Risk Factors for Early PICC Removal: A Retrospective Study of Adult Inpatients at an Academic Medical Center

Burton H. Shen, MD^{1,2}, Lindsey Mahoney, MD^{1,2}, Janine Molino, PhD³ Leonard Mermel, DO, ScM^{1,2,4*}

¹Department of Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University

²Department of Medicine, Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI

³Lifespan Biostatistics Core, Lifespan Hospital System

⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI

*** Corresponding author**

Dr. Leonard Mermel, Division of Infectious Diseases, Rhode Island Hospital, 593 Eddy St., Providence, RI 02903; phone 401-444-2608; fax 401-444-8154; e-mail lmermel@lifespan.org

Short Title: Risk Factors for Early PICC Removal

Type of Article: Clinical Research Study

Keywords: PICC, Hospital Policy, Infectious Diseases, Quality Improvement

Funding Source: No external funding for this manuscript

Potential Conflicts of Interest: Dr. Mermel serves as a consultant for Light Line Medical Citius Pharmaceutical, and Destiny Pharma. The other authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Word Count: 1544

Abstract

Background

Use of PICCs has been rising since 2001. They are used when long-term intravenous access is needed and for blood draws in patients with difficult venous access.

Objective

To determine which risk factors contribute to inappropriate PICC line insertion defined as removal of a PICC within five days of insertion for reasons other than a PICC complication.

Design

Retrospective, observational study.

Setting

Tertiary-care, Level 1 trauma center.

Patients

Adult patients with a PICC removed 1/1/2017 to 5/4/2020.

Measurements

Frequency of PICC removal within five days of insertion and associated risk factors for early removal.

Results

Between 1/1/17 and 5/4/2020, 995 of 5348 PICCs inserted by the IV nursing team were removed within five days (19%). In 2017, 5 of 429 PICCs developed a central line-associated infection (1.2%) and 29 of 429 PICCs developed symptomatic venous thromboembolism (6.7%). Patients with PICCs whose primary service was a medical subspecialty were independently at higher risk of early removal (OR 1.44, 95% CI 1.14, 1.83); weekday insertion was independently associated with a lower likelihood of early removal compared to weekend insertion (OR 0.60; 95% CI 0.49, 0.75).

Limitation

PICC removal after discharge was not assessed and paper records were likely incomplete and biased.

Conclusion

Nearly one in five PICCs were removed within five days. Patients whose primary team was a medical subspecialty were at independently higher risk of early removal.

Introduction

Peripherally-inserted central catheters (PICCs) are an important component of medical care.(1, 2) They are frequently utilized when long-term intravenous (IV) access is required for antibiotic administration, medications requiring central venous access, parenteral nutrition, chemotherapy, or frequent blood draws in patients with difficult venous access.(3) Use of PICCs has been rising since 2001 due to their increased availability, ease of insertion and safety compared with central venous catheters (CVCs) inserted at other sites, and durability over extended periods of time;(1, 4, 5) however, the average dwell time for PICCs has been decreasing.(5)

In hospitalized patients, insertion of a PICC for infusion of peripherally-compatible fluids, frequent phlebotomy, or difficult venous access is considered inappropriate if the expected duration of catheterization is five days or less.(2) However, infusion of irritants or vesicants such as parenteral nutrition or chemotherapy is appropriate for any proposed duration.(2) Two prospective, multi-center studies found that approximately 25% of PICCs in hospitalized patients had dwell times of five days or less.(3, 6) Known complications from PICCs include venous thromboembolism (VTE), central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI), exit site infection, catheter lumen occlusion, and catheter tip migration, all of which are a cause of significant morbidity, potential mortality, and increased healthcare cost.(7-11)

Mitigating inappropriate, short-term use of PICCs has the potential for harm reduction and cost-savings. The goals of this study were to assess: frequency and risk factors associated with catheter dwell time of five or fewer days; complications resulting from PICC use and determine

if these complications were associated with the number of catheter lumens; and to assess changes in PICC dwell time during the study period.

