Efficacy of LD Bio *Aspergillus* ICT Lateral flow assay for serodiagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis

Animesh Ray^a, Mohit Chowdhury^a, Janya Sachdev^b, Prayas Sethi^a, Ved Prakash Meena^a, Gagandeep Singh^b, Immaculata Xess^b, Surabhi Vyas^c, MA Khan^d, Sanjeev Sinha^a, David W. Denning^f, Naveet Wig^a, SK Kabra^e

^a Department of Medicine , AIIMS, New Delhi.

^b Department of Microbiology , AIIMS , New Delhi

^c Department of Radiodiagnosis , AIIMS , New Delhi

^d Department of Biostatistics , AIIMS , New Delhi

^e Department of Pediatrics , AIIMS , New Delhi

^f Manchester Fungal Infection Group, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom and Global Action Fund for Fungal Infections, Geneva, Switzerland. ORCID: 0000-0001-5626-2251

Corresponding author: Animesh Ray, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, AIIMS New Delhi. ORCID: 0000-0002-9434-5338

Keywords: chronic pulmonary aspergillosis, aspergillosis, LDBio LFA, IgG, *Aspergillus*, post-tuberculosis, fungus, lateral flow assay, serodiagnosis, CPA

Abstract :

Background: The diagnosis of CPA relies on the detection of IgG *Aspergillus* antibody which is not freely available, especially in resource-poor settings. Point-of-care tests like LDBio *Aspergillus* ICT lateral flow assay, evaluated in only a few studies, have shown promising results for diagnosis of CPA. However no study has compared the diagnostic performances of LDBio LFA in setting of tuberculosis endemic countries and have compared it with that of IgG *Aspergillus*.

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic performances of LDBio LFA in CPA and compare it with existing diagnostic algorithm utilising ImmunoCAP IgG *Aspergillus*.

Methods: Serial patients presenting with respiratory symptoms (cough, hemoptysis, fever etc) for > 4 weeks were screened for eligibility. Relevant investigations including direct microscopy and culture of respiratory secretions, IgG *Aspergillus*, chest imaging etc were done according to existing algorithm. Serum of all patients were tested by LDBio LFA and IgG *Aspergillus* (ImmunoCAP Asp IgG) and their diagnostic performances were compared.

Results: A total of 174 patients were included in the study with ~66.7% patients having past history of tuberculosis. A diagnosis of CPA was made in 74 (42.5%) of patients. The estimated sensitivity and specificity of LDBio LFA was 67.6% (95% CI: 55.7%- 78%) and 81% (95% CI: 71.9%-81%) respectively which increased to 73.3% (95% CI: 60.3%-83.9%) and 83.9% (95% CI: 71.7%-92.4%) respectively in patients with past history of tuberculosis. The sensitivity and specificity of IgG *Aspergillus* was 82.4% (95% CI: 71.8%-90.3%) and 82% (95% CI: 73.1-89%); 86.7% (95% CI: 75.4%-94.1%) and 80.4% (95% CI: 67.6%-89.8%) in the whole group and those with past history of tuberculosis respectively.

Conclusions: LDBio LFA is a point-of-care test with reasonable sensitivity and specificity. However, further tests may have to be done to rule-in or rule-out the diagnosis of CPA in the appropriate setting.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Introduction

The relationship between the fungus and the host typically determines the manifestation of *Aspergillus* lung disease ranging from acute and subacute invasive to chronic pulmonary aspergillosis.^{1,2} Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis (CPA) is a collection of serious illnesses characterised by persistent cough, dyspnea, haemoptysis, fatigue and weight loss.³ Chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis (CCPA) is the most frequent manifestation of CPA, which can progress to chronic fibrosing pulmonary aspergillosis if left untreated. Single aspergilloma and *Aspergillus* nodule are some of the less prevalent manifestations of CPA.⁴ Pre-disposing factors for CPA include underlying pulmonary illnesses like chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or mycobacteriosis, as well as prevalent immunosuppressive disorders like diabetes.³

Patients with CPA have significant morbidity affecting roughly 3 million individuals globally. The overall 5-year mortality rate ranges up to 80%, resulting in an estimated 450,000 yearly fatalities.⁵ As a result of India's high TB disease load, post-tuberculosis sequelae are common.⁶ CPA has an annual incidence of 27,000 to 170,000 cases, with a 5-year prevalence of 24 per 100,000.⁷ In India, the prevalence may be very high in post-tuberculosis sequelae patients (~57%) and recurrence of tuberculosis has been reported to be an important independent risk factor for development of CPA.⁸ No single clinical or radiological manifestation or laboratory result is adequate for a conclusive diagnosis of CPA; rather, an amalgamation of clinical, radiographic, and microbiological findings is used since the presentation may be non-specific and might be difficult to differentiate from pulmonary tuberculosis.^{9,10,11,12} The microbiological evidence for diagnosis is considered with direct confirmation of *Aspergillus* infection (microscopy or culture from BAL fluid/biopsy) or a immune response to *Aspergillus* spp.⁴

