Psychosocial factors associated with mental health and quality of life during the COVID-19 pandemic among low-income urban dwellers in Peninsular Malaysia

Psychosocial factors for mental health among the low-income community

Wong Min Fui ^{1,2}, Hazreen Abdul Majid^{2,3}, Rozmi Ismail⁴, Tin Tin Su⁵, Tan Maw Pin⁶, Mas Ayu Said^{7*}

¹Training Management Division, Ministry of Health, 62675 Putrajaya, Malaysia

² Centre for Population Health (CePH), Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

³ Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, 60115 Jawa Timur, Indonesia.

⁴ Psychology and Human Well-being Research Centre, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, University Kebangsaan, 43600 Bangi, Malaysia.

⁵ South East Asia Community Observatory (SEACO) and Global Public Health, Jeffrey Cheah School of Medicine & Health Sciences, Monash University, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Subang Jaya, Malaysia.

⁶ Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

⁷ Centre for Epidemiology and Evidence-Based Practice, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

*Corresponding author

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
mas@ummc.edu.my

Abstract

Background and aims

The mental well-being among low-income urban populations is arguably challenged more than any other population amid the COVID-19 pandemic. This study investigates factors associated with depression and anxiety symptoms, and quality of life among Malaysia's multi-ethnic urban lower-income communities.

Methods

This is a community-based house-to-house survey conducted from September to November 2020 at the Petaling district in Selangor, Malaysia. Five hundred and four households were identified using random sampling, and heads of eligible households were recruited. Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years with monthly household income $\leq$ RM6960 (estimated USD 1600) without acute psychiatric illness. The PHQ-9, GAD-7 and EQ-5D were used for depression, anxiety, and quality of life. Multivariable logistic regression was performed for the final analysis.

Results

A total of 432 (85.7%) respondents with a mean age of 43.1 years completed the survey. Mild to severe depression was detected in 29.6%, mild to severe anxiety in 14.7%, and problematic quality of life in 27.8% of respondents. Factors associated with mild to severe depression were younger age, chronic health conditions, past stressful events, lack of communication gadgets and lack of assets or commercial property. While respiratory diseases, marital status, workplace issues, financial constraints, absence of investments, substance use and lack of rental income were associated with mild to severe anxiety. Not attributing poverty to structural issues, help-seeking from professionals, and self-stigma were barriers, while resiliency facilitated good psychological health. Problematic quality of life was associated with depression, older age,

48 unemployment, cash shortage, hypertension, diabetes, stressful life events and low health
49 literacy.

50 **Conclusions**

51 A higher proportion had reported mild to severe anxiety and depression symptoms in the
52 sampled urban poor population than previous pre-pandemic reports. The psychosocial
53 determinants should inform policy and direct future research within this underserved
54 population.

Introduction

Depression and anxiety remain two major diagnosable common mental disorders contributing to disability and morbidity worldwide, partially attributable to lack of public health investment in this area (1). The World Health Organisation reported 800,000 suicides cases globally in 2015, with 78% of suicides occurring in the lower to middle-income countries (LMIC) (2). Almost half a million Malaysian have significant depressive symptoms that are particularly prominent among individuals living within households within the bottom 40% (B40) income bracket (3). The recent coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has brought mental health issues to the forefront, with a reported increase in suicide cases from 609 cases in 2019 to 631 cases in 2020 during the pandemic period (4,5).

Over the past 50 years, the Malaysian government has invested in extreme poverty eradication and economic growth by shared prosperity within its multi-ethnic population. The rapid urbanisation observed from 1960 to 2010 (6) had, however, led to the unintended consequences of the transformation of social structures resulting in pockets of urban poor in the cities of Malaysia. This has led to escalating poverty in urban areas within Selangor, the wealthiest state in Peninsular Malaysia (7), which threatens urban residents' mental well-being (8). Studies from high-income countries (HIC) and low-middle-income countries (LMICs) suggest that urban residents were more likely to develop neurotic conditions than rural residents (9-11). In contrast, studies from China and Germany showed that rural residents were prone to mental health issues (12,13). Local studies also revealed a consistently high prevalence of depression (12.3% to 24.2%) and anxiety (18% to 36.3%) among the low-income urban residents, with variations arising from on screening tools utilised and selected cut-off scores (14-16).

Low socioeconomic status is a major social determinant of health (SDH) (17), which profoundly affects the morbidity and mortality of the community (18). Education, ethnic group or social class, income, and employment are typical indicators for socioeconomic status (19). Community-based studies from LMIC have revealed the relationship between low socioeconomic status (SES) and common mental disorders (20-23).

Recent literature revealed that low socioeconomic status was linked to lower health literacy with higher stigma (24,25) and lack of mental health help-seeking (26). Additionally, low-income individuals' perceptions of the structural issues they encountered may subsequently influence their decision-making, thus influencing mental health (27). Since the evidence for these psychosocial factors remains scarce, further exploration is needed to target mental health promotion among low-income populations (26).

A study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic revealed that those who showed avoidance coping and lower religious coping had a higher risk of developing mild to moderate depressive symptoms (28). A recent study from China showed that resilience scores were inversely associated with mental health symptoms associated with lower among subjects with mild COVID-19 cases (29). This has led to concerns that the COVID-19 pandemic may adversely affect the mental health of populations in LMIC that lack the resources to address the increase in mental health needs of their population.

In addition, the World Bank has estimated that between 71 to 100 million people are being pushed into poverty due to the COVID-19 pandemic (30). Therefore, the current study measured the prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms and quality of life among the urban low-income population in Malaysia during the COVID-19 and identified psychosocial determinants of depression, anxiety, and quality of life within this population.

Methods

Setting

This was a community-based cross-sectional survey conducted from September 2021 until November 2021 (corresponds to the recovery movement control order (RMCO) and start of the Controlled Movement Control Order (CMCO)) (See Fig 1) at the Petaling district of the state of Selangor in Malaysia. Proportions and effect sizes were obtained from similar studies to estimate sample size (S1 Table). The sample size was estimated using Open Epi software with a significance level of 0.05 and an alpha value of 0.2.

Fig 1. Timeline of each phase of study and the corresponding movement control

A simple random sampling was done based on the household list provided by the research committee from the Statistics Department. All respondents from the selected household who was a) aged 18 years and older with, (b) a household income of RM 6960 and below, and c) without any acute psychiatric illness were included in this study. Non-Malaysians were excluded from the study. This study received ethical approval from the University of Malaya Research Ethics Committee (UMREC Non-Medical ref: UM.TNC2/UMREC – 811). Written consent was obtained from each eligible respondent prior to the enrolment.

Data Collection

Since this is a face-to-face data collection, each enumerator was briefed about the University Malaya COVID-19 Fieldwork Safety Protocol. Trained enumerators administered validated questionnaires during the house-to-house data collection(See Fig 2) .

Fig 2. The flow chart of the data collection

The respondents completed multiple standardised instruments in the Malay language with assistance from the research team. The survey components comprised the socio-demographic

domain which captured the respondents' information such as age, gender, ethnicity, education level, employment status during the COVID-19 pandemic, monthly household income during COVID-19 pandemic, marital status and household size.

The health domain components comprised self-reported weight and height, history of chronic illnesses, stressful life events, substance used, the 6-item health literacy scale (HL-6) (Duong et al., 2017), 9-item Patient's Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (31), 7-item Generalised Anxiety Disorders (GAD-7) (32) and the EQ-5D-5L health-related quality of life (33). All the study tools were piloted before the actual study, and all of them showed good internal consistency (refer to Table S2).

