Nipah virus from bats - another potential pandemic?

Risk mapping the impact of anthropogenic and climate change on the transmission of Nipah virus infection to humans.

Varsha Balachandra Kumar^{1*}, Nicola Rooney¹, Andrew Carr¹

¹Bristol Veterinary School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

*Corresponding author

E-mail: varshabalachandra@gmail.com (VB)

Abstract

Henipavirus infection (NiV), a fatal disease transmitted by bats has caused human death and disease in India and Bangladesh. With an average case fatality rate of more than 70%, NiV has the potential to cause widespread outbreaks that can have a devastating impact on human health. Spillover of NiV from bats to humans is influenced by several factors mainly human behaviour and human interaction with bats. Climatic conditions and habitat destruction influence the shedding of the virus and the transmission of the disease to humans. Limited research has been conducted on the factors driving these spillover events. As the world has recently witnessed the devastating impact of the COVID-19 global pandemic, a forewarning of spillover events will enable the adoption of adequate measures to prevent and control future outbreaks. Our study maps the likely changing distribution of NiV reservoir *Pteropus medius* bat species using future climate and land-use change predictions. We use existing data to predict which districts within India and Bangladesh have increased risk of spillover of NiV in the future. We use Species Distribution Modelling to predict the likely change in the distribution of *P. medius* under different future scenarios and the concurrent increase in the risk of NiV spillover events. We focus on the influence of anthropogenic changes in different socioeconomic scenarios to predict future spillover events. We consider climate and social goals set by the International Panel on Climate Change (IPPC) to create accurate predictions of regions at risk of NiV spillovers. We find that the risk of NiV spillover events in India and Bangladesh will likely increase. More districts are predicted to be at risk of NiV spillovers under the high population growth and persistent environmental degradation scenario than under moderate population growth and medium challenges to achieve climate goals. This highlights the significance of population growth and climate change when considering disease outbreaks and public health. Our findings will enable the authorities in the predicted spillover regions to take public health measures to prevent and control NiV outbreaks.

Key Words: Indian flying fox, fruit bats, zoonotic disease, One Health, Species Distribution Modelling.

37 Introduction

38 Bats have become infamous as reservoirs of viral diseases [1]. Amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, concerns of
 39 another fatal disease, the Nipah virus (NiV) infection has taken a backseat. The causation of NiV is the
 40 Henipavirus of the family Paramyxoviridae [2]. NiV, with a case fatality rate of >70%, can cause respiratory
 41 infection and encephalitis with persistent neurological defects in humans [3]. Since no definitive preventative
 42 nor treatment protocols have been set for this disease, NiV has been listed as a Priority Disease for Research
 43 and Development for diagnosis, prevention, and treatment by the World Health Organisation (WHO) [4]. The
 44 Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI) has also prioritized NiV for vaccine development [5].
 45 However, our understanding of the dynamics of NiV transmission and its pathology remains vague, as the
 46 conditions leading to its transmission have not been consistent across spillover events in different regions.
 47 The first human case of NiV was reported in 1998 in Malaysia. The disease was transmitted zoonotically from
 48 pigs to farmers who were in direct contact with the affected animals. Thus, pigs acted as an intermediate host
 49 in the Malaysian outbreak. The disease spread to Singapore through the import of these infected pigs [6]. In
 50 2001, a second NiV outbreak was reported in Bangladesh. Since 2001, outbreaks have occurred annually in
 51 Bangladesh and in West Bengal, India [7]. The route of transmission in Bangladesh was found to be through
 52 the consumption of date palm sap contaminated with bat urine or saliva. Bats consume date palm sap from
 53 collection pots and shed the virus as they lick the sap, or by urinating in the pots [7]. People involved in the
 54 practice of date palm sap consumption have been found to be more likely to become infected with NiV [7]. In
 55 2018, a NiV outbreak occurred in Kerala, in the South of India, with a severe case fatality rate of 91% [8]. Very
 56 recently in September 2021, NiV caused the death of a 12-year-old in Kerala [9].

57 The main difference between the Malaysian and Bangladesh/Indian outbreaks, was the route of transmission.
 58 While the Malaysian outbreak involved an intermediate host, NiV outbreaks in Bangladesh and India was
 59 mainly through consumption of date palm sap contaminated with bat urine or saliva. Studies have shown that
 60 the fruit bat *Pteropus medius* is the main reservoir of the virus in India and Bangladesh [2]. *P. medius* has been

confirmed to carry NiV since the 1950s, posing a risk of spillover to humans [10]. Either spillovers prior to 2001 have passed undetected, or a previously absent combination of factors could have driven the first spillover event in 2001 and subsequent spillovers.

NiV is an RNA virus that can mutate rapidly to adapt to changing conditions. This enables the virus to overcome barriers to its transmission and infectivity [11]. NiV can mutate to infect new host species, thereby increasing the chance of spillover through different domesticated species [12]. Antibodies against NiV have been found in cattle, goats, and pigs in Bangladesh [13]. Even though no zoonotic transmission of the disease through livestock has yet been reported in Bangladesh and India, the detected antibodies suggest these domestic species are susceptible to NiV. Mutations can then enable the transmission of the virus to humans. Mutations can also enhance the transmissibility of the virus between humans [11]. Until now, sustained human-to-human transmission of NiV has not been recorded as the virus has not been passed on for more than five generations in the transmission chain [14]. Transmissibility, indicated by the Basic Reproductive number (R_0), takes into account the number of susceptible people the infection is likely to be passed on to by a single infected person. Diseases with $R_0 > 1$ have the ability to cause pandemics as they can cause sustained chains of transmission. NiV with a R_0 of 0.48 has not yet caused large scale outbreaks [15]. However, with the possibility of mutating to a form with $R_0 > 1$, the threat of a Nipah virus pandemic originating from the densely populated and globally connected regions of Asia seems very plausible [16]. As the life span of the virus is threatened by unfavourable environmental conditions such as high temperature, mutations that enhance the survival of the virus in unfavourable conditions also increase the chance of spillovers. The provision of an energy-rich medium such as date palm sap may enhance the survival of the virus as it provides cooler temperatures and moisture [17].

