Anti-spike antibody trajectories in individuals previously immunised with BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 following a BNT162b2 booster dose

Alexei Yavlinsky¹, Sarah Beale^{1,2}, Vincent Nguyen^{1,2}, Madhumita Shrotri¹, Thomas Byrne¹, Cyril Geismar¹, Ellen Fragaszy^{1,3}, Susan Hoskins², Wing Lam Erica Fong¹, Annalan M D Navaratnam^{1,2}, Isobel Braithwaite¹, Parth Patel¹, Jana Kovar², Andrew Hayward² and Robert W Aldridge¹

1. Centre for Public Health Data Science, Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, UK, NW1 2DA.
2. Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, University College London, London, UK, WC1E 7HB.
3. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, UK, WC1E 7HT.

Abstract

The two most commonly-used SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in the UK, BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Oxford-AstraZeneca), employ different immunogenic mechanisms. Compared to BNT162b2, two-dose immunisation with ChAdOx1 induces substantially lower peak anti-spike antibody (anti-S) levels and is associated with a higher risk of breakthrough infections. To provide preliminary indication of how a third booster BNT162b2 dose impacts anti-S levels, we performed a cross-sectional analysis using capillary blood samples from vaccinated adults (aged ≥ 18 years) participating in Virus Watch, a prospective community cohort study in England and Wales. Blood samples were analysed using Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S immunoassay. We analysed anti-S levels by week since the third dose for vaccines administered on or after September 1, 2021 and stratified the results by second dose vaccine type (ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2), age, sex and clinical vulnerability. Anti-S levels peaked at two weeks post-booster for BNT162b2 (22,185 U/mL; 95%CI: 21,406-22,990) and ChAdOx1 second dose recipients (19,203 U/mL; 95%CI: 18,094-20,377). These were higher than the corresponding peak antibody levels post-second dose for BNT162b2 (12,386 U/mL; 95%CI: 9,801-15,653, week 2) and ChAdOx1 (1,192 U/mL; 95%CI: 818-1735, week 3). No differences emerged by second dose vaccine type, age, sex or clinical vulnerability. Anti-S levels declined post-booster for BNT162b2 (half-life=44 days) and ChAdOx1 second dose recipients (half-life=40 days). These rates of decline were steeper than those post-second dose for BNT162b2 (half-life=54 days) and ChAdOx1 (half-life=80 days). Our findings suggest that peak anti-S levels are higher post-booster than post-second dose, but that levels are projected to be similar after six months for BNT162b2 recipients. Higher peak anti-S levels post-booster may partially explain the increased effectiveness of booster vaccination compared to two-dose vaccination against symptomatic infection with the Omicron variant. Faster waning trajectories post third-dose may have implications for the timing of future booster campaigns or four-dose vaccination regimens for the clinically vulnerable.

Introduction

Breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infections in individuals who have received two doses of a COVID-19 vaccine are now routinely reported [1,2]. These are partially attributed to anti-spike antibody (anti-S) waning within several months of receiving the second dose and to the emergence of new variants [3–6]. Consequently, the UK government initiated a booster vaccination campaign, initially targeting people over the age 50, adults deemed clinically vulnerable to severe COVID-19 outcomes, care home residents, frontline health workers, and household contacts of immunocompromised individuals [7]. This was subsequently expanded to the entire adult population following the emergence of the immune-evasive Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant [8].

The two most commonly-used vaccines in the UK, BNT162b2 (Pfizer–BioNTech) and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Oxford–AstraZeneca), employ different immunogenic mechanisms. Compared to BNT162b2, two-dose immunisation with ChAdOx1 induces substantially lower peak antibody levels and is associated with a higher risk of breakthrough infections [9].

To provide preliminary indication of how a third booster BNT162b2 dose impacts anti-S levels, we performed a cross-sectional analysis using capillary blood samples from vaccinated adults (aged ≥ 18 years) participating in Virus Watch, a prospective community cohort study in England and Wales [10]. Virus Watch received ethical approval from the Hampstead NHS Health Research Authority Ethics Committee (20/HRA/2320).

