medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

17/02/22

Direct estimates of absolute ventilation in primary health care clinics in South Africa

Peter G Beckwith [1,2]; Aaron S Karat [1,3]; Indira Govender [1,4] ; Arminder Deol[5]; Nicky McCreesh[5]; Karina Kielmann [6]: Kathy Baisley [7]; Alison D Grant [1,4,8]; Tom A Yates [9]

Affiliations

[1] TB Centre, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

[2] Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa

[3] The Institute for Global Health and Development, Queen Margaret University, United Kingdom

[4] Africa Health Research Institute, School of Laboratory Medicine & Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

[5] TB Modelling Group, TB Centre, CMMID, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, The London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

[6] Institute of Global Health & Development, Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh, UK

[7] Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, The London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

[8] School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

[9] Department of Infectious Disease, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, United Kingdom

Corresponding author

Dr Peter Beckwith, Department of Clinical Research, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom

Tel: +27 73 6177970| email: peterbeckwith89@gmail.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background

Healthcare facilities are important sites for the transmission of pathogens spread via bioaerosols, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*). Natural ventilation can play an important role in reducing this transmission. In primary health care (PHC) clinics in low and middle-income settings, susceptible people, including healthcare workers, are exposed to individuals with infectious pulmonary tuberculosis. We measured rates of natural ventilation in PHC clinics in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape provinces, South Africa.

Methods and Findings

We measured ventilation in clinic spaces using a tracer-gas release method. In spaces where this was not possible, we estimated ventilation using data on indoor and outdoor carbon dioxide levels, under reasonable assumptions about occupants' metabolic rates. Ventilation was measured i) under usual conditions and ii) with all windows and doors fully open. We used these ventilation rates to estimate the risk of *Mtb* transmission using the Wells-Riley Equation. We obtained ventilation measurements in 33 clinical spaces in 10 clinics: 13 consultation rooms, 16 waiting areas and 4 other clinical spaces. Under usual conditions, the absolute ventilation rate was much higher in waiting rooms (median 1769 m^3/hr, range 338–4815 m^3/hr) than in consultation rooms (median 197 m^3/hr, range 0–1451 m^3/hr). Ventilation was better in permanent than in temporary structures. When compared with usual conditions, fully opening existing doors and windows resulted in a median two-fold increase in ventilation. Our Wells-Riley estimates show that, following sustained exposure, or contact with highly infectious index cases, some risk of *Mtb* infection may persist in the best ventilated clinical spaces unless other components of transmission risk are also addressed.

Conclusions

Among the clinical spaces studied, we observed substantial variation in natural ventilation. Ventilation interventions may have considerable impact on *Mtb* transmission in this setting. We recommend these form part of a package of infection prevention and control interventions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Good ventilation in congregate spaces can reduce transmission of airborne pathogens, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) , Rubeola virus (measles), and SARS-CoV-2[1] [2][3]. However, ventilation rates are difficult to measure and there are limited data available on ventilation rates in public spaces, such as clinics, in sub-Saharan Africa [4][5][6][7][8][9].

Healthcare facilities bring together infectious and susceptible individuals and are important sites for the transmission of airborne pathogens. This is particularly true for *Mtb* [10]. Clinic attendees including vulnerable populations, such as people living with HIV, may inhale bioaerosols containing *Mtb* produced by individuals with pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) attending the same facility [11][10][12][13][14]. *Mtb* is an important occupational health concern, with health workers at greater risk than the general population of infection and reinfection and, as a result, developing active TB disease [15][16].

Improved ventilation, an infection prevention and control (IPC) intervention, decreases the risk of infection by removing droplet nuclei containing aerosolised *Mtb*. Natural ventilation plays a key role in low and middle-income settings where the resources and infrastructure needed for mechanical ventilation systems are usually unavailable [17]. Natural ventilation can have comparable or superior performance to mechanical ventilation systems [17]. However, rates will vary with changes in wind speed or direction, and inadequate rates of natural ventilation may occur in poorly designed buildings [18].

We aimed to describe the ventilation of waiting areas, consultation rooms, and other clinical spaces across ten primary healthcare clinics (PHCs) in South Africa. Our ventilation experiments were undertaken as part of an interdisciplinary project called *Umoya omuhle* (meaning “good air” in Zulu), which used a whole systems approach to understand *Mtb* transmission and TB IPC in PHC clinics in South Africa [19][20].

Methods

Setting

Ventilation experiments were performed in five PHC clinics in KwaZulu-Natal and five in Western Cape province, South Africa, between December 2018 and December 2019. These facilities were built between the 1980s and early 2010s and serve both urban and rural populations. They were selected to be broadly representative of PHC clinics in the two provinces with respect to location, age of building, and type of clinic. Each clinic had a unique design, though some clinics had several rooms that were identical in their layout. Many clinics had a mixture of permanent and temporary

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

buildings. Temporary buildings included "park homes" (portacabins) that were used to rapidly expand clinic capacity as the antiretroviral therapy programme was being rolled out in the 2000's [21].

Measurements were undertaken in spaces where patients either waited or interacted with clinic staff. These included: rooms where patient vital signs were measured; rooms where phlebotomy was performed; formal and informal waiting areas; and consultation rooms. The timing of experiments was agreed in advance with clinic managers.

Weather

Data on wind speed and temperature were provided by the South African Weather Services for the period 01 January 2018 to 31 December 2020. These consisted of hourly measurements taken at the nearest weather station to each clinic (median Euclidean distance: 20.5km [range: 5-35km]). Using these data, the wind speed and temperature at the time experiments were undertaken were compared to the distribution observed during typical clinic opening hours (0600–1800) across this three-year period.

Describing spaces

The dimensions of each room were measured using a Bosch PLR 40R digital laser measure (Bosch, Gerlingen, Germany; accuracy +/-2 mm) and room volumes calculated. The configuration of the windows and doors when the room was in routine use was recorded – these were then used as the 'usual' conditions in our experiments. To summarise these data, window configuration was classified into one of four categories: 1) open (all windows fully open); 2) closed (all windows closed); 3) half or less open; or 4) more than half open. For example, if there were three windows, one closed and two half open, the windows would be 33% open [(0 + 0.5 + 0.5) / 3], so the windows would be classified as 'half or less open'. The same classification was used for doors.

Measuring ventilation

Tracer gas release experiments

Our primary means of measuring ventilation was a tracer gas release technique using carbon dioxide (CO_2; supplementary table 1) [17][22]. Ventilation was estimated under both 'usual' conditions (the configuration observed when the room was in routine use) and 'ideal' conditions (with existing windows and doors maximally open; supplementary table 2).

