

Does the Heart Fall Asleep? – Diurnal Variations of Heart Rate Variability in Patients with Disorders of Consciousness

Monika Angerer ^{1,2*}, Frank H. Wilhelm ³, Michael Liedlgruber ³, Gerald Pichler ⁴, Birgit Angerer ⁵, Monika Scarpatetti ⁴, Christine Blume ^{6,7†} and Manuel Schabus ^{1,2†*}

¹ University of Salzburg; Department of Psychology; Laboratory for Sleep, Cognition and Consciousness Research; Salzburg, Austria

² University of Salzburg; Centre for Cognitive Neuroscience Salzburg (CCNS); Salzburg, Austria

³ University of Salzburg; Department of Psychology; Division of Clinical Psychology and Psychopathology; Salzburg, Austria; frank.wilhelm@plus.ac.at (F.W.); michael.liedlgruber@plus.ac.at (M.L.)

⁴ Geriatric Health Care Centres of the City of Graz; Graz, Austria; gerald.pichler@stadt.graz.at (G.P.); monika.scarpatetti@stadt.graz.at (Mo.S.)

⁵ Private Practice for General Medicine and Neurology; Leibnitz, Austria; leibnitz@braindoc.at

⁶ Centre for Chronobiology; Psychiatric Hospital of the University of Basel; Basel, Switzerland; christine.blume@unibas.ch

⁷ Transfaculty Research Platform Molecular and Cognitive Neurosciences; University of Basel; Basel, Switzerland

† Christine Blume and Manuel Schabus contributed equally to this work.

* Correspondence: monika.angerer@plus.ac.at (M.A.), manuel.schabus@plus.ac.at (Ma.S.)

Missing Data

Table S1. Amount of missing data from each subject separately for time (i.e., forenoon [8am-2pm], afternoon [2pm-8pm], night [11pm-5am]).

Patient ID	Forenoon		Afternoon		Night	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
P1	0	0	0	0	0	0
P2	23	6.39	0	0	0	0
P3	6	1.67	0	0	0	0
P4	7	1.94	0	0	0	0
P5	0	0	0	0	0	0
P6	0	0	21	5.83	0	0
P7	0	0	10	2.78	0	0
P8	0	0	0	0	26	7.22
P9	0	0	0	0	0	0
P10	1	0.28	0	0	0	0
P11	2	0.56	0	0	0	0
P12	0	0	0	0	0	0
P13	0	0	0	0	0	0
P14	0	0	0	0	0	0
P15	0	0	0	0	0	0
P16	0	0	0	0	0	0
P17	0	0	0	0	0	0
P18	0	0	0	0	0	0
P19	0	0	0	0	0	0
P20	0	0	0	0	0	0
P21	0	0	0	0	0	0
P22	0	0	0	0	0	0
P23	0	0	0	0	0	0
P24	0	0	0	0	0	0
P25	0	0	0	0	0	0
P26	0	0	0	0	0	0

Frequency refers to the amount of one-minute segments that are missing. Percentage describes the amount of missing one-minute segments in its relation to the total file length of six hours (i.e., 360 one-minute segments). Missing data is highlighted in grey.

Normality Test Results

Table S2. Shapiro-Wilk tests (p -values) for normality for each variable separately for time (i.e., forenoon [8am-2pm], afternoon [2pm-8pm], night [11pm-5am]).

Variable	Forenoon	Afternoon	Night
Interbeat interval	.436	.551	.729
Heart rate	.054	.061	.025
RMSSD	.013	.003	.194
Very low frequency	.012	.075	.281
Low frequency	.005	.017	.497
High frequency	.001	.008	.004
Approximate entropy	.907	.162	.193

DfaAlpha		.067	.002	.352
Hurst exponent		.162	.056	.061
Sample entropy 1		.001	< .001	< .001
Sample entropy 2		.212	.703	.469
Sample entropy 3		.054	.693	.603
Sample entropy 4		.092	.687	.705
Sample entropy 5		.079	.652	.916
EEG permutation entropy			.219	.681
CRS-R sum score	< .001			
CRS-R auditory subscale score	< .001			
CRS-R visual subscale score	< .001			
CRS-R motor subscale score	< .001			
CRS-R oroverbal subscale score	< .001			
CRS-R communication subscale score	< .001			
CRS-R arousal subscale score	< .001			
Age	< .001			
Time since injury	< .001			

P-values for EEG permutation entropy refer to day (i.e., 8am-8pm) and night (i.e., 11pm-5am). *P*-values for CRS-R scores, age and time since injury refer to all time conditions (i.e., forenoon, afternoon, and night). Significant *p*-values (i.e. $p < .05$), which indicate that the data is not normal distributed, are marked in grey. Abbreviations: RMSSD = root mean square of successive differences between adjacent heartbeats, DfaAlpha = detrended fluctuation analysis scaling exponent.

