Autoantibodies linked to autoimmune diseases associate with COVID-19 outcomes

Gabriela Crispim Baiocchi^{1,*}, Aristo Vojdani^{2,3,*}, Avi Z. Rosenberg⁴, Elroy Vojdani⁵, Gilad Halpert^{6,7,8}, Yuri Ostrinski^{6,7,8}, Israel Zyskind^{9,10}, Igor Salerno Filgueiras¹, Lena F. Schimke¹, Alexandre H. C. Marques¹, Lasse M. Giil¹¹, Yael Bubli Lavi¹², Jonathan I. Silverberg¹³, Jason Zimmerman¹⁰, Dana Ashley Hill¹⁴, Amanda Thornton¹⁴, Myungjin Kim¹⁵, Roberta De Vito¹⁶, Dennyson Leandro M. Fonseca¹⁷, Desirée Rodrigues Praça¹⁷, Paula Paccielli Freire¹, Niels Olsen Saraiva Camara¹, Vera Lúcia Garcia Calich¹, Harald Heidecke¹⁸, Miriam T. Lattin¹⁹, Hans D. Ochs²⁰, Gabriela Riemekasten²¹, Howard Amital^{22,6,23,7}, Otavio Cabral-Marques^{1,17,24*}, Yehuda Shoenfeld^{7,6,8,*}

¹Department of Immunology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

²Department of Immunology, Immunosciences Laboratory, Inc., Los Angeles, CA, United States.

³Cyrex Laboratories, LLC 2602 S. 24th St., Phoenix, AZ 85034 USA

⁴Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁵Regenera Medical 11860 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 301, Los Angeles, CA 90025 USA

⁶Ariel University, Israel

⁷Zabludowicz Center for Autoimmune Diseases, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel

⁸Saint Petersburg State University Russia

⁹Department of Pediatrics, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

¹⁰Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, USA

¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Haraldsplass Deaconess Hospital, Bergen, Norway

¹²Department of Chemistry Ben Gurion University Beer-Sheva, 84105, Israel

¹³Department of Dermatology, George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC, USA

¹⁴ResourcePath, Sterling, VA

¹⁵Data Science Initiative at Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

¹⁶Department of Biostatistics and the Data Science Initiative at Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

¹⁷Department of Clinical and Toxicological Analyses, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

¹⁸CellTrend Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (GmbH), Luckenwalde, Germany

¹⁹Department of Biology, Yeshiva University, Manhattan, NY, USA

²⁰Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, and Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA

²¹Department of Rheumatology, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein Campus Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

²²Department of Medicine B, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel

²³Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

²⁴Network of Immunity in Infection, Malignancy, and Autoimmunity (NIIMA), Universal Scientific Education and Research Network (USERN), São Paulo, SP, Brazil

*Contributed

equally

Corresponding authors:

Yehuda Shoenfeld, MD, FRCP, MaACR
Zabludowicz Center for Autoimmune Diseases,
Sheba Medical Center, affiliated with the Sackler
Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University
Tel-Hashomer 5265601, Israel
Email: shoenfel@post.tau.ac.il
Tel: 972-3-5308070
Fax: 972-3-5352855

Otavio Cabral-Marques, MSc, PhD
Department of Immunology
Institute of Biomedical Sciences
University of São Paulo
Lineu Prestes Avenue, 1730, São Paulo, SP, 05508-
900, Brazil
Email: otavio.cmarques@usp.br
Phone: +55 11 97464202
Fax: +55 11 30917397

Gabriela Crispim Baiocchi
Department of Immunology
Institute of Biomedical Sciences
University of São Paulo
Lineu Prestes Avenue, 1730, São Paulo,
SP, 05508-900, Brazil
Email: gabrielacbaiocchi@icb.usp.br
Phone: +55 11 990005454

ABSTRACT

The SARS-CoV-2 infection is associated with increased levels of autoantibodies targeting immunological proteins such as cytokines and chemokines. Reports further indicate that COVID-19 patients may develop a wide spectrum of autoimmune diseases due to reasons not fully understood. Even so, the landscape of autoantibodies induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection remains uncharted territory. To gain more insight, we carried out a comprehensive assessment of autoantibodies known to be linked to diverse autoimmune diseases observed in COVID-19 patients, in a cohort of 248 individuals, of which 171 were COVID-19 patients (74 with mild, 65 moderate, and 32 with severe disease) and 77 were healthy controls. Dysregulated autoantibody serum levels, characterized mainly by elevated concentrations, occurred mostly in patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 infection, and was accompanied by a progressive disruption of physiologic IgG and IgA autoantibody signatures. A similar perturbation was found in patients with anosmia. Notably, autoantibody levels often accompanied anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody concentrations, being both indicated by random forest classification as strong predictors of COVID-19 outcome, together with age. Moreover, higher levels of autoantibodies (mainly IgGs) were seen in the elderly with severe disease compared with young COVID-19 patients with severe disease. These findings suggest that the SARS-CoV-2 infection induces a broader loss of self-tolerance than previously thought, providing new ideas for therapeutic interventions.

Key words: Autoantibodies, autoimmune diseases, SARS-CoV-2 infection, COVID-19 outcomes

Introduction

Since the first case in December 2019, more than 395 million confirmed cases of the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), including 5,7 million deaths, were reported¹. COVID-19 is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the Coronaviridae family². Subsequently, new SARS-CoV-2 variants have kept on emerging, each presenting with selective advantages³⁻⁵ and threatening the possibility to dominate the COVID-19 pandemic⁶. Concurrently, a massive scientific endeavor to understand COVID-19 pathophysiology has found evidence that patients with severe COVID-19 may present with systemic immune dysregulation of both the innate and adaptive immune responses. This manifests mainly as cytokine storm syndrome⁷, hyperactivation of both myeloid and lymphoid cells^{7,8}, characterized by neutrophilia and lymphopenia⁹. In addition, recent reports from our group and others^{10,11,12} indicate that patients with COVID-19 have high levels of autoantibody production, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 can trigger features resembling systemic autoimmune disease¹³⁻¹⁷.

In healthy individuals, autoantibodies are present at physiological levels regulating a myriad of physiological functions, which are essential to maintain body homeostasis¹⁸⁻²¹. However, abnormal levels of autoantibodies often predict preclinical autoimmune diseases^{22,23} as well as promote or exacerbate inflammatory conditions by triggering or exacerbating inflammation, dysregulating biological pathways, and inducing cell lysis²⁴. Therefore, autoantibody levels in COVID-19 may have clinical implications. The identified link between SARS-CoV-2 and altered autoantibody profiles suggest that similar to other viruses²⁵⁻²⁸, SARS-CoV-2 infection may result in a life-threatening generalized loss of self-tolerance^{13-15,29}. This includes antiphospholipid syndrome³⁰, Guillain-Barré syndrome³¹, polyneuritis cranialis³², new-

onset type 1 diabetes³³, autoimmune hemolytic anemia³⁴, Graves' disease³⁵, immune thrombocytopenic purpura³⁶, arthritis³⁷, and systemic lupus erythematosus^{16,38}. However, the full spectrum of autoantibodies observed in COVID-19 patients which could indicate a broad loss of self-tolerance, has not been fully characterized. Among the recently reported autoantibodies identified in COVID-19 are those targeting immune related proteins such as type I interferons (IFNs)¹¹, the exoproteome (cytokine, chemokine, and their receptors as well as complement factors)¹⁰, G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and renin-angiotensin system (RAS)-related molecules¹².