In the frequent absence of positive cultures, serologic assays are essential for diagnosis of CPA.¹³ In the earliest assay formats, antibodies against *Aspergillus fumigatus* were identified by detection of precipitins with high specificity utilising the double immunodiffusion test (DID) or counter immune-electrophoresis (CIE) technique. These methods however had a long turnaround time, required significant labour with a large inoculum of fungal extract and patient serum extracts, and the results were only semi-quantitative.¹³ Other commercially accessible serological tests subsequently were launched which may be employed for diagnosis of CPA, such as enzyme immunoassay (EIA), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and indirect hemagglutination (IHA), however performance levels vary amongst tests, and redefining of cut-off values for different populations and diagnoses may be required to maximise performance.⁹ Indirect hemagglutination is clearly inferior to other methods.¹⁴ Moreover, performance of these tests often requires costly equipment, steady power supply, technical expertise as well as considerable costs.

In recent years, the lateral flow assay (LFA) has been employed to simplify *Aspergillus* IgG detection with quick turn-around time and little laboratory equipment. The only commercially available LFA for detecting *Aspergillus* IgG is LDBio LFA *Aspergillus* immunochromatographic technology (hereafter referred to as LDBio LFA).¹⁵ A point-of-care test has been felt to be essential in simplifying the diagnosis and management of CPA, especially in a resource-limited setting. When compared to ImmunoCAP (Thermo Fisher) for levels of *Aspergillus fumigatus* specific IgG (hereafter referred to as ImmunoCAP Asp IgG), the LDBio LFA has exhibited good sensitivity and specificity for detection of CPA in studies done in centres in France and United Kingdom.^{16, 17} A study had also reported sensitivity and specificity of 80% and 70% respectively of LDBio LFA from Indonesia, which however did not use an alternative IgG *Aspergillus* in the diagnostic algorithm. So, the present study was conducted out of the necessity of evaluating the diagnostic performances of this point-of-care

test in a tuberculosis endemic country with a significant burden of CPA and to compare it with the existing diagnostic criteria including IgG *Aspergillus*.

Materials & Methods

Between February 2020 and December 2021, consecutive patients presenting to chest clinic of a tertiary care unit in North India with respiratory symptoms (cough, hemoptysis, fever, shortness of breath, chest pain etc) of more than four weeks duration were enrolled in the study. Patients with an apparent non-CPA diagnosis such as lung malignancy or who refused consent for serological tests were excluded from the study. Ethical permission was taken from Institute Ethics Committee for the conduct of this study (IEC-52/08.01.2021, RP-10/2021). Written consent form was obtained from all participants before enrolment into the study as per institutional protocol.

After enrolment, the case details were recorded in a standardized case record form (CRF), by a trained professional. Relevant investigations including blood tests, chest imaging, sputum examination, bronchoalveolar lavage was done as per the discretion of the treating physician. Serum samples collected from all the individuals were evaluated by LDBio LFA assays and ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay. The diagnosis of CPA was made individually by two researchers (AR and MC), and then corroborated as per the European Respiratory Society/European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ERS/ESCMID) criteria.¹⁸ The diagnosis relied on appropriate clinical, radiological and microbiological parameters. Microbiological evidence included a positive serological result using the ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay to measure *Aspergillus*-specific IgG levels (> 27 mgA milligrams of antibodies)/liter considered to be the cut-off for positive result), histopathological evidence of CPA following lung biopsy or resection, positive result in galactomannan assay performed on serum or BAL samples using the Platelia *Aspergillus* galactomannan ELISA (Bio-Rad Laboratories) interpreted according to cutoffs provided in the 2019 EORTC/MSGERC guidelines (galactomannan index > 1 was considered positive for both serum and BAL), and respiratory samples showing hyaline septate hyphae morphologically suggestive of *Aspergillus* spp in direct microscopy, or growth of *Aspergillus* spp in culture⁴. Radiological criteria for diagnosis were adapted from those used commonly in a resource-constrained setting¹⁹ The *Aspergillus* ICT IgG IgM lateral flow assay (LDBio LFA, Diagnostics, Lyon, France) was used to test each sample, and all tests were performed and interpreted according to the manufacturer's instructions. The presence of a well-defined black line at the "Test (T)" and "Control (C)" markers was considered as a positive result. The presence of a thin, diffuse grey line at the "T" marker indicates a "weakly positive" result. .