Viral shedding by bats has been found to be variable, as shedding occurs more extensively during periods of decreased immunity often instigated by stressful conditions such as unfavourable climatic conditions or suboptimal nutrition [18]. Spillover events in Bangladesh have been mainly recorded in winter. This could be due to the combination of increased foraging and thermoregulatory stress in winter and the practice of mainly consuming date palm sap during cold months [19,20]. Climate change and its impact on different host species

86 distribution and diseases has been explored previously, with a consensus that a changing climate will lead to
87 shifting distribution ranges and in turn introduce diseases carried by these species to new areas [21]. In addition,
88 deforestation, habitat destruction, and urbanisation impact host species distribution and disease occurrence
89 [22]. Destruction of wild habitat has increased human-wildlife contact, creating more opportunities for
90 spillovers to occur [23]. As wild habitats and forests are being destroyed, some species of bats seek human-
91 dominated areas for roosting and foraging. They roost near human dwellings and exploit alternate resources
92 provided by people [2]. Date palm sap is one such alternate resource. Fermented date palm sap is also consumed
93 by humans in certain areas [3]. Its alcohol content of around 4%, is insufficient to kill the virus which requires
94 60-70% alcohol concentration to lose its viability [3,24]. Previous studies have established human activities
95 and behaviour as the main drivers of spillover events [19,25]. Mere presence of NiV circulating within the bat
96 population cannot lead to spillovers. Anthropogenic changes that create opportunities for human-wildlife
97 interaction, seem to be the prime drivers of spillover, possibly compounded by factors that influence viral
98 shedding in host wild animals [19].

99 Another example of human behaviour driving spillover events is the persecution of bats and the destruction of
100 their roosts following NiV outbreaks [26]. Disturbed bats carry the virus to new areas as they disperse to find
101 new roosts causing the further spread of the disease [27].

102 Bat roosts are being increasingly found in densely human-populated areas in Thailand, Fiji, Pakistan, and
103 Malaysia [28–31]. Bats’ ability to adapt to changing conditions caused by humans may create potential for
104 new spillover points that are yet to be identified. Studies have been conducted exploring the impact of
105 changing climate and predicting spillover events of NiV and Hendra virus, a related Paramyxovirus [32–34].
106 However, the impact of anthropogenic changes has not been adequately considered in predicting future
107 outbreaks. Our study aims to predict areas susceptible to spillovers in the future, by considering likely land-
108 use changes and changing climatic conditions under four Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs). These
109 SSPs consider greenhouse gas emissions and radiative forcing under different socioeconomic scenarios [35]
110 that are influenced by population growth, urbanisation, economic growth, and the development of green

111 technology. SSP1, the lowest emission scenario, follows the path of sustainability, with few challenges to
 112 achieving climate and socioeconomic goals. SSP2 is a ‘middle of the road’ scenario with moderate
 113 population growth and medium challenges to achieve climate goals. SSP3 predicts high population growth in
 114 developing countries and persistent environmental degradation with strong challenges to achieving climate
 115 goals. SSP4, the ‘worst-case scenario’ is characterised by high social disparity, poor technological
 116 development, and failure to achieve climate and socioeconomic goals. We use Species Distribution
 117 Modelling to predict the distribution of *P. medius* under different socioeconomic scenarios with changing
 118 climate and human land-use changes in the current and future scenarios. As we assume the SSP2 and SSP3
 119 scenarios are more likely to occur due to moderate challenges to policy adoption in the case of SSP2 and
 120 minimal efforts to adhere to climate policies in the case of SSP3 [35], the results of only these two scenarios
 121 are presented and discussed in the main text of this study. The predictions for the SSP1 and SSP4 scenarios
 122 have been included in the supporting information. We hypothesize an expansion in the range of *P. medius*
 123 and a northward shift in accordance with the changing climate. The distribution of *P. medius* is used as a
 124 proxy to identify new areas at risk of NiV spillover events.

125 **Methods**

126 **Data collection**

127 We collected spatial layers from open access sources and databases. Current bat occurrence data across India
 128 and Bangladesh was collected from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) and the India
 129 Biodiversity Portal [36–39]. Data was also extracted from literature with records of *P. medius* observations.
 130 The terms “*Pteropus medius*” and “Indian Flying Fox” were used as search terms in Google Scholar and Web
 131 of Science to extract papers with occurrence records of the species. We filtered the results to include only
 132 articles focusing on bat populations in India or Bangladesh [40–46]. Only bat occurrences recorded since 2015
 133 were included in this study to generate a current distribution model of the species.

Spatial data for 130 layers was initially collected from several databases for current and future scenarios. The future data for four Shared Socioeconomic Pathways were included in the study. Layers include climatic variables from WorldClim and Envirem, 2010 livestock distribution data from the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), vegetation data from National Centre for Neoenvironmental Information, land-use data from Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC), data on human modification of terrestrial systems from NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC), and human population density data from Landscan. Land-use changes influence the level of contact between bats and humans and contribute to spillovers. Data on human population density and land-use changes were collected as they were expected to contribute the most in determining future distribution of *P. medius*. Climate data and livestock density were included to determine their influence on the *P. medius* distribution.

Data processing

We examined the occurrence data for any duplicate records across different databases and extracted the corresponding coordinates in decimal form. Spatial layers were processed in Quantum GIS (QGIS version 3.18) [47]. Layers were clipped to the combined geographic extent of India and Bangladesh. A uniform pixel size of 2.5 arc minutes was set for all the layers. The aligned layers were saved as ASCII files.

