SENSOR TECHNOLOGY FOR OPENING NEW PATHWAYS IN DIAGNOSIS AND THERAPEUTICS OF BREAST, LUNG, COLORECTAL AND PROSTATE CANCER

Saeed Roshani

Allameh Tabataba'i University, Faculty of Management and Accounting,
Department of Technology and Entrepreneurship Management, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: Roshani@atu.ac.ir

Mario Coccia

CNR -- National Research Council of Italy,
Collegio Carlo Alberto, Via Real Collegio, 30-10024 Moncalieri (Torino), Italy
E-mail: mario.coccia@cnr.it

Melika Mosleh

Birmingham Business School, College of Social Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK
E-mail: mxm1219@alumni.bham.ac.uk

Abstract:

This study analyzes the interaction between sensor research and technology and different types of cancer (breast, lung, colorectal and prostate) that generates a high mortality in society with the goals of detecting new directions for improving diagnosis and therapeutics. This study develops an approach of computational scientometrics based on data of the Web of Science from 1991 to 2021 period. The results of this analysis show the vital role of biosensor and electrochemical biosensor applied in breast cancer, lung cancer, and prostate cancer research, except colorectal cancer for latter one. Instead, research of optical sensors is developing mainly in the directions of breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer for improving diagnostics. Finally, oxygen sensor research is developing for breast and lung cancer for applications in breath analysis in new treatment processes. Preliminary results presented here clearly illustrate the evolutionary paths of main sensor technologies that have a great potential in future diagnosis of cancer, but we also need additional examinations of other aspects and factors for supporting appropriate strategies of management of technology to foster the technology transfer of sensor technologies in cancer research for improving diagnosis and, whenever possible, reduce world-wide mortality of cancer in society.

Keywords: Sensor technology; Sensor research; Technological trajectories; New Technology; Diagnosis; Biosensor; Cancer; Lung Cancer; Prostate Cancer; Personalized medicine; Breast Cancer; Colorectal Cancer.

1. Introduction and goal of investigation

The research field of sensor is undergoing a significant change to support the evolution of science and technologies in society (Andersen et al., 2004; Coccia et al., 2021; Coccia and Watts, 2020; Coccia, 2019, 2019a, 2021, 2021a; Rao et al., 2018; Wilson, 2004). The goal of this study is an exploratory analysis to detect main sensor technologies applied in cancer research for improving diagnosis and treatments and reducing whenever possible mortality between countries. The vast literature in these topics shows main results for cancer research (Bayford et al., 2022; Kaur et al., 2022; Li et al., 2020, 2020a; Rey-Barth et al., 2022; Sivanandhan et al., 2022; Thakare et al., 2022). As far as breast cancer is concerned, Wu et al. (2022) design a dual-aptamers functionalized gold for classification of breast cancer based on Förster resonance energy transfer, which is potentially useful for quantitative classification of different subtypes of breast cancer. Lu et al. (2022) argue that phthalates can penetrate the environment and enrich various aquatic organisms through the food chain, which is involved in promoting the growth of breast cancer. It is of current interest to develop new sensors for phthalates. Results show that guest-induced reassembly brings forth significant fluorescence change, which is a promising way of designing new fluorescent probes for the analysis of phthalates in the environment and food. Pothipor et al. (2022) show that a dual-mode electrochemical biosensor is successfully developed for simultaneous detection of two different kinds of breast cancer biomarkers. The experimental results suggest that this label-free biosensor exhibits good linear responses to the concentrations of both target analytes with the limits of detection. This assay strategy has a great potential to be further developed for the simultaneous detection of a variety of miRNAs and protein biomarkers for point-of-care diagnostic applications. Kim et al. (2022) maintain that mechanophores are molecular motifs that respond to mechanical perturbation with targeted chemical reactions toward desirable changes in material properties. Taking advantage of the strengths of mechanophores and high-intensity focused ultrasound, mechanochemical dynamic therapy can provide noninvasive treatments for diverse cancer types (cf., Mohan et al., 2022). About prostate cancer, Bax et al. (2022) argue that diagnostic protocol is affected by poor accuracy and high false-positive rate and propose an electronic nose for non-invasive prostate cancer detection. The approach proved to be effective in mitigating drift on 1-year-old sensors by restoring accuracy from 55% to 80%, achieved by new sensors

not subjected to drift. The model achieved, on double-blind validation, a balanced accuracy of 76.2%. Prema et al. (2022) examine the biological synthesis of gold nanoparticles using green tea and their cytotoxicity against human prostate cancer cells. The findings suggest that the biosynthesized reduced prostate cancer cell proliferation and exert their anti-proliferative action on the prostate cancer cell line by inhibiting growth, decreasing DNA synthesis, and triggering apoptosis. In lung cancer, Joshi et al. (2022) argue that proper and early diagnosis of cancers are a basic to efficient treatment and better prognosis and report a simple and label-free method of detection of two antigens: carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and cytokeratin-19 fragment (CYFRA 21-1) that are the biomarkers of many cancers including lung cancer. The responses of the sensors ranged from 10.96 to 26.48% for 0.25 pg/mL to 20 ng/mL CEA and it varied from 17.66 to 26.68% for 0.25 pg/mL to 20 ng/mL CYFRA 21-1. Kaya et al. (2022) review the recent advances and improvements (2011–2021 period) in nanomaterials based electrochemical biosensors for the detection of the lung and colon cancer biomarkers (cf., Tumuluru et al., 2022). In colon cancer, Jiang et al. (2022) argue that Transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) acts as cellular sensor and is implicated in the tumor microenvironment cross talk and the functional role of TRPV1 in colorectal cancer (CRC). The study reveals an important role for TRPV1 in regulating the immune microenvironment during colorectal tumorigenesis and might be a potential target for CRC immunotherapy. Welz et al. (2022) point out that the intestinal epithelium undergoes constant self-renewal from intestinal stem cells. Together with genotoxic stressors and failing DNA repair, this self-renewal causes susceptibility toward malignant transformation. The study shows that X-box binding protein 1 is a stress sensor involved in coordinating epithelial DNA damage responses and stem cell function.

In this context of the evolution of sensor technologies towards manifold fields of research, the motivation of this study is to clarify the role of research and technology in sensors for main typologies of cancer, describing the networks of interconnection of sensors with other technologies and scientific aspects related to cancer under study. Proposed methodology can indicate new directions of sensor technologies for diagnosis and treatments of cancer and help policymakers to allocate with efficiency financial resources to support scientific and technological development in these critical fields of research in society (cf., also Ardito et al., 2021; Coccia, 2018, 2019a, 2020; Coccia and Finardi, 2012, 2013; Kashani and Roshani, 2019; Roshani et al., 2021).

The balance of the paper proceeds as follows. First, it describes the data and methodology, applying a novel information processing approach of computational scientometrics, to generate maps of science that can explain the ecosystem and evolution of sensor research and technologies in specific typologies

of cancer. We then show the results and conclude with a discussion on new directions of the evolution of sensor technology in cancer and limitations of the study to be solved with future studies.

2. Study design

First, this study focuses on main typologies of cancer that have the highest estimated age-standardized incidence and mortality rate worldwide as indicated in Table 1 and Figure 1 based on data by Globocan (2020).