While a select group of autoantibodies observed in classical autoimmune diseases have been associated with COVID-19 severity such as anti-nuclear antibodies (ANAs³⁹), ribosomal P proteins, chromatin proteins, and thyroid antigens⁴⁰ although comprehensive studies broadly characterizing autoantibodies induced by SARS-CoV-2 are lacking. Furthermore, high levels of antiphospholipid autoantibodies were linked to severe COVID-19 by inducing neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) and venous thrombosis^{16,41–44}. Based on these observations, autoantibodies associated with diverse autoimmune diseases and the loss of self-tolerance might be associated with the severity of COVID-19 as previously indicated^{14,16,17,40,45,46} and by extension suggest that loss of self-tolerance is a feature of severe COVID-19. To better characterize the immunopathology of COVID-19 it is imperative to determine the landscape of autoantibodies associated with the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection, which will lead to improve therapeutic interventions. To achieve this goal, we have characterized the spectrum of autoantibodies linked to autoimmune diseases in patients with different COVID-19 clinical outcomes, using a systems immunology approach.

METHODS

Study Participants

A total of 248 adults belonging to Jewish communities from 5 states of the United States of America were enrolled in this study. Of these, 171 patients had COVID-19 symptoms (**Supplementary Table 1**) and a positive SARS-CoV-2 test by nasopharyngeal swab and PCR analysis (polymerase chain reaction) before receiving any SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. These patients participated in an online survey developed to determine the most common symptoms and outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection^{47,48}. The SARS-CoV-2 positive cohort was classified as mild COVID-19 (n=74; fever duration $\leq$ 1 day; peak temperature of 37.8 C), moderate COVID-19 (n=63; fever duration $\geq$ 7 days; peak fever of $\geq$ 38.8 C), and severe COVID-19 groups (n=32; severe symptoms and requiring supplemental oxygen therapy) according to the World Health Organization (WHO) severity classification⁴⁹. Details of the survey study, patient demographics, and symptoms were previously described^{47,48} and can be found in **Supplementary Table 1**. We also included 77 randomly selected age- and sex-matched healthy controls from the same Jewish population who were SARS-CoV-2 negative and did not present any COVID-19 symptoms. All healthy controls and all patients provided informed written consent to participate in the study, which was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the IntegReview institutional review board (Coronavirus Antibody Prevalence Study, CAPS-613). In addition, this study followed the reporting guidelines of Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE).

Measurements of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody and autoantibodies linked to autoimmune diseases

93 All collected sera were tested for IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody using the ZEUS
 94 SARS-CoV-2 ELISA Test System according to the manufacturer's instructions (ZEUS
 95 Scientific, New Jersey, USA). This is an ELISA test that measures IgG antibodies to spike and
 96 nucleoprotein combined. Serum IgG autoantibodies against nuclear antigen (ANA), extractable
 97 nuclear antigen (ENA), double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), actin, mitochondrial M2, and
 98 rheumatoid factor (RF) were measured using commercial ELISA kits obtained from INOVA
 99 Diagnostics (San Diego, CA, USA). In addition, IgG and IgA antibodies against 52 different
 100 autoantigens⁵⁰⁻⁵⁸ were measured by in-house ELISA procedure at Immunosciences Lab., Inc.
 101 (Los Angeles, CA USA) in blinded fashion. See **Supplementary Table 2** for the autoantigens
 102 tested.. For the measurement of these antibodies, one hundred mL of each autoantigen at the
 103 optimal concentration, prepared in 0.01 M PBS pH 7.4 were added to a series of microtiter
 104 plates. A set of plate wells were also coated with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) or human
 105 serum albumin (HSA) and used as controls. The ELISA plates were incubated overnight at 4°C
 106 and then were washed five times with 250 ml of 0.01 M PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 pH
 107 7.4. The non-specific binding of immunoglobins was prevented by the addition of 200 mL of 2%
 108 BSA in PBS, which was then incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed and the serum
 109 samples from healthy controls and the SARS-CoV-2 patients were diluted at 1:50 for the
 110 determination of IgA antibody, and 1:100 for the determination of IgG antibody in serum diluent
 111 buffer or 1% BSA in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 was added to the wells of ELISA plates,
 112 which were then incubated for one hour at room temperature. Plates were washed, followed by
 113 the addition of alkaline phosphatase goat anti-human IgG or IgA F(ab)₂ fragments (KPI,
 114 Gaithersburg, MD, USA) at an optimal dilution of 1:200 for IgA and 1:600 for IgG in 1% BSA-
 115 PBS. The plates were then incubated for another hour at room temperature. After five washings

with PBS-Tween buffer, the enzyme reaction was started by adding 100 mL of para-nitrophenylphosphate in 0.1 mL diethanolamine buffer 1 mg/mL containing 1 mM MgCl₂ and sodium azide pH 9.8. Forty-five minutes later, the reaction was stopped with 50 mL of 1 N NaOH. The optical density (OD) was read at 405 nm with a microtiter plate reader. The ODs of the control wells containing only HSA or BSA which were less than 0.15 were subtracted from all other wells to exclude non-specific binding. The ELISA index for each antibody was calculated and the background of the ELISA assay was not more than 0.150 O.D.

Multistudy factor analysis

Multistudy factor analysis (MSFA) was performed using the R package called MSFA⁵⁹, which uses either a fast expectation conditional-maximization algorithm and a Gibbs Sampling algorithm⁶⁰ for the parameter estimate. Here, we adopt the Gibbs Sampling algorithm to estimate the parameter in a Bayesian framework⁶⁰. MSFA is an unsupervised and inferential analysis used to identify common and study-specific factors shared by the control and COVID-19 groups as previously described⁶¹.

Differences in autoantibody levels

Box plots showing differences in autoantibodies from COVID-19 patient groups (mild, moderate and severe) and healthy controls were generated using the R version 4.0.5 (The R Project for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>), R studio Version 1.4.1106 (R-Studio. <https://www.rstudio.com/>), and the R packages ggpubr, lemon, and ggplot2. Statistical differences in autoantibody levels were assessed using a two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum¹⁰.

138

139 **Principal component analysis (PCA)**

140 PCA with spectral decomposition^{62,63} was carried out to measure the stratification power of the
141 autoantibodies in distinguishing COVID-19 (mild, moderate, and severe patients) and healthy
142 controls. PCA was performed using the R functions prcomp and princomp, through the
143 factoextra package (PCA in R: prcomp vs princomp)⁶⁴.