At the start of each experiment, every window and door was closed and any remaining openings were taped closed with plastic sheeting. CO_2 monitors were placed at two different central locations within the room (Datalogging Indoor Air Quality Meter – 800050, Sper Scientific, Scottsdale, Arizona,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

USA; accuracy +/-75 parts per million [ppm] CO_2). The concentration of CO_2 in the room was then increased by releasing CO_2 fire extinguishers for 5–10 seconds. CO_2 was mixed with room air using a paddle fan for two minutes, with the aim of achieving a stable and homogeneous CO_2 concentration of 3,000–10,000 ppm throughout the space. The fan was then turned off and, after five minutes, any plastic sheeting was removed and the windows and doors were placed in either the usual or ideal configuration. CO_2 levels were then recorded every second for a period of approximately five minutes. The aim was to perform three experiments under usual conditions, and three under ideal conditions, yielding six CO_2 decay curves under each set of conditions.

Rebreathed fraction approach

Tracer gas release experiments were not possible in spaces that 1) could not be vacated by patients or clinic staff or 2) were large and very open to the outdoors, meaning high levels of CO_2 could not be attained at baseline. Where tracer gas release experiments were not possible, an established approach [23] [24] was adapted to estimate the ventilation rate using data on indoor CO_2 concentrations, outdoor CO_2 concentrations, and occupancy [25]. These experiments were undertaken in eight main waiting areas during working hours when spaces were occupied by patients and staff.

In these waiting areas, three CO_2 monitors were placed at different central locations and one monitor placed immediately outside the building to capture the CO_2 concentration of the replacement air. Measurements were obtained every second. The number of individuals in the room was counted every ten minutes, categorising individuals as aged <1 year, 1–5 years, or >5 years. Data were collected for between one and three hours under each set of conditions (usual and ideal).

Statistical analysis

The tracer gas release experiments produced data similar to those presented in Supplementary Figure 1. Analysis focussed on the right-hand side of these curves. Specifically, data were included from 30 seconds after the doors and windows were opened until CO_2 levels reached 200 ppm above baseline or, where no baseline value was available, until CO_2 levels reached 800 ppm.

Prior to analysis, the CO_2 data were smoothed, using a sixty second moving average (mean), consisting of the 30 seconds before and after each measurement. Using these smoothed data, the natural logarithms of the CO_2 concentration measurements were plotted against time in hours. A linear regression line was then fitted through each trace, with the gradient of this line providing an estimate of the number of air changes per hour (ACH). With (typically) six such estimates per experiment (three experiments, two CO_2 meters per experiment), a pooled estimate of the number

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

of air changes per hour, with an associated 95% confidence interval (CI), was obtained using random effects meta-analysis. The absolute ventilation rate (in m^3/hour) was then calculated as the product of the number of air changes per hour and the room volume.

To analyse the paired indoor and outdoor CO_2 measurements, we developed an adaptation of the Persily and de Jonge method [24] to allow for non-steady state conditions. The outside CO_2 concentration was estimated as a mean obtained through curve fitting, using linear interpolation. The indoor CO_2 concentration in each space was calculated at each time point by taking the mean value from the three inside monitors. The number of individuals in each age group in the room at each time point was multiplied by their assumed CO_2 generation rate to estimate the total CO_2 generation rate at each time point. Age-specific CO_2 production rates were taken from Persily and de Jonge [24], assuming an activity rate of 1.2 MET (occupants sitting quietly). A simple linear regression model was then fitted describing how, at each time point, the difference between the indoor CO_2 concentration and the outdoor CO_2 concentration related to the total CO_2 generation rate. The slope of this regression line estimated the absolute ventilation rate. More details of this method are given elsewhere[25]. Note, outdoor CO2 concentrations in our experiments were measured and found to be stable. In settings where outdoor CO2 levels are more variable, investigators using this method may prefer to update the outdoor CO2 measurement at each timestep.

Most spaces were only visited on one occasion and, as such, our estimates of ventilation do not fully capture variation in ventilation rates associated with, for example, changes in wind speed or direction. For this reason, when combining data from different spaces, we opted for a descriptive presentation of our results. We avoided calculating pooled effect estimates with associated confidence intervals, as we did not wish to overestimate precision.

Calculation of risk

The Wells-Riley equation models transmission risk for airborne infections as a Poisson process. The approach assumes a well-mixed airspace. It takes into account the time spent in a space, the number of infectious individuals present, the number of 'infectious quanta' they produce per unit time (usually assumed), the volume of air susceptible individuals breathe per unit time, and the absolute ventilation rate [26]. Here, quanta are defined as 'the number of infectious airborne particles required to infect which may be one or more airborne particles' [26].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

$$P = 1 - e^{\left(\frac{Iqpt}{Q}\right)} \quad (1)$$

P = probability for each susceptible individual of being infected over time t; I = Number of infectious individuals; q = number of infectious quanta they produce per hour; p = pulmonary ventilation rate of susceptible individuals (volume/time); t = exposure time; Q = absolute room ventilation (volume/time)

We related our calculated absolute ventilation rate to the risk of *Mtb* transmission using the Wells Riley Equation[26]. These calculations assumed a single infectious individual was present and that susceptible individuals had a pulmonary ventilation rate of 0.6 m^3/hr.

The infectiousness of people with pulmonary TB is known to be heterogeneous. The standard assumption is that infectious individuals produce 1.25 infectious quanta per hour, based on data from early studies at Johns Hopkins [27]. A similar study of HIV-positive adults with pulmonary TB in Lima, Peru, reported a mean quanta production rate of 8.2 per hour, and the most infectious individual in that study produced 226 quanta per hour [28]. We, therefore, estimated transmission risk at 1.25, 8.2 and 226 quanta/hr.

Tools and Code

Analysis of the tracer gas release experiments was undertaken in Stata version 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). This Stata code is available on GitHub (https://github.com/tayates/uo_ventilation). Analysis of paired indoor-outdoor CO_2 measurements was carried out using R version 3.6.0 [29].This R code is also available on GitHub (URL: https://github.com/ArminderD/ventilation.git). The data are available via https://datacompass.lshtm.ac.uk/.

Ethics

The *Umoya omuhle* study received ethical approval from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (ref. BE082/18), the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of Cape Town (ref. 165/2018), the Research Ethics Committee of Queen Margaret University (ref. REP 0233), and the Observational/Interventions Research Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (ref. 14872). No data on individuals were captured for this aspect of the project. Consent to undertake these experiments was obtained from facility managers.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Results

Setting

Ventilation experiments were performed in 33 clinic spaces between December 2018 and December 2019. Clinic characteristics are detailed in Table 1. Tracer release experiments were performed in 26 different clinic spaces of which 13 (50%) were consulting rooms; nine (35%) were waiting areas; and four (15%) other spaces, including corridors, rooms where patients' vital signs were measured, and phlebotomy rooms. Eight main waiting areas were assessed using the rebreathed fraction approach.