The presence of chronic diseases was self-reported by the participants based on the diagnoses from medical professionals and was defined as conditions that lasted for one year or more that require ongoing medical attention or limiting daily activities, or both. The listed medical conditions included hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart diseases, stroke, mental illness, and cancer. Substance use was recorded with a checklist of the top 10 most common substances, including tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, amphetamine-type stimulant, inhalant, sleeping pills, hallucinogens, opioids and other substances. The 13-item Malay version of the stressful life events checklist was also utilised (34).

EQ-5D-5L is a standardised self-reported perceived health-related quality of life (QoL) which is rated through a descriptive system (EQ-5D) and a visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS), which captures perceptions on health status in the mobility, selfcare, usual activities, pain or discomfort, and anxiety or depression domain. Each dimension is rated based on five response levels from no problems to unable to/extreme problems. Each health state is assigned a summary index score derived from a country-specific value set (35). Health state index scores ranged from less than 0 to 1 (the value of full health), with higher scores indicating higher health utility. The visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS) records the respondent's overall current

health on a vertical visual analogue scale on a scale of 0 to 100. The Cronbach's alpha of the EQ-5D was 0.85 (36).

The Patient Health Questionnaire measured depressive symptoms over the past two weeks. The PHQ-9 consists of 9 items scored across a four-point scale with a maximum score of 27. It is then classified further according to minimal (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14), severe (15-19), and very severe (20 or greater). Both English and Malay versions of the questionnaire has good internal reliability with a Cronbach's α of 0.70 (31, 37). The total scoring methods were adopted (38).

Generalised Anxiety Scale also measures anxiety symptoms for the past two weeks. This was a 7-item instrument rated on a four-point scale. These cut-off values of minimal (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10 -15) and severe (16-21) (39) were utilised. The Malay and English versions had good internal reliability with Cronbach's α ranging from 0.74 to 0.92 (32, 40).

Religiosity was determined with the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire 5 items (SCSRFQ-5) brief version, which was self-reported and rated on a 4-point Likert scale with a maximal score of 20 and a higher score indicating greater strength religiosity. The cut-off point for high (≥ 17) or low (<17) religiosity were based on the sample median (41). This tool was validated in the pilot study with good internal reliability of Cronbach's α of 0.79.

Resilience Scale 14 items (RS-14) was derived from the 25 items scale developed by Wagnild et al. (42). Each item was rated with a 7-point scale with a maximum total score of 98. The Malay version of RS-14 has an excellent internal consistency of 0.86 (43).

Mental Help-Seeking Attitudes Scale (MHSAS) is a 9-item semantic scale with a higher score indicating a more positive attitude towards seeking help (44). The Malay MHSAS has a Cronbach's α of 0.892 (45).

Self-Stigma of Seeking Help (SSOSH) scale was developed by Vogel et al. and has 10 items rated on a 5-point scale. The Malay SSOSH has been validated among the low-income group in Malaysia with an acceptable internal consistency of Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.667$ (26).

Poverty Attribution was used to measure the perception of low-income respondents on the cause of poverty. This 21-item new tool was developed by Rozmi et al. (46) and comprised structural support, socioeconomic support, individualistic and fatalistic domains. Each item is rated on a 5-point scale with higher scores indicating higher levels of agreement on the cause of impoverished conditions. The Malay language version showed acceptable internal validity of Cronbach α 0.61 to 0.87 among the four main domains.

Health Literacy Survey was a valid and reliable (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.85$) tool in six Asian countries (47). Six items were extracted from the 12-item short-form version, with each item rated on a 4-point Likert scale. This tool was validated in the pilot study with good internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.898$) and test-retest of inter-item covariance of 0.469 with excellent Pearson correlation of $r(98) = .839$, $p < .0001$ were obtained. The total scores were computed and utilised for data analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0 (IBM Corp LP, Armonk, NY, USA). Total scores of ≥ 5 for PHQ-9 and GAD-7 was applied to determine the presence of symptomatic depression (depressed =1, not-depressed =0) and positive symptomatic anxiety (anxious=1, not-anxious =0), respectively. The students' independent sample T-test (normally distributed variables) and Mann-Whitney U-test (non-normally distributed variables) were conducted for univariate analysis. The Pearson's Chi-square or Fisher's Exact test was used to determine the strength of association between

categorical explanatory variables and the outcomes variables. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to elicit significant final independent variables associated with PHQ-9, GAD-7 and EQ-5D. Hosmer-Lemeshow model development strategy was applied in the final analysis with variables selection criterion of p-values of less than 0.25 with backwards purposive variables exclusion of p-value more than 0.05 guided by the likelihood-ratio test (48). Effect modification first-order main effects of explanatory variables were checked guided by the likelihood-ratio test. The finalised model was assessed for violation of assumptions of linearity of explanatory variables, log odds, multicollinearity and model fitness. The model's sensitivity was also assessed using the area under receiving operating characteristics (AUROC) curve. Statistical significance was set at a p-value less than 0.05.

Results

Participant Characteristics

A total of 504 eligible participants, 432 (82.7%) participants completed the survey. Table 1 summarises participants' characteristics. Respondents had a mean age of 43.1 (SD 13.2) years. The unemployment rate doubled (33.2%) during the pandemic while income reduced by 13.5 %, and 41% lived below the poverty line.

Table 1. Socio-demographic profiles of Low-income respondents from the Petaling district (n=432)

Variables	n	%
Gender		
Male	278	64.5
Female	153	35.5
Age group (years old)		
Below 30	81	19.8
30-40	114	27.8
41-50	104	25.4
More than 50	111	27.0
Ethnicity		
Malay	323	75.1
Chinese	58	13.5
Indian	31	7.2

Others	18	4.2
Marital status		
Single	75	17.5
Married	321	74.7
Widowed	34	7.8
Education level categorical		
No Formal & Primary School	29	6.8
Secondary Schools	206	(48.4)
More than Secondary Schools	191	(44.8)
Employment status (before Covid-19)		
No	69	16.2
Yes	357	83.8
Employment status (during Covid-19)		
Yes	276	66.8
No	137	33.2
Household income (during Covid-19)		
Below MYR 1700	136	31.5
MYR 1700 to 2700	91	21.1
MYR 2701 to 3700	77	17.8
MYR 3701 to 4700	55	12.7
MYR 4700-5700	32	7.4
More than MYR 5701	41	9.5
Household size (person)		
Less than 4	191	47.8
More than 4	234	55.2
House-ownership		
Owners	179	41.7
Shelter	13	3.0
Renting	219	51.1
Inherited	18	4.2
Asset		
House	231	53.8
Vehicle	350	81.8
Land	42	9.8
Orchard	23	5.4
Cash	148	34.5
Rental house	55	12.9
Jewellery	128	29.9
Shophouse	6	1.4
Investment	49	11.4
Others	4	0.9
Communication tools (own a mobile or smartphone)		
Yes	340	81.9
No	75	18.1
Own at least a form of communication tools (TV or radio and internet or laptop and smartphone/mobile phone)		
Yes	405	97.59
No	10	2.41

Approximately 133 (31.2%) respondents had problematic literacy levels, and 29 (6.8%) had an inadequate level of health literacy. The professional help-seeking median score was high at 6.0 (IQR 2), and the mean self-stigma was neutral at 3.0 (SD 0.6). Poverty attribution, structural and socioeconomic domains scores were 3.6 (SD 0.8) and 3.8 (SD 0.9), respectively. Low resiliency was found in 133 (31%) participants. 182 (42%) scored 17 and below for religiosity. Of the total respondents, 432 (30.4%) had a self-reported history of non-communicable diseases with hypertension in 78 (18.2%), diabetes 38 (8.9%) and respiratory disease (COPD or Asthma) 20 (4.7%). 172 (40.7 %) were overweight (body mass index (BMI) >23.0- 27.4 kg/m²) and 29.6 % obese (BMI ≥27.5kg/m²). In 130 (30.2%) male respondents, substance use was present, with tobacco, alcohol, and sleeping pills being the commonest used substances. Approximately 209 (48.5%) had a history of stressful life events, including losing loved ones, followed by working environment issues and job loss. Mild to severe depression symptoms were reported by 127 (29.6 %), 30 (7%) (n =30) of whom had moderate to severe depressive symptoms. Mild to severe anxiety symptoms were present in 63 (14.6%), with 19 (4.4%) reporting moderate to severe depressive symptoms. For the details of the descriptive findings, refer to Tables S3 and S4.

