SARS-CoV-2 Omicron symptomatic infections in previously infected or vaccinated South African healthcare workers

Marta C. Nunes^{1,2}, Sthembile Sibanda^{1,2}, Vicky L. Baillie^{1,2}, Gaurav Kwatra^{1,2}, Ricardo Aguas³,
Shabir A. Madhi^{1,2,4}, for the Wits VIDA HCW Study Group

¹South African Medical Research Council, Vaccines and Infectious Diseases Analytics Research Unit, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ²Department of Science and Technology/National Research Foundation, South African Research Chair Initiative in Vaccine Preventable Diseases, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ³Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁴African Leadership in Vaccinology Expertise, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

Corresponding Author: Marta C. Nunes

Wits VIDA

Chris Hani Road

Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital

Wits Learning Centre, 11th Floor West Wing

2013 Bertsham, South Africa

Phone: +27 11 983 4262, marta.nunes@wits-vida.org

Abstract

Abstract

We investigated Omicron infections among healthcare workers (HCW) presenting with symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection and evaluated the protective effect of vaccination or prior infection.

Between 24th November and 31st December 2021, HCW in Johannesburg, South Africa, were tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection by Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (NAAT). Blood samples collected either at the symptomatic visit or within 3-months prior, were tested for spike protein immunoglobulin G (IgG).

Overall, 433 symptomatic HCW were included in the analysis, with 190 (43.9%) having an Omicron infection; 69 (16.7%) were unvaccinated and 270 (62.4%) received a single dose of Ad26.COV.2 vaccine. There was no difference in the odds of identifying Omicron between unvaccinated and Ad26.COV.2 vaccinated HCW (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.81, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.46, 1.43). One-hundred and fifty-four (35.3%) HCW had at least one SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed prior infection; these had lower odds of Omicron infection compared with those without past infection (aOR 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36, 0.84). Anti-spike IgG concentration of 1549 binding antibody unit/mL was suggestive of significant reduction in the risk of symptomatic Omicron infection.

We found high reinfection and vaccine breakthrough infection rates with the Omicron variant among HCW. Prior infection and high anti-spike IgG concentration were protective against Omicron infection.

Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Omicron, reinfection, vaccination

Introduction

The Omicron (B.1.1.529/21K) severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) variant was reported in South Africa on 25th November 2021, following investigation of a rapid increase in coronavirus disease (COVID-19) cases in the Gauteng province, and identification of a spike gene target failure (SGTF) on the Taqpath assay (ThermoFisher™), which also includes two other gene targets. In December 2021, the Omicron variant constituted 98% of all SARS-CoV-2 infections in South Africa, and has now spread globally [1].

Healthcare workers (HCW) in South Africa were offered the Ad26.COV.2 COVID-19 vaccine as part of the Sisonke trial from 17th February 2021 as a single dose schedule, and subsequently a booster dose was offered since 8th November 2021 [2]. From May 2021, HCW could also access the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine as part of the national vaccine rollout in South Africa.

Here we describe the Omicron infections among HCW who presented with symptoms suggestive of SARS-CoV-2 infection from 24th November to 31st December 2021. We also detail breakthrough infections in vaccinated HCW and reinfections in previous Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (NAAT)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases. In addition, blood samples collected either at the symptomatic visit or in the 3-months prior, were tested for full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike protein immunoglobulin G (IgG) to assess the potential protective effect of these antibodies.

Methods

Study design

Healthcare workers (HCW) working at Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital (CHBAH), in Johannesburg, Gauteng province, South Africa were enrolled from April to July 2020, into a

longitudinal cohort surveillance study, this cohort has been previously described [3]. Due to participants discontinuing the study, enrolments into the longitudinal cohort were re-started on 16th February 2021 and closed on 10th August 2021. Among the longitudinal cohort participants, routine study visits (every 1 to 2 weeks for nasal swab collection and approximately every 4 weeks for nasal swab and venous blood collection) and visits when COVID-like symptoms are present are still ongoing.

