The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported		
Title and abstract							
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced		RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.	1.1: Title and abstract (page 1-2)		
		summary of what was done and what was found		RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.	1.2: Title and abstract (page 1-2)		
				RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.			
Introduction							
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported			Background (page 5-7)		
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses			Background (page 5-7)		
Methods							
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper			Methods - Study design (page 7)		
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including			Methods - Study design (page 7)		

		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection		
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) Cohort study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided. RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided. RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.	6.1: Figure 1: Cohort Flow Diagram (page 12) 6.3: Figure 1: Cohort Flow Diagram (page 12)
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	7.1: Supplemental appendix 4: HAPPEN survey variable codebook
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement).		Methods - The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data (Page 8-9)

Online supplementa	ai appendix	2: RECORD statement		1
		Describe comparability of		
		assessment methods if there is		
		more than one group		
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address		Methods -
		potential sources of bias		Quantitative
				analysis (page 9)
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was		Figure 1: Cohort
•		arrived at		flow diagram
				(page 12)
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative		Methods -
variables		variables were handled in the		Quantitative
		analyses. If applicable, describe		analysis (page 11)
		which groupings were chosen,		
		and why		
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical		Methods -
methods		methods, including those used to		Quantitative
		control for confounding		analysis (page 11)
		(b) Describe any methods used		
		to examine subgroups and		
		interactions		
		(c) Explain how missing data		
		were addressed		
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable,		
		explain how loss to follow-up		
		was addressed		
		Case-control study - If		
		applicable, explain how		
		matching of cases and controls		
		was addressed		
		Cross-sectional study - If		
		applicable, describe analytical		
		methods taking account of		
		sampling strategy		
		(e) Describe any sensitivity		
		analyses	 	

12.1: Methods - The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data (page 9-11) 12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow
survey and linked SAIL data (page 9-11) 12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow
SAIL data (page 9-11) 12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow
9-11) 12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow
12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow
Cohort flow
Cohort flow
1 11 / 10
udy. diagram (page 12)
he 12.3: Methods -
Study design
linkage (page 7-9)
The
s of The HAPPEN
ld be survey and linked
SAIL data (page
9-11)
ail the 13.1: Methods -
d in the Quantitative
ection) analysis (page 11-
a 12)
kage.
ns can Figure 1: Cohort
y flow diagram
n. (page 12)
Results - Table 1
Results - Table 1 Descriptive
Descriptive
Descriptive statistics (page 13-14)
Descriptive statistics (page

Omme supplemental	appendix	(2: RECORD statement		
		(b) Indicate the number of		supplemental
		participants with missing data		appendix 5
		for each variable of interest		
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise		
		follow-up time (e.g., average and		
		total amount)		
Outcome data	15	Cohort study - Report numbers		Results - Table 1
		of outcome events or summary		Descriptive
		measures over time		statistics (page
		Case-control study - Report		13-14)
		numbers in each exposure		Full descriptive
		category, or summary measures		statistics table:
		of exposure		Online
		Cross-sectional study - Report		supplemental
		numbers of outcome events or		appendix 6
		summary measures		T.F.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates		Results – Table 3
		and, if applicable, confounder-		and table 4 (page
		adjusted estimates and their		16-17)
		precision (e.g., 95% confidence		,
		interval). Make clear which		Online
		confounders were adjusted for		supplemental
		and why they were included		appendix 6:
		(b) Report category boundaries		Unadjusted
		when continuous variables were		multivariable
		categorized		logistic regression
		(c) If relevant, consider		analyses
		translating estimates of relative		unaryses
		risk into absolute risk for a		
		meaningful time period		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—		Results (page 13-
Onici analyses	1 /	e.g., analyses of subgroups and		18)
		interactions, and sensitivity		10)
		analyses		
Disquesion		anaryses		
Discussion				

Key results	18	Summarise key results with		Results (page 13-
ixey results	10	reference to study objectives		18)
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	Strengths and limitations (page 3-4)
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence		Discussion (page 18-21), Conclusion (page 22-23)
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results		Discussion (page 18-21), Conclusion (page 21-22), Strengths and limitations (page 3-4)
Other Information	on			,
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based		Funding (page 23)
Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code			RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Availability for data and materials (page 22)

*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution (<u>CC BY</u>) license.