Table 1: Characteristics of facilities (n = 10) and rooms (n = 33) in which ventilation experiments were conducted

Facility code	Decade built	Type of location	Estimated monthly headcount, n (1000s)	Type of clinic	Rooms studied (n = 33), n	Rooms where CO2 release experiments performed (n = 26), n	Rooms where rebreathed fraction experiments performed (n = 8), n
KZN1	1990s	Peri-urban	11–14	PHC	5	4	1
KZN2	1980s	Rural	5–8	PHC	7	7	0
KZN3	2000s	Peri-urban	27–30	CHC	3	2	1
KZN4	1980s	Urban	27–30	CHC	2*	2	1
KZN6	2000s	Rural	3–6	PHC	2	2	0
WC1	2010s	Peri-urban	25–28	PHC	3	1	2
WC2	2000s	Urban	1–3	PHC	2	1	1
WC3	1980s	Peri-urban	2–5	PHC	5	4	1
WC5	2010s	Urban	27–30	CHC	2	1	1
WC6	2000s	Peri-urban	25–28	PHC	2	2	0

CHC: community health clinic; PHC: primary healthcare clinic
*Both tracer gas release and rebreathed fraction experiments were performed in the same room

Under usual conditions in the 26 rooms where the CO_2 release technique was used, four rooms (15%) had no windows, two (8%) had all existing windows closed, 12 (46%) had their windows less than half open, and eight (31%) had their windows maximally open (of which the majority were consulting rooms (5/8 [63%]). All consulting rooms had their doors closed when attending to patients and no waiting rooms had more than half of their doors open when the room was in use (Table 2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 2: The configuration of windows and doors under usual conditions in rooms where tracer gas release experiments were performed (n = 26 experiments on 12 days)

Type of room	**Configuration of windows**				**Configuration of door in rooms with one door (n = 13), n**		**Configuration of doors in rooms with multiple doors (n = 13), n**		
	No windows	**All windows closed**	**Windows open half or less**	**All windows maximally open**	**Door closed**	**Door open**	**All doors closed**	**Half or less doors open**	**Greater than half doors open**
Consulting room (n = 13)	0	2	6	5	11	0	0	1	1
Waiting area (n = 9)	3	0	4	2	0	0	0	7	2
Other (n = 4)*	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	2
Total (n = 26)	**4**	**2**	**12**	**8**	**12**	**1**	**0**	**8**	**5**

*Other rooms included: two rooms where patient vital signs were measured, one phlebotomy room, one corridor where patients waited

Temperature and wind speed

We compared temperature and wind speed measured at the nearest weather station at the time we undertook our experiments to the mean temperature and wind speed measured during typical clinic opening hours at the same weather station.

Temperatures at the times tracer gas release experiments were conducted were within one and two standard deviations (SDs) of the 3-year mean temperature for 10/12 (83%) and 12/12 (100%) experiment days, respectively, and wind speeds within one and two SDs of the 3-year mean wind speed for 10/12 (83%) and 11/12 (92%) experiment days, respectively (Figure 1).

Temperatures at the time we performed rebreathed fraction experiments were within one and two SDs of the 3-year mean temperature for 7/8 (88%) and 8/8 (100%) experiment days and wind speeds within one and two SDs of the 3-year mean wind speed for 7/8 (88%) and 8/8 (100%) of experiment days (Supplementary Figure 2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Histograms showing the distribution of temperatures and wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape during working hours from January 2018–December 2020. Vertical lines show the mean temperatures and wind speeds on the 12 days when tracer gas release experiments were conducted



A: temperatures in KwaZulu-Natal; B: temperatures in Western Cape; C: wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal; D: wind speeds in Western Cape.
Vertical lines indicate mean temperature or wind speed at the weather station closest to each clinic during working hours on the day the data were collected. Colour of histograms and vertical lines corresponds to specific weather stations in each province.
C: centigrade; km/h: kilometres per hour; KZN: KwaZulu-Natal; WC: Western Cape

Tracer gas release experiments

The distribution of room volumes across the 26 spaces in which we performed tracer gas release experiments is presented in Figure 2A. Box and whisker plots in Figure 3 present the same results disaggregated by province, type of room, the age of the building, and whether the community is urban or rural. The distribution of room sizes was skewed with a small number of much larger rooms. As might be expected, consultation rooms (n = 13; median volume 31 m^3, range 15–45 m^3) were smaller than waiting rooms (n = 9; median volume 68m^3, range 31–135 m^3). Rooms in temporary structures (n = 5; median volume 21 m^3, range 15–106 m^3) were smaller than those in permanent structures (n = 21; median volume 43 m^3, range 21–135 m^3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figure 2: Histograms describing the distribution of room volumes (A), the number of air changes per hour (B) and the absolute ventilation rate (C) in the 26 clinical spaces where we conducted tracer gas release experiments. Ventilation rates are described under usual conditions and ideal conditions (all doors and windows fully open)



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figure 3: Box and whisker plots describing the distribution of room volumes in the clinical spaces where we conducted tracer gas release experiments. Results are disaggregated by province (A), room type (B), the age of the building (C), and clinic location (D). Here, the boxes mark the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile (median) and the 75th percentile, with the whiskers marking the upper and lower adjacent values.



The distribution of the number of ACH under both usual and ideal conditions is presented in Figure 2B. The same data disaggregated by province, type of room, the age of the building, and whether the community is urban or rural are presented in Figure 4. The number of ACH varied substantially. It was higher in waiting rooms than in consultation rooms (usual conditions: median ACH in waiting rooms = 21, range 4 – 36; median ACH in consultation rooms = 4, range 0 - 32) and, as expected, higher with existing doors and windows fully open (ideal conditions: median ACH in waiting rooms = 29, range 16 - 65; median ACH in consultation rooms = 26, range 7 - 54). Opening existing doors and windows resulted in a median 2.1-fold increase in the number of air changes per hour (range 1 - 25.6; note, this range does not include a consultation room with zero effective ventilation under usual conditions).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figure 4: Box and whisker plots describing the distribution of the number of air changes per hour in the 26 clinical spaces where we conducted tracer gas release experiments. Ventilation rates are described under usual conditions and with all doors and windows fully open. Results are disaggregated by province (A), room type (B), the age of the building (C), and clinic location (D). Here, the boxes mark the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile (median) and the 75th percentile, with the whiskers marking the upper and lower adjacent values.



The distribution of the absolute ventilation rates is presented in Figure 2C. These data, disaggregated by province, type of room, the age of the building, and whether the community is urban or rural, are presented in Figure 5. Again, we observed substantial variation. The absolute ventilation rate was much higher in waiting rooms (usual conditions: median absolute ventilation rate = 1289 m^3/hr, range 338–2651 m^3/hr) than in consultation rooms (usual conditions: median absolute ventilation rate 197 m^3/hr, range 0–1451 m^3/hr). Temporary buildings (usual conditions: median absolute ventilation rate 360 m^3/hr, range 18–2240 m^3/hr) were less well ventilated than permanent structures (usual conditions: median absolute ventilation rate 493 m^3/hr, range 0–2651 m^3/hr).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplementary table 3 contains full results for each space in which tracer gas release experiments were performed. Supplementary figure 3 shows the association between wind speed and the absolute ventilation rate for the 26 spaces in which we undertook tracer gas release experiments.