144

145 **Machine learning model and autoantibody ranking**

146 We employed random forest model to construct a classifier for discriminating controls and mild,
147 moderate, and severe COVID-19 patients. The aim of this approach was to identify the most
148 significant predictors for severe COVID-19. We trained the random forest model using the
149 functionalities of the R package randomForest (version 4.6.14)⁶⁵. Five thousand trees were used,
150 and 3 variables were resampled. A follow-up analysis was conducted with the Gini decrease, the
151 number of nodes and mean minimum depth as criteria to determine variable importance. The
152 adequacy of the random forest model as a classifier was assessed through the out-of-bags error
153 rate and the ROC curve. For cross-validation, we split the dataset into training and testing sets,
154 using 75% of the observations for training and 25% for testing.

155

156 **Autoantibody correlation signatures**

157 Bivariate correlation analyses of autoantibodies for each group (controls and mild, moderate and
158 severe COVID-19 patients) were performed using the corrgram, psych and inlmisc R packages.
159 In addition, circle plots of autoantibody correlation were built using the R packages qgraph,

ggplot2, psych, inlmisc to visualize the patterns of Spearman's rank correlation coefficients between autoantibodies.

RESULTS

Progressive increase of autoantibodies in COVID-19 patients according to disease severity

Here we investigated the serum levels of IgG and IgA autoantibodies targeting 58 and 52 self-antigens, respectively, that are linked to a variety of autoimmune diseases (**Fig. 1**). See **Supplementary Table 1** for autoantibody levels, **Supplementary Table 2** for their abbreviations and targets, and **Supplementary Table 3** for autoantibody and disease association. Multi-study factor analysis (MSFA) (**Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 6**) revealed a progressive dysregulation in the number of latent factors from mild COVID-19 patients to those with moderate and severe disease. The two latter groups presented fewer latent factors when compared with control and mild COVID-19 groups, indicating by this statistical inference approach that the perturbation of normal autoantibody levels mostly occurs in patients with moderated and severe COVID-19.

We found significantly elevated levels of IgG (**Supplementary Fig. 1**) and IgA (**Supplementary Fig. 2**) autoantibodies targeting a total of 42 and 25 antigens, respectively, when comparing COVID-19 groups (mild, moderate, and severe) versus healthy controls (**Fig. 3a**). In general, while patients with mild COVID-19 exhibited only slight differences in the serum levels of autoantibodies when compared to healthy controls, patients with moderate COVID-19 exhibited more evident differences, with the highest levels of autoantibodies noted in the severe group. In agreement with the results obtained by MSFA, we observed a progressive

increase of the autoantibodies targeting autoantigens such as those associated with Alzheimer's disease (rabaptin-5, tau protein), antiphospholipid syndrome (cardiolipin), multiple sclerosis and other neuroimmune disorders (myelin basic protein), celiac disease (transglutaminases, zonulin), neuropsychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders (NMDAR), gut motility disorders (enteric nerve), liver autoimmunity (liver microsomal antigen), immune thrombocytopenia (platelet glycoprotein), myasthenia gravis (acetylcholine receptor, somatotropin), neurodegenerative diseases (neurofilament proteins), Parkinson's disease (α -synuclein), pemphigus vulgaris or foliaceus (Desmoglein-E-Cadherin 1), neurological disorders (S100B), rheumatic heart disease (α -myosin), rheumatoid arthritis (α -enolase, fibulin), systemic lupus erythematosus (cardiolipin, dsDNA, rabaptin-5), type 1 diabetes (islet cell antigen), immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (heparin), and vitiligo (tyrosinase) (**Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 1-2 and Fig. 3a, 3d-e**). In addition, we also identified reduced levels of IgG autoantibodies such as those against α -myosin, rabaptin-5, S100B, and cerebellar as well as IgA autoantibodies targeting the NMDA R and cerebellar. Thus, indicating a broad breakdown of physiological autoantibody levels/self-tolerance in patients with COVID-19 that paralleled disease severity. Of note, the progressive perturbation of autoantibody levels was accompanied by increased serum concentration of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies (**Fig. 3e**).

Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c display the functional associations of the autoantibody targets, which are represented by functional enrichment analysis (gene ontology or GO). These results indicate that, among others, COVID-19 patients have dysregulated levels of autoantibodies affecting in neurological functions such as memory, learning, and cognition⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ (**Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Fig. 3**). Taken together, our data indicate that autoantibodies may also be

involved with altered learning, memory and neuroplasticity⁶⁸ recently reported during SARS-CoV-2 infection, which promotes a systemic inflammation milieu that might be neurotoxic.

Autoantibody generation correlates with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection and anosmia

Based on the concept that signatures of autoantibody correlations are associated with physiological and pathological immune homeostasis^{10,12,40}, we investigated the correlation between signatures of the IgA and IgG autoantibodies and disease severity. Bivariate correlation analysis revealed (**Supplementary Table 5 and Fig. 4a**) that patients with mild COVID-19 exhibited few differences in the autoantibody correlation signatures when compared to healthy controls. In contrast, patients with moderate COVID-19 started to exhibit new positive and negative correlations between autoantibodies while the severe group displayed the most disparate topological correlation pattern, increasingly with preferentially positive correlations between autoantibodies.

We then assessed whether anosmia (loss of smell), which was one of the most consistent symptoms associated with SARS-CoV-2 infections⁶⁹, also correlated with autoantibody signatures in patients with mild or moderate COVID-19. Due to the extreme clinical condition requiring oxygen replacement therapy that precludes the characterization of anosmia, patients with severe COVID-19 were excluded from this analysis. We observed that the interconnections among the autoantibodies are reduced in both mild and moderate COVID-19 with anosmia when compared with those without (**Supplementary Fig. 4e and Fig. 3b**). Taken together, this suggests the presence of multilayered factors that influence the autoantibody signatures, including both disease severity and anosmia that affect relationships among autoantibodies differently.

227

228 **Ranking autoantibodies as predictors of COVID-19 severity**

229 To investigate the classification power of autoantibodies, we used random forest modeling,
 230 which predicts outcomes based on decision trees⁷⁰, to rank the top 10 autoantibody predictors of
 231 COVID-19 outcomes. Random forest classification of COVID-19 patients presented a stable
 232 curve showing the highest error rate (out-of-bag OOB) for the severe COVID-19 group based on
 233 both IgA or IgG autoantibodies (**Supplementary Fig. 6a, 6d, 6g, and 6h**). The confusion matrix
 234 showed that the random forest model was unable to distinguish moderate from severe COVID-19
 235 groups (**Supplementary Fig. 6b and 6e**). These results indicate that moderate and severe
 236 COVID-19 patients may present a similar autoantibody signature. Therefore, we lumped
 237 moderate and severe COVID-19 patients into the same group. Using this approach, the out-of-
 238 bag (OOB) estimate of the error rate for all groups changed from 37% to 22% for IgA (**Fig. 5d**)
 239 and from 25% to 12% for IgG autoantibodies (**Fig. 5a**). This way, the model was considered
 240 adequate to classify the COVID-19 patients by severity according to IgA and IgG autoantibodies,
 241 showing areas under the ROC curve of 0.91%, 0.85%, and 0.90% for the healthy control,
 242 moderate, and severe COVID-19 groups for IgA (**Supplementary Fig. 6j**) and 0.98%, 0.96%
 243 and 0.99% for IgG (**Supplementary Fig. 6i**). In this context, autoantibodies tracked with anti-
 244 SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and age as predictive factors for COVID-19 outcome. Among them are
 245 IgG autoantibodies targeting the NMDA R, insulin, PG, LSPC, human RO60, claudin, heparin,
 246 and S100B, as well as IgA autoantibodies targeting cardiolipin, the NMDA R, HEK, cerebellar,
 247 ICA, AbP, DEC, α -synucleins, and tyrosinase. Thus, IgA and IgG autoantibodies stratify
 248 COVID-19 according to disease severity.