Methods

Sera were tested for antibodies to the S1 subunit of the wild-type SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (range 0.4–25 000 units per mL [U/mL]) using the Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S immunoassay, and for antibodies to the full-length nucleocapsid protein as a proxy for prior SARS-CoV-2 infection (specificity 99.8% [99.3–100]) using the N electro-chemiluminescent immunoassay (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Serological results were linked with demographic and clinical information collected at registration and with weekly self-reported vaccination status.

We analysed anti-S levels by week since the third dose for vaccines administered on or after September 1, 2021 and stratified the results by second dose vaccine type (ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2), age, sex and clinical vulnerability (defined in Tables S2 and S3). Individuals who had previously submitted blood samples that were seropositive for anti-Nucleocapsid antibodies were excluded. We also compared anti-S levels post-second dose to those post-booster. To avoid ceiling effects due to samples falling above the 25,000 U/mL threshold, for each week we computed the median value of log anti-S levels as an approximation of the geometric mean. This median value remains accurate as on any given week over 50% of the post-booster samples had antibody levels below the 25,000 U/ml threshold. Median absolute difference in the log space was then used to approximate the standard error for calculation of 95% confidence

intervals around the medians [11]. Anti-S level half-lives were obtained by performing linear regression on the log values of the weekly median estimates.

Results

In the post-booster cohort, 4,682 adults submitted one or more valid samples between August 18, 2021 and January 6, 2022 (7,406 total samples). In the post-second dose cohort, 8,680 adults submitted one or more valid samples between July 1, 2021 and January 6, 2022 (24,271 total samples). Table 1 in the supplementary material appendix shows demographic and clinical characteristics of the two cohorts.

Anti-S levels peaked at two weeks post-booster for BNT162b2 (22,185 U/mL; 95%CI: 21,406-22,990) and ChAdOx1 second dose recipients (19,203 U/mL; 95%CI: 18,094-20,377). These were higher than the corresponding peak antibody levels post-second dose for BNT162b2 (12,386 U/mL; 95%CI: 9,801-15,653, week 2) and ChAdOx1 (1,192 U/mL; 95%CI: 818-1735, week 3) (Figure 1). As 41.3% of BNT162b2 and 33.6% of ChAdOx1 peak-level samples equalled or exceeded the 25,000 U/mL measurement threshold (Supplementary Figure S1), the width of the corresponding confidence intervals may be underestimated and the lack of overlap should be interpreted cautiously; median estimates are unaffected. No differences emerged by second dose vaccine type, age, sex or clinical vulnerability (Figure 1 and Figure S2).

Anti-S levels declined post-booster for BNT162b2 (half-life=44 days) and ChAdOx1 second dose recipients (half-life=40 days). These rates of decline were steeper than those post-second dose for BNT162b2 (half-life=54 days) and ChAdOx1 (half-life=80 days). For BNT162b2 second dose recipients, median anti-S levels at 26 weeks were predicted to be 1,487 U/mL post-booster compared to 1,285 U/mL at 26 weeks after the second dose.

Discussion

Our findings suggest that peak anti-S levels are higher post-booster than post-second dose, but that levels are projected to be similar after six months for BNT162b2 recipients. No differences in post-booster antibody levels emerged by second dose vaccine type. This finding contrasts with antibody responses post-second dose, which were substantially lower for ChAdOx1 than BNT162b2 recipients. The magnitude and trajectory of post-booster anti-S response was similar across age groups and by clinical vulnerability status. Higher peak anti-S levels post-booster may partially explain the increased effectiveness of booster vaccination compared to two-dose vaccination against symptomatic infection with the Omicron variant.

We measured antibody levels using an assay developed against earlier strains of COVID-19 and did not measure neutralising antibody levels. Due to immune escape, higher circulating

antibody levels are likely to be needed to protect against Omicron variant infection compared to previous strains. It should also be noted that immune mechanisms other than circulating antibody levels such as T and B cell memory responses are likely to partially mediate protection against severe disease [12,13].