From 22nd June 2021 HCW from CHBAH, not enrolled into the longitudinal cohort, who presented with COVID-like symptoms could enrol into a test negative case-control (TNC) study and be tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection by Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (NAAT) on nasal swab. On 14th December 2021 this was expanded to two other Johannesburg hospitals: Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital (CMJAH) and Helen Joseph Hospital (HJH). HCW enrolled into the TNC could present for multiple study visits. Enrolments are still ongoing at the three hospitals. HCW in the longitudinal cohort who were investigated for symptomatic illness were also eligible for inclusion in the TNC study.

Symptoms considered to be consistent with COVID-19 included any of the following: fever/feeling feverish, cough, sore throat, rhinitis, myalgia, shortness of breath, acute gastroenteritis/vomiting/nausea, impaired sense of smell or taste, fatigue or headache. If a HCW had multiple symptomatic study visits between 24th November and 31st December 2021 only the visit with a NAAT SARS-CoV-2 positive result was included, or the first symptomatic visit if no NAAT positive result.

Demographic, health and behavioural questionnaires, collected personal information including COVID-19 vaccination history; previous SARS-CoV-2 infection was determined by documented NAAT positivity in the cohort participants or self-reporting.

Laboratory methods

Total nucleic acids were extracted from nasal swabs using an automated NucliSENS-easyMAG nucleic acid extraction platform. NAAT was performed using the TaqPath COVID-19 diagnostic test from ThermoFisher that uses a triple-target (orf1ab, N gene, spike gene) design. Results were classified as positive for SARS-CoV-2 when the 3 targets or when both orf1ab and N gene had cycle threshold (Ct) values <37 and inconclusive if only one target was detected with Ct values <37. Results were classified as Omicron variant when orf1ab and N gene were detected but not the spike gene (SGTF).

Serum or plasma samples were collected at approximately monthly intervals from the longitudinal cohort participants. Participants enrolled into the TNC study had blood samples collected at enrolment. SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike protein immunoglobulin G (IgG) was measured by a quantitative assay on the Luminex platform as described [4, 5]. The assay was evaluated for detection of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 using COVID-19 convalescent plasma panel NIBSC 20/118. Based on differences in IgG titers from pre-COVID-19 and baseline samples when compared to post-infection samples of participants who were SARS-CoV-2 NAAT positive, 32 binding antibody unit (BAU)/mL was selected as the threshold value indicative of seropositivity for full-length spike.

Statistical analysis

Participants' characteristics were described as percentages or means with standard deviations (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR) and compared between NAAT-confirmed Omicron infected and uninfected HCW. The association between Omicron infection, and vaccination or previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, was estimated by univariate and multivariate

logistic regression. Participants were considered fully-vaccinated if they received at least one dose of Ad26.COV.2 vaccine ≥ 14 days before the symptomatic visit or two doses of BNT162b2 vaccine, with the last dose ≥ 14 days before the symptomatic visit. Prior SARS-CoV-2 infections were categorized under the three previous pandemic waves: April to October 2020, November 2020 to April 2021 and May to September 2021. Differences in geometric mean units for spike IgG between NAAT-confirmed Omicron infected and uninfected HCW were analysed on $\log_{10}$ -transformed data.

A recursive partitioning approach was performed in the form of conditional inference tree. This method is particularly good at finding conditional thresholds in covariates by means of significance tests. Significance tests of the null hypothesis, that Omicron infection risk is identical on either side of the proposed threshold, are performed at each node of the tree in a recursive way. The final tree outlines all the splits for which the null hypothesis was rejected, i.e., for which a difference in outcome is statistically significant with 90% confidence across merging branches. With this type of conditional partitioning, a training set can be used to find the relevant splits, after which a validation portion of the data can be used to evaluate the method's predictive power [6]. This analysis was performed using the rpart, party, and caret R packages.

For the purposes of the analyses presented throughout, serological measurements were restricted to blood samples collected within 3 months of symptomatic visits. If multiple samples were available, the sample collected closest to the symptomatic visit was used. Participants were excluded from the serology analysis if they received any vaccine between the last blood draw and the symptomatic visit or if the last blood draw was < 14 days after the last vaccination. Since IgG antibodies after natural infection among vaccinated and previous infected individuals can

rise quickly, we did a sub-analysis excluding the samples collected on the day of the symptomatic visit.