Figure 5: Box and whisker plots describing the distribution of the absolute ventilation rate in the 26 clinical spaces where we conducted tracer gas release experiments. Ventilation rates are described under usual conditions and with all doors and windows fully open. Results are disaggregated by province (A), room type (B), the age of the building (C), and clinic location (D). Here, the boxes mark the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile (median) and the 75th percentile, with the whiskers marking the upper and lower adjacent values.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Rebreathed fraction experiments

The estimated absolute ventilation rates in the eight clinic waiting rooms where the rebreathed fraction approach was used are presented in Figure 6 and supplementary table 4. The volume of these waiting rooms was a median 342.7 (range 50.2–2147.3) m^3. Under usual conditions, there were a median 4.5 ACH (range 2.1–33.5), which improved under ideal conditions to a median 11.2 ACH [range 2.5–107.2]. Absolute ventilation rates were lower under usual conditions than under ideal conditions (median 1898.8 [range 701.5–4815.1] m^3/hr vs. 5417.8 [range 1870.3–9328.4] m^3/hr, respectively) with fully opening existing doors and windows improving the absolute ventilation rate by a median 2.0 fold (range 1.0–6.5).

Figure 6. Absolute ventilation rates of eight clinic waiting rooms under usual and ideal conditions, estimated by the rebreathed fraction approach



Usual conditions = configuration of windows and doors observed when the room was in routine use
Ideal conditions = all windows and doors maximally open
Clinic WC3, room X: usual conditions the same as ideal conditions
Vertical bars indicate upper and lower estimates of 95% confidence intervals
WC: Western Cape; KZN: KwaZulu-Natal; WA2, WA4, WA1, X, E, MA, U, L are the codes for the specific waiting rooms where experiments were performed.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Estimated risk of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* transmission

We related the absolute ventilation rate to the risk of Mtb transmission using the Wells Riley Equation[26], as described above. We produced estimates of infection risk under various assumptions about the quanta production rate (Figure 7). The horizontal lines on the figure give the median clinic visit duration of 2 hours 36 minutes [30]. The vertical lines give the median absolute ventilation rate in waiting rooms under both usual and ideal conditions. Combining data from both the tracer gas release experiments and the rebreathed fraction approach, this figure is 1769 m^3/hr under usual conditions and 2950 m^3/hr under ideal conditions. Meaningful reductions in transmission risk can be achieved by improving ventilation or reducing the duration of clinic visits. However, ventilation alone cannot eliminate transmission risk in the context of sustained exposure.

Figure 7. A set of heat maps translating ventilation rate into transmission risk, as estimated using the Wells-Riley equation at 1.25 (A) ,8.2 (B) and 226 (C) quanta/hr.

The horizontal lines show the median clinic visit duration. The vertical lines show the median absolute ventilation rate in clinic waiting rooms under both usual and ideal conditions.

A



B



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

C



Discussion

We observed substantial variation between spaces in rates of natural ventilation. Under usual conditions, using the gold standard tracer gas release method, the median number of ACH was 11.7 and the median absolute ventilation rate was 447 m^3/hr. There were higher ventilation rates in waiting rooms (median Q = 1289 m^3/hr) than in consultation rooms (median Q = 197 m^3/hr). In the eight waiting rooms where we used the rebreathed fraction approach, the median absolute ventilation rate was 1898.8 m^3/hr. We showed that ventilation rates could, on average, be doubled if existing doors and windows were opened.

There are few published estimates of the absolute ventilation rate in naturally ventilated public spaces, including clinical spaces, in high burden settings. In Peruvian hospitals, Escombe and colleagues estimated an absolute ventilation rate of 2477 m^3/hr in naturally ventilated spaces, and 402 m^3/hr in mechanically ventilated spaces [17]. In a study of four small clinic rooms in Cape Town, Cox and colleagues estimated a median ventilation rate of 487 m^3/hr in the best ventilated space, but none of these experiments were conducted with both the window and the wind-driven roof turbines open [5].

The latest World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines on TB IPC, published in 2019, do not contain a recommended target ventilation rate for clinical spaces [3]. A 2009 WHO guideline recommended

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

that 'general wards and outpatient spaces' in naturally ventilated buildings should aim for a minimum ventilation rate of 60 litres/second/person (216 m^3/hr/person)[1]. For 'airborne precaution rooms' (small rooms for accommodating people who may be infectious) the recommended ventilation rate was 160 litres/second/person on average (576 m^3/hr/person), with a minimum rate of 80 litres/second/person (288 m^3/hr/person) when wind speed and direction are not favourable. In high burden settings, the transmission risk in general clinic spaces may be equivalent to that in 'airborne precaution rooms', given the high prevalence of undiagnosed TB [31]. Dividing the ventilation rates estimated in this study by the per person rates recommended by the WHO yields estimates of maximum occupancy that are lower than those we observed in these clinics. The median ventilation rate in waiting rooms (combining both measurement approaches) meant only eight people could be accommodated under usual conditions, or 13 under ideal conditions, whilst achieving a ventilation rate of 60 litres/second/person. The median ventilation rate in consultation rooms meant doors and windows needed to be fully opened for a health worker and one patient to be accommodated whilst achieving a ventilation rate of 60 litres/second/person. We undertook detailed analysis of patient flow in nine waiting rooms at three clinics, as part of the *Umoya omuhle* project. The quietest waiting area, in a rural clinic, had a median occupancy of 11 people, whereas the busiest waiting area had a median occupancy of 52 people [30]. Consultation rooms typically accommodate a single healthcare worker plus a patient, though patients are not infrequently accompanied by a friend or family member. The fold changes in ventilation rates needed to meet these WHO recommendations may be unfeasible without significant decongestion of clinic spaces.

We showed that natural ventilation can be improved by two-fold by fully opening available windows and doors. We observed that only 30% of spaces had their windows fully open and all consulting room doors were closed when consultations were taking place. Major barriers to opening existing doors and windows include concerns regards privacy and thermal comfort [32]. In a number of spaces, we observed doors and windows had been closed to allow air conditioning units to function. Detailed qualitative research exploring TB IPC related beliefs and behaviours in the same clinics will be presented separately.

An advantage of this work is the wide range of clinical spaces studied. These included: both new and old buildings, temporary and permanent structures, in urban and rural clinics across two provinces. We found that small rooms in temporary structures were particularly badly ventilated. Investment in replacing temporary structures with well-designed permanent structures should be considered, including, where feasible, covered outdoor waiting areas. Low-cost adaptations to existing structures

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

should also be considered. A study of small, poorly-ventilated clinic rooms in Cape Town demonstrated improvements in natural ventilation associated with use of wind driven roof turbines or 'Whirlybird' fans [5]. A study in Lima, Peru measured ventilation before and after making changes to six clinical spaces. These changes ranged in intensity from repairing windows that could not be opened at a cost of USD 25, to building a sheltered outdoor waiting area at a cost of USD 7000. The changes resulted in a median 3.0 fold increase in the ventilation rate [33].

