Effective high-throughput RT-qPCR screening for SARS-CoV-2 infections in children

Felix Dewald^{1,4*}, Isabelle Suárez^{2,3,4*}, Ronja Johnen⁵, Jan Grossbach⁵, Roberto Moran Tovar⁶, Gertrud Steger¹, Alexander Joachim⁷, Gibran Horemheb Rubio¹, Mira Fries⁸, Florian Behr⁸, Joao Kley³, Andreas Lingnau²⁶, Alina Kretschmer³, Carina Gude⁵, Guadalupe Beazes-Flores⁹, David Laveaga del Valle⁹, Alberto Roblero-Hernandez⁹, Jesus Magana-Cerino⁹, Adriana Torres Hernandez¹⁰, Jesus Ruiz-Quinones⁹, Konstantin Schega⁹, Viktoria Linne³, Lena Junker³, Marie Wunsch¹, Eva Heger¹, Elena Knops¹, Veronica Di Cristanziano¹, Meike Meyer⁷, Christoph Hünseler⁷, Lutz T. Weber⁷, Jan-Christoffer Lüers¹¹, Gustav Quade¹², Hilmar Wisplinghoff¹³, Carsten Tiemann¹⁴, Rainer Zotz¹⁵, Hassan Jomaa¹⁶, Arthur Pranada¹⁷, Ileana Herzum¹⁸, Paul Cullen¹⁹, Franz-Josef Schmitz²⁰, Paul Philipsen²¹, Georg Kirchner²², Cornelius Knabbe²³, Martin Hellmich²⁴, Michael Buess⁸, Anna Wolf⁸, Annelene Kossow⁸, Johannes Niessen⁸, Sebastian Jeworutzki²⁵, Jörg-Peter Schräpler²⁵, Michael Lässig⁶, Jörg Dötsch⁷, Gerd Fätkenheuer^{2,3}, Rolf Kaiser¹, Andreas Beyer^{5*}, Jan Rybníček^{2,3,4*}, Florian Klein^{1,2,4*}

Affiliations

1. Institute of Virology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
2. German Center for Infection Research, Partner Site Bonn-Cologne, Cologne, Germany
3. Department I of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
4. Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.
5. CECAD Research center, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
6. Institute for Biological Physics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
7. Department of Pediatrics, Children's and Adolescents' Hospital, University Hospital Cologne, Faculty of Medicine, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
8. Health department of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
9. Centro de Investigación en Enfermedades Tropicales y Emergentes, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad, Dr. Juan Graham Casasús, Villahermosa, Mexico
10. Biocilab SA de CV, Villahermosa, Mexico
11. Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Cologne, Cologne
12. MVZ Labor Dr. Quade & Kollegen GmbH, Cologne, Germany
13. Labor Dr. Wisplinghoff, Cologne, Germany

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

14. Labor Krone, Bad Salzuflen, Germany
15. Labor ZotzKlimas, Düsseldorf, Germany
16. Synlab, Leverkusen, Germany
17. Medizinisches Versorgungszentrum Dr. Eberhard & Partner, Dortmund, Germany
18. Medizinische Laboratorien Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany
19. MVZ Labor Münster, Münster, Germany
20. Mühlenkreiskliniken, Minden, Germany
21. Labor Mönchengladbach MVZ Dr. Stein und Kollegen, Mönchengladbach, Germany
22. Eurofins Laborbetriebsgesellschaft Gelsenkirchen GmbH & Eurofins MVZ Medizinisches Labor Gelsenkirchen GmbH, Gelsenkirchen, Germany
23. Heart- and Diabetes Center NRW, Medical Faculty, Ruhr-University Bochum, Institute for Laboratory and Transfusion Medicine, Bochum, Germany
24. Institute of Medical Statistics and Computational Biology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne
25. Faculty of Social Science, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany
26. Ministry of Schools and Education of North Rhine-Westphalia, Düsseldorf, Germany

* contributed equally to this manuscript

Abstract

Systematic SARS-CoV-2 testing is a valuable tool for infection control and surveillance¹. However, broad application of high sensitive RT-qPCR testing in children is often hampered due to unpleasant sample collection, limited RT-qPCR capacities, and high costs^{2–4}. Here, we developed a high-throughput approach ('Lolli-Method') for sensitive SARS-CoV-2 detection in children, combining non-invasive sample collection with an RT-qPCR-pool testing strategy. SARS-CoV-2 infections were diagnosed with sensitivities of 100% and 93.9% when viral loads were $>10^6$ copies/ml and $>10^3$ copies/ml in corresponding Naso-/Oropharyngeal-swabs, respectively. For effective application of the Lolli-Method in schools and daycare facilities, SIR-modeling indicated a preferred frequency of two tests per week. The developed test strategy was implemented in 3,700 schools and 698 daycare facilities in Germany, screening over 800,000 individuals twice per week. In a period of 3 months, 6,364 pool-RT-qPCRs tested positive (0.64%) ranging from 0.05% to 2.61% per week. Notably, infections correlated with local SARS-CoV-2 incidences as well as with a school social deprivation index. Moreover, in comparison with the alpha variant, statistical modeling revealed a 31% increase for multiple (≥ 2 children) infections per class following infections with the delta variant⁵. We conclude that the Lolli-Method is a powerful tool for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance and infection control in schools and daycare facilities.

Introduction

The clinical course of COVID-19 in children is generally mild^{6,7}. However, severe courses, deaths, and post-acute COVID-19 syndrome have been described and pose a risk to children when exposed to SARS-CoV-2^{8,9}. Moreover, viral loads measured in infected children can be as high as those in adults¹⁰, which is consistent with the

transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among children and from children to adults¹¹. In order to control SARS-CoV-2 infections, schools have been closed worldwide, resulting in the loss of approximately 50% of all school lessons in 2020¹². However, while school closures can reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmissions when imbedded in a general lock-down strategy, the negative impact on the development and health of children is substantial and is manifested by e.g. higher rates of reduced emotional well-being, severe eating disorders, and overt psychiatric disease^{13–15}.

Early detection of SARS-CoV-2 infections can contribute to infection control¹. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in schools allows to determine the efficiency of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs)¹⁶. Therefore, several test strategies for schools and daycare facilities have been developed. In these, samples were mostly obtained by self-sampling using rapid antigen detection tests (RADTs) or RT-qPCR analyses^{17–20}. However, various challenges remain including reduced sensitivity of RADTs²¹, acceptance of specimen collection by children², and limited RT-qPCR capacities⁴. Despite the recent authorization for SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in children aged 5-11 years²², effective and sound test strategies remain critical to ensure infection control in open schools and daycare facilities. This is particularly important considering the dynamic situation of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in which new variants of concerns (VOCs) emerge and spread²³. Here, we developed a non-invasive sampling approach combined with high-throughput pooled RT-qPCR testing (Lolli-Method) followed by the design of a test concept for schools and daycare facilities. This test concept was successfully implemented as a SARS-CoV-2 screening program for over 800,000 children and demonstrated a precise monitoring and early detection of SARS-CoV-2 infections.

Results

Developing the Lolli-Method to screen for SARS-CoV-2 infections in children

A widely applicable SARS-CoV-2 screening in children requires the combination of i.) an easy, safe and non-invasive sampling method with ii.) a resource-saving, reliable and scalable SARS-CoV-2 testing method. To meet these requirements, we developed the Lolli-Method by which a regular swab is used for self-sampling, i.e. to be sucked on for 30 seconds (Lolli-swab), combined with a pooled RT-qPCR analysis. In order to determine the sensitivity of this method, we investigated 254 acutely infected individuals in a side-by-side sampling approach using Nasopharyngeal-/Oropharyngeal (Np-/Op) versus Lolli-swab. Lolli-swabs were collected under supervision and all samples were analyzed by RT-qPCR (**Fig. 1a, Extended data Fig. 1**). By using the Lolli-Method, 95 out of 118 infected individuals were detected when sampled in the morning and 101 out of 153 when sampled during the day. Detected viral loads obtained by the Lolli-Method were lower (geometric mean 2.22×10^3 copies/ml) than viral loads measured in Np-/Op-swabs (geometric mean 6.36×10^4 copies/ml, $p < 0.0001$, **Fig. 1b and 1c, Extended data Table 1a**). However, while Lolli-swabs showed only 50% sensitivity in samples with corresponding viral loads of $< 10^3$ copies/ml, diagnostic sensitivities of 91.4% and 100% were reached for matched Np-/Op-swabs with viral loads of 10^3 - 10^6 and $> 10^6$ copies/ml, respectively (**Figure 1d**). Next, we determined the impact on the sensitivity by having food and liquid intake one hour before sampling (**Fig. 1e, Extended data Table 1b**), the use of different swab-types (**Fig. 1f, Extended data Table 1c**) and the dilution effect by the pooling-process (**Fig. 1g, Extended data Table 1d**). While different swab-types had no effect on sensitivity, breakfast one hour before sampling and pooling of up to 100 Lolli-swabs reduced the detected viral load by 2.2- and 3.3-fold, respectively. However, these differences did not result in a relevant reduction of overall sensitivity, detecting 56 out

of 57 samples despite breakfast or pooling. Finally, specificity of the Lolli-Method was found to be 100%, testing 55 healthy individuals individually with Np-/Op-and Lolli-swabs (**Extended data Table 1e**).

We concluded that the Lolli-Method is an easy and non-invasive method that is highly sensitive in detecting infected individuals with viral loads above 10^3 copies/ml.

High-throughput Lolli-Method screening concept in children

Next, a screening concept for schools and daycare was developed. As part of this concept, Lolli-swabs of one class or group were obtained and pooled at sampling-site, followed by RT-qPCR analysis. To this end, each child of a class received a Lolli-swab, performed self-sampling and placed it in a common 50 ml tube (**Fig. 1h**). Very young children or children with disabilities received assistance by their parents or teachers. The pooled samples were tested by SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR. In case the pool was tested negative, all children were assumed to be SARS-CoV-2 negative. In case the pool was tested positive, children of the positive pool were re-tested individually in order to identify the infected individuals (**Fig. 1h**).

To determine an optimal test-frequency, the efficiency of a long-term SARS-CoV-2 screening was estimated using an extension of the SIR-model (**Fig. 1i and 1j, Extended data Table 2**). Simulations of 8-week-test-periods were carried out for populations of 20 individuals, performing ensemble averages of over 10^4 runs. Simulations were performed for different basic reproduction values (R_0) of SARS-CoV-2 and different scenarios of SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in the general population (0.01% and 0.1%). The total number of infected individuals, the number of infections due to transmissions within the test-population and the number of infections detected by the screening were calculated for different test-frequencies (0, 1, 2 or 3 times per week). As a result, the proportion of prevented transmissions was 66%, 81%, and 87% for

testing 1, 2, or 3 times per week, respectively (**Fig. 1k**). Taking logistics and limited RT-qPCR capacities into account, a test-frequency of twice per week was considered most effective and was used for the subsequent implementation of a screening program.

Implementing the Lolli-Method screening concept in schools and daycare

The Lolli-Method screening concept was implemented as part of a governmental SARS-CoV-2 testing program in 3,700 elementary schools and special needs schools in North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany, testing 742,771 students twice a week (**Fig. 2a, Extended data Table 3**). Testing was mandatory for all students. Students had a median age of 8 years (IQR 2 years) with 354,125 (47.69%) being female and 388,646 (52.32%) being male. On average, 21.1 students were registered per class and 197.3 students per school (**Fig. 2b**). Sampling was conducted from calendar week 19 to 37 in 2021, which included 8 weeks before (calendar week 19-26) and 5 weeks after (calendar week 33-37) the summer holidays. During this period, the 7-day incidence in North Rhine-Westphalia ranged from 14.4 to 146.7 with a maximum in calendar week 34 (**Fig. 2c**). Notably, while at the beginning the variant of concern (VOC) alpha was predominant, the delta variant accounted for the majority of cases starting with calendar week 26 (**Fig. 2c**).

For the 3,700 schools that were located within an area of 34,098 km², sample transport as well as RT-qPCRs were performed by 12 diagnostic laboratories (**Extended data Fig. 2, Extended data Table 4**). All RT-qPCR results were reported to a central database and data was checked for plausibility and invalid items were removed (**Extended data Fig. 3**). In total, 1,110,033 RT-qPCRs were carried out (983,941 pool-and 126,092 single-RT-qPCRs). Average pool-size was 10.2 and 16.7 Lolli-swabs/pool during calendar weeks 19-21 and 22-26/33-37, respectively,

estimating an overall SARS-CoV-2 testing of 16,943,470 swabs within a time period of 13 weeks (**Fig. 2d**). Mean turn-around time for processing of pool-RT-qPCRs was 7.59 hours and 9.14 hours for single-RT-qPCRs (**Extended data Fig. 4**). 96.2% of all pool-RT-qPCR results were communicated before 6:00 a.m. on the next day (**Extended data Table 4**). In addition, the Lolli-Method was applied to 698 daycare facilities in the city of Cologne, testing approximately 48,149 children within the age of 1 to 6 years and 13,577 staff members twice per week for a period of 6 months (**Extended data Fig. 5**). Both in schools and daycare facilities, the program was well accepted and continued beyond the reported time period.

We concluded that the Lolli-Method can be applied to educational settings including daycare facilities for high-throughput testing of SARS-CoV-2 infections.

Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools

In total, 6,364 of 983,941 pool-RT-qPCRs in schools tested positive (0.65%). 1,316 pool-RT-qPCRs tested positive before (calendar week 19-26), while 5,048 tested positive after the summer holidays (calendar week 33-37) (**Fig. 3a**). The rate of positivity of pool-RT-qPCRs was 0.46% in calendar week 19 and decreased continuously to 0.05% in calendar week 26. After the summer holidays, rate of positivity decreased from 2.61% in calendar week 33 to 0.92% in calendar week 37 (**Fig. 3b**). The number of infected individuals per positive pool-RT-qPCR was estimated to be 1.3 on average (**Extended data Fig. 6**). In order to validate the implemented Lolli-Method, we investigated all reported index-cases of children attending elementary schools in the city of Cologne from calendar week 19 to 36 (n=653, **Extended data Fig. 7**). To this end, contact-tracing information was obtained by the local health authorities on 569 from 653 index-cases (87.1%). When excluding index-cases that were not tested by the Lolli-Method within 72h before their positive test, detection rate of the Lolli-

Method of confirmed index-cases was 89.1% (**Extended data Fig. 7**), indicating a reliable detection of SARS-CoV-2 infections in children.

SARS-CoV-2 7-day pool-incidence (= number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs/100.000 tested children in 7 days, **see Methods**) varied among districts from 0 to 416.2 (**Fig. 3c**) and correlated with SARS-CoV-2 incidence of the general population within a district ($r=0.76$, $p<0.0001$; **Fig. 3d**). Of 3,700 participating schools, 3,648 were tested before and after the summer holidays. Of those, 2,315 (63.46%) schools were found to have at least one positive pool-RT-qPCR result, with numbers of positive pool-qPCRs per school ranging from 1 (22.2%), 2 (15.8%) and 3 (9.5%) to a maximum of 22 (0.03%, **Fig. 3e**).

Moreover, we investigated potential associations of SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools with grade levels, type of school, population density and socioeconomic status (SES) quantified using a school social deprivation index (SSDI)²⁴. This index had been generated using a confirmatory factor analysis in which schools were assigned to social deprivation levels on a scale from 1 to 9 with 1 reflecting the highest SES and 9 the lowest. While no association between infections and grade levels or type of school was found, a moderate correlation for population density ($r=0.56$, $p<0.0001$) was detected. Moreover, the SSDI strongly correlated with the average number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs per student and per school ($r=0.94$, $p=0.0002$) (**Fig. 3f and 3g**).

We concluded that the Lolli-Method is capable of reliably detecting SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools and is a valuable tool to determine factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools.

High-throughput screening reveals differences in infection dynamics for SARS-CoV-2 variants in schools

Based on molecular surveillance data published by the German public health institute (Robert Koch Institute) (**Fig. 2c**), we estimated the fraction of positive pool-RT-qPCRs assigned to the alpha variant (B.1.1.7) to be 92.9% before the summer holidays while 99.54% were assigned to the delta variant (B.1.167.2) after the summer holidays (**Fig. 4a**). Mean Cycle threshold (Ct)-values of positive pool-RT-qPCRs decreased significantly after the summer holidays, with an average Ct-value of 33.61 before (alpha variant) and 32.55 after (delta variant) the summer holidays ($p < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney test). While the overall difference was small (1.06 Ct-values), pool-RT-qPCRs tested positive with high viral loads (Ct-value ≤ 25) were observed 3.1-fold more often for the delta variant compared to the alpha variant (**Fig. 4b and 4c**). Moreover, for viral loads detected with Ct-values ≤ 20 , the difference between alpha and delta was even 7.6-fold.

In order to estimate a possible effect of the increase in pool-RT-qPCRs with low Ct-values on infection dynamics, the increase in positive pool-RT-qPCRs containing more than one infected child was statistically modeled, using data from calendar weeks 19-25 (alpha period) and calendar weeks 34-37 (delta period). The numbers of infected children per positive pool-RT-qPCRs expected by chance and without in-class transmissions (Null model) were estimated, while controlling for local incidence rates and the SSDI, and compared to the observed number (**Methods and Extended data Fig. 8**). During alpha- and delta periods, numbers of positive pool-RT-qPCRs containing more than one infected child were 13 and 77, respectively, while 7.1 and 32 were expected based on the Null model (**Fig. 4e**). The ratio between observed and expected frequencies of pool-RT-qPCRs containing more than one infected child was 1.8 for the alpha period and 2.4 for the delta period (**Fig. 4f**). This amounted to an

increase of 31% in positive pool-RT-qPCRs containing more than one infected child during the delta period.

We concluded that, during the delta period, more children with higher viral loads were present in schools and that parameters changing infection dynamics can be detected by applying the Lolli-Method in schools.

Discussion

During the pandemic, schools have been frequently closed to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmissions²⁵. However, closure of schools and daycare facilities have had a substantial impact on development, physical and mental health of children^{13–15,26}. Therefore, concepts are essential to support safe and open school settings. This is particularly important as new VOCs emerge that may substantially change infection dynamics.

Systematic testing can prevent transmissions in educational settings and gain insights of measures for infection control in children¹⁸. In addition, effective test strategies may allow to use NPIs more specifically and to reduce quarantine measures to keep school absence of children to a minimum¹⁸. Effective screening strategies require an easy and non-invasive sample collection, high sensitivity assays for early detection of infections, and high-throughput application²⁷. As one SARS-CoV-2 test strategy, RADTs have been used²⁸. While RADTs have the advantage of providing immediate test results, disadvantages include limited sensitivity²¹, variation in specimen quality²⁹, and limited feasibility of self-sampling by young children. Finally, a high acceptance was observed for sample collection based on the Lolli-Method as demonstrated in a previous study³.

RT-qPCR-based approaches for SARS-CoV-2 screenings in schools have been described^{19,30,31}. In these studies, different specimens, such as buccal and anal swabs

as well as gargling solutions and saliva samples were obtained. Some of these sampling methods may cause difficulties, e.g. gargling solutions may increase the risk of viral transmission during sampling because of aerosol generation. Moreover, strategies that depend on sample-pooling in the diagnostic laboratory require significantly more capacities in comparison of processing Lolli-swabs that have already been pooled in schools^{32,33}. Considering limited resources of RT-qPCR-capacities, the Lolli-Method can therefore be advantageous as demonstrated by our report in which less than 1.2 million RT-qPCRs were performed for investigating a total of 16.5 million swabs. However, high SARS-CoV-2 incidences yield larger numbers of positive pools associated with increasing numbers of follow-up single RT-qPCRs, which reduces the benefit of a pooling strategy and limit the application of pool-testing in high incidence settings³⁴.

There is an urgent medical need to determine the role and effect of NPIs in educational settings, such as school-closures³⁵, mandatory mask usage³⁶, and split-class lessons³⁷. Notably, the described screening was sensitive enough to detect biological differences of the infection dynamics between the alpha and the delta variant³⁸. Thus, the Lolli-Method may be further used to assess infection dynamics introduced by new variants²³ as well as determine the impact of measures taken in schools to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infections. Moreover, we could show a correlation between infection rates in schools and regional SARS-CoV-2 incidence which is in line with previous studies^{20,39}.

Limitations of our report include aspects of the data quality. These contain i) reporting of the viral load as non-standardized Ct-values and ii) incomplete reporting of pool-sizes. However, there is a high level of consistency and comparability of Ct-values since RT-qPCRs were performed by the same laboratories during the course of the screening. In addition, due to the obligation for students to participate in the

screening program, we were able to estimate pool-sizes by extrapolation from reported data. One limitation of pool testing is that it is particularly suitable for low to medium SARS-CoV-2 incidences. For this reason, we consider it necessary to develop scalable modifications for the test concept for high-incidence phases (e.g. pool-size adjustment or additional use of RADTs).

In summary, we developed, validated and implemented a non-invasive and sensitive technique for SARS-CoV-2 (self)-sampling that can be used for high-throughput application and screening. We consider this sampling method applicable to schools and daycare facilities providing a reliable tool for screening and surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 infections in children.

Literature

1. Pavelka, M. *et al.* The impact of population-wide rapid antigen testing on SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in Slovakia. *Science* (80-.). **372**, 635–641 (2021).
2. Aiano, F. *et al.* Feasibility and acceptability of SARS-CoV-2 testing and surveillance in primary school children in England: Prospective, crosssectional study. *PLoS One* **16**, e0255517 (2021).
3. Joachim, A. *et al.* Pooled RT-qPCR testing for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in schools- a cluster randomised trial. *EClinicalMedicine* **39**, 101082 (2021).
4. Vandenberg, O., Martiny, D., Rochas, O., van Belkum, A. & Kozlakidis, Z. Considerations for diagnostic COVID-19 tests. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **Epub ahead**, 1–13 (2021).
5. Volz E, Mishra S, Chand M, Barrett JC, Johnson R, Geidelberg L, Hinsley WR, Laydon DJ, Dabrera G, O'Toole Á, Amato R, Ragonnet-Cronin M, Harrison I, Jackson B, Ariani CV, Boyd O, Loman NJ, McCrone JT, Gonçalves S, Jorgensen D, Myers R, Hill V, Jackson DK, F. N. Assessing transmissibility of

- 286 SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. *Nature* **593**, 266–269 (2021).
- 287 6. Christophers, B. *et al.* Trends in clinical presentation of children with COVID-
288 19: a systematic review of individual participant data. *Pediatr. Res.* **10**, 1390-
289 020-01161–3 (2020).
- 290 7. Tagarro, A. *et al.* Screening and Severity of Coronavirus Disease 2019
291 (COVID-19) in Children in Madrid, Spain. *JAMA Pediatr.* e201346 (2020).
- 292 8. Ward, H. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence in England following the first
293 peak of the pandemic. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 100098 (2021).
- 294 9. Say, D. *et al.* Post-acute COVID-19 outcomes in children with mild and
295 asymptomatic disease. *Lancet Child Adolesc. Heal.* **5**, e22–e23 (2021).
- 296 10. Jones, T. C. *et al.* Estimating infectiousness throughout SARS-CoV-2 infection
297 course. *Science (80-.)*. **973**, eabi5273 (2021).
- 298 11. Chu, V. T. *et al.* Household Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from Children and
299 Adolescents. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **385**, 954–956 (2021).
- 300 12. Covid 19 and School Closures: One Year of Education Disruption. *Unicef*
301 (2021).
- 302 13. Hawrilenko, M., Kroshus, E., Tandon, P. & Christakis, D. The Association
303 between School Closures and Child Mental Health during COVID-19. *JAMA*
304 *Netw. Open* **4**, e2124092 (2021).
- 305 14. Lee, J. Mental health effects of school closures during COVID-19. *Lancet Child*
306 *Adolesc. Heal.* **4**, 421 (2020).
- 307 15. Monnier, M. *et al.* Children's mental and behavioral health, schooling, and
308 socioeconomic characteristics during school closure in France due to COVID-
309 19: the SAPRIS project. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–15 (2021).
- 310 16. Im Kampe, E. O., Lehfeld, A. S., Buda, S., Buchholz, U. & Haas, W.
311 Surveillance of COVID-19 school outbreaks, Germany, March to August 2020.

Eurosurveillance **25**, 2001645 (2020).

17. Sherby, M. R. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 screening testing in schools for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *J. Neurodev. Disord.* **13**, 31 (2021).
18. Young, B. C. *et al.* Daily testing for contacts of individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection and attendance and SARS-CoV-2 transmission in English secondary schools and colleges: an open-label, cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* **398**, 1217–1229 (2021).
19. Hoehl, S. *et al.* Longitudinal Testing for Respiratory and Gastrointestinal Shedding of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Day Care Centers in Hesse, Germany. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **73**, e3036–e3041 (2021).
20. Willeit, P. *et al.* Prevalence of RT-qPCR-detected SARS-CoV-2 infection at schools: First results from the Austrian School-SARS-CoV-2 prospective cohort study. *Lancet Reg. Heal. - Eur.* **5**, 100086 (2021).
21. Corman, V. M. *et al.* Comparison of seven commercial SARS-CoV-2 rapid point-of-care antigen tests: a single-centre laboratory evaluation study. *The Lancet Microbe* **2**, e311–e319 (2021).
22. Walter, E. B. *et al.* Evaluation of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine in Children 5 to 11 Years of Age. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **NEJMoa21116**, (2021).
23. Karim, S. S. A. & Karim, Q. A. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet (London, England)* **6736**, 19–21 (2021).
24. Schräpler, J. & Jeworutzki, S. *Konstruktion des Sozialindex für Schulen in Nordrhein-Westfalen.* (Zentrum für interdisziplinäre Regionalforschung (ZEFIR), Fakultät für Sozialwissenschaft, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, LOTA 38, 44780 Bochum, 2021).

25. Buonsenso, D. *et al.* Schools closures during the COVID-19 pandemic: A catastrophic global situation. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* e146–e150 (2021) doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000003052.
26. Ghosh, R., Dubey, M. J., Chatterjee, S. & Dubey, S. Impact of COVID-19 on children: Special focus on the psychosocial aspect. *Minerva Pediatr.* **72**, 226–235 (2020).
27. Woloshin, S., Patel, N. & Kesselheim, A. S. False Negative Tests for SARS-CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2020) doi:10.1056/nejmp2015897.
28. Hoehl, S. *et al.* At-home self-testing of teachers with a SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen test to reduce potential transmissions in schools Results of the SAFE School Hesse Study. *medRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.12.04.20243410.
29. Allan-Blitz, L. T. & Klausner, J. D. A Real-World Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 Rapid Antigen Testing versus PCR Testing in Florida. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **59**, e01107-21 (2021).
30. Willeit, P. *et al.* Prevalence of RT-PCR-detected SARS-CoV-2 infection at schools: First results from the Austrian School-SARS-CoV-2 Study. *medRxiv* 2021.01.05.20248952 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.01.05.20248952.
31. Mendoza, R. P. *et al.* Implementation of a pooled surveillance testing program for asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections in K-12 schools and universities. *EClinicalMedicine* **38**, 101028 (2021).
32. Goldfarb, D. M. *et al.* Self-collected saline gargle samples as an alternative to healthcare worker collected nasopharyngeal swabs for COVID-19 diagnosis in outpatients. *medRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.13.20188334.
33. Wunsch, M. *et al.* Safe and Effective Pool Testing for SARS-CoV-2 Detection. *SSRN Electron. J.* (2020) doi:10.2139/ssrn.3684470.