Table 1. Estimated age-standardized incidence and mortality rates (World) in 2020, worldwide, both sexes, all ages

Cancer	Incidence	Mortality
Breast	47.8	13.6
Prostate	30.7	7.7
Lung	22.4	18
Colorectum	19.5	9
Cervix uteri	13.3	7.3
Stomach	11.1	7.7
Liver	9.5	8.7
Corpus uteri	8.7	1.8
Ovary	6.6	4.2
Thyroid	6.6	0.43
Data source: Globocan (2020)

Figure 1. Estimated age-standardized incidence and mortality rates (World) in 2020, worldwide, both sexes, all ages. Red bars indicate mortality, blue bars are incidence. Data source: Globocan (2020)

Considering the data just mentioned, this study focuses on the investigation of sensor technology and research in the following four main types of cancer:

- Breast cancer
- Lung cancer
- Prostate cancer
- Colorectal cancer

2.1. Data sources and retrieval strategy

To address the main question of this study, we used the Web of Science (WOS, 2021) core collection database to retrieve the articles related to sensor technologies and cancers. In this study, we focused as said on four major types of cancers for a comparative analysis (Coccia, 2018a) including: Breast cancer (BC), lung cancer (LC), Prostate cancer (PC) and Colorectal cancer (CC). We used the following strategy for extracting related articles for further processing. The term "sensor" was searched with "breast cancer" in the topics of articles. We changed the "breast" to "lung" for extracting the articles related to lung cancer, "prostate" for prostate cancer and "colorectal" for colorectal cancer. The results are refined by document

type = "Articles", Language = "English", Publication years = (1991-2021), Web of Science index = "SCI-EXPANDED"). We found 1117 unique articles for breast cancer, 764 articles for lung cancer, 454 articles for prostate cancer, and 282 articles for colorectal cancer.

2.2. Data processing procedure

To find the applications of sensors technology in cancers considered in this article, we used the original keywords (DEs) provided by the authors as the basis for constructing the word co-occurrences networks. According to this technique, two terms are considered co-occurrence whenever they simultaneously appear in a single document (Delecroix and Epstein, 2004). To find the relevant sensor technologies to each of the cancer we studied, we applied the following procedure:

Keywords standardization: We tried to clean the keywords according to their meaning and structures in this step. For instance, we combined the "bio-sensor" and "biosensor" into Biosensor. Also, we changed all abbreviations and plural forms of nouns into a basic form (e.g., computers changed to computer and "AMPK" changed to "Amp-activated Protein kinase").

Network construction: We used SCI2 tool V. 1.3 for constructing the word co-occurrences network (Sci2 Team, 2009). As mentioned above, we used the article's original keywords (DE tag) to create the networks. We create four different breast, lung, Prostate, and colorectal cancer networks. Also, we removed the isolated nodes after analyzing the nodes and links in the networks.

Path-finding: path-finding is a technique for choosing the shortest links between two nodes. To reduce the links of our networks and emphasize the most important nodes, we used a minimum spanning tree (MST) path-finder algorithm (Quirin et al., 2008). All the calculations are implemented by SCI2 tool. Also, as an input parameter of the algorithm, we set the parameter Weight Attribute measures to "SIMILARITY" and Edge Weight Attribute to "Unweighted". The initial network of breast cancer contains 10,149 links, and links reduced network contains 2,318 edges. The lung cancer network contains 6,128 initially and has 1539 links after applying the link reduction algorithm. After the algorithm implementation, the Prostate cancer network had 3,395 links and held 850 edges. These results for colorectal cancer include 2,394 links at the first and 528 links after implementing the link reduction algorithm.

Visualizations: We utilized Gephi software (Bastian et al., 2009) version 0.9.2 to visualize the networks. The nodes indicate the original keywords, and links show the co-occurrences among them. Also, the size of nodes is based on the Betweenness centrality. This measure is used for detecting the nodes which have a connecting role in the network (Kashani and Roshani, 2019). We set the Betweenness centrality as an

indicator for identifying the groups of the path in each network. In other words, nodes with Betweenness centrality greater than 0.1 are considered thresholds for identifying the groups.

3. Results

3.1. Breast cancer

Breast cancer has seriously threatened women health in the world (Chagpar and Coccia, 2019; Coccia, 2013, 2019c, 2020; Harbeck and Gnant, 2017). As mentioned earlier, the breast cancer sample contains 1,117 articles, and it is the most extensive dataset in our analysis. This network contains 2,319 nodes (keywords) and 2,318 links. Also, this network includes 149 sensors that are interconnected to the other nodes. Figure 2 shows the breast cancer co-word network.

As shown in figure 3, Regarding the Betweenness centrality threshold of 0.1, this network has eight path groups. All nodes with a value of Betweenness centrality greater than 0.1 are considered the head of a group. Top keywords and all the sensors included in these categories have been shown in Table 2A in Appendix.

Group 1 with the head of lung cancer is related to 5 hot topics: Electronic Nose (e-nose), Pattern Recognition, Mutation, Nrf2 (Nuclear factor-erythroid factor 2-related factor 2), and Calix[4]arene. It is also illustrated that 69 sensors are interconnected to this path. The oxygen sensor, Electrochemical Biosensor, Cell Sensor, Metal Oxide Semiconductor Sensor, Chemiresistive Sensor, Breath Sensor, Electrochemical Immunosensor, and Capacitive Biosensor are a couple of sensors that are involved in the creation of linkage path among these nodes.

In group 2 led by Volatile Organic Compounds (voc), we identified 23 related sensors including, Exhaled Breath Sensor, Dna Sensor, Volatile Organic Compound Sensors, Cmos Sensor, Electrochemical Genosensor, etc. The hot topics related to this group of nodes are Breath, Diagnosis, Gold Nanoparticle, Nanoparticles, and Exhaled Breath.

Group 3 connected to Breath analysis, has 8 interconnected sensors, including Piezoresistive Membrane Sensors, H₂s Sensor, Formaldehyde Gas Sensor, Quantum Resistive Vapor Sens Quantum Resistive Sensor, Acetone Sensor, and Genetically Encoded Nanosensor. The most frequent keywords involved in this path group are: Real-Time, Diabetes, Non-invasive, Formaldehyde, and Sno2.

Group 4 with the head of Gas sensor is related to important keywords of Humidity sensor, Health Monitoring, Reduced Graphene Oxide, and Acetone. Six sensors of gas, lung cancer, Sensor, multiparameter virtual sensor array, humidity sensor, sensor Mechanism, and Flexible Sensor are part of this path group.

In Group 5, Biosensor with the most important technology in creating the path among different sensors and keywords, it is responsible for making a bridge between the other seven sensors of Fluorescence Biosensor, Wireless Sensor Networks, Photoelectric Aerosol Sensor, Direct Progeny Sensor, Pid Sensor, Chemo-resistive Gas Sensor and most frequent keywords of Wireless Sensor Networks, Radon, Smart Home, Electrochemical Inhibitors, and Hydrocarbon Gas Sensor.