Multivariable Analyses

Variables positively associated with mild to severe symptoms of depression were those aged less than 30 years OR 5.11 (95%CI 2.04, 12.83), self-reported hypertension, having other chronic illnesses, presence of past stressful life events (physical assault, long term illness, family issues and workplace issues). Protective factors against the development of mild to severe depressive symptoms were those who owned one or more communication tools (Television or radio and internet or laptop and smartphone/mobile), absence of assets such as investment shares, shop-houses, attributing structural issues to poverty, increase in resilience scores and those who finds professional help is beneficial. Factors associated with anxiety

242 symptoms were respiratory illness (COPD or Asthma), stressful life events (marital issues,
243 financial issues, workplace issues), and sleeping pills. Perceived structural issues to poverty,
244 less self-stigma, and higher resilience scores were associated with fewer anxiety symptoms
245 (Table 2).

Table 2: Simple and multivariable logistic regression on factors associated with Depression (n=385) and Anxiety Symptoms(n=398) (cut-off at 5)

Factors	Depression (PHQ-9)		Anxiety (GAD-7)	
	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Age				
Below 30	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
30-40	0.45 (0.24, 0.83)*	0.52 (0.24, 1.12)	0.68 (0.32, 1.45)	0.78 (0.31, 1.92)
41-50	0.46 (0.24, 0.88)*	0.47 (0.21, 1.09)	0.51 (0.22, 1.17)	0.68 (0.25, 1.88)
More than 50	0.35 (0.18, 0.67)*	0.20 (0.08, 0.49)*	0.47 (0.20, 1.07)	0.41(0.15, 1.12)
Asset-Shop lot				
Yes	Reference	Reference		
No	0.08 (0.01, 0.70)*	0.08 (0.07, 1.00)		
Asset-Investment				
Yes	Reference	Reference		
No	0.52 (0.28, 0.95)*	0.37 (0.17, 0.80)*		
Asset-Rental house				
Yes			Reference	Reference
No			0.65 (0.32, 1.34)	0.44 (0.18, 1.06)
Hypertension				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	1.57 (0.94, 2.63)	2.25 (1.01, 5.00)*		
Other diseases				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	7.29 (2.78, 19.10)**	4.06 (1.26, 13.06)*		
Asthma				
No			Reference	Reference
Yes			2.12 (0.74, 6.07)	4.44 (1.18, 16.67)*
Sleep pill usage			Reference	Reference
No			Reference	Reference
Yes			10.46 (2.43, 44.95)*	11.89 (1.26, 112.16)*
Physical or sexual assault				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	5.68 (2.38, 13.54)**	4.77 (1.56, 14.57)*		
Prolonged or serious illness				

No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	5.24 (2.45, 11.236)**	4.892 (1.834, 13.053)*		
Family Issues				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	6.87 (2.94, 16.06)**	3.74 (1.26, 11.14)*		
Marital Problem				
No			Reference	Reference
Yes			8.40 (3.45, 20.44)**	4.73 (1.52, 14.70)*
Financial issues				
No			Reference	Reference
Yes			8.45 (3.70, 19.32)**	4.67 (1.46, 14.93)*
Workplace Issue				
No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Yes	3.28 (1.78, 6.04)**	3.48 (1.58, 7.67)*	5.03 (2.61, 9.71)**	3.533 (1.53, 8.17)*
Owing Communication Gadget 1 or 2				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	0.27(0.07, 0.97)*	0.06 (0.01, 0.38)*		
Poverty attribute-Structural	0.65 (0.51, 0.83)**	0.66 (0.48, 0.91)*	0.78 (0.58, 1.05)	0.67 (0.45, 0.99)*
MHSAS (Total)	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)*	0.98 (0.95, 1.00)	0.99 (0.97, 1.03)
SSOSH (Total)			1.12 (1.06, 1.19)**	1.09 (1.01, 1.17)*
Resilience (Total)	0.96 (0.94, 0.97)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)**	0.97 (0.95, 0.998)*

OR = Odds ratio; CI = Confidence Interval. ** p = < 0.001 * p=<0.05

PHQ-9: Nagelkerke's R square = 0.372; Hosmer and Lemeshow Test = 0.382; Area under the curve = 0.837 p = < 0.001

GAD-7: Nagelkerke's R square = 0.307; Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test = 0.888., Area under the curve = 0.799 (95% CI: 0.737, 0.861, p = < 0.001)

Quality of Life (QoL) was problematic in 119 (27.8%) respondents. Mild to severe depressive symptoms were associated with increased odds of problematic QoL. Age, female sex, unemployment, hypertension, diabetes, stressful life events of severe injury were associated with poorer QoL. Higher literacy scores were protective against poorer QoL (Table 3). Refer to Table S5 A-I for the details of univariable analysis and Table J-L for the multivariable variable selection process.

Table 3: Simple and multivariable logistic regression on factors associated with EQ-5D (n=385)

Factors	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Gender		
Male	Reference	Reference
Female	1.61 (1.05, 2.49)*	2.34(1.35, 4.04)*
Age group (year-old)		
Below 30	Reference	Reference
30-40	1.09 (0.51,2.34)	1.21 (0.49, 2.99)
41-50	1.53 (0.71, 3.28)	1.61(0.63, 4.10)
More than 50	3.24 (1.56, 6.74)*	3.06 (1.17, 8.03)*
Asset-Cash		
Yes	Reference	Reference
No	1.61(1.01, 2.56)*	1.88 (1.04, 3.39)*
Work during outbreak		
Yes (R)	Reference	Reference
No	2.33(1.49, 3.64)**	1.34 (0.76, 2.38)
Hypertension		
No	Reference	Reference
Yes	4.18 (2.49, 6.99)**	2.56 (1.22, 5.26)*
Diabetes		
No	Reference	Reference
Yes	5.98 (2.93, 12.22)**	3.07 (1.22, 7.71)*
Severe injury due to accident		
No	Reference	Reference
Yes	2.88 (1.41, 5.86)*	3.59 (1.37, 9.42)*
Jobless		
No	Reference	Reference
Yes	2.47 (1.35, 4.59)*	2.54 (1.20, 5.37)*
Depression-symptomatic		
< 5 (R)	Reference	Reference
≥ 5	3.31 (2.11, 5.18)**	2.79 (1.57, 4.49)**
Health literacy index	0.96 (0.94 , 0.98)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)*

OR = Odds ratio; CI = Confidence Interval. ** p = < 0.001 * p=<0.05

Nagelkerke's R square = 0.345; Hosmer and Lemeshow Test = 0.857; Area under the curve = 0.813 (95% CI: 0.765, 0.861), p = < 0.001

Discussions

Comparatively, depression and anxiety levels were higher than those previously reported in the National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2012 -2019(3,49). Compared to other local studies, anxiety levels were lower than the pandemic levels (28,50-51). While mild to moderate anxiety levels were higher than those previously reported in international studies (52-54), it was also lower than those conducted in other countries during the pandemic (55, 56). Lower-income groups with higher financial strain respondents are more likely to experience mild to severe depression (28). However, the lower anxiety levels could be attributed to differences in assessment tools (28,50-51). As the study was conducted towards the later stages of the pandemic as opposed to previous studies, (57,58) anxiety levels could have been higher initially due to difficulties adapting to containment measures and fear of infection and death (59). Depression may be more prominent at the later stages when the future is uncertain due to job losses, pay cuts and poor social support (60,61).