To better understand the stratification power of the autoantibody predictors of COVID-19 outcomes, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) with a spectral decomposition approach^{40,41} to analyze whether the top 10 ranked variables (IgA and IgG autoantibodies and age) as predictors of COVID-19 severity have stratification power. This approach indicated, in accordance with the random forest modeling, that the ranked autoantibodies stratify COVID-19 patients according to disease severity. (**Fig. 5g and 5h**). While healthy controls and patients with mild COVID-19 presented with similar autoantibody patterns, moderate and severe COVID-19 groups clustered closely. Together, these results indicate that the immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 not only are associated with an increase of serum autoantibody levels linked to autoimmune diseases, but also have predictive stratification values for COVID-19 patients.

SARS-CoV-2 infection dysregulates autoantibody levels in an age-dependent manner

Considering age as a major predictor of severe outcomes in COVID-19, which was also identified by our data (Random forest)⁷¹, we investigated the effect of age on the top IgG and IgA autoantibody predictors of COVID-19 severity. We classified control and disease groups by age (young < 60 and elderly ≥ 60) and found that both moderately and severely affected elderly COVID-19 patients show an overall tendency to have elevated autoantibody levels when compared with younger patients (**Fig. 6a**). However, only elderly patients with severe COVID-19 presented with significant differences in most of the top 10 IgG (**Fig. 6b**) and IgA (**Fig. 6c**) autoantibodies when compared with young patients with severe disease. Of note, except for autoantibodies targeting α -enolase, cardiolipin, CS, DEC, dsDNA, ENA, elderly patients with severe COVID-19 had significant differences in all other IgG autoantibodies (**Supplementary Fig. 7a**). In turn, IgA autoantibodies against 10 antigens displayed significant differences

comparing young COVID-19 patients to severe COVID-19 patients (**Supplementary Fig. 7b**). No age-dependent differences were observed regarding the levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies.

Of note, there were only a few (IgG autoantibodies targeting heparin and LSPC; SARS-CoV-2 antibodies; IgA autoantibodies targeting cardiolipin, DEC, ICA, and tyrosinase) age-dependent significant differences in patients with anosmia versus no anosmia regarding the top 10 autoantibody predictors of COVID-19 severity (**Supplementary Fig. 8**). Furthermore, we asked whether sex also impacts the levels of autoantibodies during SARS-Co-2 infections. IgG anti-cardiolipin-aab was elevated in males from all three disease groups (but only significantly in mild and moderate COVID-19 cohorts) when compared to females. Except for this, there were no significant sex differences in the levels of the top 10 IgG and IgA autoantibody predictors of COVID-19 severity (**Supplementary Fig. 9**).

DISCUSSION

This work sheds light on the immunopathogenesis of COVID-19 demonstrating the presence of increased levels of IgG and IgA autoantibodies linked to diverse number of autoimmune diseases. Among them, are autoantibodies not yet reported in COVID-19 patients such as those targeting neuronal antigens (e.g., α -synuclein, acetylcholine receptor, myeloid- β peptide, β -catenin, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, cerebellar antigen, chondroitin sulphate, dopamine receptors [D1 and D2], enteric nerve, ganglioside, glutamic acid decarboxylase, myelin basic protein, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein, neurofilament proteins, NMDA receptor, rabaptin-5, somatotropin, S100B, tau protein, and transglutaminases 6) and non-neuronal antigens (e.g., α -enolase, α -myosin, claudin-5 and -7, collagen, desmoglein-E-cadherin

1, epithelial sodium channel α , fibulin, fibrinogen, human epidermal keratin, insulin receptor, islet cell antigen, occluding, platelet glycoprotein, transglutaminases 2 and 3, tyrosinase, and zonulin).

Importantly, autoantibodies correlate with clinical features of SARS-CoV-2 infection such as disease severity and anosmia. Besides expanding the spectrum of autoantibodies associated with COVID-19 (e.g., cardiolipin⁷², dsDNA⁷², epithelial cell antigen⁷³, heparin⁷⁴, human RO60⁷⁵, liver microsomal antigen⁴⁰, lung surfactant protein C)⁷⁶, our data also indicate that both disease severity and anosmia disrupt the physiological IgG and IgA autoantibody signatures. These findings are consistent with the notion that autoantibodies are natural components of human physiology and become dysregulated under pathological conditions^{19,20,77,78}. Further supporting the concept that COVID-19 disrupts antibody physiology, anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels accompany the levels of autoantibodies. Those antibodies targeting self- and non-self-antigens, along with age, are predictors of disease severity as classified by random forest modeling. Viral-induced autoimmune diseases are due to multiple mechanisms such as molecular mimicry⁷⁹, epitope spreading⁸⁰, and bystander activation, all of which could possibly be involved in SARS-CoV-2 induced autoimmunity. Indeed, evidence has been provided for strong, chronic inflammation promoting the release of self-antigens and high cytokine levels activating bystander T-cells⁸¹, and for molecular mimicry⁸²⁻⁸⁴, all of which have been associated with severe COVID-19. Molecular mimicry has been explored by assessing the SARS-CoV-2 proteome, which revealed the existence of 21 viral peptides that show at least 90% homology with human proteins known to be involved in autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis⁸². Furthermore, it has been shown that monoclonal antibodies generated against SARS-CoV-2 proteins reacted with 28 out of 55 tested autoantigens⁷⁰⁻⁷¹. These

observations may explain why the multiple serum autoantibodies causative to autoimmune diseases dysregulate their levels, perturbing their physiologic function. These findings are in line with reports from ours²⁰ and other groups^{10,40} demonstrating that changes in autoantibody signatures affect physiologic and pathological immune homeostasis²⁰.

Of note, the random forest model suggests that anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and autoantibodies, together with the major risk factor age⁷¹, are important predictors of COVID-19 severity. Our finding that the highest levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies are achieved by patients with severe COVID-19 is in agreement with previous reports demonstrating a similar pattern of humoral immune response in critically ill COVID-19 patients^{75,85}. In addition, it was shown that the levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies accompany longitudinally with the dysregulation of autoantibody levels⁴⁰. While we found no age effect on the levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, severe SARS-CoV-2 infection induces higher autoantibody levels in elderly patients compared with young patients. Thus, our data point to novel mechanisms involved in the risk intersection of immunosenescence and COVID-19⁷¹, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 infection induces a broader loss of self-tolerance than previously thought, particularly in elderly patients. In this context, several age-associated factors such as chronic inflammation in ageing (inflammageing⁸⁶) might promote the production of autoantibodies as well as the tendency to naturally progress to immune dysregulation of innate⁸⁷ and adaptive⁸⁸ immune cells. Therefore, our data support the idea that the induction of high levels of serum autoantibodies in elderly COVID-19 patients contribute to the increased risk for negative outcomes in older persons⁸⁹.