The next group, led by Exosome connected to the top five keywords of Cancer Diagnosis, Optical Biosensor, Immunoassay, and Multi-wall Carbon Nanotubes related to five sensors of Optical Biosensor, Saw Gas Sensor, Optical Chemical Sensor, Vocs Identification, Saw Immunosensor, and Impedimetric Sensor.

The 7th group distinguished by Surface Plasmon Resonance (spr) includes five hot keywords of Endoscopy, Au Nps, Signal Enhancement, Erlotinib, and Tollen's Reagent, which have two sensors of Histidine sensor and Fiber Optic Biosensor in their category.

In group 8 related to Graphene, we have five DNA Mutation, Chemical Sensor, Urine Headspace, Colorimetric Sensor, and Tuberculosis keywords. Ten sensors of Chemical Sensor, Colorimetric Sensor, Cancer Sensor, Array-based Sensor, Temperature Sensors, Impedance-based Sensor, Attachable Gas Sensors, Hg(ii) Sensor, Eis Aptamer Sensor, and Microrna Sensor are the important sensing technologies in this group.

3.3. Prostate cancer

This network contains 870 nodes (keywords) and 869 links. This network includes 72 sensors that are interconnected to the other nodes. Figure 4 shows the prostate cancer co-word network.

Figure 4. Co-word analysis map of Prostate cancer

Figure 4 shows that there are eight groups in the Prostate cancer network. The most important nodes based on the Betweenness centrality value are: Prostate cancer, Prostate-specific antigen, Activated Protein Kinase (AMPK) and Biosensor. Table 3A in Appendix shows the groups, core keywords of them and related sensors.

Group 1, led by Prostate cancer, is related to 5 hot topics of Gold Nanoparticle, Immunosensor, Detection, Porcine Liver Esterase, and Fluorescent Probe. It is also illustrated that 27 sensors are interconnected to this path. Immunosensor, Near-infrared Biosensor, Resonance Sensor, Fna-based Electrochemical Sensor, Impedimetric Sensor, and Aptasensor are a couple of sensors involved in the creation of linkage path among these nodes.

In group 2, led by Activated Protein Kinase (Ampk), we identified Label-free Nanoimmunosensor as the only connected Sensor. The hot topics related to this group of nodes are Apoptosis, Lkb1, Lung Cancer, Mtorc1, Castrate-resistant

Group 3, connected to Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA), has 22 interconnected sensors, including Glucose Sensor, Ph Sensor, Colorimetric Sensor, Quantum Resistive Sensor, etc. The most frequent keywords involved in this path group are Aptamer, Prostate Cancer Diagnosis, Cancer Biomarker, and Silicon Nanowire.

Group 4 with the head of Biosensor is related to important keywords of Antibody, Prostatic Carcinoma, DNA, Acoustic Wave Sensor, Cancer Metastasis. Four sensors of Biosensor, Optical Sensor, Acoustic Wave Sensor, Bio-mems Force Sensor are part of this group.

The next group, led by Prostate connected to the top five Zinc, Chromogranin A, Fluorescent Sensor, Imaging Diagnosis, and Peptide related to four sensors of Trans-rectal and Pressure Sensor Array, Chemosensor, and Fluorescent Sensor.

In Group 6, Electrochemical Sensor with the most important position in creating the path among different sensors and keywords is responsible for making a bridge between Nanosensor, Flutamide Sensor, Bicalutamide Sensor and most frequent keywords of Flutamide, Nanocomposite, Voltammetry, Anticancer Drug, and Electrophilicity.

The 7th group distinguished by Sarcosine includes four hot keywords of Urine, Electrochemical Biosensor, Oligonucleotides, Label Free Detection, which have seven sensors such as Sarcosine Biosensor, Potentiometric Sensor, Electrochemical Biosensor, Urine Sensors, Graphene-based Electrical Sensor, Disposable Sensor Chips, and Colorimetric Biosensor in their category.

In group 8 related to Apoptosis, we have five keywords of Chemotherapy, DNA Damage, DNA Repair, Rad9, and Tumor Suppressor. Three sensors of Carbon Nanotube Biosensor, Wireless Pressure sensors, and Implantable Pressure Sensor are the important sensing technologies in this group.

3.4. Colorectal cancer

Finally, the colorectal cancer co-word network contains 529 nodes and 528 links. Figure 5 shows the co-occurrence network of keywords of this cancer and their connections with sensors.

Figure 5. Co-word analysis map of colorectal cancer

Figure 5 shows that there are 9 groups in the colorectal cancer network. The most important nodes based on the Betweenness centrality value are colorectal cancer, Faecal Immunochemical Test, Screening, and Biosensor. Table 4A in Appendix shows the groups, core keywords of them and related sensors. Table 4A, shows the most important information about groups, core keywords and related sensors in the word co-occurrences network of the colorectal cancer. Also, there are 31 sensors interconnected to this network.

Group 1, led by Colorectal Cancer, is related to five hot topics of Apoptosis, Occult Blood Test, Precision Medicine, Social Health, and Electrochemical Biosensor. It is also illustrated that 13 sensors are interconnected to this path. Electrochemical Biosensor, Gas Sensor Array, Nanostructured Sensors, Bifunctional Nanobiosensor, and Inductive Sensors are a couple of sensors involved in creating linkage path among these nodes.

In group 2, led by Faecal Immunochemical Test (fit), we identified just 5 top keywords of Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Reg3, Quality Assurance, Advance Notification, and Letter.

Group 3 connected to Screening has two interconnected sensors including, Chemoresistive Sensors and Nucleic Acid-sensor. The most frequent keywords involved in this path group are Chemoresistive Sensors, Blood, Tumor Marker, Tissue Microarray, Faecal Occult Blood Test.

Group 4 with the head of Sensitivity is related to important keywords of Antibody, Prostatic Carcinoma, DNA, Acoustic Wave Sensor, Cancer Metastasis. Four sensors of Biosensor, Optical Sensor, Acoustic Wave Sensor, Bio-mems Force Sensor are part of this path group.

The next group, led by Colon Cancer connected to the top five keywords of Zinc, Chromogranin A, Fluorescent Sensor, making Diagnosis, and Peptide relate to four sensors of Trans-rectal Pressure Sensor Array, Chemosensor, and Fluorescent Sensor.

In Group 6, Colorectal Cancer Screening with the most important position in creating the path among different sensors and keywords is responsible for bridging Nanosensor, Flutamide Sensor, Bicalutamide Sensor and most frequent keywords of Flutamide, Nanocomposite, Voltammetry, Anticancer Drug, and Electrophilicity.

The 7th group distinguished by Biomarkers includes five hot keywords of Endoscopy, Au Nps, Signal Enhancement, Erlotinib, and Tollen's Reagent, which have two sensors: Histidine sensor and Fiber Optic Biosensor in their category.

In group 8, related to Biosensor, we have five DNA Mutation, Chemical Sensor, Urine Headspace, Colorimetric Sensor, and Tuberculosis keywords. Ten sensors of Chemical Sensor, Colorimetric Sensor, Cancer Sensor, Array-based Sensor, Temperature Sensors, Impedance-based Sensor, Attachable Gas Sensors, Hg(ii) Sensor, Eis Aptamer Sensor, and Microrna Sensor are the important sensing technologies in this group.