To date, only a few studies have examined the relationship between mental health issues and quality of life for low-income groups that allowed for comparison. The majority were those studies targeted at the general population with specific diseases (62). Nevertheless, the comparisons were made based on studies that involved the general population with a similar methodology. The overall prevalence for poorer quality of life was 27.8%, which is lower than the majority of the pre-pandemic studies from other countries (63-67) and remained low even after accounting for socio-demographic and chronic illness characteristics (64,68). Out of this figure, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression domains had the most significant number of problematic QoL respondents. Local evidence remains fragmented and focuses primarily on the diseased population but not on the general population. The possible explanation for the lower figure is the higher proportion of Malay and male participants in this study sample. In a separate cross-tabulation analysis done in the present study, anxiety/depression was the only

domain that showed significant difference across ethnic groups and gender, with Malay and males inclining to report “no problem” to their mental health well-being. Another local validation study observed a similar trend (69). Therefore, the better-perceived health-related quality of life among B40 for the current study is worth further exploration in the future study to rule out the possible information bias due to cultural influence on the lack of disclosure of mental health issues.

A positive association between higher scores of depression and poorer quality of life were established in this study. This results were similar with studies conducted in Malaysia, China and Slovenia involving urban community samples (28, 70- 71). Leong et al. from the northwest coast of Peninsular Malaysia has conducted a similar study with different tools, and results varied for depression and anxiety among the domains in WHOQoL-BREF (50). Another large scale mental health study National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions, has shown (NESARC) (72) reported that anxiety does not confer a significant association with quality of life. Variation in methodology in terms of the measurement tools and location of the studies may explain the inconsistency of findings.

The escalation of financial burdens due to pay cuts and unemployment has led to marital and family issues during the pandemic, increasing depression and anxiety symptoms. This was supported by a Malaysian study done in urban settings that revealed severe problems at work, unhappy relationships with children, spouse and family, and severe financial constraints are stressful life events for depression (73). The same investigator also measured anxiety symptoms and found that unhappy relationships with family and severe problems at work predict the outcomes (74). The odds ratio for stressful life events were two times higher than those pre-pandemic findings reported by Kader et al. (73). No doubt, movement restriction increases contact time among the family members, but it can aggravate the pre-existing family conflict and causes stress to the vulnerable population (75). In line with the current study’s

findings, physical or sexual assault showed a positive relationship with depression. Woman's Aid Organisation (WAO) and Women, Family and Community Development Ministry reported increased usage of their public hotlines during the pandemic, and domestic violence was the main reason for calling (76).

Additionally, Malaysia's divorce rate also rises from 60,088 cases in 2017 to 90,766 cases in 2020, based on the syariah court data (77). The majority of the marital issues involved cases from the bottom 40 % of the low-income group who faced the challenge of job loss and financial crisis (78). The economic difficulty is closely related to depression among the parents (79). Therefore, it is pertinent to look out for stressful life events like a history of child abuse or intimate partner physical abuse as a critical risk factor for mental health issues among the low-income group.

Younger respondents (less than 30 years old) have a higher risk of developing depression symptoms than older respondents during the pandemic. The social, emotional and cognitive maturation was observed by neurodevelopmental scientists even right before the adolescent age extending to the mid-20s to the 30s of age (80,81); this has marked the vulnerability of the brain towards environmental changes and insults during this transition period towards young adulthood, thus any stressful life event will tip off mental health issues. Three studies were conducted in the local community, and the general population at the urban settings supported the relationship between the younger age group of respondents and a higher likelihood of mental health issues (14-15,28). Likewise, similar findings are notable among studies in other countries (82-84).

Gender did not reveal any positive findings for mental health status in this study. These were unexpected findings as females were the most replicable risk factors in the past studies among the general population (85, 86), low-income groups (21, 87), and during the pandemic (82, 87, 88) (A. Ahmad, Rahman, & Agarwal, 2020; Huang & Zhao, 2020). Perhaps the

COVID-19 pandemic may have put the low-income family under serious financial strain, leading to emotional turmoil for both genders. On the other hand, the older age group (more than 50 years) and females had a poorer quality of life. The plausible explanation is the higher probability of getting chronic illness at an older age, and chronic illness tend to be higher among females than males based on the NHMS 2019 data (3).

Non-communicable diseases, including hypertension and diabetes, were reported by one in three persons within the low-income population which approximated to the prevalence of known hypertension of 15.5% and diabetes of 9.2% from the urban population reported in the NHMS 2019 (3). In contrast, a meta-analysis reported by Uphoff, Newbould (89) showed a six to seven times higher pooled prevalence than this study. The higher rate observed in the latter study was attributed to hospital-based samples and underdeveloped mental health services for secondary prevention in lower-income countries.

Respondents with a known history of hypertension, other diseases and perceived chronic illness as stressful life events likely to report higher depressive symptoms. Asthma was associated with a higher risk for anxiety symptoms. However, out of the self-reported illness, only hypertension and diabetes were associated with poorer QoL. Studies showed that physical illnesses like cardiovascular-related diseases had proven to predict common mental health issues (90) and affect the quality of life among the low-income population. There was convincing evidence from a systematic review with meta-analyses done by Kohler et al. (91). that both obesity and metabolic syndrome predict the occurrence of depression studies for anxiety disorders involved the general population have revealed that pre-morbid chronic conditions predict psychiatric illness (aOR 3.66 95% CI:1.62, 8.30) (92,93). The plausible explanation for chronic and mental illnesses was the disruption of their quality of life due to the complication of the chronic illness. For instance, B40 respondents who developed diabetes

nephropathy and retinopathy may face significant stress due to the impairment apart from their medical financial burden.

Respondents reported a high proportion of low health literacy, with positive findings and poorer life quality. This evidence supported the high rate of chronic illness among the low-income group revealed by a series of publications from PARTNER's (94-97). However, collective evidence from the meta-analysis showed heterogeneous results for the association between health literacy and quality of life among developed and developing countries (98). The authors attribute the outcomes to variation of the health literacy tool among the studies; therefore, more studies are needed to robust evidence to support the relationship. Nevertheless, this study highlights the implication of low literacy that further intervention is needed to improve their knowledge in selfcare.

Poverty Attribution

Poverty is not merely a result of mental disease, but it also frequently precedes mental illnesses like depression and anxiety, making it a significant risk factor for mental illness and other negative consequences (99). Bullock and Limbert showed that poor respondents are more likely to endorse structural or external attribution for poverty (100, 101). The mean score (4.0) of poverty attribution to the B40 community agrees with the structural barriers, and respondents who refute poverty as a structural issue showed a reciprocal association for depressive and anxiety symptoms. This is supported by a small scale observational study which indicates that individuals who attribute their poverty to structural or government blame are more likely to experience depression (27). Rozmi et al. postulated that respondents who do not blame the government are more self-sufficient and thus experience better financial well-being (102). Therefore, it is crucial to explore individuals' perceptions of their low-income status to understand their motivation to get out of poverty (27). Short term stipend from the government

such as Bantuan Prihatin Rakyat (BPR) in the form of fast cash may ease their financial stress in the short term, but life skill training is needed to get them out of the poverty cycle.

Stigma and Professional Help-Seeking

Even though the majority of the respondents have a neutral score for self-stigma, the analysis showed respondents who have higher self-stigma were likely to have anxiety symptoms but not for depression. In contrast, respondents with lower mental health-seeking attitudes predict higher depressive symptoms, not anxiety symptoms.