34. Wunsch, M. *et al.* Safe and effective pool testing for SARS-CoV-2 detection. *J Clin Virol* **145**, 105018 (2020).
35. Li, Y. *et al.* The temporal association of introducing and lifting non-pharmaceutical interventions with the time-varying reproduction number (R) of SARS-CoV-2: a modelling study across 131 countries. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* (2020) doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30785-4.
36. Lam-Hine, T. *et al.* Outbreak Associated with SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant in an Elementary School — Marin County, California, May-June 2021. *MMWR Recomm. Reports* **70**, 1214–1219 (2021).
37. Van Loon, W. *et al.* Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 Infections among Students, Teachers, and Household Members during Lockdown and Split Classes in Berlin, Germany. *JAMA Netw. Open* **4**, e2127168 (2021).
38. Campbell, F. *et al.* Increased transmissibility and global spread of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern as at June 2021. *Eurosurveillance* **26**, 2100509 (2021).
39. Ismail, S. A., Saliba, V., Lopez Bernal, J., Ramsay, M. E. & Ladhani, S. N. SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission in educational settings: a prospective, cross-sectional analysis of infection clusters and outbreaks in England. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **21**, 344–353 (2021).
40. Tovar, R. M., Gruell, H., Klein, F. & Lässig, M. Stochasticity of infectious outbreaks and consequences for optimal interventions. (2021).
41. Quilty, B. J. *et al.* Quarantine and testing strategies in contact tracing for SARS-CoV-2: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Heal.* **6**, e175–e183 (2021).
42. Walsh, K. A. *et al.* The duration of infectiousness of individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2. *J. Infect.* **81**, 847–856 (2020).
43. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID-19 Pandemic Planning Scenarios. *Centers Dis. Control Prev.* (2021).

44. Davies, N. G. *et al.* Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. *Science* (80-.). **372**, eabg3055 (2021).
45. Alcoba-Florez, J. *et al.* Sensitivity of different RT-qPCR solutions for SARS-CoV-2 detection. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **99**, 190–192 (2020).
46. Kucirka, L. M., Lauer, S. A., Laeyendecker, O., Boon, D. & Lessler, J. Variation in False-Negative Rate of Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction-Based SARS-CoV-2 Tests by Time Since Exposure. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **173**, 262–267 (2020).
47. Vogels, C. B. F. *et al.* Analytical sensitivity and efficiency comparisons of SARS-CoV-2 RT–qPCR primer–probe sets. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 1299–1305 (2020).
48. Goyal, A., Reeves, D. B., Fabian Cardozo-Ojeda, E., Schiffer, J. T. & Mayer, B. T. Viral load and contact heterogeneity predict sars-cov-2 transmission and super-spreading events. *Elife* **10**, e63537 (2021).

Methods

Ethical considerations

Prospective Validation of the Lolli-Method

The prospective validation study was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany (number 20-1405) as well as by the ethics committee of the High Specialty Regional, Villahermosa, Mexico (number 0130144). The participants were either study patients of the University Hospital Cologne, Germany or of the test center of the High Specialty Regional Hospital, Villahermosa, Tabasco, Mexico. All participants gave their written informed consent before the start of the study.

Retrospective analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 screening in schools

The retrospective analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 screening in schools by the University Hospital of Cologne was engaged by the Ministry of Education and Schools and approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany (number 21-1358). Since 10th of May 2021, under the direction of the Ministry of Schools and Education and as part of the governmental SARS-CoV-2 screening program “Lolli-Test NRW”, two Lolli-tests per week combined with a pooled RT-qPCR analysis were mandatory for all students at elementary schools and special needs schools in the state of North Rhine-Westphalia. 12 diagnostic laboratories were involved in processing the Lolli-swabs. These laboratories transmitted anonymized, de-identifiable data to a digital database (Medeora Köln GmbH) for quality assurance purposes. Data were transmitted for pool-RT-qPCRs and single RT-qPCRs. For pool-RT-qPCRs, date of sampling, time of registration and result communication, the name of the school, the name of the class, the number of students per pool and the test result were transmitted. For single-RT-qPCRs, date of sampling, time of registration and result communication, the name of the school, the name of the class, age, gender and the test result were transmitted. From the digital database, data were transmitted to the University Hospital Cologne for retrospective analysis.

Retrospective analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 screening in daycare facilities

For retrospective analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 screening in daycare facilities, the University of Cologne was engaged by the Youth Welfare Office of the city of Cologne and approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany (number 21-1358). Since 15th of March 2021, voluntary SARS-CoV-2 testing was offered to all daycare facilities in Cologne within the SARS-CoV-2 screening program “Kita Testung Köln (KiKo)” under the direction of the Youth Welfare

Office of the city of Cologne. Of all participating children and staff members, two Lolli-Swabs per week were tested in a pooled RT-qPCR. One diagnostic laboratory was involved in processing the Lolli-swabs. This laboratory transmitted anonymized, identifiable data to the University Hospital of Cologne for retrospective analysis weekly. Data were transmitted for pool-RT-qPCRs and single RT-qPCRs. For pool-RT-qPCRs, date of sampling, time of registration and result communication, the name of the daycare facility, the name of the group, the number of individuals per pool and the test result were transmitted. For single-RT-qPCRs, date of sampling, time of registration and result communication, the name of the daycare facility, the name of the group, age, gender and the test result were transmitted.

Instructions for the SARS-CoV-2 screenings in schools and daycare facilities

All staff, parents and children were instructed by either the Ministry of Education and Schools of North Rhine-Westphalia or the Youth Welfare Office of the city of Cologne. Written instructions in 12 different languages as well as instructional videos were used for training of all involved individuals. In addition, information and instructions for parents, children and staff were made available online (<https://www.schulministerium.nrw/lolli-tests>, <https://www.kita-testung-koeln.de>)

Sample processing

Validation of the Lolli-Method

To determine the sensitivity of the Lolli-Method, matched Lolli-swabs and Np/Op-swabs of acutely infected individuals were obtained. The participants were instructed to suck on a regular swab it for 30 seconds. Very young children were supported by either their parents or a physician. Afterwards, a physician took an Np-/Op-swab.

To find out, whether the time of day at which the samples were taken had an impact on the sensitivity, the individuals were sampled either in the morning, one hour after breakfast or at any time of the day. The impact of the pooling process on the measured viral loads was determined by obtaining two Lolli-swabs from the same participant at the same time. One sample was tested in a pool-RT-qPCR with up to 17, 49 or 99 negative samples and the corresponding sample was tested in a single-RT-qPCR. To determine whether the detection rate depends on a particular swab type that is used for the sampling, the following four types of swabs were used for sample collection of Lolli-swabs: A) Oropharyngeal swab, Copan, catalog number: 801U059, B) Nasopharyngeal swab, Biocomma, catalog number: YVJ-TE4, C) Oropharyngeal swab, Biocomma, catalog number: YVJ-TE4, D) Dry swab, Sarstedt, catalog number: 1U059S01.

When tested in a single-RT-qPCR, each Lolli-swab was placed in a 2 ml tube pre-filled with 2 ml phosphate buffered saline (PBS), moved up and down and pressed against the bottom of the tube repetitively for 20 seconds. The Np/Op-swabs were vortexed in the viral transport media for 20 seconds. When tested in a pool-RT-qPCR, a Lolli-swab of one acutely infected individual was tested in a pool with 17, 49 or 99 Lolli-swabs of individuals not infected with SARS-CoV-2. A pool of Lolli-swabs was processed by placing the Lolli-swabs in one 50 ml centrifugation tube, adding 3 ml PBS and vortexing for 30 seconds. Of all samples, 1 ml each was used for SARS-CoV-2 detection.

SARS-CoV-2 screening in schools and daycare facilities

Lolli-swabs were used as described above for the sampling of the students in schools and children and the staff in daycare facilities. The staff was instructed for self-sampling and supervising of the sampling of the children. The samples of all participants of the

same daycare group or school class were placed in one 50 ml centrifugation tube (Supplementary Videos 1 and 2) and transported to one of the 12 diagnostic laboratories. 3 ml PBS were pipetted in one centrifugation tube. The tube was vortexed for 30 seconds.

SARS-CoV-2 detection and quantification

Validation of the Lolli-Method

For SARS-CoV-2 detection, either COBAS 6800 (Roche Diagnostics) and Alinity m (Abbott) instruments equipped with their respective SARS-CoV-2 detection kits, or the Quantstudio 5 (Thermofisher) instrument, using the Quick-RNA Viral Kits (Zymo Research) for RNA isolation and GeneFinder™ COVID-19 Plus RealAmp was used. For the comparison of cycle threshold (Ct) values measured by the different RT-qPCR equipments, Ct-values were translated into copies/ml. To this end, seven serial dilutions from a high titer SARS-CoV-2 sample were tested in all RT-qPCR equipments described above. With help of a regression model, standard curves for each equipment were generated. For the following conversion of device-specific Ct-values into copies/ml, two SARS-CoV-2 samples with a quantified RNA load from INSTAND (Society for the Promotion of Quality Assurance in Medical Laboratories, e.V., Düsseldorf, Germany; in cooperation with the Robert Koch-Institute and the Institute of Virology, Charité, Berlin) were tested on every device and subsequently used for Ct-based absolute RNA quantification.

SARS-CoV-2 screening in schools and daycare facilities

For SARS-CoV-2 detection, the 12 laboratories reported to use different equipment which is listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Viral load was reported as Ct-value.

Adapting the SIR-Model to a SARS-CoV-2 screening of children

A compartmental epidemiological model was used to study the efficiency of a long-term SARS-CoV-2 screening based on the Lolli-Method. The model consists of a closed population of N individuals and four possible states for each of them: Susceptible (S), pre-infectious (P), infectious (I) and recovered (R) (**Figure 1i**). Those states were chosen based on the impact that a long pre-infectiousness period has on the epidemiological dynamics and on testing-based non-pharmaceutical interventions⁴⁰.

Susceptible individuals get infected by a transmission within the population (internal infection rate) or by an exogenous transmission from outside the population (external infection rate), at rates r_1 and r_2 respectively. Overall, the total infection rate of susceptible individuals is given by

$$r = ar_1 + (1 - a)r_2$$

where a is the fraction of time that individuals interact within the population. $a = 5/7$ was chosen in order to approximate interactions within the population only occurring during weekdays. The internal infection rate is defined as

$$r_1 = \frac{\beta I}{N}$$

and the external infection rate as

$$r_2 = \beta\pi$$

where I is the total number of infectious individuals in the population, π is the global prevalence and β is the infection-causing contact rate between individuals.

An infected individual that is in the pre-infectious state moves into the infectious state at a constant rate of r_p :

$$r_p = \frac{1}{\tau_p}$$

From the infectious state, an infected individual moves to the recovered state at a constant rate of r_i :

$$r_i = \frac{1}{\tau_i}$$

These two last stochastic transitions follow homogeneous Poisson processes and therefore, pre-infectious and infectious periods in the population follow exponential distributions with corresponding means τ_p and τ_i .

Implementing the sensitivity of the Lolli-Method in the extended SIR-model

The probability of a positive test result when testing an infected individual is p_{det} given by

$$p_{det} = p_{PCR} \times S(VL)$$

where $1 - p_{PCR}$ is the false-negative rate of RT-qPCR and $S(VL)$ is a viral load dependent function of sensitivity. To estimate $S(VL)$, we fit the measured sensitivity data to a sigmoidal function given by

$$S(VL) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\log_{10} VL}{\log_{10} VL_{50}} \right)^{-\delta}}$$

where VL_{50} and δ are fit parameters. VL_{50} corresponds to the viral load at which $S(VL_{50}) = 0.5$ and δ quantifies the steepness of the sigmoidal function. In order to determine the time dependence of the sensitivity of the Lolli-Method (days since infection), it was assumed that infected individuals would have a viral load of 10^6 copies/ml three days after infection. An exponentially increasing viral load $VL(t)$ defined the dynamics of the viral load of infected individuals:

$$VL(t) = e^{gt}$$

Temporal dynamics of viral load and the associated sensitivity of the Lolli-Method are relevant because it was assumed that infectiousness would begin three days after

infection (**Fig. 1j**). Thus, in this model, transmissions within the institutions can only take place when the infection occurred at least three days ago. Infected individuals would be infectious on average for 6 days. A summary of model inputs can be found in **Extended data Table 2**^{5,38,41–48}.

The numerical dynamics consist of continuous-time and individual-based simulations, in which the transitions between states of each individual are stochastically determined using the Gillespie algorithm. Additionally, a testing scheme was implemented in which infected individuals were tested positive according to $p_{det}(t)$. Detected individuals were removed from the interacting population and the rest of the population was quarantined for the next 14 days. After this period, individuals could interact again. In this way, infected individuals that were not tested positive could transit to a recovered state (R) without infecting other individuals in the test-population.