Our Wells-Riley estimates of *Mtb* transmission risk suggest that substantial reductions in transmission risk can be achieved by improvements in both natural ventilation and the duration of clinic visits. The substantial variation in ventilation rates observed among spaces within clinics also suggest that transmission risk might be reduced by reorganising care so that patients spend more time in better ventilated spaces. However, as has been argued elsewhere [34], in the absence of other precautions (e.g. consistent use of N95 respirators), very high ventilation rates are needed to protect individuals with prolonged exposure, such as healthcare workers. Where feasible, this might be achieved by moving waiting areas outdoors. The use of upper room germicidal ultraviolet (UVGI) has been advocated where there are constraints on the degree of natural ventilation that can be achieved [32]. This technology is effective at reducing *Mtb* transmission [35][36], and can offer protection on calm days and with doors and windows closed. However, recent experience in South Africa of low-quality installations and poor maintenance led to it falling out of favour [37]. UVGI implementation in resource limited settings is an active area of research and innovative approaches may facilitate easier installation and maintenance [38].

As part of the wider *Umoya omuhle* project, we have modelled the impact of IPC interventions implemented in clinics, including improvements in natural ventilation, on transmission both within clinics [39] and in surrounding communities [40]. Cost effectiveness analyses of these interventions will be published shortly. This work considers the infrastructural, organisational and behavioural changes needed for such interventions to be sustainable. These results support additional investment in a package of IPC interventions to limit the transmission of *Mtb* and other airborne pathogens. Ideally, these interventions would be introduced in manner that allowed robust estimation of their impact, as has previously been done during the national roll out of major interventions in South African healthcare system [41].

CO_2 release experiments are a robust approach to measuring ventilation. However, the approach is labour intensive, technically demanding, and not possible in large open spaces or spaces that cannot be emptied of people. For this reason, we obtained ventilation estimates using this approach in a limited number of spaces and, usually, only on a single visit. As such, we will not have captured the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

variability in ventilation that might be expected with changes in weather and season. However, considering these spaces, we obtained measurements over the course of a calendar year. The days on which we took our measurements were broadly representative with respect to temperature and wind speed. Mathematically, the rebreathed fraction approach should give comparable results, though it required assumptions about occupants' metabolic rate. Direct comparison between the two approaches, in the same space and with the same weather conditions, was not possible, because one method required the space to be occupied and other required it to be empty. It should be noted that while the confidence intervals around the estimates obtained using the rebreathed fraction approach were frequently large, this reflects changes in ventilation rates that occurred over the measurement period – for instance, due to changes in wind speed – as well as the precision of our measurements.

Simpler approaches to estimating the absolute ventilation rate are needed. Several have been proposed, such as simply measuring indoor CO_2 levels [42], or estimating transmission risk using the approach described by Rudnick and Milton [23]. However, these approaches do not partition risk into that caused by poor ventilation versus that caused by overcrowding, problems with distinct solutions. A single infectious person may transmit to a single susceptible person in a poorly ventilated space with the low room occupancy only resulting in modest rises in background CO_2 levels. Furthermore, in our experience, rebreathed fraction measurements may be difficult to interpret where there is rapid flux in levels of occupancy – i.e. in small consultation rooms where patients enter and leave frequently.

Ideally, we need approaches to estimate the absolute ventilation rate that do not depend on costly equipment; that can be performed quickly by clinic staff in occupied spaces with minimal training; and with immediate results to guide risk reduction interventions, such as reducing occupancy or opening windows. A possible approach advocated in WHO documents [1][2] makes a set of simple calculations based on wind speed, which must be measured, and the area of the windows of windows and doors. No validation data are presented and the method can only be applied in spaces with openings on opposite walls. One alternative might be a simplified tracer gas release experiment, ideally using an inert substance not usually present in room air. This might mean that small volumes of tracer gas could be released with the room in routine use. We wonder whether concentrations might then be measured using a meter adapted to feed data to a smart phone, with a phone application used to interpret the decay curve.

In conclusion, we observed substantial variation in natural ventilation in clinical spaces in primary health care facilities in two provinces of South Africa. The worst ventilated spaces were small rooms

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

where doors and windows had been closed, and temporary structures had lower ventilation rates than permanent structures. Opening all existing doors and windows resulted in meaningful improvements in ventilation. Concerns regarding privacy and thermal comfort may place limits on the ventilation rates that can be achieved. A package of IPC interventions, including those directed at improving natural ventilation, are needed to reduce *Mtb* transmission in these settings. In future work, we plan to model adaptations to clinic structures that maximise ventilation without compromising thermal comfort.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the clinical and management staff at 10 clinics where we obtained ventilation measurements. We thank Thomas Murray, Harriet Gliddon, and Sinethemba Mabuyakhulu who assisted us with ventilation measurements in KZN. We are grateful to Rod Escombe, Ed Nardell, Jon Taylor, Don Milton and Toby van Reenen for useful discussions about various aspects of ventilation science – they take no responsibility for the content of this manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: TAY, ADG, PGB

Data collection and curation: PGB, ASK, IG

Formal analysis: TAY, AD, PGB, ASK, KB

Funding acquisition: ADG, NM, TAY, KB, KK

Methodology: PGB, ASK, TAY, AD, NM, KB, ADG

Project administration: ASK, TAY, ADG, KK

Visualization: PGB, ASK, TAY

Writing – original draft: PGB

Writing – review & editing: ASK, IG, AD, NM, KB, KK, ADG, TAY

Funding

The Umoya Omuhle project is supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (UK). Grant reference: ES/P008011/1. The project is partly funded by the Antimicrobial Resistance Cross Council Initiative supported by the seven research councils in partnership with other funders including support from the Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF). TAY was funded via an NIHR Academic Clinical Fellowship. NM is additionally funded by the Wellcome Trust (218261/Z/19/Z).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplementary material

Supplementary table 1: Differences between the two methods used to measure ventilation in the clinics

Supplementary table 2: Definitions of usual and ideal conditions

Supplementary table 3: Absolute ventilation rates of the clinic spaces under usual and ideal conditions using the tracer gas release technique

Supplementary table 4: Ventilation rates using the rebreathed fraction approach using the continuous measurements under usual and ideal conditions

Supplementary Figure 1: an example of a tracer gas release experiment from Room C at KZN2 clinic (experiment 6 Monitor a). Following log transformation , the right hand side of the curve is approximately linear.

Supplementary Figure 2: Histograms showing the distribution of temperatures and wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape during working hours from January 2018 – December 2020. Vertical lines shows the mean temperatures and wind speeds on the 8 days when the rebreathed approach experiments were conducted.

Supplementary Figure 3: Association between wind speed and the absolute ventilation rates

References

1. Atkinson J, Chartier Y, Lúcia Pessoa-Silva C, Jensen P, Li Y, Seto W-H. Natural Ventilation for Infection Control in Health-Care Settings WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data: Natural ventilation for infection control in health-care settings. Geneva WHO; 2009;1.

2. Roadmap to improve and ensure good indoor ventilation in the context of COVID-19. Geneva. World Health Organization;2021. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2021.