Based on the body of evidence, stigma is one of the well-established determinants for the help-seeking barrier essential for people from low and high-income countries. Lacking a help-seeking attitude prevents them from getting earlier treatment for severe mental health issues. The negative evaluations on professionals possibly derived from negative past experiences and mistrust of the mental health professionals (103). Data from the Institute's mental health services policy analysis (104) showed an overall limited mental health workforce in this country. Currently, no counsellors are available to handle mild to moderate mental health cases at the primary care clinic. The existing primary healthcare providers who were not trained in mental health were obligated to manage such cases which were first diagnosed with mental health issues. This may create a spillover on public trust in professional help-seeking whenever a mismanaged case occurs.

Resilience

Approximately one-third of respondents have low resiliency and higher resilience scores moderate depressive and anxiety symptoms. Substantial empirical studies have supported the inverse resilience scores concerning depression and anxiety (105). A 4 years longitudinal study involving a sample of 314 college students in China supported a reciprocal relationship between resilience and mental ill-being (106). Respondents from this study were vulnerable

given their low-income status, and almost half of them reported a history of stressful life events. Building resiliency through health promotion is crucial to shield them from the impact of ill mental well-being due to adversity at the verge of the current pandemic financial crisis.

This is one of the first studies that comprehensively explored the various psychological risk factors during the Covid19 pandemic among the low-income group in LMIC. This is a face-to-face study, thus having the benefits of capturing the responses for the non-technology savvy subjects compared to many other online studies done during the pandemic. It also improves rapport and eases the process of obtaining consent. As this was a cross-sectional study of the temporal causal relationships between the independent variables to depression, anxiety and quality of life could not be assigned, and the majority of the sample were heads of household, male and older age group, the findings may not be generalisable to all lower-income populations.

Conclusions

Mental health problems compromise the quality of life of the low-income group. The prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms were higher than studies prior to the pandemic. Apart from the socio-demographic, chronic illnesses and stressful life events factors, this study unveiled the positive psychological factors such as resiliency, stigma and help-seeking for mental health. These outputs provide suitable resources for the subsequent psychosocial intervention development for low-income communities. This is much needed to improve mental health status, empower the low-income group population to thrive during this challenging pandemic period and beyond, and shape a healthier human capital for sustainable development.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Sample Size According to Study objectives

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Reliability of the study tools

(DOCX)

S3 Table. Psychosocial risk factors of the B40 respondents from the Petaling district

(DOCX)

S4 Table. Health-related profiles of B40 respondents from the Petaling district

(DOCX)

S5 Table. Univariable analysis results tables and multivariable variable selection process

(DOCX)

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Ng Yit Han and Nithiah Thangiah for their contribution in statistical analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceptualisation: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Tin Tin Su, Tan Maw Pin, Rozmi Ismail

Data Curation: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid

Methodology: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Rozmi Ismail

Writing: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Rozmi Ismail

Reviewing and Editing: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Rozmi Ismail

438 Tin Tin Su, Tan Maw Pin,

439 **Funding Acquisition:** Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Tan Maw Pin, Tin Tin Su

440 References

- 441 1. Lépine JP, Briley M. The increasing burden of depression. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2011
442 May; 7(Suppl 1): 3-7. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S19617 PMID: 21750622
- 443 2. World Health Organization. Preventing suicide: a global imperative: World Health
444 Organisation, 2014.
- 445 3. Institute for Public Health (IPH), National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health
446 Malaysia. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019: Vol. I: NCDs–Non-
447 Communicable Diseases: Risk Factors and Other Health Problems.
- 448 4. Free Malaysia Today (FMT) . Over 2,400 suicides since 2018, 80% were men, says PM.
449 2021. 2021 September 16 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
450 [https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2021/09/16/over-2400-suicides-](https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2021/09/16/over-2400-suicides-since-2018-80-were-men-says-pm/)
451 [since-2018-80-were-men-says-pm/](https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2021/09/16/over-2400-suicides-since-2018-80-were-men-says-pm/)
- 452 5. The Star. Selangor tops list of suicide cases between Jan-May this year, says Bukit Aman.
453 2021 July 1 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
454 [https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2021/07/01/selangor-tops-list-of-suicide-cases-](https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2021/07/01/selangor-tops-list-of-suicide-cases-between-jan-may-this-year-says-bukit-aman)
455 [between-jan-may-this-year-says-bukit-aman](https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2021/07/01/selangor-tops-list-of-suicide-cases-between-jan-may-this-year-says-bukit-aman)
- 456 6. Thinagaran A, Dass LM, Sabri MF. The Financial Status and Factors Affecting Personal
457 Well-Being Among Urban Poor in Kuala Lumpur. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences*
458 *and Humanities (MJSSH)*. 2017 Mar 24;2(1):21-39. doi:
459 <https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v2i1.34>.
- 460 7. Department Of Statistics Malaysia (DOSM). Household Income and Basic Amenities
461 Survey. Report by State and Administrative District: Selangor [Internet]. Malaysia; 2019

462 [cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
 463 <https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat>
 464 8. Gruebner O, Rapp MA, Adli M, Kluge U, Galea S, Heinz A: Cities and mental health.
 465 Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2017; 114(8): 121–7. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2017.0121 PMID: 28302261
 466 9. Prina AM, Ferri CP, Guerra M, Brayne C, Prince M. Prevalence of anxiety and its
 467 correlates among older adults in Latin America, India and China: cross-cultural study. Br
 468 J Psychiatry. 2011 Dec;199(6):485-91. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083915 PMID: 22016438
 469 10. Sharifi V, Amin-Esmaeili M, Hajebi A, Motevalian A, Radgoodarzi R, Hefazi M, et al.
 470 Twelve-month prevalence and correlates of psychiatric disorders in Iran: the Iranian
 471 Mental Health Survey, 2011. Arch Iran Med. 2015 Feb 1;18(2): 76–84. PMID: 25644794
 472 11. Gruebner O, Khan MM, Lautenbach S, Müller D, Krämer A, Lakes T, Hostert P. Mental
 473 health in the slums of Dhaka-a geoepidemiological study. BMC Public Health. 2012
 474 Dec;12(1):177. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-177>
 475 12. Jacobi F, Höfler M, Siegert J, Mack S, Gerschler A, Scholl L, et al. Twelve-month
 476 prevalence, comorbidity and correlates of mental disorders in Germany: the Mental Health
 477 Module of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Adults
 478 (DEGS1-MH). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2014 Sep;23(3):304-19. doi:
 479 10.1002/mpr.1439. Epub 2014 Apr 11. PMID: 24729411
 480 13. Phillips MR, Zhang J, Shi Q, Song Z, Ding Z, Pang S, et al. Prevalence, treatment, and
 481 associated disability of mental disorders in four provinces in China during 2001-05: an
 482 epidemiological survey. Lancet (London, England). 2009;373(9680):2041-53.
 483 14. Lugova H, Andoy-Galvan JA, Patil SS, Wong YH, Baloch GM, Suleiman A, Nordin R,
 484 Chinna K. Prevalence and associated factors of the severity of depression, anxiety and
 485 stress among low-income community-dwelling adults in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Community Ment. Health J. 2021 Nov;57(8):1489-1498. doi: 10.1007/s10597-020-00765-7. PMID: 33417170
15. Tan KL, Yadav H. Depression among the urban poor in Peninsular Malaysia: A community based cross-sectional study. J Health Psychol. 2013 Jan;18(1):121-7. doi: 10.1177/1359105311433908. PMID: 22322990
16. Abd Rashid R, Kanagasundram S, Danaee M, Abdul Majid H, Sulaiman AH, Ahmad Zahari MM, et al. The prevalence of smoking, determinants and chance of psychological problems among smokers in an urban community housing project in Malaysia. Int. J. Environ. 2019 Jan;16(10):1762. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16101762. PMID: 31109033
17. Wilkinson RG, Marmot M, editors. Social determinants of health: the solid facts. World Health Organization; 2003.
18. Lahana E, Pappa E, Niakas D. The impact of ethnicity, place of residence and socioeconomic status on health-related quality of life: results from a Greek health survey. Int J Public Health. 2010 Oct;55(5):391-400. doi: 10.1007/s00038-010-0171-2. PMID: 20652355
19. Darin-Mattsson A, Fors S, Kåreholt I. Different indicators of socioeconomic status and their relative importance as determinants of health in old age. Int J Equity Health. 2017 Sep 26;16(1):173. doi: 10.1186/s12939-017-0670-3. PMID: 28950875
20. Lund C, Breen A, Flisher AJ, Kakuma R, Corrigall J, Joska JA, Swartz L, Patel V. Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. Soc Sci Med. 2010 Aug;71(3):517-528. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.04.027. PMID: 20621748
21. Galea S, Ahern J, Nandi A, Tracy M, Beard J, Vlahov D. Urban neighborhood poverty and the incidence of depression in a population-based cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. 2007 Mar;17(3):171-9. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2006.07.008. PMID: 17320784

22. Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey: Survey of Mental Health and Well-being, England, 2014 [Internet]. 2014 [Cited 11 November 2019]. Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/adult-psychiatric-morbidity-survey/adult-psychiatric-morbidity-survey-survey-of-mental-health-and-well-being-england-2014>.
23. Thangiah G, Said MA, Majid HA, Reidpath D, Su TT. Income Inequality in Quality of Life among Rural Communities in Malaysia: A Case for Immediate Policy Consideration. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020 Nov 24;17(23):8731. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17238731 PMID: 33255397
24. Degan TJ, Kelly PJ, Robinson LD, Deane FP, Smith AM. Health literacy of people living with mental illness or substance use disorders: A systematic review. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2021 Dec;15(6):1454-1469. doi: 10.1111/eip.13090. PMID: 33254279
25. Holman D. Exploring the relationship between social class, mental illness stigma and mental health literacy using British national survey data. *Health (London)*. 2015;19(4):413-29. doi: 10.1177/1363459314554316. PMID: 25323051
26. Ibrahim N, Amit N, Shahar S, Wee L-H, Ismail R, Khairuddin R, et al. Do depression literacy, mental illness beliefs and stigma influence mental health help-seeking attitude? A cross-sectional study of secondary school and university students from B40 households in Malaysia. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(4):544. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6862-6>
27. Mickelson KD, Hazlett E. “Why me?”: Low-income women’s poverty attributions, mental health, and social class perceptions. *sex roles*. 2014 Nov 1;71(9-10):319-332. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0414-4>
28. Yee A, Hodori NA, Tung YZ, Ooi PL, Latif SA, Isa HM, et al. Depression level and coping responses toward the movement control order and its impact on quality of life in the

- Malaysian community during the COVID-19 pandemic: a web-based cross-sectional study. Ann Gen Psychiatry. 2021 May 24;20(1):31. doi: 10.1186/s12991-021-00352-4. PMID: 34030704
29. Zhang J, Yang Z, Wang X, Li J, Dong L, Wang F, Li Y, Wei R, Zhang J. The relationship between resilience, anxiety and depression among patients with mild symptoms of COVID-19 in China: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Nurs. 2020 Nov;29(21-22):4020-9. doi: 10.1111/jocn.15425 PMID: 32702192.
30. Bank W. Global economic prospects. [Internet] The World Bank. June 2020 [Cited 2021 December 20]. Available from : <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/33748>
31. Sherina MS, Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F. Criterion validity of the PHQ-9 (Malay version) in a primary care clinic in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia. 2012;67(3):309-15. PMID: 23082424
32. Sherina M, Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F. Validation of the GAD-7 (Malay version) among women attending a primary care clinic in Malaysia. J Prim Health Care. 2012 Mar 1;4(1):5-11, A1. PMID: 22377544
33. Shafie AA, Vasan Thakumar A, Lim CJ, Luo N. Psychometric performance assessment of Malay and Malaysian English version of EQ-5D-5L in the Malaysian population. Qual Life Res. 2019 Jan;28(1):153-162. doi: 10.1007/s11136-018-2027-9. PMID: 30317426
34. Min Fui W. Associated Risk Factors of Mental Disorders Among Preschool Care Providers in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia [unpublished dissertation]. Kuala Lumpur: University Malaya; 2019.
35. Shafie AA, Thakumar AV, Lim CJ, Luo N, Rand-Hendriksen K, Yusof FA. EQ-5D-5L valuation for the Malaysian population. Pharmacoeconomics. 2019 May;37(5):715-725. doi: 10.1007/s40273-018-0758-7. PMID: 30535779

- 561 36. Tran BX, Ohinmaa A, Nguyen LT. Quality of life profile and psychometric properties of
562 the EQ-5D-5L in HIV/AIDS patients. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2012;10:132. PMID:
563 23116130
- 564 37. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity
565 measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001 Sep;16(9):606-13. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-
566 1497.2001.016009606.x. PMID: 11556941
- 567 38. Manea L, Gilbody S, McMillan D. A diagnostic meta-analysis of the Patient Health
568 Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) algorithm scoring method as a screen for depression. *Gen Hosp*
569 *Psychiatry*. 2015;37(1):67-75. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2014.09.009. PMID:
570 25439733
- 571 39. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalised
572 anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166(10):1092-7. doi:
573 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092. PMID: 16717171
- 574 40. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Monahan PO, Löwe B. Anxiety disorders in primary
575 care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. *Ann Intern Med*.
576 2007;146(5):317-325. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-5-200703060-00004.
- 577 41. Plante TG, Boccaccini MT. The Santa Clara strength of religious faith questionnaire.
578 *Pastoral Psychol*. 1997;45(5):375-387. doi: 10.1007/BF02230993.
- 579 42. Wagnild GM, Young HM. Development and psychometric evaluation of the Resilience
580 Scale. *J Nurs Meas*. 1993;1(2):165-178. PMID: 7850498
- 581 43. Narayanan SS, Weng Onn AC. The Influence of Perceived Social Support and Self-
582 Efficacy on Resilience among First Year Malaysian Students. *Kajian Malaysia: Journal of*
583 *Malaysian Studies*. 2016;34(2): 1-23. doi:10.21315/km2016.34.2.1.

44. Hammer JH, Parent MC, Spiker DA. Mental Help Seeking Attitudes Scale (MHSAS): Development, reliability, validity, and comparison with the ATSPPH-SF and IASMHS-PO. *J Couns Psychol*. 2018;65(1):74. doi: 10.1037/cou0000248.
45. Ibrahim N, A'isyah M, Ching S. Validation of the Malay Mental Help Seeking Attitude Scale. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)*. 2019;8(2S10):74-8.
46. Ismail R, Hassan N, Mansur M, Abdul Jalil S. Empowerment B40, Issues and Strategies. Selangor (MY): Social Science UKM Press; 2019.
47. Duong TV, Aringazina A, Kayupova G, Nurjanah, Pham TV, Pham KM, et al. Development and validation of a new short-form health literacy instrument (HLS-SF12) for the general public in six Asian countries. *Health Lit Res Pract*. 2019;3(2):e91-102. doi: 10.1037/cou0000248.
48. Hosmer Jr DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied logistic regression. 3rd ed. New York (US): John Wiley & Sons; 2013. doi: 10.1002/9781118548387.
49. Krishnaswamy S, Subramaniam K, Jemain AA, Low WY, Ramachandran P, Indran T et al. Common mental disorders in Malaysia: Malaysian mental health survey, 2003–2005. *Asia Pac Psychiatry*. 2012;4(3):201-209. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-5872.2012.00180.x.
50. Abdullah MF, Yusof HA, Shariff NM, Hami R, Nisman NF, Law KS. Depression and anxiety in the Malaysian urban population and their association with demographic characteristics, quality of life, and the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Current Psychology*. 2021 Feb;1-2. doi: 10.1007/s12144-021-01492-2. PMID: 33623353.
51. Wong LP, Alias H, Md Fuzi AA, Omar IS, Mohamad Nor A, Tan MP, et al. Escalating progression of mental health disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from a nationwide survey. *PloS One*. 2021 Mar 25;16(3):e0248916. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248916. PMID: 33765039.