An alternative analyses was done assuming cut-off of 40mgA/L for IgG against *Aspergillus* spp, as is suggested by the manufacturer as well as validated in studies.^{17 20 21}

Statistical analysis

The sample size was estimated considering a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 84% (average of the diagnostic accuracies reported by Hunter et al¹⁷ in healthy population and Rozaliyani et al²² in tuberculosis-treated patients) and taking a precision of 8.5% with 95% confidence level. The sample size estimated was at least 68 cases and 72 non-CPA cases.

Categorical and continuous variables were reported in frequencies and in percentages and mean with standard deviation/median with minimum, maximum depending on the nature of the data respectively. Fisher's exact /chi-squared tests were used to establish association for categorical variables while Student's t-test or Wilcoxon ranksum test was used for continuous variables as appropriate. Those with p value < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results

A total of 218 patients were screened and 174 patients were included in this study (figure 1). The baseline characteristics of the study population are detailed in Tables 1-3. One hundred and sixteen (62.1%) enrollees were male with a mean age of 40.7 (± 13.9 years). The vast majority of patients (98.3%) were HIV seronegative. In total, 116 (66.67%) patients had a prior history of documented pulmonary tuberculosis and 46 (29.1%) had used inhalational devices in the last month.

In this study population, 74 patients (42.5%) fulfilled the diagnosis of CPA while a diagnosis of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis was made in 13 (7.5%) patients. Out of the 74 patients with CPA 44 (60.3%) had chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis, 19 (26%) chronic fibrosing pulmonary aspergillosis and 10 (13.7%) had *Aspergillus* nodules. Majority of the patients (> 90%) was diagnosed by positive ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay as the sole microbiological criteria along with corroborative clinic-radiological features. Pulmonary tuberculosis was diagnosed in 16 (9.2%) patients. Cough was the most common symptom, reported in 106 (61.6%) patients. On chest imaging, cavity was the most common reported finding, being present in 74 patients (42.5%). Serum IgG specific for *Aspergillus fumigatus* was elevated in 61 (82.4%) of the group diagnosed with CPA. Out of 131 patients who produced sputum or underwent bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), fungal culture showed growth of *Aspergillus* spp in nine patients (6.9%). BAL galactomannan was positive in 17 (9.8%) patients in those with CPA.

The sensitivity and specificity of LDBio LFA for diagnosis of CPA (as compared to the ERS/ESCMID criteria) in our study subjects presenting with respiratory symptoms for at least four weeks was 67.6% (95% CI: 55.7%- 78%) and 81% (95% CI: 71.9%-81%) respectively with a diagnostic accuracy of 75.3%. In the population with a past history of tuberculosis, the sensitivity and specificity improved to 73.3% (95% CI: 60.3%-83.9%) and 83.9% (95% CI: 71.7%-92.4%) respectively; and the estimated diagnostic accuracy was 78.5%. In those with past history of tuberculosis and with symptoms >3 months, the sensitivity and specificity further improved to 74.1% (95% CI: 60.3%-85%) and 85% (95% CI: 70.2%-94.3%) respectively; with diagnostic accuracy being 78.7%.

In contrast, the sensitivity and specificity for ImmunoCAP Asp IgG for diagnosis of CPA was 82.4% (95% CI: 71.8%-90.3%) and 82% (95% CI: 73.1-89%) respectively. The diagnostic performances of both LDBio LFA and IgG are represented in Table 4. The kappa for agreement between LDBio LFA and *Aspergillus fumigatus* was 0.53.

According to the alternative analyses using cut-off of *Aspergillus*-specific IgG assay as 40 mgA/L, the diagnostic performances of LDBio LFA were altered (in most cases the diagnostic accuracies marginally fell) as shown in Table 5. Chest radiograph and CT thorax of a CPA patient who was negative for both ImmunoCAP Asp IgG & LDBio LFA is depicted in figure 3

Discussion

The benefits of LDBio LFA under evaluation reported in existing literature include minimal requirement of resources, time and machinery – all of which are important in diagnosing CPA in resource-constrained settings where CPA is predominantly found. In the present study, in a population presenting with symptoms predominantly suggestive of persistent respiratory symptoms (> four weeks), the assay had a sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of 67.6%, 81% and 75.3% respectively. In the population who had a past history of pulmonary tuberculosis, the

sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of the assay increased to 73.3%, 83.9% and 78.5% respectively. The ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay for detection of IgG *Aspergillus fumigatus* in the same population had a better sensitivity of ~ 82.4% and diagnostic accuracy of 82.2% though with similar specificity (82%), using a cutoff of >27 mA/ml. This performance is not as good as published previously at the same cutoff from a previous study.²³ The possible reason may be the inclusion of serum galactomannan (EIA> 0.5) as microbiological criteria for diagnosis of CPA in the previous study. A significant proportion of controls in our study was also positive for serum galactomannan indicating a plausibility of false positivity owing to previous antibiotics or food habits.^{24 25} There was moderate degree of agreement²⁶ between LDBio LFA and the ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay (kappa=0.53).