Group 9 led by Soft Sensors and Actuators, is related to five hot topics: Gold Nanoparticle, Immunosensor, Detection, Porcine Liver Esterase, and Fluorescent Probe. It is also illustrated that 27 sensors are interconnected to this path. Immunosensor, Near-infrared Biosensor, Resonance Sensor, Fna-based Electrochemical Sensor, Impedimetric Sensor, and Aptasensor are a couple of sensors involved in the creation of linkage path among these nodes.

According to Table 2, Biosensor is the only type of sensor that plays an essential role in all types of cancer: breast cancer, lung cancer, prostate cancer, and colorectal cancer. After that, the electrochemical sensor is in all types of cancers except lung cancer. Surprisingly, electrochemical biosensor is used in breast cancer, lung cancer, and prostate cancer research but not in colorectal cancer. Optical Sensor can also be considered one of the sensor technologies that significantly is used in three types of cancer: breast cancer, prostate cancer, and colorectal cancer. This study shows that this type of sensor is applied in more diversified approaches. Moreover, the oxygen sensor ,as a type of gas sensor, is mostly applied in lung cancer and breast cancer studies due to the usage of breath analysis in the treatment process. Cmos Sensor is another technology used in two types of cancer studies, including Lung Cancer and Colorectal Cancer.

Table 2. The most frequent sensors in cancer studies

Sensor technologies	Breast Cancer	Lung Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Colorectal Cancer
Biosensor	*	*	*	*
Electrochemical Sensor	*		*	*
Electrochemical Biosensor	*	*	*	
Oxygen sensor	*	*		
Tactile Sensor	*			
Immuno Sensor	*			
Fiber Optic Sensor	*			
Cancer Sensor	*			
Optical Sensor	*		*	*
Pressure Sensors	*			
Dna Sensor	*			
Impedimetric Sensor	*			
Terahertz Sensor	*			
Genosensor	*			
Biomimetic Sensor	*			
Electrochemical Cytosensor	*			
Gas sensor		*		
Chemical Sensor		*		
Fluorescence Sensor		*		
Cell Sensor		*		
Metal Oxide Semiconductor Sensor		*		
Breath Sensor		*		
Electrochemical Immunosensor		*		
Capacitive Biosensor		*		
Exhaled Breath Sensor		*		
Acetone Sensor		*		
Volatile Organic Compound Sensors		*		
Cmos Sensor		*		*
Electrochemical Genosensor		*		
Colorimetric Sensor		*		
Immunosensor			*	
Near-infrared Biosensor			*	
Resonance Sensor			*	
Chemosensor			*	
Glucose Sensor			*	
Impedimetric Sensor			*	
Soft Sensors And Actuators				*
Whole Cell Sensor				*

4. Concluding remarks

The evolution of the ecosystem of sensors technology over the last few decades is unparalleled with an intensive activity of research in public and private laboratories (Andersen et al., 2004; Coccia et al., 2021; Coccia, 2005; 2015, 2017a, 2017b; Pagliaro and Coccia, 2021). Sensor technology is co-evolving with growing interactions of technological systems directed to fulfil human goals and needs and solve problems in society. Cancer is still one of the leading diseases and causes of death in the world. More than 250 types of cancers are currently known (cf., Coccia and Bellitto, 2018). Types of cancer under study here are a major cause of cancer-related deaths globally due to their difficult diagnosis in early stages resulting in late treatment. In fact, in the health domain, a major challenge is the detection of diseases using rapid and cost-effective techniques. Most of the existing cancer detection methods show poor sensitivity and selectivity and are time consuming with high cost (Mohan et al., 2022). In short, the early diagnosis is an important integral part of the process of cancer treatment. For this reason, the analysis of the role of sensor technology and research in these topics is basic in clinical diagnosis and early treatment for patients for reducing the mortality worldwide.

The task of this study was to do an exploratory analysis on the role of sensor technology in cancer research to see possible new directions for improving diagnosis and therapeutic treatments. The results of this analysis are:

1. Biosensor is the only type of sensor that plays an essential role in all types of four cancer under study.
2. Electrochemical sensor is in all types of cancer except lung cancer.
3. Electrochemical Biosensor is used in breast cancer, lung cancer, and prostate cancer research but not colorectal cancer.
4. Optical Sensor is a technology used in three types of cancer: breast cancer, prostate cancer, and colorectal cancer.
5. Oxygen sensor has a role in lung cancer and breast cancer studies due to the usage for breath analysis in the treatment process.
6. Finally, Cmos Sensor is another technology used in two types of cancer studies, including Lung Cancer and Colorectal Cancer.

Overall, then, results suggest that new directions, such as optical biosensors that are rapid, real-time, and portable technology, have a low detection limit and a high sensitivity, and have a great potential for diagnosing various types of cancer. Optical biosensors can detect cancer in a few million malignant cells, in comparison to conventional diagnosis techniques that use 1 billion cells in tumor tissue with a diameter of 7 nm–10 nm (traditional methods that are also costly, inconvenient, complex, time consuming, and require technical specialists; Kaur et al., 2022). Moreover, the cancer biomarkers using luminescence and electrochemical Metal-organic framework sensors have been opening the way for personalized patient treatments and the development of new cancer-detecting devices (Mohan et al., 2022). The challenge of sensor technology in cancer research is the developing of simple, reliable and sensitive point-of-care testing biosensor for cancerous exosomes detection to early cancer diagnosis and prognosis. The biosensor could also avoid the influence of the external environment, including surrounding light and temperature (Zou et al., 2022).

These conclusions are, of course, tentative. Although this study has provided some interesting, albeit preliminary results, it has several limitations. First, a limitation of this study is that sources under study may only capture certain aspects of the ongoing dynamics of sensor research and technology in cancer research. Second, there are multiple confounding factors that could have an important role in the interaction between sensor technology and cancer research for diagnosis to be further investigated, such as high R&D investments, collaboration intensity, openness, intellectual property rights, etc. (Roshani et al., 2021). Third, the computational and statistical analyses in this study focus on data in a specific period and should be extended to other periods. Forth, sensor research associated with cancer studies change their borders during the evolution of science, such that the identification of stable technological trajectories and new patterns in the evolution of sensors in cancer research is a non-trivial exercise.

To conclude, future research should consider new data when available, and when possible, apply new approaches to reinforce proposed results. Despite these limitations, the results presented here clearly illustrate the evolutionary paths of main sensor technologies that have a great potential as a powerful tool in future diagnosis of cancer but we also need a detailed examination of other aspects and factors for supporting appropriate strategies of research and innovation policy, and management of technology to foster the technology transfer of sensor in cancer research for improving diagnosis and at the same time reducing, as far as possible, world-wide mortality of cancer in society.