52. Bosman RC, Ten Have M, de Graaf R, Muntingh AD, van Balkom AJ, Batelaan NM. Prevalence and course of subthreshold anxiety disorder in the general population: a three-year follow-up study. *J Affective Disord.* 2019 Mar 15;247:105-113. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.01.018.
53. Forsell Y. A three-year follow-up of major depression, dysthymia, minor depression and subsyndromal depression: results from a population-based study. *Depress Anxiety* 2007;24(1):62-65. doi: 10.1002/da.20231.
54. Rodríguez MR, Nuevo R, Chatterji S, Ayuso-Mateos JL. Definitions and factors associated with subthreshold depressive conditions: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2012 Dec;12(1):1-7. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-12-181. PMID: 23110575
55. Priego-Parra BA, Triana-Romero A, Pinto-Gálvez SM, Ramos CD, Salas-Nolasco O, Reyes MM, et al. Anxiety, depression, attitudes, and internet addiction during the initial phase of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic: A cross-sectional study in México. *medRxiv.* 2020 May 15. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.10.20095844
56. Wu T, Jia X, Shi H, Niu J, Yin X, Xie J, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* 2020 Dec 3;281:91-98. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.11.117. PMID: 33310451
57. Taylor MR, Agho KE, Stevens GJ, Raphael B. Factors influencing psychological distress during a disease epidemic: data from Australia's first outbreak of equine influenza. *BMC Public Health.* 2008 Dec;8(1):1-13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-347. PMID: 18831770.
58. Moghanibashi-Mansourieh A. Assessing the anxiety level of Iranian general population during COVID-19 outbreak. *Asian J Psychiatry.* 2020 Jun;51:102076. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102076. PMID: 32334409.
59. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, Pollak TA, McGuire P, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a

- systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(7):611-627. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30203-0. PMID: 32437679.
60. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socioeconomic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *Int J Surg*. 2020 Jun;78:185-193. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018. PMID: 32305533
61. Luo Y, Chua CR, Xiong Z, Ho RC, Ho CSH. A Systematic Review of the Impact of Viral Respiratory Epidemics on Mental Health: An Implication on the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *Front. Psychiatry*. 2020;11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.565098>
62. Hohls JK, König HH, Quirke E, Hajek A. Association between anxiety, depression and quality of life: Study protocol for a systematic review of evidence from longitudinal studies. *BMJ Open*. 2019 Mar;9(3):e027218. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027218. PMID: 30837260.
63. Bailey H, Janssen MF, La Foucade A, Kind P. EQ-5D-5L population norms and health inequalities for Trinidad and Tobago. *Plos One*. 2019 Apr 29;14(4):e0214283. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214283. PMID: 31034491
64. Wong EL, Cheung AW, Wong AY, Xu RH, Ramos-Goñi JM, Rivero-Arias O. Normative profile of health-related quality of life for Hong Kong general population using preference-based instrument EQ-5D-5L. *Value Health*. 2019 Aug 1;22(8):916-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2019.02.014.
65. Purba FD, Hunfeld JA, Iskandarsyah A, Fitriana TS, Sadarjoen SS, Passchier J, et al. Quality of life of the Indonesian general population: Test-retest reliability and population norms of the EQ-5D-5L and WHOQOL-BREF. *PLoS One*. 2018 May;13(5):e0197098. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197098. PMID: 29750806.
66. Pattanaphesaj J, Thavorncharoensap M, Ramos-Goñi JM, Tongsir S, Ingsrisawang L, Teerawattananon Y. The EQ-5D-5L valuation study in Thailand. *Expert Rev*

659 Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res. 2018 Sep 3;18(5):551-8. doi:
660 10.1080/14737167.2018.1494574.

661 67. McCaffrey N, Kaambwa B, Currow DC, Ratcliffe J. Health-related quality of life
662 measured using the EQ-5D-5L: South Australian population norms. Health Qual Life
663 Outcomes. 2016 Dec;14(1):1-2. doi: 10.1186/s12955-016-0537-0. PMID: 27644755.

664 68. Nguyen LH, Tran BX, Hoang Le QN, Tran TT, Latkin CA. Quality of life profile of
665 general Vietnamese population using EQ-5D-5L. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2017
666 Dec;15(1):1-3. doi: 10.1186/s12955-017-0771-0. PMID: 29020996.

667 69. Varatharajan S, Chen WS. Reliability and validity of EQ-5D in Malaysian population.
668 Appl Res Qual Life. 2012 Jun 1;7(2):209-221. doi: 10.1007/s11482-011-9156-4.

669 70. Cerne A, Rifel J, Rotar-Pavlic D, Svab I, Selic P, Kersnik J. Quality of life in patients with
670 depression, panic syndrome, other anxiety syndrome, alcoholism and chronic somatic
671 diseases: a longitudinal study in Slovenian primary care patients. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.
672 2013 Jan;125(1):1-7. doi: 10.1007/s00508-012-0278-y.

673 71. Ma YF, Li W, Deng HB, Wang L, Wang Y, Wang PH, et al. Prevalence of depression and
674 its association with quality of life in clinically stable patients with COVID-19. J. Affect.
675 Disord. 2020 Oct 1;275:145-148. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.033. PMID: 32658818.

676 72. Comer JS, Blanco C, Hasin DS, Liu SM, Grant BF, Turner JB, Olfson M. Health-related
677 quality of life across the anxiety disorders: results from the national epidemiologic survey
678 on alcohol and related conditions (NESARC). J Clin Psychiatry. 2010 Aug 24;71(1):43-
679 50. doi: 10.4088/JCP.09m05094blu. PMID: 20816036.

680 73. Kader Maideen SF, Mohd. Sidik S, Rampal L, Mukhtar F. Prevalence, associated factors
681 and predictors of depression among adults in the community of Selangor, Malaysia. PLoS
682 One. 2014 Apr 22;9(4):e95395. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095395 PMID:24755607

74. Maideen SF, Sidik SM, Rampal L, Mukhtar F. Prevalence, associated factors and predictors of anxiety: a community survey in Selangor, Malaysia. BMC Psychiatry. 2015;15(1):1-12. doi: 0.1186/s12888-015-0648-x. PMID: 26497745
75. Shanmugam H, Juhari JA, Nair P, Ken CS, Guan NC. Impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in Malaysia: a single thread of hope. MJP. 2020 Apr 21;29(1):78-84. <https://www.mjpsychiatry.org/index.php/mjp/article/view/536>
76. Implement emergency response to domestic violence amid COVID-19 crisis. Women's Aid Organisation. [Internet]. 2020 April 9 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from: <https://wao.org.my/implementemergency-response-to-domesticviolence-amid-covid-19-crisis/>.
77. KOSMO. Covid-19 pushes more people to divorce. 2020 November 20 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from: <https://www.kosmo.com.my/2020/11/20/covid-19-dorong-lebih-ramai-bercerai/>
78. Sinar Harian. Divorce cases in Malaysia have recorded a remarkable increase. 2020 December 29 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from: <https://www.sinarharian.com.my/article/116749/BERITA/Nasional/Kes-perceraian-di-Malaysia-rekodkan-peningkatan-luar-biasa>
79. Williams DT, Cheadle JE, Goosby BJ. Hard times and heart break: Linking economic hardship and relationship distress. J. Fam. Issues. 2015 Jun;36(7):924-50. J Fam Issues. 2015 Jun;36(7):924-950. doi: 10.1177/0192513X13501666. PMID: 26097273
80. Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis AC, et al. Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004 May 25;101(21):8174-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402680101. PMID: 15148381