3. WHO guidelines on tuberculosis infection prevention and control, 2019 update, Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Available: https://www.who.int/tb/publications/2019/guidelines-tuberculosis-infection-prevention-2019/en/%0Ahttps://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/preventive-care/infection-control/Annexes4_5-GRADEtables.pdf?ua=1&ua=1

4. Taylor JG, Yates TA, Mthethwa M, Tanser F, Abubakar I, Altamirano H. Measuring ventilation and modelling M. Tuberculosis transmission in indoor congregate settings, rural KwaZulu-Natal. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2016;20. doi:10.5588/ijtld.16.0085

5. Cox H, Escombe R, McDermid C, Mtshemla Y, Spelman T, Azevedo V, et al. Wind-driven roof

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

turbines: A novel way to improve ventilation for TB infection control in health facilities. PLoS One. 2012;7: 1–6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029589

6. Richardson ET, Morrow CD, Ho T, Fürst N, Cohelia R, Tram KH, et al. Forced removals embodied as tuberculosis. Soc Sci Med. 2016;161: 13–18. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.05.015

7. Wood R, Morrow C, Ginsberg S, Piccoli E, Kalil D, Sassi A, et al. Quantification of shared air: A Social and environmental determinant of airborne disease transmission. PLoS One. 2014;9: 1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106622

8. Andrews JR, Morrow C, Walensky RP, Wood R. Integrating social contact and environmental data in evaluating tuberculosis transmission in a South African township. J Infect Dis. 2014;210: 597–603. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu138

9. Hella J, Morrow C, Mhimbira F, Ginsberg S, Chitnis N, Gagneux S, et al. Tuberculosis transmission in public locations in Tanzania: A novel approach to studying airborne disease transmission. J Infect. 2017;75: 191–197. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2017.06.009

10. Gandhi NR, Moll A, Sturm AW, Pawinski R, Govender T, Lalloo U, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis as a cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in a rural area of South Africa. Lancet (London, England). 2006;368: 1575–1580. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69573-1

11. Bock NN, Jensen PA, Miller B, Nardell E. Tuberculosis Infection Control in Resource-Limited Settings in the Era of Expanding HIV Care and Treatment. J Infect Dis. 2007;196: S108–S113. doi:10.1086/518661

12. McCreesh N, Grant AD, Yates TA, Karat AS, White RG. Tuberculosis from transmission in clinics in high HIV settings may be far higher than contact data suggest. Int J Tuberc lung Dis. 2020;24: 403–408. doi:10.5588/ijtld.19.0410

13. Mzembe T, Mclean E, Khan PY, Koole O, Sichali L, Mwinuka V, et al. Risk of Mycobacterium tuberculosis transmission in an antiretroviral therapy clinic. AIDS. 2018;32: 2417–2421. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000002006

14. Bantubani N, Kabera G, Connolly C, Rustomjee R, Reddy T, Cohen T, et al. High rates of potentially infectious tuberculosis and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) among hospital inpatients in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa indicate risk of nosocomial transmission. PLoS One. 2014;9: 1–7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090868

15. Uden L, Barber E, Ford N, Cooke GS. Risk of Tuberculosis Infection and Disease for Health Care Workers: An Updated Meta-Analysis. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4: 1–7. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofx137

16. McCarthy KM, Scott LE, Gous N, Tellie M, Venter WDF, Stevens WS, et al. High incidence of latent tuberculous infection among South African health workers: an urgent call for action. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2015;19: 647–653. doi:10.5588/ijtld.14.0759

17. Escombe AR, Oeser CC, Gilman RH, Navincopa M, Ticona E, Pan W, et al. Natural ventilation for the prevention of airborne contagion. PLoS Med. 2007;4: 0309–0317. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040068

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

18. Levin H. Natural ventilation: A sustainable solution to infection control in healthcare settings? IAQ Conferene: IAQ 2010: Airborne Infection Control. 2010 : 1–10.

19. Kielmann K, Karat AS, Zwama G, Colvin C, Swartz A, Voce AS, et al. Tuberculosis infection prevention and control: Why we need a whole systems approach. Infect Dis Poverty. 2020;9: 1–4. doi:10.1186/s40249-020-00667-6

20. Umoya Omuhle. Infection prevention and control for drug-resistant tuberculosis in South Africa in the era of decentralised care: A whole systems approach. 2021.

21. Wallrauch C, Heller T, Lessells R, Kekana M, Bärnighausen T, Newell M. F ORUM High uptake of HIV testing for tuberculosis patients in an integrated primary health care HIV / TB programme in rural. 2010;100: 146–147.

22. Menzies R, Schwartzman K, Loo V, Pasztor J. Measuring ventilation of patient care areas in hospitals. Description of a new protocol. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1995;152: 1992–1999. doi:10.1164/ajrccm.152.6.8520767

23. Rudnick SN, Milton DK. Risk of indoor airborne infection transmission estimated from carbon dioxide concentration. Indoor Air. 2003;13: 237–245. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0668.2003.00189.x

24. Persily A, de Jonge L. Carbon dioxide generation rates for building occupants. Indoor Air. 2017;27: 868–879. doi:10.1111/ina.12383

25. Doel AK, Beckwith P, Yates TA, Karat AS, Yan AWC, Baisley K, et al. Estimating ventilation rates in rooms with varying occupancy levels: relevance for reducing transmission risk of airborne pathogens. PLoS One. 2021.

26. Riley EC, Murphy G, Riley RL. Airborne spread of measles in a suburban elementary school. Am J Epidemiol. 1978;107: 421–432. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112560

27. Riley RL, Mills CC, O'Grady F, Sultan LU, Wittstadt F, Shivpuri DN. Infectiousness of air from a tuberculosis ward. Ultraviolet irradiation of infected air: comparative infectiousness of different patients. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1962;85: 511–525. doi:10.1164/arrd.1962.85.4.511

28. Escombe AR, Moore DAJ, Gilman RH, Pan W, Navincopa M, Ticona E, et al. The Infectiousness of Tuberculosis Patients Coinfected with HIV. PLOS Med. 2008;5: 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050188

29. R Core Team 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available: https://www.r-project.org/.

30. Karat AS, McCreesh N, Baisley K, Govender I, Kallon II, Kielmann K, et al. Waiting times, patient flow, and occupancy density in South African primary health care clinics: implications for infection prevention and control. medRxiv. : 1–31. doi:MedRxiv Doi: 10.1101/2021.07.21.21260806

31. Govender I, Karat AS, Baisley K, Dayi N, Gunda R, Smit T, et al. Prevalence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in sputum among adult clinic attendees compared with the surrounding community in rural South Africa: implications for finding the missing millions. Intern J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2020;24: S363.