Diagnosis of CPA is dependent on the use of serological tests especially IgG against *Aspergillus spp* in addition to radiological features¹⁸. Since the antibody estimation is costly, access to these tests are often restricted in resource constrained settings.²⁷ On the other hand, conventional fungal cultures have typically poor positivity rate (ranging from 10-40%)²⁸ which might increase markedly by high volume culture (~ 54% in mixed cases of pulmonary aspergillosis)²⁹. Further, different features on chest radiographs and CT scans have variable sensitivity and specificity which may be as low as ~28%.³⁰ For example, a normal chest radiograph had an excellent performance in ruling out CPA, and such patients do not need testing for *Aspergillus* IgG.³⁰ Utility of galactomannan antigen in serum or BAL have been evaluated in numerous studies which have yielded different cut-offs, making it difficult to introduce a uniform criteria for diagnosis of CPA.^{31 32 33} Access to point-of-care tests like LDBio LFA, is important in identifying the significant load of CPA patients in tuberculosis-endemic countries which are usually 'economically developing' and resource constrained. Our study shows that this assay can be used as a screening test to detect ~70% of CPA patients. However, the test may be false-negative in ~ 30% of CPA patients, implying that other ancillary tests have to be performed before ruling out the diagnosis of CPA in those patients with high index of suspicion. Our study also showed that LDBio LFA and ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay had similar specificity ~ 82% suggesting that the former may be a reliable 'rule-in' test. In the light of the present study, it seems that LDBio LFA, though demonstrating lower sensitivity and comparable specificity IgG *Aspergillus*, may have a significant role in identifying patients with CPA. It can be used a screening test in patients presenting with persistent respiratory symptoms in whom CPA is a probable diagnosis. Due to the low sensitivity of LDBio LFA, those who are negative, need to be followed up with other tests like IgG against *Aspergillus*. Those who are positive, may be treated as cases of CPA, owing to similar specificity of ImmunoCAP Asp IgG assay, provided the clinical and radiological features are compatible with the diagnosis of CPA. An algorithm depicting the possible role of LDBio LFA is depicted in Figure 2.

The effectiveness of the LDBio LFA in diagnosing CPA has been demonstrated in three previous studies – one each in France, United Kingdom and Indonesia and its use has also been reported from a case study from Uganda.³⁴ The reported sensitivity and specificity in the three studies along with that of our study is shown in table 6. The differences in the sensitivities/specificities of the three studies can be explained by the difference in the recruited population. While, the Indonesian study included patients after completion of tuberculosis therapy, the UK study included sera of known CPA patients and used 'matched' sera of healthy controls. In our study, we recruited patients from outpatients and inpatient settings with predominantly chronic respiratory symptoms as the primary presenting complaint. Different diagnostic criteria were used in the various studies. Approximately 66.7% of our patients had past history of pulmonary tuberculosis. Our study population likely

represented the real-life scenario where in CPA suspects often present without a past history of respiratory illnesses and often are misdiagnosed as ‘smear-negative’ tuberculosis.³⁵ Our study had the following limitations. Only three patients of our patients (<2%) were afflicted by HIV. The absolute number of patients with growth of *Aspergillus* spp in their respiratory samples was also lower (~ 5.2%) in our study population. However, in our study, all the diagnoses were confirmed using the existing guidelines for diagnosis of CPA and ImmunoCAP Asp IgG, which is widely regarded as a high quality quantitative diagnostic test, was performed in all patients.

Conclusion: LDBio LFA has reasonable sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of CPA and can be used in resource-poor settings due to its simplicity of process, minimal requirement for equipment and infrastructure, quick turn-around time and low cost.

