COVID-19 onset reduced the sex ratio at birth in South Africa

Gwinyai Masukume^{1,*}, Margaret Ryan², Rumbidzai Masukume³, Dorota Zammit⁴, Victor Grech¹, Witness Mapanga^{5,6}

¹Academic Department of Paediatrics, Medical School, Mater Dei Hospital, Malta

²School of Social Work and Social Policy, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁴National Statistics Office, Malta

⁵Noncommunicable Diseases Research Division, Wits Health Consortium (PTY) Ltd, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁶Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

* parturitions@gmail.com

25 **Abstract**

26 **Background**

27 The sex ratio at birth [defined as male/(male+female) live births] is anticipated to
 28 approximate 0.510 with a slight male excess. Following sudden unexpected stressful events,
 29 this ratio has been observed to decrease transiently around 3-5 months following such events.
 30 We hypothesised that stress engendered by the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic may have
 31 caused such a decrease in South Africa 3-5 months after March 2020 since in this month,
 32 South Africa reported its first COVID-19 case, death and nationwide lockdown restrictions
 33 were instituted.

34 **Methods**

35 We used publicly available recorded monthly live birth data from Statistics South Africa. The
 36 most recent month for which data was available publicly was December 2020. We analysed
 37 live births for a 100-month period from September 2012 to December 2020, taking
 38 seasonality into account. Chi-squared tests were applied.

39 **Results**

40 Over this 100-month period, there were 8,151,364 live births. The lowest recorded monthly
 41 sex ratio at birth of 0.499 was in June 2020, 3 months after March 2020. This June was the
 42 only month during this period where the sex ratio inverted i.e., fewer male live births
 43 occurred. The predicted June 2020 ratio was 0.504. The observed June 2020 decrease was
 44 statistically significant $p = 0.045$.

45 **Conclusions**

46 The sex ratio at birth decreased and inverted in South Africa in June 2020, for the first time,
 47 during the most recent 100-month period. This decline occurred 3 months after the March

48 2020 onset of COVID-19 in South Africa. As June 2020 is within the critical window when
49 population stressors are known to impact the sex ratio at birth, these findings suggest that the
50 onset of the COVID-19 pandemic engendered population stress with notable effects on
51 pregnancy and public health in South Africa. These findings have implications for future
52 pandemic preparedness and social policy.

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 Introduction

68 The sex ratio at birth (SRB) also known as the secondary sex ratio is defined as
69 [male/(male+female) live births] [1]. At population level it approximates 0.510 with male
70 live births slightly exceeding female live births. The SRB may act as a sentinel health
71 indicator underscoring adverse circumstances through its decrease, but also highlighting
72 improved circumstances with its increase [2, 3]. Following sudden unexpected stressful
73 events, the population SRB has been observed to decrease transiently usually some 3-5
74 months following events such as the death of a highly popular public figure [4], terrorist
75 attacks [5] and wide spread protesting/rioting [6]. Such stressful life events impact on
76 maternal neuroimmunoendocrine condition; male fetuses are more vulnerable to such
77 changes in condition [7]. Another potential window is 9 months after such events as was the
78 case in Japan when the SRB dipped 9 months after the Kobe earthquake [8]. However, these
79 windows of SRB decline are not a universal phenomenon due to varying contextual factors
80 [9, 10].

81 It has been proposed that COVID-19 stress might result in SRB decline [11]. In March 2020,
82 South Africa reported its first known polymerase chain reaction (PCR) confirmed COVID-19
83 case [12]. During that same month the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 to be
84 a pandemic [13], and this was accompanied by a surge in local and global media attention on
85 COVID-19 [14]. In addition, during March 2020, South Africa declared a national state of
86 disaster and instituted nationwide lockdown measures which included school closures, and
87 restrictions on gatherings, domestic and international travel [15]. Furthermore, the first death
88 attributed to COVID-19, in South Africa also occurred [16]. In short, March 2020 was a
89 momentous month in relation to COVID-19 in South Africa.

90 Our primary hypothesis was that stress engendered by the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic
91 might have caused a transient decrease in SRB in South Africa 3-5 months after March 2020.
92 The secondary hypothesis was that an SRB dip occurred 9 months later, in December 2020.