Statistical analysis of variables

A covariance report was generated for all the current and future variables separately using the covar function in QGIS. The main variables were extracted using a Python script[48] Variables with covariance coefficient of >0.7 [49] were considered to be highly correlated to at least one other variable and excluded from the models. A set of spatial variables each for the current and future scenarios was determined (Tables 1 & 2).

Species Distribution Modelling

We used MaxEnt [50] to create Species Distribution Models (SDMs) using presence-only data. MaxEnt uses maximum entropy machine learning to create SDMs with information provided by spatial layers. We used 25% of our data set as training data. Regularization multiplier was set to 3. We performed Jackknife tests to measure the information contributed by each variable. Based on the individual contributions of each variable, their response curves, and jackknife results, the number of layers was further reduced to form the final set of variables (Table 1 & 2). Species Distribution Models for current and future scenarios were generated. Future projections were made for four SSPs for the years 2040, 2060, 2080 and 2100, and for two General Circulation Models (GCMs)- BCC-CSM2-MR and MIROC-ES2L as these show high performance for precipitation and temperature predictions, respectively [51]. We made predictions for two models for comparison to check the accuracy of predictions across different models.

Table 1 Final set of spatial layers used for generating current Species Distribution Models (SDMs) of *Pteropus medius* across India and Bangladesh after excluding highly correlated variables.

Current model		
Layer name	Description	Source
Annual PET	Annual Potential Evapotranspiration- measure of the ability of atmosphere to remove water through evapotranspiration processes, given unlimited moisture	Envirem [52,53]
Continentalty	Average temperature of warmest month - average temperature of coldest month	Envirem [54,55]
Duck	Data on distribution of domestic ducks collected in 2010	FAO [56]
Goat	Data on distribution of domestic goats collected in 2010	FAO [56]
Human Modification	Measure of human modification of terrestrial land based on the effect of 13 anthropogenic stressors for the median year 2016	NASA SEDAC [57,58]
Month Count by Temp 10	Count of number of months with mean temperature greater than 10°C	Envirem [59]
Population Density	Average population density over 24 hours of the year 2019	Landscan [60]
Sheep	Data on distribution of domestic sheep collected in 2010	FAO [56]

Alpha_yr	Priestly-Taylor Alpha Coefficient- Annual Actual Evapotranspiration/Annual Potential Evapotranspiration. Describes aridity stress on vegetation.	CGIAR-CSI [61]
Bioc 19	Bioclimatic variable 19- precipitation of coldest quarter	WorldClim [62]
Elevation	Elevation data	WorldClim [62]
Wind 04	Average wind speed during April across the years 1970-2000	WorldClim [62]

Table 2 Final set of spatial layers used for generating future Species Distribution Models of *Pteropus medius* across India and Bangladesh.

Future model		
Layer	Description	Source
Bioc 01	Annual mean temperature	WorldClim [62]
Bioc 02	Mean diurnal range (Mean of monthly (max temp-min temp)	WorldClim [62]
Bioc 03	Isothermality (Mean diurnal range/ (Max temp of warmest month - min temp of coldest month) x100)	WorldClim [62]
Bioc 04	Temperature seasonality	WorldClim [62]
Bioc 12	Annual precipitation	WorldClim [62]
Bioc 14	Precipitation of driest month	WorldClim [62]
Bioc 15	Precipitation seasonality	WorldClim [62]
g 01	Cropland	ORNL [63]
g 02	Transition from cropland to pastureland (Fraction of cropland that transitioned to pastureland in a year)	ORNL [63]

g 03	Transition from cropland to secondary land	ORNL [63]
g 11	Fraction of each grid cell with wood harvested from mature secondary forested land	ORNL [63]
g 12	Fraction of each grid cell with wood harvested from mature secondary forested land	ORNL [63]
g 16	Primary land (Natural vegetation untouched by human activities)	ORNL [63]
g 17	Pasture	ORNL [63]
g 18	Mature secondary forest biomass harvested	ORNL [63]
g 19	Secondary land (Natural vegetation recovering from impact of human activities)	ORNL [63]
g 20	Mean age of secondary land	ORNL [63]
g 21	Mean biomass density of secondary land	ORNL [63]
g 22	Urban land	ORNL [63]
Prec 10	Precipitation in October	WorldClim [62]

Layers termed Bioc refer to bioclimatic variables, g 01 to g 22 are land-use change layers, Prec refers to precipitation.

Quantifying model performance

Statistical analysis of the distribution maps was performed in MaxEnt [50]. The Area under Curve (AUC) of the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (ROC) was used to measure model performance. AUC values between 0.7 - 0.9 indicate good to very good performance [64]. The p value of the 10th Percentile training presence data was used to determine the statistical significance of each model.

Generating categorical maps

We created categorical distribution maps using district boundaries within India and Bangladesh. We inferred the risk of spillover events from the probability of presence of *P. medius* within a district. For example, a district with a very high probability of *P. medius* presence according to the future SDM was categorised as ‘very high risk’ of NiV spillover. Categories include ‘very high risk (0.75-1)’, ‘high risk (0.5-0.75)’, ‘moderate risk (0.25-0.5)’, and ‘low risk (0-0.25)’. Each individual raster map generated with MaxEnt was added to a

183 district level vector polygon map of India and Bangladesh, where each polygon represents a district. A
184 graduated symbology was applied to the vector files to infer and categorise the risk of spillover in each district
185 based on the probability of occurrence of *P. medius*.

186 **Results**

187 **Current distribution**

188 The model shows the current distribution of *P. medius* has an AUC of 0.829 with a standard deviation of 0.025
189 and $p < 0.01$. Population density contributed the most information (53.8%) followed by continentality (12.7%)
190 and annual PET (12.2%). Other variables have a contribution of less than 10%. Jackknife tests showed human
191 modification of land has importance (Fig. S2-a) in addition to population density, annual PET and
192 continentality.