References

1. Kosmidis C, Denning DW. The clinical spectrum of pulmonary aspergillosis. *Thorax*. 2015;70(3):270-277. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206291
2. Swain S, Ray A, Sarda R, et al. COVID-19-associated subacute invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses*. 2022;65(1):57-64. doi:10.1111/myc.13369
3. Schweer KE, Bangard C, Hekmat K, Cornely OA. Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses*. 2014;57(5):257-270. doi:10.1111/myc.12152
4. Denning DW, Cadranel J, Beigelman-Aubry C, et al. Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis: rationale and clinical guidelines for diagnosis and management. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;47(1):45-68. doi:10.1183/13993003.00583-2015
5. Laursen CB, Davidsen JR, Van Acker L, et al. CPAnet Registry—An International Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis Registry. *J Fungi*. 2020;6(3):96. doi:10.3390/jof6030096
6. 2021.10.04.21264524v1.full.pdf. Accessed February 15, 2022. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.10.04.21264524v1.full.pdf>
7. Agarwal R, Denning DW, Chakrabarti A. Estimation of the Burden of Chronic and Allergic Pulmonary Aspergillosis in India. Kirk M, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(12):e114745. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114745
8. Singla R, Singhal R, Rathore R, et al. Risk factors for chronic pulmonary aspergillosis in post-TB patients. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis Off J Int Union Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2021;25(4):324-326. doi:10.5588/ijtld.20.0735
9. Stucky Hunter E, Richardson MD, Denning DW. Evaluation of LDBio *Aspergillus* ICT Lateral Flow Assay for IgG and IgM Antibody Detection in Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis. Land GA, ed. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2019;57(9). doi:10.1128/JCM.00538-19
10. Unusual case of chronic cavitary pulmonary aspergillosis presenting as spontaneous pneumothorax in an immunocompromised man | BMJ Case Reports. Accessed February 15, 2022. <https://casereports.bmj.com/content/14/8/e241655>
11. Sarda R, Ray A. TB and chronic pulmonary aspergillosis: a few relevant points. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis Off J Int Union Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2021;25(11):959a-9959. doi:10.5588/ijtld.21.0415
12. Ragesh R, Ray A, Mian A, Vyas S, Sharma SK. Cavitary Lung Lesions in a Difficult-To-Treat Asthma Patient. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2016;64(4):73-76.

13. Volpe Chaves CE, do Valle Leone de Oliveira SM, Venturini J, et al. Accuracy of serological tests for diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Agarwal R, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2020;15(3):e0222738. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0222738
14. Richardson MD, Page ID. Aspergillus serology: Have we arrived yet? *Med Mycol*. 2017;55(1):48-55. doi:10.1093/mmy/myw116
15. Rozaliyani A, Rosianawati H, Handayani D, et al. Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis in Post Tuberculosis Patients in Indonesia and the Role of LDBio Aspergillus ICT as Part of the Diagnosis Scheme. *J Fungi*. 2020;6(4):318. doi:10.3390/jof6040318
16. Piarroux RP, Romain T, Martin A, et al. Multicenter Evaluation of a Novel Immunochromatographic Test for Anti-aspergillus IgG Detection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2019;9:12. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2019.00012
17. Stucky Hunter E, Richardson MD, Denning DW. Evaluation of LDBio Aspergillus ICT Lateral Flow Assay for IgG and IgM Antibody Detection in Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2019;57(9). doi:10.1128/JCM.00538-19
18. Denning DW, Cadranel J, Beigelman-Aubry C, et al. Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis: rationale and clinical guidelines for diagnosis and management. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;47(1):45-68. doi:10.1183/13993003.00583-2015
19. Denning DW, Page ID, Chakaya J, et al. Case Definition of Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis in Resource-Constrained Settings. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24(8). doi:10.3201/eid2408.171312
20. Page ID, Richardson MD, Denning DW. Comparison of six Aspergillus-specific IgG assays for the diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis (CPA). *J Infect*. 2016;72(2):240-249. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2015.11.003
21. Lee MR, Huang HL, Keng LT, et al. Establishing Aspergillus-Specific IgG Cut-Off Level for Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis Diagnosis: Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. *J Fungi*. 2021;7(6):480. doi:10.3390/jof7060480
22. Rozaliyani A, Setianingrum F, Azahra S, et al. Performance of LDBio Aspergillus WB and ICT Antibody Detection in Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis. *J Fungi Basel Switz*. 2021;7(4):311. doi:10.3390/jof7040311
23. Sehgal IS, Choudhary H, Dhooria S, et al. Diagnostic cut-off of Aspergillus fumigatus-specific IgG in the diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses*. 2018;61(10):770-776. doi:10.1111/myc.12815
24. Hung YH, Lai HH, Lin HC, Sun KS, Chen CY. Investigating Factors of False-Positive Results of Aspergillus Galactomannan Assay: A Case-Control Study in Intensive Care Units. *Front Pharmacol*. 2021;12:747280. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.747280
25. Ng TY, Kang ML, Tan BH, Ngan CCL. Case report: Enteral nutritional supplement as a likely cause of false-positive galactomannan testing. *Med Mycol Case Rep*. 2014;3:11-13. doi:10.1016/j.mmcr.2013.11.004
26. Watson PF, Petrie A. Method agreement analysis: a review of correct methodology. *Therigenology*. 2010;73(9):1167-1179. doi:10.1016/j.therigenology.2010.01.003