Because autoantibodies are strong predictors of COVID-19 severity, our findings expand the number of autoantibodies that qualify as biomarkers for the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Among them are those targeting molecules involved in neurological functions (e.g.,

cognition, learning, memory, synaptic transmission) and glucose metabolism. For instance, while anti-cardiolipin antibodies were previously associated with the development of hyperinflammatory syndromes⁹⁰, the high levels of autoantibodies targeting neuronal-associated molecules could provide clues why the respiratory symptoms of COVID-19 patients are often accompanied by short- and long-term neuropsychiatric symptoms and brain sequelae⁶⁸. It has been convincingly shown that SARS-CoV-2 is able enter the brain by crossing the blood-brain barrier (BBB) because inflammatory cytokines induce BBB instability^{68,91,92}. Our data indicate that the array of autoantibodies targeting neuronal molecules is an additional molecular layer that could contribute to the neurological manifestations^{66,67} occurring in COVID-19 patients.

There are limitations of our work that need consideration. For instance, our study did not have longitudinal data to analyze the pharmacokinetics of the IgG and IgA autoantibodies, from disease onset to convalescence or post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Our study cohort did not include asymptomatic patients. Moreover, we cannot exclude the possibility that at least some of our patients had high levels of autoantibodies prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection, or that autoantibodies to some antigens (e.g., heparin) could have been induced by anticoagulant therapy with heparin. In addition, we did not assess alterations in the number of circulating B lymphocytes and whether this could explain the higher serum levels of autoantibodies. In addition, future studies are required to clarify the role of virus and host genetics in the production of autoantibodies. On the other hand, our work raises new questions such as whether the dysregulated levels of autoantibodies remain after COVID-19 remission and these levels are in patients with post-COVID-19 syndrome.

Taken together, our work provides a comprehensive view of the spectrum of autoantibodies linked with autoimmune diseases that are induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection.

This work maps the intersection of COVID-19 and autoimmunity^{93–95}, demonstrating the dysregulation of multiple autoantibodies that are linked to autoimmune diseases during SARS-CoV-2 infections, and the altered correlation signatures according to disease severity and anosmia. The data presented suggest a gradual involvement of autoantibodies in the severity of COVID-19. We identified several new clinically relevant autoantibodies that can be used as biomarkers that predict COVID-19 severity and to open avenues to develop new treatment strategies.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the patients for participation in this study. We would like to acknowledge the contributions of Lev Rochel Bikur Cholim of Lakewood (led by Rabbi Yehuda Kasirer and Mrs. Leeba Prager) and their hundreds of volunteers who participated in collecting samples for this research through the MITZVA Cohort. We thank Immunosciences and Cyrex Laboratories for financial support and INOVA Diagnostics for providing their diagnostic ELISA kits for autoimmunity at a very discounted rate. Furthermore, we would like to thank Vilma Samayoa, David Cisneros, Roberto Melgar, Dana Ashley Hill and Amanda Thornton for technical assistance. We also thank the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP grants: 2020/07972-1 to GCB; 2020/09146-1 to PPF; 2020/11710-2 to DRP, 2018/18886-9, 2020/01688-0, and 2020/07069-0 to OCM), and the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) Financial Code 001 (grant to ISF) for financial support.

Data availability

All data present in this manuscript are provided as Supplementary files. All R packages used in this manuscript are available at the following link: <https://github.com/gabrielacbaiocchi/Autoantibodies-linked-to-autoimmune-diseases-associated-with-COVID-19-outcomes.git>

Author contributions

YS, AZR, IZ, AV, and EV conceived the project; YS, AZR, IZ, and GH designed the study. JIS, AZR, IZ, and MTL diagnosed, recruited or followed-up the patients. AV and EV performed the experiments. AZR, DAH, AT, GH, JZ, JIS, AZR, IZ, MTL, EV, YBL, HA, and YS coordinated the serum collection and databank. GCB, AHCM, ISF, DLMF, DRP, PPF, MK, RDV, and OCM performed data and bioinformatics analyses. GCB, AV, LMG, HDO, and OCM wrote the manuscript. JS, HH, YO, AZR, VLGC, NOSC, RDV, IZ, GCB, AV, LFS, LMG, HDO, and OCM edited the manuscript; GCB, AV, AZR, YO, LFS, IZ, AHCM, EV, DAH, AT, LMG, YBL, JIS, JZ, NOSC, VLGC, HH, GR, HA, OCM, and YS, provided scientific insights.

401 **Competing interests**

402 The authors declare no competing interests.

403

REFERENCES

1. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard | WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data.
2. Gorbalenya, A. E. *et al.* The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2020 54 **5**, 536–544 (2020).
3. Konings, F. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Interest and Concern naming scheme conducive for global discourse. *Nat. Microbiol.* **6**, 821–823 (2021).
4. Maslo, C. *et al.* Characteristics and Outcomes of Hospitalized Patients in South Africa During the COVID-19 Omicron Wave Compared With Previous Waves. *JAMA* (2021) doi:10.1001/JAMA.2021.24868.
5. Hacısuleyman, E. *et al.* Vaccine Breakthrough Infections with SARS-CoV-2 Variants. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 2212–2218 (2021).
6. Karim, S. S. A. & Karim, Q. A. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* **398**, 2126–2128 (2021).
7. Webb, B. J. *et al.* Clinical criteria for COVID-19-associated hyperinflammatory syndrome: a cohort study. *Lancet. Rheumatol.* **2**, e754–e763 (2020).
8. Koutsakos, M. *et al.* Integrated immune dynamics define correlates of COVID-19 severity and antibody responses. *Cell reports. Med.* **2**, (2021).
9. Lourda, M. *et al.* High-dimensional profiling reveals phenotypic heterogeneity and disease-specific alterations of granulocytes in COVID-19. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**, (2021).
10. Wang, E. Y. *et al.* Diverse functional autoantibodies in patients with COVID-19. *Nat.* 2021 5957866 **595**, 283–288 (2021).
11. Bastard, P. *et al.* Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science* (80-.). **370**, (2020).
12. Cabral-Marques, O. *et al.* The relationship between autoantibodies targeting GPCRs and the renin-angiotensin system associates with COVID-19 severity. *medRxiv* 2021.08.24.21262385 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.08.24.21262385.
13. Khamsi, R. Rogue antibodies could be driving severe COVID-19. *Nature* **590**, 29–31 (2021).
14. Ehrenfeld, M. *et al.* Covid-19 and autoimmunity. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **19**, (2020).
15. Lichtman, A. H. Anti-body antibodies in COVID-19. *Sci. Immunol.* **6**, (2021).
16. Dotan, A. *et al.* The SARS-CoV-2 as an instrumental trigger of autoimmunity. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **20**, (2021).
17. Halpert, G. & Shoenfeld, Y. SARS-CoV-2, the autoimmune virus. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **19**, 102695 (2020).
18. Amendt, T. *et al.* Autoreactive antibodies control blood glucose by regulating insulin homeostasis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **119**, e2115695119 (2022).
19. Nagele, E. P. *et al.* Natural IgG Autoantibodies Are Abundant and Ubiquitous in Human Sera, and Their Number Is Influenced By Age, Gender, and Disease. *PLoS One* **8**, e60726 (2013).
20. Cabral-Marques, O. *et al.* GPCR-specific autoantibody signatures are associated with physiological and pathological immune homeostasis. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5224 (2018).
21. Lutz, H. U., Binder, C. J. & Kaveri, S. Naturally occurring auto-antibodies in homeostasis