93

94 **Materials and Methods**

95 **Data and statistical analysis**

96 Monthly male and female recorded live births, from the national birth registration system,
97 were obtained from Statistics South Africa's publicly available annual reports [17]. For the
98 intercensal period 2011–2016, completeness of birth registration was estimated at 88.6%.
99 Data was extracted for a 100-month period from September 2012 to December 2020. The last
100 year the SRB, in South Africa, was known to have been influenced by an event was in 2011
101 [18]. December 2020 was the most recent month for which data was available. We conducted
102 post-hoc analysis considering 3-5 and 9 months after the April 2015 protests/riots [19], as the
103 SRB might have been affected consequent to stress induced by this event.
104 To take seasonality into account, similar months across the years were compared. Restriction
105 [20] was used to control for potential confounding factors. Chi-squared tests were used. This
106 methodology is an alternative to time series analysis that has previously been used with
107 similar South African data [21]. $P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. For
108 analysis, Stata version 17BE (College Station, TX) was used.

109

110 **Ethical considerations**

111 Due to the anonymized nature of the data, ethical approval was not required.

112

113 **Results**

Over this 100-month period, from September 2012 to December 2020, there were a total of 8,151,364 live births as 4,113,288 males and 4,038,076 females, SRB 0.505. The lowest recorded monthly sex ratio at birth, during the 100-month period, was in June 2020 at 0.499 (Fig 1). June 2020 was also the only month during this most recent 100-month span that the SRB inverted i.e., fewer male than female live births occurred. The SRB for December 2020 was 0.503. Based on similar months in previous years, the moving average approach predicted an SRB of 0.504 for June 2020 (Fig 2) and 0.505 for December 2020 respectively. The June 2020 SRB decrease was statistically significant $p = 0.045$, while for December 2020 there was no statistically significant change $p = 0.293$ (Table 1). Post-hoc analysis, S1 Table, revealed no statistically significant result.

Fig 1. Monthly proportion of male live births in 2020.

Fig 2. Proportion of male live births during the month of June from 2013-2020.

Table 1. Sex ratio at birth restricted from 2018-2020.

Period	Male live births	Female live births	Sex ratio at birth
June 2018 + 2019	81,078	79,870	0.504
June 2020	42,022	42,109	0.499
	Pearsons's Chi-squared = 4.0292		
	P = 0.045		
December 2018 + 2019	81,237	79,495	0.505
December 2020	41,795	41,268	0.503
	Pearsons's Chi-squared = 1.1058		
	P = 0.293		

Discussion

In this study, we provide insight into how the SRB, in South Africa, was influenced by the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. Over the study period of 100 months, we observed the lowest SRB of 0.499 in June 2020, 3 months after the first COVID-19 case, death and lockdown occurred in March 2020. Notably, the SRB had inverted i.e. fewer male live births occurred. This is the only month this happened during the 100-month period, from September 2012 through to December 2020. Our findings are inline with the hypothesis that COVID-19 stress would result in SRB decline [11], driven by the Trivers-Willard hypothesis which posits that adverse environmental conditions can lower the ratio of males to females [22]. Our findings are also consistent with studies that have reported a transient SRB decline 3-5 months following unexpected events which stressed populations acutely and severely [4-6]. Although an SRB decline has been reported 9 months after an acute unanticipated severe stressor [8], we did not find evidence of this in South Africa, and the SRB, in December 2020, was not appreciably different in comparison to previous Decembers.

The timing of the SRB dip, 3 months following the onset of COVID-19 in South Africa suggests a mechanism operating in ongoing pregnancies whereby, due to stress, there is an elevated risk of fetal death among males [23] resulting in fewer live born males. Thus beyond the direct adverse effects of the pandemic pathogen on pregnant women evidenced by a higher maternal mortality rate among women admitted with SARS-CoV-2 infection [24], anxiety and stress likely generated by news of the impending pandemic [14], in March 2020, led to disproportionate male fetal loss in June 2020. Triangulation with antepartum deaths from South Africa's perinatal mortality audit system, when the data becomes publicly available, would be needed to directly confirm this excess male fetal loss [25]. Had a dip

been witnessed in December 2020, 9 months after the onset of COVID-19, this would have pointed to a conception mechanism.

It is plausible that the June 2020 SRB decline could have been attributed to an event approximately 9 months earlier [8], in September 2019. Indeed there were protests/riots during that September which garnered significant media attention [26]. However, several factors are not consistent with this paradigm of the South African June 2020 SRB decrease being primarily related to September 2019 events. First, there is the precedent of the Los Angeles protests/riots [6] where the SRB perturbation occurred during the 3-5 month post-event window. Second, similar protests/riots to the aforementioned ones have occurred before in South Africa during the most recent 100-month period, in April 2015 [19], but with no significant SRB decline either 3-5 or 9 months later (post-hoc analysis). Third, contrary to COVID-19, which had broader nationwide ramifications, these protests [6, 19, 27] were confined to certain locations with possibly more localised effects.