193 The model predicts a wide range of distribution for *P. medius* across the South, the East and West Coast, and
194 the Northern states of Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, and Bihar (Fig. 1). Central and North-Eastern regions are also
195 predicted to be suitable. The model also predicts the species to occur across the Eastern and Central regions of
196 Bangladesh.

Fig 1. Species Distribution Model of *Pteropus medius* under current conditions across India and Bangladesh. The scale ranges from low suitability areas (blue) to high suitability areas (red). The likely current range of the *P. medius* extends along East and West coast, and the Central and Northern region of India and Eastern and Central regions of Bangladesh.

Human modification of land (Fig. S2-a) seems to increase the suitability for the species whereas the effect of population density (Fig S2-b) stabilizes after a rapid rise. The distribution also seems to increase initially with an increase in annual PET (Fig S2-c), peaks and then decreases. Increasing continentality (Fig S2-d) decreases the distribution of the species.

Future distribution

The results of the future projections have an AUC of 0.808 indicating the soundness of the model. The p value for the 10th percentile training presence is <0.01. The highest contributor is the urban land layer with a contribution of 33.7%, followed by temperature seasonality (13.8%), and pastureland (11.5%). Other variables have a contribution of less than 10%. Jackknife analysis shows that urban land cover is the most important variable with model performance decreasing considerably when the layer is removed (Fig S1-b). Models for the two GCMs show mostly similar predictions. Across all four scenarios, the future projections predict a

212 Northward shift from the Southern states where the species is predicted to be more prevalent according to the
213 current model.

214 Increase in urban land cover (Fig. 4-a) increases the suitability of the land for the species whereas increase in
215 pastureland (Fig. 4-b) decreases suitability of the land. Increasing annual mean temperature (Fig. 4-c) up to
216 25°C seems favourable for the bat species, with a further increase in temperature limiting its distribution.
217 Similar to continentality (Fig. 4-d), an inverse relation seems to exist with temperature seasonality.

218 **Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 2**

219 The predictions for the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 2 scenario show high suitability of the Western Coast
220 and the Northern states in 2040 and 2060 (Fig. 2). The 2080 and 2100 predictions show an expansion of the
221 range with the Central, Western, and North-Eastern states becoming more suitable for the species. It also shows
222 a shifting of range towards the Eastern boundary of the Northmost state of Jammu and Kashmir.

223 **Fig 2. Inferred risk of NiV spillover events across India and Bangladesh according to Shared**
 224 **Socioeconomic Pathway 2.** (Middle of the road scenario with moderate population growth and medium
 225 challenges to achieve climate goals) [35]; Future projections for *Pteropus medius* presence for the years a)
 226 2040; b) 2060; c) 2080; and d) 2100 for the two Global Circulation Models BCC-CSM2-MR and MIROC-
 227 ES2L are presented, along with the map depicting the present distribution of *P. medius*. Risk of spillover events

was inferred through the probability of presence of *P. medius* within a district. Categories include ‘very high risk (0.75-1)’, ‘high risk (0.5-0.75)’, ‘moderate risk (0.25-0.5)’, and ‘low risk (0-0.25)’. According to the predictions, an expansion and Northward shift of range can be observed as we proceed to the end of the century.

Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 3

A considerable increase in the suitability of the North-Eastern states of India and the eastern regions of Bangladesh can be predicted under the SSP3 scenario across 2040, 2060, and 2080 (Fig. 3). A shift in the range towards the Northern states of Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand in India can also be observed. However, the projections for 2100 show a significant decrease in the range of the species with several areas in the Central, Western, and North-Eastern parts becoming unsuitable.

WITHDRAWN
see manuscript DOI for details

237 **Fig 3. Inferred risk of NiV spillover events across India and Bangladesh according to Shared**
 238 **Socioeconomic Pathway 3.** (High population growth in developing countries and persistent environmental
 239 degradation with strong challenges to achieving climate goals)[35]; Future projections for *Pteropus medius*
 240 presence for the years a) 2040; b) 2060; c) 2080; and d) 2100 for the two Global Circulation Models BCC-
 241 CSM2-MR and MIROC-ES2L are presented along with the map depicting the present distribution of *P. medius*.

Risk of spillover events was inferred through the probability of presence of *P. medius* within a district. Categories include ‘very high risk (0.75-1)’, ‘high risk (0.5-0.75)’, ‘moderate risk (0.25-0.5)’, and ‘low risk (0-0.25)’. According to the predictions, range expansion is noticed till 2080 after which there is a decrease in the range of *P. medius*.

Discussion

Current distribution

The current model (Fig. 1) shows an extensive range for *P. medius* across the East and West coast of India, and in the Northern and Central states. In the predicted current distribution, population density, continentality, and annual potential evapotranspiration contribute the most with other potentially relevant variables contributing little.

Species distribution and land suitability increases with increasing human population density indicating the suitability of human-occupied areas for *P. medius* as they gain access to alternate resources [2]. This also highlights an increased chance of spillover as human-bat contact increases [23]. The human modification of land resulting in the conversion of wild habitats to human-dominated lands, similarly, creates favourable conditions for the bat species (2). This is in agreement with the previous research that *P. medius* were more likely to roost in fragmented forests near areas with high human population density [65].

Increasing continentality, a measure of the difference between the extremes in land temperatures influenced by moderating effects of the ocean, causes a decrease in habitat suitability. Areas that are farther away from the ocean experience extreme climate as the moderating effect of the ocean is reduced. Extreme temperatures in inland areas may create unfavourable conditions for the bat species and their preferred vegetation. Increasing annual potential evapotranspiration, if coupled with decreased precipitation, may affect vegetation as the soil becomes unsuitable [66]. This decreases the suitability of the area as these bats prefer specific trees for roosting and feeding [65].