Methods

Study Design

We performed a retrospective, observational study at Rhode Island Hospital, a tertiary-care, Level 1 trauma center licensed for 719 beds. Data were collected from two sources: paper records collected by our IV nursing team and the electronic health record (EHR). The IV nursing team kept paper records of PICC insertions and removals through 12/31/2017. On 1/1/2018, the IV nursing team transitioned to full utilization of the EHR. Two reviewers (BHS, LM) used the paper records from 1/1/17 to 12/31/17 to identify any patient who had a PICC removed during their hospital stay. The correct patient and hospital encounter was then identified in the EHR. We identified patient demographics and characteristics including age, gender, race, and body mass index (BMI) at the time of PICC insertion. We identified the service team overseeing the patient's care at the time the PICC was ordered. Possible care teams included internal medicine (teaching service), hospital medicine (non-teaching service), general surgery, orthopedic surgery, neurosurgery, other medicine subspecialty, or other surgical subspecialty service. Podiatry, otolaryngology, plastic surgery, orthopedic surgery, neurosurgery, and dental surgery are all separate training programs from other surgical subspecialties. Among these, orthopedics and neurosurgery are the only ones that regularly admit patients to their service at our hospital; the other services admit to the medicine service for co-management.

We also identified characteristics of each PICC including number of lumens, indications for insertion and removal, order date, and time of insertion and removal. Lastly, we identified any PICC-associated complications. Symptomatic venous thromboembolism (VTE) of the extremity used for PICC placement and CLABSI were considered major complications. Symptomatic VTE was defined as any patient with a PICC who developed swelling, redness or pain that prompted imaging and which confirmed a thrombus. For CLABSI, we used the CDC National Healthcare Safety Network (CDC/NHSN) definition.⁽¹²⁾ Minor complications included catheter occlusion, superficial thrombosis, mechanical complications such as kinking or coiling of the catheter, exit site infection, or catheter tip migration. All the information collected was recorded and stored in a REDCap database. Data from 1/1/2018 to 5/4/2020 was obtained exclusively from the EHR. Data collected during this time included patient demographic information and objective PICC data including number of lumens, order date and time, insertion date and time, and removal date and time. We were unable to obtain the service team at the time of insertion, indications for PICC insertion, or complications related to the PICC since this free text data is difficult to obtain from an automated data pull. An EHR automated data pull was also obtained for the January 2017-December 2017 time period to compare with and validate the manually collected data. The Lifespan Institutional Review Board approved this project.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inpatients at least 18 years of age who had a PICC inserted by our IV nursing team on or after 1/1/2017 and removed before 5/4/2020 were included in this study. Patients were excluded if their PICC was placed at an outside hospital or by other services (e.g., interventional radiology),

as these PICCs were not included in the paper records kept by the IV nursing team. PICCs removed due to complications were not excluded.

Statistical Analysis

Data were imported into SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) for data management and hypothesis testing. The assessment of complications related to PICC use was based on PICCs inserted on or after 1/1/17 and removed before 12/31/17 collected from paper records. The assessment of risk factors for dwell time of five days or fewer was based on EHR data of PICCs inserted on or after 1/1/17 and removed before 5/4/20. Descriptive statistics were obtained for the study sample characteristics. Mean and standard deviation were reported for the continuous variables while frequency and percentage were reported for the binary and categorical variables. The prevalence of PICCs placed that were removed within 5 days, as well as the prevalence of major and minor PICC complications, were reported. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used (1) to examine the factors associated with PICCs removed within five days of insertion (GEE with a binomial distribution and logit link); (2) to examine which PICC complications were associated with the number of lumens (GEE with a negative binomial distribution and logit link); and (3) to examine whether the rate of early removal changed over time (GEE with a binomial distribution and logit link). Classical sandwich estimators were used to protect against possible model misspecification. A p-value < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

Results

Approximately one-third of PICCs were placed in patients on a medical subspecialty service and over half of the catheters were double lumen PICCs (Table 1).

Table 1: Characteristics of patients and PICCs

Characteristic	1/1/2017-12/31/2017	1/1/2017-5/4/2020
N	429	7358
Age, mean (SD)	57 (17)	60 (17)
BMI, mean (SD)	28 (10)	30 (9)
Gender, n (%)		
Female	209 (49)	3368 (46)
Male	220 (51)	3990 (54)
Race, n (%)		
White	324 (76)	5846 (80)
Black	37 (9)	632 (9)
Other	57 (13)	838 (11)
Unknown	11 (2.6)	42 (0.6)
Have co-morbidities, n (%)	N/A	5771 (78)
Lumens, n (%)		
1	41 (10)	1991 (27)
2	333 (78)	4741 (64)
3	55 (13)	626 (9)
Lumens, mean (SD)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.6)
Days in, mean (SD)	42 (481)	57 (124)
Service team, n (%)		
General surgery	46 (11)	690 (16)
Hospital medicine (non-teaching)	59 (14)	N/A
Internal medicine	51 (12)	N/A
Medicine subspecialty	132 (31)	1700 (40)