Anti-S levels also appeared to wane faster following the booster dose; however, ten weeks after vaccination these remained above previously-estimated thresholds for breakthrough infection with the Delta variant due higher peak levels [9]. Thresholds for breakthrough infection with the Omicron variant are currently unknown. Faster waning trajectories post third-dose may have implications for the timing of future booster campaigns or four-dose vaccination regimens for the clinically vulnerable. Despite a faster waning trajectory, booster vaccination appears to substantially enhance anti-S levels - and likely consequent protection against symptomatic infection and severe outcomes - to a uniform degree across age and clinical risk groups.

ACH serves on the UK New and Emerging Respiratory Virus Threats Advisory Group. All other authors declare no competing interests. The Virus Watch study is supported by the MRC Grant Ref: MC_PC 19070 awarded to UCL on 30 March 2020 and MRC Grant Ref: MR/V028375/1 awarded on 17 August 2020. The study also received \$15,000 of Facebook advertising credit to support a pilot social media recruitment campaign on 18th August 2020. This study was also supported by the Wellcome Trust through a Wellcome Clinical Research Career Development Fellowship to RA [206602]. SB and TB are supported by an MRC doctoral studentship (MR/N013867/1). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, in the writing of this report, or in the decision to submit the paper for publication

Figure 1. Anti-S levels (U/mL) over time since BNT162b2 booster dose (D2+D3) and second vaccine dose (D2) amongst N-seronegative individuals by second dose vaccine type.

References

1. Bergwerk M, Gonen T, Lustig Y, Amit S, Lipsitch M, Cohen C, et al. Covid-19 Breakthrough Infections in Vaccinated Health Care Workers. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385: 1474–1484.
2. Levine-Tiefenbrun M, Yelin I, Alapi H, Katz R, Herzel E, Kuint J, et al. Viral loads of Delta-variant SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infections after vaccination and booster with BNT162b2. *Nat Med*. 2021;27: 2108–2110.
3. Gupta RK, Topol EJ. COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections. *Science*. 2021;374: 1561–1562.

4. Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, Bodenheimer O, Freedman L, Haas EJ, et al. Waning Immunity after the BNT162b2 Vaccine in Israel. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385: e85.
5. Collie S, Champion J, Moultrie H, Bekker L-G, Gray G. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 Vaccine against Omicron Variant in South Africa. *N Engl J Med*. 2021. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2119270
6. SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern and Variants Under Investigation in England: Technical briefing 33. UK Health Security Agency; 2021.
7. JCVI statement, September 2021: COVID-19 booster vaccine programme for winter 2021 to 2022. In: GOV.UK [Internet]. [cited 21 Jan 2022]. Available: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/jcvi-statement-september-2021-covid-19-booster-vaccine-programme-for-winter-2021-to-2022/jcvi-statement-regarding-a-covid-19-booster-vaccine-programme-for-winter-2021-to-2022>
8. Department of Health and Social Care. JCVI advice on the UK vaccine response to the Omicron variant. In: GOV.UK [Internet]. 29 Nov 2021 [cited 21 Jan 2022]. Available: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-vaccine-response-to-the-omicron-variant-jcvi-advice/jcvi-advice-on-the-uk-vaccine-response-to-the-omicron-variant>
9. Aldridge RW, Yavlinsky A, Nguyen V, Eyre MT, Shrotri M, Navaratnam AMD, et al. Waning of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies targeting the Spike protein in individuals post second dose of ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccines and risk of breakthrough infections: analysis of the Virus Watch community cohort. *bioRxiv*. 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.11.05.21265968
10. Hayward A, Fragaszy E, Kovar J, Nguyen V, Beale S, Byrne T, et al. Risk factors, symptom reporting, healthcare-seeking behaviour and adherence to public health guidance: protocol for Virus Watch, a prospective community cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11: e048042.
11. Leys C, Ley C, Klein O, Bernard P, Licata L. Detecting outliers: Do not use standard deviation around the mean, use absolute deviation around the median. *J Exp Soc Psychol*. 2013;49: 764–766.
12. Laidlaw BJ, Ellebedy AH. The germinal centre B cell response to SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2022;22: 7–18.
13. Jergovic M, Coplen CP, Uhrlaub JL, Beitel SC, Burgess JL, Lutrick K, et al. Resilient T cell responses to B.1.1.529 (Omicron) SARS-CoV-2 variant. *bioRxiv*. 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.01.16.22269361