silipigni R, Cattaneo E, Baccarin M, Fumagalli M, Bedeschi MF. Rare interstitial deletion of chromosome 2p11.2p12. Report of a new patient with developmental delay and unusual clinical features. *Eur J Med Genet* Jan 2016;59(1):39-42.
- **8.** Kim H, Jang W, Shin S, et al. Two cases of concurrent development of essential thrombocythemia with chronic lymphocytic leukemia, one related to clonal B-cell lymphocytosis, tested by array comparative genomic hybridization. *Int J Hematol* Jun 2015;101(6):612-619.
- **9.** Barber JC, Huang S, Bateman MS, Collins AL. Transmitted deletions of medial 5p and learning difficulties; does the cadherin cluster only become penetrant when flanking genes are deleted? *Am J Med Genet A* Nov 2011;155A(11):2807-2815.
- **10.** Nmezi B, Giorgio E, Raininko R, et al. Genomic deletions upstream of lamin B1 lead to atypical autosomal dominant leukodystrophy. *Neurol Genet* Feb 2019;5(1):e305.
- **11.** Mefford HC, Zemel M, Geraghty E, et al. Intragenic deletions of ALDH7A1 in pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy caused by Alu-Alu recombination. *Neurology* Sep 1 2015;85(9):756-762.
- **12.** Lee TM, Addonizio LJ, Chung WK. Dilated cardiomyopathy due to a phospholamban duplication. *Cardiol Young* Oct 2014;24(5):953-954.
- **13.** McMichael G, Girirajan S, Moreno-De-Luca A, et al. Rare copy number variation in cerebral palsy. *Eur J Hum Genet* Jan 2014;22(1):40-45.
- 14. Molck MC, Simioni M, Paiva Vieira T, et al. Genomic imbalances in syndromic congenital heart disease. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* Sep Oct 2017;93(5):497-507.
- **15.** Lionel AC, Tammimies K, Vaags AK, et al. Disruption of the ASTN2/TRIM32 locus at 9q33.1 is a risk factor in males for autism spectrum disorders, ADHD and other neurodevelopmental phenotypes. *Hum Mol Genet* May 15 2014;23(10):2752-2768.
- **16.** Chang YS, Lin CY, Huang HY, Chang JG, Kuo HT. Chromosomal microarray and whole-exome sequence analysis in Taiwanese patients with autism spectrum disorder. *Mol Genet Genomic Med* Dec 2019;7(12):e996.
- 17. Mak CCY, Chow PC, Liu APY, et al. De novo large rare copy-number variations contribute to conotruncal heart disease in Chinese patients. *NPJ Genom Med* 2016;1:16033.
- **18.** Moscovich M, LeDoux MS, Xiao J, et al. Dystonia, facial dysmorphism, intellectual disability and breast cancer associated with a chromosome 13q34 duplication and overexpression of TFDP1: case report. *BMC Med Genet* Jul 13 2013;14:70.
- **19.** Wang Q, Li Q, Xu Q, Liu Y, Yuan H. [Identification of a 17q25.3 duplication in a Chinese patient with global developmental delay and multiple congenital anomalies]. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi* Jan 10 2020;37(1):52-56.

- **20.** Probst FJ, James RA, Burrage LC, et al. De novo deletions and duplications of 17q25.3 cause susceptibility to cardiovascular malformations. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* Jun 14 2015;10:75.
- **21.** Lukusa T, Fryns JP. Pure de novo 17q25.3 micro duplication characterized by micro array CGH in a dysmorphic infant with growth retardation, developmental delay and distal arthrogryposis. *Genet Couns* 2010;21(1):25-34.
- 22. Giordano M, Muratore V, Babu D, Meazza C, Bozzola M. A 18p11.23-p11.31 microduplication in a boy with psychomotor delay, cerebellar vermis hypoplasia, chorioretinal coloboma, deafness and GH deficiency. *Mol Cytogenet* 2016;9:89.