Future distribution

Our findings generally predict an expansion in the range of *P. medius* and a shift towards the North (Fig. 2 & 3). The expansion or shift in the range of *P. medius* could cause NiV spillover in these new areas. Over time,

species distribution is predicted to decrease in the high emission scenarios (Fig. 3). This highlights the adverse effects of anthropogenic and climate change on the host species distribution.

Fig 4. Response curves of some variables with significant contributions in the future projections. a. Urban land response curve (g_22); b. Pastureland response curve (g_17); c. Temperature seasonality response curve (bioc_04); d. Annual mean temperature response curve (bioc_01). Suitability of habitat increases with increasing urbanisation and decreasing pastureland, annual mean temperature, and seasonality of temperature.

The response curves for the future projections show an increase in the suitability of the area for *P. medius* with increasing urbanisation (Fig. 4). This implies that the species benefits from urban landscapes as they are provided with alternate resources. This will increase the chances of spillover events as human-bat contact increases [23].

An increase in pastureland (Fig. 4-b) likely results in cutting down of trees that serve as roosting sites. Habitat degradation will lead to further spillover events as human-bat contact increases [23].

The inverse relationship of temperature seasonality (Fig. 4-c) may be an indication of the species' preference for stable temperatures. The suitability for annual mean temperature (Fig. 4-d) declines after peaking at 24-25°C indicating that as the temperature rises with climate change, habitat suitability will decrease. This stress could culminate in increased viral shedding and possible spillover events facilitated by the increased contact between bats and humans as a result of habitat degradation and provision of alternate resources [67].

SSP2

With sustainable development, moderate population growth, and global warming of not more than 3°C by the end of the century, the models predict an expansion of *P. medius* range (Fig. 2). As the climate warms slowly and sustainable practices decrease human modification of habitat, the species adapts and expands its range northward. Even as the distribution expands, if habitat-degrading practises are minimised and spillover routes such as through date palm sap and zoonotic transmission are controlled [7], the SSP2 scenario may prove to be the most beneficial in terms of conservation concerns and reducing the chances of spillover.

SSP3

Under the medium-high emission scenario, where environmental goals are not prioritised and population increases in developing nations such as India and Bangladesh, up until 2080, there is predicted to be an expansion of the range of the species with a shift towards the North (Fig. 3). This expansion coupled with stressing factors such as habitat degradation and increased human population could increase the chances of

spillover in these regions as human contact with the bat species increases. This warrants the application of control measures in these areas to prevent spillovers and to limit human-to-human transmission of NiV. As we move towards 2100 under this scenario a reduction in the suitable areas can be noticed as the high temperatures become unsuitable for the bats. The northward shift is still prominent as the relatively colder climate warms up and becomes suitable to *P. medius* populations. The decrease in the range towards the South and North-East might be of conservation concern and the bats may undergo a population decline. The few areas where their population is still dense may witness spillover events as viral shedding may increase due to unsuitable temperatures and human activities [67].

The regions at risk of NiV spillover should be targeted to prevent and control outbreaks. Public education regarding NiV, and its transmission routes should be of prime concern as human behaviour seems to be a major driving factor of the spillovers [19]. Increasing surveillance in high-risk areas through combined efforts of the public health, veterinary and wildlife sectors will help in the early detection of outbreaks to implement adequate control measures.

Limitations

The accuracy of our predictions depends on the accuracy of the data used. As spillovers are dynamic events with several contributing factors, several unknown factors may influence spillover events that have not been accounted for in our study. Data for some known factors such as date palm consumption records are unavailable. A future study including such records can be conducted to provide better predictions of spillovers.

Conclusion

The effects of a pandemic can be devastating as observed with the current the COVID-19 outbreak. NiV, a fatal disease passed on by bats has the potential to cause such a pandemic by mutating to a form that is easily transmitted from bats to humans and within the human community. Our study predicts an increase in the risk of NiV spillovers throughout India and Bangladesh in the future under all socioeconomic scenarios. To

minimize the risk of NiV spillover, following the SSP2 ‘middle of the road’ scenario would be practical. Efforts to control human population growth, minimize emissions, and ensure sustainable development to retard global warming will be elemental in preventing NiV spillover events. Stressing factors that increase viral shedding by bats such as anthropogenic modification of land and changing climate, and human behaviours that increase contact with wildlife enhance the chances of disease outbreaks in a future scenario where climate and social goals are not prioritized. Our study emphasizes the importance of adhering to the climate policies set by the IPCC by coordinated efforts by governments and inter-governmental organizations. Our findings can be practically applied by the adoption of public health measures in the areas predicted to be at risk of NiV spillover from *P. medius* to humans. This could minimise the probability of spillovers and maximise the control of future outbreaks. Governments and health facilities that have successfully dealt with the outbreaks should compile and pass on their collected knowledge to areas that will be at risk of NiV spillovers in the future. The World Health Organisation should gather information to provide an action plan that can be readily deployed to control outbreaks of NiV. Measures should be taken to inform the public about the disease and the various routes through which it spreads. Public education programmes discouraging consumption of raw and fermented date palm sap, and fruits discarded by bats should be undertaken. Further study needs to be conducted on the transmission of the virus and to identify potential spillover routes that can emerge in the future.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to Vishnu Hariharan Anand for his valuable support whilst conducting the statistical analysis.