- and disease. *Trends Immunol.* **30**, 43–51 (2009).
22. Leslie, D., Lipsky, P. & Louis Notkins, A. Autoantibodies as predictors of disease. *J. Clin. Invest.* **108**, 1417 (2001).
23. Deane, K. D. & El-Gabalawy, H. Pathogenesis and prevention of rheumatic disease: focus on preclinical RA and SLE. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **10**, 212–228 (2014).
24. Pedroza-Pacheco, I. & Borrow, P. Targeting autoantibodies in COVID-19. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **21**, 134–134 (2021).
25. Pavlovic, M., Kats, A., Cavallo, M. & Shoenfeld, Y. Clinical and Molecular Evidence for Association of SLE with parvovirus B19. *Lupus* **19**, 783–792 (2010).
26. Maya, R., Gershwin, M. E. & Shoenfeld, Y. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and autoimmune disease. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* **34**, 85–102 (2008).
27. Barzilai, O. *et al.* Epstein-Barr virus and cytomegalovirus in autoimmune diseases: are they truly notorious? A preliminary report. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1108**, 567–577 (2007).
28. Barzilai, O., Ram, M. & Shoenfeld, Y. Viral infection can induce the production of autoantibodies. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* **19**, 636–643 (2007).
29. Lucchese, G. Cerebrospinal fluid findings in COVID-19 indicate autoimmunity. *The Lancet. Microbe* **1**, e242 (2020).
30. Taha, M. & Samavati, L. Antiphospholipid antibodies in COVID-19: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *RMD Open* **7**, e001580 (2021).
31. Khan, F. *et al.* COVID-19-associated Guillain-Barre syndrome: Postinfectious alone or neuroinvasive too? *J. Med. Virol.* **93**, 6045–6049 (2021).
32. Gutiérrez-Ortiz, C. *et al.* Miller Fisher syndrome and polyneuritis cranialis in COVID-19. *Neurology* **95**, e601–e605 (2020).
33. Boddu, S. K., Aurangabadkar, G. & Kuchay, M. S. New onset diabetes, type 1 diabetes and COVID-19. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.* **14**, 2211–2217 (2020).
34. Jacobs, J. & Eichbaum, Q. COVID-19 associated with severe autoimmune hemolytic anemia. *Transfusion* **61**, 635–640 (2021).
35. Mateu-Salat, M., Urgell, E. & Chico, A. SARS-COV-2 as a trigger for autoimmune disease: report of two cases of Graves’ disease after COVID-19. *J. Endocrinol. Invest.* **43**, 1527–1528 (2020).
36. Bhattacharjee, S. & Banerjee, M. Immune Thrombocytopenia Secondary to COVID-19: a Systematic Review. *Sn Compr. Clin. Med.* **2**, 1 (2020).
37. Parisi, S., Borrelli, R., Bianchi, S. & Fusaro, E. Viral arthritis and COVID-19. *Lancet Rheumatol.* **2**, e655–e657 (2020).
38. Zamani, B., Moeini Taba, S. M. & Shayestehpour, M. Systemic lupus erythematosus manifestation following COVID-19: a case report. *J. Med. Case Rep.* **15**, 1–4 (2021).
39. Woodruff, M. C. *et al.* Relaxed peripheral tolerance drives broad de novo autoreactivity in severe COVID-19. *medRxiv Prepr. Serv. Heal. Sci.* (2021) doi:10.1101/2020.10.21.20216192.
40. Chang, S. E. *et al.* New-onset IgG autoantibodies in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–15 (2021).
41. Zuo, Y. *et al.* Prothrombotic autoantibodies in serum from patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **12**, 3876 (2020).
42. Zuniga, M. *et al.* Autoimmunity to the Lung Protective Phospholipid-Binding Protein Annexin A2 Predicts Mortality Among Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients. *medRxiv* 2020.12.28.20248807 (2021) doi:10.1101/2020.12.28.20248807.

- 496 43. Losartan for Patients With COVID-19 Requiring Hospitalization - Full Text View -
497 ClinicalTrials.gov.
- 498 44. Cavalli, E. *et al.* Entangling COVID-19 associated thrombosis into a secondary
499 antiphospholipid antibody syndrome: Diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives (Review).
500 *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **46**, 903–912 (2020).
- 501 45. Galeotti, C. & Bayry, J. Autoimmune and inflammatory diseases following COVID-19.
502 *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 2020 168 **16**, 413–414 (2020).
- 503 46. Ramos-Casals, M., Brito-Zerón, P. & Mariette, X. Systemic and organ-specific immune-
504 related manifestations of COVID-19. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 2021 176 **17**, 315–332 (2021).
- 505 47. Silverberg, J. *et al.* Association of Varying Clinical Manifestations and Positive Anti-
506 SARS-CoV-2 IgG Antibodies: A Cross-Sectional Observational Study. *J. allergy Clin.*
507 *Immunol. Pract.* (2021) doi:10.1016/J.JAIP.2021.06.046.
- 508 48. Zyskind, I. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence and Symptom Onset in Culturally Linked
509 Orthodox Jewish Communities Across Multiple Regions in the United States. *JAMA*
510 *Netw. open* **4**, (2021).
- 511 49. COVID-19 Clinical management: living guidance.
- 512 50. Baggi, F. *et al.* Breakdown of Tolerance to a Self-Peptide of Acetylcholine Receptor α -
513 Subunit Induces Experimental Myasthenia Gravis in Rats. *J. Immunol.* **172**, 2697–2703
514 (2004).
- 515 51. Vojdani, A. & Turnpaugh, C. C. Antibodies against Group A Streptococcus, dopamine
516 receptors, and ganglioside GM1 cross-react with a variety of food antigens, potentially
517 interfering with biomarkers for PANS and PANDAS. *Biomarkers in Neuropsychiatry* **3**,
518 100023 (2020).
- 519 52. Swedo, E. *et al.* Brain Human Monoclonal Autoantibody from Sydenham Chorea Targets
520 Dopaminergic Neurons in Transgenic Mice and Signals Dopamine D2 Receptor:
521 Implications in Human Disease. *J. Immunol.* **191**, 5524–5541 (2013).
- 522 53. Vojdani, A. & Vojdani, E. Amyloid-Beta 1-42 Cross-Reactive Antibody Prevalent in
523 Human Sera May Contribute to Intraneuronal Deposition of A-Beta-P-42. *Int. J.*
524 *Alzheimers. Dis.* **2018**, (2018).
- 525 54. Wood, J. D., Liu, S., Drossman, D. A., Ringel, Y. & Whitehead, W. E. Anti-Enteric
526 Neuronal Antibodies and the Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* **18**,
527 78–85 (2012).
- 528 55. Demchyshyn, L. L., Sugamori, K. S., Lee, F. J. S., Hamadanizadeh, S. A. & Niznik, H. B.
529 The Dopamine D1D Receptor: CLONING AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THREE
530 PHARMACOLOGICALLY DISTINCT D1-LIKE RECEPTORS FROM Gallus
531 domesticus(*). *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 4005–4012 (1995).
- 532 56. Omdal, R. *et al.* Neuropsychiatric disturbances in SLE are associated with antibodies
533 against NMDA receptors. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **12**, 392–398 (2005).
- 534 57. Kaniakova, M. *et al.* Key amino acid residues within the third membrane domains of NR1
535 and NR2 subunits contribute to the regulation of the surface delivery of N-methyl-D-
536 aspartate receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 26423–26434 (2012).
- 537 58. Vojdani, A., Vojdani, E., Saidara, E. & Kharrazian, D. Reaction of Amyloid- β Peptide
538 Antibody with Different Infectious Agents Involved in Alzheimer's Disease. *J.*
539 *Alzheimers. Dis.* **63**, 847–860 (2018).
- 540 59. GitHub - rdevito/MSFA. <https://github.com/rdevito/MSFA>.
- 541 60. Vito, R. De, Bellio, R., Trippa, L. & Parmigiani, G. Bayesian multistudy factor analysis