81. IOM. Investing in the health and well-being of young adults. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.2015.
82. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020 Jun 1;288:112954. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112954. PMID: 32325383
83. Shevlin M, McBride O, Murphy J, Miller JG, Hartman TK, Levita L, et al. Anxiety, depression, traumatic stress and COVID-19-related anxiety in the UK general population during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BJPsych Open.* 2020 Oct 19;6(6):e125. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2020.109. PMID: 33070797
84. Edwards J, Goldie I, Elliott I, Breedvelt J, Chakkalackal L, Foye U, et al. Fundamental facts about mental health. MHF. [Internet] 2016 [Cited 2020 March 3]. Available from: <https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/publications/fundamental-facts-about-mental-health-2016>
85. Cheah YK, Azahadi M, Phang SN, Abd Manaf NH. Sociodemographic, lifestyle, and health factors associated with depression and generalised anxiety disorder among Malaysian adults. *J Prim Care Community Health.* Jan-Dec 2020;11:2150132720921738. doi: 10.1177/2150132720921738. PMID: 32450747
86. Institute for Public Health (IPH), National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019: Vol. I: NCDs–Non-Communicable Diseases: Risk Factors and Other Health Problems.
87. Mundt A, Kliewe T, Yayla S, Ignatyev Y, Busch MA, Heimann H, Heinz A, Rapp MA, Schouler-Ocak M, Ströhle A, Aichberger MC. Social characteristics of psychological distress in disadvantaged areas of Berlin. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2014 Feb;60(1):75-82. doi: 10.1177/0020764012464017. PMID: 23117825

88. Ahmad A, Rahman I, Agarwal M. Early psychosocial predictors of mental health among Indians during coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. JHSCI [Internet]. 2020 July 14 [Cited 2022 January 30];10(2):147-56. Available from: <https://www.jhsci.ba/ojs/index.php/jhsci/article/view/950>
89. Uphoff EP, Newbould L, Walker I, Ashraf N, Chaturvedi S, Kandasamy A, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of common mental disorders in people with non-communicable diseases in Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan. J. Glob. Health. 2019 Dec;9(2). J Glob Health. 2019 Dec;9(2):020417. doi: 10.7189/jogh.09.020417. PMID: 31893031
90. Lin EH, Rutter CM, Katon W, Heckbert SR, Ciechanowski P, Oliver MM, Ludman EJ, Young BA, Williams LH, McCulloch DK, Von Korff M. Depression and advanced complications of diabetes: a prospective cohort study. Diabetes Care. 2010 Feb;33(2):264-9. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1068. PMID: 19933989
91. Köhler CA, Evangelou E, Stubbs B, Solmi M, Veronese N, Belbasis L, et al. Mapping risk factors for depression across the lifespan: an umbrella review of evidence from meta-analyses and Mendelian randomisation studies. J. Psychiatr. Res. 2018 Aug 1;103:189-207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.05.020>
92. Lim L, Ng TP, Chua HC, Chiam PC, Won V, Lee T, et al. Generalised anxiety disorder in Singapore: prevalence, co-morbidity and risk factors in a multi-ethnic population. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2005 Dec 1;40(12):972-9. doi: 10.1007/s00127-005-0978-y. PMID: 16249971
93. McEvoy PM, Grove R, Slade T. Epidemiology of anxiety disorders in the Australian general population: findings of the 2007 Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. Aust NZJ Psychiatry. 2011 Nov;45(11):957-67. doi: 10.3109/00048674.2011.624083. PMID: 22044173

94. Amiri M, Majid HA, Hairi F, Thangiah N, Bulgiba A, Su TT. Prevalence and determinants of cardiovascular disease risk factors among the residents of urban community housing projects in Malaysia. BMC Public Health. 2014 Dec;14(3):1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-S3-S3>
95. Su TT, Azzani M, Adewale AP, Thangiah N, Zainol R, Majid H. Physical Activity and Health-Related Quality of Life Among Low-Income Adults in Metropolitan Kuala Lumpur. J Epidemiol. 2019 Feb 5;29(2):43-49. doi: 10.2188/jea.JE20170183. PMID: 29962493
96. Azizan NA, Thangiah N, Su TT, Majid HA. Does a low-income urban population practise healthy dietary habits?. Int Health. 2018 Mar 1;10(2):108-115. doi: 10.1093/inthealth/ihy00. PMID: 29462331
97. Su TT, Amiri M, Mohd Hairi F, Thangiah N, Bulgiba A, Majid HA. Prediction of cardiovascular disease risk among low-income urban residents in metropolitan Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Biomed Res Int. 2015 Mar 2;2015. doi: 10.1155/2015/516984.
98. Zheng M, Jin H, Shi N, Duan C, Wang D, Yu X, et al. The relationship between health literacy and quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2018 Oct 16;16(1):201. doi: 10.1186/s12955-018-1031-7. PMID: 30326903
99. Ssebunnya J, Kigozi F, Lund C, Kizza D, Okello E. Stakeholder perceptions of mental health stigma and poverty in Uganda. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2009 Dec;9(1):5 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-698X-9-5>
100. Bullock HE, Waugh IM. Beliefs about Poverty and Opportunity among Mexican Immigrant Farm Workers 1. J Appl Soc Psychol. 2005 Jun;35(6):1132-49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02163.x>

- 779 101.Bullock HE. Attributions for Poverty: A Comparison of Middle-Class and Welfare
780 Recipient Attitudes 1. J Appl Soc Psychol. 1999 Oct;29(10):2059-82.
781 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1999.tb02295.x>
- 782 102.Norisan A, Jalaluddin A, Suzana S. Memperkasa B40 Isu dan Strategi Sains Sosial. Bangi:
783 Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM). 2019. ISBN 9789672388302
- 784 103.Rickwood D, Deane FP, Wilson CJ, Ciarrochi J. Young people's help-seeking for mental
785 health problems. AeJAMH. 2005 Jan 1;4(3):218-51. doi: 10.5172/jamh.4.3.218
- 786 104.Penang Institute. Bridging barriers: A report on improving access to mental healthcare in
787 Malaysia.[Internet] Pulau Pinang; 2018.[Cited 2021 December 20]. 141 p. Available from:
788 [https://penanginstitute.org/programmes/penang-institute-in-kuala-lumpur/1032-bridging-](https://penanginstitute.org/programmes/penang-institute-in-kuala-lumpur/1032-bridging-barriers-a-study-on-improving-access-to-mental-healthcare-in-malaysia/)
789 [barriers-a-study-on-improving-access-to-mental-healthcare-in-malaysia/](https://penanginstitute.org/programmes/penang-institute-in-kuala-lumpur/1032-bridging-barriers-a-study-on-improving-access-to-mental-healthcare-in-malaysia/)
- 790 105.Hu T, Zhang D, Wang J. A meta-analysis of the trait resilience and mental health. Pers
791 Individ Dif. 2015 Apr 1;76:18-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.11.039>
- 792 106.Wu Y, Sang ZQ, Zhang XC, Margraf J. The relationship between resilience and mental
793 health in Chinese college students: a longitudinal cross-lagged analysis. Front. Psychol.
794 2020 Feb 5;11:108. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00108. PMID: 32116918

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2