Calculation of 7-day pool incidence in schools

Pool-sizes were estimated by a linear model using official data by the Ministry of Schools and Education of North Rhine-Westphalia on class sizes and available reported pool-sizes (**Extended data Fig. 9a**). During the first three weeks of the screening, students were taught and tested in a split-class lesson model, while a full-class lesson model was the basis of lessons and testing for the rest of the screening. During split-class lessons, 50% of the students of one class attended lessons and were tested on Mondays and Wednesdays. The other 50% of the students attended lessons and were tested on Tuesdays and Thursdays. During full-class lessons, the whole class attended lessons daily and was tested either Mondays and Wednesdays or Tuesdays and Thursdays (**Extended data Fig. 9b**). Pool sizes were reported by the tested schools (**Extended data Fig. 9c**). Means of class-sizes per school and means of reported pool-sizes per school were mapped as part of a linear model with a forced

Y-axis intercept of 0. (**Extended data Fig. 9d**) Slopes during spit-class lessons were $m=0.97$ and during full-class lessons $m=0.97$ before and 0.96 after summer holidays. Thus, reported average pool-sizes per school corresponded to approximately 97% and 96%, respectively, of the average class-sizes per school. For this reason, average class-sizes per school were used as an estimate of the average pool-sizes per school for the estimation of number of children tested and the subsequently calculated 7-days-pool-incidence (pool-incidence= number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs/100.000 tested children in 7 days)

School social deprivation index (SSDI)

The level of social deprivation of schools is measured by a nine-level school social deprivation index (SSDI). The index is generated via a confirmatory factor analysis with four indicator variables²⁴. The latent variable is divided into nine classes: Level 1 corresponds to a very low social deprivation; level 9 corresponds to a very high social deprivation. The index is based on several school-related indicators:

1. Child and youth poverty in the vicinity of an elementary school
2. Proportion of students with predominantly non-German family languages
3. Proportion of students who have moved to Germany from abroad
4. Proportion of students with special educational needs for learning, emotional and social development and language

The selection of the indicators is based on two criteria: First, to reflect socio-demographic variables relevant to school performance, and second, to avoid additional data collection and to use data that are uniformly available across the state.

With the exception of the indicator for child and youth poverty, all data come from the official school statistics of the state of North Rhine-Westphalia. A kernel-density estimate for the residential addresses of minors in unemployment/social-assistance-

beneficiary households from the statistics of the Federal Employment Agency forms the indicator for child and youth poverty. It is a location statistic that shows the spatial density of minors in the vicinity of schools. The fourth indicator is included in the model as an interaction indicator (indicator of child and youth poverty * proportion of students with special educational needs). Therefore, a correlation between the interaction indicator and the indicator for children and youth poverty was allowed in the factor model.

The index shows good explanatory power for different learning outcomes when evaluated with the results of the centrally organized performance assessments VERA 3 (e.g. correlation with reading comprehension in German results in $R^2 = 0.39$).

SARS-CoV-2 infection dynamics in schools

Estimating the differences between SARS-CoV-2 variants in infection dynamics in schools was based on the notion that transmissions inside school classes (in-class transmissions) should lead to an excessive number of pool-RT-qPCRs containing more than one infected child. We implemented this notion by first estimating the expected number of pool-RT-qPCRs with more than one infected child assuming a Null model without in-class transmissions. We next compared the expected number of pool-RT-qPCRs with more than one infected child to the observed number of pool-RT-qPCRs with more than one infected child.

Positive pool-RT-qPCRs were filtered based on the following criteria to ensure only high-quality data is used for this analysis: i) only pool-RT-qPCRs that have a matching positive single-RT-qPCR, ii) number of following single-RT-qPCRs is within 20% of the pool size.

First, we calculated the probability of each tested child being infected under the Null model. To calculate the probability of each tested child being infected, we fitted a

single linear model for the entire testing period (calendar week 19-25, 34-37) using the local (district level) 7-day incidence of children aged between 6 and 10 years, the rate of positivity of pool-RT-qPCRs per district and per calendar week and the school social deprivation index (SSDI) as predictors (covariates),

$$p(P_{iw}) = p_{iw} = \beta_1 \cdot I_{iw} + \beta_2 \cdot PR_{iw} + \beta_3 \cdot SSDI_i + \beta_4 \cdot I_{iw}SSDI_i + \beta_5 \cdot PR_{iw}SSDI_i$$

where P_{iw} is the event that a specific child in a positive pool-RT-qPCR in school i and week w is tested positive, p_{iw} is the probability of being infected per child, I_{iw} is the local 7-day incidence among children aged between 6 and 10 years, PR_{iw} is the rate of positivity of pool-RT-qPCRs per district and per calendar week w , $SSDI_i$ is the school social deprivation index of school i and β_{1-5} are the regression coefficients. The y-offset was set to zero (i.e. if the incidence is zero no children can get infected).

After fitting the above regression coefficients, we calculated the probability of being infected per child p_{iw} for each positive pool RT-qPCR. Each such pool contains at least one infected child by definition. We computed the probability of observing additional infected children assuming a binomial distribution,

$$P(X) = \frac{n}{k} \cdot p_{iw}^k \cdot (1 - p_{iw})^{n-k}$$

where p_{iw} is the probability of each child being infected derived from the linear model above, n is the number of tested children in a pool-RT-qPCR and k is the number of additional infected children. Using this binomial distribution, we computed the expected number of pool-RT-qPCRs with more than one infected child under the Null model (i.e. assuming no in-class transmissions). These values were compared to the observed number of pool-RT-qPCRs with more than one infected child in a given time period.

Statistical analysis

Geometric means were calculated for viral loads. Differences in viral loads were calculated with Wilcoxon-signed rank test (WSR) and Friedman test (FT). P-values <0.05 were considered significant. Sensitivity (positive percent agreement) and specificity (negative percent agreement) were calculated using RT-qPCR agreement. Differences in Ct-values during the screening program were calculated with Mann-Whitney test (MWT). Data analyses were done using the software GraphPad Prism (v.9), Microsoft Excel for Mac (v.14.7.3.) and R programming language (v. 3.5.2, stats package).

Additional Software

Maps of North Rhine-Westphalia and Cologne were designed with the iMapU tool provided by iExcelU. Codes used of the epidemiological simulations are available in the Github repository https://github.com/betoto008/lolli_testing.

Data availability

All data used in this analysis can be made available upon request.

Acknowledgements

We thank all participants of the validation of the Lolli-Method and all children and staff in tested daycare facilities and schools. We thank Sascha Nickel and Anne Fries as well all staff members of their daycare facilities for their impetus for the development of the Lolli-Method. We thank all members of the Institute of Virology, University Hospital Cologne. In particular, we thank Irina Fish, Ivonne Torre-Lage, Christina Hellriegel and Esther Milz for processing of SARS-CoV-2 samples and optimizing the logistics. We thank Carsten Tschirner (iExcelU) for supporting data visualization,

Stephan Glaremin, Udo Neumann, Anja Kolb-Bastigkeit (Amt für Jugend, Arbeit und Soziales der Stadt Köln), Barbara Michaelis, Niklas Marizy (Gesundheitsamt Köln) for administrative support during implementation of the screening in Cologne. We thank Janna Seifried and Sindy Böttcher (Robert Koch Institute) for continuous support and discussions, Norbert Schmeißer and Frederik Schmeißer (Medeora GmbH Köln) for supporting data collection, Kim Zun-Gon, Florian Korte and Hendrik Schöneborn (Boston Consulting Group) for organizational support, Christoph Gusovius from the Ministry for Schools and Education of the State of North Rhine-Westphalia, and Dietmar Klimas and Philip Graul (Labor ZotzKlimas, Düsseldorf, Germany) and Philipp Kirfel (Synlab) for supporting diagnostic analysis.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to sections relevant to their experience. F.D., F.K., R.K., I.S., G.F., A.B. and J.R. contributed to conception and design of the project. F.D. G.H.Q., R.K., F.K., V.D.C., G.B.F., D.L.V., A.R.H., J.M.C., A.T.H., J.R.Q., K.S., M.M., J.C.L., C.H., L.T.W., E.H., E.K. and M.W. developed and validated the Lolli-Method. R.M.T. and M.L. developed the extension of the SIR-model. G.Q., H.W., C.T., R.Z., H.J., A.P., I.H., P.C., F.J.S., P.P., G.K., C.K. performed RT-qPCRs during the screening programs. M.B., A.W., A.K., J.N. and A.L. partnered as public health scientists. F.D., R.J., J.G, G.S., F.B., J.K., A.K., C.G. and M.F. performed data analysis. M.H., J.P.S. and J.G. performed statistical analysis. F.D., L.J., and V.L. managed the administrative framework. F.K., G.F., A.B. and J.D. supervised the project. F.D., R.M.T., J.G., R.J. S.J. and J.P.S. wrote the draft manuscript. All authors were involved in editing of the final manuscript

Competing interest declaration

The authors have no competing interests. F.D., F.K. and R.K. hold EU-wide trademark protection for the terms “Lolli-Test” (018503959) and “Lolli-Methode” (018503958).

Additional Information

Supplementary Information is available for this paper.

Correspondence should be addressed to florian.klein@uk-koeln.de

Funding was provided by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (registration number: 01KX2021) within Bundesweites Forschungsnetz „Angewandte Surveillance und Testung“ (B-FAST) project of the „NaFoUniMedCovid19“ consortium. Furthermore, funding was provided by the state of North Rhine-Westphalia and by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (registration number: ZMI1-2521COR004).

Fig. 1: Lolli-Method for high-throughput SARS-CoV-2 screening in children

a, Experimental design used to validate the Lolli-Method. **b**, Np-/Op-swabs and Lolli-swabs obtained in the morning plotted by viral load ($p < 0.0001$, WSR). **c**, Np-/Op-swabs and Lolli-swabs obtained during the day plotted by viral load ($p < 0.0001$, WSR). **d**, The sensitivity of the Lolli-Method is stratified by viral load. Time of sampling is indicated in corresponding colors. **e-g**, Matched Lolli-Swabs plotted by viral loads obtained in the morning and 1 hour after breakfast (**e**), with four types of Lolli-swabs (**f**), and for single- and pool-RT-qPCR, respectively (**g**). **g**, Dots represent pools of 18, squares of 49 and stars of 100 individuals. **h**, Visualization of the screening concept. Samples are pooled in the classroom and tested in RT-qPCR. Individual RT-qPCRs are tested the next day and only in case of a positive pool. **i**, Extension of the SIR-model to determine efficiency of the screening program. **j**, Assumptions for the course of a SARS-CoV-2 infection. **k**, Fractions of prevented transmissions stratified by test-frequency. Dots, triangles and squares represent SARS-CoV-2 basic reproduction values of 2.5, 4.5 and 7.5.

Fig. 2: Implementing the Lolli-Method screening concept in schools and daycare facilities

a, Map of North Rhine-Westphalia. Black dots mark the location of each school. **b**, Epidemiological characteristics of the tested students and characteristics of schools. Black horizontal lines in the violin plots represent median, first and third quartile. **c**, 7-day incidence of different age groups (top) and frequency of variants of concern according to data published by the Robert Koch Institute (bottom) stratified by calendar week. Summer holidays are marked in grey. **d**, Number of performed RT-qPCRs (blue), average pool-sizes (green) and total number of performed tests are stratified by calendar week. Standard deviation is represented by error bars.

Figure 3: Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools

a, The number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs is stratified by calendar week. **b**, The rate of positivity of pool-RT-qPCRs stratified by calendar week. **c**, Maps of North Rhine-Westphalia depicting the 7-day pool-incidence per week and district. **d**, Spearman correlation between pool-incidence and SARS-CoV-2 incidence. Each black dot represents one district of North Rhine-Westphalia. **e**, Fraction of schools with at least one positive pool-RT-qPCR (pie-chart) and fraction of schools stratified by corresponding number of positive Pool-RT-qPCRs per school (bar chart). **f**, Number of schools and positive pool-RT-qPCR stratified by school social deprivation index level. **g**, Spearman correlation between number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs/student/pool and school social deprivation index level.

Fig. 4: High-throughput screening reveals differences in infection dynamics for SARS-CoV-2 variants in schools

a, Estimated distribution of VOCs during calendar weeks 19-26 and 33-37. VOCs are indicated by corresponding colors. **b**, All available Ct-values of positive pool-RT-qPCRs are plotted and stratified by estimated assignment to alpha or delta variant. **c**, Categorization of Ct-values of positive Pool-RT-qPCRs assigned either alpha or delta variant in corresponding colors. **d**, Expected and observed numbers of positive pool-RT-qPCRs containing >1 infected child were compared by statistical modelling. **e**, The number of expected and observed positive pool-RT-qPCRs is stratified by time period. **f**, The ratio of expected and observed numbers of positive pool-RT-qPCRs containing >1 infected child is stratified by SARS-CoV-2 variant.

Extended data Fig. 1: Cohort of acutely infected individuals

a, Flowchart including cohort sizes selected for RT-qPCR for the validation of the Lolli-Method. **b**, Age and gender distribution of the cohort. **c**, Disease severity among participants. **d**, Days after symptom onset among participants.

Extended data Fig. 2: Pool-RT-qPCRs and testing areas of 12 laboratories

a, Cumulative number of performed pool-RT-qPCRs for each laboratory stratified by calendar week. **b**, Testing areas in North Rhine-Westphalia of each laboratory. Each laboratory is assigned one corresponding color.

Extended data Fig. 3: Data collection and plausibility checks

a, Flowchart including steps of data collection and quality control. **b**, Flowchart including details of data plausibility checks for RT-qPCRs indicating the numbers of RT-qPCRs that were used for analysis.

a Time of sample registration and result communication of Pool-PCRs

b Turn-around time of Pool-PCRs

c Time of sample registration and result communication of Single-PCRs

d Turn-around time of Single-PCRs

Extended data Fig. 4: Turn-around time of RT-qPCRs

a, The bar charts represent the time of registration and result communication of pool-RT-qPCRs of each laboratory. **b**, Turn-around time of pool-RT-qPCRs. The error bar represents the standard deviation. **c**, The bar charts represent the time of registration and result communication of single-RT-qPCRs of each laboratory. **d**, Turn-around time of single-RT-qPCRs. The error bar represents the standard deviation.