for high-throughput biological data. <https://doi.org/10.1214/21-AOAS1456> **15**, 1723–1741 (2021).

61. De Vito, R., Bellio, R., Trippa, L. & Parmigiani, G. Multi-study Factor Analysis. (2016).
62. Lever, J., Krzywinski, M. & Altman, N. Points of Significance: Principal component analysis. *Nature Methods* vol. 14 641–642 (2017).
63. Ringnér, M. What is principal component analysis? *Nature Biotechnology* vol. 26 303–304 (2008).
64. Kotlyar, M. *et al.* IID 2021: towards context-specific protein interaction analyses by increased coverage, enhanced annotation and enrichment analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1**, 13–14 (2021).
65. Liaw, A. & Wiener, M. (8) (PDF) Classification and Regression by RandomForest.
66. Becker, J. H. *et al.* Assessment of Cognitive Function in Patients After COVID-19 Infection. *JAMA Netw. Open* **4**, e2130645–e2130645 (2021).
67. Alnefeesi, Y. *et al.* Impact of SARS-CoV-2 Infection on Cognitive Function: A Systematic Review. *Front. Psychiatry* **11**, 1629 (2021).
68. Boldrini, M., Canoll, P. D. & Klein, R. S. How COVID-19 Affects the Brain. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**, 682–683 (2021).
69. Sudre, C. H. *et al.* Anosmia, ageusia, and other COVID-19-like symptoms in association with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test, across six national digital surveillance platforms: an observational study. *Lancet. Digit. Heal.* **3**, e577–e586 (2021).
70. Liaw, A. & Wiener, M. Classification and Regression by randomForest. **2**, (2002).
71. Bartleson, J. M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and the aging immune system. *Nat. Aging* **2021 19 1**, 769–782 (2021).
72. Lerma, L. A. *et al.* Prevalence of autoantibody responses in acute coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *J. Transl. Autoimmun.* **3**, (2020).
73. Yang, Y. H. *et al.* Autoantibodies against human epithelial cells and endothelial cells after severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-associated coronavirus infection. *J. Med. Virol.* **77**, 1–7 (2005).
74. Pang, E., Ghosh, S., Chemmanam, T., Grove, C. & Phillips, T. Cerebral arterial and venous thrombosis due to COVID-19 vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. *BMJ Case Rep.* **15**, e245445 (2022).
75. Lerma, L. A. *et al.* Prevalence of autoantibody responses in acute coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *J. Transl. Autoimmun.* **3**, 100073 (2020).
76. Sinnberg, T. *et al.* IgA autoantibodies target pulmonary surfactant in patients with severe COVID-19. *medRxiv* 2021.02.02.21250940 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.02.02.21250940.
77. Cabral-Marques, O. & Riemekasten, G. Functional autoantibodies targeting G protein-coupled receptors in rheumatic diseases. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **2017 1311 13**, 648–656 (2017).
78. Watanabe, M. *et al.* Anti-cytokine autoantibodies are ubiquitous in healthy individuals. *FEBS Lett.* **581**, 2017–2021 (2007).
79. Rojas, M. *et al.* Molecular mimicry and autoimmunity. *J. Autoimmun.* **95**, 100–123 (2018).
80. Powell, A. M. & Black, M. M. Epitope spreading: protection from pathogens, but propagation of autoimmunity? *Clin. Exp. Dermatol.* **26**, 427–433 (2001).
81. Gregorova, M. *et al.* Post-acute COVID-19 associated with evidence of bystander T-cell activation and a recurring antibiotic-resistant bacterial pneumonia. *Elife* **9**, 1–13 (2020).

82. Karami Fath, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Proteome Harbors Peptides Which Are Able to Trigger Autoimmunity Responses: Implications for Infection, Vaccination, and Population Coverage. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, 3174 (2021).
83. Vojdani, A., Vojdani, E. & Kharrazian, D. Reaction of Human Monoclonal Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Proteins With Tissue Antigens: Implications for Autoimmune Diseases. *Front. Immunol.* **11**, (2021).
84. Vojdani, A. & Kharrazian, D. Potential antigenic cross-reactivity between SARS-CoV-2 and human tissue with a possible link to an increase in autoimmune diseases. *Clin. Immunol.* **217**, (2020).
85. Yan, X. *et al.* Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG levels in relation to disease severity of COVID-19. *J. Med. Virol.* **94**, 380–383 (2022).
86. Ferrucci, L. & Fabbri, E. Inflammageing: chronic inflammation in ageing, cardiovascular disease, and frailty. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **15**, 505–522 (2018).
87. Shaw, A. C., Goldstein, D. R. & Montgomery, R. R. Age-dependent dysregulation of innate immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **13**, 875–887 (2013).
88. Rocamora-Reverte, L., Melzer, F. L., Würzner, R. & Weinberger, B. The Complex Role of Regulatory T Cells in Immunity and Aging. *Front. Immunol.* **11**, 3566 (2021).
89. Poletti, P. *et al.* Association of Age With Likelihood of Developing Symptoms and Critical Disease Among Close Contacts Exposed to Patients With Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Italy. *JAMA Netw. Open* **4**, e211085–e211085 (2021).
90. Xu, Y. M., Chu, Y. Q. & Wang, H. Correlation Analysis of Anti-Cardiolipin Antibody/D Dimer/C-Reactive Protein and Coronary Artery Lesions/Multiple-Organ Damage in Children With Kawasaki Disease. *Front. Pediatr.* **9**, 1001 (2021).
91. Zhang, L. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 crosses the blood–brain barrier accompanied with basement membrane disruption without tight junctions alteration. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **6**, 1–12 (2021).
92. Rhea, E. M. *et al.* The S1 protein of SARS-CoV-2 crosses the blood–brain barrier in mice. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 368–378 (2020).
93. Liu, Y., Sawalha, A. H. & Lu, Q. COVID-19 and autoimmune diseases. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* **33**, 155–162 (2021).
94. Knight, J. S. *et al.* The intersection of COVID-19 and autoimmunity. *J. Clin. Invest.* **131**, (2021).
95. Saad, M. A. *et al.* COVID-19 and Autoimmune Diseases: A Systematic Review of Reported Cases. *Curr. Rheumatol. Rev.* **17**, 193–204 (2021).