Results

The first case of SARS-CoV-2 with SGTF among HCW in our study was detected on 24th November 2021, prior to which the last confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection was on 20th September 2021. From 24th November to 31st December 2021, 445 HCW had at least one symptomatic visit where nasal swabs were collected and tested by Taqpath NAAT for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nine HCW had inconclusive results and were excluded from the analysis, and all but three (also excluded from the analysis) of the SARS-CoV-2 infections detected during this period had NAAT results with SGTF and putatively were Omicron variant.

Among the 433 symptomatic HCW included in the analysis, 190 (43.9%) had a positive NAAT. Overall, 270 (62.4%) received a single dose of Ad26.COV.2 vaccine (median 280-days; interquartile range [IQR]: 257, 287), and 49 (11.8%) received a booster dose (median of 22-days; IQR: 18, 33) ≥ 14 -days before the symptomatic visit. Only 26 (6.3%) HCW received two doses of BNT162b2 ≥ 14 -days before the visit, and 69 (16.7%) were unvaccinated. Vaccination coverage was similar among HCW with symptomatic illness in whom Omicron was and was not identified (Table 1). Also, there was no difference in the odds of identifying Omicron between unvaccinated and vaccinated HCW, although the numbers for BNT162b recipients were low (Table 2).

Overall, 154 (35.6%) HCW had at least one SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection prior to November 2021, 53 (34.4%) of whom were reinfected with Omicron; compared with 137 Omicron infections among the 279 (49.1% $p=0.003$) HCW without previous NAAT-confirmed

infection. Participants with previous NAAT-confirmed infection had lower odds of Omicron infection compared with those without past infection (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.55, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.36, 0.84). Stratifying by timing of previous infection, infection during the preceding third wave was associated with lower odds of symptomatic Omicron illness relative to HCW without any previous NAAT-confirmed infection (aOR 0.40, 95%CI: 0.20, 0.80); likewise, individuals who were infected during the second wave had similar lower odds of being infected with Omicron during the study period (aOR 0.49, 95%CI: 0.20, 1.23), although not significant (Table 2).

Anti-spike IgG geometric mean units (measured in 267 participants) were lower in HCW who eventually had an Omicron infection compared with those who never tested positive (577 binding antibody unit [BAU]/mL, vs. 968BAU/mL, $p=0.009$) (Table 1). Excluding blood samples collected at the time of the current visit, a similar trend in IgG levels was observed (Table 1).

To further investigate which combinations of covariates significantly modulate Omicron infection, a conditional inference tree was built (Figure-A). Significance was detected in previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed cases and those with spike IgG levels >1549 BAU/mL (Figure-B), each with only 33% probability of infection. The boxplots in Figure-C represent the anti-spike IgG levels by prior SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection and vaccination status. Overall, IgG concentrations were higher among HCW with prior infection ($p=0.00015$), and in the group not previously infected in those with more vaccine doses ($p=0.000057$). A lower significance was detected among the groups with different vaccination status for those who had a prior confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection ($p=0.038$).

Discussion

We show that prior SARS-CoV-2 infection prevented symptomatic reinfection with Omicron at 45%-60%, what is consistent with results from a large population study in Qatar [7]. Although it has been suggested that Omicron is evasive to neutralizing antibodies induced by natural infection from previous variants or vaccine-elicited[8], we show that HCW who were not infected by Omicron during the five week period of this analysis had higher concentration of anti-spike IgG prior the symptomatic visit, with >1549BAU/mL being the threshold suggestive of significant reduction in the risk of symptomatic Omicron infection. This might be due to some residual neutralization activity, or that anti-spike IgG recognizes the virus beyond neutralization via Fc-effector mechanisms as recently suggested [9]. Protection can be achieved by prior infection (irrespective of the vaccination status, Table) or by recent vaccination, with participants who were unvaccinated or those who received a single dose of Ad26.COV.2, most of whom more than eight months prior, showing significantly lower levels of anti-spike IgG.