32. Nardell E, Lederer P, Mishra H, Nathavitharana R, Theron G. Cool but dangerous: How climate

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

change is increasing the risk of airborne infections. Indoor Air. 2020;30: 195–197. doi:10.1111/ina.12608

33. Escombe AR, Ticona E, Chávez-Pérez V, Espinoza M, Moore DAJ. Improving natural ventilation in hospital waiting and consulting rooms to reduce nosocomial tuberculosis transmission risk in a low resource setting. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19: 1–7. doi:10.1186/s12879-019-3717-9

34. Nardell EA, Keegan J, Cheney SA, Etkind SC. Airborne infection. Theoretical limits of protection achievable by building ventilation. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1991;144: 302–306. doi:10.1164/ajrccm/144.2.302

35. Escombe AR, Moore DAJ, Gilman RH, Navincopa M, Ticona E, Mitchell B, et al. Upper-room ultraviolet light and negative air ionization to prevent tuberculosis transmissio. PLoS Med. 2009;6: 0312–0323. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000043

36. Mphaphlele M, Dharmadhikari AS, Jensen PA, Rudnick SN, van Reenen TH, Pagano MA, et al. Institutional Tuberculosis Transmission. Controlled Trial of Upper Room Ultraviolet Air Disinfection: A Basis for New Dosing Guidelines. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;192: 477–484. doi:10.1164/rccm.201501-0060OC

37. Nardell E, Vincent R, Sliney DH. Upper-Room Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation (UVGI) for Air Disinfection: A Symposium in Print. Photochem Photobiol. 2013;89: 764–769. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/php.12098

38. Linnes JC, Rudnick SN, Hunt GM, McDevitt JJ, Nardell EA. Eggcrate UV: a whole ceiling upper-room ultraviolet germicidal irradiation system for air disinfection in occupied rooms. Indoor Air. 2014;24: 116–124. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12063

39. McCreesh N, Karat AS, Baisley K, Diaconu K, Bozzani F, Govender I, et al. Modelling the effect of infection prevention and control measures on rate of Mycobacterium tuberculosis transmission to clinic attendees in primary health clinics in South Africa. 2021; 1–8. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007124

40. McCreesh N, Karat AS, Govender I, Baisley K, Diaconu K, Yates TA, et al. Estimating the contribution of transmission in primary healthcare clinics to community-wide TB disease incidence, and the impact of infection prevention and control interventions, in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. medRxiv. 2021; 2021.08.02.21260844. doi:10.1101/2021.08.02.21260844

41. Churchyard GJ, Stevens WS, Mametja LD, McCarthy KM, Chihota V, Nicol MP, et al. Xpert MTB/RIF versus sputum microscopy as the initial diagnostic test for tuberculosis: A cluster-randomised trial embedded in South African roll-out of Xpert MTB/RIF. Lancet Glob Heal. 2015;3: e450–e457. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00100-X

42. Richardson ET, Morrow CD, Kalil DB, Bekker LG, Wood R. Shared air: A renewed focus on ventilation for the prevention of tuberculosis transmission. PLoS One. 2014;9: 1–7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096334

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplementary material: Direct estimates of absolute ventilation rates in primary level clinics in South Africa

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary table 1: Differences between the two methods used to measure ventilation in the clinics

Name of method	Brief description of method	Room conditions in which experiments performed	Occupancy of space at time of experiment	Rooms in which experiments were performed in	Advantages	Disadvantages
Tracer gas release experiments	CO_2 was used as the tracer gas for this method. CO_2 was released. The windows and doors were opened and the decay of the CO_2 was measured.	Ideal and usual conditions	Rooms had no HCWs or patients in the room	Mainly small spaces where a high CO_2 baseline could be achieved	Gold standard	CO_2 is released into the atmosphere Difficult to measure large spaces with this method Difficult to perform during work hours in areas with a large occupancy
Rebreathed fraction approach	CO_2 levels were measured every second in three inside sites of the waiting room and at one site outside of the building. Headcounts were performed.	Ideal and usual conditions	Rooms were occupied by HCWs and patients	Main waiting rooms	Can be performed during working hours.	Headcounts were performed every 10 minutes. The waiting rooms were very dynamic so the variations of CO_2 produced by the number of people may not have been captured

CO_2: Carbon dioxide HCW: healthcare workers

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplementary table 2: Definitions of Usual and Ideal conditions

Term	**Description**
Usual conditions	The configuration of the existing windows and doors in the room observed on the day of room volume measurements (in the case of the tracer gas release experiments); or The configuration of the existing windows and doors found when in use (in the case of the rebreathed fraction approach)
Ideal conditions	All windows and doors fully opened to allow for maximal ventilation

Supplementary table 3: Absolute ventilation rates of the clinic spaces under usual and ideal conditions using the tracer gas release technique

Clinic	Rooms	Use of room	Room volume (m^3)	ACH under usual conditions	Absolute ventilation under usual conditions (CI)	ACH under ideal conditions	Absolute ventilation under ideal conditions (CI)	Fold increase in ventilation rate (absolute/ ideal)
WC1	Room CR3	Consulting room	30.9	1.69 (1.12 – 2.27)	52.43 (34.57 – 70.28)	15.82 (12.43 – 19.199)	489.44 (384.72 – 594.17)	9.34
WC2	Room CR	Consulting room	29.4	2.11 (1.39 –2.84)	62.04 (40.68 – 83.37)	54.01 (44.81 – 63.21)	1586.52 (1316.34 – 1856.71)	25.57
WC3	Room D	Consulting	22.4	0	0	14.73 (12.40 – 17.06)	329.69 (277.50 – 381.88)	*
WC3	Room G	Waiting room	31.3	26.47 (18.03 – 34.91)	827.56 (563.61 – 1091.52)	26.47 (18.03 – 34.91)	827.56 (563.61 – 1091.52)	1
WC3	Room H	Consulting room	45.5	31.93 (25.87 – 37.99)	1451.45 (1176.01 – 1726.94)	34.10 (28.36 – 39.84)	1550.28 (1289.34 – 1811.27)	1.07
WC3	Room J	Consulting room	22.1	9.66 (8.53 – 10.79)	213.35 (188.39-238.33)	29.50 (17.27 – 41.72)	651.47 (381.49 – 921.46)	3.05
WC5	Room A	Consulting room	32.9	3.91 (2.41 – 5.51)	128.54 (79.19 – 177.90)	7.22 (5.33 – 9.12)	237.37 (175.10 – 299.64)	1.85
WC6	Room PD	Waiting area	54.3	36.18 (27.21 – 45.15)	1962.93 (1476.36 – 2449.50)	65.16 (53.49 – 76.84)	3535.09 (2901.94 – 4168.30)	1.8
WC6	Room TB	Waiting area	81.0	32.74 (29.21 – 36.28)	2650.95 (2364.52 – 2937.39)	36.11 (25.71 – 46.5)	2923.22 (2081.72 – 3764.64)	1.10
KZN1	Room CD	Vitals	16.7	3.47 (3.07 – 3.87)	58.03 (51.32 – 64.74)	23.39 (18.16 – 28.62)	391.25 (303.70 – 478.81)	6.74
KZN1	Room CI	Consulting	20.8	17.34 (14.99 – 19.68)	360.42 (311.66 – 409.17)	25.42 (22.27 – 28.58)	528.60 (463.04 – 594.17)	1.47
KZN1	Room ME	Consulting	20.7	3.06 (1.15 – 5.01)	63.33 (22.89 – 103.78)	13.18 (12.62 – 13.75)	273.03 (261.39 – 284.69)	4.31
KZN1	Room MF	Consulting	32.8	15.07 (14.23 – 15.91)	493.81 (466.25 – 521.36)	18.76 (17.35 – 20.18)	614.77 (568.41 – 661.13)	1.25
KZN2	Room A	Waiting area	135.4	14.57 (12.03 – 17.12)	1972.65 (1628.02 – 2317.29)	24.18 (18.55 – 29.81)	3273.22 (2511.26 – 4035.18)	1.65
KZN2	Room C	Vitals	42.7	22.26 (20.81 – 23.71)	949.92 (887.99 – 1011.81)	24.29 (23.32 – 25.26)	1036.65 (995.38 – 1077.92)	1.09
KZN2	Room HI	Consulting	34.6	6.43 (3.60 – 9.27)	222.70 (124.64 – 320.73)	26.88 (22.95 – 30.81)	930.30 (794.20 – 1066.36)	4.17
KZN2	Room K	Waiting area	79.6	4.25 (3.19 – 5.31)	338.03 (253.80 – 422.34)	15.74 (11.52 – 19.95)	1252.77 (917.13 – 1588.42)	3.71