APPENDIX

Table 1A. Groups, Core keywords and related sensors in the breast cancer network

Group	Core Keyword	Top 5 keywords	Related sensors
1	Breast Cancer	Autophagy Immunoassay Electrochemical Biosensor Tumorigenesis Microrna	1. Electrochemical Biosensor 2. Electrochemical Sensor 3. Oxygen sensor 4. Immuno Sensor 5. Array-based Sensor 6. Terahertz Sensor 7. Dna Sensor 8. Fiber Optic Sensor 9. Impedimetric Sensor 10. Fluorescence Sensor 11. Dna Biosensor 12. Single-use Sensors 13. Impedance Sensor 14. Photoelectrochemical Biosensor 15. Electrochemical Cytosensor 16. Optical Biosensor 17. Antifouling Biosensor 18. Electrochemical Dna Sensor 19. Electrochemical Dna Biosensor 20. Cytosensor 21. Surface Plasmon Resonance Biosensor 22. Micro Sensor 23. Dna Damage Sensor 24. Nuclease Optical Sensor 25. Microfluidic Immunosensor 26. Gan Hemt Based Biosensor 27. Aptasensor 28. Chemical Sensor 29. Radio Sensor Technology (rst) 30. Miniature Sensor 31. Electrochemical Genosensor 32. Cell-based Biosensor 33. Metabolic Sensor 34. Multimodal Sensors 35. Multi-modal sensor Data 36. Acoustic Biosensors 37. Silicon-sensor Chips 38. Electrochemical Aptasensor 39. Estrogen Biosensor 40. Stress Sensor 41. Spr-based Pcf Sensor 42. Oil Adulteration sensor 43. New Ion-channel Sensor Model 44. Environmental Sensor 45. Fluorescent Biosensor 46. Body Sensor Network 47. Breath Sensor

-
48. Optical 3-d Sensor
 49. Mems Sensor
 50. Nanobiosensor
 51. Quartz Crystal Microbalance Sensor
 52. Aunps Sensor
 53. Nanomechanosensor
 54. Ratiometric Aptasensor
 55. Recyclable Sensor
 56. Redox Sensor
 57. Thermal Sensors
 58. Bionic Sensor
 59. Mems Mass Sensor
 60. Genomagnetic Sensor
 61. on-off Type Aptasensor
 62. Piezoresistive Force Sensor
 63. Sandwich-type Immunosensor
 64. Dsrna sensor
 65. Cmos Capacitance Sensor
 66. Plasmonic Biosensors
 67. Cell Sensor
 68. E-DNA Sensor
 69. Metal Nanoparticles-graphene Hybrid Biosensors
 70. Multiplex Dna Sensor
 71. Genetically-encoded Sensor
 72. Apoptosis Sensor
 73. Lectin Sensor
 74. Glucose Biosensor
 75. Molecular Sensors
 76. Electrochemical Sandwich Immunosensor
 77. sensor Activity
 78. Label-free Electrochemical Sensor
 79. Self-powered Sensor
 80. Bio-mems Force Sensor
 81. Sandwich-type Biosensor
 82. Fiber Optic Biosensor
 83. Fluorescent Sensor
 84. Aptamersensor
 85. Gold Nanostructured Sensor
 86. Luminescent Sensor
 87. Electronic Sensor
 88. Laser Distance Sensor
 89. Active Radio Sensor
 90. Stimulus Response sensor
 91. Lspr sensor Multiplexed Detection
 92. Plow-through Biosensor
 93. Fluorescence Turn-on Sensor
 94. Rhodamine-coumarin Based Chemo sensor
 95. Biochemosensor
 96. Sh-saw Biosensor
 97. Electrochemiluminescence Immunosensor
 98. Live Cell Non-invasive Apoptosis Detection Sensor (niads)
 99. Breast Cancer Sensor
-

			100. Kinase Biosensor
2	Biosensor	Her2 Cancer antigen Nanoparticle Tactile Sensor Signal Amplification	1- Biosensor 2- Optical Sensor 3- Colorimetric Sensor 4- Colorimetric Nanosensor 5- Ph. Sensor 6- Refractive Index Sensor 7- Nanosensor 8- Label-free Optical Sensor 9- Label-free Aptasensor 10- Electrogenated Chemiluminescence Aptasensor 11- Fluid-type Tactile Sensor 12- Vision-based Sensor 13- Spr Sensor 14- Label-free Electrochemical Immunosensor 15- Silicon Nanobiosensor 16- Protease Sensor 17- Environmental Sensor 18- Biochemosensor 19- Tactile Sensor 20- Pressure Sensors 21- Genosensor 22- Cancer Sensor 23- Biomimetic Sensor 24- Mirna sensor 25- Electrochemical Immunosensor 26- Occipital Structure Sensor 27- Multiplexed Immunosensor 28- Acoustic Sensor 29- Ratiometric Electrochemical Biosensor 30- Lspr Biosensor 31- Atomic Force Microscopy Sensor
3	Reactive Oxygen Species	Apoptosis Mcf-7 Cancer Cells Circulating Tumor Cell Dna Hydrogel Biosensor Carbon Dot	1) Raman Biosensor 2) Dna Hydrogel Biosensor 3) Light Addressable Potentiometric Sensor (laps) 4) Capacitive Sensor 5) Label-free Biosensor 6) Nanowire Biosensor 7) Motion Sensor 8) Targeted Drug Delivery sensor 9) Ratiometric Fluorescent Sensor 10) Hall Sensor 11) Cell-based Sensor 12) Metal Ion Sensors 13) Conductivity Sensor 14) Turn Off Fluorescence Sensor 15) Hydrogel Sensor 16) Magnetoelastic Sensor 17) Cmos Image Sensor 18) Pb2+ Ions sensor

Table 2A. Groups, Core keywords and related sensors in the lung cancer network

Group	Core Keyword	Top 5 keywords	Related sensors
1	Lung Cancer	Electronic Nose (e-nose) Pattern Recognition Mutation Nrf2 (Nuclear factor-erythroid factor 2-related factor 2) Calix[4]arene	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Oxygen sensor 2. Electrochemical Biosensor 3. Cell Sensor 4. Metal Oxide Semiconductor Sensor 5. Chemiresistive Sensor 6. Breath Sensor 7. Electrochemical Immunosensor 8. Capacitive Biosensor 9. Photoelectrochemical Immunosensor 10. Vapor sensor 11. Ammonia Gas Sensor 12. Voc Gas Sensor 13. Electrochemical Sensor 14. Mems Magnetic Sensor 15. Immunosensor 16. Sensor-based Gas Analyzer 17. Nonconjugated Polymer Gas Sensor 18. Color Sensor 19. Computed Tomography-guided Sensor Implantation 20. Metal Oxide Mox Sensor 21. Dna Damage Sensor Protein 22. Sensor Phenomena And Characterization 23. Label-free Electrochemical Immunosensor 24. Mox Sensors 25. Low sensor Chamber Volume 26. Metal Oxide Sensors 27. Artificial Olfactory Sensor 28. Optical Fiber Sensors 29. Optical Sensor 30. Electronic Smell Sensor 31. Colorimetric Cross-responsive Sensor 32. Sensor-type Prototype System 33. Mos Sensors 34. Sensor Selection 35. Fluorescence Turn-on Chemosensor 36. Coumarin Based Sequential Chemosensor 37. Nanostructured sensor Materials 38. Love-wave Sensor 39. Calorimetric Sensor 40. Gas-sensor Property 41. Electrokinetic Sensor 42. Chemosensors 43. Surface Stress Sensor 44. Nanomechanical Sensor 45. Label-free Immunosensor 46. Chemical Gas Sensor 47. Mach Zehnder Interferometer Sensor 48. Picric Acid Sensor 49. Diverse Sensor Array 50. Virtual Sensors Array