References

1. Brook CE, Dobson AP. Bats as “special” reservoirs for emerging zoonotic pathogens. Vol. 23, Trends in Microbiology. Elsevier Ltd; 2015. p. 172–80.
2. Epstein JH, Anthony SJ, Islam A, Kilpatrick AM, Khan SA, Balkey MD, Ross N, Smith I, Zambrana-Torrel C, Tao Y, Islam A, Quan L, Olival KJ, Salah M, Khan U, Gurley ES, Hossein MJ, Field HE, Fielder MD, Briesse T, Rahman

- M, Broder CC, Crameri G, Wang L-F, Luby SP, Lipkin WI, Daszak P. Nipah virus dynamics in bats and implications for spillover to humans. Available from: www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.2000429117
3. Chakraborty A, Sazzad HMS, Hossain MJ, Islam MS, Parveen S, Husain M, Banu SS, Podder G, Afroj S, Rollin PE, Daszak P, Luby SP, Rahman M, Gurley ES. Evolving epidemiology of Nipah virus infection in Bangladesh: Evidence from outbreaks during 2010-2011. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 2016;144(2):371–80.
4. Control C for D, (US) P, (US) NC for ID. Addressing emerging infectious disease threats: a prevention strategy for the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1994.
5. Røttingen J-A, Gouglas D, Feinberg M, Plotkin S, Raghavan K v., Witty A, Draghia-Akli R, Stoffels P, Piot P. New Vaccines against Epidemic Infectious Diseases. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2017 Feb 16;376(7):610–3.
6. Hsu VP, Hossain MJ, Parashar UD, Ali MM, Ksiazek TG, Kuzmin I, Niezgoda M, Rupprecht C, Bresee J, Breiman RF. Nipah Virus Encephalitis Reemergence, Bangladesh. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2004 Dec;10(12):2082–7.
7. Gurley ES, Hegde ST, Hossain K, Sazzad HMS, Hossain MJ, Rahman M, Yushuf Sharker MA, Salje H, Islam MS, Epstein JH, Khan SU, Kilpatrick AM, Daszak P, Luby SP. Convergence of humans, bats, trees, and culture in Nipah virus transmission, Bangladesh. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2017;23(9):1446–53.
8. Arunkumar G, Chandni R, Mourya DT, Singh SK, Sadanandan R, Sudan P, Bhargava B. Outbreak investigation of nipah virus disease in Kerala, India, 2018. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2019;219(12):1867–78.
9. Zargar A. Authorities race to contain deadly Nipah virus outbreak in India. CBS NEWS. 2021 Sept 7. Available from: <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/nipah-virus-outbreak-india-kerala/>
10. Olival KJ, Latinne A, Islam A, Epstein JH, Hersch R, Engstrand RC, Gurley ES, Amato G, Luby SP, Daszak P. Population genetics of fruit bat reservoir informs the dynamics, distribution and diversity of Nipah virus. *Molecular Ecology*. 2020;29(5):970–85.
11. Morse SS, Mazet JA, Woolhouse M, Parrish CR, Carroll D, Karesh WB, Zambrana-Torrel C, Lipkin WI, Daszak P. Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis. *The Lancet*. 2012 Dec;380(9857):1956–65.
12. Murthy S, Couacy-Hymann E, Metzger S, Nowak K, de Nys H, Boesch C, Wittig R, Jarvis MA, Leendertz FH, Ehlers B. Absence of Frequent Herpesvirus Transmission in a Nonhuman Primate Predator-Prey System in the Wild. *Journal of Virology*. 2013;87(19):10651–9.
13. Chowdhury S, Khan SU, Crameri G, Epstein JH, Broder CC, Islam A, Peel AJ, Barr J, Daszak P, Wang LF, Luby SP. Serological Evidence of Henipavirus Exposure in Cattle, Goats and Pigs in Bangladesh. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2014;8(11).
14. Luby SP, Gurley ES, Hossain MJ. Transmission of Human Infection with Nipah Virus. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2009 Dec;49(11):1743–8.
15. Luby SP, Hossain MJ, Gurley ES, Ahmed BN, Banu S, Khan SU, Homaira N, Rota PA, Rollin PE, Comer JA, Kenah E, Ksiazek TG, Rahman M. Recurrent zoonotic transmission of Nipah virus into humans, Bangladesh, 2001-2007. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2009;15(8):1229–35.
16. Luby SP. The pandemic potential of Nipah virus. Vol. 100, *Antiviral Research*. Elsevier B.V.; 2013. p. 38–43.
17. Fogarty R, Halpin K, Hyatt AD, Daszak P, Mungall BA. Henipavirus susceptibility to environmental variables. *Virus Research*. 2008;132(1–2):140–4.