27. Denning DW, Page ID, Chakaya J, et al. Case Definition of Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis in Resource-Constrained Settings. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24(8). doi:10.3201/eid2408.171312
28. Camuset J, Nunes H, Dombret MC, et al. Treatment of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis by voriconazole in nonimmunocompromised patients. *Chest*. 2007;131(5):1435-1441. doi:10.1378/chest.06-2441
29. Vergidis P, Moore CB, Novak-Frazer L, et al. High-volume culture and quantitative real-time PCR for the detection of *Aspergillus* in sputum. *Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2020;26(7):935-940. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2019.11.019
30. Page ID, Byanyima R, Hosmane S, et al. Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis commonly complicates treated pulmonary tuberculosis with residual cavitation. *Eur Respir J*. 2019;53(3):1801184. doi:10.1183/13993003.01184-2018
31. Sehgal IS, Dhooria S, Choudhary H, et al. Utility of Serum and Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid Galactomannan in Diagnosis of Chronic Pulmonary Aspergillosis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2019;57(3):e01821-18. doi:10.1128/JCM.01821-18
32. Shin B, Koh WJ, Jeong BH, et al. Serum galactomannan antigen test for the diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. *J Infect*. 2014;68(5):494-499. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2014.01.005
33. Izumikawa K, Yamamoto Y, Mihara T, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage galactomannan for the diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. *Med Mycol*. 2012;50(8):811-817. doi:10.3109/13693786.2012.682228
34. Kwizera R, Katende A, Teu A, et al. Algorithm-aided diagnosis of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis in low- and middle-income countries by use of a lateral flow device. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol*. 2020;39(1):1-3. doi:10.1007/s10096-019-03782-x
35. Oladele RO, Irurhe NK, Foden P, et al. Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis as a cause of smear-negative TB and/or TB treatment failure in Nigerians. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis Off J Int Union Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2017;21(9):1056-1061. doi:10.5588/ijtld.17.0060

Variables		Non-CPA (n=100)	CPA (n=74)	P-value
Age (± SD)		41.4 (± 14.57)	39.68(±13.05)	0.42
Sex	Male	66 (66.0%)	42 (56.8%)	0.21
	Female	34 (34.0%)	32 (43.2%)	
PAST HISTORY				
Tuberculosis		56 (56.0%)	60 (81.1%)	0.001
HIV		2 (2.0%)	1 (1.35%)	>0.99
ABPA		12 (12.0%)	1 (1.35%)	(0.008)
MDI use		28 (30.4%)	18 (27.3%)	0.67
SYMPTOMS & MYCOBACTERIAL WORKUP				
Fever		10 (10.2%)	13 (17.6%)	(0.16)
Cough		53 (54.1%)	53 (71.6%)	0.02
Weight loss		25 (25.5%)	25 (33.8%)	0.24
Breathlessness		46 (46.9%)	31 (41.9%)	0.51
Haemoptysis		17(17.4%)	34 (46%)	<0.001
Fatigue		0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0.33
Any symptom		84 (84.0%)	68 (91.9%)	0.12
No.(%) with Post-TB sequelae		29 (29%)	52 (70.3%)	
No.(%) with pulmonary/disseminated TB		21 (21%)	9 (12.2%)	
No.(%) with ABPA		11 (11%)	1 (1.4%)	
No.(%) with obstructive airway disease		13 (13%)	2 (2.7%)	
No.(%) with sarcoidosis		5 (5%)	0 (0%)	
No.(%) with ILD		1 (1%)	1 (1.4%)	
No.(%) with others				
- Lung malignancy/metastasis		4 (4%)	0 (0%)	
- Lung mass under evaluation		4 (4%)	1 (1.4%)	
- Pulmonary / disseminated cryptococcosis		1 (1%)	0 (0%)	
- Pulmonary / disseminated mucormycosis		1 (1%)	0 (0%)	
- Post COVID sequelae		1 (1%)	0 (0%)	
- Gujjar's lung		1 (1%)	0 (0%)	
- Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis		1 (1%)	0 (0%)	
- PUO		5 (5%)	0 (0%)	
- Bronchiectasis under evaluation		2 (2%)	2 (2.7%)	
- Diabetes mellitus		0 (0%)	1 (1.4%)	
- CML		11 (11%)	8 (10.8%)	
-Unclassified				

Features	Non-CPA (n=100)	CPA (n=74)	P-value
Consolidation	33 (39.8%)	35 (48.6%)	0.33
Cavity	22 (29.3%)	61 (85.9%)	<0.001
Nodules	40 (49.4%)	42 (61.8%)	0.14
Ground glass opacities	22 (30.1%)	26 (41.3%)	0.21
Pleural effusion	16 (22.2%)	5 (8.8%)	0.06
Pleural thickening	10 (10.3%)	24 (32.9%)	<0.001
Bronchiectasis	36 (36%)	46 (62.2%)	0.001