			51. Aptasensor 52. Label-free Nanoimmunosensor 53. Microarray Sens Sensors 54. Capacitive Sensor 55. Nh3 Gas Sensor 56. Smartphone-integrated Sensor 57. sensor For Health 58. Silicon Biophotonic Sensor 59. Nanobiosensor 60. Single Molecule sensor 61. Fluoride Ions sensor 62. Breath Ethanol Sensors 63. Silicon Nanobiosensor 64. Poly-silicon Wire Sensor 65. Cytosensor 66. Adsorption-based Sensor 67. Graphene Biosensor 68. Alkane Sensor 69. Luminescent Sensor
2	Volatile Organic Compounds (voc)	Breath Diagnosis Gold Nanoparticle Nanoparticles Exhaled Breath	1- Exhaled Breath Sensor 2- Dna Sensor 3- Volatile Organic Compound Sensors 4- Cmos Sensor 5- Electrochemical Genosensor 6- Metal Oxide Semiconductor Gas Sensor 7- Intracellular Sensor 8- Amperometric Immunosensor 9- Odor Sensors 10- Chemical Piezosensor 11- Chip Integrated Biosensor 12- Nanophotonic Biosensor 13- Stochastic Sensor 14- Lectin Sensor 15- Hydrogen Gas Sensor 16- Impedance Biosensor 17- Alcohol Nanosensor 18- Paper-based Sensors 19- Chemoresistive Sensors 20- Multianalyte Biosensor 21- Co2 Biosensor 22- Characterization Of The Sensor 23- Trace Gas sensor
3	Breath analysis	Real Time Diabetes Non-invasive Formaldehyde Sno2	1- Piezoresistive Membrane Sensors 2- H2s Sensor 3- Formaldehyde Gas Sensor 4- Quantum Resistive Vapor Sensor 5- Quantum Resistive Sensor 6- Acetone Sensor 7- Genetically Encoded Nanosensor 8- Gas Biosensor
4	Gas Sensor	Humidity sensor Health Monitoring	1- Gas sensor 2- Lung Cancer Sensor

		Reduced Graphene Oxide Acetone Tin Oxide	3- Multiparameter Virtual Sensor Array 4- Humidity sensor 5- sensor Mechanism 6- Flexible Sensor
5	Biosensor	Wireless Sensor Networks Radon Smart Home Electrochemical Inhibitors	1- Biosensor 2- Fluorescence Biosensor 3- Wireless Sensor Networks 4- Photoelectric Aerosol Sensor 5- Direct Progeny Sensor 6- Pid Sensor 7- Chemo-resistive Gas Sensor 8- Hydrocarbon Gas Sensor
6	Exosome	Cancer Diagnosis Optical Biosensor Immunoassay Multi-wall Carbon Nanotubes	1- Optical Biosensor 2- Saw Gas Sensor 3- Optical Chemical Sensor, Vocs Identification 4- Saw Immunosensor 5- Impedimetric Sensor
7	Surface Plasmon Resonance (spr)	Endoscopy Au Nps Signal Enhancement Erlotinib Tollen's Reagent	1- Histidine sensor 2- Fiber Optic Biosensor
8	Graphene	Dna Mutation Chemical Sensor Urine Headspace Colorimetric Sensor Tuberculosis	1- Chemical Sensor 2- Colorimetric Sensor 3- Cancer Sensor 4- Array-based Sensor 5- Temperature Sensors 6- Impedance-based Sensor 7- Attachable Gas Sensors 8- Hg(ii) Sensor 9- Eis Aptamer Sensor 10- Microrna Sensor

Table 3A. Groups, Core keywords and related sensors in the Prostate cancer network

Group	Core Keyword	Top 5 keywords	Related sensors
1	Prostate cancer	Gold Nanoparticle Immunosensor Detection Porcine Liver Esterase Fluorescent Probe	5. Immunosensor 6. Near-infrared Biosensor 7. Resonance Sensor 8. Fna-based Electrochemical Sensor 9. Impedimetric Sensor 10. Aptasensor 11. Piezoelectric Sensor 12. Boronate Sensor 13. Zinc sensor 14. Nanopore-based Sensor 15. Nanowire Biosensor 16. Microsensors 17. Voltammetric Sensor 18. Hall Sensor 19. Electrochemical Aptasensor 20. Tactile Sensor 21. Array-based Sensor 22. Non-enzymatic Sensor 23. Electrochemical Aptamer Sensors 24. Ratiometric Aptasensor 25. Light Addressable Potentiometric Sensor (laps) 26. Camera Sensor 27. Fluorescence Gas-sensory Arrays
2	Activated Protein Kinase (Ampk)	Apoptosis Lkb1 Lung Cancer Mtorc1 Castrate-resistant	1. Label-free Nanoimmunosensor
3	Prostate Specific Antigen (psa)	Aptamer Prostate Cancer Diagnosis Cancer Biomarker Silicon Nanowire	1. Glucose Sensor 2. Ph Sensor 3. Colorimetric Sensor 4. Quantum Resistive Sensor 5. Label-free Biosensors 6. Love Wave Sensor 7. Biomarker sensor 8. Photonic Sensor 9. Biomarker Pressure Sensor 10. Carbon Nanotube Sensors 11. Ion Selective Sensors 12. Rna Biosensor 13. Affinity Sensor 14. Electrical Conductivity Sensor 15. Conductivity Sensor 16. Memristive Biosensors 17. Ring Resonator Biosensor 18. Nanobiosensor 19. Metal Nanoparticles-graphene Hybrid Biosensors 20. Impedimetric Immunosensor 21. Single-molecule Sensor

			22. Sandwich-type Electrochemical Immunosensor
4	Biosensor	Antibody Prostatic Carcinoma Dna Acoustic Wave Sensor Cancer Metastasis	1. Biosensor 2. Optical Sensor 3. Acoustic Wave Sensor 4. Bio-mems Force Sensor
5	Prostate	Zinc Chromogranin A Fluorescent Sensor Imaging Diagnosis Peptide	1. Trans-rectal Sensor 2. Pressure Sensor Array 3. Chemosensor 4. Fluorescent Sensor
6	Electrochemical Sensor	Flutamide Nanocomposite Voltammetry Anticancer Drug Electrophilicity	1. Electrochemical Sensor 2. Nanosensor 3. Flutamide Sensor 4. Bicalutamide Sensor
7	Sarcosine	Urine Electrochemical Biosensor Oligonucleotides Label Free Detection	1. Sarcosine Biosensor 2. Potentiometric Sensor 3. Electrochemical Biosensor 4. Urine Sensors 5. Graphene-based Electrical Sensor 6. Disposable Sensor Chips 7. Colorimetric Biosensor
8	Apoptosis	Chemotherapy Dna Damage Dna Repair Rad9 Tumor Suppressor	1. Carbon Nanotube Biosensor 2. Wireless Pressure Sensor 3. Implantable Pressure Sensor

Table 4A. Groups, Core keywords and related sensors in the colorectal cancer network