A limitation of our study was that prior infection was assessed by NAAT only, with some unvaccinated participants with no previous NAAT-confirmed infection being seropositive for anti-spike antibody, demonstrating exposure to SARS-CoV-2 before blood collection. A high SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity in South Africa prior to the Omicron wave, has actually been suggested as a plausible explanation for the disconnection between hospitalization/death rates and infection rates associated with Omicron in the country [4].

We found high reinfection and vaccine breakthrough infection rates with the Omicron variant among HCW at three hospitals in Johannesburg, South Africa. Inherently, either natural infection or vaccination elicits immune responses that decays over time, with the specificity (cross-immunity), quality (neutralization) and magnitude (absolute amount) of circulating antibodies determining the likelihood of future symptomatic infections. Although a study from the United

199 Kingdom showed limited protection against symptomatic Omicron illness after BNT162b2 or
200 ChAdOx1 vaccination [10], recent results on the vaccine effectiveness of Ad26.COV.2 booster
201 dose in South Africa against Omicron hospitalization also demonstrates the value of booster
202 vaccinations [2].

203 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to express special appreciation to the study
204 participants and all the healthcare workers at Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital,
205 Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital, and Helen Joseph Hospital and to the Wits
206 VIDA staff.

207 Wits VIDA HCW Study Group: Yasmin Adam, Ziyaad Dangor, David P. Moore, Charl Verwey,
208 Sithembiso C. Velaphi, Firdose Nakwa, Rudo Mathivha, Kavita Makan, Colin Menezes, Merika
209 Tsitsi, Temnewo Habte, Jeremy Nel, William Malebati, Mervin Mer, Adam Mohamed, Rajen
210 Morar, Palesa Motshabi Chakane, Thendo Mpapele, Feroza Motara, Dalubuhle Ndiweni, Debbie
211 White, Farzanah Laher, Shakeel McKenzie, Sihle Mtshali.

Table 1. Healthcare workers presenting for at least one symptomatic study visit between 24th November and 31st December 2021

	Symptomatic study visits 433		
	Omicron infection N=190 (43.9%)	NAAT negative, no Omicron infection N=243 238 (56.1%)	p-value
Race			0.68
Black-African	172 (90.5)	217 (89.3)	
Other	18 (9.5)	26 (10.7)	
Female	154 (81.5)	203 (83.5)	0.58
Mean age in years (SD)	37.4 (9.2)	38.0 (10.0)	0.50
Study site			0.005
CHBAH	156 (82.)	185 (76.1)	
HJH	15 (7.9)	9 (3.7)	
CMJAH	19 (10.0)	49 (20.2)	
No vaccine	32 (16.8)	37 (15.2)	0.72
Ad26.COV.2 single dose ^a	121 (63.9)	149 (61.3)	
Ad26.COV.2 booster dose ^b	21 (11.1)	28 (11.5)	
BNT162b2 ^c	9 (4.7)	17 (7.0)	
Median time in days from 1 st Ad26.COV.2 dose to visit (IQR)	280 (260, 287)	279 (257, 287)	0.70
Median time in days from Ad26.COV.2 booster dose to visit (IQR)	23 (18, 32)	22 (19, 35)	0.74
Previously SARS-CoV-2 NAAT- confirmed infection	53 (27.9)	101 (41.6)	0.003
Never infected	137 (72.)	142 (58.4)	
1 st wave ^d	32 (16.8)	48 (19.8)	0.018
2 nd wave ^d	8 (4.2)	15 (6.2)	
3 rd wave ^d	13 (6.8)	36 (14.8)	
Previously infected >1	0	2 (0.8)	
	N=174 ^e	N=215 ^e	
No Ad26.COV.2, no previous SARS- CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection	23 (13.2)	23 (10.8)	0.18
No Ad26.COV.2, previous SARS- CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection	9 (5.2)	14 (6.5)	
Ad26.COV.2, no previous SARS-	99 (56.9)	104 (48.6)	

CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection			
Ad26.COV.2, previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection	43 (24.7)	73 (34.1)	
	N=123	N=144	
Anti-spike IgG binding antibody units >32/mL	113 (91.9)	134 (93.1)	0.71
Anti-spike IgG geometric mean units (95% CI)	577 (428, 780)	968 (755, 1242)	0.009
Mean time in days from blood collection to visit (SD)	6.6 (17.8)	8.2 (19.3)	0.47
Serology results excluding bloods collected at the time of the current visit	N=28	N=37	
Anti-spike IgG binding antibody units >32/mL	25 (89.3)	35 (94.6)	0.64
Anti-spike IgG geometric mean units (95% CI)	511 (312, 836)	919 (575, 1468)	0.09
Mean time in days from blood collection to visit (SD)	29.1 (27.3)	32.3 (26.1)	0.64

Results are n (%) unless stated otherwise.