Variables	Non-CPA (n=100)	CPA (n=74)	P-value
LDBio LFA positive	19 (19%)	50 (67.6%)	<0.001
Weakly positive	2 (2%)	1 (1.4%)	0.6
Specific IgE (IQR)	0.11 (0.02-0.37)	0.34 (0.04-1.5)	0.2
Total IgE (IQR)	328 (66.9-1465)	406 (119-1035)	0.8
Specific IgG (IQR)	11.5 (6.40-20.1)	53.85 (30-91)	<0.001
AEC (IQR)	162.07 (49.6-341.66)	162.32 (15.19-371.46)	0.93
Specific IgE (≥ 0.1 KVA/L)	16 (16/28, 57.1%)	30 (30/46, 65.2%)	0.49
Total IgE (≥ 500 KVA/L)	14 (14/31, 45.2%)	20 (20/47, 42.6%)	0.82
Specific IgG (≥ 27 MgA/L)	18 (18.0%)	61 (82.4%)	<0.001
AEC (≥ 500 cells/mm3)	13 (13/60, 21.7%)	10 (10/50, 20%)	0.83
Positive direct KOH	4 (4/55, 7.8%)	6 (6/53, 11.3%)	0.52
Positive fungal culture	2 (2/70, 2.9%)	7 (7/61, 11.5%)	0.08
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		2	
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	2*	3	
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	1*	1	
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.		1	
Serum galactomannan (≥1.0)	10 (10/28, 35.7%)	16 (16/39, 41%)	0.66
BAL galactomannan (≥1.0)	7 (7/30, 23.3%)	17 (17/28, 60.7%)	0.004
ZN AFB +ve	2 (2/48, 4.2%)	0 (0/41, 0%)	0.49
MGIT +ve	5 (5/30, 16.7%)	0 (0/25, 0%)	0.06
GeneXpert	9 (9/55, 16.4%)	4 (4/54, 7.4%)	0.24
Any TB investigation +ve	12 (12/100, 12.0%)	4 (4/74, 5.4%)	0.19

*One patient showed mixed growth of *Aspergillus niger* and *Aspergillus flavus*

IQR: Interquartile range

AEC: absolute eosinophil count

ZN AFB: ZIEHL-NEELSEN acid-fast bacillus

MGIT: Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube

Population/Test	No of observations			Sensitivity	Specificity	Diagnostic accuracy
Symptoms > 4 weeks						
LDBio LFA <i>IgG Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	67.6%	81%	75.3%
	LDBio -ve	81	24	(55.7% -	(71.9% -	(68.19% -
	LDBio +ve	19	50	78%)	81%)	81.50%)
		Non-CPA	CPA	82.4%	82%	82.2%
	IgG -ve	82	13	(71.8% -	(73.1% -	(75.68% -
	IgG +ve	18	61	90.3%)	89%)	87.56%)
Symptoms > 4 weeks and prior history of PTB						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	73.3%	83.9%	78.5%
	LDBio -ve	47	16	(60.3% -	(71.7% -	(69.9% -
	LDBio +ve	9	44	83.9%)	92.4%)	85.5%)
<i>IgG Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	86.7%	80.4%	83.6%
	IgG -ve	45	8	(75.4% -	(67.6% -	(75.6% -
	IgG +ve	11	52	94.1%)	89.8%)	89.8%)
Symptoms > 3 months with past history of tuberculosis						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	74.1%	85%	78.7%
	LDBio -ve	34	14	(60.3% -	(70.2% -	(69.1% -
	LDBio +ve	6	40	85%)	94.3%)	86.5%)
<i>IgG Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	85.2%	82.5%	84%
	IgG -ve	33	8	(72.9% -	(67.2% -	(75.1% -
	IgG +ve	7	46	93.4%	92.7%)	90.8%)
Symptoms > 4 weeks with lung cavity						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	68.9%	81.82%	72.3%
	LDBio -ve	18	19	(55.7% -	(59.7% -	(61.4% -
	LDBio +ve	4	42	80.1%)	94.8%)	81.6%)
<i>IgG Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	82%	86.4%	83.1%
	IgG -ve	19	11	(70% -	(65.1% -	(73.3% -
	IgG +ve	3	50	90.6%)	97.1%)	90.5%)
Symptoms > 4 weeks excluding abpa						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	67.12%	89.77%	79.5%
	LDBio -ve	79	24	(55.1% -	(81.5% -	(72.4% -
	LDBio +ve	9	49	77.7%)	95.2%)	85.5%)
<i>IgG Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	82.19%	87.50%	85.1%
	IgG -ve	77	13	(71.5% -	(78.7% -	(78.6% -
	IgG +ve	11	60	90.2%)	93.4%)	90.2%)
Symptoms > 4 weeks with bronchiectasis and excluding abpa						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	80%	86.67%	82.7%
	LDBio -ve	26	9	(65.4% -	(69.28% -	(72.2% -
	LDBio +ve	4	36	90.4%)	96.2%)	90.4%)
<i>IgG Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	86.7%	86.7%	86.7%
	IgG -ve	26	6	(73.2% -	(69.3% -	(76.8%-93.4%)
	IgG +ve	4	39	95%)	96.2%)	