Ecological fallacy, the limited ability to extrapolate findings to the individual level applies to this study [28]. Nonetheless, the ecologic study technique is arguably best suited to investigate how a population outcome is influenced by a population stressor [29]. In late March 2020, due to the institution of nationwide lockdown measures, the Department of Home Affairs (DHA) only offered limited civic services. Due to this, there was a decline in the number of live births registered early (< 30 days). With the easing of restrictions, services resumed in early May 2020. In 2020, there was an overall compensatory increase in the number of live births registered late (> 30 days) [17]. The neonatal mortality rate, death within the first 28 completed days of life, in South Africa approximates 12 deaths per 1,000

live births [30]. Nonetheless, the total recorded live births for 2020 is inline with prior years. Overall, this means when restrictive measures were lifted those who had missed registering a live birth came forward. Thus the present analysis is unlikely to have been affected by closure of the DHA offices necessitated by lockdown regulations.

The present analysis covers the first COVID-19 wave in South Africa. At the time of writing, South Africa had experienced four waves [31]. It is possible that the SRB may have been transiently perturbed in the following waves. This is an area for research when the recorded live birth data for these periods becomes available.

Conclusions

The sex ratio at birth decreased and inverted in South Africa in June 2020, for the first time, during the most recent 100-month period. This decline occurred 3 months after the March 2020 onset of COVID-19 in South Africa. As June 2020 is within the critical window when population stressors are known to impact the sex ratio at birth, via elevated risk of fetal death among males, these findings suggest that the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic engendered population stress with notable effects on pregnancy and public health in South Africa. Our findings can help inform future pandemic preparedness [32] and social policy [33]. Whether other countries experienced a decline in the SRB, following the onset of COVID-19 is an area for future research.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Statistics South Africa for the recorded live birth data.

202

203 **Funding**

204 None

205

206 **Competing interests**

207 None declared

208

209 **Author Contributions**

210 Conceptualization: GM, MR, RM, DZ, VG, WM

211 Data curation: MR, GM

212 Formal analysis: GM, DZ

213 Writing – original draft: GM

214 Writing – review & editing: MR, RM, GM, DZ, VG, WM

215

216 **References**

217 1. Grech V. Secular trends in newborn sex ratios. *Early Hum Dev.* 2014;90(11):755-60.

218 2. Davis DL, Gottlieb MB, Stampnitzky JR. Reduced ratio of male to female births in
219 several industrial countries: a sentinel health indicator? *Jama.* 1998;279(13):1018-23.

220 3. Grech V, Masukume G. The sex ratio at birth in South Africa may be a sentinel health
221 indicator. *Early Hum Dev.* 2016;103:225-7.

- 222 4. Grech V. Historic Royal events and the male to female ratio at birth in the United
223 Kingdom. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2015;191:57-61.
- 224 5. Masukume G, O'Neill SM, Khashan AS, Kenny LC, Grech V. The Terrorist Attacks
225 and the Human Live Birth Sex Ratio: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Acta Medica*
226 *(Hradec Kralove).* 2017;60(2):59-65.
- 227 6. Grech V. Terrorist attacks and the male-to-female ratio at birth: The Troubles in
228 Northern Ireland, the Rodney King riots, and the Breivik and Sandy Hook shootings. *Early*
229 *Hum Dev.* 2015;91(12):837-40.
- 230 7. James WH, Grech V. A review of the established and suspected causes of variations
231 in human sex ratio at birth. *Early Hum Dev.* 2017;109:50-6.
- 232 8. Fukuda M, Fukuda K, Shimizu T, Møller H. Decline in sex ratio at birth after Kobe
233 earthquake. *Hum Reprod.* 1998;13(8):2321-2.
- 234 9. Bruckner TA, Lebreton É, Perrone N, Mortensen LH, Blondel B. Preterm birth and
235 selection in utero among males following the November 2015 Paris attacks. *Int J Epidemiol.*
236 2019;48(5):1614-22.
- 237 10. Grech V, Scherb H. No local birth sex ratio changes following the August 2019
238 shootings in Montgomery county, Ohio and in El Paso county, Texas. *Med Princ Pract.* 2021.
- 239 11. Abdoli A. The COVID-19 stress may influence on the sex ratio at birth. *J Matern*
240 *Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2020:1-6.
- 241 12. Jassat W, Mudara C, Ozougwu L, Tempia S, Blumberg L, Davies MA, et al.
242 Difference in mortality among individuals admitted to hospital with COVID-19 during the
243 first and second waves in South Africa: a cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2021;9(9):e1216-
244 e25.
- 245 13. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. *Acta Biomed.*
246 2020;91(1):157-60.