CHBAH: Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital; HJH: Helen Joseph Hospital; CMJAH: Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; CI: confidence interval; NAAT: Nucleic Acid Amplification Test.

^aReceived a single Ad26.COV.2 vaccine dose ≥ 14 days before visit.

^bReceived a booster Ad26.COV.2 vaccine dose ≥ 14 days before visit.

^cReceived two BNT162b2 vaccine doses, with second dose ≥ 14 days before visit.

^d1st wave: April to October 2020, 2nd wave: November 2020 to April 2021, 3rd wave May to September 2021.

^eExcluding participants who received any BNT162b2 vaccine or those receiving Ad26.COV.2 vaccine <14 days before visit.

226 **Table 2.** Protection against Omicron infection by vaccination or previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection

	Omicron infection	NAAT negative, no Omicron infection	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)
Never vaccinated	32	37	Reference	Reference
Ad26.COV.2 single dose ^a	121	149	0.94 (0.55, 1.60)	0.81 (0.46, 1.43) ^e
Ad26.COV.2 booster dose ^b	21	28	0.87 (0.41, 1.81)	0.94 (0.44, 2.03) ^e
BNT162b2 two doses ^c	9	17	0.61 (0.24, 1.56)	0.59 (0.23, 1.57) ^e
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection	137	142	Reference	Reference
Previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection	53	101	0.54 (0.36, 0.82)	0.55 (0.36, 0.84)^f
1 st wave ^d	32	48	0.69 (0.42, 1.15)	0.71 (0.42, 1.19) ^f
2 nd wave ^d	8	15	0.55 (0.23, 1.35)	0.49 (0.20, 1.23) ^f
3 rd wave ^d	13	36	0.37 (0.19, 0.74)	0.40 (0.20, 0.80)^f
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, no vaccine	23	23	Reference	Reference
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, Ad26.COV.2 single dose	85	91	0.93 (0.49, 1.79)	0.89 (0.46, 1.72) ^g
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, Ad26.COV.2 booster dose	14	13	1.06 (0.42, 2.79)	n.a
Previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, no vaccine	9	14	Reference	Reference
Previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, Ad26.COV.2 single dose	36	58	0.97 (0.38, 2.46)	0.82 (0.28, 2.44) ^g

Previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, Ad26.COV.2 booster dose	7	15	0.73 (0.21, 2.48)	0.55 (0.14, 2.09) ^g
Using antibody information and threshold from the conditional inference tree				
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, no vaccine, IgG <300 ^h	18	15	Reference	Reference
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, Ad26.COV.2 single dose, IgG >1549 ⁱ	34	63	0.45 (0.20, 1.00)	0.42 (0.18, 0.85)^g
No previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection, Ad26.COV.2 booster dose, IgG >1549 ⁱ	10	11	0.76 (0.25, 2.27)	n.a

227

228 OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; NAAT: Nucleic Acid Amplification Test

229 ^aReceived a single Ad26.COV.2 vaccine dose ≥ 14 days before visit.

230 ^bReceived a booster Ad26.COV.2 vaccine dose ≥ 14 days before visit.

231 ^cReceived two BNT162b2 vaccine doses, with second dose ≥ 14 days before visit.

232 ^d1st wave: April to October 2020, 2nd wave: November 2020 to April 2021, 3rd wave May to September 2021.

233 ^eAdjusted for study site and having a previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection.

234 ^fAdjusted for study site and vaccination.

235 ^gAdjusted for study site.