Neurosurgery	20 (5)	320 (8)
Orthopedic surgery	21 (5)	561 (13)
Other	100 (23)	967 (23)
Day of the week, n (%)		
Sunday	56 (13)	868 (12)
Monday	77 (18)	1159 (16)
Tuesday	62 (15)	1128 (15)
Wednesday	55 (13)	1062 (14)
Thursday	60 (14)	1146 (16)
Friday	62 (15)	1131 (15)
Saturday	57 (13)	864 (12)
Weekend day*, n (%)	175 (41)	2863 (39)
No. Complications, mean (SD)	0.4 (0.6)	N/A
No. of Major complications, mean (SD)	0.1 (0.3)	N/A
No. Minor complications, mean (SD)	0.3 (0.5)	N/A

*Weekend day defined as Friday, Saturday, and Sunday

Female gender was associated with early removal in three of the four models. From 1/1/17 through 5/4/20, 995 of 5348 PICCs were removed within five days (19%, Table 2).

Table 2: Outcomes of patients with PICCs

Outcome	1/1/2017- 12/31/2017	1/1/2017- 5/4/2020
PICC removal within 5 days of insertion	141/ 429 (33%)	995/5348 (19%)*
Symptomatic venous thromboembolism	29/ 429 (7%)	N/A
Central line-associated bloodstream infection	5/ 429 (1%)	N/A
Catheter occlusion	77/ 429 (18%)	N/A

Superficial venous thrombosis	7/ 429 (2%)	N/A
Mechanical complication	13/ 429 (3%)	N/A
Exit site infection	0/ 429 (0%)	N/A
Catheter tip migration	44/ 429 (10%)	N/A
Number without major or minor PICC complications	303/ 429 (71%)	N/A

Note: *Missing data for 2010 patients due to no PICC removal date in the EHR

Medical subspecialties were independently associated with a higher likelihood of early PICC removal (OR 1.44, 95% CI 1.14, 1.83), while weekday insertion (Monday through Thursday) was independently associated with a lower likelihood of early removal (OR 0.60; 95% CI 0.49, 0.75, Table 3). Complications for all PICCs inserted were not associated with the number of PICC lumens (Table 4).

Table 3: Potential risk factors associated with early PICC removal by multivariable analysis

Characteristic	OR (95% CI)	P
Female	1.16 (0.96, 1.40)	0.13
Age		
BMI	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.39
White		
Co-morbidity		
Team		<.001
General surgery	0.95 (0.71, 1.28)	
Hospital medicine	N/A	
Internal medicine	N/A	
Med subspecialty	1.44 (1.14, 1.83)	

Neurosurgery	0.96 (0.63, 1.45)	
Orthopedic surgery	0.66 (0.43, 1.01)	
Other	<i>Reference</i>	
PICC lumens	1.00 (0.84, 1.20)	0.97
Indication		
Antibiotics	N/A	
Chemotherapy	N/A	
Difficult venous access	N/A	
Long term access	N/A	
Meds requiring central access	N/A	
Incompatible fluids	N/A	
Parenteral nutrition	N/A	
Unknown	N/A	
Multiple	N/A	
No. complications	N/A	
Day of week		<0.001
Sunday	<i>Reference</i>	
Monday	0.65 (0.46, 0.91)	
Tuesday	0.60 (0.42, 0.85)	
Wednesday	0.52 (0.36, 0.75)	
Thursday	0.62 (0.44, 0.88)	
Friday	0.56 (0.39, 0.79)	
Saturday	0.96 (0.67, 1.36)	

Table 4: Complications associated with number of PICC lumens in the 2017 Manual Chart Review Data*

Complication	IRR	95% CI	P
Symptomatic VTE	1.02	(0.94, 1.11)	0.66
CLABSI	0.88	(0.73, 1.08)	0.24
Catheter occlusion	1.04	(0.99, 1.08)	0.14
Catheter tip migration	0.99	(0.92, 1.08)	0.90
No. complications	1.02	(0.98,1.05)	0.35

*Based on univariable GEEs and lumens treated as a count (i.e., 1, 2, or 3 PICC lumens)

Discussion

Nearly one in five PICCs were removed within five days, similar to prior studies. (3)

Patients whose primary team was a medical subspecialty such as hematology-oncology or critical care, were at greater risk of having early PICC removal. This is not unexpected, as a PICC may be used for chemotherapy for fewer than five days, or for critical care of a patient whose condition improves within five days of insertion. Approximately one in three PICC removals were on medical subspecialty services, though we did not separate which were on critical care or hematology-oncology services. We found that dwell times may differ based on day of the week, or whether it is a weekday or weekend. When the order for PICC insertion occurred on a weekday, there was a significantly lower likelihood of early PICC removal. This may reflect PICC insertion during the weekend when there was limited phlebotomy services and nursing assistance and PICC removal the following weekdays when services for peripheral IV placement, phlebotomy are more available.