Group	Core Keyword	Top 5 keywords	Related sensors
1	Colorectal Cancer	Apoptosis Occult Blood Test Precision Medicine Social Health Electrochemical Biosensor	4. Electrochemical Biosensor 5. Gas Sensor Array 6. Nanostructured Sensors 7. Bifunctional Nanobiosensor 8. Inductive Sensors 9. Body Sensor Network 10. Electrochemical Genosensor 11. Electrochemical Dna Biosensor 12. Silicon Bio-photonic Sensor 13. Aptasensor 14. Cell-based Biosensor 15. Electrochemical Aptasensor 16. Rna Sensor
2	Faecal Immunochemical Test (fit)	Inflammatory Bowel Disease Reg3 Quality Assurance Advance Notification Letter	-
3	Screening	Chemoresistive Sensors Blood Tumor Marker Tissue Microarray Faecal Occult Blood Test	1. Chemoresistive Sensors 2. Nucleic Acid-sensor
4	Sensitivity	Stability Specificity	1. Evanescent Wave Absorption Sensor
5	Colon Cancer	Transmission Electron Microscopy (tem) Oxidative Stress Kras Drug Screening	1- Electrochemical Sensor 2- Electrochemical Enzymatic Sensor 3- Dna Sensor 4- Nanosensor
6	Colorectal Cancer Screening	Colonoscopy Quantitative Fecal Immunochemical Test For Hemoglobin Sample Stability	1- Electric-field Effect Colorectal Sensor
7	Biomarkers	Wearables Sex Circadian Rhythms Nanotechnology Hemolysis Assay	-
8	Biosensor	Cancer Markers Surface Plasmon Resonance Whole Cell Sensor Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy	1. Biosensor 2. Whole Cell Sensor 3. Cmos Sensor
9	Soft Sensors And	Optical Sensor	1. Optical Sensor

Actuators	Bowel Viability Colon Cloud Serve Pulse Oximetry	2. Robot sensor Systems 3. Endocavitary Sensor
-----------	---	---

References

- Andersen P. D., Jørgensen B. H., Lading L., Rasmussen B. 2004. Sensor foresight-technology and market. *Technovation*, vol. 24, n. 4, pp. 311-320, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972\(02\)00072-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972(02)00072-X).
- Ardito L., Coccia M., Messeni Petruzzelli A. 2021. Technological exaptation and crisis management: Evidence from COVID-19 outbreaks. *R&D Management*, vol. 51, n. 4, pp. 381-392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12455>
- Bastian, M., Heymann, S., & Jacomy, M. 2009. Gephi: an open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. In *Third international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*.
- Bax, C., Prudenza, S., Gaspari, G., (...), Grizzi, F., Taverna, G. 2022. Drift compensation on electronic nose data for non-invasive diagnosis of prostate cancer by urine analysis, *iScience* 25(1), 103622
- Bayford, R.H., Damaso, R., Neshatvar, N., (...), Nordebo, S., Demosthenous, A. 2022. Locating Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles Using Electrical Impedance Tomography, *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 69(1), pp. 494-502
- Chagpar A. B., Coccia M. 2019. Factors associated with breast cancer mortality-per-incident case in low-to-middle income countries (LMICs). *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 37, no. 15, suppl. pp. 1566-1566, DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2019.37.15
- Coccia M. 2005. A taxonomy of public research bodies: a systemic approach, *Prometheus*, vol. 23, n. 1, pp. 63-82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0810902042000331322>
- Coccia M. 2012. Evolutionary growth of knowledge in path-breaking targeted therapies for lung cancer: radical innovations and structure of the new technological paradigm. *International Journal of Behavioural and Healthcare Research*, vol. 3, nos. 3-4, pp. 273-290. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBHR.2012.051406>
- Coccia M. 2013. The effect of country wealth on incidence of breast cancer, *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 141, n. 2, pp. 225-229, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-013-2683-y>
- Coccia M. 2014. Path-breaking target therapies for lung cancer and a far-sighted health policy to support clinical and cost effectiveness, *Health Policy and Technology*, vol. 1, n. 3, pp. 74-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlpt.2013.09.007>
- Coccia M. 2015. Spatial relation between geo-climate zones and technological outputs to explain the evolution of technology. *Int. J. Transitions and Innovation Systems*, vol. 4, nos. 1-2, pp. 5-21, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJTIS.2015.074642>
- Coccia M. 2017. Sources of technological innovation: Radical and incremental innovation problem-driven to support competitive advantage of firms. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, vol. 29, n. 9, pp. 1048-1061, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2016.1268682>
- Coccia M. 2017a. Disruptive firms and industrial change, *Journal of Economic and Social Thought*, vol. 4, n. 4, pp. 437-450, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1453/jest.v4i4.1511>
- Coccia M. 2017b. Varieties of capitalism's theory of innovation and a conceptual integration with leadership-oriented executives: the relation between typologies of executive, technological and

- socioeconomic performances. *Int. J. Public Sector Performance Management*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 148–168. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPSPM.2017.084672>
- Coccia M. 2018. General properties of the evolution of research fields: a scientometric study of human microbiome, evolutionary robotics and astrobiology, *Scientometrics*, vol. 117, n. 2, pp. 1265-1283, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2902-8>
- Coccia M. 2018a. An introduction to the methods of inquiry in social sciences, *J. Adm. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 5, n. 2, pp. 116-126, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1453/jsas.v5i2.1651>
- Coccia M. 2019. A Theory of classification and evolution of technologies within a Generalized Darwinism, *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, vol. 31, n. 5, pp. 517-531, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2018.1523385>
- Coccia M. 2019a. The theory of technological parasitism for the measurement of the evolution of technology and technological forecasting, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 141, pp. 289-304, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.12.012>
- Coccia M. 2019b. Why do nations produce science advances and new technology? *Technology in society*, vol. 59, November, n. 101124, pp. 1-9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.03.007>
- Coccia M. 2019c. Socioeconomic Analysis of Breast Cancer between Countries, 2012-2018 Period. *Quaderni IRCrES*, 4(2), 37-46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23760/2499-6661.2019.009>
- Coccia M. 2019d. Artificial intelligence technology in cancer imaging: Clinical challenges for detection of lung and breast cancer. *Journal of Social and Administrative Sciences*, vol. 6, n. 2, pp. 82-98, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1453/jsas.v6i2.1888>
- Coccia M. 2020. Deep learning technology for improving cancer care in society: New directions in cancer imaging driven by artificial intelligence. *Technology in Society*, vol. 60, February, pp. 1-11, art. n. 101198, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101198>
- Coccia M. 2021. Technological Innovation. *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology*. Edited by George Ritzer and Chris Rojek. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd .DOI: 10.1002/9781405165518.wbeost011.pub2
- Coccia M. 2021a. Evolution of technology in replacement of heart valves: Transcatheter aortic valves, a revolution for management of valvular heart diseases, *Health Policy and Technology*, vol. 10, Issue 2, June 2021, Article number 100512, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlpt.2021.100512>
- Coccia M., Bellitto M. 2018. Human progress and its socioeconomic effects in society, *Journal of Economic and Social Thought*, vol. 5, n. 2, pp. 160-178, ISSN: 2149-0422, www.kspjournals.org, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1453/jest.v5i2.1649>
- Coccia M., Finardi U. 2012. Emerging nanotechnological research for future pathways of biomedicine. *International Journal of Biomedical nanoscience and nanotechnology*, vol. 2, nos. 3-4, pp. 299-317. DOI: 10.1504/IJBNN.2012.051223
- Coccia M., Finardi U. 2013. New technological trajectories of non-thermal plasma technology in medicine. *Int. J. Biomedical Engineering and Technology*, vol. 11, n. 4, pp. 337-356, DOI: 10.1504/IJBET.2013.055665
- Coccia M., Roshani S., Mosleh M. 2021. Scientific Developments and New Technological Trajectories in Sensor Research. *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 23: art. N. 7803. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21237803>
- Coccia M., Watts J. 2020. A theory of the evolution of technology: technological parasitism and the implications for innovation management, *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, vol. 55 (2020) 101552, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2019.11.003>