236 ^hExcluding participants with anti-spike IgG binding antibody units >300/mL, as this antibody level was the lowest quartile among
237 unvaccinated participants with prior SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection and as such a putative level for previous asymptomatic
238 infections.

239 ⁱExcluding participants with anti-spike IgG binding antibody units <1549/mL, as this was the threshold suggestive of a significant
240 reduction in the risk of symptomatic Omicron infection among vaccinated participants without prior SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed
241 infection.

242 Figure

243
244

Figure caption:

Conditional inference of Omicron infection probability and anti-spike IgG levels by prior SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection

(A) Inferred significant splits in previous SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed cases and spike IgG levels impact on the probability of having an Omicron infection during the study period (indicated by the red bars). The tree was generated from a training set comprised of 90% of all visits with a known serological result. The algorithm's infection predictive power was measured to be 72% in the remaining 10% of the data. (B) Antibody density distributions for participants with either NAAT-confirmed Omicron infection (red line) or no infection (grey line) during the study period. The vertical black line corresponds to the threshold 1549 anti-spike IgG binding units that emerged from the analysis on panel A. (C) Anti-spike IgG levels by prior SARS-CoV-2 NAAT-confirmed infection and vaccination status. Kruskal-Wallis tests indicate significant differences in IgG concentrations between participants with different levels of prior infection ($p=0.00015$), and with more doses of vaccination in those who were not previously exposed ($p=0.000057$). A lower significance in differences in IgG concentration for groups with different vaccination status for those who had a prior confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection ($p=0.038$).

Funding: Funding statement: This study was supported by the European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (grant number RIA2020EF-3020) and The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (grant number INV018148_2020). There was also partial support from the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation: South African Research Chair Initiative in Vaccine Preventable Diseases; and the South African Medical Research Council.

Contributions: MCN: conceptualize the study and the analysis, overall supervision of the project, data interpretation, wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SS: sample analysis, data analysis, manuscript revision; VB: sample analysis, data analysis, manuscript revision; GK: data interpretation, manuscript revision; RA: data analysis, data interpretation, writing. SAM: conceptualize the study, manuscript revision.

Conflicts of Interest: MCN reports grants from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Sanofi-Pasteur; and personal fees from Pfizer and Sanofi-Pasteur. SAM reports grants and personal fees from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, grants from the South African Medical Research Council, Novavax, Pfizer, Minervax, and European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership. GK reports grants from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

Ethical considerations: The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand (reference number 200405) and conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All study participants provided written informed consent.

References:

1. <https://www.nicd.ac.za/diseases-a-z-index/disease-index-covid-19/sars-cov-2-genomic-surveillance-update/>.
2. Gray, G.E., et al., *Vaccine effectiveness against hospital admission in South African health care workers who received a homologous booster of Ad26.COV2 during an Omicron COVID19 wave: Preliminary Results of the Sisonke 2 Study*. 2021: p. 2021.12.28.21268436.
3. Nunes, M.C., et al., *Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection Among Healthcare Workers in South Africa: A Longitudinal Cohort Study*. Clin Infect Dis, 2021. **73**(10): p. 1896-1900.
4. Madhi, S.A., et al., *South African Population Immunity and Severe Covid-19 with Omicron Variant*. 2021: p. 2021.12.20.21268096.
5. Kwatra, G., et al., *Correlation of dried blood spots and plasma for quantification of Immunoglobulin (IgG) against Receptor binding domain and full length spike protein of SARS-CoV-2*. J Virol Methods, 2022. **300**: p. 114394.
6. Hothorn, T., K. Hornik, and A. Zeileis, *Unbiased Recursive Partitioning: A Conditional Inference Framework*. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 2006. **15**(3): p. 651-674.
7. Altarawneh, H., et al., *Protection afforded by prior infection against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection with the Omicron variant*. 2022: p. 2022.01.05.22268782.
8. Dejnirattisai, W., et al., *Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from neutralizing antibody responses*. bioRxiv, 2021.
9. Bartsch, Y., et al., *Preserved Omicron Spike specific antibody binding and Fc-recognition across COVID-19 vaccine platforms*. medRxiv, 2021.
10. Andrews, N., et al., *Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern*. 2021: p. 2021.12.14.21267615.