Extended data Fig. 5: Implementation of the Lolli-Method in daycare facilities

a, Map of North Rhine-Westphalia indicating the locations of the tested daycare facilities in the city Cologne. **b**, SARS-CoV-2 7-day incidence in Cologne (top) and number of performed RT-qPCRs (bottom) stratified by calendar week. **c**, Number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs and rate of positivity of pool-RT-qPCRs stratified by calendar week.

Extended data Fig. 6: Number of infected individuals in positive pool-RT-qPCRs

a, Overview on data quality. **b**, Only pool-RT-qPCRs with at least one assigned positive single-RT-qPCR were used for further analysis. Out of those, only pool-RT-qPCRs were analyzed when the number of single-RT-qPCR was between 80 and 120% of the expected number, according to the reported pool-size. **c**, Categorization of number of positive single-RT-qPCRs/positive pool-RT-qPCR stratified by calendar week.

Extended data Fig. 7: Determination of the SARS-CoV-2 detection rate of the Lolli-Method

a, Contact-tracing data of all index-cases attending elementary schools in the city of Cologne were analyzed.
b, Pie-chart categorizing all index-cases attending elementary schools in Cologne.

Extended data Fig. 8: Modelling differences in infections dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 variants with the Lolli-Me-thod in schools

a, Boxplot indicating the probability of every child to be tested positive stratified by calendar week. Calendar weeks 19-25 (yellow boxes) and 34-37 (green boxes) are included in this plot. **b**, Observed number stratified by expected number of positive pool-RT-qPCRs containing >1 infected child per calendar week. Calendar weeks 19-25 (yellow dots) and 34-37 (green dots) are included in this plot. **c**, Violin plot indicating the difference between observed and expected number of additional infected children per positive pool-RT-qPCR stratified by SARS-CoV-2 variant ($p=0.035$, WSR).

Extended data Fig. 9: Estimating the number of tested individuals in schools

a, Experimental design and availability of data on class sizes. **b**, Illustration of two different lesson-models applied during the screening. **c**, Data availability on pool-sizes. **d**, Means of class sizes per school and means of reported pool-sizes per school were mapped as part of a linear model with a forced Y-axis intercept of 0.

Extended data Table 1a

General information				Sampling information		Lolli-swab					Np-/Op-swab				
ID	Gender	Days after symptom onset	Days after first positive PCR	Side of sampling	Sampling time	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
3	M	5	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	35,25	1,03E+02
4	F	2	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	41,68	1,37E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
6	F	-	4	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	19,64	3,71E+06
7	M	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	40,76	2,54E+00	-	-	-	23,66	2,49E+05
8	M	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	31,2	1,57E+03	-	-	-	26,56	3,54E+04
9	M	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	37,69	2,00E+01	-	-	-	28,48	9,76E+03
10	M	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	24,37	1,54E+05	-	-	-	13,5	2,30E+08
11	M	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	15,4	6,41E+07
12	F	9	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	37,16	2,86E+01
13	F	8	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	33,4	3,58E+02
15	M	10	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	39,7	5,18E+00
16	M	2	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	33,58	3,17E+02	-	-	-	21,61	9,87E+05
17	M	-	8	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	34,73	1,46E+02
18	F	5	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	26,38	4,00E+04
19	F	-	6	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	33,53	3,28E+02	-	-	-	29,8	4,02E+03
20	F	6	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	37,64	2,07E+01	-	-	-	24,73	1,21E+05
21	F	9	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	32,46	6,73E+02	-	-	-	24,35	1,57E+05
22	M	-	8	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	36,06	5,99E+01
23	M	8	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	39,12	7,66E+00
24	M	10	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	36,74	3,79E+01	-	-	-	34,56	1,64E+02
25	F	6	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	31,76	1,08E+03	-	-	-	23,75	2,34E+05
26	F	11	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	31,42	1,35E+03	-	-	-	23,28	3,21E+05
27	F	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,4	7,00E+02	-	-	-	22,19	6,68E+05
28	F	6	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,73	2,86E+02	-	-	-	24,99	1,02E+05
29	F	-	7	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	27,99	1,36E+04	-	-	-	25,01	1,00E+05
31	F	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,88	2,59E+02	-	-	-	16,68	2,71E+07
32	M	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	27,9	1,44E+04
33	F	7	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	31,94	9,54E+02	-	-	-	22,48	5,50E+05
34	F	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	35,8	7,13E+01	-	-	-	26,05	4,99E+04
35	M	4	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	38,19	1,43E+01
36	F	4	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	38,82	9,37E+00	-	-	-	26,27	4,31E+04
37	M	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,56	6,29E+02	-	-	-	25,16	9,08E+04
39	M	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	32,68	5,80E+02
40	M	7	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	37,71	1,97E+01	-	-	-	34,14	2,17E+02
42	M	6	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	30,02	3,47E+03	-	-	-	17,99	1,12E+07
43	F	7	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,23	4,01E+02	-	-	-	21,66	9,54E+05
45	F	11	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,04	4,55E+02	-	-	-	28,95	7,11E+03
46	M	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	24,74	1,20E+05	-	-	-	29,22	5,93E+03
47	F	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	33,36	3,67E+02
48	F	12	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	35,15	1,10E+02	-	-	-	33,97	2,44E+02
49	M	-	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	36,64	4,05E+01	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
51	F	11	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	41,74	1,32E+00	-	-	-	34,81	1,39E+02
52	M	9	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	25,53	7,08E+04	-	-	-	26,71	3,20E+04
53	M	9	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	27,44	1,96E+04	-	-	-	31,79	1,05E+03
54	M	11	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	41,6	1,45E+00
55	F	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	37,01	3,16E+01	-	-	-	32,34	7,29E+02
56	F	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,93	4,90E+02	-	-	-	29,79	4,05E+03
57	M	-	4	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	28,09	1,27E+04	-	-	-	28,88	7,46E+03
62	M	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	39,24	7,06E+00
65	M	13	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	41,68	1,37E+00
66	F	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	35,57	8,32E+01
68	M	-	7	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	33,14	4,26E+02
69	M	-	3	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	40,99	2,18E+00	-	-	-	36,05	6,03E+01
70	M	-	3	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	40,12	3,91E+00
71	F	3	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	24,79	1,16E+05
72	M	13	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,32	7,39E+02	-	-	-	32,47	6,68E+02
72	M	13	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	35,99	6,27E+01	-	-	-	28,93	7,21E+03
74	F	-	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	33,56	3,21E+02
75	F	3	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	29,11	6,39E+03	-	-	-	22,93	4,06E+05
75	F	3	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	30,24	2,99E+03	-	-	-	23,67	2,47E+05
77	M	8	4	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,18	4,15E+02	-	-	-	24,21	1,72E+05
77	M	8	4	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	27,9	1,44E+04
82	F	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	25,47	7,37E+04	-	-	-	21,9	8,12E+05
82	F	5	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	28,3	1,10E+04	-	-	-	19,09	5,37E+06
84	M	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	38,2	1,42E+01	-	-	-	22,47	5,54E+05
84	M	5	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	39,21	7,21E+00	-	-	-	26,33	4,14E+04
85	M	4	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	21,07	1,42E+06	-	-	-	19,4	4,36E+06
85	M	4	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	19,8	3,33E+06	-	-	-	17,68	1,38E+07
87	F	-	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	30,74	2,14E+03	-	-	-	25,99	5,20E+04
87	F	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	40,28	3,51E+00	-	-	-	30,69	2,21E+03
88	F	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	34,91	1,30E+02	-	-	-	19,15	5,15E+06
88	F	8	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	34,66	1,53E+02
90	F	11	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	39,98	4,30E+00	-	-	-	32,46	6,73E+02
91	F	6	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	34,51	1,70E+02	-	-	-	15,73	5,13E+07

Extended data Table 1a

General information				Sampling information		Lolli-swab					Np-/Op-swab				
ID	Gender	Days after symptom onset	Days after first positive PCR	Side of sampling	Sampling time	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
91	F	6	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	29,1	6,43E+03	-	-	-	15,28	6,94E+07
92	M	7	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	30,73	2,15E+03	-	-	-	24,71	1,23E+05
92	M	7	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	37,39	2,45E+01	-	-	-	24,65	1,28E+05
93	F	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	22,87	4,23E+05	-	-	-	16,75	2,59E+07
94	F	10	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	37,16	2,86E+01	-	-	-	31,25	1,52E+03
95	M	6	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	29,85	3,89E+03	-	-	-	24,2	1,73E+05
97	M	6	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	28,08	1,28E+04	-	-	-	30,87	1,96E+03
98	M	-	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	20,8	1,70E+06	-	-	-	18,63	7,31E+06
98	M	-	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	32,02	9,04E+02
99	F	7	-	Cologne	Morning	-	32,56	-	-	4,14E+03	-	28,01	-	-	1,36E+05
100	M	7	-	Cologne	Morning	-	33,8	-	-	1,60E+03	-	28,13	-	-	1,24E+05
102	M	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	31,53	-	-	9,13E+03	-	29,19	-	-	5,51E+04
103	F	11	-	Cologne	Morning	-	35,53	-	-	4,23E+02	-	32,85	-	-	3,31E+03
104	M	3	-	Cologne	Morning	-	33,27	-	-	2,40E+03	-	35,71	-	-	3,69E+02
105	M	6	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	-	36,92	-	-	1,46E+02
106	F	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	37,3	-	-	1,09E+02	-	34,77	-	-	7,59E+02
107	F	9	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	37,49	37,49	-	-	9,39E+01
108	M	9	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,61	3,11E+02	-	-	-	27,04	2,57E+04
109	M	8	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	28,87	7,51E+03	-	-	-	29,64	4,47E+03
115	M	5	-	Cologne	Morning	32,32	34,19	-	-	1,18E+03	30,63	30,68	-	-	1,75E+04
116	F	1	-	Cologne	Morning	-	32,15	-	-	5,67E+03	34,97	36,88	-	-	1,50E+02
118	F	-	-	Cologne	Morning	32,43	33,2	-	-	2,53E+03	33,64	33,83	-	-	1,56E+03
119	F	1	-	Cologne	Morning	29,81	30,56	-	-	1,92E+04	24,08	24,02	-	-	2,92E+06
120	F	4	-	Cologne	Morning	19,85	20,09	-	-	5,98E+07	23,25	23,14	-	-	5,74E+06
121	M	0	-	Cologne	Morning	29,54	30,24	-	-	2,46E+04	28,01	28,04	-	-	1,33E+05
122	M	-	-	Cologne	Morning	28,62	28,84	-	-	7,21E+04	27,61	27,49	-	-	2,03E+05
123	M	2	-	Cologne	Morning	29,54	30,78	-	-	1,62E+04	33,19	34,03	-	-	1,34E+03
127	M	2	-	Cologne	Morning	32,18	32,88	-	-	3,24E+03	27,26	27,28	-	-	2,39E+05
130	F	NA	NA	Cologne	Morning	34,1	32,56	-	-	4,14E+03	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
132	M	7	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	33,27	-	-	2,40E+03	33,96	35,26	-	-	5,21E+02
133	M	11	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	32,71	33,8	-	-	1,60E+03
134	F	6	-	Cologne	Morning	31,71	32,85	-	-	3,31E+03	25,05	25,35	-	-	1,05E+06
135	F	7	-	Cologne	Morning	29,84	30,79	-	-	1,61E+04	23,89	23,76	-	-	3,57E+06
136	F	7	-	Cologne	Morning	30,61	31,9	-	-	6,87E+03	30,14	30,14	-	-	2,66E+04
137	M	4	-	Cologne	Morning	32,53	33,8	-	-	1,60E+03	24,12	24,17	-	-	2,60E+06
138	M	4	-	Cologne	Morning	29,35	29,77	-	-	3,53E+04	28,62	28,48	-	-	9,51E+04
139	F	2	-	Cologne	Morning	30,08	30,32	-	-	2,31E+04	18,28	17,68	-	-	3,80E+08
141	M	7	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	34,26	36,97	-	-	1,40E+02
144	F	NA	NA	Cologne	Morning	22,4	22,14	-	-	1,24E+07	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
145	M	5	-	Cologne	Morning	30,04	31,01	-	-	1,36E+04	19,62	19,73	-	-	7,88E+07
148	F	7	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	35	-	-	6,36E+02	31,19	28,41	-	-	1,00E+05
150	M	10	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	35,7	36,32	-	-	2,31E+02
153	F	5	-	Cologne	Morning	30,31	30,88	-	-	1,50E+04	22,68	22,48	-	-	9,53E+06
155	F	-	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	33,8	-	-	1,60E+03	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
158	M	8	-	Cologne	Morning	32,28	33,19	-	-	2,55E+03	26,37	26,55	-	-	4,18E+05
159	M	3	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	31,53	-	-	9,13E+03	33,49	35,29	-	-	5,09E+02
160	M	10	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	37,38	-	-	1,02E+02
161	M	12	-	Cologne	Morning	31,05	31,78	-	-	7,54E+03	32,56	34,99	-	-	6,41E+02
162	F	-	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	37,74	-	-	7,75E+01	35,01	35,01	-	-	6,31E+02
163	F	10	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	35,53	-	-	4,23E+02	33	34,27	-	-	1,11E+03
166	F	4	2	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	31,81	34,01	-	-	1,36E+03
166	F	4	2	Cologne	During day	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	32,02	33,15	-	-	6,27E+03
167	F	3	2	Cologne	Morning	32,27	34,1	-	-	1,27E+03	29,42	29,57	-	-	4,12E+04
167	F	3	2	Cologne	During day	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	28,77	28,8	-	-	7,61E+04
168	M	6	2	Cologne	Morning	31,77	33,03	-	-	2,89E+03	28,07	27,5	-	-	2,02E+05
168	M	6	2	Cologne	During day	27,27	27,96	-	-	2,41E+05	19,93	20,06	-	-	6,76E+07
169	F	6	2	Cologne	Morning	33,81	35,08	-	-	5,98E+02	29,77	30,65	-	-	1,80E+04
169	F	6	2	Cologne	During day	32,58	34,93	-	-	4,08E+03	24,42	24,52	-	-	2,15E+06
170	M	2	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	20,8	1,70E+06	-	-	-	18,63	7,31E+06
171	F	-	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	35,32	9,84E+01
172	F	5	3	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	36,52	4,39E+01	-	-	-	20,09	2,74E+06
173	F	6	3	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	33,03	4,59E+02
174	F	-	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	34,45	1,77E+02
175	F	9	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,36	7,19E+02	-	-	-	38,16	1,46E+01
176	F	7	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	26,85	2,92E+04	-	-	-	26,57	3,52E+04
176	F	7	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	28,99	6,92E+03
177	F	2	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,92	4,94E+02	-	-	-	27,33	2,11E+04
177	F	2	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	38,4	1,24E+01	-	-	-	25,11	9,39E+04
178	F	5	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	24,63	1,30E+05	-	-	-	15,94	4,46E+07
178	F	5	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	28,77	8,03E+03	-	-	-	17,11	2,03E+07
181	F	4	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	31,62	1,18E+03
181	F	4	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	31,14	1,63E+03
182	F	7	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	38,91	8,82E+00	-	-	-	26,71	3,20E+04