- 383 18. McMichael L, Edson D, Smith C, Mayer D, Smith I, Kopp S, Meers J, Field H. Physiological stress and Hendra
384 virus in flying-foxes (*Pteropus* spp.), Australia. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(8).
- 385 19. McKee CD, Islam A, Luby SP, Salje H, Hudson PJ, Plowright RK, Gurley ES. The ecology of nipah virus in
386 bangladesh: A nexus of land-use change and opportunistic feeding behavior in bats. *Viruses*. 2021;13(2).
- 387 20. Rahman MA, Hossain MJ, Sultana S, Homaira N, Khan SU, Rahman M, Gurley ES, Rollin PE, Lo MK, Comer JA,
388 Lowe L, Rota PA, Ksiazek TG, Kenah E, Sharker Y, Luby SP. Date palm sap linked to nipah virus outbreak in
389 bangladesh, 2008. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*. 2012;12(1):65–72.
- 390 21. Carlson CJ, Albery GF, Merow C, Trisos CH, Zipfel CM, Eskew EA, Olival KJ, Ross N, Bansal S. Climate change will
391 drive novel cross-species viral transmission. *bioRxiv* [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1;2020.01.24.918755. Available from:
392 <http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2020/01/25/2020.01.24.918755.abstract>
- 393 22. Patz JA, Daszak P, Tabor GM, Aguirre AA, Pearl M, Epstein J, Wolfe ND, Kilpatrick AM, Foutopoulos J, Molyneux
394 D, Bradley DJ, Amerasinghe FP, Ashford RW, Barthelemy D, Bos R, Bradley DJ, Buck A, Butler C, Chivian ES,
395 Chua KB, Clark G, Colwell R, Confalonieri UE, Corvalan C, Cunningham AA, Dein J, Dobson AP, Else JG, Epstein J,
396 Field H, Furu P, Gascon C, Graham D, Haines A, Hyatt AD, Jamaluddin A, Kleinau EF, Koontz F, Koren HS,
397 LeBlancq S, Lele S, Lindsay S, Maynard N, McLean RG, McMichael T, Molyneux D, Morse SS, Norris DE, Ostfeld
398 RS, Pearl MC, Pimentel D, Rakototiana L, Randriamanajara O, Riach J, Rosenthal JP, Salazar-Sanchez E,
399 Silbergeld E, Thomson M, Vittor AY, Yameogo L, Zakarov V. Unhealthy landscapes: Policy recommendations on
400 land use change and infectious disease emergence. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2004;112(10):1092–8.
- 401 23. Altizer S, Becker DJ, Epstein JH, Forbes KM, Gillespie TR, Hall RJ, Hawley DM, Hernandez SM, Martin LB,
402 Plowright RK, Satterfield DA, Streicker DG. Food for contagion: Synthesis and future directions for studying
403 host–parasite responses to resource shifts in anthropogenic environments. Vol. 373, *Philosophical*
404 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. Royal Society Publishing; 2018.
- 405 24. Chandrasekhar KB, Sreevani S, Seshapani P, Pramodhakumari J. A Review on palm wine. In 2012.
- 406 25. Walsh MG. Mapping the risk of Nipah virus spillover into human populations in South and Southeast Asia.
407 *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2015;109(9):563–71.
- 408 26. Cortes MC, Cauchemez S, Lefrancq N, Luby SP, Jahangir Hossain M, Sazzad HMS, Rahman M, Daszak P, Salje H,
409 Gurley ES. Characterization of the spatial and temporal distribution of Nipah virus spillover events in
410 Bangladesh, 2007–2013. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2018;217(9):1390–4.
- 411 27. Plowright RK, Peel AJ, Streicker DG, Gilbert AT, McCallum H, Wood J, Baker ML, Restif O. Transmission or
412 Within-Host Dynamics Driving Pulses of Zoonotic Viruses in Reservoir–Host Populations. Vol. 10, *PLoS*
413 *Neglected Tropical Diseases*. Public Library of Science; 2016.
- 414 28. Luskin MS. Flying foxes prefer to forage in farmland in a tropical dry forest landscape mosaic in Fiji. *Biotropica*.
415 2010;42(2):246–50.
- 416 29. Mahmood-Ul-Hassan M, Gulraiz TL, Rana SA, Javid A. The diet of Indian flying-foxes (*Pteropus giganteus*) in
417 urban habitats of Pakistan. *Acta Chiropterologica*. 2010;12(2):341–7.
- 418 30. Weber N, Duengkae P, Fahr J, Dechmann DKN, Phengsakul P, Khumbucha W, Siriaronrat B,
419 Wacharapluesadee S, Maneerorn P, Wikelski M, Newman S. High-resolution GPS tracking of Lyle’s flying fox
420 between temples and orchards in central Thailand. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 2015;79(6):957–68.
- 421 31. GUMAL MT, Jamahari S, Irwan M, JANTAN-BRANDAH C, KAMAL M, RAZAK-PAWI A. The ecology and role of the
422 large flying fox (*Pteropus vampyrus*) in Sarawakian rain forests–1997 report. *Hornbill*. 1998;1:32–47.

- 423 32. Martin G, Yanez-Arenas C, Plowright RK, Chen C, Roberts B, Skerratt LF. Hendra Virus Spillover is a Bimodal
424 System Driven by Climatic Factors. *EcoHealth*. 2018 Sep 18;15(3):526–42.
- 425 33. Martin G, Yanez-Arenas C, Chen C, Plowright RK, Webb RJ, Skerratt LF. Climate Change Could Increase the
426 Geographic Extent of Hendra Virus Spillover Risk. *EcoHealth*. 2018 Sep 19;15(3):509–25.
- 427 34. Daszak P, Zambrana-Torrel C, Bogich TL, Fernandez M, Epstein JH, Murray KA, Hamilton H. Interdisciplinary
428 approaches to understanding disease emergence: The past, present, and future drivers of Nipah virus
429 emergence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013 Feb 26;110(Supplement_1):3681–8.
- 430 35. O'Neill BC, Kriegler E, Riahi K, Ebi KL, Hallegatte S, Carter TR, Mathur R, van Vuuren DP. A new scenario
431 framework for climate change research: The concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. *Climatic Change*.
432 2014;122(3):387–400.
- 433 36. naturgucker.de. Global Biodiversity Information Portal. [Online] [Cited: 8 June 2021.]
434 <https://doi.org/10.15468/uc1apo>.
- 435 37. India Biodiversity Portal. [Online] [Cited: 08 June 2021.] <https://doi.org/10.15468/rs5upd>.
- 436 38. de Vries , H and Lemmens, M. Observation.org. [Online] [Cited: 08 June 2021.] <https://doi.org/10.15468/5nillie>.
- 437 39. Ueda, K. iNaturalist Research-grade Observations. [Online] [Cited: 08 June 2021.]
438 <https://doi.org/10.15468/ab3s5x>.
- 439 40. Roy K, Saha GK, Mazumdar S. Seasonal influence on the diurnal roosting behaviour of free-ranging Indian flying
440 fox *Pteropus giganteus* in an urban landscape, India. *Biologia [Internet]*. 2020;75(11):1955–61. Available from:
441 <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-020-00472-4>
- 442 41. Mishra R, Dookia S, Bhattacharya P. Avenue Plantations as Biodiversity Havens: A Case Study of Population
443 Status of the Indian Flying Fox, *Pteropus giganteus* Brunnich, 1782 and Implications for Its Conservation in the
444 Urban Megacity, Delhi, India. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society*. 2020;73(2):127–36.
- 445 42. Kumar R, Prasad DN, Elangovan V. The Effect of Seasonal Changes on Emergence Behaviour of the Indian
446 Flying Fox, *Pteropus giganteus*. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society*. 2018;72:74–8.
- 447 43. Yadav P, Sudeep A, Gokhale M, Pawar S, Shete A, Patil D, Kumar V, Lakra R, Sarkale P, Nichol S, Mourya D.
448 Circulation of Nipah virus in *Pteropus giganteus* bats in northeast region of India, 2015. *The Indian journal of*
449 *medical research [Internet]*. 2018;147(3):318–20. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29923524>
- 450 44. Vanitharani J. Chiropteran Faunal Diversity in the Tropical Forest Ecosystem of Agasthyamalai Biosphere
451 Reserve. In: *Indian Hotspots*. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2018. p. 195–208.
- 452 45. Murugavel B, Kelber A, Somanathan H. Light, flight and the night: effect of ambient light and moon phase on
453 flight activity of pteropodid bats. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*. 2021;207(1):59–68.
- 454 46. Vanlalnghaka C. New records and present status of bat fauna in Mizoram, North-Eastern India. *Journal of*
455 *Environmental Biology*. 2020;41:921–3.
- 456 47. QGIS.org. QGIS Geographic Information System. s.l. : QGIS Association, 2021.
- 457 48. R, Vishal. Feature selection — Correlation and P-value. *Towards Data Science*. [Online] 2018 Sept 11.
458 <https://towardsdatascience.com/feature-selection-correlation-and-p-value-da8921bfb3cf>.
- 459 49. Dormann CF, Elith J, Bacher S, Buchmann C, Carl G, Carré G, Marquéz JRG, Gruber B, Lafourcade B, Leitão PJ,
460 Münkemüller T, McClean C, Osborne PE, Reineking B, Schröder B, Skidmore AK, Zurell D, Lautenbach S.

- Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*. 2013 Jan;36(1):27–46.
50. Phillips, Steven J, Dudík, Miroslav and Schapire, Robert E. Maxent Software for modeling species niches and distributions (Version 3.4.0).
 51. Alaminie AA, Tilahun SA, Legesse SA, Zimale FA, Tarkegn GB, Jury MR. Evaluation of Past and Future Climate Trends under CMIP6 Scenarios for the UBNB (Abay), Ethiopia. *Water*. 2021 Jul 31;13(15):2110.
 52. Zomer RJ, Trabucco A, Bossio DA, Verchot L v. Climate change mitigation: A spatial analysis of global land suitability for clean development mechanism afforestation and reforestation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2008;126(1–2):67–80.
 53. Zomer R, Trabucco A, van Straaten O, Bossio D. Carbon, Land and Water: A Global Analysis of the Hydrologic Dimensions of Climate Change Mitigation through Afforestation/Reforestation. IWMI Research Report. 2006;
 54. Sayre R, Comer P. A New Map of Standardized Terrestrial Ecosystems of the Conterminous United States. 2009.
 55. Rivas-Martínez S, Rivas-Saenz S, Penas A. Worldwide bioclimatic classification system. Backhuys Pub.; 2002.
 56. Gilbert M, Nicolas G, Cinardi G, van Boeckel TP, Vanwambeke SO, Wint GRW, Robinson TP. Global distribution data for cattle, buffaloes, horses, sheep, goats, pigs, chickens and ducks in 2010. *Scientific Data*. 2018;5(1):180227.
 57. Kennedy CM, Oakleaf JR, Theobald DM, Baruch-Mordo S, Kiesecker J. Managing the middle: A shift in conservation priorities based on the global human modification gradient. *Global Change Biology*. 2019 Mar 10;25(3):811–26.
 58. Kennedy CM, Oakleaf JR, Theobald DM, Baruch-Mordo S. Global Human Modification of Terrestrial Systems. [Internet]. Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC). 2020 [cited 2021 Dec 11]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.7927/edbc-3z60>.
 59. Metzger MJ, Bunce RGH, Jongman RHG, Sayre R, Trabucco A, Zomer R. A high-resolution bioclimate map of the world: a unifying framework for global biodiversity research and monitoring. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. 2013;22(5):630–8.
 60. Rose, Amy N, et al. Oak Ridge National laboratory. [Online] July 2020. [Cited: 24 June 2021.] <https://LandScan.ornl.gov>.
 61. Trabucco A, Zomer RJ. Global Soil Water Balance Geospatial Database. Published online, available from the CGIAR-CSI GeoPortal at <https://cgiarcsi.community>; 2010.
 62. Fick SE, Hijmans RJ. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*. 2017;37(12):4302–15.
 63. Chini LP, Hurtt GC, Frolking S. Harmonized global land use for years 1500–2100, V1. Data set. Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center. 2014;
 64. Swets JA. Measuring the Accuracy of Diagnostic Systems. *Science*. 1988 Jun 3;240(4857):1285–93.
 65. Hahn MB, Epstein JH, Gurley ES, Islam MS, Luby SP, Daszak P, Patz JA. Roosting behaviour and habitat selection of *Pteropus giganteus* reveal potential links to Nipah virus epidemiology. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2014;51(2):376–87.

- 499 66. Hartmann DL. Atmospheric General Circulation and Climate. In: Hartmann DL, editor. Global Physical
500 Climatology. Elsevier; 2016. p. 159–93.
- 501 67. Edson D, Field H, McMichael L, Jordan D, Kung N, Mayer D, Smith C. Flying-Fox Roost Disturbance and Hendra
502 Virus Spillover Risk. PLOS ONE. 2015;10(5):e0125881–e0125881.
- 503

WITHDRAWN
see manuscript DOI for details