Data acquisition

Data analysis

Data analysis

a

b

FIGURES

Figure 1. Study workflow. a) Schematic overview of study cohorts, statistics and bioinformatics analyses carried out to characterize the spectrum of autoantibodies linked to diverse autoimmune diseases that associate with COVID-19 outcomes.

Figure 2. Perturbation of normal autoantibody settings in COVID-19. Multi-study factor analysis (MSFA) of all IgA and IgG autoantibodies as well as anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies that were analyzed. The heatmaps show estimated factor loadings of common (ϕ) and specific (λ) latent factors of each group. Positive and negative loadings range from -1 (green) to 1 (grey), respectively. Autoantibody number shown according to their names in **Supplementary Table 1**. See **Supplementary Table 6** for the loadings.

Figure 3. Autoantibodies and functional association of their targets. a) Heatmap showing the hierarchical cluster of IgG and IgA autoantibodies significantly different when comparing each COVID-19 cohort (mild, moderate, and severe groups) with healthy controls. The bar, ranging from blue to black and light yellow, shows the range of significance from $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value}) = 0$ to 4 . Rows were clustered using Euclidean distance between $-\log_{10}(p\text{-values})$. Ig, immunoglobulin. b) The barplots exhibit the number of IgG and IgA autoantibodies that were evaluated and found as significant or not. c) Network of biological processes (BP) enriched (adjusted $p\text{-value} < 0.05$) by the autoantibody targets. The edges connect related BPs. The circles' colors and size correspond to the adjusted $p\text{-value}$ and number of autoantibody targets enriching BPs, respectively. d) Circos plot illustrates the top 10 BPs enriched by both IgA and IgG autoantibody targets. **Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Fig. 3** show all gene ontology (GO) categories (BPs and signaling pathways) enriched by the autoantibody targets. For this analysis, we selected autoantibody targets from significantly different autoantibodies among the groups. BPs are denoted by letters A-Q (A: synapse organization; B: learning or memory; C: cell junction assembly; D: positive regulation of peptidase activity; E: response to alcohol; F: excitatory postsynaptic potential; G: neuromuscular process; H: positive regulation of proteolysis; I: chemical synaptic transmission, postsynaptic; J: phenol-containing compound metabolic process; K: long-term synaptic potentiation; L: neuron projection maintenance; M: positive regulation of synaptic transmission; N: regulation of synaptic plasticity; O: memory; P: cognition; Q: learning). The blue, yellow, and green colors correspond to IgA, IgG, and shared terms, respectively. Box plots exemplifying d) IgG and e) IgA autoantibodies significantly different between healthy controls and COVID-19 groups. See **Supplementary Table 2** for full names and abbreviations of autoantibodies and their targets. All autoantibodies with significant differences between at least two groups are shown in **Supplementary Figures 1 and 2**. Each box plot shows the median with first and third interquartile range (IQR), whiskers representing minimum and maximum values within IQR, and individual data points. Significance was determined using two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum tests and is indicated by asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$, and **** $p \leq 0.0001$). Control ($n = 77$); mild ($n = 74$), moderate ($n = 65$), and severe ($n = 32$) COVID-19.

Figure 4. Hierarchical clustering of the autoantibody correlation signatures according to disease severity and anosmia. Heatmap of significant different aab vs. aab correlations: a) IgG and IgA autoantibodies in healthy control and COVID-19 groups and b) IgA autoantibodies of COVID-19 patients with or without anosmia versus healthy controls. See **Supplementary Fig. 4a-4d** for correlograms with the autoantibody names; **Supplementary Fig. 4e** for IgG autoantibody correlations in patients with anosmia versus no anosmia; and **Supplementary Fig. 5 a-b** for IgG and IgA autoantibody correlations for healthy controls and COVID-19 groups. The color scale bar ranging from 0 to 1 represents Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Rows and columns were clustered based on Euclidean distance. See **Supplementary Table 5**

Figure 5. Ranking autoantibodies as predictors of COVID-19 severity. Stable curve showing number of trees and error rates for **a**) IgG and **d**) IgA autoantibodies with out-of-bag (OOB) estimate of 12.5% and 22.28% error rate, respectively. Heatmap presents the confusion matrix of **b**) IgG and **e**) IgA autoantibodies, the number of individuals classification by group (healthy controls = G1; mild = G2; moderate+severe = G3). The color scale ranging from blue to orange corresponds to the number of errors or hits by groups denoted inside the colored or white squares, respectively. Control ($n = 77$); mild ($n = 74$), moderate+severe ($n = 97$) COVID-19. Bar plots of the top 10 strongest **c**) IgG (also anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies and age) and **f**) IgA autoantibodies predictors of COVID-19 patient classification according to disease severity. The variables are shown according to minimal depth and number of trees. The color scale bar ranging from 0 to 13 represents the minimal and maximum minimal depth. The small dark vertical bars represent the mean of minimal depth for each variable. Principal component analysis (PCA) with spectral decomposition shows that **g**) IgG and **h**) IgA autoantibodies stratify COVID-19 patients according to disease severity, revealing the overlap between the moderate and severe cohorts. The PCA was carried out based on the top 10 most important variables as listed in **c** and **f**, respectively. Control ($n = 77$); mild ($n = 74$), moderate ($n = 65$), and severe ($n = 32$) COVID-19. See **Supplementary Table 2** for full names and abbreviations of autoantibodies and their targets.

Figure 6. Age-dependent elevation of autoantibody levels in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. **a**) Heatmap showing the hierarchical cluster of IgG and IgA autoantibodies significantly different when comparing young versus elderly controls or young versus elderly of each COVID-19 cohort. The colors blue and light yellow indicate autoantibodies with or without significant differences between the young versus elderly in each group. **b**) Box plots display changes in the autoantibody levels by age (young < 60 and elderly ≥ 60). Each box plot shows the median with first and third interquartile range (IQR), whiskers representing minimum and maximum values within IQR, and individual data points. Significance was determined using two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum tests and is indicated by asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$, and **** $p \leq 0.0001$). control-young ($n = 65$) and control-elderly ($n = 12$); mild-young ($n = 70$), mild-elderly ($n = 4$), moderate-young ($n = 45$), moderate-elderly ($n = 20$), severe-young ($n = 20$), severe-elderly ($n = 12$) COVID-19 patients. All autoantibodies with significant differences between at least two groups are shown in **Supplementary Fig. 7**.