Extended data Table 1a

General information				Sampling information		Lolli-swab					Np-/Op-swab				
ID	Gender	Days after symptom onset	Days after first positive PCR	Side of sampling	Sampling time	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
182	F	7	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	27,57	1,80E+04
184	M	5	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	30,79	2,07E+03	-	-	-	17,47	1,59E+07
184	M	5	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	35,44	9,08E+01	-	-	-	19,8	3,33E+06
185	F	-	0	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	25,76	6,07E+04	-	-	-	21,35	1,18E+06
185	F	-	0	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	26,77	3,08E+04	-	-	-	25,78	5,99E+04
186	M	7	2	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	32,34	7,29E+02	-	-	-	24,27	1,65E+05
186	M	7	2	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	28,48	9,76E+03	-	-	-	28,87	7,51E+03
187	M	11	2	Cologne	Morning	-	34,69	-	-	8,07E+02	-	34,1	-	-	1,27E+03
188	F	-	0	Cologne	Morning	-	33,97	-	-	1,40E+03	-	24,6	-	-	1,87E+06
189	M	-	0	Cologne	Morning	-	27,08	-	-	2,79E+05	-	19,53	-	-	9,19E+07
190	F	5	2	Cologne	Morning	-	31,28	-	-	1,11E+04	-	22,75	-	-	7,75E+06
193	F	5	2	Cologne	Morning	-	34,04	-	-	1,33E+03	-	33,85	-	-	1,54E+03
194	F	4	2	Cologne	Morning	-	24,08	-	-	2,79E+06	-	28,44	-	-	9,80E+04
195	F	8	2	Cologne	Morning	-	32,08	-	-	5,99E+03	-	23,34	-	-	4,92E+06
196	F	2	2	Cologne	Morning	-	32,15	-	-	5,67E+03	-	26,67	-	-	3,82E+05
197	M	3	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	39,63	5,43E+00	-	-	-	36	6,23E+01
198	F	1	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	33,8	2,73E+02	-	-	-	33,5	3,34E+02
199	F	5	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	29,35	5,44E+03	-	-	-	33,48	3,39E+02
225	M	2	-	Tabasco	During day	29,73	29,55	29,84	-	2,03E+04	15,67	10,47	15,06	-	5,78E+08
226	F	9	-	Tabasco	During day	34,45	NEG	35,01	-	5,61E+02	27	21,71	26,12	-	2,68E+05
227	M	2	-	Tabasco	During day	25,54	25,31	26,97	-	1,49E+05	11,66	7,6	11,06	-	9,28E+09
228	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	25,14	24,09	24,88	-	6,34E+05	21,95	16,66	22,03	-	4,58E+06
229	F	10	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	36,26	NEG	33,69	-	1,40E+03
230	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	34,19	NEG	35,42	-	4,22E+02	26,1	22,46	25,87	-	3,19E+05
231	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	32,53	32,78	32,67	-	2,85E+03	23,02	22,11	24,47	-	8,43E+05
232	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	32,38	34,16	31,83	-	5,10E+03	28,24	27,6	26,23	-	2,48E+05
233	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	27,75	27,33	28,15	-	6,56E+04	16,28	14,98	15,29	-	4,93E+08
234	F	4	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	32,67	-	2,85E+03
235	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	29,31	28,99	28,83	-	4,09E+04	13,7	12,43	12,95	-	2,50E+09
236	F	7	-	Tabasco	During day	29,58	28,87	28,92	-	3,84E+04	22,59	22,25	23,19	-	2,05E+06
237	M	8	-	Tabasco	During day	30,33	29,83	29,91	-	1,93E+04	24,64	24,13	25,21	-	5,04E+05
238	F	10	-	Tabasco	During day	28,97	28,15	28,67	-	4,57E+04	23,93	23,68	25,3	-	4,74E+05
239	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	31,4	30,77	32,22	-	3,89E+03	24,44	25,6	26,39	-	2,22E+05
240	F	5	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	34,05	-	1,09E+03	33,5	33,27	32,17	-	4,03E+03
241	F	6	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	29,01	27,44	30,47	-	1,31E+04
242	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	31,86	28,82	30,3	-	1,47E+04	18,2	15,63	18,7	-	4,62E+07
243	M	3	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	30,38	28,72	30,81	-	1,03E+04
244	F	6	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	34,09	NEG	33,47	-	1,63E+03
245	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	31,4	NEG	31,58	-	6,06E+03
246	F	5	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	36,33	-	2,24E+02	22,48	17,66	19,86	-	2,07E+07
247	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	25,51	24,78	25,42	-	4,36E+05	24,32	21,5	22,89	-	2,52E+06
248	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	32,45	NEG	29,17	-	3,23E+04
249	F	4	-	Tabasco	During day	34	NEG	32,84	-	2,53E+03	27,22	26,17	26,29	-	2,38E+05
250	F	5	-	Tabasco	During day	26,95	26,97	26,05	-	2,82E+05	26,43	23,85	23,82	-	1,32E+06
251	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	33,27	31,35	31,77	-	5,32E+03	31,06	30,3	29,12	-	3,34E+04
252	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	31,19	30,95	28,98	-	3,69E+04	29,99	28,47	27,67	-	9,15E+04
253	F	4	-	Tabasco	During day	31,2	30,45	31,65	-	5,78E+03	19,34	16,82	18,9	-	4,02E+07
254	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	21,29	21,06	21,14	-	8,50E+06	16,43	14,42	15,51	-	4,23E+08
255	M	3	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	36,82	-	1,60E+02	20,3	19,26	18,83	-	4,22E+07
256	F	7	-	Tabasco	During day	31,46	27,42	30,89	-	9,79E+03	26,33	25,71	27,25	-	1,22E+05
257	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	33,74	NEG	32,8	-	2,60E+03	28,17	27,7	28,32	-	5,83E+04
258	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	25,14	24,41	24,19	-	1,02E+06
259	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	34,58	NEG	33,34	-	1,79E+03
260	F	4	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	35,55	29,93	31,56	-	6,15E+03
261	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	21,72	18,46	21,6	-	6,18E+06
262	F	0	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	32,28	27,98	29,42	-	2,72E+04
263	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	28,36	26,75	27,93	-	7,64E+04
264	M	0	-	Tabasco	During day	33,83	34,76	32,79	-	2,62E+03	20,97	18,8	20,95	-	9,70E+06
265	M	3	-	Tabasco	During day	26,73	26,81	26,51	-	2,05E+05	26,84	23,73	25,36	-	4,54E+05
266	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	31,57	29,5	29,76	-	2,14E+04
267	F	7	-	Tabasco	During day	20,81	18,28	22,24	-	3,96E+06	31,18	35,62	31,13	-	8,29E+03
268	NA	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	33,89	NEG	33,92	-	1,20E+03	24,52	24,49	25,16	-	5,22E+05
269	F	12	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	36,47	-	2,04E+02	31,18	28,94	31,69	-	5,62E+03
270	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	24,61	21,02	24,22	-	1,00E+06
271	F	4	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	26,77	24,65	27,55	-	9,94E+04
272	F	5	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	20,74	18,42	22,37	-	3,62E+06
273	M	13	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	34,78	-	6,58E+02	33,59	NEG	30,75	-	1,08E+04
274	F	14	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	28,42	27,22	26,98	-	1,48E+05
275	F	12	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	32,11	31,07	31,6	-	5,98E+03
276	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	36,39	31,48	31,1	-	8,46E+03
277	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	18,13	14,43	15,85	-	3,34E+08
278	F	6	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	26,39	25,23	26,23	-	2,48E+05
279	F	7	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	35,45	NEG	32,74	-	2,71E+03

Extended data Table 1a

General information				Sampling information		Lolli-swab					Np-/Op-swab				
ID	Gender	Days after symptom onset	Days after first positive PCR	Side of sampling	Sampling time	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
280	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	29,55	30,16	29,35	-	2,85E+04	28,34	26,68	26,62	-	1,90E+05
281	F	5	-	Tabasco	During day	33,42	NEG	33,34	-	1,79E+03	14,8	14,26	14,27	-	1,00E+09
282	F	3	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	29,16	28,89	27,6	-	9,60E+04
283	M	3	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	28,79	28,96	27,27	-	1,21E+05
284	F	2	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	33,09	-	2,13E+03
285	M	2	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	35,51	NEG	32,91	-	2,41E+03
286	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	34,23	-	9,64E+02
287	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	20,89	20,17	20,76	-	1,11E+07
288	M	10	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	36,51	NEG	33,31	-	1,83E+03
289	F	9	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	26,05	26,42	24,78	-	6,80E+05
290	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	37,89	NEG	37,46	-	1,02E+02	22,17	21,57	21,7	-	5,76E+06
291	M	11	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	27,78	27,53	26,9	-	1,56E+05
292	F	4	-	Tabasco	During day	27,92	28,19	28,37	-	5,63E+04	15,31	14,23	14,59	-	8,01E+08
293	F	6	-	Tabasco	During day	31,81	33,41	31,31	-	7,31E+03	23,11	22,64	21,48	-	6,71E+06
294	F	7	-	Tabasco	During day	35,7	NEG	33,19	-	1,98E+03	25,15	25,39	24,62	-	7,60E+05
295	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	31,73	30,35	30,32	-	1,45E+04	27,56	26,4	26,06	-	2,80E+05
296	M	10	-	Tabasco	During day	35	NEG	36,77	-	1,65E+02	24,48	23,81	27,64	-	9,34E+04
297	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	26,63	24,83	25,19	-	5,11E+05	16,27	15,39	16,39	-	2,30E+08
298	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	28,69	27,44	26,67	-	1,83E+05	25,57	25,93	24,86	-	6,43E+05
299	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	35,14	NEG	38,65	-	4,49E+01	26,8	24,3	27,33	-	1,16E+05
300	M	3	-	Tabasco	During day	31,07	30,12	31,26	-	7,57E+04	20,9	17,49	20,7	-	1,15E+07
301	F	8	-	Tabasco	During day	29,12	27,11	27,96	-	7,48E+04	27,53	25,23	28,03	-	7,12E+04
302	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	33,96	NEG	33,05	-	2,19E+03	28,97	27,52	28,87	-	3,98E+04
303	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	34,44	NEG	37,78	-	8,21E+01	30,11	29,31	29,71	-	2,22E+04
304	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	30,95	36,01	31,22	-	7,79E+03	21,01	22,64	22,26	-	3,91E+06
305	F	7	-	Tabasco	During day	23,82	25,63	24,41	-	8,79E+05	20,89	22,16	22,19	-	4,10E+06
306	M	0	-	Tabasco	During day	27,73	26,06	27,31	-	1,17E+05	19,37	19,05	20,95	-	9,70E+06
307	F	0	-	Tabasco	During day	26,68	25,58	27,12	-	1,34E+05	22,21	22,08	23,44	-	1,72E+06
308	M	0	-	Tabasco	During day	33,53	33,53	33,36	-	1,76E+03	27,76	27,71	28,61	-	4,76E+04
309	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	29,81	26,4	29,63	-	2,35E+04	25,9	21,23	23,91	-	1,24E+06
310	F	9	-	Tabasco	During day	31,44	27,72	31,63	-	5,86E+03	30,97	27,56	31,01	-	9,01E+03
311	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	29,84	25,65	28,56	-	4,93E+04	31,18	26,74	28,6	-	4,80E+04
312	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	25,98	21,8	27	-	1,46E+05
313	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	32,44	28,23	31,05	-	8,76E+03	18,96	14,12	17,42	-	1,12E+08
314	M	8	-	Tabasco	During day	36,44	31,5	34,98	-	5,73E+02	21,61	18,24	22,49	-	3,33E+06
315	M	5	-	Tabasco	During day	22,01	17,9	22,3	-	3,80E+06	31,23	27,53	29,43	-	2,70E+04
316	M	8	-	Tabasco	During day	33,02	29,66	33,35	-	1,78E+03	26,49	23,57	27,5	-	1,03E+05
317	M	8	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	25,5	23,16	26,45	-	2,13E+05
318	M	8	-	Tabasco	During day	22,09	18,27	23,32	-	1,87E+06	18,8	15,29	20,9	-	1,00E+07
319	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	30,95	31,37	30,35	-	1,42E+04	19,39	17,32	19,61	-	2,46E+07
320	F	9	-	Tabasco	During day	30,41	24,62	28,6	-	4,80E+04	18,87	17,05	20,01	-	1,86E+07
321	F	10	-	Tabasco	During day	28,98	21,77	28,51	-	5,11E+04	28,33	21,52	28,25	-	6,12E+04
322	M	6	-	Tabasco	During day	29,89	29,95	30,3	-	1,47E+04	28,19	25,41	26,54	-	2,00E+05
323	F	11	-	Tabasco	During day	34,1	NEG	34	-	1,13E+03	24,94	21,87	22,36	-	3,65E+06
324	M	10	-	Tabasco	During day	32	NEG	31,59	-	6,02E+03	21,56	18,73	21	-	9,37E+06
325	F	9	-	Tabasco	During day	35,13	NEG	33,68	-	1,41E+03	30,71	28,82	26,76	-	1,72E+05
326	M	8	-	Tabasco	During day	31,69	24,85	29,47	-	2,62E+04	30,57	24,08	30,15	-	1,64E+04
327	M	4	-	Tabasco	During day	NEG	NEG	36,41	-	2,12E+02	33,18	24,32	29,57	-	2,45E+04
328	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	32,07	30,12	30,39	-	1,39E+04	27,73	18,57	26,04	-	2,84E+05
329	M	7	-	Tabasco	During day	24,29	20,94	23,88	-	1,27E+06	25,05	21,49	24,41	-	8,79E+05
330	F	6	-	Tabasco	During day	35,53	NEG	35,93	-	2,96E+02	26,45	23,35	26,09	-	2,74E+05
331	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	32,7	27,89	31,18	-	8,01E+03	32,34	28,84	30,85	-	1,01E+04
332	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	35,4	28,7	32,93	-	2,38E+03	28,71	25,61	28,57	-	4,90E+04
333	F	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	36,33	NEG	34,97	-	5,77E+02	34,61	30,39	33,15	-	2,04E+03
334	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	35,9	NEG	34,61	-	7,41E+02	28,44	25,21	28,43	-	5,40E+04
335	M	NA	-	Tabasco	During day	24,1	19,82	23,97	-	1,19E+06	30,92	27,56	29,9	-	1,95E+04