- Delecroix, B. and Epstein, R., 2004. Co-word analysis for the non-scientific information example of Reuters Business Briefings. *Data Science Journal*, 3, pp.80-87.
- Globocan 2020. Cancer today. Estimated age-standardized incidence and mortality rates (World) in 2020, worldwide, both sexes, all ages. <https://gco.iarc.fr/> (accessed 3 February 2022)
- Harbeck, N., Gnant, M., Breast cancer, (2017) *The Lancet*, 389 (10074), pp. 1134-1150
- Jiang, X., Wang, C., Ke, Z., (...), Yang, Y., Dai, Y. 2022. The ion channel TRPV1 gain-of-function reprograms the immune microenvironment to facilitate colorectal tumorigenesis. *Cancer Letters*, 527, pp. 95-106
- Joshi, S., Guruprasad, G., Kulkarni, S., Ghosh, R. 2022. Reduced Graphene Oxide Based Electronic Sensors for Rapid and Label-Free Detection of CEA and CYFRA 21-1, *IEEE Sensors Journal* 22(2), pp. 1138-1145
- Kashani, E. S., & Roshani, S. 2019. Evolution of innovation system literature: Intellectual bases and emerging trends. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 146, 68-80.
- Kaur, B., Kumar, S., Kaushik, B.K. 2022. Recent advancements in optical biosensors for cancer detection. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 197,113805
- Kaya, S.I., Ozcelikay, G., Mollarasouli, F., Bakirhan, N.K., Ozkan, S.A. 2022. Recent achievements and challenges on nanomaterial based electrochemical biosensors for the detection of colon and lung cancer biomarkers. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 351,130856
- Kim, G., Wu, Q., Chu, J.L., (...), Moore, J.S., Li, K.C. 2022. Ultrasound controlled mechanophore activation in hydrogels for cancer therapy, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 119(4),e2109791119
- Li, B., Pan, W., Liu, C. 2020. Homogenous Magneto-Fluorescent Nanosensor for Tumor-Derived Exosome Isolation and Analysis) *ACS Sensors*
- Li, B., Liu, C., Pan, W. 2020a. Facile fluorescent aptasensor using aggregation-induced emission luminogens for exosomal proteins profiling towards liquid biopsy. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*
- Lu, J.-Y., Chen, Q.-Y., Meng, S.-C., Feng, C.-J. 2022. A dye-andrographolide assembly as a turn-on sensor for detection of phthalate in both cells and fish *Analytica Chimica Acta* 1195,339460
- Mohan, B., Kumar, S., Xi, H., (...), Zhang, Y., Ren, P. 2022. Fabricated Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) as luminescent and electrochemical biosensors for cancer biomarkers detection. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 197,113738
- Pagliari M., Coccia M. 2021. How self-determination of scholars outclasses shrinking public research lab budgets, supporting scientific production: a case study and R&D management implications. *Heliyon*. vol. 7, n. 1, e05998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05998>
- Pothipor, C., Bamrungsap, S., Jakmunee, J., Ounnunkad, K. 2022. A gold nanoparticle-dye/poly(3-aminobenzylamine)/two dimensional MoSe₂/graphene oxide electrode towards label-free electrochemical biosensor for simultaneous dual-mode detection of cancer antigen 15-3 and microRNA-21. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 210,112260
- Prema, P., Boobalan, T., Arun, A., (...), Nguyen, V.-H., Balaji, P. 2022. Green tea extract mediated biogenic synthesis of gold nanoparticles with potent anti-proliferative effect against PC-3 human prostate cancer cells, *Materials Letters* 306,130882

- Quirin, A., Cordon, O., Guerrero-Bote, V. P., Vargas-Quesada, B., & Moya-Anegón, F. 2008. A quick MST-based algorithm to obtain Pathfinder networks (∞ , $n-1$). *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(12), 1912-1924.
- Rao N. S.V., Brooks R.R., Wu C.Q. 2018. *Proceedings of International Symposium on Sensor Networks, Systems and Security -Advances in Computing and Networking with Applications*, Springer.
- Rey-Barth, S., Pinsault, N., Terrisse, H., (...), Guyot, C., Bosson, J.-L. 2022. A program centered on smart electrically assisted bicycle outings for rehabilitation after breast cancer: A pilot study *Medical Engineering and Physics*, 100,103758
- Roshani S., Bagheri R., Mosleh M., Coccia M. 2021. What is the relationship between research funding and citation-based performance? A comparative analysis between critical research fields. *Scientometrics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-04077-9>
- Sci2 Team 2009. *Science of science (Sci2) tool*. Indiana University and SciTech Strategies, 379.
- Sivanandhan, M., Parasuraman, A., Surya, C., (...), Mani, D., Ahn, Y.-H. 2022. Facile approach for green synthesis of fluorescent carbon dots from *Manihot esculenta* and their potential applications as sensor and bio-imaging agents. *Inorganic Chemistry Communications* 137,109219
- Thakare, S., Shaikh, A., Bodas, D., Gajbhiye, V. 2022. Application of dendrimer-based nanosensors in immunodiagnosis. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 209,112174
- Tumuluru, P., Hrushikesava Raju, S., Santhi, M.V.B.T., (...), Seetha Rama Krishna, P., Koujalagi, A. 2022. Smart Lung Cancer Detector Using a Novel Hybrid for Early Detection of Lung Cancer, *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 311, pp. 849-862
- Web of Science, WOS 2021. Documents. Clarivate.
- Welz, L., Kakavand, N., Hang, X., (...), Rosenstiel, P., Aden, K. 2022. Epithelial X-Box Binding Protein 1 Coordinates Tumor Protein p53-Driven DNA Damage Responses and Suppression of Intestinal Carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology*, 162(1), pp. 223-237.e11.
- Wilson, J.S., 2004. *Sensor technology handbook*. Elsevier.
- Wu, Y., Feng, Y., Li, X. 2022. Classification of breast cancer by a gold nanoparticle based multicolor fluorescent aptasensor, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 611, pp. 287-293
- Zou, L., Liu, X., Zhou, Y., (...), Yang, X., Wang, K. 2022. Optical fiber amplifier and thermometer assisted point-of-care biosensor for detection of cancerous exosomes. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 351,130893