Results

Heart Rate

Analyses of the heart rate (HR) of 26 patients revealed a trend towards a main effect for *time* ($F_{WTS}(2)=7.28$, $p=.053$) and a significant effect for *diagnosis* ($F_{WTS}(1)=5.1$, $p=.039$), but no significant *time* \times *diagnosis* interaction ($F_{WTS}(2)=1.42$, $p=.515$). Specifically, patients showed a lower HR during night as compared to forenoon ($F_{WTS}(1)=8.26$, $p=.021$) and afternoon ($F_{WTS}(1)=6.64$, $p=.024$). No differences could be observed in the patients' HR between fore- and afternoon ($F_{WTS}(1)=0.002$, $p=.967$; cf. *Figure S1a*). Further, patients with UWS showed a lower HR as compared to patients with (E)MCS ($F_{WTS}(1)=5.1$, $p=.039$; cf. *Figure S1b*). This effect was also visible when correlating HR and CRS-R sum scores of 25 patients, showing that lower CRS-R sum scores were associated with lower HR during forenoon ($r\tau(23)=0.34$, $p=.02$; cf. *Figure S2a*), afternoon ($r\tau(23)=0.38$, $p=.009$; cf. *Figure S2b*) and night ($r\tau(23)=0.28$, $p=.056$; $b=1.41$, 95% CI = [0.10, 2.72]; cf. *Figure S2c*).




Figure S1. Heart rate (HR) separately for time and diagnosis contrasts. **(a)** While patients' HR was lower during the night as compared to the day (i.e., forenoon, afternoon), it did not differ between fore- and afternoon. **(b)** Patients with UWS showed a lower HR than patients with (E)MCS. Error bars represent the mean and 95% confidence

interval. * $p < .05$, ns = not significant. Abbreviations: (E)MCS = (emergence from) minimally conscious state, UWS = unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, beats/min = heartbeats per minute.




Figure S2. Correlation between heart rate (HR) and CRS-R sum score separately for time. A higher HR was associated with a higher CRS-R sum score throughout the (a, b) day (i.e., forenoon, afternoon) and (c) night. ** $p < .01$, * $p < .05$, * $p \leq .1$. Abbreviations: (E)MCS = (emergence from) minimally conscious state, UWS = unresponsive wakefulness syndrome, beats/min = heartbeats per minute.

Etiology

Table S3. Wald-type statistic (WTS) of the different HRV parameters separately for the main effects ‘time’ (i.e., forenoon [8am-2pm], afternoon [2pm-8pm], night [11pm-5am]) and ‘etiology’ (i.e., traumatic vs. non-traumatic brain injury), and the ‘time × etiology’ interaction ($N=26$).

Variable	Time	Etiology	Time × Etiology
Interbeat interval	$F_{WTS}(2)=7.37, p=.050$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.08, p=.778$	$F_{WTS}(2)=5.58, p=.088$
Heart rate	$F_{WTS}(2)=7.82, p=.041$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.00, p=.949$	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.33, p=.220$
RMSSD	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.50, p=.789$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.00, p=.961$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.51, p=.502$
Very low frequency	$F_{WTS}(2)=7.47, p=.046$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.22, p=.648$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.19, p=.368$
Low frequency	$F_{WTS}(2)=6.59, p=.065$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.02, p=.893$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.90, p=.268$
High frequency	$F_{WTS}(2)=4.08, p=.178$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.24, p=.619$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.16, p=.382$
Approximate entropy	$F_{WTS}(2)=20.74, p=.001$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.30, p=.575$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.90, p=.277$
DfaAlpha	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.44, p=.816$	$F_{WTS}(1)=4.59, p=.043$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.29, p=.552$
Hurst exponent	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.36, p=.335$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.09, p=.772$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.01, p=.400$
Sample entropy 1	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.41, p=.830$	$F_{WTS}(1)=4.36, p=.049$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.22, p=.579$
Sample entropy 2	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.79, p=.193$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.51, p=.477$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.04, p=.396$
Sample entropy 3	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.4, p=.226$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.03, p=.316$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.34, p=.543$
Sample entropy 4	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.26, p=.239$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.07, p=.304$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.68, p=.734$
Sample entropy 5	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.43, p=.338$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.09, p=.300$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.78, p=.701$

Significant p -values (i.e. $p < .05$) are marked in grey. Abbreviations: RMSSD = root mean square of successive differences between adjacent heartbeats, DfaAlpha = detrended fluctuation analysis scaling exponent.