Extended data Table 1b

ID	Morning				1h after breakfast			
	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
72	-	-	32,32	7,39E+02	-	-	33,02	4,62E+02
75	-	-	29,11	6,39E+03	-	-	30,81	2,04E+03
77	-	-	33,18	4,15E+02	-	-	37,08	3,02E+01
82	-	-	25,47	7,37E+04	-	-	28,22	1,16E+04
84	-	-	38,2	1,42E+01	-	-	36,46	4,57E+01
85	-	-	21,07	1,42E+06	-	-	22,21	6,59E+05
87	-	-	30,74	2,14E+03	-	-	36,62	4,11E+01
88	-	-	34,91	1,30E+02	-	-	38,82	9,37E+00
90	-	-	39,98	4,30E+00	-	-	39,47	6,05E+00
91	-	-	34,51	1,70E+02	-	-	33,78	2,77E+02
92	-	-	30,73	2,15E+03	-	-	30,54	2,44E+03
99	-	-	32,56	6,29E+02	-	-	33,66	3,00E+02
100	-	-	33,8	2,73E+02	-	-	31,6	1,20E+03
102	-	-	31,53	1,26E+03	-	-	31,54	1,25E+03
103	-	-	35,53	8,55E+01	-	-	29,71	4,27E+03
104	-	-	33,27	3,90E+02	-	-	31,61	1,19E+03
108	-	-	33,61	3,11E+02	-	-	37,44	2,37E+01
109	-	-	28,87	7,51E+03	-	-	30,54	2,44E+03
115	-	34,19	-	1,18E+03	-	34,96	-	6,56E+02
116	-	32,15	-	5,58E+03	-	34,37	-	1,03E+03
118	-	33,2	-	2,49E+03	-	34,35	-	1,05E+03
119	-	30,56	-	1,89E+04	-	32,07	-	6,03E+03
120	-	20,09	-	5,84E+07	-	21,06	-	2,84E+07
121	-	30,24	-	2,42E+04	-	35,36	-	4,82E+02
122	-	28,84	-	7,08E+04	-	32,63	-	3,92E+03
123	-	30,78	-	1,60E+04	-	35,37	-	4,78E+02
127	-	32,88	-	3,19E+03	-	36,98	-	1,39E+02
130	-	36,04	-	2,82E+02	-	37,31	-	1,08E+02
132	-	36,42	-	2,11E+02	-	35,05	-	6,12E+02
134	-	32,85	-	3,26E+03	-	35,49	-	4,36E+02
136	-	31,9	-	6,76E+03	-	33,63	-	1,82E+03
137	-	33,8	-	1,57E+03	-	30,06	-	2,82E+04
138	-	29,77	-	3,47E+04	-	31,87	-	7,03E+03
139	-	30,32	-	2,27E+04	-	30,63	-	1,82E+04
145	-	31,01	-	1,34E+04	-	33,26	-	2,42E+03
153	-	30,88	-	1,48E+04	-	35,97	-	3,02E+02
155	-	36,87	-	1,49E+02	-	36,18	-	2,57E+02
158	-	33,19	-	2,51E+03	-	29,9	-	3,19E+04
163	-	36,93	-	1,42E+02	-	NEG	-	0,00E+00
336*	-	36,15	-	2,59E+02	-	33,58	-	1,89E+03

*Patient was only sampled for this part of the validation. Data on age and gender is not available.

Extended data Table 1c

ID	Swab-Type A				Swab-Type B				Swab-Type C				Swab-Type D			
	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
102	30,54	-	-	1,92E+04	31,21	-	-	1,15E+04	32,85	-	-	3,26E+03	31,53	-	-	8,98E+03
103	36,96	-	-	1,39E+02	35,61	-	-	3,92E+02	36,75	-	-	1,63E+02	35,53	-	-	4,17E+02
105	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	36,13	-	-	2,63E+02	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
106	36,15	-	-	2,59E+02	37,41	-	-	9,85E+01	38,11	-	-	5,75E+01	37,3	-	-	1,07E+02
107	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
108	-	-	32,25	8,86E+02	-	-	31,29	1,69E+03	-	-	32,98	5,42E+02	-	-	33,61	3,55E+02
109	-	-	31,81	1,19E+03	-	-	28,81	8,95E+03	-	-	29,44	5,86E+03	-	-	28,87	8,60E+03
115	31,4	33,26	-	2,38E+03	30,82	32,31	-	4,94E+03	32,41	34,04	-	1,31E+03	32,32	34,19	-	1,17E+03
116	32,24	33,6	-	1,83E+03	32,45	34,1	-	1,25E+03	34,09	35,47	-	4,37E+02	32,15	-	-	5,58E+03
120	20,96	21,14	-	2,61E+07	19,62	19,78	-	7,41E+07	21,52	21,57	-	1,88E+07	19,85	20,09	-	5,84E+07
121	29,5	30,67	-	1,74E+04	29,01	29,69	-	3,69E+04	30,31	31,02	-	1,33E+04	29,54	30,24	-	2,42E+04
130	33,1	34,01	-	1,34E+03	33,11	34,06	-	1,29E+03	32,11	33,31	-	2,29E+03	34,1	36,04	-	2,82E+02
132	NEG	36,7	-	1,70E+02	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	NEG	36,42	-	2,11E+02
133	38,77	34,54	-	8,91E+02	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	NEG	35,41	-	4,57E+02	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00
134	31,44	33,07	-	2,75E+03	31,92	33,42	-	2,11E+03	30,42	32,26	-	5,13E+03	31,71	32,85	-	3,26E+03
135	30,43	31,97	-	6,41E+03	28,73	29,29	-	5,01E+04	28,78	29,81	-	3,36E+04	29,84	30,79	-	1,58E+04
137	33,02	35,33	-	4,86E+02	33,11	34,44	-	9,62E+02	32,47	33,97	-	1,38E+03	32,53	33,8	-	1,57E+03
139	30,36	30,6	-	1,83E+04	31,43	31,53	-	8,98E+03	29,63	29,94	-	3,04E+04	30,08	30,32	-	2,27E+04
144	22,97	22,83	-	7,13E+06	25,41	25,34	-	1,04E+06	25	25,27	-	1,10E+06	22,4	22,14	-	1,21E+07
145	30,28	31,31	-	1,06E+04	30,45	31,32	-	1,06E+04	30,25	31,3	-	1,07E+04	30,04	31,01	-	1,34E+04
148	NEG	34,31	-	1,06E+03	NEG	NEG	-	0,00E+00	34,1	34,4	-	9,92E+02	NEG	35	-	6,26E+02
153	30,32	30,8	-	1,57E+04	30,03	30,14	-	2,61E+04	29,4	29,55	-	4,11E+04	30,31	30,88	-	1,48E+04

Extended data Table 1d

General information		Single-PCR				Pool-PCR			
ID	Pooled samples (n)	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
108	18	-	-	33,61	3,55E+02	-	-	35,66	8,94E+01
109	18	-	-	28,87	8,60E+03	-	-	29,45	5,82E+03
116	18	32,15	-	-	5,58E+03	-	35,13	-	5,67E+02
118	18	32,43	33,2	-	2,49E+03	32,65	34,62	-	8,38E+02
119	18	29,81	30,56	-	1,89E+04	32,02	32,97	-	2,97E+03
122	18	28,62	28,84	-	7,08E+04	31,97	32,09	-	5,84E+03
123	18	29,54	30,78	-	1,60E+04	30,47	32,31	-	4,94E+03
127	18	32,18	32,88	-	3,19E+03	32,4	34,43	-	9,70E+02
130	18	34,1	36,04	-	2,82E+02	34,13	35,74	-	3,55E+02
132	18	NEG	36,42	-	2,11E+02	NEG	36,71	-	1,69E+02
136	18	30,61	31,9	-	6,76E+03	32,2	33,88	-	1,48E+03
137	100	32,53	33,8	-	1,57E+03	NEG	37,27	-	1,10E+02
138	100	29,35	29,77	-	3,47E+04	31,21	32,06	-	5,98E+03
144	18	22,4	22,14	-	1,21E+07	25,01	24,77	-	1,61E+06
145	50	30,04	31,01	-	1,34E+04	31,89	32,83	-	3,31E+03
148	50	NEG	35	-	6,26E+02	NEG	34,45	-	9,55E+02
158	18	32,28	33,19	-	2,51E+03	31,38	32,32	-	4,90E+03

Extended data Table 1e

General information			Sampling information		Lolli-swab					Np-/Op-swab				
ID	Gender	Days after symptom onset	Side of sampling	Sampling time	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load	Orf	E	N	RdRp/ N genes	Viral load
1	Female	7	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
2	Female	6	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
5	Female	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
14	Male	9	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
30	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
38	Female	5	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
41	Female	4	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
44	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
50	Male	9	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
58	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
59	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
60	Male	0	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
61	Male	0	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
63	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
64	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
67	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
73	Female	3	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
74	Female	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
76	Male	3	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
78	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
79	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
80	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
81	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
83	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
86	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
89	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
90	Female	11	Cologne	During day	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
96	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
101	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
111	Male	1	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
112	Female	2	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
113	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
114	Male	6	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
117	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
124	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
125	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
126	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	-	-	-	0,00E+00
128	Female	0	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
129	Female	1	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
131	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
140	Female	2	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
146	Female	6	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
147	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
149	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
152	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
154	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
156	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	NEG	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
179	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
179	Male	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
180	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
180	Male	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
183	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
183	Male	-	Cologne	During day	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00	-	-	-	NEG	0,00E+00
191	Male	-	Cologne	Morning	-	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	-	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00
192	Female	-	Cologne	Morning	-	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00	-	NEG	-	-	0,00E+00

Extended data Table 2

Parameter	Description	Value	Reference
τ_{pre}	Time between infection and infectiousness	3 days	42
τ_{inf}	Duration of infectiousness	6 days	43
R0	Basic reproduction number	2,5	44
		4,5	5,45
		7,5	39
p_{in}		0,10%	Assumption
		0,01%	
p_{PCR}	False-negative rate of RT-qPCR	2,00%	46,48
g	Exponential growth rate of viral load	1/(4,5 days)	49

Extended data Table 3

District	Schools (n)			Pupils (n)		
	Elementary schools	Schools for special needs	Other schools	Elementary schools	Schools for special needs	Other schools
Aachen	99	22	0	18629	3358	0
Bielefeld	51	13	0	12475	2292	0
Bochum	52	14	0	11584	3310	0
Bonn	55	8	1	12230	1352	416
Borken	74	11	0	14483	1598	0
Bottrop	20	3	0	4010	224	0
Coesfeld	40	5	0	8182	860	0
Dortmund	91	19	1	21496	2868	738
Duisburg	77	15	0	18417	2607	0
Düren	55	7	1	9272	1385	481
Düsseldorf	94	17	0	21777	3178	0
Ennepe-Ruhr-Kreis	56	8	1	10709	1340	375
Essen	87	22	0	20396	3865	0
Euskirchen	39	9	0	6729	1206	0
Gelsenkirchen	41	10	0	10411	1953	0
Gütersloh	69	15	0	13840	1809	0
Hagen	33	6	0	7186	1160	0
Hamm	28	6	1	6682	797	344
Heinsberg	55	7	0	9085	974	0
Herford	51	6	0	9230	1046	0
Herne	21	6	1	5593	656	1065
Hochsauerlandkreis	64	12	0	8756	1038	0
Höxter	25	6	0	4841	621	0
Kleve	58	11	0	11105	1513	0
Krefeld	34	8	1	7955	1459	487
Köln	159	28	0	38152	4844	0
Leverkusen	25	4	0	6457	399	0
Lippe	66	13	0	13229	1850	0
Mettmann	83	14	0	17856	1841	0
Minden-Lübbecke	64	14	2	11554	1477	998
Märkischer Kreis	70	11	1	14360	1822	518
Mönchengladbach	43	12	0	9408	1680	0
Mülheim an der Ruhr	24	4	0	6100	1067	0
Münster	47	8	2	9956	1798	516
Oberbergischer Kreis	51	11	0	10204	1783	0
Oberhausen	31	5	0	7202	715	0
Olpe	35	7	0	4885	1022	0
Paderborn	64	10	0	11768	1834	0
Recklinghausen	99	20	0	21906	3170	0
Remscheid	21	6	0	3976	509	0
Rhein-Erft-Kreis	78	15	0	17877	2135	0
Rhein-Kreis Neuss	79	9	0	17238	1400	0
Rhein-Sieg-Kreis	102	24	0	22715	3137	0
Rheinisch-Bergischer Kreis	56	8	0	10376	1165	0
Siegen-Wittgenstein	62	8	1	9868	875	333
Soest	58	13	1	10648	1862	280
Solingen	27	6	0	5608	622	0
Steinfurt	89	17	1	16816	2154	103
Unna	63	8	0	13860	1211	0
Viersen	48	8	1	10105	966	336
Warendorf	58	5	0	10431	768	0
Wesel	77	12	0	15722	2525	0
Wuppertal	57	11	2	13085	1558	688
Total	3105	577	18	646435	88658	7678