KZN2	Room M	Consulting	44.8	4.41 (4.00 – 4.82)	197.39 (178.99 – 215.75)	26.34 (23.60 – 29.075)	1179.33 (1056.67 – 1301.98)	5.97
KZN2	Room S	Consulting	14.7	1.24 (0.78 – 1.71)	18.26 (11.50 – 25.05)	26.70 (23.15 – 30.248)	391.97 (339.88- 444.07)	21.46
KZN2	Room V	Corridor inside	53.4	25.03 (19.68 – 30.38)	1337.07 (1051.19 – 1622.90)	32.64 (19.39 – 45.89)	1743.74 (1035.91 – 2451.57)	1.30
KZN3	Room HAST	Waiting area	106.4	21.05 (17.80 – 24.30)	2240.34 (1894.16 – 2586.42)	29.32 (26.68 – 31.95)	3119.68 (2839.59 – 3399.78)	1.39
KZN3	Room TB	Waiting area	28.1	9.09(7.86 – 10.31)	255.41 (220.89 – 289.90)	27.69 (23.19 – 32.194)	7778.49 (651.97 – 904.99)	3.05
KZN4	Area L	Main indoor waiting area	50.2	25.69 (23.03 – 28.35)	1289.38 (1156.03 – 1422.68)	40.32 (34.25 – 46.39)	2023.68 (1719.09 – 2328.27)	1.57
KZN4	Space STU	Bloods room	51.8	7.73 (6.61 – 8.85)	400.34 (342.22 – 458.47)	18.09 (15.29 – 20.89)	936.98 (792.04 – 1081.93)	2.34
KZN6	Room KJ	Waiting area	68.3	13.71 (12.10 – 15.32)	936.88 (826.89 – 1046.87)	43.57 (39.42 – 47.73)	2976.71 (2692.93 – 3260.43)	3.18
KZN6	Room N	Consulting room	35.5	20.36 (19.99 – 20.74)	723.68 (710.49 – 736.86)	27.73 (26.27 – 29.19)	985.52 (933.70 – 1037.33)	1.36

*Unable to calculate fold increase as ACH under usual conditions was 0.

Supplementary table 4: Ventilation rates using the rebreathed fraction approach using continuous measurements under usual and ideal conditions

Facility	Rooms	Volume of room	ACH under usual conditions (95% CI)	Absolute ventilation under usual conditions(95% CI) l/s	Absolute ventilation under usual conditions(95% C/I) m^3/hr	ACH under ideal conditions (95% CI)	Absolute ventilation under ideal conditions (95% CI) l/s	Absolute ventilation under ideal conditions(95% C/I) m^3/hr	Fold increase in ventilation
Facility WC1	Room WA2	509.6	3.09 (2.43 – 3.74)	436.71 (344.08 – 529.34)	1572.16 (1238.69 – 1905.62)	13.71 (9.46 –17.96)	1940.78 (1339.33 - 2542.23)	6986.81 (4821.59 – 9152.03)	4.44
	Room WA4	157.3	9.13 (6.12 – 12.14)	399.14 (267.61 – 530.68)	1436.90 (963.40 – 1910.45)	59.29 (36.01 – 82.57)	2591.21 (1573.8 - 3608.62)	9328.36 (5665.68 – 12991.03)	6.49
Facility WC2	Room WA1	213.9	3.28 (2.37 – 4.19)	194.85 (140.96 – 248.73)	701.46 (507.456 – 895.43)	8.74 (5.91 – 11.58)	519.53 (351.24 - 687.82)	1870.31 (1264.46 – 2476.15)	2.66
Facility WC3	Room X	549.0	4.36 (3.84 – 4.87)	664.3 (586.37 – 742.23)	2391.48 (2210.93 – 2672.03)	4.36 (3.84 – 4.87)	664.3 (586.37 - 742.23)	2391.48 (2210.93 – 2672.03)	1
Facility WC5	Room E	2147.3	2.08 (1.87 – 2.3)	1241.75 (1113.2 – 1370.29)	4470.3 (4007.52 – 4933.04)	2.54 (2.17 – 2.91)	1516.34 (1295.59 - 1737.09)	5458.82 (4664.12 – 6253.52)	1.22
Facility KZN1	Room MA	226.7	21.24 (19.18 – 23.3)	1337.53 (1207.89 – 1467.18)	4815.11 (4348.40 – 5281.85)	24.06 (17.35 – 30.78)	1515.17 (1092.53 - 1937.82)	5454.61 (3933.11 – 6976.15)	1.13
Facility KZN3	Room U	458.7	4.62 (4.00 – 5.23)	588.04 (509.63 – 666.44)	2116.94 (1834.67 – 2399.18)	6.07 (4.7 – 7.43)	772.79 (598.84 - 946.75)	2782.04 (2155.82 – 3408.3)	1.31
Facility KZN4	Space L	50.2	33.49 (28.51 – 38.46)	466.83 (397.52 – 536.14)	1680.59 (1431.07 – 1930.10)	107.22 (83.15 – 131.29)	1494.74 (1159.15 - 1830.33)	5381.06 (4172.94 – 6589.19)	3.20

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. An example of a tracer gas release experiment from Room C at KZN2 clinic (experiment 6 Monitor A). Following log transformation, the right hand side of the curve is approximately linear.



CO_2: carbon dioxide; PPM: parts per million

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Figure 2: Histograms showing the distribution of temperatures and wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape during working hours from January 2018 - December 2020. Vertical lines shows the mean temperatures and wind speeds on the 8 days when the rebreathed approach experiments were conducted



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.22272421; this version posted March 18, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Figure 3: The association between wind speed at the nearest weather station and the number of air changes per hour in 26 clinical spaces. Measurements were taken both under usual conditions (Beta: 1.56, 95% CI [-1.98 – 5.10]; R2: 0.03) and ideal conditions (Beta: 3.96, 95 CI [0.23 – 7.70]; R2: 0.17)