Sex

Table S4. Wald-type statistic (WTS) of the different HRV parameters separately for the main effects ‘time’ (i.e., forenoon [8am-2pm], afternoon [2pm-8pm], night [11pm-5am]), ‘sex’ (i.e., male vs. female), and the ‘time × sex’ interaction ($N=26$).

Variable	Time	Sex	Time × Sex
Interbeat interval	$F_{WTS}(2)=4.66, p=.156$	$F_{WTS}(1)=2.36, p=.143$	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.89, p=.205$

Heart rate	$F_{WTS}(2)=6.06, p=.099$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.96, p=.176$	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.82, p=.217$
RMSSD	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.18, p=.920$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.44, p=.514$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.41, p=.547$
Very low frequency	$F_{WTS}(2)=12.21, p=.014$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.09, p=.773$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.11, p=.950$
Low frequency	$F_{WTS}(2)=12.08, p=.015$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.53, p=.478$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.05, p=.977$
High frequency	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.1, p=.622$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.45, p=.242$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.82, p=.310$
Approximate entropy	$F_{WTS}(2)=22.29, p=.002$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.26, p=.607$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.27, p=.383$
DfaAlpha	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.05, p=.975$	$F_{WTS}(1)=3.92, p=.062$	$F_{WTS}(2)=4.89, p=.135$
Hurst exponent	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.59, p=.495$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.45, p=.507$	$F_{WTS}(2)=8.47, p=.044$
Sample entropy 1	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.3, p=.883$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.45, p=.253$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.78, p=.325$
Sample entropy 2	$F_{WTS}(2)=4.51, p=.159$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.00, p=.985$	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.17, p=.394$
Sample entropy 3	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.57, p=.229$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.04, p=.858$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.89, p=.443$
Sample entropy 4	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.19, p=.268$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.05, p=.824$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.41, p=.537$
Sample entropy 5	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.01, p=.428$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.08, p=.792$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.39, p=.541$

Abbreviations: RMSSD = root mean square of successive differences between adjacent heartbeats, DfaAlpha = detrended fluctuation analysis scaling exponent.

Entropy Parameters

Table S5. Wald-type statistic (WTS) of the different entropy parameters separately for the main effects ‘time’ (i.e., forenoon [8am-2pm], afternoon [2pm-8pm], night [11pm-5am]) and ‘diagnosis’ (i.e., E/MCS vs. UWS), and the ‘time × diagnosis’ interaction ($N=26$).

Variable	Time	Diagnosis	Time × Diagnosis
DfaAlpha	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.48, p=.807$	$F_{WTS}(1)=1.53, p=.228$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.71, p=.721$
Hurst exponent	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.05, p=.257$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.22, p=.646$	$F_{WTS}(2)=1.08, p=.604$
Sample entropy 1	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.40, p=.841$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.44, p=.518$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.24, p=.901$
Sample entropy 2	$F_{WTS}(2)=4.27, p=.161$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.07, p=.791$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.05, p=.980$
Sample entropy 3	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.97, p=.178$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.01, p=.941$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.06, p=.972$
Sample entropy 4	$F_{WTS}(2)=3.71, p=.197$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.12, p=.737$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.09, p=.958$
Sample entropy 5	$F_{WTS}(2)=2.93, p=.271$	$F_{WTS}(1)=0.21, p=.650$	$F_{WTS}(2)=0.01, p=.996$

Abbreviation: DfaAlpha = detrended fluctuation analysis scaling exponent.

EEG Entropy

Analyses of the EEG permutation entropy (PE) of 14 patients revealed a significant main effect for *time* ($F_{WTS}(2)=9.79, p=.011$) with patients showing higher PE during the day (i.e., 8am-8pm) as compared to the night (i.e., 11pm-5am), and a significant *time × diagnosis* interaction ($F_{WTS}(2)=5.39, p=.041$), but no significant main effect for *diagnosis* ($F_{WTS}(1)=0.12, p=.733$). Specifically, while patients with (E)MCS showed a lower PE during the night than during the day ($F_{WTS}(1)=21.87, p=.002$), no such day-night difference was observed in patients with UWS ($F_{WTS}(1)=0.25, p=.631$). This has already been shown in [25]. We ran the analyses again as we only use a subsample of the dataset here.