We could not confirm that the number of PICC lumens is associated with increased risk of complications such as CLABSI; however, our study may have been underpowered to assess for these outcome measures.(13)

Data for this study was shared with the hospital administration leading to a change in the name of the IV team to the vascular access team and a change in hospital policy such that practitioners were no longer able to order PICC for insertion by the team. Instead, providers were able to order a vascular access consult so the team could assess the patient based on information provided and medical record review to make the best decision regarding the most appropriate vascular access for the patient. We are tracking vascular access to assess the impact of these changes in hopes of reducing early PICC removal and improving patient outcomes.

Our study has a number of limitations. The paper records in 2017 were incomplete due to user omission, absent medical record numbers, and difficulty with handwriting. Additionally, there is likely selection bias regarding which PICC removals were documented. It is unclear what direction the selection bias may lean towards, as there are myriad reasons why documenting could have been variable on any given day. Since the paper records did not include all patients whose PICC was removed, complications may be over or under represented. We only assessed PICCs that were removed during hospitalization. Thus, we did not assess the many PICCs removed from patients after hospital discharge.

References

1. Chopra V, Flanders SA, Saint S. The problem with peripherally inserted central catheters. *JAMA*. 2012;308(15):1527-8.
2. Chopra V, Flanders SA, Saint S, Woller SC, O'Grady NP, Safdar N, et al. The Michigan Appropriateness Guide for Intravenous Catheters (MAGIC): Results From a Multispecialty Panel Using the RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2015;163(6 Suppl):S1-40.
3. Paje D, Conlon A, Kaatz S, Swaminathan L, Boldenow T, Bernstein SJ, et al. Patterns and Predictors of Short-Term Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter Use: A Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. *J Hosp Med*. 2018;13(2):76-82.
4. Al Raiy B, Fakih MG, Bryan-Nomides N, Hopfner D, Riegel E, Nenninger T, et al. Peripherally inserted central venous catheters in the acute care setting: A safe alternative to high-risk short-term central venous catheters. *Am J Infect Control*. 2010;38(2):149-53.
5. Gibson C, Connolly BL, Moinuddin R, Mahant S, Filipescu D, Amaral JG. Peripherally inserted central catheters: use at a tertiary care pediatric center. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2013;24(9):1323-31.
6. Chopra V, Smith S, Swaminathan L, Boldenow T, Kaatz S, Bernstein SJ, et al. Variations in Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter Use and Outcomes in Michigan Hospitals. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2016;176(4):548-51.
7. Ajenjo MC, Morley JC, Russo AJ, McMullen KM, Robinson C, Williams RC, et al. Peripherally inserted central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections in hospitalized adult patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2011;32(2):125-30.
8. Chopra V, Anand S, Krein SL, Chenoweth C, Saint S. Bloodstream infection, venous thrombosis, and peripherally inserted central catheters: reappraising the evidence. *Am J Med*. 2012;125(8):733-41.
9. Chopra V, O'Horo JC, Rogers MA, Maki DG, Safdar N. The risk of bloodstream infection associated with peripherally inserted central catheters compared with central venous catheters in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2013;34(9):908-18.
10. Chopra V, Anand S, Hickner A, Buist M, Rogers MA, Saint S, et al. Risk of venous thromboembolism associated with peripherally inserted central catheters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9889):311-25.
11. Herc E, Patel P, Washer LL, Conlon A, Flanders SA, Chopra V. A Model to Predict Central-Line-Associated Bloodstream Infection Among Patients With Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters: The MPC Score. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2017;38(10):1155-66.
12. CDC. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Patient Safety Component Manual: Center for Disease Control; 2022 [Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/pcsmanual_current.pdf].
13. Chopra V, Ratz D, Kuhn L, Lopus T, Chenoweth C, Krein S. PICC-associated bloodstream infections: prevalence, patterns, and predictors. *Am J Med*. 2014;127(4):319-28.