Extended data Table 4

Calendar week	Lab ID	Pool-PCRs (n)	Positive Pool-PCRs (n)	Positive Pool-PCRs (%)	Pool-PCR results before 6:00 a.m. (%)	Single-PCRs (n)	Positive Single-PCRs (n)	Single-PCR results before 6:00 a.m. (%)	Schools (n)	Pupils (estimated n)
19	Total	62981	287	0,46	77,8	2649	136	67,76	3215	452521
	1	10917	42	0,38	81,6	0	0	-	526	79480
	2	9708	46	0,47	100	372	20	95,7	528	68795
	3	10085	25	0,25	37,01	701	43	39,37	448	74812
	4	7450	19	0,26	99,64	242	13	100	444	52762
	5	5743	46	0,80	97,41	147	12	60,54	336	42886
	6	5384	12	0,22	27,08	120	7	93,33	252	36165
	7	5684	18	0,32	100	255	11	19,22	267	41710
	8	1669	10	0,60	99,34	104	6	100	99	11716
	9	724	4	0,55	87,15	0	0	-	26	5162
	10	2424	43	1,77	100	492	14	100	92	17534
	11	1413	15	1,06	0	141	5	0	116	9898
	12	1780	7	0,39	100	75	5	100	81	11600
20	Total	114134	337	0,30	90,86	3137	192	90,88	3608	615306
	1	20576	37	0,18	78	0	0	-	570	112803
	2	16273	55	0,34	100	558	35	98,75	549	87052
	3	15381	39	0,25	99,92	758	36	98,94	477	84443
	4	15426	45	0,29	98,72	505	42	99,6	478	82766
	5	12804	56	0,44	97,31	275	16	98,55	442	70424
	6	8098	12	0,15	81,29	164	10	92,07	258	41084
	7	9302	21	0,23	100	283	16	60,07	304	50980
	8	3289	14	0,43	99,94	158	11	93,67	101	17492
	9	4238	23	0,54	75,27	0	0	-	127	22612
	10	3164	20	0,63	99,97	252	14	100	92	17292
	11	2779	8	0,29	0	99	7	0	128	14342
	12	2804	7	0,25	100	85	5	64,71	83	14016
21	Total	64222	178	0,28	95,82	1930	109	94,61	3614	693232
	1	10126	41	0,40	99,48	206	9	100	566	110803
	2	9757	34	0,35	99,99	348	24	100	555	105325
	3	8797	35	0,40	97,61	614	36	95,44	474	96959
	4	8994	8	0,09	99,8	174	5	99,43	476	97218
	5	8306	14	0,17	98,45	155	8	96,13	453	90659
	6	4332	1	0,02	100	33	1	93,94	257	44300
	7	4916	9	0,18	99,96	108	7	93,52	306	53398
	8	1698	9	0,53	100	95	5	100	101	17980
	9	2504	9	0,36	75,48	0	0	-	127	26380
	10	1616	7	0,43	99,75	76	3	100	92	17607
	11	1653	5	0,30	0	50	4	0	125	17227
	12	1523	6	0,39	100	71	7	85,92	83	15374
22	Total	77545	204	0,26	95,66	4032	116	86,9	3655	672405
	1	13527	58	0,43	85,72	1149	18	65,36	572	116475
	2	12048	38	0,32	96,57	675	25	99,85	567	104733
	3	10838	10	0,09	99,67	436	10	90,6	479	91553
	4	9487	9	0,09	99,91	240	7	99,58	477	82411
	5	10432	46	0,44	98,95	816	30	91,42	455	92286
	6	5043	7	0,14	100	129	6	96,12	259	43881
	7	5741	7	0,12	99,98	125	6	91,2	310	50381
	8	1990	7	0,35	100	135	3	100	101	17745
	9	2734	8	0,29	89,65	0	0	-	133	24012
	10	1909	2	0,10	100	86	2	100	92	16944
	11	1906	6	0,31	69,41	133	2	99,25	129	16765
	12	1890	6	0,32	100	108	7	100	83	15219
23	Total	80366	142	0,18	99,58	3140	87	98,76	3655	695707
	1	13715	36	0,26	99,23	657	10	100	575	117677
	2	12409	33	0,27	99,96	822	25	99,76	562	107798
	3	11082	5	0,05	99,59	91	2	89,01	479	93465
	4	10476	14	0,13	99,9	359	6	100	478	90643
	5	10851	22	0,20	99,66	437	21	97,48	457	95545
	6	5132	3	0,06	100	171	2	99,42	259	44551
	7	5892	7	0,12	99,98	147	6	93,2	308	51867
	8	2023	9	0,44	100	182	8	100	102	18076
	9	2999	3	0,10	95,87	0	0	-	132	26545
	10	1924	3	0,16	99,74	126	1	98,41	92	17037
	11	1962	3	0,15	100	64	3	100	129	17203
	12	1901	4	0,21	99,89	84	3	96,43	83	15300

Extended data Table 4

Calendar week	Lab ID	Pool-PCRs (n)	Positive Pool-PCRs (n)	Positive Pool-PCRs (%)	Pool-PCR results before 6:00 a.m. (%)	Single-PCRs (n)	Positive Single-PCRs (n)	Single-PCR results before 6:00 a.m. (%)	Schools (n)	Pupils (estimated n)
24	Total	80074	74	0,09	99,28	1640	42	98,6	3644	693093
	1	13606	11	0,08	99,82	232	6	99,57	570	116760
	2	12338	18	0,15	99,99	406	15	100	559	107015
	3	10885	4	0,04	99,97	85	3	77,65	479	91958
	4	10743	3	0,03	99,99	149	1	100	478	92778
	5	10732	17	0,16	97,32	305	2	100	456	94511
	6	5112	2	0,04	100	77	1	98,7	259	44382
	7	5842	4	0,07	100	43	5	95,35	306	51521
	8	2042	4	0,20	99,95	102	3	100	102	18263
	9	3019	4	0,13	91,55	0	0	-	131	26693
	10	1915	1	0,05	99,95	105	0	100	92	16925
	11	1962	3	0,15	100	63	3	100	129	17201
	12	1878	3	0,16	100	73	3	100	83	15087
25	Total	79799	55	0,07	97,94	1326	24	98,72	3646	691641
	1	13556	17	0,13	90,11	333	2	100	570	116482
	2	12269	10	0,08	100	288	9	98,96	564	106545
	3	10810	3	0,03	99,89	78	2	100	478	91272
	4	10678	3	0,03	99,94	54	1	100	477	92372
	5	10759	8	0,07	99,69	147	1	100	455	94933
	6	5089	4	0,08	100	66	3	98,48	259	44310
	7	5877	3	0,05	100	73	2	82,19	306	51923
	8	2024	2	0,10	100	45	0	100	102	18120
	9	3010	0	0,00	91,79	0	0	-	131	26614
	10	1901	1	0,05	99,95	93	2	100	92	16843
	11	1956	3	0,15	100	127	1	100	129	17169
	12	1870	1	0,05	100	22	1	100	83	15058
26	Total	72318	39	0,05	99,58	867	16	97,23	3643	627656
	1	6760	3	0,04	98,54	1	0	100	569	58108
	2	12208	7	0,06	100	151	6	99,34	562	106223
	3	10755	3	0,03	99,94	80	0	97,5	478	90989
	4	10608	5	0,05	100	122	4	100	477	91780
	5	10524	8	0,08	99,98	223	2	91,48	455	92835
	6	4956	4	0,08	100	112	2	98,21	259	43082
	7	5878	1	0,02	100	18	1	100	306	51917
	8	1989	3	0,15	100	67	0	100	102	17818
	9	2957	3	0,10	93,44	1	0	100	131	26231
	10	1889	0	0,00	100	71	0	100	92	16698
	11	1932	1	0,05	100	21	1	100	129	16978
	12	1862	1	0,05	99,95	0	0	-	83	14996
33	Total	32868	859	2,61	99,42	16423	641	91,13	3605	563281
	1	5446	100	1,84	97,61	1545	64	93,92	551	92362
	2	4954	179	3,61	99,19	3300	128	99,21	537	85665
	3	4484	138	3,08	100	2570	83	85,33	476	74764
	4	4524	69	1,53	100	1468	76	100	470	77842
	5	3826	94	2,46	99,53	1925	48	78,86	388	66486
	6	3336	104	3,12	99,94	1950	77	94,72	390	58319
	7	2370	39	1,65	99,96	818	28	88,02	302	41048
	8	1497	66	4,41	100	1354	67	74,15	188	26119
	10	793	18	2,27	100	381	17	100	91	13805
	11	797	28	3,51	100	632	33	99,68	129	13739
	12	841	24	2,85	99,88	480	20	100	83	13132
34	Total	80961	1460	1,80	97,44	31964	1219	82,52	3658	700790
	1	13516	230	1,70	89,01	4138	170	51,06	558	115905
	2	12374	282	2,28	97,64	5924	224	98,63	557	107346
	3	10953	290	2,65	99,97	6446	204	67,31	479	92275
	4	10947	152	1,39	99,69	3384	131	99,38	475	94758
	5	9333	170	1,82	97,21	3938	142	94,9	391	81732
	6	8218	148	1,80	99,98	3369	130	91,07	395	72861
	7	6047	35	0,58	100	1006	58	99,6	308	53045
	8	3697	78	2,11	100	1748	77	99,31	191	32582
	10	1980	12	0,61	100	361	15	95,29	91	17494
	11	1950	34	1,74	100	757	33	99,87	129	17092
	12	1946	29	1,49	100	893	35	8,4	84	15699

Extended data Table 4

Calendar week	Lab ID	Pool-PCRs (n)	Positive Pool-PCRs (n)	Positive Pool-PCRs (%)	Pool-PCR results before 6:00 a.m. (%)	Single-PCRs (n)	Positive Single-PCRs (n)	Single-PCR results before 6:00 a.m. (%)	Schools (n)	Pupils (estimated n)
35	Total	78774	1080	1,37	97,84	23753	1108	91,66	3664	682013
	1	13299	196	1,47	98,53	3638	151	90,08	559	113928
	2	12166	209	1,72	99,84	4159	182	99,93	561	105488
	3	10878	138	1,27	99,98	3319	117	76,74	479	91800
	4	10730	107	1,00	99,79	2483	134	99,56	475	93003
	5	9170	174	1,90	84,22	3908	217	84,08	391	80335
	6	8172	109	1,33	99,88	2481	113	91,98	395	72603
	7	5939	30	0,51	100	666	36	99,1	308	52063
	8	2649	39	1,47	99,96	1031	54	99,32	191	23385
	10	1925	26	1,35	100	582	33	100	92	17003
	11	1933	28	1,45	100	523	29	100	129	16966
	12	1913	24	1,25	100	963	42	100	84	15439
36	Total	79490	912	1,15	99,92	19674	770	90,89	3665	688035
	1	13310	188	1,41	99,86	3365	119	96,52	560	114052
	2	12290	137	1,11	100	2884	99	100	561	106505
	3	10811	157	1,45	100	3615	139	62,07	479	91198
	4	10625	88	0,83	99,72	2397	90	99,79	475	92074
	5	9127	126	1,38	99,96	2647	95	98,07	392	79933
	6	8167	73	0,89	99,98	1617	68	90,79	395	72564
	7	5739	40	0,70	99,9	931	53	90,12	308	50170
	8	3631	45	1,24	100	915	44	99,67	191	31987
	10	1923	15	0,78	100	372	13	99,46	91	16988
	11	1933	24	1,24	100	520	38	99,42	129	16996
	12	1934	19	0,98	99,9	411	12	100	84	15569
37	Total	80409	737	0,92	99,91	15557	585	96,34	3666	696057
	1	13495	103	0,76	99,67	1433	51	86,67	561	115673
	2	12332	125	1,01	99,94	2516	95	99,96	562	106949
	3	10989	146	1,33	99,97	3094	103	99,58	479	92600
	4	10864	66	0,61	99,87	1625	60	99,63	475	94076
	5	9228	83	0,90	99,97	2043	65	99,56	391	80839
	6	8213	61	0,74	99,96	1414	50	82,04	395	73065
	7	5837	52	0,89	100	1253	58	92,74	308	51034
	8	3652	47	1,29	99,97	1051	48	99,71	191	32194
	10	1945	11	0,57	100	272	13	99,63	91	17182
	11	1922	21	1,09	100	460	18	100	129	16854
	12	1932	22	1,14	100	396	24	100	84	15590