Population/Test No of observations				Sensitivity	Specificity	Diagnostic accuracy
Symptoms > 4 weeks						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	68.3%	75.4%	72.99%
	LDBio -ve	86	19	(55.04% -	(66.49% -	(65.75% - 79.43%)
	LDBio +ve	28	41	79.74%	83.02%	
IgG <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	75%	90.35%	85.06%
	IgG -ve	103	15	(62.14% -	(83.39% -	(78.88% - 90.00%)
	IgG +ve	11	45	85.28%)	95.08%)	
Symptoms > 4 weeks and prior history of PTB						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	75.51%	76.12%	75.86%
	LDBio -ve	51	12	(61.13% -	(64.14% -	(67.04% - 83.32%)
	LDBio +ve	16	37	86.66%)	85.69%	
IgG <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	79.59%	91.04%	86.21%
	IgG -ve	61	10	(65.66% -	(81.52% -	(78.57% - 91.91%)
	IgG +ve	6	39	89.76%)	96.64%)	
Symptoms > 3 months with past history of tuberculosis						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	75.00%	74.00%	74.47%
	LDBio -ve	37	11	(59.66% -	(59.66% -	(64.43% - 82.91%)
	LDBio +ve	13	33	86.81%)	85.37%)	
IgG <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	77.27%	94.00%	86.17%
	IgG -ve	47	10	(62.16% -	(83.45% -	(77.51% - 92.43%)
	IgG +ve	3	34	88.53%)	98.75%	
Symptoms > 4 weeks with lung cavity						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	69.23%	67.74%	68.67%
	LDBio -ve	21	16	(54.90% -	(48.63% -	(57.56% - 78.41%)
	LDBio +ve	10	36	81.28%	83.32%)	
IgG <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	76.92%	96.77%	84.34%
	IgG -ve	30	12	(63.16% -	(83.30% -	(74.71% - 91.39%)
	IgG +ve	1	40	87.47%)	99.92%)	
Symptoms > 4 weeks excluding abpa						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	67.80%	82.35%	77.02%
	LDBio -ve	84	19	(54.36% -	(73.55% -	(69.74% - 83.27%)
	LDBio +ve	18	40	79.38%)	89.19%)	
IgG <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	76.27%	95.10%	88.20%
	IgG -ve	97	14	(63.41% -	(88.93% -	(82.19% - 92.74%)
	IgG +ve	5	45	86.38%)	98.39%)	
Symptoms > 4 weeks with bronchiectasis and excluding abpa						
LDBio LFA		Non-CPA	CPA	80.56%	71.79%	76.00%
	LDBio -ve	28	7	(63.98% -	(55.13% -	(64.75% - 85.11%)
	LDBio +ve	11	29	91.81%)	85.00%)	
IgG <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		Non-CPA	CPA	80.56%	94.87%	88.00%
	IgG -ve	37	7	(63.98% -	(82.68% -	(78.44% - 94.36%)
	IgG +ve	2	29	91.81%)	99.37%)	

Author	Country/Year	Study type	Population	Comparator	Sensitivity	Specificity	Others
Piarroux et al ¹⁶	France/2019	Both retrospective and prospective	All samples received for <i>Aspergillus</i> serology(ABPA, CPA, IA SAIA*). Retrospective: 262 cases (68 CPA) & 188 controls. Prospective: 44 cases (11 CPA) & 213 non-cases	Who did not correspond to case definition of CPA	88.9%	96.3%	Definition of CPA as per ERS/ESCMID
Hunter et al ¹⁷	UK/ 2019	Cross sectional	CPA patient sera. 154 CPA patients, 150 healthy controls.	Healthy control	91.6%	98%	Definition of CPA as per ERS/ESCMID
Rozaliyani et al ²²	Indonesia/ 2020	? Prospective	Adults with symptoms after completing tuberculosis therapy.	Patients without diagnosis CPA	80%	70%	Sputum for fungal culture was used as an essential diagnostic criterion.
Ray et al (present study)	India/ 2021-22 present study	Prospective	Patients presenting with respiratory symptoms > 4 weeks. 74 CPA & 100 non-CPA patients	Patients being tested who did not have CPA	67.6%	81%	Definition of CPA as per ERS/ESCMID