- 247 14. Ng R, Chow TYJ, Yang W. News media narratives of Covid-19 across 20 countries:
248 Early global convergence and later regional divergence. PLoS One. 2021;16(9):e0256358.
- 249 15. Abdool Karim SS. The South African Response to the Pandemic. N Engl J Med.
250 2020;382(24):e95.
- 251 16. Pillay-van Wyk V, Bradshaw D, Groenewald P, Seocharan I, Manda S, Roomaney
252 RA, et al. COVID deaths in South Africa: 99 days since South Africa's first death. S Afr Med
253 J. 2020;110(11):1093-9.
- 254 17. Statistics South Africa. Recorded live births 2020. Pretoria 2021.
- 255 18. Masukume G, Grech V. The sex ratio at birth in South Africa increased 9months after
256 the 2010 FIFA World Cup. Early Hum Dev. 2015;91(12):807-9.
- 257 19. Desai A. Migrants and violence in South Africa: The April 2015 xenophobic attacks
258 in Durban. The Oriental Anthropologist. 2015;15(2):247-59.
- 259 20. Howards PP. An overview of confounding. Part 1: the concept and how to address it.
260 Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2018;97(4):394-9.
- 261 21. Masukume G, Grech V, Scherb H. Reply: Analysis of the sex ratio at birth in South
262 Africa increased 9months after the 2010 FIFA World Cup. Early Hum Dev. 2016;97:11-3.
- 263 22. Trivers RL, Willard DE. Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of
264 offspring. Science. 1973;179(4068):90-2.
- 265 23. Catalano R, Bruckner T, Anderson E, Gould JB. Fetal death sex ratios: a test of the
266 economic stress hypothesis. Int J Epidemiol. 2005;34(4):944-8.
- 267 24. Budhram S, Vannevel V, Botha T, Chauke L, Bhoora S, Balie GM, et al. Maternal
268 characteristics and pregnancy outcomes of hospitalized pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2
269 infection in South Africa: An International Network of Obstetric Survey Systems-based
270 cohort study. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2021.

- 271 25. Lavin T, Allanson ER, Nedkoff L, Preen DB, Pattinson RC. Applying the
272 international classification of diseases to perinatal mortality data, South Africa. Bull World
273 Health Organ. 2018;96(12):806-16.
- 274 26. Tarisayi KS, Manik S. An unabating challenge: Media portrayal of xenophobia in
275 South Africa. Cogent Arts & Humanities. 2020;7(1):1859074.
- 276 27. Mavunga G. # FeesMustFall protests in South Africa: A critical realist analysis of
277 selected newspaper articles. Journal of Student Affairs in Africa. 2019;7(1):81-99.
- 278 28. Hart J. On ecological studies: a short communication. Dose Response. 2011;9(4):497-
279 501.
- 280 29. Pearce N. Epidemiology in a changing world: variation, causation and ubiquitous risk
281 factors. Int J Epidemiol. 2011;40(2):503-12.
- 282 30. Damian DJ, Njau B, Lisasi E, Msuya SE, Boulle A. Trends in maternal and neonatal
283 mortality in South Africa: a systematic review. Syst Rev. 2019;8(1):76.
- 284 31. Mahase E. Omicron: South Africa says fourth wave peak has passed as it lifts curfew.
285 Bmj. 2022;376:o7.
- 286 32. Marston HD, Paules CI, Fauci AS. The Critical Role of Biomedical Research in
287 Pandemic Preparedness. Jama. 2017;318(18):1757-8.
- 288 33. Cook S, Ulriksen MS. Social policy responses to COVID-19: New issues, old
289 solutions? Global Social Policy. 2021;21(3):381-95.

290

291

292

293

294

295

296 **S1 Table. Sex ratio at birth restricted from 2013-2016.**

Period	Male live births	Female live births	Sex ratio at birth
August 2013 + 2014	87,302	85,901	0.504
August 2015	40,335	39,777	0.503
	Pearsons's Chi-squared = 0.0691 P = 0.793		
January 2014 + 2015	90,339	88,510	0.505
January 2016	39,756	38,441	0.508
	Pearsons's Chi-squared = 2.3632 P = 0.124		

297